

EQUILATERAL DIMENSION OF THE HEISENBERG GROUP

J. KIM & I.D. PLATIS

ABSTRACT. Let \mathfrak{H} be the first Heisenberg group equipped with the Korányi metric d . We prove that the equilateral dimension of \mathfrak{H} is 4.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Heisenberg group	3
2.2. \mathbb{C} -circles and their equilateral dimension	4
3. Equilateral dimension of \mathfrak{H}	5
3.1. Three points in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle	5
3.2. Two points in the same infinite \mathbb{C} -circle	6
3.3. Two points in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle	6
4. Appendix	10
4.1. Parametric representation of \mathbb{C}_3^{fin}	10
4.2. Symmetries of C_3^{fin}	11
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, d) be a metric space. The *equilateral dimension* $\dim_E(X)$ of a X is the maximum number of points that are all at equal distances from each other. The equilateral dimension of the n -dimensional Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^n, e) , where e is the standard Euclidean metric, is known to be $n + 1$; it is achieved by a regular simplex. In the case of the n -dimensional vector space equipped with the L^∞ norm the equilateral dimension is 2^n and it is achieved by a hypercube, see [4] as well as [8] and [9]. However, the equilateral dimension of an n -dimensional vector space equipped with the L^1 norm is not known; Kusner's conjecture states (see [11]) that it is exactly 2^n , achieved by a cross-polytope.

There has been an extensive study on the equilateral dimension of L^p -spaces, $1 < p < \infty$; in particular, for $p = 2$, $\dim_E(X) = n + 1$ and for $2 < p < \infty$, $\dim_E(X) \geq n + 1$ (see for instance [12]). Various results also exist for arbitrary normed spaces, illustrative references are [2], [10]. Also, for n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds M , we have $\dim_E(M) = n + 1$ (for manifolds with bounded curvature see for instance [7]), and for Minkowski spaces we refer to [8].

Date: November 30, 2021

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 22F30, 54E35, 57M50.

Key words. Heisenberg group, Korányi metric, equilateral sets, equilateral dimension.

Generally speaking, to track down the equilateral dimension of an arbitrary metric space is not an obvious task; to have a clear understanding of the properties of its similarity group is certainly helpful. As an elementary example we will present a short proof of the fact that $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathbb{R}^3, e) = 4$. Since dilations are within the similarity group of (\mathbb{R}^3, e) , we may normalise any equilateral set $S = \{p_0, p_1, \dots\}$ so that $e(p_i, p_j) = 1$. We may further normalise so that $p_0 = \mathbf{0} = (0, 0, 0)$ and $p_1 = \mathbf{1} = (1, 0, 0)$ so that all points of S lie on the Euclidean unit sphere S^2 . If now $p \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$, $p = (x, y, z)$, then the conditions $e(p, \mathbf{0}) = e(p, \mathbf{1}) = 1$ lead us to

$$x = 1/2, \quad y^2 + z^2 = 3/4,$$

that is, all points of S lie on the above circle which is the intersection of the unit sphere and the sphere centred at $\mathbf{1}$ and of radius 1. This circle is centred in $(1/2, 0, 0)$ and its radius is $\sqrt{3}/2$. From an elementary argument we then find that there can be three points on a circle which are at distance 1 from one another only if and only if its radius is $r = \sqrt{3}/3$. Therefore there can be at most two points on this circle having distance equal to 1, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathbb{R}^3) = 4$.

We will follow the rationale of this elementary approach in the case of the Heisenberg group \mathfrak{H} which is the object of our study in this article (for the definition, see Section 2.1). Contrary to the Euclidean case and to all cases mentioned before, \mathfrak{H} is equipped with a metric which is not induced by a norm. Thus we are not able to use general arguments from the study of equilateral dimension of finite normed spaces; rather, we concentrate in the use of the properties of the similarity group of \mathfrak{H} . In this article we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *The equilateral dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is 4.*

Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathfrak{H})$ is equal to its Hausdorff dimension. This theorem answers to the negative a question posed in [3] on whether there exist five equilateral points in \mathfrak{H} . We also mention that in that article there exist examples of equilateral subsets comprising of three points.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we state well known facts about the Heisenberg group and the Korányi metric. The equilateral dimension of \mathbb{C} -circles is also calculated explicitly in 2.2. Section 3 is the section where Theorem 1.1 is proved. For the proof, several steps are taken; first, we study the case of equilateral sets where three of their points lie in the same \mathbb{C} -circle (Theorem 3.4). Then, we proceed to the case of equilateral sets such that only two of their points lie in the same \mathbb{C} -circle. Since a \mathbb{C} -circle can be either infinite or finite, we distinguish cases again: We study the infinite \mathbb{C} -circle case in Section 3.2 (Theorem 3.5) and the finite \mathbb{C} -circle case in Section 3.3 (Theorem 3.6). The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from combining all the aforementioned theorems. Although elementary, the proof of Theorem 3.6 in particular, involves a series of long but mostly straightforward calculations. Some of them are contained into the Appendix.

