

# A variational approach to $S^1$ -harmonic maps and applications

Filippo Gaia\* and Tristan Rivière†

November 30, 2021

**Abstract :** *We present a renormalization procedure of the Dirichlet Lagrangian for maps from surfaces with or without boundary into  $S^1$  and whose finite energy critical points are the  $S^1$ -harmonic maps with isolated singularities. We give some applications of this renormalization scheme in two different frameworks. The first application has to do with the renormalization of the Willmore energy for lagrangian singular immersions into Kähler-Einstein Surfaces while the second application is dealing with frame energies for surfaces immersions into euclidian spaces.*

**Math. Class.** 58E20, 58J05

## I Introduction

### I.1 $S^1$ -harmonic maps with point singularities.

A map  $u \in C^\infty(B^n, S^{m-1})$  is by definition a smooth harmonic maps from an  $n$ -dimensional euclidian ball into a  $m - 1$  dimensional sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if

$$\Delta u \wedge u = 0 \quad \text{in } B^n . \quad (\text{I.1})$$

where  $\Delta$  is denoting the standard negative laplacian on  $B^n$ . The equation (I.1) can also be interpreted as the condition for being harmonic to have the Laplacian of the map to be at every point orthogonal to the tangent space to the sphere :

$$\forall x \in B^n \quad \Delta u \perp T_{u(x)}S^{m-1} . \quad (\text{I.2})$$

This condition generates a non-linear equation known as the *harmonic map equation*

$$-\Delta u = u|\nabla u|^2 \quad \text{in } B^n . \quad (\text{I.3})$$

This equation is in fact *variational* in the sense that it is the *Euler-Lagrange Equation* of the *Dirichlet Energy*

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{B^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx^n , \quad (\text{I.4})$$

More precisely smooth solutions to (I.2) are smooth critical points of  $E$  among maps taking values into  $S^{m-1}$  for the following variations

$$\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B^n, \mathbb{R}^m) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E \left( \frac{u + t\varphi}{|u + t\varphi|} \right) = 0 . \quad (\text{I.5})$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, ETH Zentrum, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

†Department of Mathematics, ETH Zentrum, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

Considering harmonic maps which are smooth exclusively is bringing to numerous limitations. For instance given a smooth map  $g : \partial B^3 \rightarrow S^2$  of topological degree 0 it is still unknown if the following problem has a smooth solution

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u |\nabla u|^2 & \text{in } B^3 \\ u = g_0 & \text{on } \partial B^3, \end{cases} \quad (\text{I.6})$$

while it has been proved (see [16]) by a pure minimization procedure in the Sobolev space  $W_{g_0}^{1,2}(B^3, S^2)$  of maps with finite Dirichlet energy and trace equal to  $g_0$  that there exists a solution to (I.6) with isolated singularities. In fact, there exists boundary data  $g_0$  for which any minimizer must have point singularities see [8]. These singularities have a unique *tangent cone* of the form

$$u_0 : x \in B^3 \rightarrow \frac{x}{|x|} \in S^2, \quad (\text{I.7})$$

modulo the composition by an isometry  $R \in O(3)$ . Observe that in one dimension lower the map

$$u_0 : z \in D^2 \rightarrow \frac{z}{|z|} \in S^1. \quad (\text{I.8})$$

is still harmonic away from the origin (in the sense above) but is missing to have finite Dirichlet energy by very little since  $|\nabla u_0| \simeq |x|^{-1}$  and only belongs to the *Weak Marcinkiewicz Space* or *Lorentz Space*  $L^{2,\infty}(D^2)$  (see the beginning of section II) but does not belong to  $L^2(D^2)$ . Nevertheless  $u_0$  satisfies a weak version of (I.1) in the form

$$\operatorname{div}(u \wedge \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(D^2). \quad (\text{I.9})$$

The weak solutions to (I.9) with point singularities were until now considered as “semi variational” in the sense that they solve a weak version of an Euler-Lagrange equation for a Lagrangian, the Dirichlet energy of maps into the circle, which is infinite for these solutions. They are in particular obtained as weak limit of critical points of the Ginzburg-Landau energy

$$E_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2 dx^2. \quad (\text{I.10})$$

One of the drawbacks of these variational formulations is that they are both requiring a renormalization procedure due to the asymptotic production of infinite energy and this renormalization can generate tedious and lengthy analysis. Another drawback from these approaches comes from the difficulty to deal with “natural” boundary conditions.

The main purpose of the present work is to remedy to these difficulties and to present a direct variational formulation of singular solutions to (I.9). This formulation in particular enable to treat more general boundary conditions than the ones considered in the Ginzburg-Landau theory. The original motivation for our work is explained a bit later in this introduction and is related to the theory of *hamiltonian stationary lagrangian surfaces*.

In order to explain our approach we take the simplest framework of maps from  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $S^1$ . Let  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\nabla g \in L^{2,\infty}$ . We proceed to the Hodge decomposition<sup>1</sup> in  $L^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$  of  $g^{-1}\nabla g$ : there exist two real valued functions  $a_g$  and  $b_g$  with  $\nabla a_g$  and  $\nabla b_g$  in  $L^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$g^{-1}\nabla g = i \nabla^\perp a_g + i \nabla b_g. \quad (\text{I.11})$$

where  $\nabla^\perp \cdot := (-\partial_{x_2} \cdot, \partial_{x_1} \cdot)$ . Using complex coordinates, (I.11) becomes also

$$g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\frac{\partial a_g}{\partial \bar{z}} + i \frac{\partial b_g}{\partial \bar{z}} \quad (\text{I.12})$$

---

<sup>1</sup>By  $g^{-1}\nabla g$  we denote the complex multiplication of  $g^{-1}$  with  $\partial_{x_1}g$  and  $\partial_{x_2}g$  respectively.

which is equivalent<sup>2</sup> to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} (e^{a_g - ib_g} g) = 0 \quad (\text{I.13})$$

For instance, assuming  $g$  is an  $S^1$ -harmonic map satisfying (I.9) equal to the following product of elementary maps of the form (I.8)

$$g(z) := \prod_{j=1}^Q \frac{z - p_j}{|z - p_j|} \prod_{j=1}^Q \frac{|z - q_j|}{z - q_j} . \quad (\text{I.14})$$

This gives

$$g^{-1} \nabla g = i \sum_{j=1}^Q \frac{\nabla^\perp |z - p_j|}{|z - p_j|} - i \sum_{j=1}^Q \frac{\nabla^\perp |z - q_j|}{|z - q_j|} .$$

Thus, modulo constants,  $b_g = 0$  and

$$a_g := \sum_{j=1}^Q \log \frac{|z - p_j|}{|z - q_j|}$$

and

$$e^{a_g} g := \prod_{j=1}^Q \frac{z - p_j}{z - q_j} . \quad (\text{I.15})$$

is meromorphic. It is then natural to introduce a “regularization” of this map by taking its inverse stereographic projection into  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  :

$$u_g := \pi^{-1} (e^{a_g} g) \quad (\text{I.16})$$

The map we have generated through this procedure is a *conformal harmonic map* into  $S^2$ . We will call it the “ $S^2$  lift” of the  $S^1$  valued map  $g$ .

We then naturally introduce the following definitions.

**Definition I.1.** Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  for some  $p > 1$ . Let  $b_g$  be the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta b_g = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla g}{i g} \right) & \text{in } D^2 \\ b_g = 0 & \text{on } \partial D^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{I.17})$$

Let  $a_g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  with average 0 on  $D^2$  and  $u_g$  such that

$$\nabla^\perp a_g := \frac{\nabla g}{i g} - \nabla b_g \quad \text{and} \quad u_g := \pi^{-1} (e^{a_g} g) \quad (\text{I.18})$$

where  $\pi$  is the stereographic projection from  $S^2$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . We call  $u_g$  the “ $S^2$  lift of  $g$ ”. We introduce the “renormalized Dirichlet energy” of  $g$  to be the following energy

$$\mathcal{E}(g) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u_g|^2 + |\nabla b_g|^2 \, dx^2 .$$

□

---

<sup>2</sup>This computation is performed as a matter of illustration and at this stage we implicitly assume enough regularity on  $a_g$  and  $b_g$  for  $e^{a_g}$  to define a distribution and the chain rule to hold.

**Remark I.1.** *Observe that the previous definition given for the flat disc extends word by word to the simply connected riemann surface  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . This is also the case for general closed Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  with or without boundary modulo the addition of the  $L^2$  norms of the harmonic forms involved in the Hodge decomposition of  $g^{-1}dg$ .*

The motivation for the denomination “renormalized Dirichlet Energy” is justified by the following fact : Assume  $g \in W^{1,2}(D^2, S^1)$  is equal to 1 on the boundary, then  $g$  admits a lift  $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = e^{i\phi}$  and we must have  $b_g = \phi$  and

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = E(g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} |\nabla g|^2 dx^2$$

The advantage of  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $E$  is that it can be finite still allowing  $g$  to have point singularities of the form (I.8). In particular,

$$\mathcal{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^Q \frac{z - p_j}{|z - p_j|} \prod_{j=1}^Q \frac{|z - q_j|}{z - q_j} \right) = 2\pi Q \quad (\text{I.19})$$

We recall from [5] that the obstruction for approximating strongly by smooth map into  $S^1$  an arbitrary map  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  (for  $p \geq 1$ ) is given by the distribution

$$\text{div}(-i g^{-1} \nabla^\perp g) .$$

More precisely, it is proven in [5] that for such a map  $g \in W^{1,1}(D^2, S^1)$  equal to 1 on the boundary there exists an at most countable family of pair of points  $p_i$  and integers  $d_i$  such that

$$\text{div}(-i g^{-1} \nabla^\perp g) = 2\pi \sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta_{p_i},$$

and the convergence has to be understood in the sense that there exists an at most countable of segments with integer multiplicity such that, denoting  $J$  the associated integer rectifiable current

$$\partial J = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta_{p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \inf \left\{ M(J) ; \partial J = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta_{p_i} \right\} \leq \int_{D^2} |\nabla g| dx^2 .$$

We have moreover for any sequence  $g_k \in C_1^\infty(D^2, S^1)$  satisfying

$$\nabla g_k \rightharpoonup \nabla g \quad \text{in } (L^\infty(D^2))^*$$

$$\int_{D^2} |\nabla g| dx^2 + 2\pi \inf \left\{ M(J) ; \partial J = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta_{p_i} \right\} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{D^2} |\nabla g_k| dx^2$$

and the inequality is optimal for any  $g$ .

The mass distribution

$$\sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta_{p_i}$$

is also called **topological singular set of  $g$**  (see [9]).

We are interested in the present work with the subspace of maps in  $W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  such that this mass distribution is discrete.

**Definition I.2** (Isolated/finite topological singularities). Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  for some  $p > 1$ . Assume that

$$- \operatorname{div}(i g^{-1} \nabla^\perp g) = 2\pi \sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta_{p_i}, \quad (\text{I.20})$$

where  $I$  is an index set and for any  $i \in I$   $p_i \in \overline{D^2}$  and  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume also that the points  $p_i$  in  $D^2$  are isolated. Any such map will be referred to as a  $W^{1,p}$   $S^1$ -valued map with isolated topological singularities or  $S^1$ -valued map with discrete topological singular set. Now assume that  $g|_{\partial D^2} \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . Assume that there exist  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_i \in \overline{D^2}$   $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  so that

$$\int_{\partial D^2} g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i = 0.$$

Assume that for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$

$$\int_{D^2} -i g^{-1} \nabla g \nabla \phi = \int_{S^1} \phi g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i \phi(p_i) + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i \phi(p_i).$$

In this case we say that  $g$  has finitely many topological singularities in  $\overline{D^2}$ .  $\square$

The following theorem, which is one of the main result of the present work, gives the sequential weak completeness of  $S^1$  maps with discrete topological singular set under controlled “renormalized Dirichlet Energy” and the sequential weak completeness of  $S^1$  maps with finite topological singular set under controlled “renormalized Dirichlet Energy” and controlled  $W^{1,1}$ -norm at the boundary.

