

## ON CONNECTED COMPONENTS WITH MANY EDGES

SAMMY LUO

ABSTRACT. We prove that if  $H$  is a subgraph of a complete multipartite graph  $G$ , then  $H$  contains a connected component  $H'$  satisfying  $|E(H')||E(G)| \geq |E(H)|^2$ . We use this to prove that every three-coloring of the edges of a complete graph contains a monochromatic connected subgraph with at least  $1/6$  of the edges. We further show that such a coloring has a monochromatic circuit with a fraction  $1/6 - o(1)$  of the edges. This verifies a conjecture of Conlon and Tyomkyn.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical observation of Erdős and Rado is that in any two-coloring of the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$ , one of the color classes forms a connected graph. In [3], Gyárfás proves the following generalization of this observation: For any  $k \geq 2$ , in every  $k$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ , there is a monochromatic connected component with at least  $n/(k-1)$  vertices. This observation has since been extended in numerous ways, such as by replacing  $K_n$  with a graph of high minimum degree [5] or with a nearly-complete bipartite graph [2], or adding a constraint on the diameter of the large monochromatic component [7]. See [4] for a survey of earlier work on the subject.

The arguments used in this subject tend to focus on sparse spanning structures like double stars. As such, there is a surprising lack of progress on the corresponding question about edges in a monochromatic connected component. That is, what is the largest value of  $M = M(n, k)$  such that every  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $K_n$  has a monochromatic connected component with at least  $M$  edges?

This question was raised by Conlon and Tyomkyn in [1], in the context of determining the multicolor Ramsey numbers for trails (see Section 3 for the relevant definitions). After showing that  $M(n, 2) = \frac{2}{9}n^2 + o(n^2)$ , they sketch a simple argument that shows  $M(n, k) \geq \frac{1}{16k^2}n^2 + O(n)$  for all  $k$ ; with a slightly more careful analysis, their argument in fact yields  $M(n, k) \geq \frac{1}{4k^2}n^2 + O(n)$ . In the other direction, they examine a construction of Gyárfás in [4] to show that  $M(n, k) \leq \frac{1}{2k(k-1)}n^2 + O(n)$  for infinitely many values of  $k$  (specifically, when  $k-1$  is a prime power), conjecturing that this upper bound is tight in the case  $k = 3$ .

In this note, we improve the general lower bound on  $M(n, k)$ , as well as a corresponding lower bound for the trail Ramsey problem, by a factor of 2 from the bound obtained by the argument in [1], bringing it to asymptotically within a factor  $1 - \frac{1}{k}$  of the upper bound for infinitely many values of  $k$ .

**Theorem 1.** *In every  $k$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ , there is a monochromatic connected component with at least  $M(n, k) \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \binom{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2k^2}n^2 - O(n)$  edges.*

By building on the overarching ideas in [4] and introducing some key new insights, we manage to strengthen this lower bound in the case  $k = 3$  to prove the tight lower bound conjectured by Conlon and Tyomkyn.

---

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

Supported by NSF GRFP Grant DGE-1656518. Email: sammyluo@stanford.edu.

**Theorem 2.** *In every 3-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ , there is a monochromatic component containing at least a sixth of the edges. That is,  $M(n, 3) \geq \lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$ . Moreover, for  $n$  sufficiently large, this bound is sharp.*

While equality holds in this bound for sufficiently large  $n$ , there are, as we will see from the proof, small values of  $n$  for which  $M(n, 3) > \lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$ . In particular, equality holds for all  $n \geq 18$ , but not for  $n = 17$ .

The key result we use is a new inequality that may be of independent interest. Given a subgraph  $H$  of a complete multipartite graph  $G$ , it relates the edge counts of  $G$  and  $H$  to the largest edge count of a connected component  $H'$  of  $H$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a complete  $r$ -partite graph for some  $r \geq 2$ , and let  $H$  be a subgraph of  $G$ . Then  $H$  contains a connected component  $H'$  satisfying*

$$|E(H')| \geq \frac{|E(H)|^2}{|E(G)|}.$$

In effect, this result settles the density analogue of the coloring question of determining  $M(n, k)$ . Instead of partitioning the edges of a graph  $G$  into color classes, we are fixing a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  with a given fraction  $\delta = \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(G)|}$  of its edges, and asking about the component of  $H$  with the most edges. Theorem 3 can then be restated as: if  $|E(H)| = \delta|E(G)|$ , then  $H$  contains a connected component  $H'$  with  $|E(H')| \geq \delta^2|E(G)|$ . Equality can be attained asymptotically when  $\delta = \frac{1}{k}$  for any positive integer  $k \geq 2$ : Let  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$  be an  $r$ -partition of  $V(G)$ , split each  $V_i$  into  $k$  (roughly) equally sized vertex sets  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^k$ , and for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , let  $H_j$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_{i,j}$ . Then indeed,  $H = \bigcup_{j=1}^k H_j$  is a subgraph of  $G$  whose components have edge counts