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank Vassilis Chousionis for proposing the problem to the second author and J.R. Parker for useful observations. Part of this work was carried out while the first author was visiting University of Crete and the second author was visiting University of Ioannina. Hospitality in both cases is gratefully appreciated. The first author was supported by 2021 Chungnam National University fund.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In Section 2.1 we define the metric space (\mathfrak{H}, d) , where \mathfrak{H} is the Heisenberg group and d is the Korányi metric, and we describe the similarity group G of (\mathfrak{H}, d) . We refer the reader to the standard book [5] for an extensive study of \mathfrak{H} . In Section 2.1.1 we give a distance formula for points on the unit Korányi sphere. Finally, in Section 2.2 we calculate the equilateral dimension of \mathbb{C} -circles (Proposition 2.2).

2.1. Heisenberg group. By \mathfrak{H} we shall denote the Heisenberg group; recall that \mathfrak{H} is $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ with group law:

$$(z, t) \star (w, s) = (z + w, t + s + 2\Im(z\bar{w})).$$

The Heisenberg norm (Korányi gauge) is given by

$$|(z, t)|_{\mathfrak{H}} = ||z|^2 - it|^{1/2},$$

Despite its name, $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is not a norm in the usual sense. But from this we obtain a distance d is given by

$$(2.1) \quad d((z, t), (w, s)) = |(w, s)^{-1} \star (z, t)|_{\mathfrak{H}} = (|z - w|^4 + (t - s + 2\Im(z\bar{w}))^2)^{1/4}.$$

This is the *Korányi distance* and it is invariant under:

a) left-translations $L_{(w,s)}$ of \mathfrak{H} ,

$$(z, t) \rightarrow (w, s) \star (z, t);$$

b) rotations R_{ϕ} around the t -axis

$$(z, t) \mapsto (ze^{i\phi}, t) \quad \phi \in \mathbb{R};$$

c) conjugation j ,

$$j(z, t) = (\bar{z}, -t).$$

The distance d is also scaled up to multiplicative constants by the action of Heisenberg dilations $(z, t) \mapsto (rz, r^2t)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_*$. The *similarity group* $G = \text{Sim}(\mathfrak{H}, d) \simeq \mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, comprises all the above transformations. We note that d is not a path metric. Moreover, there is no Riemannian structure in \mathfrak{H} with underlying metric d . The *Carnot-Carathéodory metric* in \mathfrak{H} which corresponds to its sub-Riemannian structure will not be of our concern here.

2.1.1. The unit Korányi sphere and a distance formula. A general Korányi sphere $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^r(p_0)$ centred at p_0 with radius r is the locus comprising of points $p \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $d(p, p_0) = r$. The *Korányi unit sphere*

$$S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1 = \{p \in \mathfrak{H} \mid d(p, o) = 1\},$$

where $o = (0, 0)$, is the surface described by the equation

$$|z|^4 + t^2 = 1.$$

When $p_0 = (z_0, t_0)$ and $p = (z, t)$ are points in $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1$, then (2.1) takes the form

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d^4(p, p_0) &= 2 + 6|z|^2|z_0|^2 - 2tt_0 \\ &\quad - 4(|z|^2 + |z_0|^2)\Re(z\bar{z}_0) + 4(t - t_0)\Im(z\bar{z}_0). \end{aligned}$$

The above equation may be simplified even further by using *Korányi-Reimann coordinates* (see [6]):

$$z = \sqrt{\cos \theta} e^{i\phi}, \quad t = \sin \theta,$$

where $(\theta, \phi) \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \times [-\pi, \pi)$. In fact, Equation (2.2) then reads as

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} d^4(p, p_0) &= 2 + 6 \cos \theta \cos \theta_0 - 2 \sin \theta \sin \theta_0 \\ &\quad - 8 \sqrt{\cos \theta \cos \theta_0} \cos((\theta + \theta_0)/2) \cos((\phi + \theta/2) - (\phi_0 + \theta_0/2)). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $p_0 \in S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1$ and $p \in S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1$, the locus $d(p, p_0) = 1$ is the intersection of $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1$ with the Korányi sphere centred at p_0 with radius 1. Using (2.3) we find that this locus is a curve on $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1$ given parametrically by

$$(2.4) \quad \cos((\phi + \theta/2) - (\phi_0 + \theta_0/2)) = \frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta \cos \theta_0 - 2 \sin \theta \sin \theta_0}{8 \sqrt{\cos \theta \cos \theta_0} \cos((\theta + \theta_0)/2)},$$

if $p_0 \neq (0, \pm 1)$. If $p_0 = (0, \pm 1)$, this curve is the Euclidean circle $\theta = \pm\pi/6$, respectively.