**Theorem I.1.** 1. Let  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of maps uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  for some  $p > 1$  with isolated topological singularities.

Assume that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}(g_k) < +\infty. \quad (\text{I.21})$$

Then there exists a subsequence  $g_{k'}$  and a map  $g_\infty \in W_{loc}^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(g_\infty) \leq \liminf_{k' \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}(g_{k'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla g_{k'} \rightharpoonup \nabla g_\infty \quad \text{weakly in } L_{loc}^p(D^2). \quad (\text{I.22})$$

$\square$

2. Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . Let  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $W^{1,p}$   $S^1$ -valued map with isolated topological singularities with trace equal to  $g_0$  on  $\partial D^2$ . Assume that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   $g_k$  has finitely many singularities. Assume that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}(g_k) < \infty. \quad (\text{I.23})$$

Then there exists a subsequence  $g_{k'}$  and a  $W^{1,(2,\infty)}$   $S^1$ -valued map  $g_\infty$  with finitely many singularities in  $\overline{D^2}$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(g_\infty) \leq \liminf_{k' \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}(g_{k'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla g_{k'} \rightharpoonup \nabla g_\infty \quad \text{weakly in } L_{loc}^{2,\infty}(D^2).$$

$\square$

One important step in the proof of Theorem I.1 consists in the following a-priori estimate on the number of the topological singularities of a function  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  (see Lemma 7).

**Theorem I.2.** *Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . Assume that  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  is an  $S^1$ -valued map with finitely many singularities in  $\overline{D^2}$  for some  $p > 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^Q |d_i| \leq C [\mathcal{E}(g) + \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)}]. \quad (\text{I.24})$$

for some universal constant  $C > 0$ . □

**Remark I.2.** *We believe that the finite number of singularity assumption could be dropped and should be a consequence of the finiteness of  $\mathcal{E}(g)$  combined with the  $W^{1,1}$  bound at the boundary. The  $W^{1,1}$  estimate at the boundary seems needed and it could be that another bound with the same scaling property such that  $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  does not imply the finiteness of the number of singularities<sup>3</sup> □*

The next Theorem is the third main result of the present paper, it says that critical point to  $\mathcal{E}$  are  $S^1$ -harmonic maps.

**Theorem I.3.** *Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  be as in Definition I.1 . Assume that*

$$\mathcal{E}(g) < \infty.$$

*Then  $g$  solves the weak  $S^1$  harmonic map equation (I.9) if and only if  $g$  is a critical point of the "renormalized Dirichlet Energy" for smooth variations in the target, that is*

$$\forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{E}(g e^{it\psi}) = 0.$$

*Moreover  $g$  solves the weak  $S^1$  harmonic map equation (I.9) if and only if it's lift  $u_g$  is a conformal harmonic map into  $S^2$ .*

Notice that in Theorem I.3 we do not assume that  $g$  has isolated singularities. Combining the results above, the fact that any  $W^{1,1}(S^1, S^1)$  map admits a finite "renormalized Dirichlet Energy" extension (see Lemma 4) and Lemma 2 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary I.1.** *Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ , then there exists an  $S^1$ -harmonic map  $g_{\min}$  minimizing  $\mathcal{E}$  among the functions  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  with finitely many singularities in  $\overline{D^2}$  and satisfying  $g|_{S^1} = g_0$ .*

**Remark I.3.** *It is still an open question to know whether or not in corollary I.1 the degrees are all equal to +1 and whether  $Q$  is equal to the topological degree of  $g_0$ . One could also wonder if one should expect singularities to be located at the boundary or not. While these questions are settled in [3] thanks to the careful analysis of the diverging part of the GL energy (i.e. the coefficient in front of  $\log \varepsilon^{-1}$ ) in the present situation there is no such leading diverging term imposing restrictions on the configuration  $(d_i, p_i)$  and these questions are left open at this stage. □*

We conclude this introduction by the following open problem.

---

<sup>3</sup>While the slightly stronger assumption  $\Delta^{1/4}g \in L^{2,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  (where  $L^{2,1}(\partial D^2)$  is the Lorentz space predual of the weak  $L^2$  space : the Marcinkiewicz  $L^{2,\infty}(\partial D^2)$ ) should imply the finite number of singularity assumption.

**Open problem I.1.** *A more natural trace space than  $W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  for considering  $S^1$  harmonic map is the trace space  $H^{1/2}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . One question in particular is to know whether any trace in  $H^{1/2}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  admits a finite “renormalized Dirichlet Energy” extension - we believe this is the case - and if there exist finite energy extensions (minimal or not) with infinitely many singular points accumulating at the boundary. This last fact cannot be excluded a-priori.*

The paper is organized as follows.

In chapter II we recall the definition of some of the functions spaces we will be using throughout the paper and we fix some notations. We then present some preliminary results about the energy and the functions introduced in Definition I.1.

In section III we give a proof of Theorem I.1, Theorem I.2 and Corollary I.1.

In section IV we give a proof of Theorem I.3.

In section V we present some applications of the ideas presented in this work.

## II Notation and preliminary results

### II.1 Notation

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain. Recall that a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to belong to the **weak**  $L^{2,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  space if  $f$  is measurable and

$$\|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} = \sup\{\gamma d_f(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \gamma > 0\}$$

is finite, where

$$d_f(\alpha) = \mathcal{L}^n(\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > \alpha\}).$$

$\|\cdot\|_{L^{2,\infty}}$  is a quasi-norm on  $L^{2,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  and  $L^{2,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  can be made into a Banach space by introducing a norm  $|||\cdot|||_{L^{2,\infty}}$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2,\infty}}$  (as quasi norm) (see Exercise 1.1.12 in [1]). We will also make use of the following space:

$$W^{1,(2,\infty)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \nabla u \in L^{2,\infty}\}.$$

$W^{1,(2,\infty)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,(2,\infty)}} = |||f|||_{L^{2,\infty}} + |||\nabla f|||_{L^{2,\infty}}.$$

Observe that

$$[f]_{W^{1,(2,\infty)}} = |||\nabla f|||_{L^{2,\infty}}$$

defines a semi-norm on  $W^{1,(2,\infty)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ .

In the following we will often consider functions with values in  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Sometimes it will be convenient to look at this space as  $\mathbb{C}$ , while in other occasion as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . To avoid confusion, we will denote the complex multiplication of two elements  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  as

$$\alpha\beta,$$

while we will denote their  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -scalar product as

$$\alpha \cdot \beta.$$

Moreover, when considering the product of gradients we will use the following notation: if  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} f^j \partial_{x_i} g^j.$$

## II.2 Preliminary results for general $g$

In this subsection and in the next we collect some preliminary results for functions  $g$  as in Definition I.1. Here we do not make further assumptions on  $g$  (in particular we do not assume that  $g$  has isolated or finite singularities). In the next subsection we will focus on functions with finitely many singularities.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  as in Definition I.1.*

Let

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \frac{e^{2x}}{(1 + e^{2x})^2}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (|\nabla g|^2 + |\nabla a_g|^2) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla b_g|^2. \quad (\text{II.25})$$

Moreover

$$\int_{D^2} f(a_g) |\nabla a_g|^2 = \int_{D^2} |\nabla \arctan e^{a_g}|^2$$

and if  $b_g = 0$  in  $D^2$

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = 2 \int_{D^2} f(a_g) |\nabla a_g|^2.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g} g) D(e^{a_g} g)|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla b_g|^2.$$

Now

$$D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g} g) D(e^{a_g} g) = \frac{2}{1 + |e^{a_g} g|^2} D(e^{a_g} g) = 2 \frac{e^{a_g} Dg + e^{a_g} g Da_g}{1 + e^{2a_g}}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (|\nabla g|^2 + |\nabla a_g|^2) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla b_g|^2. \quad (\text{II.26})$$

Moreover, since the function

$$h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{e^x}{1 + e^{2x}}$$

is of class  $C^1$  and its derivative is bounded, and since  $a_g \in W^{1,1}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$h(a_g) \in W^{1,1}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$$

and the distributional derivative of  $h(a_g)$  is given by

$$D(h \circ a_g) = h(a_g) Da_g = f(a_g)^{\frac{1}{2}} Da_g.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{D^2} f(a_g) |\nabla a_g|^2 = \int_{D^2} |\nabla(h \circ a_g)|^2 = \int_{D^2} |\nabla \arctan e^{a_g}|^2.$$

Finally, if  $b_g = 0$  in  $D^2$  then

$$i \frac{\nabla g}{g} = \nabla^\perp a_g,$$

therefore

$$|\nabla g| = |\nabla a_g|$$

and so it follows from (II.26) that

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = 2 \int_{D^2} f(a_g) |\nabla a_g|^2.$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  be as in Definition I.1 and assume that*

$$\mathcal{E}(g) < \infty.$$

Let

$$\tilde{g} = g e^{-ib_g}.$$

Then  $\tilde{g} \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$ ,

$$a_{\tilde{g}} = a_g, \quad b_{\tilde{g}} = 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} (f(a_g) + 1) |\nabla b_g|^2,$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = 2 \int_{D^2} f(a_g) |\nabla a_g|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} (f(a_g) + 1) |\nabla b_g|^2,$$

where  $f$  is as in Lemma 1.

In particular

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) \leq \mathcal{E}(g).$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\nabla \tilde{g} = e^{-ib_g} \nabla g - i g e^{-ib_g} \nabla b_g.$$

Therefore  $\tilde{g} \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  and

$$\tilde{g}^{-1} \nabla \tilde{g} = g^{-1} \nabla g - i \nabla b_g = i \nabla^\perp a_g.$$

Therefore

$$a_{\tilde{g}} = a_g \text{ and } b_{\tilde{g}} = 0.$$

By Lemma 1 there holds

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) = \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (|\nabla^\perp a_g|^2 + |\nabla a_g|^2) \tag{II.27}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (|\nabla^\perp a_g + \nabla b_g|^2 + |\nabla a_g|^2) + |\nabla b_g|^2. \tag{II.28}$$

Now we claim that

$$\int_{D^2} f(a_g) \nabla a_g \nabla^\perp b_g = 0. \tag{II.29}$$

In fact let

$$F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto -\frac{1}{2(e^{2x} + 1)},$$

then  $F' = f$ , therefore

$$f(a_g) \nabla a_g = \nabla (F \circ a_g).$$

Now for any  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D^2, \mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{D^2} f(a_g) \nabla a_g \nabla^\perp \phi = \int_{D^2} \nabla(F \circ a_g) \nabla^\perp \phi = - \int_{D^2} F \circ a_g \operatorname{div}(\nabla^\perp \phi) = 0. \quad (\text{II.30})$$

As  $b_g \in W_0^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  there exists a sequence  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $C_c^\infty(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\phi_n \rightarrow b_g \text{ in } W^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now notice that by Lemma 1

$$\int_{D^2} |f(a_g) \nabla a_g|^2 \leq \int_{D^2} f(a_g) |\nabla a_g|^2 < \infty,$$

therefore

$$\int_{D^2} f(a_g) \nabla a_g \nabla^\perp b_g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D^2} f(a_g) \nabla a_g \nabla^\perp \phi_n = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of (II.29).

Therefore by (II.28)

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (2|\nabla a_g|^2 + |\nabla b_g|^2) + |\nabla b_g|^2.$$

Comparing with (II.27) we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} (f(a_g) + 1) |\nabla b_g|^2.$$

Then in particular

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) \leq \mathcal{E}(g).$$

□

### II.3 Functions with finitely many singularities

In this subsection we first give a more explicit expression for maps  $g$  as in Definition I.1 (and their corresponding  $a_g$ ) when  $g$  has finitely many topological singularities in  $\overline{D^2}$ . We will then show that for any boundary datum  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, \mathbb{R})$  it is possible to find an extension in  $D^2$  with finite "renormalized Dirichlet Energy". Finally we will show that for a sequence of functions with finitely many topological singularities whose "renormalized Dirichlet Energy" is controlled uniformly the topological singularities could approach the boundary.

