

ON THE INVERSE SEMIGROUP OF BIMODULES OVER A C^* -ALGEBRA

V. MANUILOV

ABSTRACT. It was noticed recently that, given a metric space (X, d_X) , the equivalence classes of metrics on the disjoint union of the two copies of X coinciding with d_X on each copy form an inverse semigroup $M(X)$ with respect to concatenation of metrics. Now put this inverse semigroup construction in a more general context, namely, we define, for a C^* -algebra A , an inverse semigroup $S(A)$ of Hilbert C^* - A - A -bimodules. When A is the uniform Roe algebra $C_u^*(X)$ of a metric space X , we construct a map $M(X) \rightarrow S(C_u^*(X))$ and show that this map is injective, but not surjective in general. This allows to define an analog of the inverse semigroup $M(X)$ that does not depend on the choice of a metric on X within its coarse equivalence class.

INTRODUCTION

It was noticed in [5] that, given a metric space (X, d_X) , the equivalence classes of metrics on the disjoint union of the two copies of X coinciding with d_X on each copy form an inverse semigroup $M(X)$ with respect to concatenation of metrics. It was found that $M(X)$ depends on a choice of a metric on X within the coarse equivalence class of d_X .

Now we are able to put this inverse semigroup construction in a more general context, namely, we define, for a C^* -algebra A , an inverse semigroup $S(A)$ of Hilbert C^* - A - A -bimodules. C^* -bimodules used to define this inverse semigroup are A - A -imprimitivity bimodules [8] without the requirement that they should be full.

When A is the uniform Roe algebra $C_u^*(X)$ of a metric space X , we construct a map $M(X) \rightarrow S(C_u^*(X))$ and show that this map is injective, but not surjective in general. This allows to define an analog of the inverse semigroup $M(X)$ that does not depend on the choice of a metric on X within its coarse equivalence class.

Our references for inverse semigroup theory are [2], [3]. Details on coarse geometry and Roe algebras can be found in [9].

1. ASSOCIATIVE HILBERT C^* -BIMODULES AS MORPHISMS. THE SEMIGROUP $S(A)$

Let A, B be C^* -algebras.

Definition 1.1. A Banach space M is an *associative Hilbert C^* -bimodule* (left over A and right over B) if

- (1) M is a left A -module and a right B -module, and $(am)b = a(mb)$ for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $m \in M$, and both modules are non-degenerate, i.e. AM and MB are dense in M ;
- (2) M is a left Hilbert C^* -module over A and a right Hilbert C^* -module over B with respect to the inner products ${}_A\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$, respectively;
- (3) A (resp., B) acts on M by bounded adjointable operators with respect to the Hilbert C^* -module structure over B (resp., over A), i.e. $\langle am, m' \rangle_B = \langle m, a^*m' \rangle_B$ and ${}_A\langle mb, m' \rangle = {}_A\langle m, m'b^* \rangle$ for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $m, m' \in M$;

- (4) the norm on M is equivalent to the norms $\|\cdot\|_A = \|\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\|^{1/2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_B = \|\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B\|^{1/2}$;
- (5) ${}_A\langle m, n \rangle r = m \langle n, r \rangle_B$ for any $m, n, r \in M$.

We denote the set of associative Hilbert C^* -bimodules for fixed A and B by $\mathcal{S}(A, B)$. When $B = A$, we write $\mathcal{S}(A)$. For the set of isomorphism classes of associative Hilbert C^* -bimodules we write $S(A, B)$ and $S(A)$ respectively.

Let $J_A = {}_A\langle M, M \rangle \subset A$ (resp., $J_B = \langle M, M \rangle_B \subset B$) be the closure of the linear span of ${}_A\langle m, n \rangle$ (resp., of $\langle m, n \rangle_B$), $m, n \in M$. These are ideals in A and B , respectively (by ideals we mean closed two-sided ideals). The definition of A - B -imprimitivity bimodules [8] requires, besides the items 1-5 above, that M is a *full* Hilbert C^* -bimodule, i.e. that $J_A = A$ and $J_B = B$. Then such bimodules are used to define strong Morita equivalence, but we don't assume that M is full. Note that we consider $M \in \mathcal{S}(A, B)$ as a left J_A -module and a right J_B -module. Then M is a J_A - J_B -imprimitivity bimodule (and J_A and J_B are strongly Morita equivalent).