2.1.2. Convention. Let $S \subset \mathfrak{H}$ be a d_0 equilateral set of points: $d(p, q) = d_0$ for each $p, q \in S$. By applying the dilation D_{1/d_0} we may always suppose that S is a 1-equilateral set, or simply *equilateral set* from now on. Throughout this paper we will further suppose that we deal with such sets.

2.2. \mathbb{C} -circles and their equilateral dimension. Recall that a \mathbb{C} -circle in \mathfrak{H} is a topological circle which is the boundary of a complex geodesic of the underlying 2-dimensional complex hyperbolic Siegel domain. Such a circle comes in two flavours: a) *infinite \mathbb{C} -circles* when one of the endpoints of the complex geodesic is ∞ and b) *finite \mathbb{C} -circles* when none of the endpoints of the complex geodesic is ∞ . Infinite \mathbb{C} -circles are lines vertical to the plane $t = 0$; they are all G -images of the \mathbb{C} -circle

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{C}_\infty = \{p = (z, t) \in \mathfrak{H} \mid z = 0\}.$$

On the other hand, finite \mathbb{C} -circles are ellipses which are all G images of the Euclidean circle

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{C}_1 = \{p = (z, t) \in \mathfrak{H} \mid |z| = 1, t = 0\}.$$

We note here that a) there is no element of G that can map an infinite \mathbb{C} -circle to a finite \mathbb{C} -circle or vice versa and b) any two points of \mathfrak{H} lie in a \mathbb{C} -circle. For details on \mathbb{C} -circles we refer to [5].

Lemma 2.1. *There can be at most two equilateral points lying in the same infinite \mathbb{C} -circle and at most three equilateral points lying in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle.*

Proof. For the first statement, we may normalize so that the infinite \mathbb{C} -circle is the vertical axis which comprises points $(0, t)$. If $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ is an equilateral set of points on that \mathbb{C} -circle, by applying a suitable dilation and a vertical translation if necessary we may assume that $p_1 = (0, -1/2)$ and $p_2 = (0, 1/2)$ so that $d(p_1, p_2) = 1$. Now, if $(0, t)$ is equidistant from p_1 and p_2 , short calculations lead $t = 0$. But this cannot be the case since $d((0, 0), p_1) = d((0, 0), p_2) = (1/4)^{1/4} \neq 1$.

For the second statement, we may normalize so that the finite \mathbb{C} -circle is the planar circle $|z| = r$. If $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ is an equilateral set of points on that \mathbb{C} -circle, by performing

a rotation we may suppose that $p_1 = (r, 0)$. Points $p = (re^{i\theta}, 0)$ in the circle such that $d(p, p_1) = 1$ must then satisfy the relation

$$8r^4(1 - \cos \theta) = 16r^4 \sin^2(\theta/2) = 1.$$

This is meaningful only when $r \geq 1/2$. In that case we obtain points $p = (re^{i\theta}, 0)$, with

$$\theta = \pm \arccos \left(1 - \frac{1}{8r^4} \right) = \pm \theta_0.$$

Now it is straightforward to show that $d((re^{i\theta_0}, 0), (re^{-i\theta_0}, 0)) = 1$ if and only if $r = 12^{-1/4}$ (and $\theta_0 = 2\pi/3$). In all other cases $d((re^{i\theta_0}, 0), (re^{-i\theta_0}, 0)) > 1$ which proves that there can be no equilateral triple of points in this case. \square

From Lemma 2.1 we immediately have

Proposition 2.2. *Let C be a \mathbb{C} -circle in \mathfrak{H} . Then:*

- (1) $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(C) = 2$ if C is infinite;
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(C) = 3$ if C is the G -image of a Euclidean circle centred at the origin and with radius $r = 12^{-1/4}$. If C is any other finite \mathbb{C} -circle then $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(C) = 2$.

3. EQUILATERAL DIMENSION OF \mathfrak{H}

In Section 3.1 we study equilateral sets of points such that three of them lie in the same \mathbb{C} -circle. By Lemma 2.1, this \mathbb{C} -circle has to be finite. We prove in Theorem 3.4 that there can be at most four equidistant points such that three of them lie in the same \mathbb{C} -circle. In Section 3.2 we prove in Theorem 3.5 that the maximum number of equilateral points in \mathfrak{H} such that two of them lie in an infinite \mathbb{C} -circle is 4. Finally in Section 3.3 we prove our result for the case where two points lie in a finite \mathbb{C} -circle in Theorem 3.6.

