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ABSTRACT. In this article, we classify h-almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons in paracontact geometry. In particular, we characterize para-Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying h-almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons and 3-dimensional para-Kenmotsu manifolds obeying h-almost gradient Ricci-Yamabe solitons. Next, we classify para-Sasakian manifolds admitting h-almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons and h-almost gradient Ricci-Yamabe solitons. Besides these, we investigate h-almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons and h-almost gradient Ricci-Yamabe solitons in para-cosymplectic manifolds. Finally, we construct two examples to illustrate our results.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a semi-Riemannian manifold the Ricci-Yamabe soliton is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_V g + (2\lambda - \beta r)g + 2\alpha S = 0,$$

(1.1)

$\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie-derivative, $S$ is the Ricci tensor, $r$ being the scalar curvature and $\lambda, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Ricci-Yamabe solitons are the special solutions of the Ricci-Yamabe flow

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2\alpha S + \beta rg,$$

(1.2)

which was introduced by Guler and Crasmareanu[13]. Equation (1.1) is called almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton provided $\lambda$ is a smooth function.

In particular, for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, (1.1) implies

$$\mathcal{L}_V g + 2\alpha S + 2\lambda g = 0,$$

(1.3)

which is a Ricci soliton for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons (Ricci-Yamabe solitons) are the natural generalization of almost Ricci solitons (Ricci solitons). Several generalization of Ricci solitons are almost Ricci solitons([8], [9], [23], [24], [26], [27]), $\eta$-Ricci solitons ([1], [2], [3], [7], [18], [19]), -*-Ricci solitons([5], [15], [16], [22], [25]) and many others.
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Recently, Gomes et al. [12] extended the concept of almost Ricci soliton to $h$-almost Ricci soliton on a complete Riemannian manifold by

$$\frac{h}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g + \lambda g + S = 0,$$

where $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Specifically, a Ricci soliton is the $1$-almost Ricci soliton endowed with constant $\lambda$.

Now we introduce the new type of solitons named $h$-almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons (briefly, $h$-ARYS) which are the extended notion of almost Ricci-Yamabe solitons, which are given by

$$\frac{h}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g + \alpha S + (\lambda - \beta^2 r)g = 0,$$

where $h$ is a smooth function.

If $V$ is a gradient of $f$ on the manifold, then the foregoing concept is called $h$-almost gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton (briefly, $h$-AGRYS) and (1.5) takes the form

$$h \nabla^2 f + (\lambda - \beta^2 r)g + \alpha S = 0.$$

An $h$-AGRYS is named $h$-gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton if $\lambda$ is constant.

An $h$-ARYS (or $h$-AGRYS) turns into

(i) $h$-almost Ricci soliton (or $h$-almost gradient Ricci soliton) if $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$,

(ii) $h$-almost Yamabe soliton (or $h$-almost gradient Yamabe soliton) if $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$,

(iii) $h$-almost Einstein soliton (or $h$-almost gradient Einstein soliton) if $\beta = -1$ and $\alpha = 1$.

The $h$-ARYS (or $h$-AGRYS) is called proper if $\alpha \neq 0, 1$.

Recently, in ([20], [21]), the first author and Sarkar studied Ricci-Yamabe solitons in Kenmotsu 3-manifolds and generalized Sasakian space forms. Also, Sing and Khatri [17] studied Ricci-Yamabe solitons in perfect fluid spacetime.

The current article is structured as:

After the introduction, required preliminaries have been mentioned in Section 2. In Section 3, we investigate $h$-ARYS and $h$-AGRYS in para-Kenmotsu manifolds. Next, we classify para-Sasakian manifolds admitting $h$-ARYS and $h$-AGRYS in Section 5. Besides these, we investigate $h$-ARYS and $h$-AGRYS in para-cosymplectic manifolds in Section 7. Finally, we construct two examples to illustrate our results.
2. Preliminaries

An almost paracontact structure on a manifold $M^{2n+1}$ consists of a $(1,1)$-tensor field $\phi$, a vector field $\zeta$ and a one-form $\eta$ obeying the subsequent conditions:

$$\phi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \zeta, \quad \eta(\zeta) = 1 \quad (2.1)$$

and the tensor field $\phi$ induces an almost paracomplex structure on each fibre of $D = \ker(\eta)$, that is, the $\pm 1$-eigendistributions, $D^\pm = D_\phi(\pm 1)$ of $\phi$ have equal dimension $n$.