**Lemma 3 (A more explicit form for  $a$ ).** *Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  let  $p_i \in \overline{D^2}$  and  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that*

$$\int_{\partial D^2} g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i = 0.$$

*Let  $a \in W^{1,p}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  for some  $p > 1$  and assume that for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$*

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla a \nabla \phi = \int_{S^1} \phi g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i \phi(p_i) + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i \phi(p_i).$$

Let

$$\Phi(x) := - \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \log|x - p_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a}(x) := a(x) - \Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{II.31})$$

for any  $x \in D^2$ . Then

$$a = \tilde{a} + \Phi$$

and for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla \tilde{a} \nabla \phi = \int_{\partial D^2} \phi \beta, \quad (\text{II.32})$$

where

$$\beta(x) = g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^q d_i \partial_\nu \log|x - p_i| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^q d_i.$$

for any  $x \in S^1$ .

*Proof.* Observe that if  $p \in D^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^2} \nabla \phi \nabla \log|x - p| &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial(D^2 \setminus B_\varepsilon(p))} \phi \partial_\nu \log|x - p| \\ &= \int_{\partial D^2} \phi \partial_\nu \log|x - p| - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(p)} \phi \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \int_{\partial D^2} \phi \partial_\nu \log|x - p| - 2\pi \phi(p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.33})$$

On the other hand, if  $p \in \partial D^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^2} \nabla \phi \nabla \log|x - p| &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial(D^2 \setminus B_\varepsilon(p))} \phi \partial_\nu \log|x - p| \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial D^2 \setminus B_\varepsilon(p)} \phi \frac{(x-p) \cdot x}{|x-p|^2} - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(p) \cap D^2} \phi \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D^2} \phi - \pi \phi(p_i) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.34})$$

where we used the fact that for any  $x, p \in \partial D^2$

$$\frac{(x-p) \cdot x}{|x-p|^2} = \frac{1-x \cdot p}{|x-p|^2} = \frac{p \cdot (p-x)}{|x-p|^2}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{(x-p) \cdot x}{|x-p|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-p) \cdot (x-p)}{|x-p|^2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore the function  $\tilde{a}$  defined in (II.31) satisfies (II.32).  $\square$

**Corollary 1 (A more explicit form for  $g$ ).** *Let  $g$  be a  $W^{1,p}$   $S^1$ -valued map with finite singularities in  $\overline{D^2}$ . Assume that the  $a_g$  in the Hodge decomposition (I.18) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3. Then  $g$  has the following form:*

$$g(z) = \prod_{i=1}^Q \left( \frac{z - p_i}{|z - p_i|} \right)^{d_i} e^{i\varphi},$$

where

$$\varphi = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{a}_g) + b_g,$$

$\mathcal{H}(\tilde{a})$  denoting the harmonic conjugate of  $\tilde{a}$  in  $D^2$ .

*Proof.* The result follow from Lemma 3 and the fact that, for any  $p \in \overline{D^2}$

$$\frac{d\left(\frac{z-p}{|z-p|}\right)}{\frac{z-p}{|z-p|}} = i \frac{*d|z-p|}{|z-p|}. \quad (\text{II.35})$$

□

The following Lemma shows that for any given  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  the class of functions  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  with  $g|_{\partial D^2} = g_0$  with finitely many topological singularities and with

$$\mathcal{E}(g) < \infty$$

is not empty.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(S^1, S^1)$  with  $\deg(g_0) = d$ . Then there exist  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  and  $a \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = g_0$  on  $\partial D^2$  and  $E(u_g) < \infty$ .*

*More precisely, there exists a bounded map*

$$Ext_d : W_{\deg=d}^{1,1}(S^1, S^1) \rightarrow \dot{W}^{1,2}(D^2, S^2)$$

*sending a boundary datum  $g_0$  to a function  $u_g$  as in (I.16) corresponding to a function  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  with  $g = g_0$  on  $S^1$ .*

*Proof.* Let's first assume that  $g_0 \in C^\infty(S^1, S^1)$  with  $\deg(g_0) = 0$ . Then there exists a lift  $\phi_0 \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$g_0 = e^{i\phi_0} \text{ on } S^1.$$

Let  $\phi$  be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi = 0 & \text{in } D^2 \\ \phi = \phi_0 & \text{on } \partial D^2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $a : \overline{D^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$\int_{D^2} a = 0 \text{ and } d\phi = *da$$

and set  $a_0 := a|_{S^1}$ . Let  $g = e^{i\phi}$  in  $D^2$  and let

$$u_g = \pi^{-1}(e^a g) = \pi^{-1}(e^{a+i\phi})$$

as in (I.16). Observe that since all functions considered so far are smooth, the map  $u_g$  (and its continuous extension to  $\overline{D^2}$ , which we will also denote by  $u_g$ ) does not take the south pole of  $S^2$  as a value.

Next let

$$A : \overline{D^2} \rightarrow S^2, \quad (r, \varphi) \mapsto (g_0(e^{i\varphi}), r\theta(\pi^{-1}(e^{a_0}g_0))), \quad (\text{II.36})$$

here the first coordinate of  $S^2$  is the azimuth angle as an element of  $S^1$ , while the second is the polar angle (measured in radians with respect to the north pole), and  $\theta(\pi^{-1}(e^{a_0}g_0))$  denotes the polar angle of the point  $\pi^{-1}(e^{a_0}g_0)$ .

Now  $A$  is a continuous function and it coincides with  $u_g$  on  $S^1$ . Let

$$S_+^2 := S^2 \cap \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_3 \geq 0\}, \quad S_-^2 := S^2 \cap \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_3 \leq 0\}$$

and let

$$\mu_+ : S_+^2 \rightarrow \overline{D^2}, \quad \mu_- : S_-^2 \rightarrow \overline{D^2}$$

be two smooth diffeomorphisms, the first orientation-preserving and the second orientation-reversing, both equal to the identity if restricted to a map from  $S^1$  to  $S^1$ .

Then the maps  $u_g \circ \mu_+$  and  $A \circ \mu_-$  can be glued to obtain a Lipschitz continuous map

$$F : S^2 \rightarrow S^2.$$

Observe that  $\deg(F) = 0$ , as the south pole of  $S^2$  does not belong to the image of  $F$ . Now let  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $C^\infty(S^2, S^2)$  so that  $F_n \rightarrow F$  in  $W^{1,2}(S^2, S^2)$  and so that  $\deg(F_n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{S^2} F_n^* vol_{S^2} = 0,$$

thus

$$\int_{S_+^2} F_n^* vol_{S^2} = - \int_{S_-^2} F_n^* vol_{S^2}.$$

Now

$$\int_{S_+^2} F_n^* vol_{S^2} \rightarrow \int_{S_+^2} (u_g \circ \mu_+)^* vol_{S^2} \text{ and } \int_{S_-^2} F_n^* vol_{S^2} \rightarrow \int_{S_-^2} (A \circ \mu_-)^* vol_{S^2}.$$

Now since  $u_g$  is holomorphic and  $\mu_+$  is orientation preserving,

$$\int_{S^2} \#(u_g \circ \mu_+)^{-1}(y) dy = \int_{S^2} \sum_{p_i \in (u_g \circ \mu_+)^{-1}(y)} \deg(p_i) dy = \int_{S^2} (u_g \circ \mu_+)^* vol_{S^2} = - \int_{S_-^2} (A \circ \mu_-)^* vol_{S^2}.$$

We also have

$$- \int_{S_-^2} (A \circ \mu_-)^* vol_{S^2} = - \deg(\mu_-) \int_{D^2} A^* vol_{S^2} \leq \int_{D^2} |JA|$$

To estimate the last term, let's introduce the following function:

$$\overline{A} : D^2 \rightarrow S^2, \quad (r, \theta) \mapsto (g_0, r\pi). \tag{II.37}$$

Then for any  $y \in S^2$

$$\#A^{-1}(y) \leq \#\overline{A}^{-1}(y).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{D^2} |JA| = \int_{S^2} \#A^{-1}(y) dy \leq \int_{S^2} \#\overline{A}^{-1}(y) dy = \int_{D^2} |J\overline{A}|.$$

One computes that  $|J\overline{A}(r, \theta)| \leq \pi^2 \partial_\theta g_0$ , therefore

$$\int_{D^2} |J\overline{A}| \leq \pi^2 \int_0^1 r \int_{S^1} |\partial_\theta g_0| = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)}$$

Since  $u_g$  is holomorphic, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u_g|^2 = \int_{D^2} \#u_g(y) dy \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)}. \tag{II.38}$$

Therefore the procedure described above induces a bounded map

$$Ext_0 : W_{\deg=0}^{1,1}(S^1, S^1) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2),$$

continuous at 0.

Next let's consider the case where  $g_0 \in C^\infty(S^1, S^1)$  and  $\deg(g_0) = d$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let

$$\tilde{g}_0 = \left( \frac{z}{|z|} \right)^{-d} g_0 \text{ on } S^1$$

and observe that  $\deg(\tilde{g}_0) = 0$ . As above we can find a smooth lift  $\tilde{\phi}_0$  of  $\tilde{g}_0$ .

Let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be its harmonic extension in  $D^2$  and set

$$g := \left( \frac{z}{|z|} \right)^d e^{i\tilde{\phi}}$$

Then  $g|_{S^1} = g_0$  and by Lemma (17)

$$\|\nabla g\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}}$$

for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $d$ . Notice that the corresponding function  $a_g$  in the decomposition (I.18) is given by

$$a_g = d \log|z| - \psi,$$

where  $\psi$  is the harmonic conjugate of  $\tilde{\phi}$ , therefore

$$\|\nabla a_g\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}}$$

for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $d$ .

Now let's define the map  $A$  as in (II.36). As above we set

$$u_g = \pi^{-1}(e^{a_g}) \text{ in } D^2.$$

Again the maps  $u_g \circ \mu_+$  and  $A \circ \mu_-$  can be glued to obtain a Lipschitz continuous map

$$F : S^2 \rightarrow S^2.$$

Now  $\deg(F) = d$  as one can see considering the preimages of point around the north pole (if  $d$  is negative) or the south pole (if  $d$  is positive).

Just as above, let  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $C^\infty(S^2, S^2)$  so that  $F_n \rightarrow F$  in  $W^{1,2}(S^2, S^2)$  and so that  $\deg(F_n) = d \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{S^2} F_n^* \text{vol}_{S^2} = d4\pi.$$

Therefore, arguing as above, we obtain

$$\int_{S^2} \#(u_g \circ \mu_+)^{-1}(y) dy \leq \int_{D^2} |JA| + 4\pi|d|.$$

As the estimate on of the right hand side in terms of the function  $\tilde{A}$  introduced in (II.37) still holds, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u_g|^2 = \int_{D^2} \#u_g(y) dy \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)} + 4\pi|d|.$$

Thus, even for a generic  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the above procedure induces a bounded map

$$\text{Ext}_d : W_{\deg=d}^{1,1}(S^1, S^1) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2), g_0 \mapsto u_g$$

Thus given a generic  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(S^1, S^1)$  the map  $Ext_{deg(g_0)}$  gives an element  $Ext(g_0)$  in  $W^{1,2}(D, S^2)$ . Nevertheless it is not immediately clear that there exists a map  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  such that  $g = g_0$  on  $S^1$  and  $Ext(g) = u_g$ .

To see this observe that for any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  the map

$$G_d : W_{deg=d}^{1,1}(S^1, S^1) \rightarrow \dot{W}^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1) \times \dot{W}^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, \mathbb{R}), \quad g_0 \mapsto (g, a)$$

constructed above is continuous.

Now for any  $g_0 \in W_d^{1,1}(S^1, S^1)$  let  $(g_0^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $C^\infty(S^1, S^1)$  with  $deg_{g_0^n} = d$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_0^n \rightarrow g_0$  in  $W^{1,1}$ . Then there exists a subsequence, indexed say by  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$G_d(g_0^n) \rightarrow G_d(g_0) \text{ and } Ext_d(g_0^n) \rightarrow Ext_d(g_0) \text{ a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ along } \Lambda.$$

As for any  $n \in \Lambda$

$$Ext_d(g_0^n) = u_{g_n},$$

there holds

$$Ext_d(g_0) = u_{G_d^1(g_0)}.$$

Moreover by construction we have

$$G_d^1(g_0)|_{S^1} = g_0.$$

□

Next we consider a sequence of function  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with fixed trace such that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   $g_k$  has precisely one topological singularity at a point  $p_k$ , with the points  $p_k$  converging to a point  $p \in \partial D^2$  as  $k$  tends to infinity.