3.1. Three points in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle. Given an equilateral set $S = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ of points lying in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle \mathcal{C} , let \mathcal{P} denote the plane such that $S \subset \mathcal{P}$. By applying a Heisenberg translation we may suppose that $\mathcal{P} = \{(z, t) \in \mathfrak{H} \mid t = 0\}$ and that \mathcal{C} is a Euclidean circle centred at the origin. By Proposition 2.2 the radius of this circle is necessarily $r_0 = 12^{-1/4}$ and by applying a suitable dilation and a rotation if necessary, we may also normalise so that

$$p_1 = (r_0, 0), \quad p_2 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}, \quad p_3 = r_0 e^{-i\theta_0},$$

where $\theta_0 = 2\pi/3$.

Definition 3.1. The canonical 3-equilateral set is the set

$$S_3^{\text{can}} = \{(r_0, 0), (r_0 e^{i\theta_0}, 0), (r_0 e^{-i\theta_0}, 0)\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The only points in \mathfrak{H} equidistant from S_3^{can} are the points $(0 \pm t_0)$, where $t_0 = \sqrt{11/12}$.*

Proof. The proof is by elementary calculations. If $(z, t) \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $d((z, t), (r_0, 0)) = 1$, then

$$(3.1) \quad |z - r_0|^4 + (t + 2r_0 \Im(z))^2 = 1.$$

Also, $d((z, t), (r_0 e^{\pm i\theta_0}, 0)) = 1$ gives

$$(3.2) \quad |z - r_0 e^{\pm i\theta_0}|^4 + (t + 2r_0 \Im(z e^{\mp i\theta_0}))^2 = 1.$$

After expanding, we subtract (3.2) from (3.1) to obtain

$$\Re\left((|z|^2 + 12^{-1/2} + it) \cdot z(3 \pm i\sqrt{3})\right) = 0.$$

In other words, we have the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} (3x - \sqrt{3}y)(|z|^2 + 12^{-1/2}) - (3y + \sqrt{3}x)t &= 0, \\ (3x + \sqrt{3}y)(|z|^2 + 12^{-1/2}) - (3y - \sqrt{3}x)t &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Viewing this system as a homogeneous linear system in variables $|z|^2 + 12^{-1/2}$ and t , we find that if $z \neq 0$ then we must have $t = |z|^2 + 12^{-1/2} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $z = 0$ and we obtain from both Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2) that $t = \pm t_0 = \sqrt{11/12}$. \square

Definition 3.3. The canonical 4-equilateral set S_4^{can} is the set $S_3^{can} \cup \{(0, t_0)\}$.

Any set of four equidistant points in \mathfrak{H} such that three of them lie in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle is G -equivalent to the canonical set S_4^{can} . If $S = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ and p_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ lie in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle, then the subset $S_0 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ is G -equivalent to the set S_3^{can} . From Lemma 3.2 we then have that the point p_4 is mapped either to $(0, t_0)$ or $(0, -t_0)$. By applying a conjugation if necessary, we may normalise so that the wished point is $(0, t_0)$.

Theorem 3.4. *The maximal number of equidistant points in \mathfrak{H} such that three of them lie in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle is 4.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists an equilateral set $S = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\}$ such that p_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, lie in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle. Then the subset $S_0 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ is G -equivalent to the set S_4^{can} . Since there exist no point equidistant to S_4^{can} , we obtain a contradiction ($d((0, t_0), (0, -t_0)) \neq 1$). \square

3.2. Two points in the same infinite \mathbb{C} -circle. In this section we shall prove

Theorem 3.5. *The maximum number of equidistant points in \mathfrak{H} such that two of them lie in an infinite \mathbb{C} -circle is 4.*

Proof. Let $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ be an equilateral set such that p_1 and p_2 lie in the same infinite \mathbb{C} -circle. By applying a left translation, a vertical translation if necessary, we may suppose that $p_1 = (0, -1/2)$ and $p_2 = (0, 1/2)$. All points equidistant to p_1 and p_2 then lie in the \mathbb{C} -circle

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(z, 0) \in \mathfrak{H} \mid |z| = (3/4)^{1/4}\}.$$

Since the radius of \mathcal{C} is greater than $12^{-1/4}$, our result follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

3.3. Two points in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle. In this section we shall prove

Theorem 3.6. *The maximum number of equidistant points in \mathfrak{H} such that at most two of them lie in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle is 4.*

We consider an equilateral set $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ such that there are no three points lying in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle. By letting an element of G acting on S we may normalize so that

$$p_1 = (0, 0), \quad p_2 = (1, 0).$$

Since all other points in S must lie in the unit sphere $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1 = \{(z, t) \in \mathfrak{H} \mid |z|^4 + t^2 = 1\}$, we shall use Korányi-Reimann spherical coordinates

$$z = \sqrt{\cos \theta} e^{i\phi}, \quad t = \sin \theta, \quad (\theta, \phi) \in \Pi = (-\pi/2, \pi/2)^2,$$

to describe the points of S from now on. Together with the notation $p = (z, t)$ we shall also use the notation $p = (\theta, \phi)$. Hence if $p = (\theta, \phi) \in S$ other than p_1, p_2 , then by Equation 2.4 the condition $d(p, p_2) = 1$ reads as

$$(3.3) \quad 1 + 6 \cos \theta - 8\sqrt{\cos \theta} \cos(\theta/2) \cos(\phi + \theta/2) = 0.$$