The manifold $M$ with an almost paracontact structure is named an almost paracontact manifold. From the definition it can be established that $\phi \zeta = 0$, $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ and rank of $\phi$ is $2n$. If the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes identically, then this manifold is said to be normal. $M$ is named an almost paracontact metric manifold if there exists a semi-Riemannian metric $g$ such that

$$g(\phi Z_1, \phi Z_2) = -g(Z_1, Z_2) + \eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $Z_1, Z_2 \in \chi(M)$.

$(M, \phi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ is named a paracontact metric manifold if $d\eta(Z_1, Z_2) = g(Z_1, \phi Z_2) = \Phi(Z_1, Z_2)$, $\Phi$ being the fundamental 2-form of $M$.

An almost paracontact metric manifold $M^{2n+1}$, with a structure $(\phi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ is said to be an almost $\gamma$-paracosymplectic manifold, if

$$d\eta = 0, d\Phi = 2\gamma \eta \wedge \Phi, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\gamma$ is a constant or function on $M$. If we put $\gamma = 1$ in $(2.3)$, we acquire almost para-Kenmotsu manifolds. In $[10]$, the para-Kenmotsu manifold satisfies

$$R(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta = \eta(Z_1)Z_2 - \eta(Z_2)Z_1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$R(Z_1, \zeta)Z_2 = g(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta - \eta(Z_2)Z_1, \quad (2.5)$$

$$R(\zeta, Z_1)Z_2 = -g(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta + \eta(Z_2)Z_1, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\eta(R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3) = -g(Z_2, Z_3)\eta(Z_1) + g(Z_1, Z_3)\eta(Z_2), \quad (2.7)$$

$$(\nabla_{Z_1}\phi)Z_2 = g(\phi Z_1, Z_2)\zeta - \eta(Z_2)\phi Z_1, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\nabla_{Z_1}\zeta = Z_1 - \eta(Z_1)\zeta, \quad (2.9)$$

$$S(Z_1, \zeta) = -2n\eta(Z_1). \quad (2.10)$$

Lemma 2.1. $(10)$ In a para-Kenmotsu manifold $M^3$, we have

$$\zeta r = -2(r + 6). \quad (2.11)$$

Also in a $M^3$, we have

$$QZ_1 = (\frac{r}{2} + 1)Z_1 - (\frac{r}{2} + 3)\eta(Z_1)\zeta, \quad (2.12)$$

which implies

$$S(Z_1, Z_2) = -(\frac{r}{2} + 3)\eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2) + (\frac{r}{2} + 1)g(Z_1, Z_2), \quad (2.13)$$

$Q$ indicates the Ricci operator defined by $S(Z_1, Z_2) = g(QZ_1, Z_2)$. 
3. $h$-ARYS on para-Kenmotsu manifolds

We assume that the manifold $M^{2n+1}$ admits an $h$-ARYS $(g, \zeta, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Then from (1.5), we get

\[ h^2 (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(Z_1, Z_2) + \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0, \]  

(3.1)

which implies

\[ h^2 [g(\nabla Z_1 \zeta, Z_2) + g(Z_1, \nabla Z_2 \zeta)] + \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0, \]  

(3.2)

Using (2.9) in (3.2), we infer

\[ \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) = h^2 \eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2) - (h + \lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)g(Z_1, Z_2). \]  

(3.3)

Putting $Z_1 = Z_2 = \zeta$ in the foregoing equation entails that

\[ \frac{\beta}{2}r = \lambda - 2n\alpha. \]  

(3.4)

Equations (3.3) and (3.4) together give

\[ \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) = -(h + 2n\alpha)g(Z_1, Z_2) + h\eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2), \]  

(3.5)

which is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold. Hence we have:

**Theorem 3.1.** If a $M^{2n+1}$ admits a proper $h$-ARYS, then the manifold becomes an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

Let $M^3$ admit an $h$-AGRYS. Then (1.6) implies

\[ h\nabla_{Z_1} Df = -\alpha QZ_1 - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)Z_1. \]  

(3.6)

Taking covariant derivative of (3.6), we get

\[ h\nabla_{Z_2} \nabla_{Z_1} Df = \frac{1}{h} \left[ (Z_2 h) [\alpha QZ_1 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)Z_1] - \alpha \nabla_{Z_2} QUZ_1 \right. \]  

\[ - (Z_2 \lambda)Z_1 - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)\nabla_{Z_2} Z_1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(Z_2 r)Z_1. \]  