More precisely, let

$$g_0 : \partial D^2 \rightarrow \partial D^2, \quad z \mapsto \bar{z}$$

and observe that

$$g^{-1} \partial_\theta g(z) = -i \text{ for any } z \in \partial D^2.$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$p_k := \left(-1 + \frac{1}{k}, 0\right)$$

and set

$$g_k(z) = \frac{z - p_k}{|z - p_k|} e^{i\phi_k} \text{ in } D^2,$$

where  $\phi_k$  is the harmonic extension in  $D^2$  of a lift of  $g_0 \frac{|z - p_k|}{z - p_k}$ .

Then

$$g_k|_{\partial D^2} = g_0.$$

We claim that the "renormalized Dirichlet Energy" of the functions  $g_k$  is uniformly bounded:

**Lemma 5.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\mathcal{E}(g_k) < C.$$

*Proof.* For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $a_k \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2)$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta a_k = 2\pi \delta_{p_k} & \text{in } D^2, \\ \partial_\nu a_k = ig^{-1} \partial_\theta g = 1 & \text{on } \partial D^2. \end{cases}$$

Then there holds

$$g_k^{-1} \nabla g_k = i \nabla^\perp a_k$$

in  $D^2$  by (II.35). Now clearly  $g_k|_{\partial D^2} = g_0$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover it is clear that the singular points  $p_k$  tend to  $p = (-1, 0) \in \partial D^2$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We are left to show that the "renormalized Dirichlet energy" of  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded.

By Lemma 9 the corresponding map

$$u_k := u_{g_k} = \pi^{-1} \circ (e^{a_k} g_k)$$

is conformal from  $D^2$  to  $S^2$ . We claim that  $u_k$  is injective for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We remark that the map  $u_k$  can be extended continuously up to the boundary  $\partial D^2$ . Denote by  $\overline{u}_k : \overline{D^2} \rightarrow S^2$  the continuous extension of  $u_k$ . Since  $a_k$  (which can also be extended continuously to  $\partial D^2$ ) is bounded on  $\partial D^2$ ,  $\overline{u}_k|_{\partial D^2}$  has the following representation in polar coordinates:

$$\overline{u}_k(e^{i\theta}) = (\theta, \phi(\theta), 1)$$

for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and for some  $\phi(\theta) \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . Moreover we know that  $a_k$  can be represented as

$$a_k(x) = \log(|x - p_k|) + \psi_k(x),$$

where  $\psi_k \in C^\infty(D^2) \cap C^0(\overline{D^2})$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \psi_k &= 0 \text{ in } D^2 \\ \partial_\nu \psi_k &= \partial_\nu a_k + \log(|x - p_k|) = 1 + \log(|x - p_k|) \text{ on } \partial D^2. \end{cases}$$

In particular  $a_k$  is bounded from above in  $\overline{D^2}$ , therefore  $N \notin \text{Im}(u_k)$ , where  $N$  denotes the north pole in  $S^2$ .

Let

$$\pi_N : S^2 \setminus \{N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

denote the stereographic projection centered at the south pole. Then

$$\pi_N \circ \overline{u}_k : \overline{D^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a conformal map and its restriction to  $\partial D^2$  is injective. In particular

$$\gamma_k := \pi_N \circ \overline{u}_k|_{\partial D^2}$$

is a Jordan curve and we observe that

$$\text{Im}(\gamma_k) = \partial \text{Im}(\pi_N \circ u_k(D^2)).$$

In fact

$$\pi_N \circ u_k(\overline{D^2}) = \overline{\pi_N \circ u_k(D^2)}$$

by closedness and continuity of  $u_k$  and

$$\partial(\pi_N \circ u_k(D^2)) \subset \pi_N \circ u_k(\partial D^2)$$

by the open mapping theorem. Since  $\pi_N \circ u_k(\partial D^2)$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1$ , Lemma 18 implies that

$$\text{Im}(\gamma_k) = \pi_N \circ u_k(\partial D^2) = \partial(\pi_N \circ u_k(D^2)).$$

By the open mapping theorem  $\pi_S \circ \overline{u_k}(D^2)$  is open; it is also simply connected, thus, by Riemann mapping theorem there exists a biholomorphic map

$$\phi : \pi_N \circ \overline{u_k}(D^2) \rightarrow D^2.$$

By Carathéodory's theorem  $\phi$  extends continuously to a bijective map

$$\overline{\phi} : \pi_N \circ \overline{u_k}(\overline{D^2}) \rightarrow \overline{D^2},$$

and  $\overline{\phi}|_{\partial(\pi_N \circ \overline{u_k}(\overline{D^2}))}$  is injective. Thus we get a map

$$\omega_k := \overline{\phi} \circ \pi_N \circ \overline{u_k} : \overline{D^2} \rightarrow \overline{D^2}$$

which is holomorphic in  $D^2$ , continuous in  $\overline{D^2}$  and injective on  $\partial D^2$ . We claim that  $\omega|_{D^2}$  is injective. First notice that  $\omega_k$  can be extended to a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood of  $\overline{D^2}$  (for this observe that the boundary  $\partial D^2$  is mapped to  $\partial D^2$  by  $\omega_k$ , thus we can apply Schwarz's reflection principle). Let  $x \in D^2$  and let  $y := \omega_k(x)$ . Then by the argument principle

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D^2} \frac{\omega'_k(z)}{\omega_k(z) - y} dz = \{\text{number of zeros of } \omega_k - y \text{ in } D^2\}. \quad (\text{II.39})$$

On the other hand the expression in (II.39) equals the winding number of  $\omega_k|_{\partial D^2}$  around  $y$ . Since  $\omega_k|_{\partial D^2}$  is a Jordan curve, it has to be equal to 1, thus  $x$  is the only point in  $D^2$  such that  $\omega_k(x) = y$ . We conclude that  $\omega_k$  is injective, therefore the map  $u_k$  is also injective.

Since  $u_k$  is injective and conformal we know that the Dirichlet energy of  $u_k$  is bounded by the area of  $S^2$ . In particular the family  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2)$ . Since  $b_{g_k} = 0$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  this concludes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

From the previous Lemma follows that there exists a subsequence of  $(u_{g_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging weakly in  $W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2)$ , in particular, if we denote  $u$  the weak limit of such a subsequence

$$\int_{D^2} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u_{g_k}|^2$$

where the limes inferior on the right hand side is taken along the subsequence.

Now we claim that when we consider a sequence of function like  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , whose topological singularities approach  $\partial D^2$ , the sequence of the Dirichlet Energies of the corresponding functions  $u_g$  tends to the Dirichlet Energy of the limit  $u$ . We illustrate this with an example.

**Lemma 6.** *Let*

$$g_0 : \partial D^2 \rightarrow S^1, z \mapsto 1$$

and let

$$\tau : D^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

a biholomorphic map extending continuously to a bijection from  $\overline{D^2} \setminus \{(0, 1)\}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ .

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$p_k = \left( \frac{1}{k}, 0 \right)$$

and let  $g_k \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  so that

$$g_k \circ \tau^{-1}(z) = \frac{z - p_k}{|z - p_k|} \frac{z + p_k}{|z + p_k|}.$$

Then  $g_k$  has a unique topological singularity in  $\tau^{-1}(p_k)$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{-1}(p_k) = \tau^{-1}((0, 0)) \in \partial D^2$$

and

$$g_k|_{\partial D^2} = g_0.$$

Let  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  so that

$$g \circ \tau^{-1}(z) = \left( \frac{z}{|z|} \right)^2.$$

Then

$$u_{g^k} \circ \tau^{-1}(z) = \pi^{-1} \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right), \quad u_g \circ \tau^{-1}(z) = z^2 \text{ in } D^2.$$

Moreover

$$\nabla(g_k) \rightarrow \nabla g \text{ weakly-}^* \text{ in } L^{2,\infty}(D^2)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(g_k) = \mathcal{E}(g)$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$g_k|_{\partial D^2} = g_0$$

and  $g_k$  has a unique topological singularity in  $\tau^{-1}(p_k)$ . Moreover it is also easy to see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{-1}(p_k) = \tau^{-1}((0, 0)) \in \partial D^2$$

and that

$$\nabla(g_k) \rightarrow \nabla g \text{ weakly-}^* \text{ in } L^{2,\infty}(D^2).$$

Now for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$g_k^{-1} \nabla g_k(z) = i \log' |\tau(z) - p_k| \nabla^\perp \tau(z) + i \log' |\tau(z) + p_k| \nabla^\perp \tau(z),$$

thus

$$a_{g_k}(z) = \log |\tau(z) - p_k| + \log |\tau(z) + p_k|$$

and

$$g_k(z) e^{a_k(z)} = (\tau(z) - p_k)(\tau(z) + p_k) = \tau(z)^2 - \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

The same argument works for  $g$ .

Now to show the convergence of the "renormalized Dirichlet Energies" we observe that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(g_k) &= 2 \int_{D^2} f(a_{g_k}) |\nabla a_{g_k}|^2 \\ &= 2 \int_{D^2} \frac{|\tau(z) - p_k|^2 |\tau(z) + p_k|^2}{(1 + |\tau(z) - p_k|^2 |\tau(z) + p_k|^2)^2} \left( \frac{\tau(z) - p_k}{|\tau(z) - p_k|} \nabla \tau + \frac{\tau(z) + p_k}{|\tau(z) + p_k|} \nabla \tau \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{II.40}$$

By Dominated Convergence we can pass to the limit in (II.40), and so we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(g_k) = \mathcal{E}(g).$$

□

### III Proof of Theorem I.1 and Theorem I.2

In this section we provide a proof of Theorem I.1 and Theorem I.2.

Theorem I.2 follows from the a priori estimate on the number of topological singularities given by Lemma 7. The result of Theorem I.2 is then applied to prove Theorem I.1.

**Lemma 7 (A priori estimate on the number of singularities).** *Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  let  $p_i \in \overline{D^2}$  and  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that*

$$\int_{\partial D^2} g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i = 0. \quad (\text{III.41})$$

Let  $a \in W^{1,p}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  for some  $p > 1$  and assume that for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla a \nabla \phi = \int_{S^1} \phi g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \pi \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \phi(p_i). \quad (\text{III.42})$$

Let

$$f(x) := \frac{e^{2x}}{(1 + e^{2x})^2} \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \frac{1}{2} \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)} + \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 dx.$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent from  $a$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $g_0 \in C^\infty(\partial D^2, S^1)$  and that none of the points  $p_i$  lies on  $\partial D^2$ . By Lemma 3 we know that  $a$  can be written as

$$a = \tilde{a} + \Phi,$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  is harmonic and smooth up to  $\partial D^2$  and

$$\Phi(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \log|x - p_i|.$$

We claim that for any open subset  $A \subset D^2$  with piecewise smooth boundary, there holds

$$- \int_{\partial A} \partial_\nu a = 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in A}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial A}}^Q d_i. \quad (\text{III.43})$$

To prove the claim we first observe that since  $\tilde{a}$  is harmonic,

$$\int_{\partial A} \partial_\nu \tilde{a} = \int_A \Delta \tilde{a} = 0.$$

Now for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  set

$$A_\varepsilon = A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}} B_\varepsilon(p_i).$$

Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there holds

$$0 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} \Delta \Phi = \int_{\partial A_\varepsilon} \partial_\nu \Phi.$$

From computations (II.33) and (II.34) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial A_\varepsilon} \partial_\nu \Phi = \int_{\partial A} \partial_\nu \Phi + 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i$$

This concludes the proof of the claim.