Associative Hilbert C^* -bimodules can be considered as morphisms on the category of C^* -algebras. If C is a C^* -algebra and $N \in \mathcal{S}(B, C)$ then $M \otimes_B N$ is an associative Hilbert C^* -bimodule, left over A and right over C , with respect to the inner products determined by

$${}_A\langle m \otimes n, m' \otimes n' \rangle = {}_A\langle m, m' \langle n, n' \rangle_B \rangle$$

and

$$\langle m \otimes n, m' \otimes n' \rangle_C = \langle \langle m, m' \rangle_B^* n, n' \rangle_C,$$

$m, m' \in M, n, n' \in N$. We write $M \cdot N$ for $M \otimes_B N$. This makes $S(A)$ a semigroup.

For $M \in \mathcal{S}(A, B)$, the dual module $M^* \in \mathcal{S}(B, A)$ was defined in [8], Definition 6.17 (it was denoted there by \widetilde{M}). Recall that M^* as a set is the same as M , while the bimodule structure is given by

$$b\tilde{m} = (mb^*)^\sim, \quad \tilde{m}a = (a^*m)^\sim, \quad {}_B\langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \rangle = \langle m, n \rangle_B, \quad \langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \rangle_A = {}_A\langle m, n \rangle,$$

where we write \tilde{m} for $m \in M$ considered as an element of M^* . When $B = A$ we say that M is selfadjoint if M^* is isomorphic to M as an associative Hilbert C^* -bimodule.

Example 1.2. Let $B = A$, $J \subset A$ an ideal. Then $J \in \mathcal{S}(A)$. In particular, $J = \{0\}$ (resp., $J = A$) represents the zero (resp., the unit) element in the semigroup $S(A)$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $M \in \mathcal{S}(A, B)$. Then $M^* \cdot M \cong J_B$, where $J_B = \langle M, M \rangle_B$.*

Proof. It was shown in Lemma 6.22 of [8] that the map $R : M^* \otimes_{J_A} M \rightarrow J_B$ defined by $R(\tilde{m} \otimes n) = \langle m, n \rangle_B$ is an isomorphism. As $M^* \cdot M = M^* \otimes_A M$, it remains to check that $M^* \otimes_{J_A} M = M^* \otimes_A M$.

Let $m \in M, a \in A, \varepsilon > 0, (u_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an approximate unit in the ideal J_A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_A\langle (a - u_\lambda a)m, (a - u_\lambda a)m \rangle &= (a - u_\lambda a)_A \langle m, m \rangle (a - u_\lambda a)^* \\ &\leq \|a\| \cdot \|(a - u_\lambda a)_A \langle m, m \rangle\| \\ &= \|a\| \cdot \|a' - u_\lambda a'\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $a' = a_A \langle m, m \rangle \in J_A$. Therefore, there exists $j \in J_A$ of the form $j = u_\lambda a$ such that $\|am - jm\| < \varepsilon$. Then for any $m, n \in M$, any $a \in A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $j \in J$ such that

$$\|(\tilde{m}a \otimes n - \tilde{m} \otimes an) - (\tilde{m}j \otimes n - \tilde{m} \otimes jn)\| < \varepsilon,$$

hence $\|\tilde{m}a \otimes n - \tilde{m} \otimes an\| = 0$ in $M^* \otimes_{J_A} M$. As the kernel of the canonical quotient map $M^* \otimes_{J_A} M \rightarrow M^* \otimes_A M$ is generated by differences $\tilde{m}a \otimes n - \tilde{m} \otimes an, a \in A, m, n \in M$, this kernel is trivial. □

Definition 1.4. An associative Hilbert C^* -bimodule $M \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ is *idempotent* if $M \cdot M \cong M$. A selfadjoint idempotent is a *projection*.

