

THE FUNCTORIAL SOURCE PROBLEM VIA DIMENSION DATA

JUN YU

ABSTRACT. For an automorphic representation π of Ramanujan type, there is a conjectural subgroup \mathcal{H}_π of the Langlands L-group ${}^L G$ associated to π ([10]), called the *functional source* of π . The functorial source problem proposed by Langlands and refined by Arthur ([10], [2], [3], [4]) intends to determine \mathcal{H}_π via analytic and arithmetic data of π . In this paper we consider the functorial source problem of automorphic representations of a split group, a unitary group or an orthogonal group which do not come from endoscopy and have minimal possible ramification. In this setting, \mathcal{H}_π must be an S-subgroup of ${}^L G$ (Definition 4.1). We approach the functorial source problem by proving distinction and linear independence among dimension data of S-subgroups. Nice results along this direction are shown in this paper (e.g, Theorems 1-6). We define a notion of quasi root system and use it as the key tool for studying S-subgroups and their dimension data.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Quasi root systems	6
1.1. Quasi root systems: definition and classification	7
1.2. Associating a character to a quasi root system	13
1.3. Generalized Cartan subgroup and quasi root system	15
1.4. Pinned automorphism and quasi root system	21
1.5. Connection with dimension data	23
1.6. Actual leading weight	24
1.7. Illustration in the BC_n case	26
2. Pinned automorphism and twining character formula	30
3. Restriction character	34
3.1. The Levi reduction method	35
3.2. Extremal weights in an irreducible restriction character	38
3.3. Degree 1 irreducible restriction characters	40
3.4. Fully decomposable irreducible restriction characters	42
4. Characterization of S-subgroups	48
4.1. S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $U(n) \times \langle \tau \rangle$	50

Date: January 9, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E46.

Key words and phrases. Functorial source, dimension datum, S-subgroup, quasi-connected compact Lie group, quasi root system, generalized Cartan subgroup, quasi torus, quasi Cartan subgroup, twisted character, twining character formula, restriction character.

4.2.	S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $O(2n)$	51
4.3.	S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $E_6 \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$	53
4.4.	S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $\text{Spin}(8) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$	56
5.	Dimension data of S-subgroups of a connected compact Lie group	57
6.	Dimension data of S-subgroups of $U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$	60
6.1.	Characterization of quasi root systems of S-subgroups	60
6.2.	Distinction and linear independence of dimension data	63
6.3.	S-subgroups with linearly dependent dimension data, I	67
6.4.	S-subgroups with linearly dependent dimension data, II	75
7.	Dimension data of S-subgroups of $O(2N)$	77
7.1.	S-subgroups of $O(2N)$ in Class (i)	79
7.2.	S-subgroups of $O(2N)$ in Classes (ii)-(iii)	82
7.3.	Distinction and linear independence of dimension data	84
7.4.	S-subgroups with linearly dependent dimension data	85
	References	86

INTRODUCTION

This paper is motivated by some questions of Langlands and Arthur concerning the functorial source of automorphic forms ([10], [2], [3], [4]). Let \mathbb{G}/F be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over a number field F and $\mathbb{G}(A_F)$ be the corresponding group over the adelic ring A_F of F . Let π be an automorphic representation of $\mathbb{G}(A_F)$ of Ramanujan type. Then there is a conjectural subgroup \mathcal{H}_π of the Langlands L-group ${}^L G$ associated to π ([10]), called the *functional source* of π . The mysterious group \mathcal{H}_π should satisfy the following simple condition: for each finite-dimensional irreducible complex linear representation ρ of ${}^L G$, the pole order at $s = 1$ of the Langlands L-function $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ is equal to the dimension of \mathcal{H}_π invariant vectors in ρ . The right hand side of the above condition is called the dimension datum of \mathcal{H}_π as a subgroup of ${}^L G$. Langlands thought that the group \mathcal{H}_π is the key to show functoriality beyond that the endoscopy theory has achieved. In [10] Langlands proposed a strategy of showing this general form of functoriality through a refined form of stable trace formulas by inserting information of pole order at $s = 1$ of Langlands L-functions $L(s, \pi, \rho)$. This is called Langlands' beyond endoscopy program nowadays. In [2], [3],[4] and some other papers Arthur refined Langlands' ideas and strategy in several aspects. For example, Arthur wrote out more concrete forms of stable trace formulas after inserting information of L-functions and he proposed a primitization process of the stable trace formulas ([3],[4]). Arthur also proposed ideas/questions to determine \mathcal{H}_π using dimension datum of \mathcal{H}_π and Satake parameters of π ([2, Section 2],[4, Section 4]). This paper concerns the determination of \mathcal{H}_π via its dimension datum alone. We show an affirmative answer to the "refined question" in [4, p. 17] when \mathbb{G} is a split group, a unitary group or an orthogonal group and π does not come from endoscopy and has minimal possible ramification.

The group \mathcal{H}_π should be a reductive subgroup of ${}^L G$. Thus, it is equivalent to consider its maximal compact subgroup as a subgroup of a maximal compact subgroup of ${}^L G$. Working with compact groups provides simplicity while taking topological argument. Let G be a compact Lie group with cyclic component group. We call a closed subgroup H of G an S -subgroup if H is generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in g_0 G^0$ such that $h_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$ and $Z_G(H) = Z(G)$, where d is the order of $\text{Ad}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $g_0 \in G$ is an element generating G/G^0 . The definition of S -subgroups is motivated by properties of the group \mathcal{H}_π should satisfied while the automorphic representation π has minimal possible ramification and is not the image of any endoscopic functoriality and the primitization process in [3, Section 2] and [4, Section 4]. It is named after Dynkin's S-subalgebra ([6],[14]). Our aim in this paper is to consider the functorial source problem while \mathbb{G}/F is either split or is a unitary group or an orthogonal group. Turning to the L-group side, we are led to study dimension data of S-subgroups of the following groups: (1) a connected compact Lie group; (2) $U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau^2 = I$ and $\tau X \tau^{-1} = \bar{X}$ ($\forall X \in U(N)$); (3) $O(2N)$. Besides these groups, we also study dimension data of S-subgroups of $\text{Spin}(8) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ (trality form) and $E_6 \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ (disconnected E_6). Moreover, we reduce the study of S-subgroups of isogeny forms of these groups to S-subgroups of them.

We define a notion of quasi root system and use it as the key tool for studying S-subgroups and their dimension data. We call a compact abelian Lie group \tilde{S} a *quasi torus* if its component group \tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0 is a cyclic group. A *quasi root system* Φ on a quasi torus \tilde{S} (or in $X^*(\tilde{S})$) is a finite subset of $X^*(\tilde{S})$ with certain properties (Definition 1.4). In particular, if Φ is a quasi root system in $X^*(\tilde{S})$, then the set $\bar{\Phi}$ (called the reduced root system of Φ) defined by

$$\bar{\Phi} = \{\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Phi\}$$

is a root system in $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. We show that any quasi root system Φ can be obtained from the action of a diagram automorphism θ on a root system Φ' . When \tilde{S} is a generalized Cartan subgroup of a compact Lie group H , there is a quasi root system $R(H, \tilde{S})$ constructed from the action of \tilde{S} on the complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H which is moreover reduced in the sense that $\alpha \neq 2\beta$ for any two roots $\alpha, \beta \in R(H, \tilde{S})$.

Quasi root systems are complicated in general and the quasi root system $R(H, \tilde{S})$ does not characterize the group H up to isomorphism. It is a miracle that quasi root systems of S-subgroups are subject to strong constraints and it characterizes the S-subgroup H well. For example, when $G = U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ or $O(2N)$, we show that: the conjugacy class of the pair $(\tilde{S}, R(H, \tilde{S}))$ determines an S-subgroup H up to conjugacy. With these, we take a thorough study of dimension data of S-subgroups.

We employ two other tools to study quasi root systems of S-subgroups: Jantzen's twining character formula (Theorem 7) and restriction character (Definition 2.3). Section 3 is the most lengthy and technical section of this paper. Readers might overlook this section at first reading.

Let's state main results of this paper. Two closed subgroup H_1, H_2 of a group G are said to be element conjugate if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that

$$\phi(x) \sim x, \quad \forall x \in H_1.$$

Element conjugate subgroups must have the same dimension datum. For S-subgroups of a connected compact Lie group, we show the following Theorems 1 and 2. When $G = \mathrm{U}(N)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(N)$, Theorem 1 recovers [11, Theorem 2] (concerning distinction of dimension data) and [21, Theorem 1.3] (concerning linear independence).

Theorem 1. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group. Then the dimension data of any tuple of pairwise non-element conjugate connected S-subgroups of G are linearly independent.*

Theorem 2. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two non-element conjugate S-subgroups of a connected compact Lie group G . Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1} \neq \mathcal{D}_{H_2}.$$

For S-subgroups of $\mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$, we show the following Theorems 3 and 4.

Theorem 3. *Let H_1, \dots, H_s be a set of pairwise non-conjugate S-subgroups of $\mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Suppose that: (1) for any quasi Cartan subgroup \tilde{S} of any of H_1, \dots, H_s , $(\Psi_{\tilde{S}}, \chi_{\rho}|_{\tilde{S}_0})$ does not contain an irreducible factor (Ψ_i, χ_i) isomorphic to $(\mathrm{B}_n^{(2)}, ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes n})$ ($n \geq 2$); (2) H_1, \dots, H_s are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. Then, the dimension data*

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$$

are linearly independent.

Theorem 4. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two non-conjugate S-subgroups of $\mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1} \neq \mathcal{D}_{H_2}.$$

In Theorem 3, $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ is a canonical quasi root system associated to the quasi torus \tilde{S} in $\mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. $\rho = \mathbb{C}^N$ is the natural representation of $\mathrm{U}(N)$ and χ_{ρ} means its character.

For S-subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(2N)$, we show the following Theorems 5 and 6.

Theorem 5. *Let H_1, \dots, H_s be a set of pairwise non-conjugate S-subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(2N)$. Suppose that they are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. Then, the dimension data*

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$$

are linearly independent.

Theorem 6. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two non-conjugate S-subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(2N)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1} \neq \mathcal{D}_{H_2}.$$

From Theorems 2, 4 and 6 we see that: non-element conjugate (or non-conjugate) S-subgroups have different dimension datum in the respective setting. Such a statement is remarkable while applying dimension datum in the beyond endoscopy program. In [11], [1] and [21], we have seen examples of non-conjugate (or non-isomorphic) connected closed subgroups with the same dimension datum. From Theorems 1, 3 and 5 we see that: we have linear independence statement for dimension data of S-subgroups under mild assumptions. The linear independence statement is important while applying stable trace formula in Langlands' beyond endoscopy program. We also construct examples of S-subgroups to show that the assumptions in Theorems 3 and 5 are necessary for having the linear independence statement. In [21] we found generators of linear relations among dimension data of connected subgroups. In summary, we could say that: the S-subgroup condition is a natural condition which guarantees distinction (or linear independence) among dimension data under no (or mild) assumption.

Considering more general algebraic groups \mathbb{G}/F and automorphic representations π with wider ramification, perhaps we must use Satake parameters (beside dimension data) to treat the functional source problem. It looks to the author that the idea of considering automorphic representations not coming from endoscopy and the tool of quasi root system might still be useful.

In the literature, dimension datum was first studied by Larsen and Pink ([11]) where they considered connected closed semisimple subgroups of $U(N)$. In [1] and [21], we took a systematic study of dimension data of connected closed subgroups (without semisimplicity constraint) of a compact Lie group. There are applications of dimension data to monodromy groups ([12], [13]) and isospectral manifolds ([15], [18], [19], [1], [23]). However, the most important and most promising application of dimension data is that in the beyond endoscopy program ([10], [3]). This paper is the first one which studies dimension data of non-connected closed subgroups. The idea of S-subgroups and the tool of quasi root system are the main innovation of this paper.

Notation and conventions. Let G be a compact Lie group. Write G^0 for the neutral subgroup of G , \mathfrak{g}_0 for the Lie algebra of G , and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ for the complexified Lie algebra of G . Write $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ for the derived subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and write $z(\mathfrak{g})$ for the center of \mathfrak{g} . When G is connected, write $G_{\text{der}} = [G, G]$ for the derived subgroup of G and write $Z(G)$ for the center of G . Then we have $G_{\text{der}}Z(G)^0 = G$. Write $[x] = xN$ ($x \in H$) for an element in a quotient group H/N .

Let H be an abelian compact Lie group. Write $X^*(H) = \text{Hom}(H, U(1))$ for the character group of H , and write $X_*(H) = \text{Hom}(U(1), H)$ for the cocharacter group of H . We call elements in $X^*(H)$ linear characters on H . For a linear character $\lambda \in X^*(H)$, write $[\lambda] : H \rightarrow U(1)$ for the corresponding evaluation function. For two linear characters $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(H)$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a linear character $k_1\lambda + k_2\mu \in X^*(H)$ by

$$[k_1\lambda + k_2\mu](x) = \lambda(x)^{k_1}\mu(x)^{k_2} \quad (\forall x \in H).$$

Write $\mathbf{1}$ for the identity character of $U(1)$. For any character $\lambda \in X^*(H)$ and cocharacter $\check{\mu} \in X_*(H)$, there is a unique integer k such that $\lambda \circ \check{\mu} = k\mathbf{1}$. Define

$$\langle \lambda, \check{\mu} \rangle = k.$$

Then,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X^*(H) \times X_*(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is a bi-additive pairing, which is a perfect pairing when H is a torus. For a linear character $\lambda \in X^*(H)$, let $d\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*$ denote its differential. When H is a torus, a linear character λ and its differential $d\lambda$ determine each other, in this case we don't distinguish them.

Write E_6 or E_6^{sc} for a connected and simply-connected compact simple Lie group of type E_6 ; write E_6^{ad} for a connected adjoint type compact simple Lie group of type E_6 ; write \mathfrak{e}_6 for a compact simple Lie algebra of type E_6 , and $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$ for a complex simple Lie algebra of type E_6 . Similar notations apply to simple Lie groups and Lie algebras of other types.

For any $n \geq 1$, write $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$; write $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$, which is a primitive n -th root of unity.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Jiu-Kang Yu and Xuhua He for helpful communications. Particularly, I thank Xuhua He for commenting that the name of affine root system is not suitable and I thank Jiu-kang Yu for suggesting the names of quasi root system, quasi torus, quasi-connected compact Lie group, etc. The author learned a lot from reading [2], [3] and [4]. I am grateful for that. Sections 1 and 2 were mostly done when the author visited MPI Bonn in the summer of 2016. The author would like to thank MPI Bonn for the support and hospitality. This research is partially supported by the NSFC Grant 11971036.

1. QUASI ROOT SYSTEMS

We call a compact abelian Lie group with cyclic component group a *quasi torus* and we define an *quasi root system* on a quasi torus to be a finite subset of the character group of it with some properties. We give a classification of quasi root systems. Given a quasi torus, we associate a character to each quasi root system on it. For a quasi Cartan subgroup \tilde{S} in a compact Lie group H , we show that *infinite roots* from the action of \tilde{S} on the complexified Lie algebra of H is naturally a reduced quasi root system. We express the Weyl density function in terms of the character associated to this quasi root system, which is the starting point of using quasi root systems and associated characters to study dimension data of non-connected closed subgroups. Given a quasi torus \tilde{S} in a compact Lie group G , we show that the union $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ of quasi root systems of closed subgroups of G with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup is still a quasi root system. It plays an important role while we study the relation of dimension data of S-subgroups sharing a common quasi Cartan subgroup.

In Subsection 1.6 we discuss the so-called actual leading weight in the character associated to a quasi root system. In Subsection 1.7 we present a precise description of quasi sub-root systems in a quasi root system Ψ with $\bar{\Psi} \cong \text{BC}_n$ and their associated characters with respect to a large group averaging.

We remark that the quasi root system determines a compact semisimple Lie group with cyclic component group up to isogeny, but even quasi root system together with quasi Cartan subgroup do not determine the group up to isomorphism in general (Proposition 1.1). Quasi root systems (called affine root systems there) are studied from different viewpoints in [17].

1.1. Quasi root systems: definition and classification. We divide roots in a root system into four classes: *short roots*, *long roots*, *middle length roots*, *relatively long roots*.

Definition 1.1. Let Φ be a root system in a Euclidean space and $\alpha \in \Phi$ be a root.

- (i) We call α a short root if $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ($\forall \beta \in \Phi - \{\pm\alpha\}$).
- (ii) We call α a long root if $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \in \Phi$.
- (iii) We call α a middle length root if there exists two other roots $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Phi - \{\pm\alpha\}$ such that $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta_1)}{(\beta_1, \beta_1)} = 2$ and $\frac{2(\beta_2, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = 2$.
- (iv) We call α a relatively long root if it is not a long root and $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ($\forall \beta \in \Phi - \{\pm\alpha\}$).

Note that long roots and middle length roots occur only in non-reduced irreducible factors of Φ ; relatively long roots are longer roots in reduced irreducible factors of Φ .

Definition 1.2. Let Φ be a root system in a Euclidean space V .

- (i) Write Φ° for the set of short roots in Φ .
- (ii) Write Φ^{short} for the set of roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ which is not a long root.

The following statement is well-known.

Lemma 1.1. Let $\Phi \subset \Psi$ be two root systems in a Euclidean space V . If the subgroup of V generated by roots in Φ and that generated by roots in Ψ are equal, then $\Phi \supset \Psi^\circ$.

Definition 1.3. We call a compact abelian Lie group \tilde{S} a quasi torus if its component group \tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0 is a cyclic group.

Choose and fix a positive definite inner product on $\mathfrak{s}_0 = \text{Lie } \tilde{S}$, denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)'$. Then, it induces an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{s}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}_0^*$ determined by

$$\phi(X)(Y) = (X, Y)' \quad (\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}_0).$$

Define a positive definite inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{is}_0^* by

$$(x, y) = (\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{i}x), \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{i}y))' \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{is}_0^*).$$

Note that $dX^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ is a lattice of \mathfrak{is}_0^* . For two characters $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ (or $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$), define

$$(\lambda, \mu) = (d\lambda, d\mu).$$

We call a character $\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ a *finite character* if $d\lambda = 0$; otherwise call it an *infinite character*. Apparently, a character $\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ is a finite character if and only if it has finite order. Suppose that $\alpha \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ is an infinite character such that

$$\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall \lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0).$$

Define a cocharacter $\check{\alpha} \in X_*(\tilde{S}^0)$ by

$$d\lambda(d\check{\alpha}) = \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}, \quad \forall \lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0).$$

Define $s_\alpha : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ by

$$s_\alpha(x) = x\check{\alpha}(\alpha(x)^{-1}), \quad \forall x \in \tilde{S},$$

called the reflection associated to α . The induced action of s_α on $X^*(\tilde{S})$ is of the form

$$s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha, \quad \forall \lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}).$$

Definition 1.4. We call a subset Φ of $X^*(\tilde{S})$ a *quasi root system on \tilde{S}* (or in $X^*(\tilde{S})$) if it satisfies the following conditions.

(QRS1) any $\alpha \in \Phi$ is an infinite character and $\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\forall \lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$);

(QRS2) $s_\alpha(\Phi) = \Phi$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi$;

We call Φ a *reduced quasi root system* if it satisfies one more condition:

(QRS3) for any $\alpha \in \Phi$, $2\alpha \notin \Phi$.

The following lemma follows from Definition 1.4 directly.

Lemma 1.2. If Φ is a quasi root system in $X^*(\tilde{S})$, then

$$\{\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Phi\}$$

is a root system in the lattice $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ in the sense of [21, Definition 2.2].

For a quasi root system Φ in $X^*(\tilde{S})$, we call an element $\alpha \in \Phi$ a root and call $\check{\alpha}$ the coroot associated to α ; call

$$\bar{\Phi} = \{\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Phi\}$$

the *restricted root system* of Φ and call $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ the *restricted root* associated to α . Let

$$W_\Phi := \langle s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{S})$$

and call it the *Weyl group* of Φ .

Put $m = |\tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0|$. Choose an element $s'_0 \in \tilde{S}$ generating \tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0 . Put

$$S = s'_0\tilde{S}^0,$$

which is a connected component of \tilde{S} . Define $\beta_0 \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ by

$$\beta_0(s_0^j s) = e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}} (\forall s \in \tilde{S}^0, \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}).$$

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\Phi \subset X^*(\tilde{S})$ be a quasi root system on \tilde{S} . Then for any $\alpha \in \Phi$, there exists $k \geq 1$ with $k|m$ such that*

$$\{\beta \in \Phi : \beta|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}\} = \{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\}.$$

Proof. Let $\beta = \alpha + j\beta_0 \in \Phi$ and $\beta' = \alpha + j'\beta_0 \in \Phi$ ($0 \leq j, j' \leq m-1$). By calculation one shows $s_\beta s_\alpha(\beta') = \alpha + (j' + 2j)\beta_0$. This implies the conclusion of the lemma. \square

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\Phi \subset X^*(\tilde{S})$ be a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} and $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Phi$ be two roots such that $d\alpha' = 2d\alpha$. Then there exists $k \geq 1$ with $2k|m$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\beta \in \Phi : \beta|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cdot \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}\} \\ = & \{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\} \sqcup \{2\alpha + (2j+1)k\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2k} - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we may take $k \geq 1$ with $k|m$ such that

$$\{\beta \in \Phi : \beta|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}\} = \{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\}.$$

For any $\beta \in \Phi$ with $\beta|_{\tilde{S}^0} = t\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ ($t > 0, t \neq 1$), by the condition (QRS1) and $d\alpha' = 2d\alpha$, we get $t = 2$. Write $\beta = 2\alpha + j\beta_0$ ($1 \leq j \leq m-1$). By calculation one shows $s_\alpha s_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha - j\beta_0$. Then, $k|j$. Moreover, by the condition (QRS2) one gets $\frac{j}{k}$ and $\frac{m+j}{k}$ are both odd. Thus, $2k|m$, and $\beta \in \{2\alpha + (2j+1)k\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2k} - 1\}$. Assume that $2\alpha + (2j+1)k\beta_0 \in \Phi$. Then,

$$s_{2\alpha+(2j+1)k\beta_0} s_{\alpha+j\beta_0}(2\alpha + (2j+1)k\beta_0) = 2\alpha + (2j+3)k\beta_0 \in \Phi.$$

By iteration one shows the conclusion of the lemma. \square

One shows the following lemma by a similar argument as above. The quasi root systems occurring in cases (1)-(3) below are non-isomorphic to each other, but that in cases (3) and (4) are isomorphic.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\Phi \subset X^*(\tilde{S})$ be a quasi root system on \tilde{S} and $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Phi$ be two roots such that $d\alpha' = 2d\alpha$. Then, Φ is one of the following forms:*

- (1) $\{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\} \sqcup \{2\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $k|m$;
- (2) $\{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\} \sqcup \{2\alpha + 2jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2k} - 1\}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $2k|m$;
- (3) $\{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\} \sqcup \{2\alpha + 4jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{4k} - 1\}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $4k|m$;
- (4) $\{\alpha + jk\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k} - 1\} \sqcup \{2\alpha + (4j+2)k\beta_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{4k} - 1\}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $4k|m$.

As in Lemma 1.3, the number $\frac{m}{k}$ depends on the reduced root $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha|_{\bar{\xi}_0}$. We call it the *folding index* of $\bar{\alpha}$ (and of α), and denote it by m'_α (and m'_α). Apparently, m'_α takes the same value on each $W_{\bar{\Phi}}$ orbit in $\bar{\Phi}$.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $\Phi \subset \Psi$ be quasi root systems. Assume that the folding index of each short root of $\bar{\Psi}$ is not a multiple of 4, Φ is reduced, and $\bar{\Phi} \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$. Then Φ does not contain any root $\beta \in \Psi$ such that $\beta = 2\alpha$ for some root $\alpha \in \Psi$.*

Proof. Suppose that Φ contains a root $\beta \in \Psi$ such that $\beta = 2\alpha$ for some root $\alpha \in \Psi$. Since $\bar{\Phi} \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$, there exists a root $\alpha' \in \Phi$ such that $\bar{\alpha}' = \bar{\alpha}$. Put $p = m'_\alpha$. By assumption p is not a multiple of 4. By Lemma 1.3, there exists a finite character γ_0 such that $[p\gamma_0] = \mathbf{1}$ and

$$\{\gamma \in \Psi : \bar{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha}\} = \{\alpha' + j\gamma_0 : 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}.$$

By Lemma 1.4, there exists a positive integer k with $2k|p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\gamma \in \Phi : \bar{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cdot \bar{\alpha}\} \\ &= \{\alpha' + jk\gamma_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{p}{k} - 1\} \sqcup \{2\alpha' + (2j+1)k\gamma_0 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{p}{2k} - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since p is not a multiple of 4, both k and $\frac{p}{2k}$ are odd. Write $\alpha = \alpha' + i\gamma_0$ where $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Then, there exists an integer j with $0 \leq j \leq \frac{p}{2k} - 1$ such that

$$2\alpha' + 2i\gamma_0 = 2\alpha = \beta = 2\alpha' + (2j+1)k\gamma_0.$$

Then, $2i = (2j+1)k$ or $(2j+1)k + p$. However, $2i$ is even and $(2j+1)k$ and $(2j+1)k + p$ are odd, we get a contradiction. \square

Remark 1.1. *The assumption of “the folding index of each short root of $\bar{\Psi}$ is not a multiple of 4” in Lemma 1.6 is necessary. For example, when $m = 4$ and $\dim \tilde{S} = 1$, we could have*

$$\Psi = \{\pm\alpha, \pm(\alpha + \beta_0), \pm(\alpha + 2\beta_0), \pm(\alpha + 3\beta_0), \pm 2\alpha, \pm(2\alpha + 2\beta_0)\}$$

and

$$\Phi = \{\pm\alpha, \pm(\alpha + 2\beta_0), \pm(2\alpha + 2\beta_0)\}.$$

In this case, $\Phi \subset \Psi$ are quasi root systems, Φ is reduced and $\bar{\Phi} \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$.

Definition 1.5. *We call a quasi root system Φ “irreducible” if its restricted root system $\bar{\Phi}$ is irreducible.*

Definition 1.6. *Assume that a quasi root system Φ is irreducible.*

- (i) *We call the folding index of shorts roots in $\bar{\Phi}$ the total folding index of Φ .*
- (ii) *Call the folding index of long roots (or relatively long roots) in $\bar{\Phi}$ the ordinary folding index of Φ .*
- (iii) *Call the folding index of middle length roots in $\bar{\Phi}$ (occurring only when $\bar{\Phi}$ is non-reduced) the middle folding index of Φ_j .*
- (iv) *Call the ratio between the total folding index and the ordinary folding index the twisted number of Φ .*
- (v) *Call the ratio between the total folding index and the middle folding index the middle twisted number of Φ .*

By Lemma 1.7 below, the twisted number of an irreducible quasi root system takes values in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Lemma 1.7. *Assume that Φ is an irreducible quasi root system, and let m_0 be the folding index of short roots in $\bar{\Phi}$.*

- (1) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is simply-laced, all restricted roots have the same folding index m_0 .*
- (2) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type B_n ($n \geq 2$), long roots all have the same folding index m_0 or $\frac{m_0}{2}$.*
- (3) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type C_n ($n \geq 3$), long roots all have the same folding index m_0 or $\frac{m_0}{2}$.*
- (4) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type F_4 , long roots all have the same folding index m_0 or $\frac{m_0}{2}$.*
- (5) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type G_2 , long roots all have the same folding index m_0 or $\frac{m_0}{3}$.*
- (6) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type BC_1 and is reduced, long roots all have the same folding index $\frac{m_0}{2}$.*
- (7) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type BC_n ($n \geq 2$) and is reduced, middle length roots all have the same folding index m_0 and long roots all have the same folding index $\frac{m_0}{2}$.*
- (8) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type BC_1 and Φ is non-reduced, long roots all have the same folding index $m_0, \frac{m_0}{2}$ or $\frac{m_0}{4}$.*
- (9) *when $\bar{\Phi}$ is of type BC_n ($n \geq 2$) and Φ is non-reduced, middle length roots all have the same folding index m_1 and long roots all have the same folding index m_2 , the pair (m_1, m_2) could be one of $(m_0, m_0), (m_0, \frac{m_0}{2}), (\frac{m_0}{2}, \frac{m_0}{2}), (\frac{m_0}{2}, \frac{m_0}{4})$.*

Proof. In case (1), $\bar{\Phi}$ is simply-laced and all restricted roots consist in a single Weyl group orbit. Hence, all roots have the same folding index. We prove the case (2) below. The proof for cases (3)-(9) is similar.

Assume that $\bar{\Phi} = B_n$. Take the liftings of simple roots in a simple system of $\bar{\Phi}$ and take the actions of associated reflections, we may assume that Φ contains $\{\pm e_i \pm e_{i'} : 1 \leq i < i' \leq n\} \sqcup \{\pm e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for some characters e_1, \dots, e_n of \tilde{S} . Without loss of generality we assume that roots in Φ which have the same restriction to \tilde{S}^0 as that of e_i are $e_i + j\beta$ ($0 \leq j \leq m_0 - 1$), where β is a finite character. Suppose that $e_1 + e_2 + \beta' \in \Phi$ with β' a finite character. Then,

$$s_{e_1} s_{e_1 + e_2 + \beta'}(e_2) = e_1 - \beta'$$

is a root. Thus, $\beta' \in \{j\beta : 0 \leq j \leq m_0 - 1\}$. On the other hand, we have

$$s_{e_1 + \beta} s_{e_1}(e_1 + e_2 + j\beta) = e_1 + e_2 + (j + 2)\beta.$$

Thus, $e_1 + e_2 + 2j\beta \in \Phi$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the folding index of $\overline{e_1 + e_2}$ is equal to m_0 or $\frac{m_0}{2}$. \square

Definition 1.7. *We call an element $s_0 \in S$ a reference point if $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^0 \times \langle s_0 \rangle$, which is equivalent to s_0 being an element of order m .*

Definition 1.8. We call an element $s \in S$ a pinned element of Φ if

$$\{\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0} : \alpha \in \Phi, \alpha(s) = 1\}$$

is equal to $\bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$.

Definition 1.9. We call a set $\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ a simple system of Φ if

$$\{\alpha_i|_{\tilde{S}_0} : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$$

is a simple system of $\bar{\Phi}$.

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 1.8. If $\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ a simple system of Φ , then any point $s \in S$ such that

$$\alpha_1(s) = \cdots = \alpha_l(s) = 1$$

is a pinned element of Φ .

Fix a reference point s_0 . Choose a pinned element s and a simple system $\{\bar{\alpha}_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ of $\bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$. For each i , define $\alpha'_i \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ by $\alpha'_i|_{\tilde{S}_0} = \bar{\alpha}_i$ and $\alpha'_i(s_0) = 1$. For each i , there exists a unique root $\alpha_i \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha_i|_{\tilde{S}_0} = \bar{\alpha}_i$ and $\alpha_i(s) = 1$. Each α_i is of the form $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i + k_i\beta_0$ for some k_i ($0 \leq k_i \leq m-1$). Let m_i be the folding index of $\bar{\alpha}_i$. The following lemma follows from Lemma 1.3 directly.

Lemma 1.9. The tuple $\{\frac{k_i m_i}{m} \pmod{1} : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ is independent of the choice of the pinned element.

Definition 1.10. We call the tuple $\{\frac{k_i m_i}{m} \pmod{1} : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ the fractional factor of the quasi root system Φ relative to the connected component S of \tilde{S} .

The following lemma follows from Lemmas 1.3-1.9.

Lemma 1.10. A quasi root system Φ is determined by the following data: its restricted root system $\bar{\Phi}$; its fractional factor; the total folding indices, twisted number and middle twisted number of each irreducible factor of $\bar{\Phi}$.

We introduce some notations for quasi root systems. Let $\bar{\Phi}$ be a reduced quasi root system with an irreducible restriction root system $\bar{\Phi}$.

- (i) Assume that $\bar{\Phi}$ is simply-laced or $\bar{\Phi} \cong G_2$. (1)We write $\Phi \cong \bar{\Phi}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 1. (2)We write $\Phi \cong \bar{\Phi}^{(2)}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 2.
- (ii) Assume that $\bar{\Phi} \cong B_m$ ($m \geq 2$). (1)We write $\Phi \cong B_m$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 1. (2)We write $\Phi \cong B_m^{(2,1)}$ if short roots of Φ have folding index 2 and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (3)We write $\Phi \cong B_m^{(2)}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 2.
- (iii) Assume that $\bar{\Phi} \cong C_m$ ($m \geq 2$). (1)We write $\Phi \cong B_m$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 1. (2)We write $\Phi \cong C_m^{(2,1)}$ if short roots of Φ have folding index 2 and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (3)We write $\Phi \cong C_m^{(2)}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 2.

- (iv) Assume that $\bar{\Phi} \cong \text{BC}_m$ ($m \geq 2$). (1) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_m$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 1. (2) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_m^{(2,1,1)}$ if short roots of Φ have folding index 2 and middle length and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (3) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_m^{(2,2,1)}$ if Φ is reduced, short and middle length roots of Φ have folding index 2, and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (4) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}'_m^{(2,2,1)}$ if Φ is non-reduced, short and middle length roots of Φ have folding index 2, and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (5) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_m^{(2)}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 2.
- (v) Assume that $\bar{\Phi} \cong \text{BC}_1$. (1) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_1$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 1. (2) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_1^{(2,1)}$ if Φ is reduced, short roots of Φ have folding index 2, and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (3) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}'_1^{(2,1)}$ if Φ is non-reduced, short roots of Φ have folding index 2, and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (4) We write $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_1^{(2)}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 2.
- (vi) Assume that $\bar{\Phi} \cong \text{F}_4$. (1) We write $\Phi \cong \text{F}_4$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 1. (2) We write $\Phi \cong \text{F}_4^{(2,1)}$ if short roots of Φ have folding index 2 and long roots of Φ have folding index 1. (3) We write $\Phi \cong \text{F}_4^{(2)}$ if all roots of Φ have folding index 2.

By Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.10, these represent all irreducible quasi root systems with total folding index equal to 1 or 2. We remark that: if $\bar{\Phi} \cong \text{BC}_m$ ($m \geq 2$) and it is reduced, then we must have $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_m^{(2,2,1)}$; if $\bar{\Phi} \cong \text{BC}_1$ and it is reduced, then we must have $\Phi \cong \text{BC}_1^{(2,1)}$.

1.2. Associating a character to a quasi root system. In [21], we have defined

$$\Psi_{\tilde{S}^0} = \{0 \neq \alpha \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0) : \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)\},$$

which is a root system in the lattice $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ ([21, Def. 2.2]).

Definition 1.11. *Define*

$$\Psi''_{\tilde{S}} = \{\alpha \in X^*(\tilde{S}) : \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in \Psi_{\tilde{S}^0}\}.$$

By Definition 1.4, $\Psi''_{\tilde{S}}$ is a quasi root system on \tilde{S} , and it contains any other quasi root system on \tilde{S} .

Remark 1.2. *Different with than in [21], to save notations we use the notation $\Psi''_{\tilde{S}}$ in Definition 1.11. The notation $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ is reserved for another quasi root system which is defined while \tilde{S} is a quasi torus in a fixed compact Lie group.*

Let Φ be a quasi root system on \tilde{S} . Choose a pinned element $s \in S$ of Φ . Put

$$\Phi_s = \{\alpha \in \Phi : \alpha(s) = 1\}.$$

For a restricted root $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$, set

$$R_{1, \bar{\alpha}} = \{\alpha' \in \Phi : \alpha'|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \bar{\alpha}\},$$

$$R_{2,\bar{\alpha}} = \{\alpha' \in \Phi : \alpha'|_{\tilde{S}_0} = 2\bar{\alpha}\},$$

and $R_{\bar{\alpha}} = R_{1,\bar{\alpha}} \sqcup R_{2,\bar{\alpha}}$. Put

$$m_{1,\bar{\alpha}} = |R_{1,\bar{\alpha}}|, \quad m_{2,\bar{\alpha}} = |R_{2,\bar{\alpha}}|$$

and

$$m_{\bar{\alpha}} = m_{1,\bar{\alpha}} + 2m_{2,\bar{\alpha}}.$$

For a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ with $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0} \in \bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$, put

$$m_\alpha = m_{\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0}}.$$

The following Lemma follows from Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 directly.

Lemma 1.11. *Let Φ be a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} . For any restricted root $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$, we have $m_{1,\bar{\alpha}}|m$ and $m_{\bar{\alpha}} = m_{1,\bar{\alpha}}$ or $2m_{1,\bar{\alpha}}$.*

Choose and fix a positive system $\Psi_{\tilde{S}_0}^+$ of $\Psi_{\tilde{S}_0}$. For a restricted root $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$, take $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0} = \bar{\alpha}$. Then the character $m_\alpha \alpha$ depends only on $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0}$ by Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4. Write

$$\bar{\Phi}^+ = \bar{\Phi} \cap \Psi_{\tilde{S}_0}^+.$$

Set

$$\delta_{s,\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_s, \alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0} \in \bar{\Phi}^+} m_\alpha \alpha,$$

$$A_\Phi = \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi_s}} \epsilon(w) [\delta_{s,\Phi} - w\delta_{s,\Phi}].$$

For a subgroup W of $\text{Aut}(S)$ containing W_Φ , set

$$F_{\Phi,W} = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{\gamma \in W} \gamma(A_\Phi).$$

More generally for any $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$, set

$$A_{\Phi,\mu} = \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi_s}} \epsilon(w) [\mu + \delta_{s,\Phi} - w\delta_{s,\Phi}]$$

and

$$F_{\Phi,\mu,W} = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{\gamma \in W} \gamma(A_{\Phi,\mu}).$$

For a weight $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ and a finite subgroup W of $\text{Aut}(S)$, set

$$\chi_{\mu,W}^* = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{\gamma \in W} [\gamma\mu].$$

The following Lemma 1.12 follows Lemma 1.11.

Lemma 1.12. *Let Φ be a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} .*

- (i) *The characters $2\delta_{s,\Phi}$ and $\delta_{s,\Phi} - w\delta_{s,\Phi}$ ($w \in W_{\Phi_s}$) are in $X^*(\tilde{S})$. They are independent of the choice of the pinned element s , and so is $A_\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[X^*(\tilde{S})]$.*

- (ii) Each character $F_{\Phi, W}$ (or $F_{\Phi, \mu, W}$) is a linear combination of $\{\chi_{\lambda, W}^* : \lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S})\}$ with integral coefficients and the constant term is 1.

Definition 1.12. We call the character $\chi_{\mu, W}^*$ the W -averaging of the linear character $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that W fixes $k\beta_0$. Then,

$$\chi_{\mu+k\beta_0, W}^* = \chi_{\mu, W}^* \cdot [k\beta_0]$$

for any linear character $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$. It might happen that: $\chi_{\mu, W}^* = 0$ for some infinite characters μ . For example, let k be a positive integer dividing $m = |\tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0|$. Suppose that for any element $s \in \tilde{S}^0$ with $s^k = 1$, there exists an element $\gamma \in W$ with $\gamma|_{\tilde{S}} = \text{id}$ and $\gamma(s'_0) = ss'_0$. Then, $\chi_{\mu, W}^* \neq 0$ only when $\frac{1}{k}(\mu|_{\tilde{S}^0}) \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$.

The following Lemma 1.13 follows from Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4.

Lemma 1.13. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ be a root such that $\bar{\alpha} := \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in \Phi^{\text{short}}$. Then,

$$(1) \quad \prod_{\beta \in R_{\bar{\alpha}}} (1 - \beta(x)) = 1 - \alpha(x)^{m_{\bar{\alpha}}}, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Lemma 1.14. Let Φ be a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} . Then,

$$\frac{1}{|W_{\Phi_s}|} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} (1 - \alpha(x)) = F_{\Phi, W_{\Phi_s}}(x), \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.13, we have

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} (1 - \alpha(x)) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_s} (1 - \alpha(x)^{m_{\alpha}}).$$

Since $\{m_{\alpha}\alpha : \alpha \in R_{s_0}\}$ forms an abstract root system by Lemma 1.7, the calculation in the proof of [21, Proposition 3.7] shows that

$$\frac{1}{|W_{\Phi_s}|} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_s} (1 - \alpha(x)^{m_{\alpha}}) = F_{\Phi, W_{\Phi_s}}(x).$$

□

1.3. Generalized Cartan subgroup and quasi root system. As defined in [5, Definition 4.1], a closed abelian subgroup \tilde{S} of a compact Lie group G is called a *generalized Cartan subgroup* of G if \tilde{S} has a dense cyclic subgroup and $\tilde{S}^0 = Z_G(\tilde{S})^0$.

Definition 1.13. We call a closed commutative connected subset S of a compact Lie group G a *maximal commutative connected subset* if $s^{-1}S = Z_G(S)^0$ for any $s \in S$.

Let G be a compact Lie group. For an element $g \in G$, choose a maximal torus T of $Z_G(g)^0$. Put $\tilde{S} = \langle g, T \rangle$ and $S = gT$. Then, \tilde{S} is a generalized Cartan subgroup of G and S is a maximal commutative connected subset.

Moreover, all generalized Cartan subgroups (resp. maximal commutative connected subsets) arise in this way.

Let \tilde{S} be a generalized Cartan subgroup of G . Then $\tilde{S}^0 \subset \tilde{S} \cap G^0 \subset Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S})$. As the following example indicates, $\tilde{S}^0 \neq \tilde{S} \cap G^0$ and $\tilde{S} \cap G^0 \neq Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S})$ in general.

Example 1.1. Write $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$T = \{\text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1^{-1}, \lambda_2^{-1}\} : \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times, |\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = 1\}.$$

Set $G_1 = (\text{SU}(4)/\langle -I \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$, where $\sigma_1^2 = [\mathbf{i}I]$ and $\sigma_1[X]\sigma_1^{-1} = [L\bar{X}L^{-1}]$ ($\forall X \in \text{SU}(4)$). Put $\tilde{S}_1 = \langle T, \sigma_1 \rangle$. Then, \tilde{S}_1 is a generalized Cartan subgroup of G_1 . In this case, $[\mathbf{i}I] \in \tilde{S}_1 \cap G_1^0 - \tilde{S}_1^0$. Thus, $\tilde{S}_1^0 \neq \tilde{S}_1 \cap G_1^0$.

Set $G_2 = (\text{SU}(4)/\langle -I \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$, where $\sigma_2^2 = 1$ and $\sigma_2[X]\sigma_2^{-1} = [L\bar{X}L^{-1}]$ ($\forall X \in \text{SU}(4)$). Put $\tilde{S}_2 = \langle T, \sigma_2 \rangle$. Then, \tilde{S}_2 is a quasi Cartan subgroup of G_2 . In this case, $[\mathbf{i}I] \in Z_{G_2^0}(\tilde{S}_2) - \tilde{S}_2 \cap G_2^0$. Thus, $\tilde{S}_2 \cap G_2^0 \neq Z_{G_2^0}(\tilde{S}_2)$.

Definition 1.14. Let S be a maximal commutative connected subset of G . Set

$$W(G, S) = N_{G^0}(S)/s^{-1}S, \quad s \in S.$$

We call $W(G, S)$ the Weyl group of G with respect to S .

Lemma 1.15. If \tilde{S} is a generalized Cartan subgroup of a compact Lie group G , then $Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0)$ is a maximal torus of G^0 .

Proof. Put $T = Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0)$. Choose an element $y \in \tilde{S}$ generating \tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0 . Then, $\mathfrak{t}^y = \mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{t})$. From the Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{t}) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\text{der}}$, it follows that $(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{der}})^y = 0$. By a theorem of Borel, this indicates that $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{der}} = 0$. Thus, \mathfrak{t} is abelian. We know that T is connected ([8, Corollary 4.51]) and it contains all maximal tori of G^0 containing \tilde{S}^0 . Thus, T is a maximal torus of G^0 . \square

Lemmas 1.16-1.20 below are from [5] and [20].

Lemma 1.16 ([5]). We have the following properties of maximal commutative connected subsets.

- (i) Any two maximal commutative connected subsets in a connected component $g'G^0$ of G are G^0 conjugate.
- (ii) If S is a maximal commutative connected subset in $g'G^0$, then every G^0 conjugacy class in $g'G^0$ intersects with S .
- (iii) Two elements in S are in a G^0 conjugacy class if and only if they are in the same $W(G, S)$ orbit.

Lemma 1.17. Assume that G/G^0 is a cyclic group. Let $g \in G$ be an element generating G/G^0 and S be a maximal commutative connected subset in gG^0 . Let S' be a maximal commutative connected subset in another connected component $g'G^0$. Then we have the following assertions:

- (i) (1) $\dim S' \geq \dim S$; (2) the minimal order of elements of S' is less than or equal to that of S .
- (ii) $\dim S' = \dim S$ holds only when g' generates $G/G^0 Z_G(G^0)$.
- (iii) The minimal order of elements of S' is equal to that of S holds only when g' generates G/G^0 .

Proof. (i)(1) Take a maximal torus T of $Z_G(g)^0$. We may take $S = gT$. Since G/G^0 is cyclic, we may assume that $g' = g^k$ for some integer k . Take a maximal torus T' of $Z_G(g')^0$ containing T and we may assume that $S' = g'T'$. Then,

$$\dim S' = \dim T' \geq \dim T = \dim S.$$

(2) Let gx be a minimal order element in S , where $x \in \tilde{S}^0$. Write d for the order of gx . Then, $(g'x^k)^d = (gx)^{dk} = 1$. Thus, the minimal order of elements of $S' \leq d$.

(ii) Follow the proof of (i)(1). Consider the adjoint homomorphism

$$\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}_0) := \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

Apparently, $\dim S$ (resp. $\dim S'$) is determined by $\pi(g)$ (resp. $\pi(g')$). It is clear that the homomorphism π factors through $G/G^0 Z_G(G^0)$ and there is an injective homomorphism

$$G/G^0 Z_G(G^0) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

Write $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}} = [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ for the derived subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 and write $z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ for the element of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Then,

$$\text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \text{Out}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}}) \times \text{GL}(z(\mathfrak{g}_0)).$$

For an automorphism σ of $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}}$, let $s(\sigma)$ be the rank of $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}}^\sigma$. By Lemma 1.16, the number $s(\sigma)$ depends only on the class $[\sigma]$ of σ in $\text{Out}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}})$. For $\sigma \in \text{GL}(z(\mathfrak{g}_0))$, let $s(\sigma) = \dim z(\mathfrak{g}_0)^\sigma$. It suffices to show the following assertions:

- (1) For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}})$ and an integer k , $s(\sigma^k) = s(\sigma)$ if and only if k is prime to the order of $[\sigma] \in \text{Out}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}})$.
- (2) For any $\sigma \in \text{GL}(z(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ and an integer k , $s(\sigma^k) = s(\sigma)$ if and only if k is prime to the order of σ .

(2) follows by considering eigenvalues of $\sigma : z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}}$. For (1), we may assume that σ is a pinned automorphism which acts transitively on simple factors of $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\text{der}}$. Then, one shows the assertion with a case by case verification.

(iii) Follow the proof of (i)(2). The order of $(gx)^k = g'x^k \in S'$ is equal to $\frac{d}{\gcd(k,d)} \leq d$. If the minimal order of elements of S' is equal to that of S , then we have $\gcd(k,d) = 1$. Write $e = |G/G^0|$. Due to $(gx)^d = 1$ and gx generates G/G^0 , then e divides d . Thus, $\gcd(k,e) = 1$. Hence, $g' = g^k$ generates G/G^0 as g does. \square

Lemma 1.18 ([5],[20]). *The map*

$$q : G^0/\tilde{S}^0 \times S \rightarrow g'G^0, \quad (g\tilde{S}^0, s) \mapsto gsg^{-1}$$

is a surjective finite degree map with degree equal to $|W(G, S)|$.

Write dg for a right G^0 invariant measure of volume 1 on a connected component $g'G^0$, $d\bar{g}$ for a G^0 invariant measure on G^0/\tilde{S}^0 of volume 1, and ds for a left \tilde{S}^0 invariant measure on S of volume 1. Then, $q^* dg = \det(q) d\bar{g} \wedge ds$ for some nonnegative-valued function $\det(q)$ on $G^0/\tilde{S}^0 \times S$.

Lemma 1.19. ([20, Lemma 2.1]) *We have*

$$\det(q)(g\tilde{S}^0, s) = |\det(1 - \text{Ad}(s))|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{s}}|, \quad \forall (g, s) \in G \times S.$$

Write

$$(2) \quad D_G(s) = \frac{1}{|W(G, S)|} |\det(1 - \text{Ad}(s))|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{s}} \quad (s \in S),$$

which is called the *Weyl density function*. Choose a maximal commutative connected subset in each connected component of G . Write S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m for the chosen subsets. For each i , write $D_i(s)$ for the Weyl density function on S_i . Let $\tilde{d}g$ be a normalized Haar measure on G .

Lemma 1.20. ([20, Prop. 2.3]) *For any G^0 conjugation invariant continuous function f on $g'G^0$, we have*

$$\int_{g'G^0} f(g) dg = \int_S f(s) D_G(s) ds.$$

For any G^0 conjugation invariant continuous function f on G , one has

$$\int_G f(g) \tilde{d}g = \frac{1}{|G/G^0|} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \int_{S_i} f(s) D_G(s) ds.$$

Endow G with a biinvariant Riemannian metric. Then, it induces a $W(G, S)$ invariant positive definite inner product on \mathfrak{s}_0 . As in the last subsection, this induces a $W(G, S)$ invariant positive definite inner product on \mathfrak{is}_0^* . For two characters $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ (or $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$), define

$$(\lambda, \mu) = (d\lambda, d\mu).$$

The conjugation action of \tilde{S} on \mathfrak{g} gives a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}) - \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{Ad}(s)X = \lambda(s)X, \quad \forall s \in \tilde{S}\}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{R}(G, \tilde{S}) = \{\alpha \in X^*(\tilde{S}) - \{0\} : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0\}.$$

An element $\alpha \in \tilde{R}(G, S)$ is called a *root* and \mathfrak{g}_α is called the *root space*. A root $\alpha \in R$ is called an *infinite root* if $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \neq 0$; otherwise it is called a *finite root*. Write $R(G, \tilde{S})$ for the set of infinite roots in $\tilde{R}(G, S)$, and write $R_0(G, \tilde{S})$ for the set of finite roots in $\tilde{R}(G, S)$.

Lemma 1.21. *Let α be an infinite root. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$, $-\alpha$ is also an infinite root, and 2α is not a root.*

Proof. Put $G^{[\alpha]} = Z_G(\ker \alpha)^0$ and $G_{[\alpha]} = (G^{[\alpha]})_{\text{der}}$. Write $T_{[\alpha]} = G_{[\alpha]} \cap \tilde{S}$. Then, the complexified Lie algebra of $G^{[\alpha]}$ is spanned by \mathfrak{s} and $\mathfrak{g}_{k\alpha}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$). From this we see that $\tilde{S} = T_{[\alpha]} \cdot \ker \alpha$, $\dim T_{[\alpha]} = 1$, and $T_{[\alpha]}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{[\alpha]}$. Thus, the complexified Lie algebra of $G_{[\alpha]}$ is of rank one. Hence, it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. By this, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$, $-\alpha$ is an infinite root, and 2α is not a root. \square

There is a unique cocharacter $\check{\alpha} \in X_*(T_{[\alpha]}) \subset X_*(\tilde{S}^0)$ such that $\langle \alpha, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 2$. Define

$$(3) \quad s_\alpha(x) = x\check{\alpha}(\alpha(x)^{-1}), \quad \forall x \in \tilde{S}.$$

Then, $s_\alpha|_{\ker \alpha} = \text{id}$, $s_\alpha|_{\text{Im } \check{\alpha}} = -1$ and $s_\alpha^2 = 1$. Thus, s_α is an automorphism of \tilde{S} which stabilizes S . Since $G_{[\alpha]}$ is a connected semisimple Lie group of rank one, the following statement is clear.

Lemma 1.22. *There exists $n \in N_{G_{[\alpha]}}(T_{[\alpha]})$ such that $\text{Ad}(n)|_S = s_\alpha$.*

Lemma 1.23. *$R(G, \tilde{S})$ is a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} .*

Proof. Considering \mathfrak{g} as a representation of the rank one connected semisimple Lie group $G_{[\alpha]}$, then the condition (QRS1) in the Definition 1.4 follows. The condition (QRS2) follows Lemma 1.22 and the condition (QRS3) follows from Lemma 1.21. \square

Lemma 1.24. *There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0 \rightarrow W(G, S) \rightarrow W_{R(G, \tilde{S}^0)} \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Restricting the action of $W(G, S)$ on \tilde{S} to \tilde{S}^0 , we get a homomorphism

$$\phi : W(G, S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}^0).$$

First, we show that

$$\ker \phi = N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0.$$

For any $g \in N_{G^0}(S)$, in order that $g\tilde{S}^0 \in \ker \phi$ it is necessary and sufficient that $\alpha'(g x g^{-1}) = \alpha'(x)$ for any $x \in \tilde{S}^0$ and any restricted root $\alpha' \in R(G, \tilde{S}^0)$. Clearly, $\lambda(g x g^{-1}) = \lambda(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \tilde{S}^0$ and any finite character λ . Thus, $g\tilde{S}^0 \in \ker \phi$ if and only if $\alpha(g x g^{-1}) = \alpha(x)$ for any $x \in \tilde{S}^0$ and all roots α . This is equivalent to: $g x g^{-1} x^{-1} \in Z(G^0)$ for any $x \in \tilde{S}^0$. Then, $g x g^{-1} x^{-1} \in Z(G^0) \cap (G^0)_{\text{der}} = Z((G^0)_{\text{der}})$ for any $x \in \tilde{S}^0$. As $Z((G^0)_{\text{der}})$ is a finite group, we get $g x g^{-1} x^{-1} = 1$ for any $x \in \tilde{S}^0$. Hence, $g \in Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0) = T$. This shows that $\ker \phi = N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0$.

Second, we show that $W_{R(G, \tilde{S}^0)} \subset \text{Im } \phi$. For any infinite root $\alpha \in R(G, \tilde{S})$, by Lemma 1.22 there exists $n_\alpha \in N_{G^0}(S)$ such that $\text{Ad}(n_\alpha)|_{\tilde{S}} = s_\alpha$. This implies that $s_\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in \text{Im } \phi$. Thus, $W_{R(G, \tilde{S}^0)} \subset \text{Im } \phi$.

Third, we show that $\text{Im } \phi \subset W_{R(G, \tilde{S}^0)}$. Choose a positive system $R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)$ of $R(G, \tilde{S}^0)$. Write

$$X = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} \in R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)} \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{s}_0.$$

Then, $-\mathbf{i}X$ is regular dominant with respect to $R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)$. Thus, $Z_{G^0}(X) = Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0)$. Suppose $\phi(g\tilde{S}^0) \notin W_{R(G, \tilde{S}^0)}$ for some $g \in N_{G^0}(S)$. Multiplying g by a product of n_α ($\alpha \in R(G, \tilde{S})$) if necessary, we may assume that $\phi(g\tilde{S}^0)$ maps $R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)$ to itself. Then, $g \in Z_{G^0}(X) = Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0) = T$ and $\phi(g\tilde{S}^0) = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\text{Im } \phi \subset W_{R(G, \tilde{S}^0)}$. \square

The following Lemma 1.25 is elementary and well-known.

Lemma 1.25. *If $m = p^k$ be a prime power, then*

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m-1, \gcd(m, j)=1} (1 - e^{\frac{2j\pi\mathbf{i}}{m}}) = p.$$

If m is not a prime power, then

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m-1, \gcd(m, j)=1} (1 - e^{\frac{2j\pi\mathbf{i}}{m}}) = 1.$$

Lemma 1.26. *We have*

$$(4) \quad \prod_{\alpha \in R_0(G, \tilde{S})} (1 - \alpha(x)) = |N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0|, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Proof. The group $N_T(S)$ consists of elements $t \in T$ such that $txt^{-1}x^{-1} \in \tilde{S}^0$. Considering the quotient group T/\tilde{S}^0 and the conjugation action by x , we have

$$N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0 \cong \{t\tilde{S}^0 \in T/\tilde{S}^0 : x(t\tilde{S}^0)x^{-1}(t\tilde{S}^0)^{-1} = \tilde{S}^0\}.$$

The latter is just the fixed point group of x in T/\tilde{S}^0 . The action of x on T/\tilde{S}^0 is given by a matrix $X \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, where $k = \dim(T/\tilde{S}^0)$. Write $f(u) = \det(uI - X)$ for the characteristic polynomial of X . Then,

$$(T/\tilde{S}^0)^x \cong (I - X)^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^k)/\mathbb{Z}^k$$

and $f(u) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_0(G, \tilde{S})} (u - \alpha(x))$. Thus,

$$|N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0| = |(T/\tilde{S}^0)^x| = |\det(I - X)| = \pm f(1) = \pm \prod_{\alpha \in R_0(G, \tilde{S})} (1 - \alpha(x)).$$

Since $(\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{s})^x = 0$ and $X^m = I$ where $m = |\tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0|$, the eigenvalues of X are primitive m' -th roots where $m' > 1$ and $m' | m$. Moreover, for any two primitive m' -th roots of unity, their multiplicities as eigenvalues of X are the same. By Lemma 1.25, $\prod_{\alpha \in R_0(G, \tilde{S})} (1 - \alpha(x))$ is a positive integer. Thus,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R_0(G, \tilde{S})} (1 - \alpha(x)) = |N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0|.$$

\square

Combining Lemmas 1.24, 1.26 and 1.14, the following Lemma follows.

Lemma 1.27. *We have*

$$D_G(x) = F_{R(G, \tilde{S}), \Phi_s}(x), \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Recall that an automorphism θ of a complex semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is called a *pinned automorphism* of \mathfrak{h} if θ stabilizes a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t} and permutes a set of root vectors $\{X_{\beta_1}, \dots, X_{\beta_l}\}$ for a simple system $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l\}$ of the root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t})$.

Lemma 1.28. *In order that an element $s \in S$ is a pinned element for the quasi root system $R(G, \tilde{S})$ it is necessary and sufficient that $\text{Ad}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}}$ is a pinned automorphism.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. For the necessity, assume that $s \in S$ is a pinned element. Put $\theta = \text{Ad}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}}$. It is shown in Lemma 1.15 that $T = Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0)$ is a maximal torus of G^0 . Choose a positive system $R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)$ of $R(G, \tilde{S}^0)$. Define a root $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}, T)$ positive if $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)$. In such a way, one obtains a positive system of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}, T)$. Since s commutes with \tilde{S}^0 . Then, θ stabilizes \mathfrak{t} and the corresponding simple system of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}, T)$. Moreover, s is a pinned element implies that one can normalize root vectors X_{β_i} ($1 \leq i \leq l$) appropriately such that θ permutes $\{X_{\beta_1}, \dots, X_{\beta_l}\}$. Hence, $\text{Ad}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}} = \theta$ is a pinned automorphism. \square

1.4. Pinned automorphism and quasi root system.

Definition 1.15. (i) *We call a root system Φ' on a torus T of adjoint type if*

$$\{x \in T : \alpha(x) = 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi'\} = 1.$$

(ii) *We call a quasi root system Φ on a quasi torus \tilde{S} of adjoint type if*

$$\{x \in \tilde{S} : \alpha(x) = 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi\} = 1.$$

Let Φ' be a root system on a torus T and θ be an order m automorphism of T which stabilizes a positive system Φ'^+ of Φ' . Put

$$\tilde{S} = (T^\theta)^0 \times \langle \theta \rangle.$$

We construct a reduced quasi root system Φ on \tilde{S} . There is a connected compact Lie group G with T a maximal torus and with root system $R(G, T) = \Phi'$. Then, the automorphism θ extends to a pinned automorphism of G with respect to T and a positive system $R^+(G, T) = \Phi'^+$. Form

$$\tilde{G} = G \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle.$$

Then, \tilde{S} is a generalized Cartan subgroup of \tilde{G} . Let $\Phi = R(G, \tilde{S})$. It is a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} . We call Φ a *quasi root system resulting from the action of θ on Φ'* . In the following lemma, we show a converse of this construction by assuming that Φ is of adjoint type.

Lemma 1.29. *Given a reduced quasi root system Φ on a quasi torus \tilde{S} of adjoint type, then there exists a unique triple (Φ', T, θ) up to isomorphism with Φ' a root system on a torus T of adjoint type and θ an automorphism of T which stabilizes a positive system Φ'^+ of Φ' such that the resulting quasi root system is isomorphic to Φ .*

Proof. For simplicity we assume that Φ is irreducible and has ordinary folding index 1. Then, Φ' is an irreducible root system. We always take an adjoint type compact Lie group G with a maximal torus T such that $R(G, T) = \Phi$. Let's describe the pair (Φ, θ) case by case.

- (i) When the twisted number of Φ is equal to 1, we have $\Phi' \cong \bar{\Phi}$ and $\theta = 1$.
- (ii) When $\Phi = B_n$ ($n \geq 3$) and the twisted number equals 2, we have $\Phi' \cong D_{n+1}$ and θ a diagram automorphism of order 2.
- (iii) When $\Phi = C_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and the twisted number equals 2, we have $\Phi' \cong A_{2n-1}$ and θ a diagram automorphism of order 2.
- (iv) When $\Phi = BC_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and the twisted number equals 2, we have $\Phi' \cong A_{2n}$ and θ a diagram automorphism of order 2.
- (v) When $\Phi = F_4$ and the twisted number equals 2, we have $\Phi' \cong E_6$ and θ a diagram automorphism of order 2.
- (vi) When $\Phi = G_2$ and the twisted number equals 3, we have $\Phi' \cong D_4$ and θ a diagram automorphism of order 3.

□

Remark 1.3. *Preferably one can construct a triple (Φ', T, θ) from any quasi root system (Φ, \tilde{S}) . The uniqueness fails in general as Example 1.2 below indicates.*

Lemma 1.30. *Let G_1 (resp. G_2) be a compact semisimple Lie group with cyclic component group and \tilde{S}_1 (resp. \tilde{S}_2) be a generalized Cartan subgroup of it which meets all connected components. Write $\Phi_j = \Phi(G_j, \tilde{S}_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) for the quasi root system obtained from the action of \tilde{S}_j on the complexified Lie algebra of G_j . Suppose that $(\Phi_1, \tilde{S}_1) \cong (\Phi_2, \tilde{S}_2)$. Then, there exists a compact Lie group K and finite surjective homomorphisms $\phi_j : G_j \rightarrow K$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that $\phi_1(\tilde{S}_1) = \phi_2(\tilde{S}_2)$.*

Proof. Taking the quotient of G_j by $Z_{G_j}(G_j^0)$ ($j = 1, 2$) replaces the quasi root system Φ_j by an adjoint type quasi root system, which are also isomorphic. For this reason we assume that $Z_{G_j}(G_j^0) = 1$. That is to say, G_j is of adjoint type. Then, the isomorphism class of G_j is determined by its root system Φ'_j and a pinned automorphism $\theta_j \in \tilde{S}_j$ generating G_j/G_j^0 . By Lemma 1.29, the pair (Φ'_j, θ_j) is determined up to isomorphism by Φ_j . Hence, the isomorphism class of G_j is determined. □

Example 1.2. *Take an odd integer $N \geq 3$. Put $G_1 = \mathrm{SU}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ (where $\tau^2 = I$ and $\tau X \tau^{-1} = \bar{X}$, $\forall X \in \mathrm{SU}(N)$) and let G_2 be the quotient of G_1 by the center of $\mathrm{SU}(N)$. Write $p : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ for the quotient map. Take a generalized Cartan subgroup \tilde{S}_1 of G_1 meeting all connected components of it*

and put $\tilde{S}_2 = p(\tilde{S}_1)$. Write $\Phi_j = \Phi(G_j, \tilde{S}_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) for the quasi root system of G_j with respect to \tilde{S}_j . Then, we have

$$(\tilde{S}_1, \Phi_1) \cong (\tilde{S}_2, \Phi_2).$$

However, $G_1 \not\cong G_2$.

By Lemma 1.30 and Example 1.2, we get the following statement.

Proposition 1.1. *The quasi root system of a compact semisimple Lie group with cyclic component group determines the group up to isogeny, but does not determine it up to isomorphism in general.*

1.5. Connection with dimension data. In this subsection let G be a given compact Lie group with a biinvariant Riemannian metric. Let $\tilde{S} \subset G$ be a quasi torus, and S be a connected component of \tilde{S} generating it. Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_G(S)/Z_G(S).$$

As in Definition 1.11, there is a quasi root systems $\Psi''_{\tilde{S}}$ on \tilde{S} defined with the inner product on \mathfrak{so} induced from the Riemannian metric on G .

Definition 1.16. *Let $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ be the minimal quasi sub-root system of $\Psi''_{\tilde{S}}$ containing all quasi root systems $R(H, \tilde{S})$ with H runs over closed subgroups of G with \tilde{S} a generalized Cartan subgroup.*

We call $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ the *enveloping quasi root system* associated to the quasi torus \tilde{S} in G . The following Lemma 1.31 is analogous to [21, Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4].

Lemma 1.31. *We have $W_{\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}} \subset \Gamma^\circ$, and $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ equals the union of quasi root systems $R(H, \tilde{S})$ with H runs over closed subgroups of G with \tilde{S} a generalized Cartan subgroup.*

Proof. Write X for the union of quasi root systems $R(H, \tilde{S})$ with H runs over closed subgroups of G with \tilde{S} a generalized Cartan subgroup. Then, $X \subset \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$. Clearly, X is Γ° stable and $s_\alpha \in \Gamma^\circ$ for any $\alpha \in X$. Thus, $s_\alpha(\beta) \in X$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in X$. Hence, X satisfies the condition (QRS2) in Definition 1.4. The condition (QRS1) is trivially satisfied. Thus, X is a quasi root system on \tilde{S} . Then, $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}} = X$ by the minimality of $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$. Thus,

$$W_{\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}} = W_X \subset \Gamma^\circ.$$

□

Definition 1.17. *Let $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ be the set of roots $\alpha \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ such that $\alpha \neq 2\beta$ for any other root $\beta \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$.*

By definition, $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ is a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} .

Proposition 1.2. *Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s be a set of closed subgroups of G and $c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathbb{C}$ be constants. In order that*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_i \mathcal{D}_{H_i} = 0$$

it is necessary and sufficient that: for any closed connected subset S in G , we have

$$(5) \quad \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} c_{i_j} m_{i_j}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq t_j} F_{\Phi_{i_j, k}, \Gamma^\circ}(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in S$$

where H_{i_j} ($i_1 < \dots < i_t$) runs over all members among H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s with a maximal commutative connected subset conjugate to S , t_j is the number of connected components of H_{i_j} with a maximal commutative connected subset conjugate to S , $m_{i_j} = |H_{i_j}/H_{i_j}^0|$, $\Gamma^\circ = N_G(S)/Z_G(S)$, and $\Phi_{i_j, k}$ is the quasi root system of H_{i_j} with respect to a generalized Cartan subgroup of it which is generated by a maximal commutative connected subset conjugate to S .