Since  $a \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2} \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_Q\})$ , for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the set

$$A_t := \{x \in D^2; a(x) > t\}$$

is an open subset  $A \subset D^2$  with piecewise smooth boundary, therefore the claim above implies

$$-\int_{\partial A_t} \partial_\nu a = 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in A_t}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial A_t}}^Q d_i.$$

Now

$$\partial A_t = a^{-1}(t) \cup (\partial D^2 \cap A_t),$$

thus

$$-\int_{a^{-1}(t)} \partial_\nu a = -\int_{\partial D^2 \cap A_t} \partial_\nu a + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i.$$

From (I.18) follows that

$$\partial_\nu a = -ig^{-1} \partial_\theta g = -ig_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0$$

on  $\partial D^2$  away from the points  $p_1, \dots, p_Q$ . Thus

$$-\int_{a^{-1}(t)} \partial_\nu a = i \int_{\partial D^2 \cap A_t} g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i$$

Since the derivative of  $a$  vanishes along  $a^{-1}(t)$ , on  $a^{-1}(t)$  there holds

$$-\partial_\nu a = |\nabla a|$$

(here  $\nu$  is the outer normal vector of the set  $A_t$ ). Therefore, for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i \leq \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)} + \int_{a^{-1}(t)} \partial_\nu a. \quad (\text{III.44})$$

Next since  $a \in W^{1,1}$ , by Theorem 11 in [7] there exists a representative of  $a$  for which the co-area formula holds. For such a representative there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{a^{-1}(t)} f(a(x)) |\nabla a(x)| d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \right) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \left( \int_{a^{-1}(t)} |\nabla a(x)| d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.45})$$

Now observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2t}}{(1+e^{2t})^2} dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore multiplying both sides of (III.44) by  $f(t)$  and integrating on  $\mathbb{R}$  we obtain

$$\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \frac{1}{2} \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \left( \int_{a^{-1}(t)} \partial_\nu a \right) dt.$$

Thus by (III.45)

$$\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i + \frac{1}{2} \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}}^Q d_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1(S^1)} + \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 dx.$$

This concludes the proof under the assumption that  $g_0 \in C^\infty(\partial D^2, S^1)$ .

Next consider the case where  $g_0$  is a generic element of  $W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$  but no topological singularity lies on  $\partial D^2$ , i.e.

$$R := \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}} |p_i| < 1.$$

Since  $a$  is smooth in  $D^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_Q\}$ , arguing as above we see that for any  $r \in (R, 1)$

$$\int_{a^{-1}(t) \cap D_r^2} |\nabla a| = - \int_{a^{-1}(t) \cap D_r^2} \partial_\nu a = \int_{\partial D_r^2 \cap A_t} \partial_\nu a + 2\pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0 \\ p_i \in D_r^2}}^Q d_i. \quad (\text{III.46})$$

To obtain an estimate like (III.44) it is enough to show that

$$\partial_\nu a|_{\partial D_r^2} \rightarrow \partial_\nu a|_{\partial D^2} \text{ in } L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}). \quad (\text{III.47})$$

To show (III.47) we apply again Lemma 3 to write

$$a = \tilde{a} + \Phi.$$

Now since no topological singularity lies on the boundary, it is easy to see that

$$\partial_\nu \Phi|_{\partial D_r^2} \rightarrow \partial_\nu \Phi|_{\partial D^2} \text{ in } L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}).$$

On the other hand, since  $\tilde{a}$  is harmonic, for any  $r \in (0, 1)$  there holds

$$\partial_\nu \tilde{a}(r, \theta) = \tilde{a}|_{S^1} * \partial_r P_r(\theta) = \frac{1}{r} \tilde{a}|_{S^1} * H \partial_\theta P_r(\theta) = -\frac{1}{r} H \partial_\theta \tilde{a}|_{S^1} * P_r(\theta).$$

Now since

$$H \partial_\theta \tilde{a}|_{S^1} = \partial_\nu \tilde{a} = g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \partial_\nu \log|x - p_i| \in L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$$

there holds

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \partial_\nu \tilde{a}(r, \theta) = \partial_\nu \tilde{a}|_{\partial D^2} \text{ in } L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}).$$

This concludes the proof of (III.47), which in turns allows to pass to the limit  $r \rightarrow 1^-$  in (III.46).

Therefore the proof can be concluded as in the previous case.

It is now possible to prove the Lemma in the general case: given a function  $a$  satisfying (III.41) and (III.42) with  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ , one can approximate the corresponding function  $g$  with a sequence of functions  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with same trace but with no topological singularities on  $\partial D^2$  and then pass to the limit as in Lemma 6. □

From the previous result we deduce the following estimate

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $a$  be as in Lemma 7. There exists a constant  $C$ , independent from  $a$  such that*

$$\|\nabla a\|_{L^{2,\infty}(D^2)} \leq C \left( \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)} + \|\nabla u_g\|_{L^2(D^2, S^2)} \right) \quad (\text{III.48})$$

*Proof.* Let  $X \in L^{2,1}(D^2)$  be a vector field. Then by Lemma VI.1 there exist functions  $\eta \in W^{1,(2,1)}(D^2)$ ,  $\xi \in W_0^{1,(2,1)}(D^2)$  such that

$$\|\nabla \eta\|_{W^{1,2}(D^2)} \leq \|X\|_{L^{2,1}}$$

and

$$X = \nabla \eta + \nabla^\perp \xi.$$

Therefore there holds

$$\int_{D^2} X \nabla a = \int_{D^2} \nabla \eta \nabla a + \int_{D^2} \nabla^\perp \xi \nabla a = \int_{D^2} \nabla \eta \nabla a = \int_{\partial D^2} \eta g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \eta(p_i).$$

Here we used the fact that since  $\xi$  has vanishing trace on  $\partial D^2$ , integrating by parts (more precisely: approximating  $\xi$  and  $a$  by smooth functions and integrating by parts the corresponding expression) we get

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla^\perp \xi \nabla a = 0.$$

Now we recall that  $W^{1,(2,1)}(D^2)$  embeds continuously in  $L^\infty(D^2)$ . Moreover we know from Lemma 7 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q |d_i| \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i > 0}}^q d_i + \int_{\partial D^2} g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 \leq C \left( \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 dx + \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}(\partial D^2)} \right)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Therefore there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{X \in L^{2,1}(D^2) \\ \|X\|_{L^{2,1}(D^2)} \leq 1}} \int_{D^2} X \nabla a &\leq \|\eta\|_{L^\infty} \|g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0\|_{L^1} + C \left( \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 dx + \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}(\partial D^2)} \right) \|\eta\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 dx + \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}(\partial D^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

*Proof of Theorem I.1.* 1. Let's consider first the case where

$$b_{g_k} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that  $g_k$  and  $a_{g_k}$  are smooth away from the topological singularities.

We claim that there is a subsequence of  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , say indexed by  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ , and elements  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$ ,  $a \in W^{1,p}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b \in W_0^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} a_{g_k} = a \text{ a.e. and } \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} \nabla a_{g_k} = \nabla a \text{ weakly-} * \text{ in } L_{loc}^p(D^2), \quad (\text{III.49})$$

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} g_k = g \text{ a.e., and } \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} \nabla g_k = \nabla g \text{ weakly in } L_{loc}^p(D^2) \quad (\text{III.50})$$

and

$$g^{-1} \nabla g = i \nabla^\perp a \quad (\text{III.51})$$

First observe that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $r_n \in (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1)$  and a subsequence indexed by  $\Lambda_n \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_k$  has no topological singularities on  $\partial D_{r_n}^2$  for any  $k \in \Lambda_n$  and

$$\sup_{k \in \Lambda_n} \int_{\partial D_{r_n}^2} |\nabla g_k| < \infty.$$

Thus by Lemma 7 the number of singularities in  $D_{r_n}$  of  $a_k$  is uniformly bounded for  $k \in \Lambda_n$ . In particular, if we write

$$a_k = \tilde{a}_k + \Phi_k$$

as in Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence indexed by  $\Lambda'_n \subset \Lambda_n$  such that for any  $k \in \Lambda'_n$

$$\Phi_k(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \log|x - p_i^k| \text{ for any } x \in D_{r_n}^2,$$

where  $Q$  and  $d_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  do not depend on  $k$ , and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  there exists a point  $p_i \in \overline{D_{r_n}^2}$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'_n}} p_i^k = p_i.$$

Since  $L^{2,\infty}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R}) = (L^{2,1}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R}))^*$  and  $L^{2,1}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R})$  is separable, by Banach-Alaoglu

$$\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi^n \text{ a.e. on } D_{r_n}^2 \text{ and } \nabla \Phi_k \rightarrow \nabla \Phi^n \text{ weakly-} * \text{ in } L^{2,\infty}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ along } \Lambda'_n,$$

where

$$\Phi^n(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \log|x - p_i| \text{ for any } x \in D_{r_n}^2.$$

Next we observe that, up to subsequences,  $(\tilde{a}_k)_{k \in \Lambda_n}$  converges weakly in  $W^{1,p}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R})$  and a.e..

In fact, for any  $k \in \Lambda_n$ ,  $\tilde{a}_k$  solves the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \tilde{a}_k = 0 & \text{in } D_{r_n}^2 \\ \tilde{a}_k = H\phi_k + \Phi_k|_{\partial D_{r_n}^2} - \int_{\partial D^2} \Phi|_{\partial D_{r_n}^2} & \text{on } \partial D_{r_n}^2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_k \in W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R})$  is a lift of  $g_k|_{\partial D_{r_n}^2}$  such that

$$g|_{\partial D_r^2} = e^{i\phi} \text{ on } \partial D^2 \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial D_r^2, \mathbb{R})} \leq C \|g|_{\partial D_r^2}\|_{W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial D_r^2, \mathbb{R})}$$

(here and in the following,  $C$  denotes a positive constant independent from the functions involved).

In particular, the sequence  $(\tilde{a}_k)_{k \in \Lambda_n}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R})$ , therefore there exists a subsequence converging weakly in  $W^{1,p}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  and a.e.. Let's denote  $\tilde{a}_n$  its limit and let

$$a_n := \tilde{a}_n + \Phi^n.$$

Then, up to a subsequence,

$$a_{g_k} \rightarrow a_n \text{ weakly in } W^{1,p}(D_{r_n}^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and a.e.}$$

Extracting a diagonal subsequence (with respect to  $n$ ) we can find a subsequence so that (III.49) holds.

We remark that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $a_n$  has only a finite number of singularities in  $D_{r_n}^2$ .

Next we observe that as  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$ , there is a subsequence, say indexed by  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  (where  $\Lambda$  is as in (III.49) and  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} g_k = g \text{ a.e., and } \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} g_k = g \text{ weakly in } W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} g_k^{-1} \nabla g_k = g^{-1} \nabla g \text{ weakly in } L^p(D^2, \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Now since for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there holds

$$g_k^{-1} \nabla g_k = i \nabla^\perp a_k,$$

(III.49) implies

$$g^{-1} \nabla g = i \nabla^\perp a.$$

This concludes the proof of (III.50) and (III.51).

Observe that since for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $a^n$  has only a finite number of singularities in  $D_{r_n}^2$ , by (III.51)  $g$  has isolated singularities.

Next we claim that there exists a subsequence of  $(g_k)_\Lambda$ , say indexed by  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(g) \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \tilde{\Lambda}}} \mathcal{E}(g_k).$$

To see this we observe that by condition (I.1), there is a subsequence, say indexed by  $\tilde{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ , and a map  $u \in W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2)$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \tilde{\Lambda}}} u_{g_k} = u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2) \text{ and a.e.,}$$

and by lower sequentially semi continuity

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \tilde{\Lambda}}} \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u_{g_k}|^2.$$

Since for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u_{g_k} = \pi^{-1}(g_k e^{a_k}),$$

the pointwise convergence of  $(g_k)_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ ,  $(a_k)_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$  and  $(u_{g_k})_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$  implies that

$$u = \pi^{-1}(g e^a),$$

therefore

$$\mathcal{E}(g) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} \mathcal{E}(g_k).$$

Let's consider now the case where  $b_{g_k}$  might be non-zero.