(3.7)

Interchanging $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ in (3.7) entails that

\[ h\nabla_{Z_1} \nabla_{Z_2} Df = \frac{1}{h} \left[ (Z_1 h) [\alpha QZ_2 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)Z_2] - \alpha \nabla_{Z_1} QUZ_2 \right. \]  

\[ - (Z_1 \lambda)Z_2 - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)\nabla_{Z_1} Z_2 + \frac{\beta}{2}(Z_1 r)Z_2. \]  

(3.8)

Equation (3.6) implies

\[ h\nabla_{[Z_1, Z_2]} Df = -\alpha Q([Z_1, Z_2]) - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)([Z_1, Z_2]). \]  

(3.9)
Equations (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) reveal that
\[ hR(Z_1, Z_2)Df = \frac{1}{h}(Z_1h)[\alpha QZ_2 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2})Z_2] \]
\[ -\frac{1}{h}(Z_2h)[\alpha QZ_1 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2})Z_1] \]
\[ -\alpha[\nabla_{Z_1}QZ_2 - (\nabla_{Z_2}Q)Z_1] \]
\[ +\frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1r)Z_2 - (Z_2r)Z_1] - [(Z_1\lambda)Z_2 - (Z_2\lambda)Z_1]. \]

Equation (2.12) implies
\[ (\nabla_{Z_1}Q)Z_2 = \frac{Z_1r}{2}[Z_2 - \eta(Z_2)\zeta] \]
\[ -(3 + \frac{r}{2})[g(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta - 2\eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2)\zeta + \eta(Z_2)Z_1]. \]

Using (3.11) in (3.10), we get
\[ hR(Z_1, Z_2)Df = \frac{1}{h}(Z_1h)[\alpha QZ_2 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2})Z_2] \]
\[ -\frac{1}{h}(Z_2h)[\alpha QZ_1 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2})Z_1] \]
\[ -\alpha(\frac{Z_1r}{2}[Z_2 - \eta(Z_2)\zeta] + \alpha(\frac{Z_2r}{2}[Z_1 - \eta(Z_1)\zeta] \]
\[ +\alpha(3 + \frac{r}{2})[\eta(Z_2)Z_1 - \eta(Z_1)Z_2] - [(Z_1\lambda)Z_2 - (Z_2\lambda)Z_1] \]
\[ +\frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1r)Z_2 - (Z_2r)Z_1]. \]

If we take \( h = \) constant, then (3.12) implies
\[ hR(Z_1, Z_2)Df = -\alpha(\frac{Z_1r}{2}[Z_2 - \eta(Z_2)\zeta] + \frac{Z_2r}{2}[Z_1 - \eta(Z_1)\zeta]) \]
\[ +\alpha(3 + \frac{r}{2})[\eta(Z_2)Z_1 - \eta(Z_1)Z_2] - [(Z_1\lambda)Z_2 - (Z_2\lambda)Z_1] \]
\[ +\frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1r)Z_2 - (Z_2r)Z_1]. \]

Contracting (3.13), we infer
\[ hS(Z_2, Df) = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta)Z_2r + 2(Z_2\lambda). \]

Replacing \( Z_1 \) by \( Df \) in (2.13) and comparing with (3.14), we get
\[ h[(1 + \frac{r}{2})Z_2 - (3 + \frac{r}{2})(\zeta f)\eta(Z_2)] = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta)Z_2r + 2(Z_2\lambda). \]

Putting \( Z_2 = \zeta \) in (3.15) entails that
\[ h(\zeta f) = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta)(r + 6) - (\zeta \lambda). \]
Taking inner product of (3.13) with $\zeta$ gives
\[
\begin{align*}
    h[\eta(Z_2)Z_1 f - \eta(Z_1)Z_2 f] & = -[(Z_1 \lambda)\eta(Z_2) - (Z_2 \lambda)\eta(Z_1)] \\
    & + \frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1 r)\eta(Z_2) - (Z_2 r)\eta(Z_1)].
\end{align*}
\]  
(3.17)

Setting $Z_2 = \zeta$ in (3.17) and using (3.16), we get
\[
    h(Z_1 f) = \frac{\beta}{2}(Z_1 r) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(r + 6)\eta(Z_1) - (Z_1 \lambda).
\]  
(3.18)