Proof. Based on Lemma 1.14, this proposition can be shown in the same way as [21, Proposition 3.8]. \square

Remark 1.4. *In (5), we identify S with a maximal commutative connected subset of H_{i_j} conjugate to it and regard $\Phi_{i_j, k}$ as a quasi root system on \tilde{S} . Note that, these t_j connected components of H_{i_j} might be non-conjugate in H_{i_j} . Hence, it is certain that the quasi root systems $\Phi_{i_j, k}$ are isomorphic for a fixed index j .*

1.6. Actual leading weight. Let \tilde{S} be quasi torus and S be a connected component of \tilde{S} generating it.

Lemma 1.32. *Let Φ be a reduced quasi root system on \tilde{S} with the folding index of each root being equal to 1 or 2. Fix a positive system $\bar{\Phi}^+$ of Φ . Then, there exists a unique $\bar{\Phi}^+$ -dominant weight $\bar{\mu}_0 \in 2\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ such that there exists a linear character $\mu_0 \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ with $\mu_0|_{\tilde{S}_0} = \bar{\mu}_0$ appearing in A_Φ , and for any other linear character μ appearing in A_Φ and with $\mu|_{\tilde{S}_0} \in 2\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ we have $|\mu|_{\tilde{S}_0}| \leq |\bar{\mu}_0|$.*

Proof. Choose a pinned element $s \in S$ of Φ and put

$$\Phi' = \{\alpha \in \Phi : \alpha(s) = 1\}.$$

Then, Φ' and $\{m_\alpha \alpha : \alpha \in \Phi'\}$ are both abstract root systems and $\Phi' \cong \bar{\Phi}$. Put $\Phi'^+ = \{\alpha \in \Phi' : \alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0} \in \bar{\Phi}^+\}$ and

$$\delta_\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi'^+} m_\alpha \alpha.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_\Phi \\
&= \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi'}} \epsilon(w) [\delta_\Phi - w\delta_\Phi] \\
&= \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi'}} \epsilon(ww_l) [\delta_\Phi - ww_l\delta_\Phi] \\
&= (-1)^{|\Phi'^+|} \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi'}} \epsilon(w) [\delta_\Phi + w\delta_\Phi],
\end{aligned}$$

where $w_l \in W_{\Phi'}$ is the unique element such that $w_l\Phi'^+ = -\Phi'^+$. Note that

$$|\delta_\Phi + w\delta_\Phi|^2 = 2|\delta_\Phi|^2 - |\delta_\Phi - w\delta_\Phi|^2.$$

It suffices to find an element $w_0 \in W_{\Phi'}$ such that: (1) $\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi \in 2\mathbb{Z}\Phi'$; (2) for any $w_0 \neq w \in W_{\Phi'}$ with $\delta_\Phi + w\delta_\Phi \in 2\mathbb{Z}\Phi'$, we have

$$|\delta_\Phi - w\delta_\Phi| > |\delta_\Phi - w_0\delta_\Phi|.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\Phi' \cong \bar{\Phi}$ is irreducible. Let's describe w_0 and $\mu_0 = \delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi$ case by case.

- (i) When all $m_\alpha = 2$, we have $\delta_\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi'$. Then, $w_0 = 1$.
- (ii) When $\Phi \cong B_n^{(2,1)}$, $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$, E_6 , E_8 , F_4 , $F_4^{(2,1)}$ or G_2 , we have $\delta_\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi'$. Then, $w_0 = 1$.
- (iii) When $\Phi = A_{n-1}$, we have $\delta_\Phi = (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-n}{2})$. When n is odd, we have $\delta_\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi'$ and $w_0 = 1$. When n is even, we have

$$w_0 = (12)(34) \cdots ((n-1)n)$$

and

$$\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi = (n-2, n-2, n-6, n-6, \dots, 2-n, 2-n).$$

- (iv) When $\Phi = B_n$, we have $\delta_\Phi = (n - \frac{1}{2}, n - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, we have

$$w_0 = \begin{cases} (12)(34) \cdots ((n-1)n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (12)(34) \cdots ((n-2)(n-1))s_{e_n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi = \begin{cases} (2n-2, 2n-2, 2n-6, 2n-6, \dots, 2, 2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (2n-2, 2n-2, 2n-6, 2n-6, \dots, 4, 4, 0) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

- (v) When $\Phi = C_n$, we have $\delta_\Phi = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$. When $n(n+1)$ is a multiple of 4, we have $\delta_\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi'$ and $w_0 = 1$. When $n(n+1)$ is not a multiple of 4, we have $w_0 = s_{e_n}$ and

$$\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi = (2n, 2n-2, \dots, 6, 4, 0).$$

- (vi) When $\Phi = C_n^{(2,1)}$, we have $\delta_\Phi = (2n-1, 2n-3, \dots, 1)$. When n is even, we have $\delta_\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi'$ and $w_0 = 1$. When n is odd, we have $w_0 = s_{e_n}$ and

$$\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi = (4n-2, 4n-6, \dots, 10, 6, 0).$$

- (vii) When $\Phi = D_n$, we have $\delta_\Phi = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 0)$. When $n(n-1)$ is a multiple of 4, we have $\delta_\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi'$ and $w_0 = 1$. When $n(n-1)$ is not a multiple of 4, we have $w_0 = s_{e_{n-1}}s_{e_n}$ and

$$\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi = (2n-2, 2n-4, \dots, 6, 4, 0, 0).$$

- (viii) When $\Phi = E_7$, we have

$$2\delta_\Phi \equiv \alpha_2 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_7 \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}\Phi'}.$$

We have $w_0 = s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5}s_{\alpha_7}$ and $\delta_\Phi + w_0\delta_\Phi = 2\delta_\Phi - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_7)$.

□

By the proof Lemma 1.32, the orbit $W_{\bar{\Phi}}\bar{\mu}_0$ is uniquely determined. Write $\bar{\mu}_\Phi$ for the unique $\bar{\Phi}^+$ -dominant weight in the orbit $W_{\bar{\Phi}}\bar{\mu}_0$ and we call $2\bar{\mu}_\Phi$ the *actual leading weight of A_Φ* . In the proof of Lemma 1.32, an explicit formula for the actual leading weight $\bar{\mu}_\Phi$ of each irreducible quasi root system Φ with total folding index being equal to 1 or 2 is given.

1.7. Illustration in the BC_n case. Like the studies for characters associated to reduced sub-root systems in a given root system taken in [21], we could make a thorough study for equalities and linear relations among characters associated to reduced quasi sub-root systems in a given quasi root system Ψ . Besides the obvious complication due to folding indices and fractional factor, another complication is the averaging operation by a group W . For example, if W contains $\text{Hom}(\tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0, \tilde{S}^0)$, then $\chi_{\mu, W_\Phi}^* \neq 0$ only when $\frac{1}{m}(\mu|_{\tilde{S}^0}) \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Below we consider the case that

$$\dim \tilde{S} = n, \quad \Psi_{\tilde{S}^0} = BC_n \quad \text{and} \quad W \supset \text{Hom}(\tilde{S}/\tilde{S}^0, \tilde{S}^0).$$

Fix $m \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, let $\tilde{S}_n = \text{U}(1)^n \times \langle s_0 \rangle$, where s_0 has order m . Then $\tilde{S}_n^0 = \text{U}(1)^n$. Write (x_1, \dots, x_n, s_0^j) ($x_i \in \text{U}(1)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$) for a general element in \tilde{S}_n . Define $e_1, \dots, e_n, \beta_0 \in X^*(\tilde{S}_n)$ by

$$e_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, s_0^j) = x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

and

$$\beta_0(x_1, \dots, x_n, s_0^j) = e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}}.$$

We define an inner product on $X^*(\tilde{S}_n^0)$ by taking $\{\bar{e}_i = e_i|_{\tilde{S}_n^0} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{is}_0^* . Thus,

$$\Psi_{\tilde{S}_n^0} = \{\pm\bar{e}_i \pm \bar{e}_j, \pm\bar{e}_k, \pm 2\bar{e}_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

and it is a root system of type BC_n . Write \mathbb{Z}^n for the character group of \tilde{S}_n^0 , W_n for the Weyl group of $\Psi_{\tilde{S}_n^0}$, $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$, and $Y_n = \mathbb{Z}_n^{W_n}$.

Put $S_n = s_0\tilde{S}_n$, which is a connected component of \tilde{S}_n . We may view W_n as a subgroup of $\text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(\tilde{S}_n)}$ by $W_n = \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(\tilde{S}_n)}(s_0)$. Write $Y_{n,m}$ for the algebra of the restriction to S_n of W_n invariant rational coefficient characters on \tilde{S}_n . It is clear that $Y_{n,m}$ possesses a set of generators $\chi_{a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + k\beta_0, W_n}^*$, where a_1, \dots, a_n are integers with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$. Then, the following lemma is clear.

Lemma 1.33. *By mapping $\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + k \beta_0, W_n}$ to $e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{m}} x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_n}$, we get an algebra injection*

$$E : \lim_{\longrightarrow_n} Y_{n,m} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots].$$

For each positive integer k , we define homomorphisms

$$\text{Fold}_{[k]}, \text{Ave}_k, \text{Ave}'_k : \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots]$$

by

$$\text{Fold}_k(x_j) = x_{jk}, \quad \text{Ave}_k(x_j) = \epsilon_{k,j} x_j, \quad \text{Ave}'_k(x_j) = \epsilon'_{k,j} x_j, \quad \forall j \geq 0,$$

where

$$\epsilon_{k,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k|j \\ 0 & \text{if } k \nmid j \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon'_{k,j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{j}{k}} & \text{if } k|j \\ 0 & \text{if } k \nmid j \end{cases}.$$

We call

$$\text{Fold}_k, \text{Ave}_k, \text{Ave}'_k$$

the k -th folding operation, the k -th averaging operation, the k -th twisted averaging operation respectively. The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 1.34. *For positive integers k and k' with $k|k'$, we have*

$$\text{Ave}_{k'} \circ \text{Fold}_k = \text{Fold}_k \circ \text{Ave}_{\frac{k'}{k}}$$

and

$$\text{Ave}'_{k'} \circ \text{Fold}_k = \text{Fold}_k \circ \text{Ave}'_{\frac{k'}{k}}.$$

Put

$$\Gamma_n = \text{Hom}(\tilde{S}_n / \tilde{S}_n^0, \tilde{S}_n^0) \rtimes W_n.$$

Then, the algebra of Γ_n invariant characters on S_n is a subalgebra of $Y_{n,m}$. For any reduced quasi sub-root system Φ of $\Psi_{\tilde{S}_n}$, the character F_{Φ, Γ_n} is a Γ_n invariant character on S_n .

We show below how to get the polynomial $E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n})$ from the total folding index, the twisted number and the fractional factor of a quasi root system Φ on \tilde{S}_n . First, if there is a partition $\{1, \dots, n\} = I_1 \sqcup I_2$ such that $\Phi = \Phi_1 \sqcup \Phi_2$, then

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = E(F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma_n}) E(F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma_n}),$$

where Φ_j consists of roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0}$ is a linear combination of \bar{e}_i ($i \in I_j$). We call a quasi root system Φ on \tilde{S}_n *in-decomposable* if there exists no proper partition $\{1, \dots, n\} = I_1 \sqcup I_2$ such that $\Phi_1 \cap \emptyset$ and $\Phi_2 \neq \emptyset$. Thus, it suffices to calculate $E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n})$ for in-decomposable reduced quasi sub-root systems Φ on \tilde{S}_n .

Definition 1.18. *We say that an in-decomposable quasi root systems Φ on \tilde{S}_n has an even fractional factor if Φ is Γ_n conjugate to a quasi root system which has a simple system contained in*

$$\{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_k, \pm 2e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\};$$

otherwise we say that Φ has an odd fractional factor.

Now assume that Φ is in-decomposable. Let d be the ordinary folding index of Φ and e be the twisted number. Choose a pinned element $s \in S_n$ of Φ , and let $\{\alpha_i = \alpha'_i + k_i \alpha_0 : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ be a simple system of Φ_s , where each $\alpha'_i \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and each $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the following lemma, we describe $E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n})$ using the averaging and twisted averaging operations. Recall that the polynomials a_k, b_k, b'_k, c_k, d_k are defined in [21].

Lemma 1.35. (i) *If $\bar{\Phi} = A_{k-1}$, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_d(a_k)).$$

(ii) (1) *If $\bar{\Phi} = B_k$ and $e = 1$, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_d(b_k)).$$

(2) *If $\bar{\Phi} = B_k$ and $e = 2$, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_d(c_k)).$$

(iii) (1) *If $\bar{\Phi} = C_k$, $e = 1$ and Φ has even fractional factor, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_d(c_k)).$$

(2) *If $\bar{\Phi} = C_k$, $e = 1$ and Φ has odd fractional factor, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}'_m(\text{Fold}_d(c_k)).$$

(3) *If $\bar{\Phi} = C_k$, $e = 2$ and Φ has even fractional factor, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_{2d}(b_k)).$$

(4) *If $\bar{\Phi} = C_k$, $e = 2$ and Φ has odd fractional factor, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}'_m(\text{Fold}_{2d}(b_k)).$$

(iv) (1) *If $\bar{\Phi} = D_k$ and Φ has even fractional factor, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_d(d_k)).$$

(2) *If $\bar{\Phi} = D_k$ and Φ has odd fractional factor, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}'_m(\text{Fold}_d(d_k)).$$

(v) *If $\bar{\Phi} = BC_k$, then*

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_{2d}(c_k)).$$

Proof. We prove (iv). The proofs for (i), (ii), (iii), (v) are similar.

(iv) Assume that $\bar{\Phi} = D_k$ ($k \geq 2$). Replacing Φ by a Γ_n conjugate one we may assume that $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$) and $\alpha_n = e_{n-1} + e_n + k\beta_0$ where

$$k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $e = 1$ due to $\bar{\Phi}$ is simply-laced. We have

$$\delta_{\Phi} = \frac{d}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_s^+} \alpha$$

and

$$A_\Phi = \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi_s}} \epsilon(w) [\delta_\Phi - w\delta_\Phi].$$

For each $w \in W_{\Phi_s}$, the weight $\delta_\Phi - w\delta_\Phi$ is of the form

$$a_1 e_1 + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-2} (a_j - a_{j-1}) e_j + (a_n + a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) e_{n-1} + (a_n - a_{n-1}) e_n + a_n k \alpha_0.$$

For the term $[\delta_\Phi - w\delta_\Phi]$ to survive under the averaging by $\text{Hom}(\tilde{S}_n/\tilde{S}_n^0, \tilde{S}_n^0)$ it is necessary and sufficient that

$$a_1, a_2 - a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} - a_{n-3}, a_n + a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}, a_n - a_{n-1}$$

are all multiples of m . Then,

$$|a_1| + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq k-2} |a_j - a_{j-1}| + |a_n + a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}| + |a_n - a_{n-1}| \equiv 2a_n \pmod{2m}.$$

By these it follows that: if $k = 0$ (i.e., Φ has even fractional factor), then

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}_m(\text{Fold}_d(d_k));$$

if $k = 1$ and m is even (i.e., Φ has odd fractional factor), then

$$E(F_{\Phi, \Gamma_n}) = \text{Ave}'_m(\text{Fold}_d(d_k)).$$

□

The following Lemma 1.36 gives precise description of the m -averaging operation on polynomials a_k, b_k, b'_k, c_k, d_k . The proof is by direct application of matrix expressions of these polynomials ([21, Proposition 7.2]). We omit the proof. The description for twisted m -averaging operation on a_k, b_k, b'_k, c_k, d_k is similar.

Lemma 1.36. *Let p, r be non-negative integers and $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$. Then,*

- (1) $\text{Ave}_m(a_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_p)^{m-r}$.
- (2) *When m is even and $0 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$,*

$$\text{Ave}_m(b_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p})^{\frac{m}{2}-r}.$$

- (3) *when m is even and $\frac{m}{2} \leq r < m$,*

$$\text{Ave}_m(b_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+2})^{r-\frac{m}{2}} \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^{m-r}.$$

- (4) *when m is odd and $0 \leq r < \frac{m+1}{2}$,*

$$\text{Ave}_m(b_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p})^{\frac{m-1}{2}-r} \text{Fold}_m(b_p).$$

- (5) *when m is odd and $\frac{m+1}{2} \leq r < m$,*

$$\text{Ave}_m(b_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+2})^{r-\frac{m+1}{2}} \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^{m-r} \text{Fold}_m(b_{p+1}).$$

- (6) *when m is even and $0 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$,*

$$\text{Ave}_m(c_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p})^{\frac{m-2}{2}-r} \text{Fold}_m(b_p) \text{Fold}_m(c_p).$$

(7) when m is even and $\frac{m}{2} \leq r < m$,

$$\text{Ave}_m(c_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+2})^{r-\frac{m}{2}} \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^{m-1-r} \text{Fold}_m(b_{p+1}) \text{Fold}_m(c_p).$$

(8) when m is odd and $0 \leq r \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$,

$$\text{Ave}_m(c_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p})^{\frac{m-1}{2}-r} \text{Fold}_m(c_p).$$

(9) when m is odd and $\frac{m+1}{2} \leq r < m$,

$$\text{Ave}_m(c_{mp+r}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+2})^{r-\frac{m-1}{2}} \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^{m-1-r} \text{Fold}_m(c_p).$$

(10) when m is even and $0 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$,

$$\text{Ave}_m(d_{mp+r+1}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p})^{\frac{m-2}{2}-r} \text{Fold}_m(d_{p+1}) \text{Fold}_m(\tilde{b}_p).$$

(11) when m is even and $\frac{m}{2} \leq r < m$, $\text{Ave}_m(d_{mp+r+1})$ is equal to

$$\text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+2})^{r-\frac{m}{2}} \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^{m-1-r} \text{Fold}_m(d_{p+1}) \text{Fold}_m(\tilde{b}_{p+1}).$$

(12) when m is odd and $0 \leq r \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$,

$$\text{Ave}_m(d_{mp+r+1}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^r \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p})^{\frac{m-1}{2}-r} \text{Fold}_m(d_{p+1}).$$

(13) when m is odd and $\frac{m+1}{2} \leq r < m$,

$$\text{Ave}_m(d_{mp+r+1}) = \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+2})^{r-\frac{m-1}{2}} \text{Fold}_m(a_{2p+1})^{m-1-r} \text{Fold}_m(d_{p+1}).$$

2. PINNED AUTOMORPHISM AND TWINING CHARACTER FORMULA

Definition 2.1. We call a compact Lie group G quasi-connected if its component group G/G^0 is a cyclic group.

Definition 2.2. Assume that G is a quasi-connected compact Lie group. We call a generalized Cartan subgroup \tilde{S} of G meeting with all connected components of G a quasi Cartan subgroup.

Definition 2.3. Let \tilde{S} be a quasi Cartan subgroup in a quasi-connected compact Lie group G . Choose a connected component S generating \tilde{S} . For an irreducible finite-dimensional complex linear representation ρ of G ,

- (i) we call $\chi_\rho|_S$ the twisted character of ρ on S ;
- (ii) and we call $\chi_\rho|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ the restriction character of ρ on \tilde{S}^0 .

The twining character formula expresses the *twisted character* in terms of the character of an irreducible representation of a connected compact Lie group of smaller dimension called the *twining group*. The twining character formula is first found by Jantzen ([7]) and there are many different proofs in the literature, e.g., [20] and [9]. In this section we give a proof of the twining character formula based on the Weyl orthogonality relation. The accounting and some ideas of the proof are learned from [9] and [20]. Moreover, we generalize the twining character formula to any quasi-connected compact Lie group.

Let G be a connected and simply-connected compact Lie group, and T be a maximal torus of G . Choose a positive system $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, T)$ of the root system $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, T)$, and let \mathfrak{b} be the corresponding Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$

be the corresponding simple system. Choose a root vector X_{α_i} for each simple root α_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$). We call the triple $\mathcal{E} = (T, \mathfrak{b}, \{X_{\alpha_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq l})$ a *pinning* of G . An automorphism θ of G which normalizes T and \mathfrak{b} , and permutes $\{X_{\alpha_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ is called a *pinned automorphism* of G with respect to the pinning \mathcal{E} . Write $\text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{E})$ for the group of pinned automorphisms of G with respect to the pinning \mathcal{E} . Then $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Int}(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{E})$. Moreover, in each connected component of $\text{Aut}(G)$ the set of pinned automorphisms consists in a single $\text{Int}(G)$ conjugacy class.

Let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{E})$. Then, the action of θ on $\{X_{\alpha_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ induces a permutation on the index set $I = \{1, \dots, l\}$, still denoted by θ . There is a unique direct product decomposition $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_s$ such that θ normalizes each G_j and θ acts transitively on simple factors of \mathfrak{g}_j ($1 \leq j \leq s$). Write

$$Y = \text{Hom}(\text{U}(1), T)$$

for the cocharacter group of T , and write

$$X = \text{Hom}(T, \text{U}(1))$$

for the character group of T . There is a perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : Y \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

For each simple root α_i , let $\check{\alpha}_i \in X$ be the corresponding coroot. The following fact is well-known.

Fact 2.1. *The tuple $(Y, X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \{\check{\alpha}_i\}, \{\alpha_i\})$ is a root datum.*

Put

$$Y_\theta = Y/(\theta - 1)Y$$

and

$${}^\theta X = \{\lambda \in X : \theta(\lambda) = \lambda\}.$$

Still write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the induced perfect pairing

$$Y_\theta \times {}^\theta X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Write I_θ for the set of θ -orbits in I . For each orbit \mathcal{O} , let $\check{\alpha}_\mathcal{O} \in Y_\theta$ be the image of $\check{\alpha}_i$ ($i \in \mathcal{O}$) under $Y \rightarrow Y_\theta$. Let $\alpha_\mathcal{O} = 2^h \sum_{i \in \mathcal{O}} \alpha_i \in {}^\theta X$, where $h = 1$ if there exists $i, i' \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\alpha_i + \alpha_{i'}$ is a root, and $h = 0$ otherwise. Note that $h = 1$ except when \mathcal{O} is contained in a union of irreducible root systems of type A_{2n} and α_i ($i \in \mathcal{O}$) is placed at the n -th or $(n + 1)$ -th position of a Dynkin diagram of type A_{2n} . Then,

Fact 2.2. *the tuple $(Y_\theta, {}^\theta X, \{\alpha_\mathcal{O}\}, \{\check{\alpha}_\mathcal{O}\})$ is a root datum.*

Let G_θ be a connected compact semisimple Lie group associated to the root datum $(Y_\theta, {}^\theta X, \{\alpha_\mathcal{O}\}, \{\check{\alpha}_\mathcal{O}\})$. Note that G_θ is simply-connected, and $T_\theta := T/\{\theta(t)t^{-1} : t \in T\}$ is a maximal torus of G_θ . Let X^+ be the set of dominant characters in X , and ${}^\theta X^+$ be the set of dominant characters in ${}^\theta X$. It is clear that ${}^\theta X^+ = {}^\theta X \cap X^+$. For any $\lambda \in X^+$ (resp. $\lambda \in {}^\theta X^+$), write V_λ (resp. V_λ^θ) for an irreducible representation of G (resp. of G_θ) with highest weight λ , and write $0 \neq v_\lambda$ for a vector in V_λ with weight λ . When $\lambda \in {}^\theta X^+$, V_λ extends to

a representation of $G \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ uniquely determined by $\theta v_\lambda = v_\lambda$. Let $\varpi : T \rightarrow T_\theta$ be the canonical projection.

Theorem 7 (Twining character formula). *For any $t \in T$, we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}(t\theta : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}(\varpi(t) : V'_\lambda \rightarrow V'_\lambda).$$

Lemma 2.1. *The map $t\theta \mapsto \varpi(t)$ gives a bijection between the set of G -conjugacy in $G\theta$ and the set of conjugacy classes in G_θ .*

Proof. Write $\tilde{G} = G \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ and $S = T^\theta$. By Lemma 1.16, two elements in S are in a G conjugacy class if and only if they are in the same $W(G, S)$ orbit. By Lemma 1.24, there is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0 \rightarrow W(G, S) \rightarrow W_{R(G, T^\theta)} \rightarrow 1.$$

For two elements $t, t' \in T^\theta$, $\varpi(t)$ and $\varpi(t')$ are in the same G_θ conjugacy class if and only if $t'(wt)^{-1} \in (1 - \theta)T \cap T^\theta$ for some $w \in W(G_\theta, T_\theta) = W_{R(G, T^\theta)}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0 \cong (1 - \theta)T \cap T^\theta.$$

The map

$$N_T(S)/\tilde{S}^0 \longrightarrow (1 - \theta)T \cap T^\theta, \quad t \mapsto t\theta t^{-1}\theta^{-1} = t\theta(t)^{-1}$$

gives this isomorphism. \square

Proof of Theorem 7. For any $\lambda \in^\theta X^+$, let σ_λ denote the representation of $G \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ which is an extension of V_λ by defining $\theta v_\lambda = v_\lambda$. Let χ_0 be a unitary character of $G \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ defined by $\chi_0|_G = 1$ and $\chi_0(\theta) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$. It is easy to show the following: let σ be an irreducible complex linear representation of $G \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ such that $V_\mu \subset \sigma|_G$. If $\theta\mu \neq \mu$, then $\chi_\sigma|_{G\theta} = 0$; if $\theta\mu = \mu$, then $\sigma \cong \sigma_\mu \otimes \chi_0^k$ for some k ($0 \leq k \leq m - 1$). Applying the orthogonality relation to $\sigma_\lambda \otimes \chi_0^k$ ($0 \leq k \leq m - 1$), we see that

$$\int_{G\theta} |\chi_{\sigma_\lambda}(x)|^2 dx = 1,$$

where dx means a G -biinvariant metric on $G\theta$ with volume 1. Consider $\chi_\lambda(t\theta) := \chi_{\sigma_\lambda}(t\theta)$ and $\chi'_\lambda(t\theta) := \mathrm{tr}(\varpi(t) : V'_\lambda \rightarrow V'_\lambda)$ ($t \in T^\theta$) for $\lambda \in^\theta X^+$. By Lemma 2.1, $\chi'_\lambda(t\theta)$ is also $W(G, S)$ invariant. Note that the leading terms of χ'_λ and χ_λ are both equal to $[\lambda]$. Hence, $\chi'_\lambda(t\theta)$ admits an expansion

$$\chi'_\lambda = \chi_\lambda + \sum_{|\mu| < |\lambda|} a_\mu \chi_\mu.$$

Since both of χ_λ and χ'_λ satisfies the orthogonality relation, we must have $\chi'_\lambda = \chi_\lambda$. This is just the twining character formula. \square

Now assume that G is a quasi-connected compact Lie group. Choose an element g_0 generating G/G^0 and such that $\mathrm{Ad}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{der}}}$ is a pinned automorphism. Write $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Choose a quasi Cartan subgroup \tilde{S} containing g_0 and write $S = g_0\tilde{S}^0$. Since θ is a pinned automorphism, it stabilizes a positive

system $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, T)$ of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, T)$ where $T = Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0)$ is a maximal torus of G^0 by Lemma 1.15. Then, θ acts on the set of dominant integral weights with respect to $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, T)$, denoted by Λ_T^+ . A restricted root $\bar{\alpha} \in R(G, \tilde{S}^0)$ is defined to be positive if $(\lambda|_{\tilde{S}^0}, \bar{\alpha}) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in (\Lambda_T^+)^{\theta}$. Let $R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)$ be the set of positive restricted roots. Write $m = |G/G^0|$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let σ be an irreducible complex linear representation of G , and λ be the highest weight of some irreducible subrepresentation of $\sigma|_{G^0}$. If $\theta \cdot \lambda \neq \lambda$, then $\chi_{\sigma}|_{g_0 G^0} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $k = \min\{t \geq 1 : \theta^t \lambda = \lambda\}$. Then $1 < k \leq m$ and $k|m$. Put

$$G' = \langle G^0, g_0^k \rangle.$$

By assumption, σ is isomorphic to an irreducible sub-representation of $\text{Ind}_{G^0}^G(V_{\lambda})$. By induction in stages, we have $\text{Ind}_{G^0}^G(\sigma_{\lambda}) = \text{Ind}_{G'}^G(\text{Ind}_{G^0}^{G'}(\sigma_{\lambda}))$. Since $\theta^k \lambda = \lambda$, we have $(g_0^k)^* V_{\lambda} \cong V_{\lambda}$. Thus, V_{λ} extends to an irreducible representation of G' . Let σ' be such an extension. Then,

$$\text{Ind}_{G^0}^{G'}(\sigma_{\lambda}) \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{k}-1} \sigma' \otimes \chi_0^j,$$

where χ_0 is a unitary character of G defined by

$$\chi_0|_{G^0} = 1 \text{ and } \chi_0(g_0) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\sigma \subset \text{Ind}_{G'}^G(\sigma')$. By the fact $\sigma'|_{G^0} \cong \sigma_{\lambda}$, one shows that $\text{Ind}_{G'}^G(\sigma')$ is irreducible. Then, $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{G'}^G(\sigma')$. Thus, $\chi_{\sigma}|_{g_0 G^0} = 0$. \square

By the assumption on g_0 , we have

$$z_0 := g_0^m \in Z(G).$$

Let $\lambda \in (\Lambda_T^+)^{\theta}$. Choose $\eta_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $|\eta_{\lambda}| = 1$ and z_0 acts by η_{λ}^m on V_{λ} . Define σ_{λ} to be the unique extension of V_{λ} to G determined by $g_0 \cdot v_{\lambda} = \eta_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}$. Then, all other extensions of V_{λ} to G are of the form $\sigma_{\lambda} \otimes \chi_0^j$ ($0 \leq j \leq m-1$). Define $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ by $\mu(xg_0^j) = \lambda(x)$ ($\forall x \in \tilde{S}^0$). Define

$$R^+(G, \tilde{S}) = \{\alpha \in R(G, \tilde{S}) : \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in R^+(G, \tilde{S}^0)\}.$$

Put

$$\delta_{g_0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+(G, \tilde{S})} \alpha.$$

Write $R = R(G, \tilde{S})$ for simplicity. The following lemma follows from Theorem 7 and the Weyl character formula.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\lambda \in (\Lambda_T^+)^{\theta}$. Then $\chi'_{\lambda} := \chi_{\sigma_{\lambda}}|_S$ satisfies*

$$(6) \quad \chi'_{\lambda} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+(G, \tilde{S})} (1 - [-\alpha]) = \eta_{\lambda} \sum_{w \in W_{R_{g_0}}} \epsilon(w) [w\mu + w\delta_{g_0} - \delta_{g_0}].$$

If σ is an irreducible complex linear representation of G such that λ is the highest weight of an irreducible factor of $\sigma|_{G^0}$, then $\chi_\sigma|_S = e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}} \chi'_\lambda$ for some j ($0 \leq j \leq m-1$).

$$A_{\lambda,R} = \eta_\lambda \sum_{w \in W_{R_{g_0}}} \epsilon(w)[\mu + \delta_{g_0} - w\delta_{g_0}].$$

Lemma 2.4. *We have*

$$(7) \quad \chi_{\sigma_\lambda}(x)D_G(x) = \frac{1}{|W_{R_{g_0}}|} \sum_{w \in W_{R_{g_0}}} w \cdot A_{\lambda,R}, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, it reduces to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in W_{R_{g_0}}} \epsilon(\tau)[\tau\mu + \tau\delta_{g_0}] \sum_{w \in W_{R_{g_0}}} \epsilon(w)[-w\delta_{g_0}] \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in W_{R_{g_0}}} \tau \cdot \sum_{w \in W_{R_{g_0}}} \epsilon(w)[\mu + \delta_{g_0} - w\delta_{g_0}]. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from the same calculation as that in [21, Prop. 3.7]. \square

3. RESTRICTION CHARACTER

Let G be a fixed quasi-connected compact Lie group. Choose a quasi Cartan subgroup \tilde{S} of G . Put

$$T = Z_{G^0}(\tilde{S}^0).$$

Then, T is a maximal torus of G^0 by Lemma 1.15. Choose a positive system $\bar{R}^+(G, \tilde{S})$ of the restricted root system $\bar{R}(G, \tilde{S})$. Define a root $\beta \in R(G, T)$ to be a positive root if $\beta|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in \bar{R}^+(G, \tilde{S})$. Let $R^+(G, T)$ be the set of positive roots. Then, it is a positive system of $R(G, T)$. For simplicity, write

$$\Phi = R(G, \tilde{S}) \text{ and } \Phi' = R(G, T).$$

There exists an element $g \in \tilde{S}$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}}$ is a pinned automorphism with respect to some pinning

$$\mathcal{E} = (T, \mathfrak{b}, \{X_{\alpha_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq l})$$

where $\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ is the corresponding simple system of $R^+(G, T)$. Put

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(g).$$

Then, Φ is the quasi root system obtained from the action of θ on Φ' .

Definition 3.1.

(i) *Write*

$$\chi_{\Phi'}(\lambda) = \chi_{V_\lambda}|_T$$

for the character of an irreducible representation of G^0 with highest weight λ .

(ii) Write

$$\chi_{\Phi}(\lambda) = \chi_{\Phi'}(\lambda)|_{\tilde{S}^0}$$

and call it an irreducible restriction character associated to the quasi root system Φ and the highest weight λ .

3.1. The Levi reduction method.

- Definition 3.2.** (i) For a weight $\mu' \in X^*(T)$, write $m_{\Phi',\lambda}(\mu')$ for the coefficient of the linear character $[\mu']$ appearing in the irreducible character $\chi_{\Phi'}(\lambda)$.
- (ii) For a weight $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$, write $m_{\Phi,\lambda}(\mu)$ for the coefficient of the linear character $[\mu]$ appearing in the irreducible restriction character $\chi_{\Phi}(\lambda)$.