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\tilde{g}_k = g_k e^{-ib_{g_k}}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{g}_k^{-1} \nabla \tilde{g}_k = g_k^{-1} \nabla b_{g_k} - ib_{g_k}.$$

Thus

$$a_{\tilde{g}_k} = a_{g_k}, \quad b_{\tilde{g}_k} = 0.$$

By the argument above there exists a sequence indexed by  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$  and elements  $a \in W^{1,p}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\tilde{g} \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  such that

$$\nabla \tilde{g}_n \rightarrow \nabla \tilde{g} \text{ weakly in } W^{1,p}(D^2) \text{ and a.e..}$$

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} a_{g_k} = a \text{ a.e. and } \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} \nabla a_{g_k} = \nabla a \text{ weakly-}^* \text{ in } L^p_{loc}(D^2, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}_k). \quad (\text{III.52})$$

and

$$g^{-1} \nabla g = i \nabla^\perp a.$$

By Lemma 2, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} f(a_{g_k}) |\nabla a_{g_k}|^2.$$

Therefore (III.52) implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} f(a_{g_k}) |\nabla a_{g_k}|^2.$$

Moreover, since  $(b_k)_{k \in \Lambda}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists  $b \in W_0^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  and a subsequence indexed by  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} b_k = b \text{ in } L^2(D^2, \mathbb{R}), \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and a.e..}$$

Then there holds

$$\int_{D^2} (f(a) + 1) |\nabla b|^2 \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} (f(a_{g_k}) + 1) |\nabla b_{g_k}|^2.$$

Setting

$$g = \tilde{g} e^{ib}$$

there holds  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$ ,

$$a_g = a, \quad b_g = b,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, k \in \Lambda'} \nabla g_k = \nabla g \text{ weakly in } L^p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(g) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} f(a) |\nabla a|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} (f(a) + 1) |\nabla b|^2 \\
&\leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{D^2} f(a_{g_k}) |\nabla a_{g_k}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} (f(a_{g_k}) + 1) |\nabla b_{g_k}|^2 \\
&= \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} \mathcal{E}(g_k).
\end{aligned}$$

2. In order to prove the second part of the Theorem let first write

$$a_{g_k} = \tilde{a}_k + \Phi_k$$

as in Lemma 3 for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Observe that by Lemma 7 and assumption (I.23) there exists a subsequence, say indexed by  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$\Phi_k(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \log|x - p_i^k| \text{ for any } x \in D^2,$$

where  $Q$  and  $d_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  do not depend on  $k$ , and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$  there exists a point  $p_i \in \overline{D^2}$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda}} p_i^k = p_i.$$

Thus for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi \text{ in } H^{1-\varepsilon}(D^2, S^1) \text{ and a.e.,}$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^Q d_i \log|x - p_i| \text{ for any } x \in D^2.$$

Then, up to a subsequence,

$$g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \partial_\nu \Phi_k|_{\partial D^2} \rightarrow g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \partial_\nu \Phi|_{\partial D^2} \text{ in } H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\partial D^2, \mathbb{R}) \quad (\text{III.53})$$

for any  $\varepsilon$ .

Observe that  $\partial_\nu \Phi_k|_{\partial D^2}$  or  $\partial_\nu \Phi|_{\partial D^2}$  might not be well defined if some topological singularities lie on  $\partial D^2$ . In this case (III.53) remains true if we set

$$\partial_\nu \Phi_k|_{\partial D^2} = - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in D^2}}^Q d_i \partial_\nu \log|x - p_i^k| + \pi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i \in \partial D^2}} d_i \delta_{p_i} \text{ on } \partial D^2$$

and if we define  $\partial_\nu \Phi|_{\partial D^2}$  similarly on  $\partial D^2$ . Thus for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{a}_k = \tilde{a} \text{ in } H^{1-\varepsilon}(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and a.e.}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H} \tilde{a}_k = \mathcal{H} \tilde{a} \text{ in } H^{1-\varepsilon}(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and a.e.}$$

up to a subsequence, for the harmonic function  $\tilde{a} \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying

$$\partial_\nu \tilde{a} = g_0^{-1} \partial_\theta g_0 + \partial_\nu \Phi \text{ on } \partial D^2.$$

Set

$$a := \tilde{a} + \Phi.$$

Then  $a$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3 and, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} a_k = a.$$

Next we observe that there exists a further subsequence, say indexed by  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ , with the following properties:

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} u_{g_k} \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2) \text{ and a.e., } \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} b_k \rightarrow b \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and a.e.}$$

for some functions  $u \in W^{1,2}(D^2, S^2)$  and  $b \in W_0^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla b|^2 \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} \mathcal{E}(g_k), \quad (\text{III.54})$$

Now by Corollary 1 for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} g_k = g \text{ in } H^{1-\varepsilon}(D^2, S^1) \text{ and a.e.,}$$

where  $g$  is the function corresponding to  $a$  provided by Lemma 1. In particular

$$a = a_g.$$

Passing to a further subsequence if necessary we can also assume that

$$\nabla g_k \rightarrow \nabla g \text{ weakly-} * \text{ in } L^{2,\infty}(D^2) \quad (\text{III.55})$$

by Banach-Alaoglu Theorem. Moreover observe that by continuity of the trace

$$g|_{\partial D^2} = g_0.$$

Now since for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u_k = \pi^{-1}(e^{a_k} g_k)$$

Since for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there holds

$$g_k^{-1} \nabla g_k = i \nabla^\perp a_k + i \nabla b_k.$$

Since for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u_{g_k} = \pi^{-1}(g_k e^{a_k}),$$

the pointwise convergence of  $(g_k)_{k \in \Lambda'}$ ,  $(a_k)_{k \in \Lambda'}$  and  $(u_{g_k})_{k \in \Lambda'}$  implies that

$$u = \pi^{-1}(g e^a),$$

therefore  $u = u_g$  and (III.54) implies that

$$\mathcal{E}(g) \leq \liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ k \in \Lambda'}} \mathcal{E}(g_k) = u_g.$$

Therefore it follows from (III.54) and (III.55) that

$$\mathcal{E}(g) \leq \liminf_{k' \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}(g_{k'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla g_{k'} \rightarrow \nabla g \quad \text{weakly-} * \text{ in } L_{loc}^{2,\infty}(D^2).$$

□

## IV Proof of Theorem I.2

In this section we prove Theorem I.2. We divide the proof in three steps, corresponding to the three following Lemmas.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  be as in Definition I.1. Assume that*

$$\mathcal{E}(g) < \infty. \quad (\text{IV.56})$$

*Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $g$  is an  $S^1$ -harmonic map,
2.  $b_g = 0$  in  $D^2$ ,
3.  $u_g$  is conformal.

*Proof.* Assume first that  $g$  is  $S^1$ -harmonic. We claim that  $b_g = 0$ . In fact, by assumption,

$$d^*(g^{-1}dg) = 0.$$

Plugging in the decomposition (I.18) we obtain

$$d^*(i * da_g + idb_g) = 0.$$

Now since

$$d^* * da_g = 0,$$

$b_g$  solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta b_g = d^* db_g = 0 & \text{in } D^2 \\ b_g = 0 & \text{on } \partial D^2. \end{cases} \quad (\text{IV.57})$$

Since  $b_g \in H^1(D^2)$  we conclude that  $b_g = 0$ .

We now show the converse: assume that  $b_g = 0$ . Then

$$g^{-1}dg = i * da.$$

Therefore

$$d^*(g^{-1}dg) = id^*( * da) = 0.$$

We conclude that  $g$  is an  $S^1$ -harmonic map.

Next we show that  $b_g = 0$  if and only if  $u_g$  is conformal.

Assume first that  $b_g = 0$ . Then

$$g^{-1}dg = i * da.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{cases} g^{-1}\partial_x g = -i\partial_y a_g \\ g^{-1}\partial_y g = i\partial_x a_g. \end{cases} \quad (\text{IV.58})$$

Observe that

$$Du_g = D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g}g)e^{a_g}(\nabla g + g\nabla a) \quad (\text{IV.59})$$

Therefore (IV.58) implies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x g + g \partial_x a_g = -i(\partial_y g + g \partial_y a_g) \\ (\partial_x g + g \partial_x a_g) \cdot (\partial_y g + g \partial_y a_g) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{IV.60})$$

Therefore, for almost any  $p \in D^2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x u_g(p)|^2 &= |D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g(p)} g(p)) e^{a_g(p)} (\partial_{x_1} g(p) + g(p) \partial_{x_1} a_g(p))|^2 \\ &= |D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g(p)} g(p)) e^{a_g(p)} (-i)(\partial_{x_2} g(p) + g(p) \partial_{x_2} a_g(p))|^2 = |\partial_{x_2} u_g(p)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_1} u_g(p) \cdot \partial_{x_2} u_g(p) &= D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g(p)} g(p)) (\partial_{x_1} g(p) + g(p) \partial_{x_1} a_g(p)) \cdot D\pi^{-1}(e^{a_g(p)} g(p)) (\partial_{x_2} g(p) + g(p) \partial_{x_2} a_g(p)) \\ &= f(a_g) ((\partial_{x_1} g(p) + g(p) \partial_{x_1} a_g(p)) \cdot (\partial_{x_2} g(p) + g(p) \partial_{x_2} a_g(p))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the map  $u_g$  is weakly conformal and thus conformal.

Finally we claim that if  $u_g$  is conformal, then  $b_g = 0$ .

To see this we observe that since  $u_g$  is conformal, by (IV.59) there holds (IV.60). This in turn implies (IV.58), which is equivalent to

$$g^{-1} dg = i * da.$$

We conclude that  $b_g = 0$ . □

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $g \in W^{1,p}(D^2, S^1)$  for some  $p > 1$  with finite "renormalized Dirichlet Energy". Let*

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{e^{2x}}{(1 + e^{2x})^2}.$$

*Then  $g$  is a critical point of the "renormalized Dirichlet Energy" for smooth variations in the target, that is*

$$\forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{E}(g e^{it\psi}) = 0, \quad (\text{IV.61})$$

*if and only if*

$$\operatorname{div} \left( -i f(a_g) g^{-1} \nabla g + \frac{1}{4} \nabla b_g \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(D^2, \mathbb{R})$ . For any  $t > 0$  let

$$g_t = g e^{it\psi}.$$

Then

$$dg_t = dg e^{it\psi} + itg e^{it\psi} d\psi.$$

Therefore

$$g_t^{-1} dg_t = g^{-1} dg + itd\psi = i * da_g + idb_g + itd\psi.$$

Therefore it follows from (II.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(g_t) - \mathcal{E}(g) &= \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (|\nabla g_t|^2 - |\nabla g|^2) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{D^2} |\nabla b_g + t\nabla\psi|^2 - |\nabla b_g|^2 \\ &= t \int_{D^2} f(a_g) (2 \langle g^{-1}\nabla g, i\nabla\psi \rangle + t|\nabla\psi|^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}t \int_{D^2} 2 \langle \nabla b_g, \nabla\psi \rangle + t|\nabla\psi|^2\end{aligned}\tag{IV.62}$$

and we get

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{E}(g_t) = 2 \int_{D^2} f(a_g) \langle g^{-1}\nabla g, i\nabla\psi \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \nabla b_g, \nabla\psi \rangle.$$

Therefore  $g$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{E}$  (with respect to the variations introduced in (IV.61)) if and only if

$$\operatorname{div} \left( -i f(a_g) g^{-1}\nabla g + \frac{1}{4} \nabla b_g \right).$$

□

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $g$  and  $f$  be as in Lemma 10. Then  $b_g$  satisfies the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \left( -i f(a_g) g^{-1}\nabla g + \frac{1}{4} \nabla b_g \right) & \text{in } D^2 \\ b_g = 0 & \text{on } \partial D^2 \end{cases}\tag{IV.63}$$

if and only if

$$b_g \text{ on } D^2.$$

Therefore  $b_g$  satisfies (IV.63) if and only if  $g$  satisfies the  $S^1$ -harmonic map equation (I.9).

*Proof.* Plugging the Hodge decomposition (I.18) into (IV.63) we obtain

$$\operatorname{div} \left( -i f(a_g) g^{-1}\nabla g + \frac{1}{4} \nabla b_g \right) = \operatorname{div} \left( f(a_g) (\nabla^\perp a_g + \nabla b_g) + \frac{1}{4} \nabla b_g \right).$$

Recall that

$$\operatorname{div} (f(a_g) \nabla^\perp a_g) = 0$$

(see (II.30)).