Example 1.5. Let $J \subset A$ be an ideal. Consider it as a Hilbert C^* -bimodule, $J \in \mathcal{S}(A)$. Then J is a projection. Clearly, it is selfadjoint. As $J_A = J$, by Lemma 1.3, $J^* \otimes_A J \cong J$.

Lemma 1.6. *If $M \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ is a projection then there exists an ideal $J \subset A$ such that $M \cong J$.*

Proof. If M is a projection then $M \cdot M \cong M^* \cdot M \cong M$. Let $\langle M, M \rangle_A = J \subset A$. Then $M \cong M^* \cdot M \cong J$. □

Lemma 1.7. *The semigroup $S(A)$ is regular.*

Proof. Recall that a semigroup S is regular if any element $s \in S$ has a ‘pseudoinverse’ $t \in S$ such that $sts = s$ and $tst = t$. This follows from the isomorphism $M \cdot M^* \cdot M \cong M$ for any $M \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, so let us check this isomorphism. Let $\langle M, M \rangle_A = J_A \subset A$. Then $M^* \cdot M \cong J_A$, hence $M \cdot M^* \cdot M \cong M \otimes_A J_A$. As in the proof of Lemma 1.3, using an approximate unit in J_A , it is easy to show that the canonical surjection $M = M \otimes_{J_A} J_A \rightarrow M \otimes_A J_A$ is an isomorphism. □

Lemma 1.8. *Let $I, J \subset A$ be ideals. Then $I \cdot J = J \cdot I \cong I \cap J$.*

Proof. The map $R_J : I \otimes_A J \rightarrow I$ is an isometry, hence it remains to show that the range of R_J is $I \cap J$. This can be done by using that any element $a \in I \cap J$ can be written as a product $a = ij$, where $i \in I$, $j \in J$. Similarly, $J \otimes_A I \cong I \cap J$. □

Theorem 1.9. *The semigroup $S(A)$ is an inverse semigroup.*

Proof. Recall that a regular semigroup S is an inverse semigroup iff any two idempotents commute. By Lemma 1.8, any two projections commute. This suffices: if M is idempotent then M^* is idempotent as well, and

$$\begin{aligned} M^* &= M^* \cdot M \cdot M^* = (M^* \cdot M) \cdot (M \cdot M^*) \\ &= (M \cdot M^*) \cdot (M^* \cdot M) = M \cdot M^* \cdot M \\ &= M, \end{aligned}$$

hence M is a projection. □

Proposition 1.10. *Let A and B be strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algebras. Then $S(A) \cong S(B)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{S}(A, B)$ be an imprimitivity A - B -bimodule, i.e. a full associative Hilbert C^* -bimodule. Then the maps $P \mapsto M \otimes_B P$ and $Q \mapsto M^* \otimes_A Q$, where $P \in \mathcal{S}(B)$, $Q \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, are inverse to each other. □

Proposition 1.11. *Let $J \subset A$ be an ideal. Then $S(J) \subset S(A)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{S}(J)$. We show that M can be considered as an A - A -bimodule. Let $m \in M$, $a \in A$, and let $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate unit in J . Then set $a \cdot m = \lim_\Lambda (au_\lambda)m$. Existence of the limit follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|(au_\lambda)m - (au_\mu)m\|^2 &= \|\langle (a(u_\lambda - u_\mu))m, (a(u_\lambda - u_\mu))m \rangle_A\| \\ &\leq \|a^*(u_\lambda - u_\mu)\langle m, m \rangle_A(u_\lambda - u_\mu)a\| \end{aligned}$$

and from convergence of $(u_\lambda - u_\mu)\langle m, m \rangle_A$. □

Recall that the isomorphism classes of imprimitivity bimodules over A form a group, called the Picard group of A [1].