Put

$$m_{\Phi'}(\lambda, \mu') = m_{\Phi',\lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$$

and

$$m_{\Phi}(\lambda, \mu) = m_{\Phi,\lambda}(\lambda - \mu).$$

Let $\{\bar{\alpha}_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ be a simple system of $\bar{\Phi}$ corresponding to the positive system $\bar{\Phi}^+$ and let $\{\bar{\omega}_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ be the corresponding set of fundamental weights.

Definition 3.3. Write $\mu = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} b_i \bar{\alpha}_i$ for the expression of a weight $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ into a linear combination of simple roots of $\bar{\Phi}$ where all $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

- (i) Let $\text{supp } \mu$ be the set of simple roots $\bar{\alpha}_i$ such that $b_i > 0$.
- (ii) Let Φ_{μ} be the quasi sub-root system of Φ consisting of roots $\bar{\alpha} \in \Phi$ such that $\bar{\alpha}|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ is a linear combination of simple roots in $\text{supp } \mu$.
- (ii) Let Φ'_{μ} be the sub-root system of Φ' consisting of roots $\alpha \in \Phi'$ such that $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ is a linear combination of simple roots in $\text{supp } \mu$.

Lemma 3.1. For any weight $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$, we have $m_{\Phi}(\lambda, \mu) = m_{\Phi_{\mu}}(\lambda, \mu)$.

Proof. We have

$$m_{\Phi}(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\mu' \in X^*(T), \mu'|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \mu} m_{\Phi',\lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$$

and

$$m_{\Phi_{\mu}}(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\mu' \in X^*(T), \mu'|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \mu} m_{\Phi'_{\mu},\lambda}(\lambda - \mu').$$

It suffices to show that:

$$m_{\Phi',\lambda}(\lambda - \mu') = m_{\Phi'_{\mu},\lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$$

for any weight $\mu' \in X^*(T)$ such that $\mu'|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \mu$.

Note that

$$(8) \quad \chi_{\Phi'}(\lambda) \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi'^+} \left(\left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \right] - \left[-\frac{\alpha}{2} \right] \right) = \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi'}} [w(\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'})].$$

Recall Kostant's multiplicity formula

$$(9) \quad m_{\Phi', \lambda}(\lambda - \mu') = \sum_{w \in W_{\Phi'}} \mathcal{P}_{\Phi'}(w(\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'}) - (\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'} - \mu'))$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\Phi'}(\cdot)$ is Kostant's partition function associated to the root system Φ' . When $w \notin W_{\Phi'_\mu}$, the expansion of $(\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'}) - w(\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'})$ into a sum of simple roots in Φ'^+ must contain a term $b\alpha$ with $b > 0$ for some simple root $\alpha \notin \Phi'_\mu$. Then, $\mathcal{P}_{\Phi'}(w(\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'}) - (\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'} - \mu')) = 0$. Then, only those terms corresponding to elements $w \in W_{\Phi'_\mu}$ contribute to (9). By Kostant's multiplicity formula for $m_{\Phi', \lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$ and $m_{\Phi'_\mu, \lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$, the sum of the terms corresponding to elements $w \in W_{\Phi'_\mu}$ is equal to $m_{\Phi'_\mu, \lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$. Then, $m_{\Phi', \lambda}(\lambda - \mu') = m_{\Phi'_\mu, \lambda}(\lambda - \mu')$. \square

Definition 3.4. (i) For a subset I of $\{1, \dots, l\}$, let Φ_I be the quasi sub-root system of Φ consisting of roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $\text{supp } \alpha|_{\bar{s}_0} \subset \{\bar{\alpha}_i : i \in I\}$.
(ii) Let $\chi_{\Phi, I}(\lambda)$ be the sum of terms $m_{\Phi}(\lambda, \mu)[\lambda - \mu]$ where $\text{supp } \mu \subset \{\bar{\alpha}_i : i \in I\}$.

Lemma 3.2. We have

$$\chi_{\Phi, I}(\lambda) = \chi_{\Phi_I}(\lambda).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1 directly. \square

Write $\{\beta_i : 1 \leq i \leq l'\}$ for a set of simple roots of Φ' and write $\{\omega_i : 1 \leq i \leq l'\}$ for the corresponding set of fundamental weights. Put $\delta_{\Phi'} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l'} \omega_i$. For each i ($1 \leq i \leq l'$), let $\sqrt{k_i}$ be the ratio of the length of β_i and the length of a short root in the irreducible factor of Φ' containing β_i . For each root $\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l'} b_i(\alpha)\beta_i \in \Phi'$, define a linear function

$$f_{\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_{l'}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l'} b_i(\alpha)k_i t_i.$$

Define

$$f_{\Phi'} = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi'^+} f_{\beta}.$$

Lemma 3.3. For a highest weight $\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l'} a_i \omega_i$ ($a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), we have

$$\dim \chi_{\Phi}(\lambda) = \frac{f_{\Phi'}(a_1 + 1, \dots, a_{l'} + 1)}{f_{\Phi'}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l'})}.$$

Proof. This follows Weyl dimension formula

$$\dim \chi_{\Phi}(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi'^+} \frac{(\lambda + \delta_{\Phi'}, \alpha)}{(\delta_{\Phi'}, \alpha)}.$$

\square

We call the equality in Lemma 3.2 the Levi reduction equality. This method of Levi reduction will be used effectively together with the dimension formula for $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ in Lemma 3.3. One obtains a precise expression for $f_{\Phi'}$ from explicit enumeration of positive roots in each irreducible factor of Φ' ([8, Appendix C.2]). Particularly, when irreducible factors of Φ' are all classical irreducible root systems, it is easy to obtain a polynomial expression of $f_{\Phi'}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Fix Φ' and θ . Assume that the order of θ is equal to 1 or 2 and the resulting quasi root system Φ is irreducible. Then the restriction character $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ determines the weight λ up to the action of θ .*

Proof. If $o(\theta) = 1$, then $\Phi = \Phi'$ and the conclusion is trivial. Assume that $o(\theta) = 2$ below. Then, the pair (Φ', Φ) is isomorphic to one in the following list:

- (i) $(\Phi_0 \sqcup \Phi_0, \Phi_0^{(2)})$ with Φ_0 an irreducible root system;
- (ii) (A_{2l-1}, C_l) , $l \geq 2$;
- (iii) (A_{2l}, BC_l) , $l \geq 1$;
- (iv) $(D_{l+1}, B_l^{(2,1)})$, $l \geq 3$;
- (v) $(E_6, F_4^{(2,1)})$.

When $(\Phi', \Phi) = (A_1^{(2)}, A_1)$, the conclusion follows from the decomposition of the tensor product formula

$$\chi_{A_1}(a\bar{\omega}_1)\chi_{A_1}(b\bar{\omega}_1) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \min\{a,b\}} \chi_{A_1}((a+b-2j)\bar{\omega}_1).$$

When $(\Phi', \Phi) = (\Phi_0 \sqcup \Phi_0, \Phi_0^{(2)})$ with Φ_0 an irreducible root system, define a distance function $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on the index set $\{1, \dots, l\}$ by the length of shortest path connecting corresponding vertices in the Dynkin diagram of Φ_0 . Write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$, where

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} a_i \bar{\omega}_i, \quad \lambda_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} b_i \bar{\omega}_i$$

and

$$\lambda'_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} a'_i \bar{\omega}_i, \quad \lambda'_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} b'_i \bar{\omega}_i.$$

Suppose that

$$(10) \quad \chi_{\Phi_0}(\lambda_1)\chi_{\Phi_0}(\lambda_2) = \chi_{\Phi_0}(\lambda'_1)\chi_{\Phi_0}(\lambda'_2),$$

$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (\lambda'_2, \lambda'_1)$. Reducing to a Levi factor of rank one using Lemma 3.2, we get $\{a_i, b_i\} = \{a'_i, b'_i\}$ for each i from (10). Let I_1 be the set of indices i such that $(a_i - b_i)(a'_i - b'_i) > 0$, and let I_2 be the set of indices i such that $(a_i - b_i)(a'_i - b'_i) < 0$. Due to $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (\lambda'_2, \lambda'_1)$, we have $I_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $I_2 \neq \emptyset$. Choose $i_1 \in I_1$ and $i_2 \in I_2$ such that

$$d(i_1, i_2) = \min_{(i'_1, i'_2) \in I_1 \times I_2} d(i'_1, i'_2).$$

Then, any other index i such that $\bar{\alpha}_i$ lies in the unique shortest path connecting $\bar{\alpha}_{i_1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i_2}$ is contained in neither I_1 nor I_2 . Hence, $a_i = b_i = a'_i = b'_i$. Let I be the set of indices i such that $\bar{\alpha}_i$ lies in the unique shortest path connecting $\bar{\alpha}_{i_1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i_2}$ and let Φ_I be the quasi sub-root system consisting of roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $\text{supp } \bar{\alpha} \subset \{\bar{\alpha}_i, i \in I\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $a_{i_1} > b_{i_1}$ and $a_{i_2} > b_{i_2}$. By the dimension formula in Lemma 3.3 it follows that $\dim \chi_{\Phi_I}(\lambda) < \dim \chi_{\Phi_I}(\lambda')$. Then, by Lemma 3.2 we get $\chi_{\Phi}(\lambda) \neq \chi_{\Phi}(\lambda')$, which is a contradiction.

When $(\Phi', \Phi) = (A_{2l-1}, C_l)$, define a distance function $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on the index set $\{1, \dots, l\}$ by the length of shortest path connecting corresponding vertices in the Dynkin diagram of C_l . Write

$$\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2l-1} a_i \omega_i$$

and

$$\lambda' = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2l-1} b_i \omega_i.$$

Suppose that

$$(11) \quad \chi_{\Phi}(\lambda) = \chi_{\Phi}(\lambda'),$$

$\lambda \neq \lambda'$ and $\lambda \neq \theta \lambda'$. Reducing to a Levi factor of rank one using Lemma 3.2, we get $\{a_i, a_{2l-i}\} = \{b_i, b_{2l-i}\}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq l-1$) from (11). Let I_1 be the set of indices i ($1 \leq i \leq l-1$) such that $(a_i - a_{2l-i})(b_i - b_{2l-i}) > 0$, and let I_2 be the set of indices i ($1 \leq i \leq l-1$) such that $(a_i - a_{2l-i})(b_i - b_{2l-i}) < 0$. Due to $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, we have $I_2 \neq \emptyset$; due to $\lambda \neq \theta \lambda'$, we have $I_1 \neq \emptyset$. The remaining argument is the same as that for $(\Phi_0 \sqcup \Phi_0, \Phi_0^{(2)})$.

The proof for each case of (A_{2l}, BC_l) , $(D_{l+1}, B_l^{(2,1)})$, $(E_6, F_4^{(2,1)})$ is similar to the case of (A_{2l-1}, C_l) . \square

3.2. Extremal weights in an irreducible restriction character. Put

$$d = o(\theta).$$

For any $\alpha \in \Phi$, we could identify the restricted root $\alpha|_{(T^\theta)^0}$ with the vector

$$\frac{1}{d} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq d-1} \theta^k \alpha \in X^*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

and regard $\bar{\Phi}$ as a subset of $X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 3.5. *With the above identification of $\bar{\Phi}$ as a subset of $X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, we have $W_{\bar{\Phi}} = W_{\bar{\Phi}}^\theta$, when both acting on $X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Write

$$\phi : W_{\bar{\Phi}}^\theta \rightarrow \text{GL}(X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})$$

for the action of $W_{\bar{\Phi}}^\theta$ on $X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Put

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi'^+} \alpha.$$

Then, ρ is regular dominant with respect to Φ'^+ . Hence, $\text{Stab}_{W_{\Phi'}}(\rho) = 1$ and

$$\text{Stab}_{W_{\Phi'}^\theta}(\rho) = 1.$$

It is clear that $\rho \in X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Hence, ϕ is an injective map.

For any restricted root $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Phi}^{\text{short}}$, there exists a root $\alpha \in \Phi'$ such that $\alpha|_{(T^\theta)^0} = \bar{\alpha}$ or $2\bar{\alpha}$ and any two roots in the set $\{\theta^j\alpha : 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}$ are either equal or orthogonal. Let k_0 be the least $k \geq 1$ such that $\theta^k\alpha = \alpha$. Then, the roots $\{\theta^j\alpha : 0 \leq j \leq k_0-1\}$ are orthogonal to each other and we have

$$(12) \quad s_{\bar{\alpha}} = \phi\left(\prod_{0 \leq j \leq k_0-1} s_{\theta^j\alpha}\right)$$

while both acting on $X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $s_{\alpha} \in W_{\Phi'}^\theta$. Hence, $W_{\bar{\Phi}} \subset W_{\Phi'}^\theta$. It is clear that the action of $W_{\Phi'}^\theta$ on $X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ stabilizes $\bar{\Phi}$. Let W' be the group of elements $w \in W_{\Phi'}^\theta$ which stabilize $\bar{\Phi}^+$. Then,

$$W_{\Phi'}^\theta = W_{\bar{\Phi}} \rtimes W'.$$

It suffices to show that $W' = 1$. To show this without loss of generality we assume that $\bar{\Phi}$ is irreducible. When $\bar{\Phi}$ is non-simply laced, it follows from the fact that there is no nontrivial automorphism of $\bar{\Phi}$ stabilizing $\bar{\Phi}^+$. When $\bar{\Phi}$ is simply-laced, $\bar{\Phi}$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal union of d copies of $\bar{\Phi}$ and θ acts on it by a permutation on irreducible factors of it. Then, it is clear that $W_{\bar{\Phi}} = W_{\Phi'}^\theta$. \square

For any two vectors $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we define $\lambda > \mu$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$ and

$$\lambda - \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi'^+} a_\alpha \alpha$$

where all coefficients $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For any two vectors $\lambda, \mu \in X^*((T^\theta)^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we define $\lambda > \mu$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$ and

$$\lambda - \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\Phi}^+} a_\alpha \alpha$$

where all coefficients $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The following Lemma 3.6 is trivial.

Lemma 3.6. *If $\lambda > \mu$, then $\lambda|_{(T^\theta)^0} > \mu|_{(T^\theta)^0}$.*

Lemma 3.7. *Let $V_{\Phi', \lambda}$ be an irreducible character of Φ' with highest weight λ . Write $\text{supp } V_{\Phi', \lambda}$ for the set of weights appearing in $V_{\Phi', \lambda}$. Then, longest vectors among $\{\mu|_{(T^\theta)^0} : \mu \in \text{supp } V_{\Phi', \lambda}\}$ are in the set $\{\bar{w}\bar{\mu} : w \in W_{\Phi'}^\theta\}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that: for any weight $\mu \in \text{supp } V_{\Phi', \lambda}$, we have $|\mu|_{(T^\theta)^0}| \leq |\lambda|_{(T^\theta)^0}|$ and the equality holds only when $\mu = w\lambda$ for some $w \in W_{\Phi'}^\theta$.

Write $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda|_{(T^\theta)^0}$ and $\bar{\mu} = \mu|_{(T^\theta)^0}$. By Lemma 3.5, replacing μ by $w^{-1}\mu$ for some $w \in W_{\Phi'}^\theta$, if necessary, we may assume that $\bar{\mu}$ is dominant with respect

to $\bar{\Phi}^+$. Since $\lambda \geq \mu$, we have $\bar{\lambda} \geq \bar{\mu}$ by Lemma 3.6. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}) - (\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}) \\ &= (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}, \bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}) + 2(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $|\bar{\lambda}| \geq |\bar{\mu}|$ and the equality holds only when $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\lambda}$. By Lemma 3.6 again, $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\lambda}$ if and only if $\mu = \lambda$. \square

3.3. Degree 1 irreducible restriction characters. We introduce some notations.

- (1) For an integer $a \geq 0$, write τ_a for an irreducible character of B_1 of dimension $a + 1$. Then,

$$\tau_a = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a} \left[\frac{a}{2} - j \right] = \left[\frac{a}{2} \right] + \left[\frac{a}{2} - 1 \right] + \cdots + \left[-\frac{a}{2} \right].$$

- (2) For integers $a, b \geq 0$, write

$$\tau_{a,b} = \tau_a \cdot \tau_b = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \min\{a,b\}} \tau_{a+b-2j},$$

which is equal to the irreducible restriction character $\chi_{B_1^{(2)}}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right), \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)\right)$.

- (3) For $a, b \geq 0$, write $\tau'_{a,b}$ for the irreducible restriction character $\chi_{BC_1^{(2,1)}}(a, 0, -b)$, where $(a, 0, -b)$ means a highest weight for the root system A_2 . It is clear that $\tau'_{a,b} = \tau'_{b,a}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $a \geq b \geq 0$. Then*

$$(13) \quad \tau'_{a,b} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq a+b} m_k \tau_{2a+2b-2k}$$

and the multiplicities m_k are given as follows.

- (i) When k is even,

$$(14) \quad m_k = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{2} + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{k}{2} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor \\ \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{k}{2} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \\ \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor - \frac{k}{2} + 1 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{k}{2} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases};$$

- (ii) When k is odd,

$$(15) \quad m_k = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2} + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{k-1}{2} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \right\rfloor \\ \left\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{k-1}{2} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \right\rfloor \\ \left\lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \right\rfloor - \frac{k-1}{2} + 1 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{k-1}{2} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \right\rfloor \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$(16) \quad \tau'_{a,0} = \text{Sym}^a \tau_2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor} \tau_{2a-4j}$$

for any $a \geq 0$. When $a \geq b \geq 1$, we have

$$\tau'_{a,b} = \text{Sym}^a \tau_2 \text{Sym}^b \tau_2 - \text{Sym}^{a-1} \tau_2 \text{Sym}^{b-1} \tau_2.$$

When a and b are both odd, using (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau'_{a,b} \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \tau_{2a-4i} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor} \tau_{2b-4j} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \rfloor} \tau_{2a-2-4i} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor} \tau_{2b-2-4j} \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor} (\tau_{2a+2b-4(i+j)} + \tau_{2a+2b-4(i+j)-2}) \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq k \leq a+b} m_k \tau_{2a+2b-2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the multiplicities m_k are given as in (14) and (15). When $(a, b) \equiv (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1) \pmod{2}$, we make similar calculation using (16). In each case we have

$$\tau'_{a,b} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq a+b} m_k \tau_{2a+2b-2k}$$

and the multiplicities m_k are given as in (14) and (15). \square

- Lemma 3.9.** (i) *The irreducible restriction character $\tau'_{a,b}$ is a multiplicity-free sum of τ_k if and only if $\min\{a, b\} = 0$ or 1.*
(ii) *Let $a \geq b \geq 0$. In order that $\tau'_{a,b} = \tau_c \tau_d$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ it is necessary and sufficient that $(a, b) = (0, 0)$ or $(1, 0)$, or $b = 1$.*
(iii) *Let $c \geq d \geq 0$. In order that $\tau_c \tau_d = \tau'_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ it is necessary and sufficient that $(c, d) = (0, 0)$ or $c - d = 2$.*

Proof. (i) Without loss of generality we assume that $a \geq b$. When $b = 0$, by (16) we see that $\tau'_{a,b}$ is a multiplicity-free sum of τ_k . When $b = 1$, by (14) and (15) we have

$$(17) \quad \tau_{a,1} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a} \tau_{2a+2-2j}.$$

Thus, $\tau'_{a,1}$ is a multiplicity-free sum of τ_k . When $b \geq 2$, by (14) and (15) we have

$$\max\{m_k\} = \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq 2.$$

Thus, $\tau'_{a,b}$ is not a multiplicity-free sum of τ_k .

(ii) Suppose that $\tau'_{a,b} = \tau_c \tau_d$. Note that

$$\tau_c \tau_d = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \min\{c,d\}} \tau_{c+d-2j}$$

is a multiplicity-free sum of τ_k . By (1) we get $b = 0$ or 1. When $b = 0$, by (16) we must have $a = 0$ or 1. When $b = 1$, by (17) we have

$$\tau'_{a,1} = \tau_{a+2} \tau_a.$$

Hence, $\tau'_{a,b} = \tau_c \tau_d$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if and only if $(a, b) = (0, 0)$ or $(1, 0)$, or $b = 1$.

(iii) It follows from (ii). \square

3.4. Fully decomposable irreducible restriction characters. Fix a reduced quasi root system Ψ on a quasi torus \tilde{S} and let $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}[X^*(\tilde{S}^0)]$ be a fixed $W_{\bar{\Psi}}$ invariant character on \tilde{S}^0 which is not a scalar. Choose a positive system $\bar{\Psi}^+$ of $\bar{\Psi}$. We intend to study pairs (Φ, λ) with the following properties:

- (1) Φ is a quasi sub-root system of Ψ which is obtained from the action of a diagram automorphism θ of a root system Φ' ;
- (2) $\bar{\Phi} \supset \bar{\Psi}^0$;
- (3) λ is a dominant integral weight of the root system Φ' such that

$$(18) \quad \chi_{\Phi}(\lambda) = \chi.$$

Moreover, we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 3.1. (4) Ψ is isomorphic to $B_n^{(2)}$ or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$ where $n \geq 3$.

(5)

$$(19) \quad \theta^* \chi_{\Phi'}(\lambda) = \chi_{\Phi'}(\lambda)^*.$$

Clearly the condition (19) is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad \theta^* \lambda = -w_l \lambda,$$

where w_l is the longest element in the Weyl group of Φ' . We identify $\bar{\Psi}$ with

$$B_n = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

or

$$BC_n = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_k, \pm 2e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\},$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is an orthonormal basis. Set

$$B_n^+ = \{e_i \pm e_j, e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

and

$$BC_n^+ = \{e_i \pm e_j, e_k, 2e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Write $I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a subset I of I_0 , let $\bar{\Psi}_I$ be the sub-root system of $\bar{\Psi}$ consisting of roots $\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_k, \pm 2e_k$ ($i < j$) such that $i, j, k \in I$. For a partition $I_0 = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_s$ and characters χ_i of $\bar{\Psi}_{I_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$), we can form a *tensor product* $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \chi_s$. Given a nonzero character χ of $\bar{\Psi}$, we call $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \chi_s$ a maximal decomposition if there exists no proper refinement $I_0 = I'_1 \sqcup I'_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I'_t$ and corresponding decomposition $\chi = \chi'_1 \otimes \chi'_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \chi'_t$ such that each χ_i is a tensor product of those χ'_j where $I'_j \subset I_i$.

Definition 3.5. For a nonzero character χ of $\bar{\Psi}$, we call the minimal index set $I \subset I_0$ such that each weight appearing in χ is an integral linear combination of e_i ($i \in I$) the support of χ .

Lemma 3.10. Let χ be a non-scalar character on \tilde{S}^0 . Then there exists a unique maximal decomposition $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \chi_s$ up to scalars.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $\text{supp } \chi = I_0$. Let

$$\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_s$$

be a maximal decomposition. Then, each χ_i is not a scalar. It suffices to show that: for any decomposition $\chi = \chi'_1 \otimes \chi'_2$ with χ'_1 and χ'_2 non-scalars, each χ'_j ($j = 1, 2$) is a scalar multiple of the tensor product of some χ_i . Write $I_i = \text{supp } \chi_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) and $I'_j = \text{supp } \chi'_j$ ($j = 1, 2$).

When I'_1 is a union of some I_i . Without loss of generality assume that $I'_1 = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s'} I_i$. Choose a nonzero weight μ appearing in χ'_2 and let χ' be the sum of terms in χ of the form $[\nu + \mu]$ where $\text{supp } \nu \subset I'_1$. Then, there exists $c, c' \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$\chi' = \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{s'} \otimes (c[\mu])$$

and

$$\chi' = \chi'_1 \otimes (c'[\mu]).$$

Then,

$$\chi'_1 = \frac{c}{c'} \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{s'}.$$

Similarly, one shows that

$$\chi'_2 = c'' \chi_{s'+1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_s$$

for some $c'' \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. Then, $c'' = \frac{c'}{c}$ and the conclusion follows.

When I'_1 is not a union of any tuple $\{I_i\}$. Then, there exists i such that I_i is contained in neither I'_1 nor I'_2 . Without loss of generality we assume that I_s is contained in neither I'_1 nor I'_2 . For each i ($1 \leq i \leq s-1$), choose a nonzero weight $[\mu_i]$ appearing in I_i . Write $\mu = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{s-1}$. Let χ' be the sum of terms in χ of the form $[\mu + \nu]$ where $\text{supp } \nu \subset I_s$. Then, there exists $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$\chi' = c[\mu_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mu_{s-1}] \otimes \chi_s.$$

For $j = 1, 2$, let χ''_j be the sum of terms $[\lambda]$ in χ'_j such that the projection of λ to each $I_i \cap I'_j$ is equal to the projection of μ_i to $I_i \cap I'_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq s-1$). Then, $\chi' = \chi''_1 \otimes \chi''_2$. Write μ'_j for the projection of μ to I'_j ($j = 1, 2$). Then, χ''_j is the form $\chi''_j = \chi'''_j \otimes [\mu'_j]$ for some character χ'''_j supported on $I'_j \cap I_s$. Then, $\chi_s = \frac{1}{c} \chi'''_1 \otimes \chi'''_2$. This is in contradiction with the maximality of the decomposition $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_s$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_s$ be a maximal decomposition with $I_i = \text{supp } \chi_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Assume that χ is S_n invariant and is not a scalar. Then, either $s = 1$; or $s = n$ and each I_i consists of a single index, and χ_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) differ only by scalars.*

Proof. For any $w \in S_n$, we also have $\chi = (w \cdot \chi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (w \cdot \chi_s)$ by the S_n invariance of χ . By the uniqueness of maximal decomposition, we see that $\{w \cdot \chi_1, \dots, w \cdot \chi_s\}$ only differs from $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s\}$ by a permutation. Note that $\text{supp}(w \cdot I_i) = w \cdot \text{supp } I_i$. Then, $\{w \cdot I_1, \dots, w \cdot I_s\} = \{I_1, \dots, I_s\}$. This implies that: either $s = 1$; or $s = n$ and each I_i consists of a single index. In the latter case, χ_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) differ only by scalars. \square

Definition 3.6. We call an S_n invariant character χ fully decomposable if $s = n$ in Lemma 3.11; and call χ in-decomposable if $s = 1$ in Lemma 3.11.

Lemma 3.12. Let χ be an S_n invariant non-scalar character. Then, χ is either fully decomposable or in-decomposable. If χ is fully decomposable, then there exists a degree one non-scalar character χ_0 with a leading weight of coefficient 1 and a constant $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$\chi = c\chi_0^{\otimes n}.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.11. \square

Lemma 3.13. Let $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ be a non-trivial irreducible restriction character with Φ a non-irreducible quasi sub-root system of Ψ such that $\bar{\Phi} \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$. If χ is S_n invariant, then there exists a degree 1 character χ_0 with leading weight of coefficient 1 such that

$$\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \chi_0^{\otimes n}.$$

Proof. Since Φ is non-irreducible, $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ is not in-decomposable. Then, it is fully decomposable by Lemma 3.11. Note that the leading weight of $\chi = \chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ has coefficient 1 by Lemma 3.7). By Lemma 3.12 we get $\chi = \chi_0^{\otimes n}$ where χ_0 is a degree one non-scalar character with leading weight of coefficient 1. \square

Lemma 3.14. Let Φ be a reduced irreducible quasi sub-root system of $\Psi = B_m^{(2)}$ or $BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$ such that $\bar{\Phi}^\circ \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$, and be the quasi root system obtained from the action of a diagram automorphism θ on a root system Φ' . Let λ be an integral dominant weight of Φ' such that $\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \chi_0^{\otimes m}$ where χ_0 is a degree 1 non-scalar character with a leading weight of coefficient 1. Then the triple (Φ, λ, χ_0) falls into the following list:

(i) $\Phi = B_m$, $\lambda = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_m$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_1$.

(ii) $\Phi = B_m^{(2)}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_m \text{ and } \chi_0 = \tau_2 + \tau_0$$

or

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} = \left\{ \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_m, 0 \right\} \text{ and } \chi_0 = \tau_1.$$

(iii) $\Phi = B_m^{(2,1)}$, $\lambda = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_{m+1}$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_1$.

(iv) $\Phi = B_2^{(2,1)}$, $\lambda = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_2\tau_1 = \tau_3 + \tau_1$.

(v) $\Phi = BC_1^{(2,1)}$, $\lambda = (a, 0, -b)$ and $\chi_0 = \tau'_{a,b}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \geq b \geq 0$.

(vi) $\Phi = B_1^{(2)}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 = a$, $\lambda_2 = b$, and $\chi_0 = \tau_{a,b} = \tau_a\tau_b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(vii) $\Phi = B_1$, $\lambda = a$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_a$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proof. Since it is assumed that Φ is irreducible and $\bar{\Phi}^\circ \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$, we have $\Phi = B_m$, $B_m^{(2,1)}$, $B_m^{(2)}$ or $BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$.

(1) when $\Phi = B_m$ and $m > 1$, let $\frac{k}{2}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) be the highest weight of χ_0 . Then we have $\lambda = \underbrace{\left(\frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}\right)}_m$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type B_1 using

Lemma 3.2, we get $\chi_0 = \tau_k = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} [\frac{k}{2} - j]$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type B_2 using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\chi_{B_2}\left(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2}\right) = \tau_k^{\otimes 2}.$$

Comparing dimensions using Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k+2)(k+3) = (k+1)^2.$$

Then, $k = 1$. In this case, $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ is the degree m spinor character and it is equal to $([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes m}$. This leads to (i).

(2) when $\Phi = B_m^{(2)}$ and $m > 1$, we have $\Phi' = B_m \sqcup B_m$. Write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ where λ_1, λ_2 are integral dominant weights of B_m . Let $\frac{k}{2}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) be the highest weight of χ_0 . Then, there exists $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $k_1 + k_2 = k$ such that $\lambda_1 = \underbrace{\left(\frac{k_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{k_1}{2}\right)}_m$ and $\lambda_2 = \underbrace{\left(\frac{k_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{k_2}{2}\right)}_m$. Without loss of generality we

assume that $k_1 \geq k_2$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type B_1 using Lemma 3.2, we get $\chi_0 = \tau_{k_1} \tau_{k_2}$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type B_2 using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\chi_{B_2}\left(\frac{k_1}{2}, \frac{k_1}{2}\right) \chi_{B_2}\left(\frac{k_2}{2}, \frac{k_2}{2}\right) = (\tau_{k_1} \tau_{k_2})^{\otimes 2}.$$

Comparing dimensions using Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\frac{1}{6^2}(k_1+1)(k_1+2)(k_1+3)(k_2+1)(k_2+2)(k_2+3) = (k_1+1)^2(k_2+1)^2.$$

Then, $(k_1, k_2) = (1, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$. When $(k_1, k_2) = (1, 0)$, $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ is the degree m spinor character and it is equal to $([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes m}$; when $(k_1, k_2) = (1, 1)$, $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ is the square of the degree m spinor character and it is equal to $(([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^2)^{\otimes m}$. This leads to (ii).

(3) when $\Phi = B_m^{(2,1)}$ and $m > 1$, we have $\Phi' = D_{m+1}$. Let $\frac{k}{2}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) be the highest weight of χ_0 . Then, there exist $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|k'| \leq k$ and $2|k - k'|$ such that $\lambda = \underbrace{\left(\frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k'}{2}\right)}_{m+1}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $k' \geq 0$.

Write $a = \frac{k+k'}{2}$ and $b = \frac{k-k'}{2}$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type $B_1^{(2)}$ using Lemma 3.2, we get $\chi_0 = \tau_a \tau_b$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type $B_2^{(2,1)}$ using

Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\chi_{B_2^{(2,1)}}\left(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k'}{2}\right) = (\tau_a \tau_b)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Comparing dimensions using Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\frac{1}{12}(a+1)(b+1)(a+2)(b+2)(a+b+3) = (a+1)^2(b+1)^2.$$

Then, $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ or $(2, 1)$. When $(a, b) = (1, 0)$, we have $k = k' = 1$. In this case $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$ is the degree m spinor character. This leads to (iii). When $(a, b) = (2, 1)$, we have $(k, k') = (3, 1)$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_2 \tau_1 = \tau_3 + \tau_1$. When $m = 2$, by analyzing weight multiplicities using $\Gamma_m = \{\pm 1\}^m \rtimes S_m$ invariance, we show that $\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \chi_0^{\otimes 2}$. This leads to (iv). When $m \geq 3$, reducing to a Levi factor of type $B_3^{(2)}$ using Lemma 3.2 and comparing dimensions using Lemma 3.3, we get $224 = 6^3$, which is a contradiction.

(4) when $\Phi = BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$ and $m > 1$, we may assume that

$$\lambda = \underbrace{(a, \dots, a, 0)}_m, \underbrace{(-b, \dots, -b)}_m$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \geq b \geq 0$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type $B_1^{(2)}$ using Lemma 3.2, we get $\chi_0 = \tau'_{a,b}$ and $\dim \chi_0 = \frac{1}{2}(a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2)$. Reducing to a Levi factor of type $B_2^{(2,1)}$ using Lemma 3.2 and comparing dimensions using Lemma 3.3, we get an equation

$$(a+2)(b+2)(a+b+3)^2(a+b+4) = 72(a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2),$$

which has no solution except $a = b = 0$.