Therefore the Cauchy problem (IV.63) is equivalent to the following one:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \left( f(a_g) \nabla b_g + \frac{1}{4} \nabla b_g \right) & \text{in } D^2 \\ b_g = 0 & \text{on } \partial D^2. \end{cases}\tag{IV.64}$$

We claim that the only solution in  $W^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$  of (IV.64) is  $b_g = 0$  on  $D^2$ .

In fact the equation (IV.64) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy

$$E : W_0^{1,2}(D^2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h \mapsto \int_{D^2} \left( f(a_g) + \frac{1}{4} \right) |\nabla h|^2.$$

As the energy  $E$  is convex, it has a single critical point, which has to be  $b_g = 0$  in  $D^2$ .

We conclude that  $b_g$  is a solution of (IV.63) if and only if  $b_g = 0$  in  $D^2$ .

By Lemma 9, this is equivalent to say that  $g$  satisfies the  $S^1$ -harmonic map equation (I.9). □

**Remark 1.** One could also consider variations of the type

$$g_t = \frac{g + t\phi}{|g + t\phi|}$$

where  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ .

Nevertheless the energy  $\mathcal{E}(g_t)$  might not be finite for some choices of  $\phi$ . By requiring  $g$  to have isolated topological singularities in  $D^2$  and by choosing  $\phi$  to be supported away from the topological singularities of  $g$  one can obtain a result analogous to Theorem I.3.

## V Applications

### V.1 The Lagrangian-Willmore Energy

#### V.1.1 The hamiltonian stationary condition and Schoen-Wolfson isolated singularities

Let

$$\omega := dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + dx_2 \wedge dy_2$$

be the standard symplectic form in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  compatible with the standard complex structure  $J_0$  such that  $J_0 \partial_{x_k} = \partial_{y_k}$ . We shall denote  $dz_k = dx_k + i dy_k$ . An immersion  $G$  from a surface  $\Sigma$  into  $(\mathbb{R}^4, \omega)$  is called lagrangian if

$$G^*\omega = 0 .$$

This condition is equivalent to the fact that  $J_0$  realizes an isometry between the tangent plane to the immersion and the normal plane. A short computation gives the existence of a map  $\mathbf{g}$  from  $\Sigma$  into  $S^1$  such that

$$G^*dz_1 \wedge dz_2 = \mathbf{g} \, dvol_G$$

where  $dvol_G$  denotes the volume form associated to the induced metric  $G^*g_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ . The map  $\mathbf{g}$  is called the *Lagrangian angle function*.

Consider conformal coordinates for  $G$  with respect to  $G^*g_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ , with  $G^*g_{\mathbb{R}^4} = e^{2\lambda} dx^2$  then a direct computation gives that

$$i \Delta G = i^{-1} \mathbf{g}^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla G \iff \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{g} \nabla G) = 0$$

We also deduce the following expression for the mean curvature vector

$$\vec{H}_G := 2^{-1} e^{-2\lambda} \Delta G = -2^{-1} e^{-2\lambda} \mathbf{g}^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla G \tag{V.1}$$

The vector-fields which preserve the Lagrangian condition infinitesimally in the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  are *Hamiltonian vectorfields* of the form

$$X = J_0 \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^4} f = (-\partial_{y_1} f, \partial_{x_1} f, -\partial_{y_2} f, \partial_{x_2} f)$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary function. Thus the condition for being a critical points of the area under local perturbations which preserve the Lagrangian conditions (the so called *Hamiltonian stationary condition* introduced originally by Oh [13]) is given by

$$\forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad 0 = \int_\Sigma J_0 \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^4} f(G) \cdot \vec{H}_G \, dvol_G = \int_\Sigma \langle G^* df, i^{-1} \mathbf{g}^{-1} d\mathbf{g} \rangle_{g_G} \, dvol_G \tag{V.2}$$

Assuming  $G$  is a smooth local immersion, then, locally, every differential  $d\varphi$  can be written in the form  $d\varphi = G^*df$  for some Hamiltonian  $f$ . Therefore the *Hamiltonian Stationary Equation* is equivalent to the  $S^1$ -*harmonic map equation* with respect to the  $G^*g_{\mathbb{R}^4}$  metric on  $\Sigma$  :

$$d^*(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}d\mathfrak{g}) = 0, \quad (\text{V.3})$$

where again the Hodge operation  $*$  is the one given by the complex structure on  $\Sigma$  induced by  $G^*g_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ . Observe that  $\mathfrak{g} = e^{i\theta_0}$  is a constant  $S^1$ -harmonic map if and only if  $G$  is minimal and Lagrangian (i.e.  $\vec{H}_G = 0$ ) which is also equivalent to the fact that  $G$  is *calibrated* by the form

$$\Omega := e^{-i\theta_0} dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \quad (\text{V.4})$$

and  $G$  realizes a so called *special lagrangian immersion*.

All the above up to (V.3) extends to the general case of a Kähler-Einstein Surface and up to (V.4) to the general case of a Kähler-Einstein Surface with trivial *canonical bundle* : for which there exists a global nowhere vanishing holomorphic  $(2, 0)$  form (Calabi-Yau surfaces).

In their pioneered work on the analysis of *Hamiltonian Stationary Maps* R.Schoen and J.Wolfson aimed at constructing in any *integral lagrangian homology class* (or also in the slightly more restrictive constraint of any Hamiltonian isotopy class [12]) of a closed Kähler-Einstein manifold a Lagrangian surface minimizing the area in this class. The main contribution of [17] is the successful implementation of the minimization procedure. They proved that any such a class is realized by a smooth minimal immersion away from isolated singular points and that (V.3) holds. The singularities however can be “worth” than classical branched points which are common for minimal surfaces and which are also present of course. For instance, at such singularities, the Gauss map of the immersion cannot be extended smoothly and it is proved in [17] that these singularities (called “Schoen-Wolfson” cones) correspond to singularities around which the  $S^1$ -harmonic map has non zero degree (in fact  $+1$  or  $-1$  degrees) and coincide exactly with the singularities of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In [20], Wolfson is giving example of Lagrangian spherical integer homology classes whose  $S^2$  lagrangian area minimizers are not minimal and hence the associated  $S^1$ -harmonic map  $\mathfrak{g}$  must have singularities and is only in  $W^{1,(2,\infty)}(S^2, S^1)$  and not in  $W^{1,2}(S^2, S^1)$ .

### V.1.2 The Renormalized Lagrangian Willmore Energy

From (V.1) we deduce that the *Willmore Energy* for a Lagrangian immersion  $G$  into a Kähler-Einstein surface is given by

$$W(G) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |d\mathfrak{g}|_{g_G}^2 \, d\text{vol}_G. \quad (\text{V.5})$$

This is just  $1/2$  of the Dirichlet energy of the *lagrangian angle function*  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

From the previous subsection it is clear that for any *hamiltonian stationary surface* in a Calabi-Yau 2-fold which is not *special lagrangian* the *Willmore energy* is infinite

$$W(G) = +\infty$$

and there is an obvious need to renormalize it.

Following the first part of paper we introduce the *Renormalized Lagrangian Willmore Energy*. Let  $G$  be a lagrangian map of a closed surface  $\Sigma$  into a Kähler-Einstein surface  $N$  and which realize a Lipschitz weak immersion (in the sense introduced in [14]) away from point singularities with an underlying smooth conformal structure  $h$  and in such a way that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is in  $W^{1,p}(\Sigma, N)$  for some  $p > 1$  we call such an immersion a *singular immersion*. Let  $a_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $b_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be such that

$$\mathfrak{g}^{-1} d\mathfrak{g} = *da_{\mathfrak{g}} + db_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad (\text{V.6})$$

where  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  realizes an harmonic one form. Following the first part of paper we introduce the *Renormalized Lagrangian Willmore Energy*.

$$\mathcal{W}(G) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |du_{\mathfrak{g}}|_h^2 + |db_{\mathfrak{g}}|_h^2 + |\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}|_h^2 \, dvol_h . \quad (\text{V.7})$$

where  $u_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the “ $S^2$  lift” of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined in definition I.1. Such a singular lagrangian immersion being given, we define the *singular lagrangian degree* of  $G$  to be

$$\deg_{lag}(G) = \deg(u_{\mathfrak{g}}) .$$

From the previous we deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition V.1.** *Let  $G$  be a singular lagrangian immersion of  $S^2$  into a Kähler-Einstein manifold. The map  $G$  is hamiltonian stationary if and only if  $b_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$  and we have then*

$$\mathcal{W}(G) = \pi \deg_{lag}(G) .$$

□

We propose the following open problems.

**Open problem V.2.** *Study the sequential weak closure of singular weak lagrangian immersions under Renormalized Lagrangian Willmore Energy control in the spirit of [14].*

Observe that thanks to the inequality (I.24) (or more exactly it’s counterpart in the lagrangian immersion framework) the control of the point singularities is guaranteed by the control of the *Renormalized Lagrangian Willmore Energy*.

**Open problem V.3.** *Study the minimization of the Renormalized Lagrangian Willmore Energy among singular weak lagrangian immersions in Hamiltonian isotopy classes.*

## V.2 Frame Energy

Let  $\vec{\Phi}$  be an immersion of a torus  $\Sigma = T^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathfrak{e} := (\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2)$  be an associated tangent frame that is  $\mathfrak{e}(x)$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $\vec{\Phi}_*T_x\Sigma$ . The associated frame energy is just the Dirichlet energy of  $\mathfrak{e}$  :

$$F(\vec{\Phi}, \mathfrak{e}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{T^2} |de_1|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 + |de_2|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 \, dvol_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}} \quad (\text{V.8})$$

It has been originally introduced in [19]. Its minimization in isotopy classes of immersions has been performed in [11] while it’s link with the Alvarez-Polyakov anomaly has been established in [15]. For a fixed immersion optimal frame satisfy the *Coulomb condition*

$$d^*(\vec{e}_1, d\vec{e}_2) = 0 \quad (\text{V.9})$$

Observe that the passage from one such a frame to another *Coulomb frame*  $(\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2)$  is achieved through an  $S^1$ -harmonic map  $g$  given by

$$(\vec{e}_1, d\vec{e}_2) = (\vec{f}_1, d\vec{f}_2) + \frac{1}{i} g^{-1} dg . \quad (\text{V.10})$$

It is clear that for a closed oriented surface  $\Sigma$  of genus different from 1 any such a frame has singularities and their Dirichlet energy is infinite

$$F(\vec{\Phi}, \mathfrak{e}) = +\infty$$

and there is an obvious need to renormalize the frame energy.