Proposition 1.12. *If A is simple then $S(A) = \{0\} \cup \text{Pic}(A)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, $M \neq 0$. As $M^* \cdot M$ and $M \cdot M^*$ are ideals in A , we have $M^* \cdot M \cong A \cong M \cdot M^*$, and these isomorphisms are given by $S : \tilde{m} \otimes n \mapsto \langle m, n \rangle_A$ and $T : m \otimes \tilde{n} \mapsto {}_A \langle m, n \rangle$, hence M is a full Hilbert C^* -module, i.e. an imprimitivity bimodule. □

Proposition 1.13. *$S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the semigroup of partial bijections of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. Let $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{C}^n = C(X) = A$, and let $M \in \mathcal{S}(C(X))$. Let $J_1 = {}_A \langle M, M \rangle$, $J_2 = \langle M, M \rangle_A$. Then $J_1 = C(P)$, $J_2 = C(Q)$, where $P, Q \subset X$, and M is J_1 - J_2 -imprimitivity bimodule. $C(P)$ and $C(Q)$ are strongly Morita equivalent only if $|P| = |Q|$. The Picard group of $C(P)$ is the group of permutations of P , so M determines and is determined by a partial bijection $P \rightarrow Q$ (cf. [1]). □

2. INVERSE SEMIGROUP FROM METRICS ON DOUBLES AND ROE BIMODULES

Let $X = (X, d_X)$ be a countable discrete metric space, and let $H_X = l^2(X)$ denote the Hilbert space of square-summable complex-valued functions on X , with the orthonormal basis consisting of delta functions δ_x , $x \in X$, and with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . An operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(H_X)$ is said to have propagation $\leq L$ if $T_{x,y} = 0$ whenever $d_X(x, y) > L$, where $T_{x,y} = (\delta_y, T\delta_x)$. The norm closure of the set of all operators of finite propagation is the uniform Roe algebra $C_u^*(X)$.

For a countable discrete metric space $X = (X, d_X)$, let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the set of metrics on $X \times \{0, 1\}$ such that

- for any $d \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, the restriction of d to each copy of X equals d_X ;
- the distance between the two copies of X is non-zero.

Let $M(X)$ be the set of coarse equivalence classes of metrics in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. It was shown in [5] that $M(X)$ is an inverse semigroup with respect to the concatenation of metrics.

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be two countable discrete metric spaces. Let $Z = X \sqcup Y$, and let $D_{X,Y}$ denote the set of all metrics d on Z such that $d|_X = d_X$ and $d|_Y = d_Y$. For each $d \in D(X, Y)$, let $\mathbb{M}_d[X, Y]$ denote the set of all bounded finite propagation operators $T : H_X \rightarrow H_Y$, and let $M_d(X, Y)$ be its norm closure in the bimodule $\mathbb{B}(H_X, H_Y)$ of all bounded operators from H_X to H_Y .

If $T, S \in \mathbb{M}_d[X, Y]$ then T^*S and TS^* are finite propagation operators in $l^2(X)$ and $l^2(Y)$, respectively, hence we can define uniform Roe algebras-valued inner products

$$C_u^*(Y)\langle T, S \rangle = TS^* \quad \text{and} \quad \langle T, S \rangle_{C_u^*(X)} = T^*S.$$

Similarly, $M_d(X, Y)$ is a left $C_u^*(Y)$ -module and a right $C_u^*(X)$ -module. Clearly, this bimodule is associative. We write $M_d(X)$ when $Y = X$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X, Y)$, $M_j = M_{d_j}(X, Y)$, $j = 1, 2$, and let $M_1 \cong M_2$. Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an isomorphism of associative Hilbert C^* -bimodules over $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$. Then $f(m) = \lambda m$ for any $m \in M_1$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$. In particular, $M_1 = M_2$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and let $e_{x,y}$ denote the elementary operator corresponding to these two points, i.e. $e_{x,y}\delta_z = \begin{cases} \delta_y & \text{if } z = x; \\ 0 & \text{if } z \neq x, \end{cases}$ where $\delta_x \in H_X$ is the delta-function of the point x . Clearly, $e_{x,y} \in M_d(X, Y)$ for any $d \in \mathcal{M}(X, Y)$. The elementary operators $e_{x,x}$ and $e_{y,y}$ lie in $C_u^*(X)$ and in $C_u^*(Y)$, respectively. Then

$$f(e_{x,y}) = f(e_{y,y}e_{x,y}e_{x,x}) = e_{y,y}f(e_{x,y})e_{x,x} = \lambda_{x,y}e_{x,y}$$

for some $\lambda_{x,y} \in \mathbb{C}$, and as f is an isometry, $|\lambda_{x,y}| = 1$.