Let us assume that the scalar curvature $r = \text{constant}$. Then from (2.11) we get $r = -6$. Therefore the above equation implies
\[
    h(Z_1 f) = -(Z_1 \lambda),
\]  
(3.19)

which implies
\[
    h(\nabla f) = -(\nabla \lambda).
\]  
(3.20)

Using (3.20) in (3.6) reveals that
\[
    - \nabla Z_1 \lambda = -\alpha QZ_1 - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)Z_1,
\]
which shows that it is an almost gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton whose soliton function is $-\lambda$. Hence we have:

**Theorem 3.2.** If a $M^3$ with a constant scalar curvature admits an $h$-ARYS, then the soliton becomes an almost gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton whose soliton function is $-\lambda$.

4. PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

A para-Sasakian manifold is a normal paracontact metric manifold. It is to be noted that the para-Sasakian manifold implies the $K$-paracontact manifold and conversely (only in 3 dimensions). In a para-Sasakian manifold the following relations hold:
\[
    \begin{align*}
        R(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta & = \eta(Z_1)Z_2 - \eta(Z_2)Z_1, \quad (4.1) \\
        (\nabla_{Z_1} \phi)Z_2 & = -g(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta + \eta(Z_2)Z_1, \quad (4.2) \\
        \nabla_{Z_1} \zeta & = -\phi Z_1, \quad (4.3) \\
        R(\zeta, Z_1)Z_2 & = -g(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta + \eta(Z_2)Z_1, \quad (4.4) \\
        S(Z_1, \zeta) & = -2\eta(Z_1). \quad (4.5)
    \end{align*}
\]

In a 3-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold the curvature tensor $R$ is of the form
\[
    R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3 = g(Z_2, Z_3)Q Z_1 - g(Z_1, Z_3)Q Z_2 + S(Z_2, Z_3)Z_1 - S(Z_1, Z_3)Z_2 - \frac{r}{2}[g(Z_2, Z_3)Z_1 - g(Z_1, Z_3)Z_2].
\]  
(4.6)

Equation (4.6) implies
\[
    Q Z_1 = \left(\frac{r}{2} + 1\right)Z_1 - \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right)\eta(Z_1)\zeta, \quad (4.7)
\]
which implies

\[ S(Z_1, Z_2) = \left( \frac{r}{2} + 1 \right) g(Z_1, Z_2) - \left( \frac{r}{2} + 3 \right) \eta(Z_1) \eta(Z_2). \] (4.8)

**Lemma 4.1.** ([10]) For a para-Sasakian manifold \( M^3 \), we have

\[ \zeta r = 0. \] (4.9)

5. \( h \)-ARYS ON PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Let us assume that a para-Sasakian manifold \( M^{2n+1} \) admit an \( h \)-ARYS \((g, \zeta, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\). Then equation (4.5) implies

\[ \frac{h}{2} (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(Z_1, Z_2) + \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0, \] (5.1)

which implies

\[ \frac{h}{2} \left[ g(\nabla_{Z_1} \zeta, Z_2) + g(Z_1, \nabla_{Z_2} \zeta) \right] + \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0. \] (5.2)

Using (4.3) in (5.2) entails that

\[ \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) = \left( \frac{\beta}{2} r - \lambda \right) g(Z_1, Z_2). \] (5.3)

Putting \( Z_1 = Z_2 = \zeta \) in (5.3), we get

\[ \beta r = 2\lambda - 4n\alpha. \] (5.4)

Hence from (5.3), we infer

\[ S(Z_1, Z_2) = -2ng(Z_1, Z_2), \]

since for proper \( h \)-ARYS \((\alpha \neq 0)\). Hence it is an Einstein manifold. Therefore we state:

**Theorem 5.1.** If \( M^{2n+1} \) admits a proper \( h \)-ARYS, then the manifold becomes an Einstein manifold.

If we take \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \beta = 0 \), then (5.4) implies \( \lambda = 2n \). Hence we get:

**Corollary 5.1.** If a \( M^{2n+1} \) admits a proper \( h \)-almost Ricci soliton, then the soliton is expanding.
Suppose that a $M^3$ admit an h-AGRYs. Then equation (1.6) implies

$$h \nabla_{Z_1} Df = -\alpha QZ_1 - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r)Z_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