(5) when $\text{rank } \Phi = 1$, it is lead to (v)-(vii). □

By imposing a condition $\theta\lambda = -w_l\lambda$ in Lemma 3.14, we reach the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15. *Let Φ be an irreducible quasi sub-root system of $\Psi = B_m^{(2)}$ or $BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$ such that $\bar{\Phi}^\circ \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$, and be the quasi root system obtained from the action of a diagram automorphism θ on a root system Φ' . Let λ be a dominant integral weight of Φ' such that $\theta\lambda = -w_l\lambda$, where w_l is the longest element in the Weyl group of Φ' . Suppose that the irreducible restriction character $\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \chi_0^{\otimes m}$ for a degree 1 character $\chi_0 \neq [0]$. Then the triple (Φ, λ, χ_0) falls into the following list:*

(i) $\Phi = B_m$, $\lambda = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_m$ and $\chi_0 = \left[\frac{1}{2}\right] + \left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]$.

(ii) $\Phi = B_m^{(2)}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_m$, and

$$\chi_0 = \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] + \left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)^2 = \tau_2 + \tau_0.$$

- (iii) $\Phi = B_m^{(2,1)}$ with m being even, $\lambda = (\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}_{m+1})$ and $\chi_0 = [\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}]$.
- (iv) $\Phi = B_2^{(2,1)}$, $\lambda = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_2\tau_1 = \tau_3 + \tau_1$.
- (v) $\Phi = BC_1^{(2,1)}$, $\lambda = (a, 0, -b)$ and $\chi_0 = \tau'_{a,b}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \geq b \geq 0$.
- (vi) $\Phi = B_1^{(2)}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = a$, and $\chi_0 = \tau_{a,a} = \tau_a^2$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.
- (vii) $\Phi = B_1$, $\lambda = a$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_a$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $\Psi = B_n^{(2)}$ or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$, Φ_1 and Φ_2 be two quasi sub-root systems of Ψ , and χ_0 be a degree 1 character. For $j = 1, 2$, let Φ_j be the quasi root system obtained from the action of a diagram automorphism θ_j on a root system Φ'_j . Let λ_j be a dominant integral weight of Φ'_j such that $\theta_j\lambda_j = -w_{l,j}\lambda_j$, where $w_{l,j}$ is the longest element in the Weyl group of Φ'_j . Suppose that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are non-conjugate, $\bar{\Phi}_j^\circ \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$ and $\chi_{\Phi_1}(\lambda_1) = \chi_{\Phi_2}(\lambda_2) = \chi_0^{\otimes n}$. Then, $\chi_0 = \tau_1, \tau_1^2$ or τ_2 . Moreover, all possible such quasi sub-root systems Φ_j ($j = 1, 2$) are as follows:*

- (i) when $\chi_0 = [\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}] = \tau_1$, we have

$$\Phi_j = \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i' \leq t} B_{m_{i'}} \right)$$

($j = 1, 2$) where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i + \sum_{1 \leq i' \leq t} m_{i'} = n$, n_i are all even.

- (ii) when $\chi_0 = ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^2 = \tau_2 + \tau_0$, we have

$$\Phi_j = \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2)} \right)$$

($j = 1, 2$) where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i = n$.

- (iii) when $\chi_0 = [1] + [0] + [-1] = \tau_2$, we have

$$\Phi_1 = \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} BC_1^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i' \leq t} B_1 \right)$$

($j = 1, 2$) where $s + t = n$.

Proof. Suppose that $\chi_0 \neq \tau_1$ or τ_1^2 . Then by Lemma 3.15, each irreducible factor of Φ_1 or Φ_2 has rank 1 and χ_0 coincides with the degree 1 character happening in at least two cases among (v)-(vii). Then, (1) $\chi_0 = \tau'_{a,b} = \tau_c^2$ where $a \geq b \geq 0$ and $a + b = c > 0$; (2) or $\chi_0 = \tau'_{a,b} = \tau_c$ where $a \geq b \geq 0$ and $2a + 2b = c > 0$; (3) or $\chi_0 = \tau_a^2 = \tau_b$ where $2a = b > 0$. Case (3) is impossible. In cases (1)-(2), $\tau'_{a,b}$ must be multiplicity-free. By Lemma 3.9 we get $b = 0$ or 1 . Comparing the expansions of $\tau'_{a,0}$ and $\tau'_{a,1}$ with τ_c^2 and τ_c , we get $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ and $\chi_0 = \tau'_{1,0} = \tau_2$.

When χ_0 is equal to each of τ_1, τ_1^2 or τ_2 , we get all possible such quasi sub-root systems Φ_j from Lemma 3.15. \square

4. CHARACTERIZATION OF S-SUBGROUPS

Let G be a given quasi-connected compact Lie group. Choose an element g_0 generating G/G^0 and let d be the order of

$$\text{Aut}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then, d divides $|G/G^0|$ and $g_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)G^0$. We propose the following definition of S-subgroups of G .

Definition 4.1. *We call a closed subgroup H of G an S-subgroup if H is generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in g_0G^0$ such that $h_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$ and $Z_G(H) = Z(G)$.*

Let's explain the origination of the definition of S-subgroups. When G is connected, a closed subgroup H is an S-subgroup if and only the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an S-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the sense of [6] (see also [14]). Motivated by the primitization process in [3, Section 2] and [4, Section 4], we generalize the definition of S-subgroup to the case when G has a cyclic component group. We will see that the condition $h_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$ is convenient while comparing S-subgroups of isogenous groups.

Let's explain a bit the motivation of studying S-subgroups and their dimension data. The ultimate goal is to determine a conjectural subgroup ${}^{\lambda}H_{\pi}$ of the Langlands L-group ${}^L G = \hat{G} \rtimes \text{Gal}(K/F)$ associated to an automorphic representation π of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of Ramanujan type ([10]). With the theory of Einstein series and endoscopy, it reduces to the case that π neither comes from Eisenstein series nor comes from endoscopy. If π has minimal possible ramification (the meaning of this requires a clarification in future) and $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is cyclic, then a maximal compact subgroup H of ${}^{\lambda}H_{\pi}$ as a subgroup of a maximal compact subgroup \tilde{G} of ${}^L G$ should be an S-subgroup. We know that the dimension datum of H is predicted by the pole orders at $s = 1$ of Langlands L-functions of π ([10]). Then, if dimension data of non-conjugate (resp. non-element conjugate) S-subgroups are non-equal, then the group ${}^{\lambda}H_{\pi}$ is determined up to conjugacy (resp. element conjugacy) by pole orders at $s = 1$ of Langlands L-functions of π . We also remark that: when $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is not cyclic, we have no yet a good definition of S-subgroups and a well study of dimension data of them. If π has wilder ramification, we expect that one must use ramification information besides dimension data in order to determine ${}^{\lambda}H_{\pi}$. We wish to reach thorough study of ${}^{\lambda}H_{\pi}$ in future when $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is not cyclic or when π has wilder ramification.

We have two remarks concerning Definition 4.1. First, let e be the order of

$$\text{Aut}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}} \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{der}}).$$

Then, e is a factor of d . For all cases working in this paper, we have a further condition: g_0^e acts trivially on $z(\mathfrak{g})$. Under this condition, we have $d = e$. Second, when G is connected, an S-subgroup H of it is not necessarily connected.

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be an S-subgroup as in Definition 4.1 and S be a maximal commutative connected subset in h_0H^0 . Then there exists an element $x_0 \in S$*

such that $x_0^d \in \tilde{S} \cap Z(G)$, where \tilde{S} is the quasi Cartan subgroup of H generated by S .

Proof. Write $(H^0)_{\text{der}} = [H^0, H^0]$ for the derived subgroup of H^0 , which has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{der}} = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]$. Let

$$\phi : H \rightarrow H/Z_H((H^0)_{\text{der}}) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{der}})$$

be the adjoint homomorphism. Write $H' = \text{Im } \phi \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{der}})$. Then, H' is generated by $\phi(h_0)$ and H'^0 , $\phi(h_0)^d \in H'^0$ and $\phi(S)$ is a maximal commutative connected subset of $\phi(h_0)H'^0$. Since H' is of adjoint type, there exists $x_0 \in S$ such that $\phi(x_0)$ is a pinned automorphism of $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\text{der}}$. Then, $\phi(x_0)^d = 1$. Thus, $x_0^d \in Z_H((H^0)_{\text{der}})$. On the other hand, $x_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$ by the definition of S-subgroup. Hence,

$$x_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0 \cap Z_H((H^0)_{\text{der}}) = Z_G(G^0)Z(H^0) \cap H.$$

Since H is generated by x_0 and H^0 by the definition of S-subgroup, then $x_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)Z(H^0)$ implies that x_0^d commutes with all elements of H . Thus, $x_0^d \in \tilde{S} \cap Z(H)$. By the definition of S-subgroup, $Z(H) \subset Z(G)$. Then, $Z(H) = H \cap Z(G)$. Therefore,

$$x_0^d \in \tilde{S} \cap Z(H) = \tilde{S} \cap Z(G). \quad \square$$

We make two remarks after Lemma 4.1. First, we could consider roots in $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ as characters of $\tilde{S}/\tilde{S} \cap Z_G(G^0)$. The component group of $\tilde{S}/\tilde{S} \cap Z_G(G^0)$ is generated by the coset $[x_0]$ and Lemma 4.1 indicates that $[x_0]^d = 1$. Define $\beta_0 \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ by

$$\beta_0(x_0) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \text{ and } \beta_0|_{\tilde{S}_0} = 1.$$

We get: for any two roots $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$, if $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}_0} = \alpha'|_{\tilde{S}_0}$, then $\alpha' = \alpha + k\beta_0$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. Second, since $\text{Ad}(x_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ has order d , $x_0^k \in Z_G(G^0)G^0$ only if $d|k$. Thus, the component group of $\tilde{S}/\tilde{S} \cap Z_G(G^0)$ is a cyclic group of order d and the conclusion of Lemma 4.1 is optimal.

By Lemma 1.31, there is an enveloping quasi root system $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ associated to \tilde{S} , which is equal to the union of quasi root systems $\Phi(L, \tilde{S})$ for closed subgroups L of G with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. We have a quasi root system $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ as defined in Definition 1.17. Suppose that d is not a multiple of 4. Then by the following Lemma 4.2 we have

$$R(H, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$$

whenever H is an S-subgroup of G with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup.

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be an S-subgroup of G with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. Then*

$$(21) \quad \bigcap_{\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R}(H, \tilde{S})} \ker \bar{\alpha} = \tilde{S}^0 \cap Z(G).$$

If $4 \nmid d$, then

$$(22) \quad \bar{R}(H, \tilde{S}) \supset \bar{\Psi}_{\tilde{S}}^{\circ}$$

and

$$(23) \quad R(H, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi_{\tilde{S}}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \bigcap_{\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R}(H, \tilde{S})} \ker \alpha$. Then x commutes with H^0 . Since H is generated by H^0 and \tilde{S} . Then, $x \in Z(H)$. As H is an S-subgroup. By Definition 4.1 we get

$$x \in Z(H) \subset Z_G(H) = Z(G).$$

Thus, $x \in \tilde{S}^0 \cap Z(G)$. Hence, $x \in \bigcap_{\bar{\beta} \in \bar{\Psi}'_{\tilde{S}}} \ker \bar{\beta}$. Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R}(H, \tilde{S})} \ker \bar{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\bar{\beta} \in \bar{\Psi}'_{\tilde{S}}} \ker \bar{\beta} = \tilde{S}^0 \cap Z(G).$$

By (21), the root system $\bar{\Psi}'_{\tilde{S}}$ and its sub-root system $\bar{R}(H, \tilde{S})$ generate the same lattice. By Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\bar{R}(H, \tilde{S}) \supset \bar{\Psi}'_{\tilde{S}} = \bar{\Psi}_{\tilde{S}}.$$

Note that $R(H, \tilde{S})$ is a reduced quasi root system. By Lemma 1.6, we get

$$R(H, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi_{\tilde{S}}. \quad \square$$

Let $\phi : G' \rightarrow G$ be a finite covering (=surjective homomorphism with finite kernel) of compact Lie groups, g'_0 be an element generating G'/G'^0 . Write $g_0 = \phi(g'_0)$. Then, both G' and G are quasi-connected.

Lemma 4.3. *The positive integer d for G' and G are the same.*

Assume that H is a closed subgroup of G , generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in g_0 G^0$ such that $h_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$. Choose an element $h'_0 \in g'_0 G'^0 \cap \phi^{-1}(h_0)$ and let H' be a closed subgroup of G' generated by $\phi^{-1}(H)^0$ and h'_0 . If H is an S-subgroup of G , then H' is an S-subgroup of G' .

Proof. For the first statement, by assumption the Lie algebras of G' and G are the same. Let \mathfrak{g} denote the complexification of them. For any element $g' \in G'$, we have $\text{Ad}(g')|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Ad}(\phi(g'))|_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus, the number d for G' and G are equal.

For the second statement, $h_0^d \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$ implies that

$$h_0'^d \in \phi^{-1}(Z_G(G^0)H^0) = Z_{G'}(G'^0)H'^0.$$

Let $y \in Z_{G'}(H')$. Then, $\phi(y) \in Z_G(H) = Z(G) \subset Z_G(G^0)$. Thus, $y \in Z_{G'}(G'^0)$. Since H' contains an element in $g'_0 G'^0$. Then, y commutes will all elements in G' . Thus, $y \in Z(G')$ and hence $Z_{G'}(H') = Z(G')$. Therefore, H' is an S-subgroup of G' . \square

4.1. S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $U(n) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$ ($n \geq 3$), generated by G^0 which is a finite quotient of $U(n)$ and an element g_0 such that $\text{Ad}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{complex conjugation}$ and $g_0^2 \in G^0$. Then, $g_0^2 \in Z(G)$. Assume that $g_0^2 = 1$. Let H be a closed subgroup of G , generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in G - G^0$ such that $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$. Put

$$\bar{G} = U(n) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$$

where

$$\tau X \tau^{-1} = \overline{X}, \quad \forall X \in \mathrm{U}(n) \text{ and } \tau^2 = 1.$$

Then, there exists a surjection $\pi : \bar{G} \rightarrow G$. Choose an element $\bar{h}_0 \in \pi^{-1}(h_0)$ and let $\bar{H} \subset \bar{G}$ be generated by $(\pi^{-1}(H^0))^0$ and \bar{h}_0 . By Lemma 4.3, \bar{H} is an S-subgroup of \bar{G} . Hence, it suffices to study S-subgroups of \bar{G} . For this reason we assume that $G = \mathrm{U}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ below. Write $\rho = \mathbb{C}^n$ for the natural representation of $G^0 = \mathrm{U}(n)$. The following lemma characterizes S-subgroups of $\mathrm{U}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let H be a closed subgroup of $G = \mathrm{U}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in G - G^0$ such that $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$. In order that H is an S-subgroup of G it is necessary and sufficient that $\rho|_{H^0}$ is irreducible and non-self dual.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We show the necessity. Assume that H is an S-subgroup of G . Let $\rho|_{H^0} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} \rho_i^{\oplus m_i}$ be the decomposition of $\rho|_{H^0}$ into irreducible representations of H^0 , where $\rho_i \not\cong \rho_j$ whenever $i \neq j$ and m_i is the multiplicity of ρ_i . Put $n_i = \dim \rho_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). We may assume that H^0 is block diagonal. Then,

$$Z_{G^0}(H^0) = \{(\Delta_{n_1}(X_1), \dots, \Delta_{n_s}(X_s)) : X_i \in \mathrm{U}(m_i)\}.$$

By the definition of S-subgroup, $(Z_{G^0}(H^0))^{h_0} = Z(G) = \{\pm I\}$. This forces that all $m_i = 1$. Then, $Z_{G^0}(H^0)$ is abelian and h_0 must act on it by sending any $x \in Z_{G^0}(H^0)$ to x^{-1} . In this case, $(Z_{G^0}(H^0))^{h_0} = \{\pm I\}$ only if $s = 1$. Thus, $\rho|_{H^0}$ is irreducible.

If $\rho|_{H^0}$ is irreducible and self-dual, we may assume that $H^0 \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ or $H^0 \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n/2)$. When $H^0 \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$, write $h_0 = y_0 \tau$ where $y_0 \in G^0$. Then, there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(H^0)$ such that

$$\sigma(x) = y_0 \tau x (y_0 \tau)^{-1} = y_0 x y_0^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in H^0.$$

Taking conjugation, we get $\sigma(x) = \bar{y}_0 x \bar{y}_0^{-1}$. Then, $y_0^{-1} \bar{y}_0 \in Z_{G^0}(H^0) = Z(G^0)$. Thus, there exists $y \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $y_0 = \eta y$. In this case $(\eta I) \tau$ commutes with H , which is in contradiction with $Z_G(H) = Z(G)$. When $H^0 \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n/2)$, the proof proceeds similarly by writing $h_0 = y_0 \mathrm{Ad}(J_{n/2}) \tau$ where $y_0 \in G^0$. \square

4.2. S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $\mathrm{O}(2n)$. Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n)$ ($n \geq 4$) and with component group of order 2. Moreover assume that G is generated by G^0 and an element g_0 such that $\mathrm{Ad}(g_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{Ad}(I_{1,2n-1})$ and $g_0^2 \in G^0$. Then, $g_0^2 \in Z(G)$. Assume that $g_0^2 = 1$. Let H be a closed subgroup of G , generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in G - G^0$ such that $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$. Then, G^0 is isomorphic to one of the following: $\mathrm{Spin}(2n)$, $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$, $\mathrm{PSO}(2n)$. Put

$$\bar{G} = \mathrm{O}(2n).$$

- (i) When $G^0 = \text{PSO}(2n)$, there is a double covering $\pi : \bar{G} \rightarrow G$ with $\ker \pi = Z(\bar{G})$. Choose an element $\bar{h}_0 \in \bar{G} \in \pi^{-1}(h_0)$ and let \bar{H} be generated by $\pi^{-1}(H)^0$ and \bar{h}_0 .
- (ii) When $G^0 \cong \text{SO}(2n)$, we identify G with \bar{G} . Let $\bar{H} = H$.
- (iii) When $G^0 \cong \text{Spin}(2n)$, there is a double covering $\pi' : G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ with $\ker \pi' = Z(G) = \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\bar{H} = \pi'(H)$.

Lemma 4.5. *If H is an S -subgroup of G , then \bar{H} is an S -subgroup of \bar{G} .*

Proof. When $G^0 = \text{PSO}(2n)$, \bar{H} is an S -subgroup of \bar{G} by Lemma 4.3. When $G^0 = \text{SO}(2n)$, the conclusion is trivial.

When $G^0 = \text{Spin}(2n)$, put $S = Z_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H})$. First we have $\{\pm I\} = Z(\bar{G}) \subset S$. For any $x \in H$ and any $s \in S$, choose an element $s' \in \pi'^{-1}(s)$ and define

$$f(x, s) = xs's'^{-1}.$$

It is clear that $f(x, s)$ does not depend on the choice of s' . As s commutes with $\pi'(x) \in \bar{H}$, we have $f(x, s) \in \ker \pi' = \{\pm 1\} \subset Z(G)$. Then, f is multiplicative on both variables and $f(x, s) = 1$ whenever $x \in H^0$ or $x \in \{y^2 : y \in H\}$. Let H' be the subgroup of H generated by H^0 and $\{y^2 : y \in H\}$. Since H is generated by H^0 and $h_0 \in G - G^0$, we have $H/H' \cong C_2$. Then, f descends to a map

$$f' : H/H' \times S \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

On the other hand, for any $1 \neq s \in S$, there must exist $x \in H$ such that $xs's'^{-1} \neq 1$ (since H is an S -subgroup). Thus, f' is a perfect pairing and $S \cong H/H' \cong C_2$. Hence, $S = Z(\bar{G})$ and \bar{H} is an S -subgroup of \bar{G} . \square

By Lemma 4.5, it suffices to study S -subgroups of \bar{G} . Assume that $G = \text{O}(2n)$ below. Write $\rho = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ for the natural representation of $G = \text{O}(2n)$. The following lemma characterizes S -subgroups of $\text{O}(2n)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let H be a closed subgroup of G , generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in G - G^0$ such that $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$. Then $Z_G(H) = Z(G)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds true:*

- (i) $\rho|_{H^0}$ is irreducible.
- (ii) $\rho|_{H^0} = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ where ρ_1, ρ_2 are odd-dimensional non-self dual representations of H^0 , $\rho_2 \cong \rho_1^*$ and h_0 maps ρ_1 (resp. ρ_2) to ρ_2 (resp. ρ_1).
- (iii) $\rho|_{H^0} = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ where ρ_1, ρ_2 are two odd-dimensional irreducible representations of orthogonal type and h_0 maps ρ_1 (resp. ρ_2) to ρ_2 (resp. ρ_1).

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We show the necessity. The condition $Z_G(H) = Z(G)$ just means that H is an irreducible subgroup. Suppose that $\rho|_{H^0}$ is not irreducible. Since $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0 = \{\pm I\}H^0$, then $\rho|_{H^0} \cong \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ is the direct sum of two non-isomorphic representations ρ_1, ρ_2 and the action of h_0 permutes them. Then, $\dim \rho_1 = \dim \rho_2 = n$. Since $\rho|_H$ is irreducible and of orthogonal type, either ρ_1, ρ_2 are non-self dual and are conjugate, or ρ_1, ρ_2 are irreducible and of orthogonal type. It remains to show that n is odd.

In the first case, we may assume that

$$H^0 \subset \mathrm{U}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(2n) \right\}$$

and $h_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ -B_0 & A_0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{diag}\{I, -I\}$ (since h_0 maps ρ_1 to ρ_2). Since $-1 = \det h_0 = (-1)^n$, n is odd. In the second case, we may assume that $H^0 \subset \mathrm{SO}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(n)$ and $h_0 = \mathrm{diag}\{A_0, B_0\} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix}$ with $A_0, B_0 \in \mathrm{O}(n)$. Since

$$\mathrm{diag}\{A_0 B_0, B_0 A_0\} = h_0^2 \in \{\pm I\} H^0,$$

we have $\det A_0 \det B_0 = 1$ or $(-1)^n$. On the other hand, $-1 = \det h_0 = (-1)^n \det A_0 \det B_0$. Then, $\det A_0 \det B_0 = 1$ and n is odd. \square

4.3. S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $\mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_6$ and with component group of order 2. Moreover assume that G is generated by G^0 and an element g_0 such that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}(g_0)} = \mathfrak{f}_4$ and $g_0^2 \in G^0$. Then, $Z(G) = 1$ and $g_0^2 \in Z(G)$. Thus, $g_0^2 = 1$. Let H be a closed subgroup of G , generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in G - G^0$ such that $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0 = Z(G^0)H^0$. Put

$$\bar{G} = \mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\tau^2 = 1$ and $\mathrm{E}_6^\tau = \mathrm{F}_4$.

We have $G^0 \cong \mathrm{E}_6$ or $\mathrm{E}_6^{\mathrm{ad}}$. When $G^0 \cong \mathrm{E}_6$, we may assume that $G = \bar{G}$ and let $\bar{H} = H$. When $G^0 \cong \mathrm{E}_6^{\mathrm{ad}}$, there is a 3-covering $\pi : \bar{G} \rightarrow G$ with $\ker \pi = Z(\bar{G}^0)$. Choose $\bar{h}_0 \in \pi^{-1}(h_0)$ and let \bar{H} be generated by $\pi^{-1}(H)^0$ and \bar{h}_0 . By Lemma 4.3, \bar{H} is an S-subgroup of \bar{G} . Hence, it suffices to study S-subgroups of \bar{G} . For this reason we let $G = \bar{G} = \mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ below.

Lemma 4.7. *Let ϕ be an involutive automorphism of a compact Lie group L . If the fixed point subgroup $L^\phi = 1$, then L is a finite abelian group and*

$$\phi(x) = x^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in L.$$

Proof. Since $L^\phi = 1$, we have $\mathfrak{l}^\phi = 0$. Then, ϕ acts by -1 on \mathfrak{l} (as $\phi^2 = \mathrm{id}$). Thus, \mathfrak{l} and hence L^0 is abelian. By this ϕ fixes order 2 elements in L^0 . Then, $L^\phi = 1$ indicates that $L^0 = 1$. Thus, L is a finite group. By $L^\phi = 1$ again, $L - \{1\}$ is a disjoint union of some subsets $\{x, \phi(x)\}$. Hence, L has odd order. By this L is solvable. We prove by induction on $|L|$ to show that L is abelian and

$$\phi(x) = x^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in L.$$

When L is abelian, we have $\phi(x\phi(x)) = x\phi(x)$ ($\forall x \in L$). Thus, $x\phi(x) = 1$ by $L^\phi = 1$. Then, $\phi(x) = x^{-1}$ ($\forall x \in L$) and L is abelian. In general, by the solvability of L , $[L, L]$ is a proper characteristic subgroup. By induction $[L, L]$ is abelian and ϕ acts on it by $\phi(x) = x^{-1}$ ($\forall x \in [L, L]$). Consider the induced action of ϕ on $L/[L, L]$. Suppose that it has a nontrivial fixed element, i.e., there exists $x \in L - [L, L]$ such that $\phi(x) = xy$ for some $y \in [L, L]$. Since

$[L, L]$ is a finite abelian group of odd order, there exists $z \in [L, L]$ such that $y = z^2$. Then

$$\phi(xz) = \phi(x)\phi(z) = xyz^{-1} = xz.$$

This is in contradiction with $L^\phi = 1$. Hence, the induced action of ϕ on $L/[L, L]$ has no nontrivial fixed element. By the above, the induced action of ϕ on $L/[L, L]$ is -1 . Take a maximal subgroup L' of L containing $[L, L]$. Then, L/L' is a cyclic group of prime odd. Now the action of ϕ stabilizes L' . By induction L' is abelian and $\phi(y) = y^{-1}$ ($\forall y \in L'$). Choose an element x generating L/L' . Write $\phi(x) = x^{-1}z$ for some $z \in L'$. For any $y \in L'$, we have

$$xy^{-1}x^{-1} = \phi(xyx^{-1}) = \phi(x)\phi(y)\phi(x)^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1}x.$$

Then, x^2 commutes with y . Since x^2 and L' generate L , then L is abelian. Thus, $\phi(y) = y^{-1}$ ($\forall y \in L'$). \square

Lemma 4.8. *If H is an S-subgroup of G , then H is semisimple and $|Z(H^0)|$ is odd. Moreover, $Z_{G^0}(H^0)$ is a finite abelian group of odd order and*

$$\text{Ad}(h_0)|_{Z_{G^0}(H^0)} = -1.$$

Proof. Since H is an S-subgroup of G , we have $Z_G(H) = Z(G) = 1$. Thus, $Z_{G^0}(H^0)^{h_0} = 1$. Since $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$, we have $(\text{Ad}(h_0)|_{Z_{G^0}(H^0)})^2 = 1$. By Lemma 4.7, $Z_{G^0}(H^0)$ is a finite abelian group of odd order and $\text{Ad}(h_0)|_{Z_{G^0}(H^0)} = -1$. Since $Z(H^0) \subset Z_{G^0}(H^0)$, then $Z(H^0)$ is a finite abelian group of odd order. Thus, H^0 is semisimple. \square

Lemma 4.9. *If H is an S-subgroup of G and H^0 is not an S-subgroup of G^0 , then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} has type $3A_2$.*

Proof. Since H^0 is not an S-subgroup, there exists $y \in Z_{G^0}(H^0) - Z(G^0)$. Then, $H^0 \subset (G^0)^y$. Thus, $Z((G^0)^y) \subset Z_{G^0}(H^0)$. By Lemma 4.8, $Z_{G^0}(H^0)$ is a finite abelian group of odd order, and so is $Z((G^0)^y)$. This implies that $(G^0)^y$ is semisimple and hence $\text{Ad}(y)|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an isolated automorphism (cf. [25, p. 213]). By [25, Example 2.8], one shows that \mathfrak{g}^y is of type $3A_2$. A little more argument shows that $Z(G^0)$ and y generates $Z_{G^0}(H^0)$ and $H^0 = (G^0)^y$. Thus, \mathfrak{h} has type $3A_2$. \square

Continue the argument in the above proof. By [25, Example 2.8], we have

$$H^0 = (G^0)^y = \text{SU}(3)^3 / \langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I) \rangle$$

where $Z(G^0)$ is generated by $(I, \omega I, \omega^{-1}I)$ and $y = (\omega I, I, I)$. Then,

$$Z((G^0)^y) = \langle Z(G^0), y \rangle,$$

and $Z((G^0)^y)$ and $(G^0)^y$ are centralizers of each other. Moreover, we have

$$N_G((G^0)^y) = N_G(\langle Z(G^0), y \rangle) = (\text{SU}(3)^3 / \langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \eta, \sigma, \tau \rangle,$$

where $\eta, \sigma \in G^0$, $\tau \in G - G^0$,

$$\eta(X_1, X_2, X_3)\eta^{-1} = (X_2, X_3, X_1),$$

$$\sigma(X_1, X_2, X_3)\sigma^{-1} = (\overline{X_1}, \overline{X_3}, \overline{X_2}),$$

$$\tau(X_1, X_2, X_3)\tau^{-1} = (X_1, X_3, X_2),$$

$$\eta^3 = \sigma^2 = \tau^2 = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1} = 1 \text{ and } \sigma\eta\sigma^{-1} = \tau\eta\tau^{-1} = \eta^{-1}.$$

Lemma 4.10. *With the above identification, we have*

$$H \sim (\mathrm{SU}(3)^3 / \langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau\sigma \rangle$$

where $\tau\sigma(X_1, X_2, X_3)(\tau\sigma)^{-1} = (\overline{X_1}, \overline{X_2}, \overline{X_3})$.

Proof. We have $H \subset N_G(H^0)$. Since $Z_G(G^0) = Z(G^0) \subset H^0$, we get $H/H^0 \cong C_2$ by the condition $h_0^2 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0$. Conjugating by an element in $\langle \eta \rangle$ if necessary, we see that H/H^0 is generated by τ or $\tau\sigma$. Since y commutes with H^0 and τ , the group generated by H^0 and τ is not an S-subgroup. Therefore,

$$H \sim \langle H^0, \tau\sigma \rangle = (\mathrm{SU}(3)^3 / \langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau\sigma \rangle$$

where $\tau\sigma(X_1, X_2, X_3)(\tau\sigma)^{-1} = (\overline{X_1}, \overline{X_2}, \overline{X_3})$. \square

Lemma 4.11. *If H is an S-subgroup of G and H^0 is an S-subgroup of G^0 , then the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is one of the S-subalgebras*

$$A_2^{(9)}, \quad G_2^{(1)} + A_2^{(2)}, \quad A_1^{(28)} + A_2^{(2)}$$

of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Since $Z(H) \subset Z_G(H) = 1$, h_0 must act on \mathfrak{h} by an outer automorphism. Thus, $\mathrm{Out}(\mathfrak{h}) := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})/\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{h})$ has even order. Since H^0 is an S-subgroup of G^0 , the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an S-subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . By the classification of S-subalgebras of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$ by Dynkin (cf. [6],[14]), \mathfrak{h} is conjugate to one of the subalgebras $A_2^{(9)}, G_2^{(1)} + A_2^{(2)}, A_1^{(28)} + A_2^{(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$. \square

Lemma 4.12. *When \mathfrak{h} is conjugate to any of the subalgebras $A_2^{(9)}, G_2^{(1)} + A_2^{(2)}, A_1^{(28)} + A_2^{(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$, there is at most one conjugacy class of S-subgroups H .*

Proof. Assume that H is an S-subgroup of G with \mathfrak{h} equal to a given S-subalgebra of type $A_2^{(9)}, G_2^{(1)} + A_2^{(2)}$ or $A_1^{(28)} + A_2^{(2)}$. Then, H^0 is given. Since H is an S-subgroup, any element h generating H/H^0 must act on \mathfrak{h} as an outer automorphism. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})/\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{h}) \cong C_2$ in any of these three cases, we may choose an element h_0 generating H/H^0 such that $\mathrm{Ad}(h_0)|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a fixed outer automorphism. Suppose that H' is another S-subgroup of G with Lie algebra equal to \mathfrak{h} . By the same argument, there exists an element h'_0 generating H'/H^0 such that $\mathrm{Ad}(h'_0)|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathrm{Ad}(h_0)|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then, $h_0^{-1}h'_0 \in Z_{G^0}(\mathfrak{h}) = Z(G^0)$ (as \mathfrak{h} is an S-subalgebra). Then, H' is equal to the conjugate of H by an element in $Z(G^0)$. \square

Corollary 4.1. *If H_1, H_2 are two non-conjugate S-subgroups of G with h_j generating H_j/H_j^0 , then any two maximal commutative connected subsets of $h_1H_1^0, h_2H_2^0$ respectively (or any two maximal tori of H_j^0 respectively) are not conjugate.*

Proof. Write L_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) for an S-subgroup of G (it it exists) with \mathfrak{l} of type $3A_2, A_2^{(9)}, G_2^{(1)} + A_2^{(2)}, A_1^{(28)} + A_2^{(2)}$, respectively. For each j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$), choose an element l_j generating L_j/L_j^0 , choose a maximal commutative connected subset S_j of $l_jL_j^0$ and choose a maximal torus T_j of L_j^0 . By Lemmas 4.10-4.12, we have

$$\dim S_1 = 3, \quad \dim S_2 = 1, \quad \dim S_3 = 3, \quad \dim S_4 = 2$$

and

$$\dim T_1 = 6, \quad \dim T_2 = 2, \quad \dim T_3 = 4, \quad \dim T_4 = 3.$$

Then, only S_1 and S_3 might be conjugate. Note that the reduced root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{l}_1, \tilde{S}_1^0)$ has type $3A_1$ (roots having equal length) and the reduced root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{l}_3, \tilde{S}_3^0)$ has type $G_2 + A_1$. Since there is no rank 3 root system containing both $3A_1$ (roots having equal length) and $G_2 + A_1$, \tilde{S}_1^0 and \tilde{S}_3^0 are not conjugate. So are S_1 and S_3 . \square

4.4. S-subgroups of an isogenous form of $\text{Spin}(8) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(8)$ and with component group of order 3. Moreover assume that G is generated by G^0 and an element g_0 such that $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{Ad}(g_0)} = \mathfrak{g}_2$. Then $Z(G) = 1$ and $g_0^3 \in Z(G)$. Thus, $g_0^3 = 1$.