Equation 3.3 represents a spherical curve which we shall denote by C_3^{fin} . Points in C_3^{fin} are all of distance 1 from p_1 and p_2 , that is, C_3^{fin} is the intersection of $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1$ and $S_{\mathfrak{H}}^1(1, 0)$. In the appendix (Section 4.1) we describe explicitly a parametric representation of C_3^{fin} : The curve C_3^{fin} is the union of the graphs of the functions $\phi^\pm : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$(3.4) \quad \phi^\pm(\theta) = -\frac{\theta}{2} \pm \arccos(f(\theta))$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad f(\theta) = \frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta}{8\sqrt{\cos \theta} \cos(\theta/2)}, \quad \theta \in I.$$

Here, $I = [-\theta_0^*, \theta_0^*]$, $\theta_0^* = \arccos(5/2 - \sqrt{6})$.



Parametric representation of C_3^{fin} in $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)^2$.

We wish to note at this point that there are some rather obvious symmetries of C_3^{fin} . Namely, we have the following three involutions:

- The *antipodal involution* j_1 :

$$p = (\theta, \phi) \mapsto j_1(p) = (-\theta, -\phi).$$

- the *symmetric involution* j_2 :

$$p = (\theta, \phi) \mapsto j_2(p) = (-\theta, \phi + \theta).$$

- The *vertical involution* j_3 :

$$p = (\theta, \phi) \mapsto j_3(p) = (\theta, -\phi - \theta).$$

These involutions satisfy the relation

$$j_1 \circ j_2 = j_2 \circ j_1 = j_3$$

and they are studied in detail in the appendix (Section 4). We mention here that we have the following result concerning antipodal points: For all $p \in C_3^{fin}$,

$$(3.6) \quad 1.378 \approx (2\sqrt{6} - 3)^{1/2} \leq d(p, j_1(p)) \leq (15/4)^{1/4} \approx 1.391.$$

In both cases of symmetric and vertical points there exist pairs of points with distance 1. From this we establish the existence of equilateral quadruples of points which are such that two of them lie in the same finite \mathbb{C} -circle. The next lemma shows that something much stronger holds.

Lemma 3.7. *For each fixed point $p_0 \in C_3^{fin}$ there exist at least two points $p \in C_3^{fin}$ such that $d(p_0, p) = 1$.*

Proof. Fix a point $p_0 \in C_3^{fin}$ and consider the function $G_{p_0} : C_3^{fin} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$G_{p_0}(p) = d(p, p_0).$$

This function is continuous and bounded on both branches of C_3^{fin} joining p_0 and $j_1(p_0)$. Since from Eq. 3.6 we have $d(p_0, j_1(p_0)) > 1$, by the Intermediate Value Theorem there exists a point $p^* \in C_3^{fin}$ (at least one in each branch) such that $G_{p_0}(p^*) = d(p^*, p_0) = 1$. \square

However, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 3.6 we will show that for any fixed point $p_0 \in C_3^{fin}$ there are *exactly* two points $p_1, p_2 \in C_3^{fin}$ such that

$$d(p_0, p_1) = d(p_0, p_2) = 1 \text{ and } d(p_1, p_2) \neq 1.$$

To do so, we will mostly use straightforward calculations.

3.3.1. *Proof of Theorem 3.6.* For a given (θ_0, ϕ_0) such that

$$\cos(\phi_0 + \theta_0/2) = \frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta_0}{8\sqrt{\cos \theta_0} \cos(\theta_0/2)} = f(\theta_0) = f_0 = \frac{a_0}{b_0},$$

we want to find (θ, ϕ) such that

$$\cos((\phi + \theta/2) - (\phi_0 + \theta_0/2)) = \frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta \cos \theta_0 - 2 \sin \theta \sin \theta_0}{8\sqrt{\cos \theta \cos \theta_0} \cos((\theta + \theta_0)/2)} = g(\theta, \theta_0) = g = \frac{A}{B}$$

and

$$\cos(\phi + \theta/2) = \frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta}{8\sqrt{\cos \theta \cos(\theta/2)}} = f(\theta) = f = \frac{a}{b}.$$