Using (4.7) in the above equation entails that

$$h \nabla_{Z_1} Df = -\left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right] Z_1 + \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \eta(Z_1) \zeta.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.6)

Taking covariant differentiation of (5.6), we get

$$h \nabla_{Z_1} \nabla_{Z_1} Df = \frac{1}{h} (Z_2 h) \left[\left\{\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right\} Z_1 - \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \eta(Z_1) \zeta\right] - \left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \lambda Z_1\right] Z_2 - \left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right] \nabla_{Z_2} Z_1 + \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \left[\nabla_{Z_2} \eta(Z_1)\right] \zeta - \eta(Z_1) \phi Z_2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.7)

Swapping $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ in (5.7), we infer

$$h \nabla_{Z_1} \nabla_{Z_2} Df = \frac{1}{h} (Z_1 h) \left[\left\{\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right\} Z_2 - \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \eta(Z_2) \zeta\right] - \left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \lambda Z_1\right] Z_2 - \left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right] \nabla_{Z_1} Z_2 + \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \left[\nabla_{Z_1} \eta(Z_2)\right] \zeta - \eta(Z_2) \phi Z_1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.8)

Equation (5.6) implies

$$h \nabla_{[Z_1, Z_2]} Df = -\left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right]\left([Z_1, Z_2]\right) + \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \eta([Z_1, Z_2]) \zeta.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

With the help of (5.7)-(5.9), we get

$$hR(Z_1, Z_2) Df = \frac{1}{h} (Z_1 h) \left[\left\{\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right\} Z_2 - \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \eta(Z_2) \zeta\right] - \frac{1}{h} (Z_2 h) \left[\left\{\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right\} Z_1 - \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \eta(Z_1) \zeta\right] - \left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \lambda Z_1\right] Z_2 + \left[\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right] Z_2 r - \lambda Z_1 Z_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left([Z_1 r] \eta(Z_2)\right) \zeta - \eta(Z_2) \phi Z_1 + \eta(Z_1) \phi Z_2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.10)

Contracting the foregoing equation entails that

$$hS(Z_1, Df) = -\frac{1}{h} (Z_2 h) \left[2\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} r + \alpha + \lambda\right) - \alpha \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right)\right] - \frac{\alpha}{h} \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3\right) \left(h \eta(Z_2)\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta\right) (Z_2 r) + 2(Z_2 \lambda).$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.11)
Replacing $Z_1$ by $Df$ in (4.8) and likening with the above equation, we get
\[ h[(r^2 + 1)Z_2 f - (r^2 + 3)(\zeta f)\eta(Z_2)] = -\frac{1}{h}(Z_2 h)[2\{\frac{(\alpha - \beta)}{2}r + \alpha + \lambda\} - \alpha(r^2 + 3)\phi] \]
\[ -\frac{\alpha}{h}(r^2 + 3)(\zeta h)\eta((Z_2) + (\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2})(Z_2 r) + 2(Z_2 \lambda). \]

Setting $Z_2 = \zeta$ in (5.12) reveals that
\[ h[(\zeta f) = \frac{1}{h}[\frac{(\alpha - \beta)}{2}r + \alpha + \lambda](\zeta h) - (\zeta \lambda). \]  \tag{5.13}

Taking inner product of (5.10) with $\zeta$, we get
\[ h[\eta(Z_2)Z_1 f - \eta(Z_1)Z_2 f] = \frac{1}{h}(Z_1 h)[\{\frac{(\alpha - \beta)}{2}r + \alpha + \lambda\} - \alpha(r^2 + 3)]\eta(Z_2) \]
\[ -\frac{1}{h}(Z_2 h)[\{\frac{(\alpha - \beta)}{2}r + \alpha + \lambda\} - \alpha(r^2 + 3)]\eta(Z_1) \]
\[ -\frac{(\alpha - \beta)}{2}Z_1 r + Z_1 \lambda]\eta(Z_2) \]
\[ +\frac{2}{\zeta}(Z_1 r)\eta(Z_2) - (Z_2 r)\eta(Z_1)] + 2\alpha(r^2 + 3)g(Z_1, \phi Z_2). \]  \tag{5.14}

Substituting $Z_1$ by $\phi Z_1$ and $Z_2$ by $\phi Z_2$ in (5.14) gives
\[ \alpha(r + 6)g(\phi Z_1, Z_2) = 0. \]  \tag{5.15}