Lemma 4.13. *Let T be a compact torus and ϕ be an automorphism of it with only finitely many fixed points. Write $\phi_* \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{t})$ be the differential of ϕ and let $f(t) = \det(tI - \phi_*)$ for the characteristic polynomial of ϕ_* . Then 1 is not a root of $f(t)$ and the number of fixed points of ϕ is equal to $f(1)$.*

Proof. Write $L = X_*(T)$ and $U = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Then, one can identify \mathfrak{t} with U , and identify T with U/L . Since ϕ has only finitely many fixed points, 1 is not an eigenvalue of ϕ_* . Equivalently, 1 is not a root of $f(t)$. Then, H^y can be identified with $(I - \phi_*)^{-1}(L)/L$. Comparing volumes of U/L and $U/(I - \phi_*)^{-1}(L)$, we get

$$|T^\phi| = |(I - \phi_*)^{-1}(L)/L| = |\det(I - \phi_*)| = |f(1)|.$$

Moreover, ϕ must be of finite order and $f(t)$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials. Hence, $f(1) > 0$ and $|T^\phi| = f(1)$. \square

Lemma 4.14. *There is no proper S-subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose that H is a proper S-subgroup of G . That is say, $G \neq H$ is a closed subgroup of G , generated by H^0 and an element $h_0 \in g_0G^0$ such that $h_0^3 \in Z_G(G^0)H^0 = Z(G^0)H^0$, and $Z_G(H) = Z(G) = 1$.

First, we show that H is semisimple. Write $T = Z(H^0)^0$ and $\phi = \text{Ad}(h_0)|_T$. Since $h_0^3 \in Z(G^0)H^0$, we have $\phi^3 = \text{Ad}(h_0^3)|_T = 1$. Since $Z_G(H) = 1$, then $T^\phi = 1$. By Lemma 4.13, 1 is not a root of $f(t)$ and $f(1) = 1$. This implies that $f(t) = 1$. Hence, $T = 1$ and H is semisimple.

Second, we deduce a contradiction. Since $Z_G(H) = 1$ again, the conjugation action of some $x_0 \in h_0H^0$ on H^0 must be an outer automorphism of order 3. This forces \mathfrak{h}_0 to be of type $4A_1$ or $3A_1$, and H^0 is either a full rank subgroup of type $4A_1$ or is a normal subgroup of it of type $3A_1$. We observe that

$Z(H^0) \cong C_2^3$ or C_2 . Then, $Z(H) = Z(H^0)^{h_0} \neq 1$, which is in contradiction with $Z_G(H) = 1$. \square

5. DIMENSION DATA OF S-SUBGROUPS OF A CONNECTED COMPACT LIE GROUP

Given a compact Lie group G , we call two closed subgroup H_1, H_2 of G element conjugate if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that

$$\phi(x) \sim x, \quad \forall x \in H_1.$$

Element conjugate subgroups have the same dimension datum.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group and $T \subset G$ be a torus, and H be a connected closed subgroup with T a maximal torus. If $Z(H) \subset Z_G(T)$, then $\Psi_T^\circ \subset R(H, T)$. Particularly, if H is an S-subgroup, then $\Psi_T^\circ \subset R(H, T)$.*

Proof. Write $\Psi = \Psi_T^\circ$ and $\Phi = R(H, T)$ for simplicity. Then, $\Phi \subset \Psi \subset X^*(T)$. If all roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ taking value 1 at an element $t \in T$, then $t \in Z(H) \subset Z_G(T)$. Thus, all roots $\alpha \in \Psi$ taking value 1 at $t \in T$. Hence, the subgroup of $X^*(T)$ generated by roots in Φ and that generated by roots in Ψ are equal. By Lemma 1.1, we get $\Phi^\circ = \Psi^\circ$. Therefore, $\Psi_T^\circ \subset R(H, T)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$ be a tuple of pairwise non-element conjugate connected S-subgroups of G . Considering the supports of Sato-Tate measures, we may further assume that H_1, \dots, H_s share a maximal torus, denoted by T . Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_G(T)/Z_G(T).$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have $\Psi_T^\circ \subset \Phi(H_i, T)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). For simplicity we write $\Psi = \Psi_T^\circ$ and $\Phi_i = R(H_i, T)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Since H_1, \dots, H_s are pairwise non-element conjugate, the root systems Φ_1, \dots, Φ_s are pairwise non-conjugate with respect to the action of Γ° on Ψ . Then, the characters F_{Φ_i, Γ° ($1 \leq i \leq s$) have distinct leading terms. Thus, the characters F_{Φ_i, Γ° ($1 \leq i \leq s$) are linearly independent. Hence, the dimension data \mathcal{D}_{H_i} are linearly independent by Proposition 1.2. \square

Theorem 1 is a common generalization of [11, Theorem 2] and [21, Theorem 1.3]. The above proof is much simpler than that in [11] and [21].

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}_{H_1} = \mathcal{D}_{H_2}$. Choose a maximal torus T_i of H_i^0 ($i = 1, 2$). By Lemma 4.1, we have $H_i = (Z(G) \cap H_i)H_i^0$. Any maximal commutative connected subset S_i of H_i is of the form $S_i = zT_i$ ($z \in Z(G) \cap H_i$). Considering supports of the Sato-Tate measures st_{H_1} and st_{H_2} , we see that T_1 and T_2 are conjugate. Replacing H_2 by a conjugate one we may assume that $T_1 = T_2$. For any $z \in Z(G) \cap H_1$, considering the supports of Sato-Tate measures st_{H_1} and st_{H_2} again we see that: there exists $z' \in Z(G) \cap H_2$ such

that zT_1 and $z'T_2$ are conjugate. Choosing $g \in G$ such that $g(zT_1)g^{-1} = z'T_2$. Then,

$$z \in zT_1 \cap Z(G) = g(zT_1 \cap Z(G))g^{-1} = z'T_2 \cap Z(G) \subset Z(G) \cap H_2.$$

Thus, $Z(G) \cap H_1 \subset Z(G) \cap H_2$. Similarly we have $Z(G) \cap H_2 \subset Z(G) \cap H_1$. Then, $Z(G) \cap H_1 = Z(G) \cap H_2$. By Theorem 1, H_1^0 and H_2^0 are element-conjugate, i.e., there exists an isomorphism $\phi : H_1^0 \rightarrow H_2^0$ such that $\phi(x) \sim x$ ($\forall x \in H_1^0$). Define $\phi' : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ by

$$\phi'(zx) = z\phi(x), \quad \forall (z, x) \in (Z(G) \cap H_1) \times H_1^0.$$

Then, ϕ' is an isomorphisms and $\phi'(x) \sim x$ ($\forall x \in H_1$). Hence, H_1 and H_2 are element-conjugate. \square

The following theorem generalizes Theorems 1 and 2. Its proof shows the power of quasi Cartan subgroup and quasi root system.

Theorem 8. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group. Then the dimension data of any tuple of pairwise non-element conjugate S-subgroups of G are linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$ be a tuple of pairwise non-element conjugate S-subgroups of G . Suppose that the dimension data

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$$

are linearly dependent. For each i ($1 \leq i \leq s$), choose a maximal commutative connected subset S_i of H_i generating H_i/H_i^0 and let \tilde{S}_i be the quasi Cartan subgroup generated by S_i . Write d_i for the minimal order of elements in S_i . Without loss of generality we assume that $\dim S_i \geq \dim S_1$ for each i and $d_i \leq d_1$ whenever $\dim S_i = \dim S_1$. Without loss of generality we assume that $(\dim S_i, d_i) = (\dim S_1, d_1)$ holds when $1 \leq i \leq s'$ for some integer $s' \leq s$. Moreover, without loss of generality we assume that for some integer $t \leq s'$, S_i is conjugate to S_1 when $1 \leq i \leq t$ and any maximal commutative connected subset S'_i of H_i generating H_i/H_i^0 is not conjugate to S_1 whenever $t+1 \leq i \leq s'$. Then, by Lemma 1.17, any maximal commutative connected subset S'_i of H_i is not conjugate to S_1 whenever $t+1 \leq i \leq s$. Put $S = S_1$ and

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_G(S)/Z_G(S).$$

Then, the characters

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_t, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly dependent by Proposition 1.2. Note that S is of the form $S = zT$ with T a torus and $z \in Z(G)$. The remaining proof is the same as that of Theorem 1. \square

Using the condition $Z(G) \cap H_1 = Z(G) \cap H_2$ shown in the proof of Theorem 2, one reduces the element-conjugacy against conjugacy question for S-subgroups in a connected compact Lie group G to connected S-subgroups. Note that it is equivalent to study the element-conjugacy against conjugacy question for connected S-subgroups in any isogeny form of G . Furthermore, this reduces

to the case that G is a torus or a connected compact simple Lie group. When G is a torus, trivially element-conjugacy and conjugacy are equivalent. When $G \cong \mathrm{SU}(n)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$, $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ or G_2 , it is strongly acceptable ([24]) and hence element-conjugate subgroups are conjugate. When G is a connected compact exceptional simple Lie group, by Dynkin's classification ([6],[14]), it follows that element-conjugate connected S-subgroups are conjugate. When $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n)$, an element-conjugacy class of connected S-subgroups may split into one or two conjugacy classes.

The following lemma gives a characterization of connected S-subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ ($n \geq 4$).

Lemma 5.2. *Write $\rho = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ for the natural representation of $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n)$ ($n \geq 4$). Let H be a connected closed subgroup of G . Then, H is an S-subgroup if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\rho|_H$ is irreducible.
- (ii) $\rho|_H = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ where ρ_1, ρ_2 are non-isomorphic odd-dimensional representations of orthogonal type.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We prove the necessity. Suppose that H is a connected S-subgroup and $\rho|_H$ is not irreducible. Write $B = Z_{\mathrm{O}(2n)}(H)$. Since $\rho|_H$ is not irreducible, B properly contains $\{\pm I\}$. Since H is an S-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$, we have $Z_{\mathrm{SO}(2n)}(H) = \{\pm I\}$. Then, $B/\{\pm I\}$ has order at most 2. Thus, $|B/\{\pm I\}| = 2$. Choose an element $x \in B - \{\pm I\}$. Then, $x^2 = \pm I$. When $x^2 = I$, we have $x \sim I_{p,2n-p}$ for some odd p . This leads to (ii). When $x^2 = -I$, we have $x \sim J_n$. Without loss of generality we assume that $x = J_n$. Then, $H \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n)^{J_n} = \mathrm{U}(n)$ and

$$Z_{\mathrm{SO}(2n)}(\mathrm{U}(n)) = Z(\mathrm{U}(n)) \cong \mathrm{U}(1),$$

which is in contradiction with H being an S-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$. \square

Two S-subgroups H_1, H_2 of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ in Classes (i), (ii) of Lemma 5.2, respectively have different dimension data since

$$\dim(\rho \otimes \rho^*)^{H_1} = 1 \neq 2 = \dim(\rho \otimes \rho^*)^{H_2}.$$

Then, H_1 and H_2 are not element-conjugate. Since $\mathrm{O}(2n)$ is acceptable ([24]), any two element-conjugate subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{O}(2n)$. Then, two S-subgroups H_1, H_2 of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ in Class (ii) which being element-conjugate are conjugate due to $Z_{\mathrm{O}(2n)}(H_1)$ contains an element with determinant -1.

The following lemma follows from [14, Theorem 4]. It characterizes element-conjugate but non-conjugate connected irreducible subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume H is a closed compact Lie group and $\rho : H \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)$ gives an irreducible representation of H . Let $\rho' = \mathrm{Ad}(A) \circ \rho$ where $A \in \mathrm{O}(2n) - \mathrm{SO}(2n)$. In order that ρ' is element-conjugate to ρ it is necessary and sufficient that the character $\rho|_T$ has weight 0 for a maximal torus T of H . In order that $\rho(H)$ and $\rho'(H)$ are not conjugate in $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$N_{\mathrm{O}(2n)}(\rho(H)) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n).$$

In the following example, we construct a pair of element-conjugate but non-conjugate connected irreducible subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$.

Example 5.1. *Take two even positive integers $n_1 \neq n_2$. Put $H = \mathrm{SO}(2n_1 + 1) \times \mathrm{SO}(2n_2 + 1)$ and $n = \frac{n_1 + n_2 + 2(n_1^2 + n_2^2)}{2}$. Let $\rho : H \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)$ be an imbedding given by the representation*

$$\rho = \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(2n_1+1)} \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(2n_2+1)}.$$

Choose an element $g \in \mathrm{O}(2n) - \mathrm{SO}(2n)$ and put $\rho' = \mathrm{Ad}(g) \circ \rho$. Then, $\rho'(x) \sim \rho(x)$ ($\forall x \in H$) and the imbeddings ρ and ρ' are not conjugate. Since H has no outer automorphisms, we have

$$N_{\mathrm{O}(2n)}(\rho(H)) = Z_{\mathrm{O}(2n)}(\rho(H))\rho(H) = \rho(H) \times \{\pm I\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n).$$

Thus, $\rho'(H)$ and $\rho(H)$ are not conjugate in $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$.

6. DIMENSION DATA OF \mathbf{S} -SUBGROUPS OF $\mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$

Put

$$G = \mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Write $\rho = \mathbb{C}^N$ for the natural representation of $\mathrm{U}(N)$.

6.1. Characterization of quasi root systems of \mathbf{S} -subgroups. Fix a closed commutative connected subset S in $\tau \mathrm{U}(N)$, and let \tilde{S} be the quasi torus generated by S . Write $\Psi'_{\tilde{S}} \subset X^*(\tilde{S})$ for the enveloping quasi root system associated to \tilde{S} (Definition 1.16) and let $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ the set of roots $\alpha \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ such that $\alpha \neq 2\beta$ for any root $\beta \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$. For simplicity we write $\Psi = \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$. Let

$$\Psi = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} \Psi_i$$

be the decomposition of Ψ into an orthogonal disjoint union of irreducible quasi root systems. Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_{G^\circ}(S)/Z_{G^\circ}(S).$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let H be an S -subgroup of G with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. Then we have*

$$\bigcap_{\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R}(H, \tilde{S})} \ker \bar{\alpha} = \tilde{S}^0 \cap Z(G),$$

$$\bar{R}(H, \tilde{S}) \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$$

and

$$R(H, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi.$$

Proof. We have $d = 2$. By Lemma 4.2 we the conclusion of the lemma. \square

Fix an element $x_0 \in S$ such that $x_0^2 = \pm I$. Define $\beta_0 \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ by

$$\beta_0|_{\tilde{S}^0} = 1 \text{ and } \beta_0(x_0) = -1.$$

For an element $y \in \tilde{S}^0$ with $y^2 = I$, define $t_y \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{S})$ by

$$t_y|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \mathrm{id} \text{ and } t_y(x_0) = yx_0.$$

Lemma 6.2. *For each element $y \in \tilde{S} \cap G^0$ with $y^2 = I$, we have $t_y \in \Gamma^\circ$.*

Proof. Since $(yx_0)^2 = x_0^2 = \pm I$, there exists $g \in U(N)$ such that $gx_0g^{-1} = yx_0$. Then, $g^{-1}\tilde{S}^0g \subset U(N)^{x_0}$ and $\tilde{S}^0 \subset U(N)^{x_0}$. Since $U(N)^{x_0}$ ($\sim O(N)$ or $\text{Sp}(N/2)$) strongly controls its fusion in $U(N)$, there exists $h \in U(N)^{x_0}$ such that

$$g^{-1}y'g = hy'h^{-1}, \quad \forall y \in \tilde{S}^0.$$

Put $g' = gh$. Then, $g'x_0g'^{-1} = yx_0$ and $\text{Ad}(g')|_{\tilde{S}^0} = \text{id}$. Thus, $g' \in N_{G^0}(S)$ and $t_y = \text{Ad}(g')|_S \in \Gamma^\circ$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\alpha \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ be a root. Except when $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} = 2\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$, we have $\alpha + \beta_0 \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\bar{\alpha} \neq 2\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Then, there exists $I \neq y \in \tilde{S}^0$ with $y^2 = I$ such that $\alpha(y) = -1$. By Lemma 6.2 we have $t_y \in \Gamma^\circ$. Then,

$$\alpha + \beta_0 = t_y(\alpha) \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let Ψ_i be an irreducible factor of Ψ .*

- (i) *When $\bar{\Psi}_i$ is not of type **C** or **BC**, we have $\Psi_i \cong \bar{\Psi}_i^{(2)}$.*
- (ii) *When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong C_m$ ($m \geq 2$), we have $\Psi_i \cong C_m^{(2)}$ or $C_m^{(2,1)}$.*
- (iii) *When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong C_1$, we have $\Psi_i \cong C_1^{(2)}$ or C_1 .*
- (iv) *When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong BC_m$ ($m \geq 2$), we have $\Psi_i \cong BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$.*
- (v) *When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong BC_1$, we have $\Psi_i \cong BC_1^{(2,1)}$.*

Proof. Suppose that Ψ_i is not of type **C** or **BC**. Then, for any root $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Psi}_i$, there exists $\bar{\beta} \in \bar{\Psi}_i$ such that $\frac{2(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})}{(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\beta})} = 1$. Hence, $\bar{\alpha} \neq 2\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. By Lemma 6.3, we get $\alpha + \beta_0 \in \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$. Then, the conclusion in (i) follows.

Suppose that $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong C_m$ ($m \geq 2$). Then, for any short root $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Psi}_i$, there exists $\bar{\beta} \in \bar{\Psi}_i$ such that $\frac{2(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})}{(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\beta})} = 1$. Hence, $\bar{\alpha} \neq 2\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. By Lemma 6.3, we get $\alpha + \beta_0 \in \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$. Then, the conclusion in (ii) follows.

The conclusion in each item (iii)-(v) is clear. \square

Fix a positive system $\bar{\Psi}^+$ of $\bar{\Psi}$. Let H be an S -subgroup with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. Write $\Phi = R(H, \tilde{S})$ for the quasi root system of H with respect to \tilde{S} . Choose a pinned element $x \in S$ of Φ . Then, $\alpha(x) = \pm 1$ for each root $\alpha \in \Phi$. Thus, $x^2 \in Z(H) \subset Z(G) = \{\pm I\}$. Write $x^2 = \epsilon_\Phi I$ where $\epsilon_\Phi = \pm 1$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\Phi \subset \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ be the quasi root system of an S -subgroup H with \tilde{S} a generalized Cartan subgroup. Suppose that the isomorphism type of each quasi root system $\Phi \cap \Psi_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is given. Then the sign ϵ_Φ and the Γ° orbit containing Φ are uniquely determined.*

Proof. Replacing Φ by a W_Ψ conjugate of it if necessary, we may assume that $\bar{\Phi} \cap \bar{\Psi}_i$ is given for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Choose a pinned element $x \in S$ of Φ .

Write $H_x = (H^x)^0$. Then, \tilde{S}^0 is a maximal torus of H_x and the root system $R(H_x, \tilde{S}^0)$ is a given subset of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Moreover, we have

$$H_x \subset \mathrm{U}(N)^x \sim \begin{cases} \mathrm{O}(N) & \text{if } \epsilon_\Phi = 1 \\ \mathrm{Sp}(N/2) & \text{if } \epsilon_\Phi = -1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\rho|_{H_x}$ is of orthogonal type if $\epsilon_\Phi = 1$, and is of symplectic type if $\epsilon_\Phi = -1$. On the other hand, the character $\chi_\rho|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ is given. By Lemmas 3.7 and 3.5, longest weights appearing in $\chi_\rho|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ consist in a $W_{\bar{\Phi}}$ orbit. Hence, $\rho|_{H_x}$ contains a unique irreducible representation with highest weight of maximal length. Then, the symplectic/orthogonal type of $\rho|_{H_x}$ is determined by the Schur index of the irreducible factor of $\rho|_{H_x}$ with highest weight of maximal length. Therefore, the sign ϵ_Φ is uniquely determined.

Given the sign ϵ_Φ , the pinned element x is determined up to a translation t_y where $y \in \tilde{S}^0$ having $y^2 = I$. Since all such t_y are contained in Γ° by Lemma 6.2, we may assume that the pinned element x is given. Then, a simple system of Φ is determined by the isomorphism type of $\bar{\Phi}$. Furthermore, the quasi root system Φ is determined by its folding indices. \square

The following lemma says that: once the semisimplicity or non-semisimplicity of an S-subgroup H is given, the quasi root system of H determines it up to conjugacy. This is an instance showing the power of quasi root system.

Lemma 6.6. *Let H_1, H_2 be two S-subgroups of $G = \mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ with \tilde{S} a common quasi Cartan subgroup and with quasi root systems $\Phi_j = \Phi(H_j, \tilde{S})$ ($j = 1, 2$). Assume that H_1^0 and H_2^0 are both semisimple or both non-semisimple. Then, the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) H_1 and H_2 are conjugate.
- (2) H_1 and H_2 are element-conjugate.
- (3) Φ_1 and Φ_2 are conjugate with respect to Γ° .

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is an isomorphism such that $\phi(x) \sim x$ ($\forall x \in H_1$). Then, $\phi(\tilde{S})$ is also a quasi Cartan subgroup of H_2 . Replacing ϕ by a conjugate of it if necessary we may assume that $\phi(\tilde{S}) = \tilde{S}$. Since $\phi(x_0) \sim x_0$, there exists $g_1 \in G^0$ such that $x_0 = g_1 \phi(x_0) g_1^{-1}$. Now, both \tilde{S}^0 and $\mathrm{Ad}(g_1)(\phi(\tilde{S}^0))$ are contained in $\mathrm{U}(N)^{x_0}$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(g_1) \circ \phi : \tilde{S}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ad}(g_1)(\phi(\tilde{S}^0))$ is an element-conjugate homomorphism. Since $\mathrm{U}(N)^{x_0}$ ($\cong \mathrm{O}(N)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(N/2)$) strongly controls its fusion in $\mathrm{U}(N)$ ([24]), there exists $g_2 \in \mathrm{U}(N)^{x_0}$ such that $g_1 \phi(y) g_1^{-1} = g_2^{-1} y g_2$ ($\forall y \in \tilde{S}^0$). Put $g = (g_2 g_1)^{-1}$. Then, $g \in N_{G^0}(S)$ and $\phi|_{\tilde{S}} = \mathrm{Ad}(g)|_{\tilde{S}}$. Put $\gamma = [g] \in \Gamma^\circ$. Then, $\Phi_1 = \phi^* \Phi_2 = \gamma^* \Phi_2$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Γ° conjugate. Replacing H_2 by a conjugate if necessary we may assume that $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$, and let it be denoted by Φ . Choose a pinned element $x \in S$ of Φ .

Let $T_j = Z_{H_j^0}(\tilde{S}^0)$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then, T_j is a maximal torus of $(H_j)^0$ by Lemma 1.15. Define a root $\beta \in R(H_j, T_j)$ to be positive if $\beta|_{\tilde{S}^0} > 0$. Let λ_j be the highest weight of $\rho|_{T_j}$ with respect to this positive system. There is

an isomorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_2$ such that $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(x) = \text{Ad}(x) \circ \sigma$. Then, the restriction characters on $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ of two irreducible representations of \mathfrak{h}_1 with highest weights $\sigma^*\lambda_2$ and λ_1 are both equal to $\chi_\rho|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}$. From irreducible factors of Φ , we get direct product decompositions of \mathfrak{h}_1 and \mathfrak{h}_2 . Write $\lambda_{j,i}$ for the component of λ_j on the i -th factor of \mathfrak{h}_i ($j = 1, 2, 1 \leq i \leq r$). By Lemma 3.4, for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) we have $\sigma^*\lambda_{2,i} = \lambda_{1,i}$ or $\text{Ad}(x)^*\lambda_{1,i}$. Replacing the action of σ on the i -th factor of \mathfrak{h}_1 by that of $\text{Ad}(x) \circ \sigma$ for some i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) if necessary, we may assume that $\sigma^*\lambda_{2,i} = \lambda_{1,i}$ for all i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then, $\sigma^*\lambda_2 = \lambda_1$ and $V_{H_1^0, \lambda_1} \cong \sigma^*V_{H_2^0, \lambda_2}$. Thus, there exists $g \in \text{U}(N)$ such that $\sigma = \text{Ad}(g)|_{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. Hence, $gH_1^0g^{-1} = H_2^0$ and $[g, x]$ commutes with H_1^0 . By the irreducibility of H_1^0 (cf. Lemma 4.4), there exists $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| = 1$ such that $[g, x] = z^2I$. Put $g' = (z^{-1}I)g$. Then, $\text{Ad}(g')|_{H_1^0} = \text{Ad}(g)|_{H_1^0}$ and $g'xg'^{-1} = x$. Thus, $g'H_1g'^{-1} = H_2$ and $\text{Ad}(g')|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}} = \text{id}$. Hence, H_1 and H_2 are conjugate. \square

6.2. Distinction and linear independence of dimension data. For each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), let \tilde{S}_i^0 be the neutral subgroup of

$$\{y \in \tilde{S}^0 : \alpha(y) = 1, \forall \alpha \in \Psi_j, j \neq i\}.$$

Then, the natural map

$$\tilde{S}_1^0 \times \cdots \times \tilde{S}_r^0 \rightarrow \tilde{S}^0, (y_1, \dots, y_r) \mapsto y_1 \cdots y_r$$

is a finite covering. From this decomposition, it is associated a canonical character χ_i on \tilde{S}_i^0 such that:

$$\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_r.$$

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose that each (Ψ_i, χ_i) ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is not isomorphic to $(\text{B}_n^{(2)}, ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes n})$ ($n \geq 2$). Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s be a set of pairwise non-conjugate S -subgroups of G with \tilde{S} a common quasi Cartan subgroup, which are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. Then the characters $F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_s, \Gamma^\circ}$ are linearly independent, where $\Phi_j = R(H_j, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi$ is the quasi root system of H_j with respect to \tilde{S} ($1 \leq j \leq s$).*

Proof. Write

$$L_\Psi = \mathbb{Z}\bar{\Psi},$$

which is a sub-lattice of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Since the action of Γ° on $X^*(\tilde{S})$ preserves Ψ , its induced action on $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ preserves $\bar{\Psi}$. Then, L_Ψ is preserved by Γ° . For each j ($1 \leq j \leq s$), write $F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ}$ for the sum of terms $[\lambda]$ in F_{Φ_j, Γ° such that

$$\lambda|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_0} \in 2L_\Psi.$$

Note that, each t_y ($y \in \tilde{S}^0, y^2 = I$) fixes all such linear characters. Then, $F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ}$ is preserved by Γ° and is fixed by all t_y ($y \in \tilde{S}^0, y^2 = I$). It suffices to show that: the characters

$$F'_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F'_{\Phi_s, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly independent. By Lemma 6.6, the quasi root systems Φ_1, \dots, Φ_s are pairwise Γ° non-conjugate as the S -subgroups H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s are pairwise non-conjugate and are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. We show that:

the leading terms of $\{F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ} : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ are distinct, which implies the above assertion.

Without loss of generality we suppose that $F'_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}$ and $F'_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}$ have equal leading terms. Replacing Φ_2 by a Γ° conjugate of it if necessary, we may assume that A_{Φ_1} and A_{Φ_2} have equal actual leading weights (cf. Lemma 1.32), i.e., $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_1} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_2}$. For $j = 1, 2$, write $\Phi_{j,i} = \Phi_j \cap \Psi_i$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). We have

$$\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_j} = (\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{j,1}}, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{j,r}}).$$

Then, $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{1,i}} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{2,i}}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). By assumption, each (Ψ_i, χ_i) ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is not isomorphic to $(B_n^{(2)}, ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes n})$ ($n \geq 2$). By Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 3.15, the triple $(\Psi_i, \chi_i, \Phi_{j,i})$ ($j = 1, 2$) fall into the following list:

- (i) When $\bar{\Psi}_i$ is simply-laced, we have $\Psi_i \cong \bar{\Psi}_i^{(2)}$ and $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bar{\Psi}_i^{(2)}$ or $\bar{\Psi}_i$.
- (ii) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong B_n$ or BC_n and χ_i is in-decomposable ($n \geq 2$), we have $\Psi_i \cong B_n^{(2)}$ or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$ and $\Phi_{j,i} \cong B_n, B_n^{(2,1)}, B_n^{(2)}$ or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$.
- (iii) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong B_n$ or BC_n and $\chi_i = (\tau_1^2)^{\otimes n}$, we have $\Psi_i \cong B_n^{(2)}$ or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$ and $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq k \leq u} B_{n_k}^{(2)}$ where $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq u} n_k = n$.
- (iv) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong B_n$ or BC_n and $\chi_i = \tau_2^{\otimes n}$, we have $\Psi_i \cong B_n^{(2)}$ or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$ and

$$\Phi_{j,i} \cong (\bigsqcup_{1 \leq k \leq u} B_1) \bigsqcup (\bigsqcup_{1 \leq k' \leq u'} BC_1^{(2,1)})$$

where $u + u' = n$.

- (v) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong C_n$ ($n \geq 3$), we have $\Psi_i \cong C_n^{(2,1)}$ or $C_n^{(2)}$ and $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_n, C_n, D_n^{(2)}, C_n^{(2,1)}$ or $C_n^{(2)}$.
- (vi) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong F_4$, we have $\Psi_i \cong F_4^{(2)}$ and $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_4, C_4, F_4, D_4^{(2)}, C_4^{(2,1)}, F_4^{(2,1)}, C_4^{(2)}$ or $F_4^{(2)}$.
- (vii) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong G_2$, we have $\Psi_i \cong G_2^{(2)}$ and $\Phi_{j,i} \cong A_2^S, G_2, A_2^{S(2)}$ or $G_2^{(2)}$.

By the formulas of $\bar{\mu}_\Phi$ given in the proof of Lemma 1.32, we see that in each case (i)-(vii) the condition $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{1,i}} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{2,i}}$ forces that: $\Phi_{1,i} \cong \Phi_{2,i}$. By Lemma 6.5, it follows that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Γ° conjugate, which gives a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$ be a tuple of pairwise non-element conjugate S-subgroups of G . Suppose that the dimension data

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$$

are linearly dependent. For each j ($1 \leq j \leq s$), choose a maximal commutative connected subset S_j of H_j generating H_j/H_j^0 . Write d_j for the minimal order of elements in S_j . Without loss of generality we assume that $\dim S_j \geq \dim S_1$ for each j and $d_j \leq d_1$ whenever $\dim S_j = \dim S_1$ and $(\dim S_j, d_j) = (\dim S_1, d_1)$ holds exactly when $1 \leq j \leq s'$ for some integer $s' \leq s$. Moreover, for some integer $t \leq s'$, S_j is conjugate to S_1 when $1 \leq j \leq t$ and any maximal commutative connected subset S'_j of H_j generating H_j/H_j^0 is not conjugate to S_1 whenever $t+1 \leq j \leq s'$. Then, by Lemma 1.17, any maximal commutative connected subset S'_j of H_j is not conjugate to S_1 whenever $t+1 \leq j \leq s$. Write

$S = S_1$ and let \tilde{S} be the quasi torus generated by S . Put $\Gamma^\circ = N_{G^0}(S)/Z_{G^0}(S)$. Then, the characters

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_t, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly dependent by Proposition 1.2. This is in contradiction with Lemma 6.7. Thus, the dimension data $\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$ are linearly independent. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Let H_1, H_2 be two non-conjugate S -subgroups of G both with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. Suppose that H_1 and H_2 are both semisimple or both non-semisimple. Let $\Phi_1 \subset \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ (resp. $\Phi_2 \subset \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$) be the quasi root system of H_1 (resp. H_2) with respect to \tilde{S} . Then*

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ} \neq F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ} = F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}$. By Lemma 3.16, there is at most one index i such that

$$(\Psi_i, \chi_i) \cong (\mathbb{B}_n^{(2)}, ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes n}).$$

When there is no such index i , F_{Φ_1, Γ° and F_{Φ_2, Γ° are linearly independent by Lemma 6.7, which is in contradiction with our assumption. When there is such an index i , without loss of generality we assume that $(\Psi_r, \chi_r) \cong (\mathbb{B}_n^{(2)}, ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes n})$. Write

$$\Psi' = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} \Psi_i.$$

Then,

$$(24) \quad \Psi = \Psi' \bigsqcup \Psi_r$$

and both Ψ' and Ψ_r are stable under the action of Γ° . Thus, we have natural homomorphisms $\Gamma^\circ \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi')$ and $\Gamma^\circ \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi_r)$. Let Γ' and Γ_r be the images of them, respectively. Then, $F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ} = F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}$ indicates that

$$(25) \quad F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma' \times \Gamma_r} = F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma' \times \Gamma_r}.$$

Write $\Phi_{j,i} = \Phi_j \cap \Psi_i$ ($j = 1, 2, 1 \leq i \leq r$) and

$$\Phi'_j = \Phi_j \cap \Psi' = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} \Phi_{j,i}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then,

$$(26) \quad F_{\Phi_j, \Gamma' \times \Gamma_r} = F_{\Phi'_j, \Gamma'} \otimes F_{\Phi_{j,r}, \Gamma_r}.$$

By (25) and (26), we get

$$(27) \quad F_{\Phi'_1, \Gamma'} = F_{\Phi'_2, \Gamma'}$$

and

$$(28) \quad F_{\Phi_{1,r}, \Gamma_r} = F_{\Phi_{2,r}, \Gamma_r}.$$

By (27), replacing H_2 by a conjugate one if necessary we may assume that $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_1} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_2}$. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.7 one shows that

$$(29) \quad \Phi_{1,i} \cong \Phi_{2,i}, \quad \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq s-1.$$

By Lemma 3.16, we have

$$\Phi_{1,r} \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t_1} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i' \leq t'_1} B_{n_{i'}} \right)$$

and

$$\Phi_{2,r} \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq t_2} B_{m_j}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j' \leq t'_2} B_{m_{j'}} \right),$$

where n_i, m_j are even and

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t_1} n_i + \sum_{1 \leq i' \leq t'_1} n_{i'} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t_2} m_j + \sum_{1 \leq j' \leq t'_2} m_{j'} = n.$$

By Lemma 1.36, we get

$$(30) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t_1} (b_{n_i/2} c_{n_i/2}) \prod_{1 \leq i' \leq t'_1} a_{n_{i'}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t_2} (b_{m_j/2} c_{m_j/2}) \prod_{1 \leq j' \leq t'_2} a_{m_{j'}}$$

from (28), where a_k, b_k, c_k (and $b'_k = \sigma(b_k)$ and d_{k+1} below) are polynomials as defined in [21]. By [21, Proposition 3.2], we have

$$a_{2k} = b_k b'_k$$

and

$$a_{2k+1} = c_k d_{k+1}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By [21, Proposition 7.3], there is no nontrivial multiplicative relation among the polynomials

$$\{b_k, b'_k, c_k, d_{k+1} : k \geq 1\}.$$

Then, (30) indicates that $t_1 = t_2$ and $\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq t_1\} = \{m_j : 1 \leq j \leq t_2\}$, $t'_1 = t'_2$ and $\{n_{i'} : 1 \leq i' \leq t'_1\} = \{m_{j'} : 1 \leq j' \leq t'_2\}$. Thus,

$$(31) \quad \Phi_{1,r} \cong \Phi_{2,r}.$$

By Lemma 6.5, (29) and (31) imply that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Γ° conjugate. By Lemma 6.6 and the assumption that H_1 and H_2 are both semisimple or both non-semisimple, it follows that H_1 and H_2 are conjugate, which contradicts the assumption of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}_{H_1} = \mathcal{D}_{H_2}$. Choose a maximal torus T_j of H_j^0 and a maximal commutative connected subset S_j of H_j generating H_j/H_j^0 ($j = 1, 2$). Then, T_1 is G conjugate to T_2 , and S_1 is G^0 conjugate to S_2 . Without loss of generality we assume that $S_1 = S_2$ and let it be denoted by S . Let \tilde{S} be the quasi torus generated by S . Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_{G^0}(S)/Z_{G^0}(S).$$

For each j ($j = 1, 2$), write $\Phi_j = R(H_j, \tilde{S})$ for the quasi root system of H_j with respect to \tilde{S} .