Let $z \in X$. Then $e_{z,x} \in C_u^*(X)$ and $e_{z,y} = e_{x,y}e_{z,x}$, so

$$\lambda_{z,y}e_{z,y} = f(e_{z,y}) = f(e_{x,y}e_{z,x}) = f(e_{x,y})e_{z,x} = \lambda_{x,y}e_{x,y}e_{z,x} = \lambda_{x,y}e_{z,y},$$

hence $\lambda_{z,y} = \lambda_{x,y}$ for any $x, z \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Similarly, $\lambda_{x,y} = \lambda_{x,u}$ for any $y, u \in Y$ and $x \in X$. Thus, $\lambda_{x,y} = \lambda$ for any $x \in X$, $y \in Y$.

Let $m \in M_1$, $m = \sum_{x \in X, y \in Y} m_{x,y}e_{x,y}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(m)_{x,y}e_{x,y} &= e_{y,y}f(m)e_{x,x} = f(e_{y,y}me_{x,x}) = f(e_{y,y}m_{x,y}e_{x,y}e_{x,x}) = m_{x,y}f(e_{x,y}) \\ &= \lambda m_{x,y}e_{x,y}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $f(m) = \lambda m$. □

This gives a semigroup homomorphism

$$i : M(X) \rightarrow S(C_u^*(X)), \quad i([d]) = [M_d(X)]. \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.2. *The map i (2.1) is injective.*

Proof. Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $M_j = M_{d_j}(X) \in i([d_j])$, $j = 1, 2$, and let $M_1 \cong M_2$. Assume the contrary, i.e. that $[d_1] \neq [d_2]$. Then there exist two sequences $(x_n), (y_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of points in X , and $C > 0$ such that $d_1((x_n, 0), (y_n, 1)) < C$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_2((x_n, 0), (y_n, 1)) = \infty$. Set $m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e_{(x_n, 0), (y_n, 1)} \in \mathbb{B}(H_X, H_Y)$ (the sum is strongly convergent). Then $m \in M_1$, but $m \notin M_2$, but this contradicts $M_1 \cong M_2$ by Lemma 2.1. □

On the other hand, the map i (2.1) is far from being surjective. We show this for two examples, one very simple, and another more deep.

Example 2.3. Let X be a countable set with the metric d_X defined by $d_X(x, y) = 1$ if $x \neq y$, $x, y \in X$. Then $C_u^*(X) = \mathbb{B}(H_X)$. Any two metrics in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ are coarsely equivalent, hence $M(X)$ consists of a single element. On the other hand, there are at least two different associative Hilbert C^* -bimodules over $\mathbb{B}(H_X)$: $\mathbb{B}(H_X)$ itself and the ideal $\mathbb{K}(H_X)$ of compact operators. It is easy to see that $i(M(X)) = [\mathbb{B}(H_X)]$.

Before we turn to a more deep example, let us recall that a discrete metric space X is of bounded geometry if the numbers of points in all balls of radius R are uniformly bounded for any $R > 0$.

An element $a \in C_u^*(X)$ is a ghost element if $\lim_{x, z \rightarrow \infty} a_{x,y} = 0$, where $a_{x,z}$, $x, z \in X$, denote the matrix entries of a with respect to the basis δ_x , $x \in X$. The set of all ghost elements forms an ideal in $C_u^*(X)$ called the ghost ideal. This ideal contains the ideal $\mathbb{K}(H_X)$ of all compact operators, and it was shown in [10] that it equals $\mathbb{K}(H_X)$ if and only if X has property A of Guoliang Yu.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a discrete metric space of bounded geometry without property A. Then the map i is not surjective.*

Proof. Let $I \subset C_u^*(X)$ denote the ghost ideal. Then it properly contains $\mathbb{K}(H_X)$. We claim that $[I] \in S(C_u^*(X))$ does not lie in the image of i . Assume the contrary: let $[d] \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $i([d]) = [I]$. As $[I]$ is an idempotent in $S(C_u^*(X))$, $[d]$ should be an idempotent in $M(X)$. The characterisation of idempotents was obtained in [6]: any such d is coarsely equivalent to the following metric

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}((x, 0), (y, 1)) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{z \in A_n} d_X(x, z) + n + d_X(z, y),$$

where $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of subsets in X such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A_{n+1} contains the 1-neighborhood $N_1(A_n)$ of A_n , i.e. the set $N_1(A_n) = \{x \in X : d_X(x, A_n) \leq 1\}$.