Since for proper $\alpha \neq 0$, then the above equation implies $r = -6$. Therefore from (4.8), we get
\[ S(Z_1, Z_2) = -2g(Z_1, Z_2), \]  \tag{5.16}
which is an Einstein manifold. In view of (4.6) and (5.16) reveals that
\[ R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3 = -[g(Z_2, Z_3)Z_1 - g(Z_1, Z_3)Z_2], \]  \tag{5.17}
which represents, it is a space of constant sectional curvature $-1$. Hence we have:

**Theorem 5.2.** If a $M^3$ admits a proper $h$-ARYS, then the manifold is locally isometric to $\mathbb{H}^3(1)$.

### 6. PARA-COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

An almost paracontact metric manifold $M^{2n+1}$ with a structure $(\phi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ is named an almost $\gamma$-paracosymplectic manifold if
\[ d\eta = 0, d\Phi = 2\gamma \wedge \Phi. \]  \tag{6.1}

Specifically, if $\gamma = 0$, we acquire almost paracosymplectic manifolds. The manifold is called para-cosymplectic if it is normal. We refer (6, [11]) for more details. Any paracosymplectic manifold satisfies
\[ R(Z_1, Z_2)\zeta = 0, \]  \tag{6.2}
\[ (\nabla_Z, \phi)Z_2 = 0, \]  \tag{6.3}
\( \nabla_{Z_1} \zeta = 0, \) \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

\( S(Z_1, \zeta) = 0. \) \hspace{1cm} (6.5)

**Lemma 6.1.** ([10]) For a 3-dimensional para-cosymplectic manifold \( M^3 \), we have

\( QZ_1 = \frac{r}{2}[Z_1 - \eta(Z_1)\zeta], \) \hspace{1cm} (6.6)

\( S(Z_1, Z_2) = \frac{r}{2}[g(Z_1, Z_2) - \eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2)]. \) \hspace{1cm} (6.7)

**Lemma 6.2.** ([10]) In a para-cosymplectic manifold \( M^3 \), we get

\( \zeta r = 0. \) \hspace{1cm} (6.8)

### 7. h-ARYS on Para-cosymplectic Manifolds

Assume that the para-cosymplectic manifold admits an h-ARYS \((g, \zeta, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\). Then ([13]) implies

\[
\frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(Z_1, Z_2) + \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0,
\]

which turns into

\[
\frac{\hbar}{2}[g(\nabla_{Z_1} \zeta, Z_2) + g(Z_1, \nabla_{Z_2} \zeta)] + \alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0. \hspace{1cm} (7.2)
\]

Using (6.4) in (7.2) gives

\[
\alpha S(Z_1, Z_2) = -(\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)g(Z_1, Z_2), \hspace{1cm} (7.3)
\]

which is an Einstein manifold. Hence we have:

**Theorem 7.1.** If a para-cosymplectic manifold admits a proper h-ARYS, then the manifold becomes an Einstein manifold.
Let $M^3$ admits an $h$-AGRYS. Then from (2.4), we get

$$h\nabla_Z Df = -\alpha Q Z_1 - (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_1. \quad (7.4)$$

Hence we have

$$hR(Z_1, Z_2) Df = \frac{1}{h} (Z_1 h)[\alpha Q Z_2 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_2]$$

$$- \frac{1}{h} (Z_2 h)[\alpha Q Z_1 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_1]$$

$$-\alpha[(\nabla Z_1) Z_2 - (\nabla Z_2) Z_1] - (Z_1 \lambda) Z_2 + (Z_2 \lambda) Z_1$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1^r) Z_2 - (Z_2^r) Z_1]. \quad (7.5)$$

Using (6.6) in (7.5) reveals that

$$hR(Z_1, Z_2) Df = \frac{1}{h} (Z_1 h)[\alpha Q Z_2 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_2]$$

$$- \frac{1}{h} (Z_2 h)[\alpha Q Z_1 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_1]$$

$$-\alpha[(\nabla Z_1) Q Z_2 - (\nabla Z_2) Q Z_1] - (Z_1 \lambda) Z_2 + (Z_2 \lambda) Z_1$$

$$- \alpha[\nabla Z_1 Q Z_2 - (\nabla Z_2 Q Z_1)] - (Z_1 \lambda) Z_2 + (Z_2 \lambda) Z_1$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1^r) Z_2 - (Z_2^r) Z_1]. \quad (7.6)$$