$$|E(H_j)| = \frac{1}{k^2}|E(G)| + O(n) = \frac{|E(H)|^2}{|E(G)|} + O(n).$$

The simplest case of Theorem 3, when  $G = K_n$ , already immediately implies Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* In a  $k$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ , one of the color classes has at least  $\frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{2}$  edges. Taking  $H$  to be this color class in Theorem 3 with  $G = K_n$  yields Theorem 1.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2 requires a more detailed argument, but similarly follows from this one case of Theorem 3.

In the next section, we give an elementary proof of Theorem 3. We then study the coloring version of the problem, as well as the corresponding trail Ramsey problem, in Section 3.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

In the case  $G = K_n$ , the proof of Theorem 3 is very simple, but nevertheless includes a key insight: Instead of taking a component that maximizes the number of edges right away, we consider a component  $H'$  with maximum **average degree**  $\bar{d}(H') = \frac{|E(H')|}{\frac{1}{2}|V(H')|}$ . Two observations are crucial here: First, this highest average degree must be at least the average degree of the whole graph  $H$ , since

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{\frac{1}{2}|V(H)|} = \frac{\sum |E(H_i)|}{\frac{1}{2} \sum |V(H_i)|},$$

where the sums are over connected components  $H_i$  of  $H$ , and the right hand side is a generalized median of the average degrees of the individual components. Second, the number of vertices of  $H'$

is at least one more than its maximum degree, so  $|V(H')| \geq \bar{d}(H') + 1$ . Letting  $\delta = \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(G)|}$ , we then obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |E(H')| &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\bar{d}(H'))(\bar{d}(H') + 1) = \binom{\bar{d}(H') + 1}{2} \\ &\geq \binom{\bar{d}(H) + 1}{2} = \binom{\frac{2|E(H)|}{n} + 1}{2} \\ &= \binom{\delta(n-1) + 1}{2} \geq \delta^2 \binom{n}{2} \\ &= \delta^2 |E(G)| = \frac{|E(H)|^2}{|E(G)|}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

The general case will use both of these observations in a modified setting. Instead of the average degree, we will work with a slightly different quantity whose denominator is a weighted vertex count; we will then, perhaps counterintuitively, lower bound this weighted vertex count by the modified analogue of the average degree, in order to obtain the bound we seek.

Given a graph  $G$  and vertex sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , let

$$e_G(S, T) = \#\{(s, t) \in S \times T : s \sim_G t\}.$$

We write  $e(S, T)$  for  $e_G(S, T)$  when the graph in question is unambiguous. Let  $G[S]$  denote the induced subgraph of  $G$  on the vertex set  $S$ . The core of our proof is the following inequality.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a complete multipartite graph. For any  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , we have*

$$e(S, T)^2 \geq 4|E(G[S])||E(G[T])|.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  is  $r$ -partite. Let  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$  be a partition of the vertices of  $G$  into  $r$  independent sets. Let  $a_i = |V_i \cap S|$  and  $b_i = |V_i \cap T|$ , so

$$e(S, T) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq r \\ i \neq j}} a_i b_j.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality then yields

$$e(S, T) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right) - \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right)}.$$

This last quantity is clearly nonnegative, since  $(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2$  and  $(\sum_{i=1}^r b_i)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
e(S, T)^2 &\geq \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right) - \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right)} \right)^2 \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right) - 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right) \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right)} \\
&\geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \\
&= \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \right) \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^2 \right) \\
&= 4|E(G[S])||E(G[T])|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is an application of the AM-GM inequality.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $V = V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$  be a partition of the vertices of  $G$  into  $r$  independent sets, let  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  be the connected components of  $H$ , and let  $V_{i,\ell} = V_i \cap H_\ell$ . We have

$$|E(H)| = \sum_{j=1}^k |E(H_j)|, \quad |V_i| = \sum_{\ell=1}^k |V_{i,\ell}| \text{ for all } i.$$

For any subset  $S \subseteq V$ , define  $f(S) = \frac{1}{2}e_G(S, V)$ . Note that

$$f(S) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq r \\ i \neq j}} |V_i \cap S| |V_j|,$$

so  $f(S)$  can be viewed as a weighted vertex count for  $S$ , with the property that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k f(V(H_\ell)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^k e_G(V(H_\ell), V) = \frac{1}{2}e_G(V, V) = |E(G)|.$$