The metric $d_{\mathcal{A}}$ represents the zero element $0 \in M(X)$ if each A_n is bounded. If $[d]=0$ then the bimodule $M_d(X)$ equals the set of compact operators, which differs from $[I]$, so $[d] \neq 0$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A_n is not bounded, i.e. there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in A_n such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_X(x_k, \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}) = \infty$. Then $d_{\mathcal{A}}((x_k, 0), (x_k, 1)) \leq n$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As d and $d_{\mathcal{A}}$ are coarsely equivalent, there exists $C > 0$ such that $d((x_k, 0), (x_k, 1)) \leq C$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $m = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} e_{(x_k, 0), (x_k, 1)}$ (the sum is strongly convergent). Then $m \in M_d(X)$, but $m \notin I$, hence $i([d]) = [M_d(X)] \neq [I]$ (as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, isomorphism of associative Hilbert C^* -bimodules represented on $H_X \oplus H_X$ implies equality of these bimodules). □

Recall that two metrics, d_X and b_X , on X , are coarsely equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism φ of $[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(b_X(x, y)) < d_X(x, y) < \varphi(b_X(x, y))$ for any $x, y \in X$. It was shown in [7] that the inverse semigroup $M(X)$ is not coarsely invariant, e.g. there exists a space X with two coarsely equivalent metrics, d_X and d'_X such that $M(X, d_X)$ is commutative, while $M(X, d'_X)$ is not. Now we can define a new semigroup $M_c(X)$ for a metric space (X, d_X) by

$$M_c(X) = \bigcap_{d \in [d_X]} i(M(X, d)).$$

Proposition 2.5. *$M_c(X)$ is an inverse semigroup invariant under coarse equivalence of metrics on X .*

Example 2.6. Let $x_n = n^2$, $X = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the metric d_X induced from the standard metric on \mathbb{R} . Let $Y = \{n^3 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the map defined by $f(x_n) = \begin{cases} (2n)^3, & \text{if } n \geq 0; \\ -(2n+1)^3, & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$ Let b_X be the metric on X defined by $b_X(x_n, x_m) = |f(x_n) - f(x_m)|$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that X and Y are coarsely equivalent, hence the metrics d_X and b_X are coarsely equivalent. While $M(X, d_X)$ is not commutative, $M(X, b_X)$ is commutative by Proposition 7.1 of [5]. Thus, $M_c(X)$ should be commutative.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. G. Brown, P. P. Green, M. A. Rieffel. *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*. Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 349–363.
- [2] J. M. Howie. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.
- [3] M. V. Lawson. *Inverse Semigroups: The Theory of Partial Symmetries*. World Scientific, 1998.
- [4] V. Manuilov. *Roe bimodules as morphisms of discrete metric spaces*. Russian J. Math. Phys., **26** (2019), 470–478.
- [5] V. Manuilov. *Metrics on doubles as an inverse semigroup*. J. Geom. Anal., **31** (2021), 5721–5739.
- [6] V. Manuilov. *Metrics on doubles as an inverse semigroup II*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **496** (2021), 124821.
- [7] V. Manuilov. *Metrics on doubles as an inverse semigroup III*.
- [8] M. A. Rieffel. *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*. Adv. Math. **13** (1974), 176–257.

- [9] J. Roe. *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2003).
- [10] J. Roe, R. Willett. *Ghostbusting and property A*. J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 1674–1684.

MOSCOW CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY,
LENINSKIE GORY 1, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

Email address: manuilov@mech.math.msu.su