If we take $h = \text{constant}$, then the above equation implies

$$hR(Z_1, Z_2) Df = \frac{1}{h} (Z_1 h)[\alpha Q Z_2 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_2]$$

$$- \frac{1}{h} (Z_2 h)[\alpha Q Z_1 + (\lambda - \frac{\beta}{2} r) Z_1]$$

$$-\alpha[(\nabla Z_1) Z_2 - (\nabla Z_2) Z_1] - (Z_1 \lambda) Z_2 + (Z_2 \lambda) Z_1$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1^r) Z_2 - (Z_2^r) Z_1]. \quad (7.7)$$

Contracting the foregoing equation entails that

$$hS(Z_2, Df) = (\frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta) Z_2 r + 2(Z_2 \lambda). \quad (7.8)$$

Substituting $Z_1$ by $Df$ in (6.7) and equating with (7.8), we get

$$\frac{hr}{2} [Z_2 f - (\zeta f) \eta(Z_2)] = (\frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta) Z_2 r + 2(Z_2 \lambda). \quad (7.9)$$

Putting $Z_2 = \zeta$ and using (6.8), we infer

$$\zeta \lambda = 0. \quad (7.10)$$

Taking inner product of (7.7) with $\zeta$ and using (6.2) gives

$$- (Z_1 \lambda) \eta(Z_2) + (Z_2 \lambda) \eta(Z_1) + \frac{\beta}{2}[(Z_1^r) \eta(Z_2) - (Z_2^r) \eta(Z_1)] = 0. \quad (7.11)$$

Setting $Z_2 = \zeta$ in (7.11), we get

$$- (Z_1 \lambda) + \frac{\beta}{2} (Z_1^r) = 0. \quad (7.12)$$

If we take $r = \text{constant}$, then (7.12) implies

$$Z_1 \lambda = 0, \quad (7.13)$$
which implies $\lambda$ is constant. Therefore we have:

**Theorem 7.2.** If a $M^3$ with constant scalar curvature admits an $h$-AGRYS, then the soliton becomes an $h$-gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton.

In particular, if we take $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, then \( (7.12) \) implies $Z_1 \lambda = 0$. Therefore $\lambda$ is constant. Hence we have:

**Corollary 7.1.** An $h$-almost gradient Ricci soliton in a $M^3$ becomes an $h$-gradient Ricci soliton.

### 8. Examples

**Example 1.** Let us consider $M^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$, where $(x, y, z)$ are the standard co-ordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We consider three linearly independent vector fields

$$
u_1 = e^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \nu_2 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \nu_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. $$

Let $g$ be the semi-Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(\nu_1, \nu_1) = 1, \quad g(\nu_2, \nu_2) = -1, \quad g(\nu_3, \nu_3) = 1,$$

$$g(\nu_1, \nu_2) = 0, \quad g(\nu_1, \nu_3) = 0, \quad g(\nu_2, \nu_3) = 0.$$

Let $\eta$ be the $1$-form defined by $\eta(Z_1) = g(Z_1, \nu_3)$ for any $Z_1 \in \chi(M)$. Let $\phi$ be the $(1,1)$-tensor field defined by

$$\phi \nu_1 = \nu_2, \quad \phi \nu_2 = \nu_1, \quad \phi \nu_3 = 0.$$

Using the above relations, we acquire

$$\phi^2 Z_1 = Z_1 - \eta(Z_1) \nu_3, \quad \eta(\nu_3) = 1,$$

$$g(\phi Z_1, \phi Z_2) = -g(Z_1, Z_2) + \eta(Z_1) \eta(Z_2)$$

for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \chi(M)$. Hence for $\nu_3 = \zeta$, the structure $(\phi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ is an almost paracontact structure on $M$.

Using (4.3), we have

$$\nabla_{\nu_1} \nu_1 = -\nu_3, \quad \nabla_{\nu_1} \nu_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\nu_1} \nu_3 = \nu_1,$$

$$\nabla_{\nu_2} \nu_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\nu_2} \nu_2 = \nu_3, \quad \nabla_{\nu_2} \nu_3 = \nu_2,$$

$$\nabla_{\nu_3} \nu_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\nu_3} \nu_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\nu_3} \nu_3 = 0.$$

Hence the manifold is a para-Kenmotsu manifold.