Since $T_1 \sim T_2$, they either both contain the center of $U(N)$, or neither contains that. By Lemma 4.4, both H_1^0 and H_2^0 are irreducible subgroups of $U(N)$. When T_1 and T_2 do not contain the center of $U(N)$, both H_1 and H_2 are semisimple; when they neither contain that, H_1 and H_2 are both non-semisimple. By Lemma 6.8 we get

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ} \neq F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{D}_{H_1} \neq \mathcal{D}_{H_2}$ by Proposition 1.2. \square

In Theorem 3, we impose two conditions: (1) for any quasi Cartan subgroup \tilde{S} of any of H_1, \dots, H_s , $(\Psi_{\tilde{S}}, \chi_\rho|_{\tilde{S}^0})$ does not contain an irreducible factor (Ψ_i, χ_i) isomorphic to

$$(B_n^{(2)}, ([\frac{1}{2}] + [-\frac{1}{2}])^{\otimes n})$$

($n \geq 2$). (2) H_1, \dots, H_s are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. In the next two subsections, we construct two kinds of examples of S-subgroups of $G = U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ with linearly dependent dimension data to show that both conditions are necessary.

6.3. S-subgroups with linearly dependent dimension data, I. When $n \geq 1$ is odd, the spin group $\text{Spin}(n)$ has a spinor module M_n of dimension $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, which is a fundamental irreducible representation. When $n \geq 2$ is even, the spin group $\text{Spin}(n)$ has two spinor modules $M_{+,n}$ and $M_{-,n}$ both of dimension $2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$, which are fundamental irreducible representations when $n \geq 4$. The spinor modules $M_{+,n}$ and $M_{-,n}$ are distinguished by their central characters and they are permuted by an outer involutive automorphism of $\text{Spin}(n)$. The self-duality and orthogonal/symplectic type of spinor modules as described in the following lemma are well-known.

- Lemma 6.9.**
- (i) When $n \equiv -1$ or $1 \pmod{8}$, the spin module M_n is self-dual and of orthogonal type.
 - (ii) When $n \equiv 3$ or $5 \pmod{8}$, the spin module M_n is self-dual and of symplectic type.
 - (iii) When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, the spin modules $M_{+,n}$ and $M_{-,n}$ are self-dual and of orthogonal type.
 - (iv) When $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, the spin modules $M_{+,n}$ and $M_{-,n}$ are self-dual and of symplectic type.
 - (v) When $n \equiv 2$ or $6 \pmod{8}$, the spin modules $M_{+,n}$ and $M_{-,n}$ are non-self dual and they are dual to each other.

Recall that the *Schur index* of a self-dual irreducible finite-dimensional complex linear representation ρ of a compact Lie group K is defined as follows: the Schur index of ρ is 1 if ρ is of orthogonal type; the Schur index of ρ is -1 if ρ is of symplectic type.

Lemma 6.10. *Let n_1, \dots, n_s be positive integers such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i = n$ and let k be the number of indices i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) such that $n_i \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$. Then, the Schur index of $M_{2n_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{2n_s+1}$ is equal to $(-1)^k$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.9, the Schur index of M_{2n_i+1} is equal to

$$\begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n_i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } n_i \equiv 0 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then, by the multiplicativity of the Schur index, the Schur index of $M_{2n_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{2n_s+1}$ is equal to $(-1)^k$. \square

Let n be a positive integer. Put $N = 2^n$ and $G_n = \mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. We construct a quasi torus \tilde{S}_n of G_n as follows. Take a torus $T_n = \mathrm{U}(1)^n$. Write $(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n})$ ($\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$) for a general element of T_n . For each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), define a 2-dimensional orthogonal representation σ_i of T_n by

$$\sigma_i(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_i & \sin \theta_i \\ -\sin \theta_i & \cos \theta_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n$. Then, $\sigma(T_n) \subset \mathrm{SO}(N)$. Put

$$S_n = \sigma(T_n)\tau$$

and let \tilde{S}_n be the quasi torus generated by S_n . Write

$$\Psi_n = \Psi_{\tilde{S}_n}$$

and

$$\Gamma_n^\circ = N_{G_n^\circ}(S_n)/Z_{G_n^\circ}(S_n).$$

Lemma 6.11. *Let n_1, \dots, n_s be even positive integers and n'_1, \dots, n'_t be positive integers such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} n'_j = n$. Then there exists an S -subgroup H of G_n with \tilde{S}_n a quasi Cartan subgroup and with quasi root system*

$$R(H, \tilde{S}_n) \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} B_{n'_j} \right).$$

Proof. Put

$$K = (\mathrm{Spin}(2n_1+2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Spin}(2n_s+2)) \times (\mathrm{Spin}(2n'_1+1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Spin}(2n'_t+1))$$

and

$$\rho = (M_{2n_1+2,+} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{2n_s+2}) \times (M_{2n'_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{2n'_t+1}).$$

Take a subgroup of K :

$$K' = (\mathrm{Spin}(2n_1+1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Spin}(2n_s+1)) \times (\mathrm{Spin}(2n'_1+1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Spin}(2n'_t+1)).$$

Then, there exists an involutive automorphism θ of K such that $K^\theta = K'$. Choose an element $g_1 \in \tau \mathrm{U}(n)$. Define

$$\rho'(x) = g_1^{-1} \rho(\theta(x)) g_1.$$

Then, $\rho' \cong (\theta\rho)^* \cong \rho$ by Lemma 6.9. Thus, there exists $g_2 \in \mathrm{U}(N)$ such that

$$\rho'(x) = g_2 \rho(x) g_2^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Put $g = g_1 g_2$. Then,

$$(32) \quad \rho(\theta(x)) = g\rho(x)g^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Inputting $x \in K'$, we get $g \in Z_{U(N)\tau}(\rho(K'))$. Since $\rho|_{K'}$ is irreducible, this determines g up to multiplying a scalar matrix. Since g^2 commutes with $\rho(K')$ and $g \in U(n)\tau$, we must have $g^2 = \pm I$. Choose a maximal torus T of K' and let

$$\tilde{S}' = \langle \rho(T), g \rangle.$$

Put $H' = \langle \rho(K), g \rangle$. Then, H' is an S-subgroup of G with \tilde{S}' a quasi Cartan subgroup by Lemma 4.4. By (32), we have

$$R(H', \tilde{S}') \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} B_{n'_j} \right).$$

To reach the conclusion of the lemma, it suffices to show the following: \tilde{S}' and \tilde{S}_n are conjugate in G_n .

From the character formulas of spinor modules M_{2k+1} , we see that \tilde{S}'^0 and \tilde{S}_n^0 are conjugate in $U(N)$, i.e., there exists $g_3 \in U(N)$ such that $\tilde{S}'^0 = g_3 \tilde{S}_n^0 g_3^{-1}$. Write $g_3^2 = \epsilon I$ where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Choose an element $y \in \tilde{S}'^0$ such that $y^2 = \epsilon I$. Then, $(yg)^2 = I$. Thus, there exists $g_4 \in U(N)$ such that $g_4(yg)g_4^{-1} = \tau$. Now, both $g_4 \tilde{S}'^0 g_4^{-1}$ and \tilde{S}_n^0 are contained in $U(N)^\tau = O(N)$ and we have $g_4 \tilde{S}'^0 g_4^{-1} = g_4 g_3 \tilde{S}_n^0 (g_4 g_3)^{-1}$. Since $O(N)$ strongly controls its fusion in $U(N)$ ([24]), there exists $g_5 \in U(N)^\tau$ such that

$$g_4 g_3 x (g_4 g_3)^{-1} = g_5 x g_5^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in \tilde{S}_n^0.$$

Then,

$$\text{Ad}(g_4^{-1} g_5) \tilde{S}_n = \tilde{S}'.$$

□

Lemma 6.12. *Suppose that $n \geq 3$. Then, we have*

$$\Psi_n \cong B_n^{(2)}$$

and

$$\Gamma_n^\circ = W_{\Psi_n}.$$

Proof. (1) For each j ($1 \leq j \leq n$), define a linear character e_j of T_n by

$$e_j(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) = e^{2i\theta_j}.$$

Then, each e_j descends to a character of \tilde{S}_n^0 , still denoted by e_j . Define an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on $X^*(T_n)$ (and on $X^*(\tilde{S}_n^0)$) by taking $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ an orthonormal basis. By our construction, the character χ_σ is equal to

$$\left(\left[\frac{e_1}{2} \right] + \left[-\frac{e_1}{2} \right] \right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \left(\left[\frac{e_n}{2} \right] + \left[-\frac{e_n}{2} \right] \right).$$

From this, we see that (\cdot, \cdot) coincides with the inner product induced by the conjugation invariant inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)'$ on $\mathfrak{u}(N)$ up to a scalar, where

$$(X, Y)' = -\text{tr}(XY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}(N).$$

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i e_i$ be a character in $\bar{\Psi}_n$. Then $\bar{\alpha}(-I) = 1$. Hence, $\bar{\alpha}$ descends to a linear character of $\tilde{S}_n^0 / \langle -I \rangle \cong T_n / \{\pm 1\}^n$. Hence, all a_i are integers. For any weight λ appearing in σ , we have

$$\frac{2(\lambda, \bar{\alpha})}{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha})} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since all $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pm \frac{e_i}{2}$ appear as weights of σ , we have

$$\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pm a_i}{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i^2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, each $a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i^2 \leq 2$. Hence,

$$\bar{\Psi}_n \subset B_n = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

By Lemma 6.11, there exists a quasi sub-root system of Φ of Ψ_n such that

$$\Phi \cong \begin{cases} B_n^{(2,1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ B_{n-1}^{(2,1)} \sqcup B_1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\bar{\Psi}_n = B_n$. By Lemma 6.3, we get $\Psi_n \cong B_n^{(2)}$.

(2) Apparently, $W_{\Psi_n} \subset \Gamma_n^\circ$. Write $\psi : \Gamma_n^\circ \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}_n^0)$ for the natural homomorphism induced from the action of Γ_n° on \tilde{S}_n^0 . It is clear that

$$\psi(W_{\Psi_n}) = \psi(\Gamma_n^\circ) = W_{B_n}.$$

We know that

$$\ker \psi = \{t_y : y \in \tilde{S}_n^0, y^2 = I\}.$$

For a root $\alpha \in \Psi_n$, we have $s_{\alpha+\beta_0} s_\alpha \in \ker \psi$. By calculation we have $s_{\alpha+\beta_0} s_\alpha = \check{\alpha}(-1)$. The coroot of $e_1 - e_2$ is equal to

$$\sigma(\mathbf{i}, -\mathbf{i}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

and the coroot of $e_1 + e_2$ is equal to

$$\sigma(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}, 1, \dots, 1),$$

similarly for coroots of $e_i - e_j$ and $e_i + e_j$. Thus, $\{\check{\alpha}(-1) : \alpha \in \Psi_n\}$ generate $\{y \in \tilde{S}_n^0, y^2 = I\}$. Hence, $\ker \psi \subset W_{\Psi_n}$. Therefore, $\Gamma_n^\circ = W_{\Psi_n}$. \square

We lift each e_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) to a linear character of \tilde{S}_n by defining $e_i(\tau) = 1$, and let it be still denoted by e_i . Then,

$$\Psi_n = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_i \pm e_j + \beta_0, \pm e_k, \pm e_k + \beta_0 : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

by Lemma 6.12. Put

$$\Psi_{n,0} = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_k : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \Psi.$$

Definition 6.1. Let Φ be a quasi sub-root system of Ψ_n such that $\bar{\Phi} \supset \bar{\Psi}_n^\circ$. If Φ is Γ_n° conjugate to a quasi sub-root system with a simple system contained in $\Psi_{n,0}$, we define the Schur index of Φ to be 1; otherwise we define the Schur index of Φ to be -1 .

Lemma 6.13. *A quasi sub-root system Φ of Ψ_n occurs as the quasi root system of an S-subgroup of G_n with \tilde{S}_n a quasi Cartan subgroup if and only if*

$$\Phi \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} B_{n'_j} \right)$$

for some even positive integers n_1, \dots, n_s and positive integers n'_1, \dots, n'_t such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} n'_j = n$ and the Schur index of Φ is equal to $(-1)^k$, where k is the number of numbers among $n_1, \dots, n_s, n'_1, \dots, n'_t$ which are $\equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Suppose that Φ is the quasi root system of an S-subgroup of G_n with \tilde{S}_n a generalized Cartan subgroup. By Lemma 3.16, we get

$$\Phi \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} B_{n'_j} \right)$$

for some even positive integers n_1, \dots, n_s and positive integers n'_1, \dots, n'_t such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} n'_j = n$. From the proof of Lemma 6.5, we see that the Schur index of Φ is equal to $(-1)^k$. By Lemma 6.11, each such quasi sub-root system of Ψ_n occurs as the quasi root system of an S-subgroup of G_n with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. \square

Put

$$W_n = W_{\Psi_{n,0}}.$$

Write $Y_{n,2}$ for the algebra of the restriction to $\tau\tilde{S}_n^0$ of W_n invariant rational coefficient characters on \tilde{S}_n . It is clear that $Y_{n,2}$ possesses a set of basis $\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + k\beta_0, W_n}^*$, where a_1, \dots, a_n are integers with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ and $k \in \{0, 1\}$. As shown in [11, Section 3] and [21, Section 7], letting n then we form a direct system $\{Y_{n,2} : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$. The following Lemma 6.14 is a special case of Lemma 1.33.

Lemma 6.14. *By mapping $\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + k\beta_0, W_n}^*$ to $(-1)^k x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_n}$, we get an algebra injection*

$$E : \lim_{\rightarrow n} Y_{n,2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots].$$

Note that $W_{B_n^{(2)}}$ is not equal to $W_{BC_n^{(2,2,1)}}$, but an index 2 subgroup of it. For this reason we can not use results in the Subsection 1.7 directly. For each $k \geq 1$, write b_k^+ (resp. c_k^+) for the sum of terms in b_k (resp. c_k) of the form $x_2^{t_2} x_4^{t_4} \cdots x_{2k}^{t_{2k}} \cdots$; write b_k^- (resp. c_k^-) for the sum of terms in b_k (resp. c_k) of the form $x_1^{t_1} x_3^{t_3} \cdots x_{2k-1}^{t_{2k-1}} \cdots$. Put

$$b_k^+ = b_k^+, \quad b_k^- = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} b_k'^-$$

and

$$c_k^+ = c_k^+, \quad c_k^- = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} c_k'^-.$$

Lemma 6.15. *Let Φ be the quasi root system of an S -subgroup of G_n with \tilde{S}_n a quasi Cartan subgroup. Suppose that*

$$\Phi \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} B_{n_i}^{(2,1)} \right) \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} B_{n'_j} \right)$$

for some even positive integers n_1, \dots, n_s and positive integers n'_1, \dots, n'_t with $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} n'_j = n$. Then,

$$E(F_{\Phi, W_{\Psi_n}}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^+ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^+ + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^- \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^-.$$

Proof. When $0 \leq i \leq s$, write $m_i = \sum_{1 \leq i' \leq i} n_{i'}$. When $0 \leq j \leq t$, write $m'_j = m_s + \sum_{1 \leq j' \leq j} n'_{j'}$. Let k be the number of numbers among $n_1, \dots, n_s, n'_1, \dots, n'_t$ which are $\equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 6.13, we can take the following simple systems of irreducible factors of Φ :

- (i) When k is even, $\{e_{m_{i-1}+1} - e_{m_{i-1}+2}, \dots, e_{m_{i-1}} - e_{m_i}, e_{m_i}\}$ (type $B_{n_i}^{(2,1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$), $\{e_{m'_{j-1}+1} - e_{m'_{j-1}+2}, \dots, e_{m'_{j-1}} - e_{m'_j}, e_{m'_j}\}$ (type $B_{n'_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq t$).
- (ii) When k is odd and $s \geq 1$, $\{e_1 - e_2 + \beta_0, \dots, e_{m_{i-1}} - e_{m_i}, e_{m_i}\}$ (type $B_{n_1}^{(2,1)}$), $\{e_{m_{i-1}+1} - e_{m_{i-1}+2}, \dots, e_{m_{i-1}} - e_{m_i}, e_{m_i}\}$ (type $B_{n_i}^{(2,1)}$, $2 \leq i \leq s$), $\{e_{m'_{j-1}+1} - e_{m'_{j-1}+2}, \dots, e_{m'_{j-1}} - e_{m'_j}, e_{m'_j}\}$ (type $B_{n'_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq t$).
- (iii) When k is odd and $s = 0$, $\{e_1 - e_2 + \beta_0, \dots, e_{m'_{i-1}} - e_{m'_i}, e_{m'_i}\}$ (type $B_{n'_1}$), $\{e_{m'_{j-1}+1} - e_{m'_{j-1}+2}, \dots, e_{m'_{j-1}} - e_{m'_j}, e_{m'_j}\}$ (type $B_{n'_j}$, $2 \leq j \leq t$).

Note that F_{Φ, W_n} is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^* = \frac{1}{|W_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in W_n} \gamma([a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0])$$

where $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ and $a_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$F_{\Phi, W_{\Psi_n}} = \frac{1}{|W_{\Psi}|} \sum_{\gamma \in W_{\Psi}} \gamma F_{\Phi, W_n}.$$

When a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are all even or all odd, we have

$$\gamma \chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^* = \chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^*, \quad \forall \gamma \in W_{\Psi}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{|W_{\Psi_n}|} \sum_{\gamma \in W_{\Psi_n}} \gamma \chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^* = \chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^*.$$

When a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are not all even or all odd, there exists $t_y \in \Gamma_n^\circ = W_{\Psi_n}$ ($y \in \tilde{S}^0$, $y^2 = I$) such that

$$t_y \chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^* = -\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^*.$$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{|W_{\Psi_n}|} \sum_{\gamma \in W_{\Psi_n}} \gamma \chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^* = 0.$$

Therefore, $F_{\Phi, W_{\Psi_n}}$ is the sum of terms $\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^*$ in F_{Φ, W_n} such that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are all even or all odd.

When k is even, we have $E(F_{\Phi, W_n}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(F_{\Phi, W_{\Psi_n}}) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^+ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^+ + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^- \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^- \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^+ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^+ + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^- \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^-. \end{aligned}$$

When k is odd, define Φ' to be a quasi sub-root system by substituting the simple $e_1 - e_2 + \beta_0$ with $e_1 - e_2$ in the simple system appearing in (ii) and (iii). Then, $F_{\Phi, W_{\Psi_n}}$ can be obtained from $F_{\Phi', W_{\Psi_n}}$ by substituting each term $\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_0 \beta_0, W_n}^*$ by $\chi_{a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + (a_0 + a_1) \beta_0, W_n}^*$. When a_1 is even, this has no effect; when a_1 is even, this effects by multiplying -1 for the image under the homomorphism E . We have

$$E(F_{\Phi', W_{\Psi_n}}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^+ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^+ + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^- \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^-.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(F_{\Phi, W_{\Psi_n}}) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^+ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^+ - \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^- \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^- \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^+ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^+ + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_{n_i}^- \prod_{1 \leq j \leq t} b_{n'_j}^-. \end{aligned}$$

□

Consider weighted homogeneous polynomials of the variables $\{b_k, c_{2k} : k \geq 1\}$ where the weight of b_k (resp. c_{2k}) is assigned to be k (resp. $2k$). Note that each of $b_k^+, b_k^-, c_k^+, c_k^-$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k . The highest index variables appearing in $b_k^+, b_k^-, c_{2k}^+, c_{2k}^-$ are $x_{2k-2}, x_{2k-1}, x_{4k}, x_{4k-1}$, respectively. Then, there exist a nonzero integral coefficients weighted homogeneous polynomial f_1 of b_1, b_2, b_3, c_2 and a nonzero integral coefficients weighted homogeneous polynomial f_2 of b_1, b_2, c_2 such that

$$f_1(b_1^+, b_2^+, b_3^+, c_2^+) = 0$$

and

$$f_2(b_1^-, b_2^-, c_2^-) = 0.$$

Put $f = c_{4k_1} f_1 f_2$ for some $k_1 \geq 1$. Let n' be the degree of f . By Lemma 6.15, monomial terms of f give $\Gamma_{n'}^\circ$ pairwise non-conjugate quasi sub-root systems Φ_1, \dots, Φ_s of $\Psi_{n'}$ all occurring as quasi root systems of S-subgroups of $G_{n'}$ with $\tilde{S}_{n'}$ a quasi Cartan subgroup such that

$$(33) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_i F_{\Phi_i, \Gamma_{n'}^\circ} = 0$$

for some non-zero integers c_1, \dots, c_s . Let H_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) be an S-subgroup of $G_{n'}$ with $\tilde{S}_{n'}$ a quasi Cartan subgroup and with quasi root system

$$R(H_i, \tilde{S}_{n'}) = \Phi_i.$$

Choose a pinned element $g'_i \in \tilde{S}_{n'}$ of Φ_i . Write $g_i'^2 = \epsilon_i I$ where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$.

Let k_2, k_3 be two positive integers. Put $n = n' + 4k_2 + 4k_3$. For each i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) and $j = 1, 2$, form subgroup $H_{i,j}$ of G_n generated by

$$H_{i,j}^0 = H_i^0 \times K_{k_2,j} \times K_{k_3,j}$$

and an element $g_{i,j} \in U(2^n)\tau$, where

$$K_{k_p,1} = K_{k_p,2} = \text{Spin}(8k_p + 2)$$

are embedded into $U(2^{4k_p})$ via the spinor representation $M_{+,8k_p+2}$ ($p = 2, 3$),

$$g_{i,j}^2 = \epsilon_i I \text{ and } \text{Ad}(g_{i,j})|_{H_i^0} = \text{Ad}(g'_i)|_{H_i^0},$$

$\text{Ad}(g_{i,1})|_{K_{k_p,1}}$ is a pinned automorphism with fixed point subgroup equal to $\text{Spin}(8k_p + 1)$ ($p = 2, 3$), $\text{Ad}(g_{i,2})|_{K_{k_p,2}} = \text{id}$ ($p = 2, 3$).

Theorem 9. *All $H_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s, j = 1, 2$) are S-subgroups of G_n and we have*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_i (\mathcal{D}_{H_{i,1}} - \mathcal{D}_{H_{i,2}}) = 0.$$

Proof. All $H_{i,1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) share a common quasi Cartan subgroup $\tilde{S} \subset U(2^n)\tau$ containing $g_{i,1}$; all $H_{i,2}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) share a common quasi Cartan subgroup $\tilde{S}' \subset U(2^n)\tau$ containing $g_{i,2}$; $H_{i,1}^0$ and $H_{i,2}^0$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) share a common maximal torus T_i . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= N_{G_n^0}(g_{i,1}\tilde{S}^0)/Z_{G_n^0}(g_{i,1}\tilde{S}^0); \\ \Gamma' &= N_{G_n^0}(g_{i,2}\tilde{S}'^0)/Z_{G_n^0}(g_{i,2}\tilde{S}'^0); \\ \Gamma^{(i)} &= N_{G_n^0}(T_i)/Z_{G_n^0}(T_i). \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{i,1} &= R(H_{i,1}, \tilde{S}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq s; \\ \Phi_{i,2} &= R(H_{i,2}, \tilde{S}'), \quad 1 \leq i \leq s; \\ \Phi'_{i,j} &= R(H_{i,j}, T_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

By (33), we get

$$(34) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_i F_{\Phi_{i,1}, \Gamma} = 0$$

and

$$(35) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_i F_{\Phi_{i,2}, \Gamma'} = 0$$

By our construction, $\Phi'_{i,1} = \Phi'_{i,2}$. Then,

$$(36) \quad F_{\Phi'_{i,1}, \Gamma^{(i)}} - F_{\Phi'_{i,2}, \Gamma^{(i)}} = 0.$$

By Proposition 1.2, the equalities (34), (35), (36) together indicate that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} c_i (\mathcal{D}_{H_{i,1}} - \mathcal{D}_{H_{i,2}}) = 0.$$

□

Remark 6.1. We take $f = c_{4k_1} f_1 f_2$ instead of $f_1 f_2$ to make sure that each H_i^0 is non-self dual so that H_i is an S -subgroup. We take two groups $K_{p,j} \cong \text{Spin}(8k_p + 2)$ ($p = 2, 3, j = 1, 2$) while defining $H_{i,j}$ instead of one so that the Schur index of some subgroups of H_i^0 is equal to that of the respective ones of $H_{i,j}$ ($j = 1, 2$).

6.4. S-subgroups with linearly dependent dimension data, II. Let $N > 1$ and K be a connected semisimple subgroup K of $U(N)$ with two outer involutive automorphisms θ_1 and θ_2 . Write ρ for the restriction to K of the natural representation of $U(N)$.

Assumption 6.1. Suppose the following conditions hold:

- (i) ρ is irreducible and non-self dual.
- (ii) $\theta_1^* \rho \cong \theta_2^* \rho \cong \rho^*$.
- (iii) The images of θ_1 and θ_2 in $\text{Out}(K) := \text{Aut}(K)/\text{Int}(K)$ are non-conjugate.

Example 6.1. Let $k > 1$ be an odd integer. Write c for a generator of the center $Z(\text{SU}(k))$ and write ρ' for the natural representation of $\text{SU}(k)$. Take

$$K = (\text{SU}(k) \times \text{SU}(k)) / \langle c, c^{-1} \rangle$$

and

$$\rho = \rho' \otimes \rho'.$$

Write σ for conjugation on $\text{SU}(k)$. Define

$$\theta_1([x, y]) = ([\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]), \quad \forall x, y \in \text{Spin}(k)$$

and

$$\theta_2([x, y]) = ([\sigma(y), \sigma(x)]), \quad \forall x, y \in \text{SU}(k).$$

Put $N = k^2$. Then, the tuple $(K, \rho, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ satisfies the Assumption 6.1.

Put $G = U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau^2 = I$ and

$$\tau X \tau^{-1} = \overline{X}, \quad \forall X \in U(N).$$

Lemma 6.16. Suppose the tuple $(K, \rho, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ satisfies the Assumption 6.1. Then for each $i = 1, 2$, there exists a subgroup H_i of G generated K and an element $g_i \in U(N)\tau$ such that $g_i^2 = \pm I$ and $\text{Ad}(g_i)|_K = \theta_i$.

Proof. Define

$$\rho'_i(x) = \tau^{-1} \rho(\theta_i(x)) \tau, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Then,

$$\rho'_i \cong (\theta_i^* \rho)^* \cong (\rho^*)^* = \rho$$

by Assumption 6.1. Thus, there exist $y_i \in \mathrm{U}(N)$ such that

$$\rho'_i(x) = y_i \rho(x) y_i^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Put $g_i = \tau y_i$. Then,

$$(37) \quad \rho(\theta_i(x)) = g_i \rho(x) g_i^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

This just means $\mathrm{Ad}(g_i)|_K = \theta_i$. By iteration we get

$$g_i^2 \rho(x) g_i^{-2} = g_i \rho(\theta_i(x)) g_i^{-1} = \rho(\theta_i^2(x)) = \rho(x), \quad \forall x \in K$$

from (37). Since K is an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(N)$, we have $g_i^2 = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. Since g_i^2 commutes with $g_i \in \mathrm{U}(N)\tau$, we have

$$\lambda I = g_i(\lambda I)g_i^{-1} = \bar{\lambda}I.$$

Thus, $\lambda = \pm 1$. Hence, $g_i^2 = \pm I$. \square

Put

$$H_3 = \langle H_1, Z(\mathrm{U}(N)) \rangle$$

and

$$H_4 = \langle H_2, Z(\mathrm{U}(N)) \rangle.$$

Theorem 10. *For each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, H_i is an S-subgroup of G . The groups H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 are pairwise non-isomorphic. We have*

$$(38) \quad (\mathcal{D}_{H_1} - \mathcal{D}_{H_2}) - (\mathcal{D}_{H_3} - \mathcal{D}_{H_4}) = 0.$$

Proof. By Assumption 6.1(i), $\rho|_{H_i^0}$ is irreducible and non-self dual for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then, H_i is an S-subgroup of G by Lemma 4.4.

We show that H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 are pairwise non-isomorphic. Then, they are pairwise non-conjugate. Since H_1, H_2 are semisimple and H_3, H_4 are non-semisimple, it suffices to show that $H_1 \not\cong H_2$ and $H_3 \not\cong H_4$. By construction we have

$$(H_3^0)_{\mathrm{der}} = (H_4^0)_{\mathrm{der}} = H_1^0 = H_2^0 = K.$$

Then, $H_1 \not\cong H_2$ and $H_3 \not\cong H_4$ by Assumption 6.1(iii) and Lemma 6.16.

Choose a quasi Cartan subgroup \tilde{S}_i of H_i containing g_i ($i = 1, 2$). Choose a maximal torus T of H_1^0 and put $T' = \langle T, Z(\mathrm{U}(N)) \rangle$. Then, \tilde{S}_1 is a quasi Cartan subgroup of H_3 , \tilde{S}_2 is a quasi Cartan subgroup of H_4 , T is a maximal torus of H_2^0 , T' is a maximal torus of H_3^0 (and H_4^0). Moreover by the construction we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(H_1, T) &= R(H_2, T), \\ R(H_3, T') &= R(H_4, T'), \\ R(H_1, \tilde{S}_1) &= R(H_3, \tilde{S}_1), \\ R(H_2, \tilde{S}_2) &= R(H_4, \tilde{S}_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.2, (38) follows. \square

7. DIMENSION DATA OF S-SUBGROUPS OF $O(2N)$

Put

$$G = O(2N)$$

and write $\rho = \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ for the natural representation of it. There are four classes of S-subgroups H of $O(2N)$.

- (i) $\rho|_{H^o}$ is irreducible.
- (ii) $\rho|_{H^o}$ is the direct sum of two odd-dimensional orthogonal irreducible representations.
- (iii) $\rho|_{H^o}$ is the direct sum of two non-self dual irreducible representations which are dual to each other.