Then by the same averaging argument as before, for some  $H' = H_\ell$  we have

$$\frac{|E(H')|}{f(V(H'))} \geq \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^k |E(H_\ell)|}{\sum_{\ell=1}^k f(V(H_\ell))} = \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(G)|}.$$

Now, Lemma 4 applied with  $S = V(H')$ ,  $T = V$  yields  $f(V(H'))^2 \geq |E(G[V(H')])||E(G)| \geq |E(H')||E(G)|$ , so that

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{|E(H')|}{f(V(H'))} \leq \sqrt{\frac{|E(H')|}{|E(G)|}},$$

which rearranges to the desired inequality.  $\square$

### 3. COLORING PROBLEMS

We can now apply Theorem 3 to the corresponding coloring problems. We have already seen that applying Theorem 3 with  $G = K_n$  readily yields the lower bound on  $M(n, k)$  given in Theorem 1. We now discuss a closely related problem on monochromatic trails and circuits.

**3.1. Multicolor Ramsey numbers of trails and circuits.** A *trail* is a walk without repeated edges, and a *circuit* is a trail with the same first and last vertex. The ( $k$ -color) Ramsey problem for trails is the question of finding the largest  $m$  such that every  $k$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains a monochromatic trail of length  $m$ .

Answering a question of Osumi [6], Conlon and Tyomkyn [1] show that every 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains a monochromatic circuit with at least  $\frac{2}{9}n^2 + O(n^{3/2})$  edges, and this is asymptotically tight. For the case of general  $k$ , they observe that by deleting a forest in each color class of a  $k$ -coloring of  $K_n$  to make each color class Eulerian (i.e. ensuring every vertex has even degree in each color), one can reduce this Ramsey problem to a variant of the problem of determining  $M(n, k)$ . Where previously we colored the edges of  $K_n$  and found a large monochromatic component, we now apply the same procedure to the graph obtained by deleting at most  $kn$  edges from  $K_n$ . Here we demonstrate a quick proof of an improved lower bound for the general case of this problem, corresponding to the bound on  $M(n, k)$  given in Theorem 1.

**Corollary 5.** *Every  $k$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains a monochromatic circuit (and hence a monochromatic trail) of length at least  $\frac{1}{2k^2}n^2 + O(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a  $k$ -coloring of  $E(K_n)$ . For each color, as in [1], we can remove a forest that meets all odd degree vertices, to leave every color class Eulerian. By the pigeonhole principle, there is a color—without loss of generality, red—with at least  $\frac{1}{k}(\binom{n}{2} - nk) = (\frac{1}{k} - \frac{2}{n-1})|E(K_n)|$  edges. Applying Theorem 3 with  $G = K_n$  then yields a connected red component  $H'$  with

$$|E(H')| \geq \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{2}{n-1}\right)^2 |E(K_n)| = \frac{1}{2k^2}n^2 + O(n).$$

Since  $H'$  is Eulerian, it contains an Eulerian circuit, giving a monochromatic circuit of length  $\frac{1}{2k^2}n^2 + O(n)$  as needed.  $\square$

**3.2. Three colors.** In this section, we prove the lower and upper bounds on  $M(n, 3)$  in separate lemmas in order to establish Theorem 2. We then discuss the behavior of  $M(n, 3)$  for small values of  $n$ , and conclude by describing how to adapt our proofs to obtain asymptotically tight bounds for the Ramsey numbers of trails and circuits in three colors.

**Lemma 6.** *Every 3-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains a monochromatic component with at least  $\lceil \frac{1}{6}\binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges.*

*Proof.* Let  $G = K_n$ , and call the three colors red, green, and blue. First, suppose one of the color classes (say, red) is connected. If there are at least  $\frac{1}{6}|E(G)|$  red edges, we are done. Otherwise, the other two colors together have at least  $\frac{5}{6}|E(G)|$  edges, so one of them has at least  $\frac{5}{12}|E(G)|$  edges. Applying Theorem 3 with  $G = K_n$  to the graph in that color then gives a monochromatic component with at least  $(\frac{5}{12})^2|E(G)| > \frac{1}{6}|E(G)|$  edges, so we are again done.