In the first place,

$$g = f f_0 + \sin(\phi + \theta/2) \sin(\phi_0 + \theta_0/2);$$

thus we have

$$(g - f f_0)^2 = (1 - f^2)(1 - f_0^2)$$

which we write again as

$$(3.7) \quad (Abb_0 - Baa_0)^2 = B^2(b^2 - a^2)(b_0^2 - a_0^2).$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 - a^2 &= -4 \cos^2 \theta + 20 \cos \theta - 1, \\ b_0^2 - a_0^2 &= -4 \cos^2 \theta_0 + 20 \cos \theta_0 - 1, \\ B^2 &= 32 \cos \theta \cos \theta_0 (1 + \cos(\theta + \theta_0)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Abb_0 - Baa_0 = 8\sqrt{\cos \theta \cos \theta_0} (C \cos(\theta/2) + D \sin(\theta/2)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \cos(\theta_0/2) (c_1 \cos \theta + c_2), \\ D &= \sin(\theta_0/2) (d_1 \cos \theta + d_2), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 6(2 \cos \theta_0 - 1), & c_2 &= 7 - 6 \cos \theta_0, \\ d_1 &= 10(2 \cos \theta_0 - 1), & d_2 &= -5(2 \cos \theta_0 + 3). \end{aligned}$$


Thus Equation 3.7 reads now as

$$(3.8) \quad 2(C \cos(\theta/2) + D \sin(\theta/2))^2 = (b^2 - a^2)(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(\cos \theta_0 \cos \theta - \sin \theta_0 \sin \theta + 1).$$

We set $t = \tan(\theta/2)$ and $t_0 = \tan(\theta_0/2)$. Then we obtain the 6th degree polynomial equation

$$(3.9) \quad P_{t_0}(t) = (5t_0(7 - 5t_0^2) \cdot t^3 - (31t_0^2 - 5) \cdot t^2 + 5t_0(7t_0^2 + 3) \cdot t - 7 + 5t_0^2)^2 - (-25t_0^4 + 6t_0^2 + 15)(-25t^4 + 6t^2 + 15)(t_0t - 1)^2 = 0.$$

As a function of variables t_0 and t , P is a symmetric polynomial: $P_{t_0}(t) = P_t(t_0)$. Moreover, it represents the closed curve which is depicted in the following figure.



The curve $P = 0$.

The right term of (3.9) vanishes when $t_0 = \pm t^*$, $t^* = \tan(\theta^*/2)$, $\theta^* = \arccos(5/2 - \sqrt{6})$. The equation is subsequently reduced to a cubic equation which has only one real root. This root correspond to the upper (resp. lower) point of the above curve. In all other cases we see that the equation has exactly two real roots; one of them is negative and the other is positive.

The most tractable sub-case here is when $t_0 = 0$ ($\theta_0 = 0$). Then (3.9) is reduced to

$$(5t^2 - 7)^2 = 15(-25t^4 + 6t^2 + 15).$$

This gives

$$t = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\sqrt{3}}{5}} \implies \theta = \pm 2 \arctan \left(\sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\sqrt{3}}{5}} \right) = \pm \vartheta_0.$$

Now, $\theta_0 = 0$ corresponds to two points on the curve C_3^{fin} , namely the points $p_0 = (0, \arccos(7/8))$ and $j_1(p_0)$. From our solution we also have four points, namely

$$q_0 = (\vartheta_0, \phi^+(\vartheta_0)), \quad j_i(q_0), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(p_0, q_0) &= d(p_0, j_2(q_0)) = 1, \\ d(j_1(p_0), j_1(q_0)) &= d(j_1(p_0), j_3(q_0)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforwardly verified that all other distances between these points are different than 1.

In the general case, let with no loss of generality $t_0 > 0$ corresponding to a fixed point $p_0 = (\theta_0, \phi_0) \in C_3^{fin}$. Let also $t_1 < 0$ and $t_2 > 0$ the two roots of P_{t_0} :

$$P_{t_0}(t_1) = P_{t_0}(t_2) = 0,$$

and these two roots correspond to points $p_1 = (\theta_1, \phi_1)$ and $p_2 = (\theta_2, \phi_2)$ in C_3^{fin} , respectively. Supposing that $d(p_1, p_2) = 1$ we would have $P_{t_1}(t_2) = 0$. But from symmetry of P we would also have $P_{t_1}(t_0) = 0$, hence P_{t_1} has two positive roots, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

4. APPENDIX

4.1. Parametric representation of C_3^{fin} . The curve C_3^{fin} intersects the θ -axis at points $(\pm \arccos(1/4), 0)$ and the ϕ -axis at points $(0, \pm \arccos(7/8))$. To find the range of θ we put $\phi + \theta/2 = 0$ and from

$$\frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta}{8\sqrt{\cos \theta} \cos(\theta/2)} = \pm 1$$

we find $\theta \in I = [-\theta^*, \theta^*]$ where $\theta^* = \arccos(5/2 - \sqrt{6})$. We set

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1 + 6 \cos \theta}{8\sqrt{\cos \theta} \cos(\theta/2)}, \quad \theta \in I,$$

as in 3.5. Its derivative in $\text{Int}(I)$ is given by

$$f'(\theta) = -\sin \theta \cdot \frac{4 \cos \theta - 1}{32 \cos^{3/2} \theta \cos^3(\theta/2)}.$$

The function f :

- attains its global maximum value 1 at the points $\pm \arccos(5/2 - \sqrt{6})$;
- attains its global minimum value $\sqrt{5/8}$ at the points $\pm \arccos(1/4)$;
- attains a locally maximum value $7/8$ at 0.