Choose a commutative connected closed subset S of $O(2N)$ consisting of elements of determinant -1 and let \tilde{S} be the quasi torus generated by S . Write

$$\chi = \chi_\rho|_{\tilde{S}}, \quad \chi_o = \chi_\rho|_{\tilde{S}^o}, \quad \chi_t = \chi_\rho|_S.$$

Here “o” and “t” stand for the words “ordinary” and “twisted”, respectively. Assume that \tilde{S} is a quasi Cartan subgroup of an S-subgroup H of $O(2N)$. Only when H is in Class (i), χ_t is not identically 0. Hence, quasi Cartan subgroups of S-subgroups in Class (i) are disjoint from that in Classes (ii)-(iv). Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_{G^o}(S)/N_{G^o}(S).$$

Fix an element $x_0 \in S$ such that $x_0 = \pm I$. Define a character $\beta_0 \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ by $\beta_0|_{\tilde{S}^o} = 1$ and $\beta_0(x_0) = -1$. For any element $y \in \tilde{S}^0$ with $y^2 = I$, define $t_y \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{S})$ by $t_y|_{\tilde{S}^o} = \text{id}$ and $t_y(x_0) = yx_0$. Define $\Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ to be the set of roots $\alpha \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ such that there exists no root $\beta \in \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ such that $\alpha = 2\beta$. Write $\Psi = \Psi'_{\tilde{S}}$ for simplicity. Then, Ψ is stable under Γ° and $W_\Psi = W_{\Psi'_{\tilde{S}}}$. Let

$$\Psi = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} \Psi_i$$

be the decomposition of Ψ into an orthogonal union of irreducible quasi root systems.

Lemma 7.1. *Let H be an S-subgroup of G with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. Then we have*

$$\bigcap_{\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R}(H, \tilde{S})} \ker \bar{\alpha} = \tilde{S}^0 \cap Z(G),$$

$$\bar{R}(H, \tilde{S}) \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$$

and

$$R(H, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi.$$

Proof. We have $d = 2$. By Lemma 4.2 we the conclusion of the lemma. \square

The following lemma characterizes Γ° using the character χ .

Lemma 7.2. *We have*

$$\Gamma^\circ = \{\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}) : \gamma^* \chi = \chi\}.$$

Proof. It is clear that $\Gamma^\circ \subset \{\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}) : \gamma^*\chi = \chi\}$. For any $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{S})$ such that $\gamma^*\chi = \chi$, by the character theory of representations of \tilde{S} there exists $g \in \text{U}(2N)$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)|_{\tilde{S}} = \gamma$. Since $\text{O}(2N)$ strongly controls its fusion in $\text{U}(2N)$ (cf. [24]), there exists $g' \in \text{O}(2N)$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)|_{\tilde{S}} = \text{Ad}(g')|_{\tilde{S}}$. Then, $\gamma = \text{Ad}(g')|_{\tilde{S}} \in \Gamma^\circ$. \square

The following lemma characterizes the conjugacy class of an S -subgroup of $\text{O}(2N)$ using quasi root system while the semisimplicity (or non-semisimplicity) is given.

Lemma 7.3. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two S -subgroups of $\text{O}(2N)$ with \tilde{S} a common quasi Cartan subgroup and with quasi root system $\Phi_j = \Phi(H_j, \tilde{S})$ ($j = 1, 2$). Assume that H_1^0 and H_2^0 are both semisimple or both non-semisimple. Then, the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) H_1 and H_2 are conjugate.
- (2) H_1 and H_2 are element-conjugate.
- (3) Φ_1 and Φ_2 are conjugate with respect to Γ° .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial. (2) \Rightarrow (3): using the fact that $\text{O}(2N)$ is strongly acceptable (cf. [24]), the proof is similar to that of Lemma 6.6. We show (3) \Rightarrow (1) below.

Replacing H_2 by a conjugate one if necessary we may assume that $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ and let it be denoted by Φ . Choose a pinned element $x \in S$ of Φ . There is an isomorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_2$ such that $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(x) = \text{Ad}(x) \circ \sigma$. Choose a highest weight λ_j of $\rho|_{H_j^0}$ and write $\lambda_{j,i}$ for the i -th component of λ_j ($j = 1, 2, 1 \leq i \leq r$). By Lemma 4.6 we have

$$\chi_\Phi(\lambda_1) = \chi_\Phi(\lambda_2).$$

By Lemma 3.4, for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) we have $\sigma^*\lambda_{2,i} = \lambda_{1,i}$ or $\text{Ad}(x)^*\lambda_{1,i}$. Replacing the restriction of σ to the i -th factor of \mathfrak{h}_1 for some indices i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) by $\text{Ad}(x) \circ \sigma$ if necessary, we are led to $\sigma^*\lambda_{2,i} = \lambda_{1,i}$ for all i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Thus, there exists $g \in \text{U}(2N)$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)|_{\mathfrak{h}_1} = \sigma$. Then, $gH_1^0g^{-1} = H_2^0$. Moreover, $\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(x) = \text{Ad}(x) \circ \sigma$ indicates that $[g, x]$ commutes with H_1^0 .

When H_1 is in Class (i), by the irreducibility of H_1^0 , we have $gxg^{-1} = (\epsilon I)x$ where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Then, $\epsilon \text{tr}(x) = \text{tr}((\epsilon I)x) = \text{tr}(x)$. By the twining character formula, we have $\text{tr}(x) \neq 0$. Then, $\epsilon = 1$. Thus, $gH_1g^{-1} = H_2$ and $\text{Ad}(g)|_{\tilde{S}} = \text{id}$.

When H_1 is in Class (ii), we may assume that H_1 is contained in $(\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(N)) \rtimes \langle J'_N \rangle$. Then, $gxg^{-1} = yx$ where

$$y \in Z_{\text{SO}(2N)}(H_1^0) = \langle I_{N,N}, -I_N \rangle.$$

Since $\det(y) = \det(yx) \det(x)^{-1} = \det(gxg^{-1}) \det(x)^{-1} = 1$, we must have $y = \pm I$. Put

$$g' = \begin{cases} I_{N,N}g & \text{if } y = -I; \\ g & \text{if } y = I. \end{cases}$$

Then, $g'xg'^{-1} = x$. Thus, $g'H_1g'^{-1} = H_2$ and $\text{Ad}(g')|_{\tilde{S}} = \text{id}$.

When H_1 is in Class (iii), we may assume that H_1 is contained in $U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ where

$$U(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(2N) : A, B \in M_N(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

and $\tau = I_{N,N}$. The proof reduces to the case for $U(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. \square

7.1. S-subgroups of $O(2N)$ in Class (i).

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\Phi = R(H, \tilde{S})$ be the quasi root system of an S-subgroup H in Class (i).*

- (1) *If $\alpha \in \Phi$ is a root such that $\frac{1}{2}\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \notin \bar{\Phi}$, then there exist two weights μ and μ' appearing in the twisted character χ_t such that*

$$\mu'|_{\tilde{S}^0} - \mu|_{\tilde{S}^0} = m_\alpha \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}.$$

- (2) *For any two weights μ and μ' appearing in the twisted character χ_t , we have*

$$\mu'|_{\tilde{S}^0} - \mu|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{m_\alpha \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Phi\}.$$

Proof. We first show (1). By assumption, α appears in a simple system $\{\alpha_j : 1 \leq j \leq l\}$ of Φ . Let $[\lambda]$ ($\lambda \in X^*(\tilde{S})$) be a leading term of χ_t . For each weight $\mu \in X^*(\tilde{S})$ appearing in χ_t , write $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} b_j m_{\alpha_j} \alpha_j + b_0 \beta_0$. Define

$$\mathrm{supp}(\lambda - \mu) = \{\alpha_j : b_j \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{depth}(\mu) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} b_j.$$

Among those weights μ appearing in χ_t and such that $\alpha \in \mathrm{supp}(\lambda - \mu)$, choose a weight μ_0 such that $\mathrm{depth}(\mu_0)$ is minimal. If $(\mu_0, \alpha_j) < 0$ for a simple root $\alpha_j \neq \alpha$, then $s_{\alpha_j}(\mu_0) = \mu_0 - \frac{2(\mu_0, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)} \alpha_j$ appears in χ_t and such that $\alpha \in \mathrm{supp}(\lambda - \mu)$. We have $\mathrm{depth}(s_{\alpha_j}(\mu_0)) - \mathrm{depth}(\mu_0) < 0$, which is in contradiction with the minimality of $\mathrm{depth}(\mu_0)$. Then, α is the only simple root such that $(\mu_0, \alpha) < 0$. Considering the $m_\alpha \alpha$ -strip in the twining character formula for χ_t , it follows that $\mu' := \mu + m_\alpha \alpha$ appears in χ_t as well. It is clear that $\mu' - \mu = m_\alpha \alpha$.

(2) follows from the twining character formula directly. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\Phi = R(H, \tilde{S})$ be the quasi root system of an S-subgroup H in Class (i). Suppose that the reduced root system $\bar{\Phi}$ is given. Then, the quasi root system Φ is uniquely determined.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ be a root in Φ such that $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \neq 2\beta|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ for any other root $\beta \in \Phi$. By Lemma 7.4, the linear character $[m_\alpha \alpha]$ is determined by the twisted character χ_t . Then, both the folding index and the fractional factor of α are determined. Thus, the quasi sub-root system Φ is determined. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\Phi = R(H, \tilde{S})$ be the quasi root system of an S-subgroup H in Class (i). Then $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{m_\alpha \alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a fixed sub-lattice of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ determined by the twisted character χ_t , and it is Γ° invariant.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.4, we see that

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{m_{\alpha}\alpha_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Phi\} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\mu'_{\tilde{S}^0} - \mu_{\tilde{S}^0} : \mu, \mu' \text{ appears in } \chi_t\}.$$

Since χ_t is a fixed Γ° invariant character, $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\mu'_{\tilde{S}^0} - \mu_{\tilde{S}^0} : \mu, \mu' \text{ appears in } \chi_t\}$ is determined by χ_t and is a Γ° invariant sub-lattice of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Then, so is $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{m_{\alpha}\alpha_{\tilde{S}^0}\}$. \square

Lemma 7.7. *Let $\Phi = R(H, \tilde{S})$ be the quasi root system of an S -subgroup H in Class (i). Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\beta \in \Psi$ be two roots such that $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{\tilde{S}^0} \notin \bar{\Phi}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\beta_{\tilde{S}^0} \notin \bar{\Psi}$. If $m_{\beta} = 2k$ ($k = 1$ or 2) in Ψ and $m_{\alpha} = 1$ in Φ , then*

$$\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{k(\beta, \beta)} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. If $m_{\beta} = 2$ in Ψ , then $\beta \in \Psi$ and $\beta + \beta_0 \in \Psi$. Thus, $s_{\beta}\chi_t = \chi_t$ and $s_{\beta+\beta_0}\chi_t = \chi_t$. Hence,

$$s_{\beta+\beta_0}s_{\beta}\chi_t = \chi_t.$$

By Lemma 7.6 and the assumption of $m_{\alpha} = 1$, we get

$$s_{\beta+\beta_0}s_{\beta}[\alpha] = [\alpha],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

If $m_{\beta} = 4$ in Ψ , then $\beta \in \Psi$ and $2\beta + \beta_0 \in \Psi$. Thus, $s_{\beta}\chi_t = \chi_t$ and $s_{2\beta+\beta_0}\chi_t = \chi_t$. Hence,

$$s_{2\beta+\beta_0}s_{\beta}\chi_t = \chi_t.$$

By Lemma 7.6 and the assumption of $m_{\alpha} = 1$, we get

$$s_{2\beta+\beta_0}s_{\beta}[\alpha] = [\alpha],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{2(\beta, \beta)} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

\square

Lemma 7.8. *Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s be a set of pairwise non-conjugate S -subgroups of $G = \text{O}(2N)$ in Class (i) with \tilde{S} a common quasi Cartan subgroup. Then the characters*

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^{\circ}}, \dots, F_{\Phi_s, \Gamma^{\circ}}$$

are linearly independent, where $\Phi_j = R(H_j, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi$ is the quasi root system of H_j with respect to \tilde{S} ($1 \leq j \leq s$).

Proof. Write

$$L_{\Psi} = \mathbb{Z}\bar{\Psi},$$

which is a sub-lattice of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Since the action of Γ° on $X^*(\tilde{S})$ preserves Ψ , its induced action on $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ preserves $\bar{\Psi}$. Then, L_{Ψ} is preserved by Γ° . For each j ($1 \leq j \leq s$), write $F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^{\circ}}$ for the sum of term $[\lambda]$ in $F_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^{\circ}}$ such that

$$\lambda|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in 2L_{\Psi}.$$

Note that, each t_y ($y \in \tilde{S}^0$, $y^2 = I$) fixes all linear characters in $2L_\Psi$. Then, $F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ}$ is preserved by Γ° and is fixed by all t_y ($y \in \tilde{S}^0$, $y^2 = I$). It suffices to show that: the characters

$$F'_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F'_{\Phi_s, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly independent. By Lemma 7.3, the quasi root systems Φ_1, \dots, Φ_s are pairwise Γ° non-conjugate as the S-subgroups H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s are pairwise non-conjugate and are all semisimple. We show that: the leading weights of $\{F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ} : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ are distinct, which implies the above assertion.

Without loss of generality we suppose that $F'_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}$ and $F'_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}$ have equal leading weights. Replacing Φ_2 by a Γ° conjugate of it if necessary, we may assume that A_{Φ_1} and A_{Φ_2} have equal actual leading weights (cf. Lemma 1.32), i.e., $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_1} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_2}$. For $j = 1, 2$ and each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), write $\Phi_{j,i} = \Phi_j \cap \Psi_i$. We have

$$\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_j} = (\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{j,1}}, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{j,r}}).$$

Then, $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{1,i}} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{2,i}}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). By Lemmas 7.4-7.7, while Ψ_i is fixed, the possible $\Phi_{j,i}$ ($j = 1, 2$) fall into the following list:

- (i-1) When $\bar{\Psi}_i$ is simply-laced and $\Psi_i \not\cong A_1^{(2)}$, we have $\Phi_{j,i} = \Psi$.
- (i-2) When $\Psi_i \cong A_1^{(2)}$, we have $\Phi_{j,i} \cong A_1$ or $A_1^{(2)}$.
- (ii-1) When $\Psi_i = B_n$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}$ where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} n_i = n$.
- (ii-2) When $\Psi_i = B_n^{(2,1)}$ ($n \geq 2$), $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}$ (where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} n_i = n$) or $B_n^{(2,1)}$. If $B_n^{(2,1)}$ occurs, then any $\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}$ can not occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (ii-3) When $\Psi_i = B_n^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 3$), $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}^{(2)}$ where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} n_i = n$.
- (ii-4) When $\Psi_i = B_2^{(2)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong B_2^{(2)}, B_1^{(2)} \sqcup B_1^2$ or $B_2^{(2,1)}$. If $B_2^{(2,1)}$ occurs, then $B_2^{(2)}$ and $B_1^{(2)} \sqcup B_1^2$ can not occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (iii-1) When $\Psi_i = BC_n$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}$ where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i = n$.
- (iii-2) When $\Psi_i = BC_n^{(2,1,1)}$ ($n \geq 2$), $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}$ (where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} n_i = n$) or $B_n^{(2,1)}$. If $B_n^{(2,1)}$ occurs, then any $\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}$ can not occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (iii-3) When $\Psi_i = BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$ ($n \geq 2$), $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} BC_{n_i}^{(2)}$ (where $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} n_i = n$) or $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$. If $BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$ occurs, then any $\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} B_{n_i}^{(2)}$ can not occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (iii-4) When $\Psi_i = BC_1^{(2,1)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong B_1^{(2)}$ or $BC_1^{(2,1)}$, but only one can occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (iv-1) When $\Psi_i = C_n$ ($n \geq 3$), $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_n$ or C_n .
- (iv-2) When $\Psi_i = C_n^{(2,1)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_n^{(2)}$ or $C_n^{(2,1)}$, but only one can occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (iv-3) When $\Psi_i = C_n^{(2)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_n^{(2)}, C_n^{(2,1)}$ or $C_n^{(2)}$. If $C_n^{(2,1)}$ occurs, then $D_n^{(2)}$ and $C_n^{(2)}$ can not occur by Lemma 7.6.
- (v-1) When $\Psi_i = F_4$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_4^S, C_4$ or F_4 .
- (v-2) when $\Psi_i = F_4^{(2,1)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_4^{S,(2)}, C_4^{(2,1)}$ or $F_4^{(2,1)}$, but only one can occur by Lemma 7.6.

(v-3) When $\Psi_i = F_4^{(2)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_4^{(2)}$, $C_4^{(2)}$ or $F_4^{(2)}$.

(vi-1) when $\Psi_i = G_2$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong A_2^S$ or G_2 .

(vi-2) when $\Psi_i = G_2^{(2)}$, $\Phi_{j,i} \cong A_2^{S,(2)}$ or $G_2^{(2)}$.

By the formulas of $\bar{\mu}_\Phi$ given in the proof of Lemma 1.32, we see that in each case (i-1)-(vi-2) the condition $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{1,i}} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{2,i}}$ forces that: $\Phi_{1,i} \cong \Phi_{2,i}$. By Lemma 7.5, it follows that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Γ° conjugate, which gives a contradiction. \square

7.2. S-subgroups of $O(2N)$ in Classes (ii)-(iii).

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose that \tilde{S} is a quasi Cartan subgroup of an S-subgroup H of G in Classes (ii)-(iii). Then for each element $y \in \tilde{S} \cap G^0$ with $y^2 = I$, we have $t_y \in \Gamma^\circ$.*

Proof. Since it is assumed that H is in Classes (ii)-(iii), we have $\chi_t = 0$. Then, $t_y^* \chi = \chi$. Thus, $t_y \in \Gamma^\circ$ by Lemma 7.2. \square

Lemma 7.10. *Suppose that \tilde{S} is a quasi Cartan subgroup of an S-subgroup H of G in Classes (ii)-(iii). Let $\alpha \in \Psi$ be a root. Except when $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} = 2\beta|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ for another root $\beta \in \Psi$, we have $\alpha + \beta_0 \in \Psi$.*

Proof. Since it is assume that H is in Classes (ii)-(iii), then N is odd and $-I \notin \tilde{S}^0$. By Lemma 7.1, $\{\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} : \alpha \in \Psi\}$ generate the character group $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. When $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0} \neq 2\beta|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ for any other root $\beta \in \Psi$, $\alpha|_{\tilde{S}^0}$ lies in a simple system of $\bar{\Psi}$. Then, there exists $y \in \tilde{S}^0$ with $y^2 = I$ such that $\alpha(y) = -1$. Thus,

$$\alpha + \beta_0 = t_y \cdot \alpha \in \Psi$$

by Lemma 7.9. \square

The following Lemma 7.11 is a direct consequence of Lemma 7.10.

Lemma 7.11. *Suppose that \tilde{S} is a quasi Cartan subgroup of an S-subgroup H of G in Classes (ii)-(iii). Let $\bar{\Psi}_i$ be an irreducible factor of $\bar{\Psi}_{\tilde{S}}$.*

- (i) when $\bar{\Psi}_i$ is reduced, we have $\Psi_i \cong \bar{\Psi}_i^{(2)}$.
- (ii) when $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong BC_m$ ($m \geq 2$), we have $\Psi_i \cong BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$.
- (iii) when $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong BC_1$, we have $\Psi_i \cong BC_1^{(2,1)}$.

Lemma 7.12. *Let $\Phi = R(H, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi$ be the quasi root system of an S-subgroup H in Classes (ii)-(iii) with \tilde{S} a quasi Cartan subgroup. Suppose that the isomorphism type of each quasi root system $\Phi \cap \Psi_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is given. Then the Γ° orbit containing Φ is uniquely determined.*

Proof. Since H is in Classes (ii)-(iii), then N is odd and $-I \notin \tilde{S}^0$. Choose a simple system $\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ of Φ . Then, $\{\alpha_i|_{\tilde{S}^0} : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ is a basis of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ by Lemma 7.1. For any tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_l) \in \{0, 1\}^l$, there exists $y \in \tilde{S}^0$ with $y^2 = I$ and $\alpha_i(y) = (-1)^{t_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). Then,

$$t_y \cdot \alpha_i = \alpha_i + t_i \beta_0, \quad \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq l.$$

By Lemma 7.9, we have $t_y \in \Gamma^\circ$. Then, the Γ° orbit containing Φ is uniquely determined. \square

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.14 directly.

Lemma 7.13. *Let Φ be an irreducible quasi sub-root system of $\Psi = B_m^{(2)}$ or $BC_m^{(2,2,1)}$ such that $\bar{\Phi}^\circ \supset \bar{\Psi}^\circ$ and Φ is the quasi root system obtained from the action of an involutive (or trivial) diagram automorphism θ on a root system Φ' . Let λ be an integral dominant weight of Φ' such that the irreducible restriction character $\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \chi_0^{\otimes m}$ for a degree 1 character χ_0 with $\dim \chi_0$ odd. Then the triple (Φ, λ, χ_0) falls into the following list:*

- (i) $\Phi = BC_1^{(2,1)}$, $\lambda = (a, 0, -b)$ and $\chi_0 = \tau'_{a,b}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a + b > 0$, at least one of a and b is even and neither of $a + 1$, $b + 1$, $a + b + 2$ is a multiple of 4.
- (ii) $\Phi = B_1^{(2)}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 = a$, $\lambda_2 = b$, and $\chi_0 = \tau_{a,b} = \tau_a \tau_b$, where $a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a + b > 0$.
- (iii) $\Phi = B_1$, $\lambda = a$ and $\chi_0 = \tau_a$, where $a \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Lemma 7.14. *Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s be a set of pairwise non-conjugate S-subgroups of $G = O(2N)$ in Class (ii)-(iii) with \tilde{S} a common quasi Cartan subgroup, and they are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. Then the characters*

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_s, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly independent, where $\Phi_j = R(H_j, \tilde{S}) \subset \Psi$ is the quasi root system of H_j with respect to \tilde{S} ($1 \leq j \leq s$).

Proof. Write

$$L_\Psi = \mathbb{Z}\bar{\Psi},$$

which is a sub-lattice of $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$. Since the action of Γ° on $X^*(\tilde{S})$ preserves Ψ , its induced action on $X^*(\tilde{S}^0)$ preserves $\bar{\Psi}$. Then, L_Ψ is preserved by Γ° . For each j ($1 \leq j \leq s$), write $F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ}$ for the sum of term $[\lambda]$ in F_{Φ_j, Γ° such that

$$\lambda|_{\tilde{S}^0} \in 2L_\Psi.$$

Note that, each t_y ($y \in \tilde{S}^0$, $y^2 = I$) fixes all linear characters in $2L_\Psi$. Then, $F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ}$ is preserved by Γ° and is fixed by all t_y ($y \in \tilde{S}^0$, $y^2 = I$). It suffices to show that: the characters

$$F'_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F'_{\Phi_s, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly independent. By Lemma 7.3, the quasi root systems Φ_1, \dots, Φ_s are pairwise Γ° non-conjugate as the S-subgroups H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s are pairwise non-conjugate and are all semisimple or all non-semisimple. We show that: the leading weights of $\{F'_{\Phi_j, \Gamma^\circ} : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ are distinct, which implies the above assertion.

Without loss of generality we suppose that $F'_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}$ and $F'_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}$ have equal leading weights. Replacing Φ_2 by a Γ° conjugate of it if necessary, we may assume that A_{Φ_1} and A_{Φ_2} have equal actual leading weights (1.32), i.e., $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_1} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_2}$. For $j = 1, 2$, write $\Phi_{j,i} = \Phi_j \cap \Psi_i$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). We have

$$\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_j} = (\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{j,1}}, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{j,r}}).$$

Then, $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{1,i}} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{2,i}}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). By Lemmas 7.1, 7.11 and L:TD-fully5, the triple $(\bar{\Psi}_i, \chi_i, \Phi_{j,i})$ ($j = 1, 2$) fall into the following list:

- (i) When $\bar{\Psi}_i$ is simply-laced, we have $\Phi_{j,i} \cong \bar{\Psi}_i^{(2)}$ or $\bar{\Psi}_i$.
- (ii) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong B_n$ or BC_n and χ_i is in-decomposable ($n \geq 2$), we have $\Phi_{j,i} \cong B_n, B_n^{(2,1)}, B_n^{(2)}, BC_n^{(2,2,1)}$.
- (iii) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong B_n$ or BC_n and χ_i is fully decomposable, $\Phi_{j,i}$ is a product of $BC_1^{2,1}, B_1^{(2)}, B_1$.
- (iv) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong C_n$ ($n \geq 3$), we have $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_n, C_n, D_n^{(2)}, C_n^{(2,1)}$ or $C_n^{(2)}$.
- (v) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong F_4$, we have $\Phi_{j,i} \cong D_4, C_4, F_4, D_4^{(2)}, C_4^{(2,1)}, F_4^{(2,1)}, C_4^{(2)}$ or $F_4^{(2)}$.
- (vi) When $\bar{\Psi}_i \cong G_2$, we have $\Phi_{j,i} \cong A_2^S, G_2, A_2^{S(2)}$ or $G_2^{(2)}$.

By the formulas of $\bar{\mu}_\Phi$ given in the proof of Lemma 1.32, we see that in each case (i)-(vi) the condition $\bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{1,i}} = \bar{\mu}_{\Phi_{2,i}}$ forces that: $\Phi_{1,i} \cong \Phi_{2,i}$. By Lemma 7.12, it follows that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Γ° conjugate, which gives a contradiction. \square

7.3. Distinction and linear independence of dimension data.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $\{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$ be a tuple of pairwise non-element conjugate S-subgroups of G . Suppose that the dimension data

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$$

are linearly dependent. For each i ($1 \leq i \leq s$), choose a maximal commutative connected subset S_i of H_i generating H_i/H_i^0 . Write d_i for the minimal order of elements in S_i . Without loss of generality we assume that $\dim S_i \geq \dim S_1$ for each i and $d_i \leq d_1$ whenever $\dim S_i = \dim S_1$; $(\dim S_i, d_i) = (\dim S_1, d_1)$ holds exactly when $1 \leq i \leq s'$ for some integer $s' \leq s$; for some integer $t \leq s'$, S_i is conjugate to S_1 when $1 \leq i \leq t$ and any maximal commutative connected subset S'_i of H_i generating H_i/H_i^0 is not conjugate to S_1 whenever $t+1 \leq i \leq s'$. Then, by Lemma 1.17, any maximal commutative connected subset S'_i of H_i is not conjugate to S_1 whenever $t+1 \leq i \leq s$. Write $S = S_1$ and let \tilde{S} be the quasi torus generated by S . Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_{G^0}(S)/Z_{G^0}(S).$$

Then, the characters

$$F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_t, \Gamma^\circ}$$

are linearly dependent by Proposition 1.2. When $\chi_\rho|_S \neq 0$, H_1, \dots, H_t are all in Class (i). Then, the characters $F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_t, \Gamma^\circ}$ are linearly independent by Lemma 7.8. When $\chi_\rho|_S = 0$, H_1, \dots, H_s are all in Classes (ii)-(iii). Then, the characters $F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ}, \dots, F_{\Phi_t, \Gamma^\circ}$ are linearly independent by Lemma 7.14. Thus, there is a contradiction in any case. Therefore, the dimension data

$$\mathcal{D}_{H_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{H_s}$$

are linearly independent. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}_{H_1} = \mathcal{D}_{H_2}$. Choose a maximal commutative subset \tilde{S}_j of H_j generating H_j/H_j^0 ($j = 1, 2$). Without loss of generality we assume that $S_1 = S_2$ and let it be denoted S . Let \tilde{S} be the quasi torus generated by S . Put

$$\Gamma^\circ = N_{G^0}(S)/Z_{G^0}(S)$$

and write $\Phi_j = R(H_j, \tilde{S})$ ($j = 1, 2$) for the quasi root system of H_j with respect to \tilde{S} .

If H_1 and H_2 are both semisimple or non-semisimple, we get a contradiction by Theorem 5. Without loss of generality, we assume that H_1 is semisimple and H_2 is non-semisimple. Then, H_1 is in Classes (ii)-(iii) and H_2 is in Class (iii). By Proposition 1.2, we get $F_{\Phi_1, \Gamma^\circ} = F_{\Phi_2, \Gamma^\circ}$ from $\mathcal{D}_{H_1} = \mathcal{D}_{H_2}$. By the proof of Lemma 7.14, it follows that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Γ° conjugate. Then, $\dim H_2 = \dim H_1 + 1$. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathcal{D}_{H_1} = \mathcal{D}_{H_2}$ since dimension datum determines the dimension of a closed subgroup. \square

7.4. S-subgroups with linearly dependent dimension data. Let $N > 1$ be an odd integer. Put $G = \mathrm{O}(2N)$. Define an imbedding

$$\phi : \mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(2N)$$

by

$$\phi(A + B\mathbf{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall (A + B\mathbf{i}) \in \mathrm{U}(N) \quad (A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R}))$$

and

$$\phi(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} I_N & 0_N \\ 0_N & -I_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in Theorem 10, we have S-subgroups H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 of $\mathrm{U}(N) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$.

Theorem 11. *For each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $\phi(H_i)$ is an S-subgroup of $G = \mathrm{O}(2N)$. The subgroups $\phi(H_1), \phi(H_2), \phi(H_3), \phi(H_4)$ are pairwise non-conjugate and we have*

$$(39) \quad (\mathcal{D}_{\phi(H_1)} - \mathcal{D}_{\phi(H_2)}) - (\mathcal{D}_{\phi(H_3)} - \mathcal{D}_{\phi(H_4)}) = 0.$$

Proof. Write σ for the natural representation of $\mathrm{U}(N)$ and write ρ for the natural representation of $\mathrm{O}(2N)$. Then,

$$\rho|_{\mathrm{U}(N)} \cong \sigma \oplus \sigma^*.$$

By the construction in Theorem 10, we know that $\sigma|_{H_i^\circ}$ is irreducible and $\sigma|_{H_i^\circ} \not\cong \sigma^*|_{H_i^\circ}$. Then,

$$Z_{\mathrm{O}(2N)}(H_i^0) = Z(\mathrm{U}(N)).$$

By construction, H_i contains an element $g_i \in \tau \mathrm{U}(N)$. Then,

$$Z_{\mathrm{O}(2N)}(\phi(H_i)) = (Z_{\mathrm{O}(2N)}(H_i^0))^{g_i} = Z(\mathrm{U}(N))^{g_i} = \{\pm I\}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\det \phi(g_i) = -1$ due to N is odd. Thus, each $\phi(H_i)$ is an S-subgroup of $G = \mathrm{O}(2N)$.

In the proof of Theorem 11, it is shown that the groups H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 are pairwise non-isomorphic. Then, the subgroups $\phi(H_1), \phi(H_2), \phi(H_3), \phi(H_4)$ of $O(2N)$ are pairwise non-conjugate.

The equality (39) follows from the equality (38) directly. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. An; J.-K. Yu; J. Yu, *On the dimension datum of a subgroup and its application to isospectral manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **94** (2013), no. 1, 59-85.
- [2] J. Arthur, *A note on the automorphic Langlands group*, Dedicated to Robert V. Moody. Canad. Math. Bull. **45** (2002), no. 4, 466-482.
- [3] J. Arthur, *Problems beyond endoscopy*, Representation theory, number theory, and invariant theory, 23-45, Progr. Math., **323**, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [4] J. Arthur, *Functoriality and the trace formula*, Geometric aspects of the trace formula, 1-21, Simons Symp., Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [5] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*. Grad. Texts in Math. **98**, Springer-Verlag (1995).
- [6] E.B. Dynkin, *Selected papers of E. B. Dynkin with commentary*. Edited by A. A. Yushkevich, G. M. Seitz and A. L. Onishchik. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000.
- [7] J.C. Jantzen, *Darstellungen Halbeinfacher Algebraischer Gruppen*. Bonner Math. Schriften **67** (1973).
- [8] A. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics, **140**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [9] S. Kumar, G. Lusztig and D. Prasad, *Characters of simplylaced nonconnected groups versus characters of nonsimplylaced connected groups*, (English summary) Representation theory, 99-101, Contemp. Math., **478**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [10] R. Langlands, *Endoscopy and Beyond*. Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, 611-697, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.
- [11] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *Determining representations from invariant dimensions*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), no. 2, 377-398.
- [12] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *On l -independence of algebraic monodromy groups in compatible systems of representations*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 3, 603-636.
- [13] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *Abelian varieties, l -adic representations, and l -independence*. Math. Ann. **302** (1995), no. 3, 561-579.
- [14] A.N. Minchenko, *Semisimple subalgebras of exceptional Lie algebras*. (Russian) Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. **67** (2006), 256-293 ISBN: 5-484-00146-X ; translation in Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 2006, 225-259.
- [15] H. Pesce, *Représentations relativement équivalentes et variétés riemanniennes isospectrales*. (French) [Relatively equivalent representations and isospectral Riemannian manifolds] Comment. Math. Helv. **71** (1996), no. 2, 243-268.
- [16] M. Reeder, *Torsion automorphisms of simple Lie algebras*, Enseign. Math. (2) **56** (2010), no. 1-2, 3-47.
- [17] M. Reeder and J.-K. Yu, *Affine root datum*, preprint.
- [18] T. Sunada, *Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), no. 1, 169-186.
- [19] C. Sutton, *Isospectral simply-connected homogeneous spaces and the spectral rigidity of group actions*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), 701-717.
- [20] R. Wendt, *Weyl's character formula for non-connected Lie groups and orbital theory for twisted affine Lie algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **180** (2001), no. 1, 31-65.
- [21] J. Yu, *On the dimension datum of a subgroup*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 14, 2683-2736.

- [22] J. Yu, *A compactness result for dimension datum*, IMRN 2015, no. 19, 9438-9449.
- [23] J. Yu, *Some new results on dimension datum*. Bulletin of London Mathematical Society. To appear.
- [24] J. Yu, *Acceptable compact Lie groups*. Peking Mathematical Journal. To appear.
- [25] J. Yu, *Maximal abelian subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of type E*. Geom. Dedicata, **185** (2016), 205-269.

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, NO. 5 YIHEYUAN ROAD, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

Email address: junyu@bicmr.pku.edu.cn