Thus, we can assume every color has at least two components. Without loss of generality, let red be the color with the most edges, so the red graph  $H$  has at least  $\frac{1}{3}|E(G)|$  edges. Let  $H'$  be the component of  $H$  with the highest average degree, so  $|V(H')| \geq \bar{d}(H') + 1 \geq \frac{1}{3}n$ . We can assume  $|V(H')| \leq \frac{1}{2}n$ ; otherwise, we would have  $|E(H')| \geq \frac{1}{2}|V(H')|\bar{d}(H') > \frac{1}{6}|E(G)|$ . Let  $V_1 = V(H')$  and  $V_2 = V(G) \setminus V_1$ , and let  $G'$  be the bipartite graph induced by  $G$  between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , so  $G'$  has at least  $|V_1||V_2| \geq \frac{2}{9}n^2 > \frac{1}{3}|E(G)|$  edges, all of which must be green or blue.

Suppose there is a green or blue component  $C_1$  of  $G$  contained entirely within one of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; without loss of generality, say it is a green component in  $V_2$ . Then all edges between  $C_1$  and  $V_1$  are blue, so all vertices of  $V_1$  are in the same blue component in  $G'$ . Since there are at least two blue components in  $G$ , there must be a blue component  $C_2$  that does not intersect  $V_1$ ; then, by

the same argument, all vertices of  $V_1$  are in the same green component in  $G'$ . This implies that  $G'$  contains exactly one green component and one blue component (not counting components with zero edges), one of which then has at least  $\frac{1}{2}|E(G')| > \frac{1}{6}|E(G)|$  edges, as desired.




FIGURE 1. Lower bound: Exactly two components of each color

Otherwise, all green and blue components have nonzero intersection with both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . We claim that there are exactly two components of each of these two colors. Suppose there were more than two green components. Let  $C_1, C_2$  be two of them, and let  $C_3$  be the union of the rest of the vertices. Let  $A_i = V_1 \cap C_i$  and  $B_i = V_2 \cap C_i$ . Then all edges between  $A_i$  and  $B_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , must be blue. But all of the  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are nonempty, so by inspection the blue graph is connected, a contradiction.

So indeed there are exactly two green components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , and similarly two blue components. We claim that there are also exactly two red components; see Figure 1. Indeed, defining  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  as before, we see that in the blue graph,  $A_1$  is complete to  $B_2$ , and  $A_2$  is complete to  $B_1$ . If there were any blue edges between  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , the blue graph would be connected. Likewise, since  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are separate green components, there cannot be any green edges between  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . This means that all edges between  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  must be red, meaning  $V_2$  must be a connected red component, so there are exactly two red components as claimed.

Since each of the three colors has exactly two components, one of the components has at least  $\frac{1}{6}|E(G)|$  edges, as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *For sufficiently large  $n$ , there exists a 3-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  such that every monochromatic component contains at most  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges.*

*Proof.* We consider the following modification of a construction by Gyarfas: Let  $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \cup V_4$  be a partition of the vertices of  $G = K_n$  into four parts, with

$$\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil = |V_1| \geq \dots \geq |V_4| = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor.$$

Letting  $E(U, W)$  denote the set of edges between vertex sets  $U$  and  $W$ , color  $E(V_1, V_2) \cup E(V_3, V_4)$  red,  $E(V_1, V_3) \cup E(V_2, V_4)$  green, and  $E(V_1, V_4) \cup E(V_2, V_3)$  blue. For large enough  $n$ ,  $e(V_i, V_j) \leq \lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , so it remains to extend this coloring by coloring the edges within each of the  $V_i$  such that each monochromatic component has at most  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges at the end.

We call such a coloring of this form *nice* if each green or blue component contains exactly  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges. At least one nice coloring exists: we can color exactly  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil - e(V_1, V_3)$  of the edges within  $V_1$  and  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil - e(V_2, V_4)$  of the edges within  $V_2$  green, and exactly  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil - e(V_2, V_3)$  of the edges




FIGURE 2. Initial construction for the  $M(n, 3)$  upper bound

within  $V_3$  and  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil - e(V_1, V_4)$  of the edges within  $V_4$  blue (there are enough edges within each  $V_i$  to do this when  $n$  is sufficiently large), and color all remaining edges red. See Figure 2 for a diagram of this coloring. Fix a nice coloring where the larger of the two red components contains as few edges as possible.