Moreover, f is:

- strictly monotone decreasing at the intervals

$$[-\arccos(5/2 - \sqrt{6}), -\arccos(1/4)], \quad [0, \arccos(1/4)];$$

- strictly monotone increasing at the intervals

$$[-\arccos(1/4), 0], \quad [\arccos(1/4), \arccos(5/2 - \sqrt{6})].$$



The function f .

We note that

$$\sqrt{5/8} \leq \cos(\phi + \theta/2) \leq 1,$$

which also reads as

$$-\arccos(\sqrt{5/8}) \leq \phi + \theta/2 \leq \arccos(\sqrt{5/8}).$$

We conclude that C_3^{fin} is the union of the graphs of the functions $\phi^\pm : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in 3.4.

4.2. Symmetries of C_3^{fin} . The involution $j : (z, t) \mapsto (\bar{z}, -t)$ of the Heisenberg group is restricted to an involution j_1 defined in C_3^{fin} which maps each $p = (\theta, \phi) \in C_3^{fin}$ to the point $j_1(p) = (-\theta, -\phi) \in C_3^{fin}$. That is to say, for each $\theta \in I$,

$$(\theta, \phi^+(\theta)) \mapsto (-\theta, \phi^-(-\theta))$$

$$(\theta, \phi^-(\theta)) \mapsto (-\theta, \phi^+(-\theta)).$$

We call j_1 the antipodal involution of C_3^{fin} ; this defines antipodal pairs of points $(p, j_1(p))$, $p \in C_3^{fin}$.

Let j_1 be the antipodal involution and let the function $h : C_3^{fin} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$h(p) = d^4(p, j_1(p)).$$

Then h as a function of $\theta \in I$ is given by:

$$h(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{8 \cos^3 \theta - 12 \cos^2 \theta + 12 \cos \theta + 7}{1 + \cos \theta}, \quad \theta \in I.$$

The following hold:

a) h attains its global maximum value $15/4$ at the three pairs of antipodal points

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^\pm &= \pm (0, \arccos(7/8)), \\ q_2^\pm &= \pm (\arccos(1/4), 0), \\ q_3^\pm &= \pm (\arccos(1/4), -\arccos(1/4)). \end{aligned}$$

Also,


b) h attains its global minimum value $(2\sqrt{6} - 3)^2$ at the three pairs of antipodal points

$$\begin{aligned} r_1^\pm &= \pm (\arccos((5 - 2\sqrt{6})/2), -(1/2) \arccos((5 - 2\sqrt{6})/2)), \\ r_2^\pm &= \pm (\arccos((-1 + \sqrt{6})/2), \\ &\quad -(1/2) \arccos((-1 + \sqrt{6})/2) + \arccos(\sqrt{5/8} \cdot (-2 + 3\sqrt{6})/5)), \\ r_3^\pm &= \pm (\arccos((-1 + \sqrt{6})/2), \\ &\quad -(1/2) \arccos((-1 + \sqrt{6})/2) - \arccos(\sqrt{5/8} \cdot (-2 + 3\sqrt{6})/5)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

c) (Eq. 3.6) for all $p \in C_3^{fin}$,

$$1.378 \approx (2\sqrt{6} - 3)^{1/2} \leq d(p, j_1(p)) \leq (15/4)^{1/4} \approx 1.391.$$



The antipodal function h .

We conclude from Eq. 3.6 that the Korányi diameter of C_3^{fin} is greater than 1 and that for any two antipodal points $p, j_1(p)$ we always have $d(p, j_1(p)) > 1$. It is worth to mention here the following:

Corollary 4.1. *The curve C_3^{fin} is tangent to the horizontal foliation at points q_i^\pm , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} d^4(q_1^+, q_2^+) &= d^4(q_2^+, q_3^+) = d^4(q_3^+, q_1^-) = d^4(q_1^-, q_2^-) = d^4(q_2^-, q_3^-) = d^4(q_3^-, q_1^+) = 3/8, \\ d^4(q_1^+, q_3^+) &= d^4(q_2^+, q_1^-) = d^4(q_3^+, q_2^-) = d^4(q_1^-, q_3^-) = d^4(q_2^-, q_1^+) = d^4(q_3^-, q_2^+) = 9/4, \\ d^4(q_1^+, q_1^-) &= d^4(q_2^+, q_2^-) = d^4(q_3^+, q_3^-) = 15/4. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the first statement, let $\omega = dt + 2\Im(\bar{z}dz)$ be the contact form of \mathfrak{H} . Then it is written in terms of K or anyi-Reimann coordinates as

$$\omega = \cos\theta(d\theta + 2d\phi).$$

For ϕ as in (3.4) we then have

$$d\theta + 2d\phi = \pm \frac{2f'(\theta)}{\sqrt{1-f^2(\theta)}} d\theta$$


and the proof follows from the properties of f and h . The proof of the second statement follows after straightforward calculations. \square