With the help of the above results we can easily obtain

$$R(\nu_1, \nu_2) \nu_3 = 0, \quad R(\nu_2, \nu_3) \nu_3 = -\nu_2, \quad R(\nu_1, \nu_3) \nu_3 = -\nu_1,$$

$$R(\nu_1, \nu_2) \nu_2 = \nu_1, \quad R(\nu_2, \nu_3) \nu_2 = \nu_3, \quad R(\nu_1, \nu_3) \nu_2 = 0,$$

$$R(\nu_1, \nu_2) \nu_1 = \nu_2, \quad R(\nu_2, \nu_3) \nu_1 = 0, \quad R(\nu_1, \nu_3) \nu_1 = \nu_3$$

and

$$S(\nu_1, \nu_1) = -2, \quad S(\nu_2, \nu_2) = 2, \quad S(\nu_3, \nu_3) = -2.$$

From the above results we get $r = -6$. 

Again, suppose that $-f = \lambda = e^z$ and $2\alpha - 3\beta = 0$. Therefore $-Df = D\lambda = e^z u_3$. Hence we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{u_i} Df &= -e^z u_1, \\
\nabla_{u_2} Df &= -e^z u_2, \\
\nabla_{u_3} Df &= -e^z u_3.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, for $2\alpha - 3\beta = 0$ and $h = 1$, equation (3.6) is satisfied. Thus $g$ is an $h$-AGRYS with the soliton vector field $\nabla = Df$, where $-f = \lambda = e^z$ and $2\alpha - 3\beta = 0$. Since $-f = \lambda = e^z$ and $-Df = D\lambda = e^z u_3$, hence Theorem 3.2 is verified.

**Example 2.** Let $M^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$, where $(x, y, z)$ are the standard co-ordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We consider

\[
v_1 = e^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad v_2 = e^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad v_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
\]

which are linearly independent vector fields. Let the semi-Riemannian metric $g$ be defined by

\[
g(v_1, v_1) = 1, \quad g(v_2, v_2) = -1, \quad g(v_3, v_3) = 1,
\]

\[
g(v_1, v_2) = 0, \quad g(v_1, v_3) = 0, \quad g(v_2, v_3) = 0.
\]

The 1-form $\eta$ is defined by $\eta(Z_1) = g(Z_1, v_3)$ for any $Z_1 \in \chi(M)$ and $\phi$ is defined by

\[
\phi v_1 = v_2, \quad \phi v_2 = v_1, \quad \phi v_3 = 0.
\]

Using the above relations, we acquire

\[
\phi^2 Z_1 = Z_1 - \eta(Z_1) v_3, \quad \eta(v_3) = 1,
\]

\[
g(\phi Z_1, \phi Z_2) = -g(Z_1, Z_2) + \eta(Z_1) \eta(Z_2)
\]

for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \chi(M)$. Hence for $v_3 = \zeta$, the structure $(\phi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ is an almost paracontact structure on $M$.

Using (1.3), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{v_1} v_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{v_1} v_2 = v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_1} v_3 = -v_2, \\
\nabla_{v_2} v_1 &= v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_2} v_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{v_2} v_3 = -v_1, \\
\nabla_{v_3} v_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{v_3} v_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{v_3} v_3 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence the manifold is a para-Sasakian manifold. The components of the curvature tensor and Ricci tensor are

\[
\begin{align*}
R(v_1, v_2) v_3 &= 0, \quad R(v_2, v_3) v_3 = -v_2, \quad R(v_1, v_3) v_3 = -v_1, \\
R(v_1, v_2) v_2 &= v_1, \quad R(v_2, v_3) v_2 = v_3, \quad R(v_1, v_3) v_2 = 0, \\
R(v_1, v_2) v_1 &= -v_2, \quad R(v_2, v_3) v_1 = 0, \quad R(v_1, v_3) v_1 = v_3
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
S(v_1, v_1) = -2, \quad S(v_2, v_2) = 2, \quad S(v_3, v_3) = -2.
\]

From the above equations, we obtain $r = -6$.

From (5.3) we obtain $\alpha S(u_1, u_1) = -3\beta - \lambda$, $\alpha S(u_2, u_2) = 3\beta + \lambda$ and $\alpha S(u_3, u_3) = -3\beta - \lambda$, hence $\lambda = 2\alpha - 3\beta$. The data $(g, \zeta, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ defines an $h$-ARYS soliton.
on the para-Sasakian manifold.
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