Suppose one of the red components in this coloring, without loss of generality the one on  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , has more than  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges. Then  $V_3 \cup V_4$  must have less than  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  red edges. Without loss of generality let  $V_1$  contain a red edge  $e$ . If either  $V_3$  contains a green edge, or  $V_4$  contains a blue edge, we can switch the color of that edge with edge  $e$ , preserving the sizes of the green and blue components while decreasing the size of the larger red component by one. Otherwise,  $V_3$  is entirely green and red, and  $V_4$  is entirely blue and red. Since the red component on  $V_3 \cup V_4$  has less than  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges, neither  $V_3$  nor  $V_4$  can be entirely red (for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor^2 + \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}{2} > \lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$ ). Then if  $V_2$  contains a red edge, we can similarly switch two edges to reduce the size of the larger red component by one, so we can assume  $V_2$  is entirely green and blue. But then there is one component of each color (including the larger red component) that does not have any edges within  $V_2 \cup V_3 \cup V_4$ , which means there are at least  $3 \lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges incident to  $V_1$ , a contradiction since

$$e(V_1, V) \leq \binom{\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil}{2} + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil \left( n - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil \right) < 3 \left\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \right\rceil,$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus indeed there is a construction of this form where every monochromatic component has at most  $\lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  edges.  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 6 and 7 yields Theorem 2 as desired.

The construction in the proof of Lemma 7 is well-defined for all  $n \geq 46$ . A more careful analysis, splitting into cases based on the value of  $n$  modulo 4 and then using a more explicit construction in each case, shows that in fact  $M(n, 3) = \lceil \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{2} \rceil$  for all  $n \geq 11$  except  $n = 13, 17$ . However, the lower bound from Lemma 6 is not sharp for some of these small values of  $n$ . Closely inspecting each step of our proof for  $n = 17$ , for example, we can deduce that  $M(17, 3) = 24$ , instead of the expected 23; indeed, all of the bounds before the final step in the proof of Lemma 6 are loose enough to yield a component with at least 24 edges unless we are in the case depicted in Figure 1, where one of the vertex sets  $A_i$  or  $B_i$  has size 5, and the other three sets have size 4. The set of size 5 then contains 10 internal edges, some 4 of which are in the same color, yielding a component with  $4 + 20 = 24$  edges as claimed. This shows a genuine difference in the behavior of  $M(n, 3)$  for these small values of  $n$  due to integer-related constraints in the extremal configurations.

We can adjust the proof of Lemma 6 to give a lower bound on the size of the largest monochromatic circuit in a 3-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ , as follows. First, as before, we can remove a forest in each color and leave each color class Eulerian. The resulting graph  $G$  has at least  $\binom{n}{2} - 3n$  edges, so all but at most  $3\sqrt{n}$  of the vertices have degree at least  $n - 1 - 2\sqrt{n}$ . We then pass to the induced subgraph  $G'$  on these  $n' \geq n - 3\sqrt{n}$  vertices; the minimum degree of  $G'$  is at least  $n' - 1 - 2\sqrt{n} \geq n' - 3\sqrt{n'}$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large. The argument then proceeds largely as in the proof of Lemma 6, except that the condition of every green or blue component intersecting both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  is strengthened by requiring every green or blue component to intersect each of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in more than  $6\sqrt{n'}$  vertices. The proof then concludes as before, reducing to the case where there are exactly two components in each color. When the edges between  $V(G')$  and  $V(G) \setminus V(G')$  are added back in, it remains true that there are at most two components in each color with a positive number of edges. So, at least one monochromatic component in  $G$  has at least  $\frac{1}{6}(|E(G)| - (3\sqrt{n})^2) \geq \frac{1}{12}n^2 - O(n)$  edges. Since this component of  $G$  is Eulerian, we have a circuit, and hence a trail, of the desired length, for all sufficiently large  $n$ . The upper bound from Lemma 7 likewise applies to the size of the longest circuit, showing that the lower bound is asymptotically tight.

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks his advisor Jacob Fox for bringing this problem to his attention, and for helpful conversations along the way.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CONLON, D., AND TYOMKYN, M. Ramsey numbers of trails and circuits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.02633* (2021).
- [2] DEBIASIO, L., KRUEGER, R. A., AND SÁRKÖZY, G. N. Large monochromatic components in multicolored bipartite graphs. *J. Graph Theory* 94, 1 (2020), 117–130.
- [3] GYÁRFÁS, A. Partition coverings and blocking sets in hypergraphs. *Communications of the Computer and Automation Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences* 71 (1977), 62.
- [4] GYÁRFÁS, A. Large monochromatic components in edge colorings of graphs: a survey. In *Ramsey theory*, vol. 285 of *Progr. Math.* Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011, pp. 77–96.
- [5] GYÁRFÁS, A., AND SÁRKÖZY, G. N. Large monochromatic components in edge colored graphs with a minimum degree condition. *Electron. J. Combin.* 24, 3 (2017), Paper No. 3.54, 14.
- [6] OSUMI, M. Ramsey numbers of trails. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.00734* (2021).
- [7] RUSZINKÓ, M. Large components in  $r$ -edge-colorings of  $K_n$  have diameter at most five. *J. Graph Theory* 69, 3 (2012), 337–340.