The symmetric involution j_2 maps each $p = (\theta, \phi) \in C_3^{fin}$ to the point $j_2(p) = (-\theta, \phi + \theta)$. It defines symmetric pairs of points $p, j_2(p)$, $p \in C_3^{fin}$. Let

$$s(p) = d^4(p, j_2(p)), \quad p \in C_3^{fin}.$$

As a function of $\theta \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} s(\theta) &= 2 + 4\cos^2\theta + 2 - 8\cos\theta \\ &= 4(1 - \cos\theta)^2 = 16\sin^4(\theta/2). \end{aligned}$$



The symmetric function s .


The function s :

- (1) attains its global maximum value $4(\sqrt{6} - 3/2)^2$ at points $\pm\theta^*$.
- (2) determines the following pairs of symmetric points with distance 1:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pi/3, -\pi/6 + \arccos(\sqrt{6}/3)), \quad (-\pi/3, \pi/6 + \arccos(\sqrt{6}/3)), \\ &(\pi/3, -\pi/6 - \arccos(\sqrt{6}/3)), \quad (-\pi/3, \pi/6 - \arccos(\sqrt{6}/3)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the vertical involution j_3 of C_3^{fin} maps each $p = (\theta, \phi) \in C_3^{fin}$ to the point $j_3(p) = (\theta, -\phi - \theta)$. Note that j_3 is just the map $(\theta, \phi^+(\theta)) \mapsto (\theta, \phi^-(\theta))$; hence vertical points p and $j_3(p)$ lie in the same spherical \mathbb{C} -circle ($j_3(p)$ is the image of p by some rotation of \mathfrak{H}). Let $v(p) = d^4(p, j_3(p))$, $p \in C_3^{fin}$. As a function of $\theta \in I$,

$$v(\theta) = \frac{\cos \theta}{2(1 + \cos \theta)}(-4 \cos^2 \theta + 20 \cos \theta - 1).$$



The vertical function v .

The function $v(\theta)$:

- (1) attains its maximum value $15/4$ at 0 ;
- (2) determines the following pairs of vertical points with distance 1 :

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta_0, \phi^+(\theta_0)), (\theta_0, \phi^-(\theta_0)), \\ &(-\theta_0, \phi^+(-\theta_0)), (-\theta_0, \phi^-(-\theta_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_0 = \arccos(0.42\dots)$ is the absolute value of the two solutions of the equation

$$4 \cos^3 \theta - 2 \cos^2 \theta + 3 \cos \theta + 2 = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon & P. Pudlák; *Equilateral sets in l_n^p* . *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (3) **13**, (2003) 467—482.
- [2] P. Brass; *On equilateral simplices in normed spaces*. *Beitr. Alg. Geom.* **40** (1999), 303—307.
- [3] V. Chousionis & J. Tyson; *Mastrand's density theorem in the Heisenberg group*. Preprint (submitted).
- [4] L. Danzer & B. Grünbaum; *Über zwei Probleme bezüglich konvexer Körper von P. Erdős und von V. L. Klee*. *Math. Z.* **79** (1962), 95—99.
- [5] W. Goldman; *Complex hyperbolic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [6] A. Korányi & H.M. Reimann; *Horizontal normal vectors and conformal capacity of spherical rings in the Heisenberg group*. *Bull. Sci. Math., II. Sér.* **111**, (1987), 3–21 .
- [7] J. Mann; *Equilateral dimension of Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature*. *Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Math. J.* (8) **14** (1983), Iss. 1, 101–111.
- [8] C.M. Petty; *Equilateral sets in Minkowski spaces*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1971), 369—374.
- [9] P.S. Soltan; *Analogues of regular simplexes in normed spaces*. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **222** (1975), 1303– 1305. English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **16** (1975), 787—789.

- [10] K.J. Swanepoel; *Equilateral sets in finite-dimensional normed spaces*. Seminar of Mathematical Analysis, Colloc. Abierta **71**, Univ. Sevilla Secr. Publ., (2004), 195–237.
- [11] K.J. Swanepoel; *A problem of Kusner on equilateral sets*. Arch. Math. (Basel) **83** (2004), 164–170.
- [12] K.J. Swanepoel & R. Villa; *Maximal equilateral sets*. Disc. and Comput. Geom. (2) **50** (2013), 354–373.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEJEON, 34134,
SOUTH KOREA

Email address: calvary@cnu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, HERAKLION,
GR-70013, GREECE

Email address: jplatis@math.uoc.gr