The renormalization we are proposing corresponds to a “bundle version” of the passage from  $E$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  in the introduction. Let  $\vec{e}_1$  be a (possibly singular at isolated points) section of the unit tangent bundle to the immersion of a simply connected<sup>4</sup> surface  $\Sigma$  with or without boundary. The associated frame  $\mathfrak{e} := (\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2)$  is Coulomb if and only if, denoting  $I$  the complex structure on  $\Sigma$  induced by the immersion<sup>5</sup>, we have the existence of  $a_\mathfrak{e}$  and  $b_\mathfrak{e}$  such that

$$D\vec{e}_k = *da_\mathfrak{e} I(\vec{e}_k) + db_\mathfrak{e} I(\vec{e}_k) , \quad (\text{V.11})$$

where  $D$  is the covariant derivative on the tangent bundle for the Levi-Civita connection<sup>6</sup> induced by the immersion  $\vec{\Phi}$ . In positive conformal coordinates  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  this gives (omitting the subscript  $k$ )

$$D_{x_1}\vec{e} + I D_{x_2}\vec{e} = -(\partial_{x_1}a_\mathfrak{e} + \partial_{x_2}a_\mathfrak{e} I)(\vec{e}) + (\partial_{x_1}b_\mathfrak{e} I - \partial_{x_2}b_\mathfrak{e})(\vec{e}) . \quad (\text{V.12})$$

Since in this coordinates  $I(\partial_{x_1}) = \partial_{x_2}$  we finally obtain introducing the complex variable  $z := x_1 + ix_2$

$$D_{\bar{z}}(e^{a_\mathfrak{e} - ib_\mathfrak{e}} e) = 0 . \quad (\text{V.13})$$

In other words  $e^{a_\mathfrak{e} - ib_\mathfrak{e}} e$  realizes a meromorphic section of the tangent line bundle. We associate canonically to the tangent bundle equipped with the complex multiplication  $I$  a  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  bundle and  $\pi$  is the holomorphic stereographic projection from this  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ -bundle onto  $T\Sigma$ . Then we introduce the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  lift of the frame  $\mathfrak{e}$  to be

$$u_\mathfrak{e} := \pi^{-1}(e^{a_\mathfrak{e}} \mathfrak{e})$$

and the *renormalized frame energy* associated to  $\mathfrak{e}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\vec{\Phi}, \mathfrak{e}) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |Du_\mathfrak{e}|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 + |db_\mathfrak{e}|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 \, dvol_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}$$

If  $\Sigma$  is not simply connected we have a unique harmonic 1-form  $h$  such that

$$\vec{e}_2 \cdot d\vec{e}_1 = *da_\mathfrak{e} + db_\mathfrak{e} + h_\mathfrak{e}$$

and the renormalized frame energy becomes

$$\mathcal{F}(\vec{\Phi}, \mathfrak{e}) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |Du_\mathfrak{e}|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 + |db_\mathfrak{e}|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 + |h_\mathfrak{e}|_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}^2 \, dvol_{g_{\vec{\Phi}}}$$

It would be interesting to study the following question

**Open problem V.4.** *Consider an immersion  $\vec{\Phi}$  of a an oriented surface with boundary and study the link between the minimal renormalized frame energy among all tangent frames such that the first vector is tangent to the boundary and the Alvarez-Polyakov anomaly associated to this immersion.*  $\square$

---

<sup>4</sup>We are avoiding harmonic forms in this first presentation

<sup>5</sup>In other words we have  $I(\vec{e}_k) = (-1)^{k+1} \vec{e}_{k+1}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  indexation. In conformal coordinates  $\varphi$  if  $\vec{e}_k = \vec{\Phi} \circ \phi_* e_k$  the Endomorphism  $I$  is just the multiplication by  $i$ .

<sup>6</sup>In other words  $D$  is the composition of the exterior derivative with the orthogonal projection  $\pi_T$  in the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto the tangent space  $\vec{\Phi}_* T_x \Sigma$ .

## VI Appendix: some auxiliary results

**Lemma 12.** For  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  there holds

$$\left( H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1), H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1) \right)_{\theta, 2} = H^s(S^1),$$

where  $s = \theta - \frac{1}{2}$  in the sense of  $K$ -interpolation.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 23.1 in [18] (notice that the proof works for a generic measure space).  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** Let  $s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Let  $u \in H^s(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ . Let

$$\tilde{u} : D^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (r, \theta) \mapsto P_r * u(\theta).$$

Then  $\tilde{u} \in H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(D^2, \mathbb{R})$ . In particular the map sending  $u$  into  $\tilde{u}$  is a continuous linear operator from  $H^s(S^1)$  to  $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(D^2)$ .

*Proof.* We first show that the map above is continuous from  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$  to  $L^2(D^2)$ . In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^2} |P_r * u|^2 &= \int_0^1 r \int_{S^1} |P_r * u|^2 = 2\pi \int_0^1 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{P_r}(k)^2 \hat{u}(k) dr \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 r^{2|k|+1} \hat{u}(k)^2 dr = \pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|n|+1} \hat{u}(k) \leq \pi \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can show that the map above is continuous from  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$  to  $H^1(D^2)$ . Since for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$   $\left( H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1), H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1) \right)_{\theta, 2} = H^s(S^1)$  for  $s = \theta - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $(L^2(D^2), H^1(D^2))_{\theta, 2} = H^\theta(S^1)$ , for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  the map above is continuous from  $H^s(S^1)$  to  $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(D^2)$  for any  $s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** Let  $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  Let  $u \in H^s \cap W^{1,1}(D^2)$ . Let  $\beta \in H^{s-\frac{3}{2}}(S^1)$  and assume that  $\int_{S^1} \beta = 0$ . Assume that

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_{S^1} \phi \beta \quad \forall \phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2}). \quad (\text{VI.1})$$

Then  $\phi$  is harmonic in  $D^2$ . For any  $r \in (0, 1)$  let  $u_r$  denote the trace of  $u$  on  $rS^1$ . Then

$$P_r * u_1(\theta) = u(r, \theta) \text{ in } D^2 \quad (\text{VI.2})$$

and

$$\beta = H \partial_\theta u_1 \quad (\text{VI.3})$$

In particular, if  $\beta \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$   $u \in H^1(D^2)$ .

*Proof.* First we observe that condition (VI.1) implies that

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D^2),$$

thus  $\Delta u = 0$  in  $D^2$ .

To show that  $P_r * u_1(\theta) = u(r, \theta)$  in  $D^2$  we consider the composition of the maps:

$$H^s(D^2) \rightarrow H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1), \quad u \rightarrow u_1 \text{ and } H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1) \rightarrow H^s(D^2), \quad v \rightarrow P_r * u.$$

The first map is just the trace on  $S^1$ , while the second map is the one introduced in Lemma 12. Both maps are continuous and it is easy to see that for maps in  $C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$  the composition of the two maps is the identity. As  $C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$  is dense in  $H^s(D^2)$  we conclude that the composition of the two maps is equal to the identity for all  $u \in H^s(D^2)$ . In particular, for any  $u \in H^s(D^2)$   $P_r * u_1(\theta) = u(r, \theta)$  in  $D^2$ .

To show that  $\beta = H\partial_\theta u_1$  fix  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$  observe that for any  $r \in (0, 1)$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{rD^2} \nabla u \nabla \phi &= \int_{rD^2} \operatorname{div}(\phi \nabla u) = \int_{rS^1} \phi \partial_\nu u \\ &= \int_{S^1} \phi(r \cdot) H \partial_\theta u(r \cdot) = - \int_{S^1} \partial_\theta \phi(r \cdot) H(u_1 * P_r). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.4})$$

Here we used the fact that since  $u$  is harmonic,  $u(r \cdot)$  is also an harmonic function on  $D^2$ . Now since  $u_1 \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$ ,  $H(u_1 * P_r) \rightarrow H u_1$  in  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Moreover, since  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$   $\partial_\theta \phi(r \cdot) \rightarrow \partial_\theta \phi$  in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Therefore taking the limit for  $r \rightarrow 1$  in (VI.4) we obtain

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla u \nabla \phi = - \int_{S^1} \phi H u_1 = \int_{S^1} \phi \partial_\theta H u_1.$$

Comparing this expression with (VI.1) we deduce that  $\beta = \partial_\theta H u_1$ .

Finally in order to show that  $u \in H^1(D^2)$ , let's consider the function  $B \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$  characterized by  $\hat{B}(n) = -\frac{1}{|n|} \hat{\beta}(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\hat{B}(0) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{u} \in H^1(D^2)$  be such that  $\tilde{u}(r, \theta) = P_r * B(\theta)$ . Arguing just as in (VI.4) we see that for any  $r \in (0, 1)$ , for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{D^2})$

$$\int_{rD^2} \nabla \tilde{u} \nabla \phi = \int_{rS^1} \phi \partial_\nu \tilde{u} = \int_{S^1} \phi(r \cdot) H \partial_\theta (B * P_r) = \int_{S^1} \phi(r \cdot) (\beta * P_r),$$

since  $H \partial_\theta (B * P_r) = \beta * P_r$  (this can be seen by comparing Fourier coefficients). Taking the limit for  $r \rightarrow 1$  we obtain

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla \tilde{u} \nabla \phi = \int_{S^1} \beta \phi = \int_{D^2} \nabla u \nabla \phi.$$

Thus  $u - \tilde{u} \in H^s(D^2)$  satisfies (VI.1) with  $\beta = 0$ . Thus by (VI.3)  $\partial_\theta (u - \tilde{u})_1 = 0$ , i.e.  $(u - \tilde{u})_1$  is constant. From (VI.2) follows that  $u - \tilde{u}$  is constant in  $D^2$ . Since  $\tilde{u} \in H^1(D^2)$  we conclude that  $u \in H^1(D^2)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 15.** For any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $F_p : D^2 \rightarrow S^1$ ,  $F_p(z) := \frac{z-p}{|z-p|}$  for any  $z \in D^2$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|F_p|_{S^1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1, S^1)} \leq C_\varepsilon \quad (\text{VI.5})$$

for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then

$$\left| \nabla \frac{z-p}{|z-p|} \right| = \frac{1}{|z-p|}.$$

Therefore  $\frac{z-p}{|z-p|} \in W^{1,2-\delta}(D^2)$  for any  $\delta > 0$ , and the  $W^{1,2-\delta}(D^2)$ -norm of  $F_p$  is bounded uniformly in  $p$ . By Sobolev embeddings there holds  $\frac{z-p}{|z-p|} \in H^{1-\varepsilon}(D^2)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  (and the  $H^{1-\varepsilon}(D^2)$ -norm of  $F_p$  is bounded uniformly in  $p$ ). In particular  $\frac{x-p}{|x-p|}|_{S^1} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1) \forall \varepsilon > 0$  (and the  $H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1)$ -norm of  $F_p$  is bounded uniformly in  $p$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** For any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $G_p : D^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G_p(z) := \log|z - p|$  for any  $z \in D^2$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|\partial_\nu G_p|_{S^1}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1, \mathbb{R})} \leq C_\varepsilon \quad (\text{VI.6})$$

for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* It follows from identity (II.35) that for almost any point  $z$  on  $S^1$  there holds

$$\partial_\nu \log|x - p| = \frac{\partial_\theta \frac{x-p}{|x-p|}}{\frac{x-p}{|x-p|}}$$

In particular, for any determination of the complex logarithm

$$\partial_\nu \log|x - p| = -i\partial_\theta \log \frac{x-p}{|x-p|} \quad (\text{VI.7})$$

away from the cut. For any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  let  $p_1 := \frac{p}{|p|}$  and  $p_2 := -p_1$  (for  $p = 0$  let  $p_1 := (1, 0)$ ,  $p_2 := (-1, 0)$ ). For any  $p$  let  $\chi_1^p, \chi_2^p \in C^\infty(S^1, [0, 1])$  such that  $\chi_1 = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $p_1$ ,  $\chi_2^p = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $p_2$ ,  $\chi_1 + \chi_2 = 1$  on  $S^1$  and such that the families  $(\chi_1^p)_{p \in \mathbb{R}^2}$  and  $(\chi_2^p)_{p \in \mathbb{R}^2}$  are uniformly bounded in  $C^\infty(S^1)$  (this can be achieved by choosing as  $\chi_i^p$  the function  $\chi^{(1,0)i}$  precomposed with an appropriate rotation, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ). Let  $\log_1^p$  and  $\log_2^p$  be two determinations of the complex logarithm whose cut pass through the point  $p_2$  and  $p_1$  respectively. From (VI.7) follows that

$$\chi_1^p \partial_\nu \log|x - p| = -i\chi_1^p \partial_\theta \log_1^p \frac{x-p}{|x-p|}, \quad \chi_2^p \partial_\nu \log|x - p| = -i\chi_2^p \partial_\theta \log_2^p \frac{x-p}{|x-p|}$$

Now it follows from Lemma 15 that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1)$  norm of  $\chi_1^p \partial_\nu \log|x - p|$  and  $\chi_2^p \partial_\nu \log|x - p|$  is bounded uniformly in  $p$ . In fact we observe that for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\partial_\theta \left( \chi_i^p \log \frac{x-p}{|x-p|} \right) = \partial_\theta \chi_i^p \log_i \frac{x-p}{|x-p|} + \chi_i^p \partial_\theta \log_i \frac{x-p}{|x-p|}.$$

Now the first term on the right belongs to  $H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1)$  and therefore to  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1)$ , while  $\partial_\theta \left( \chi_i \log \frac{x-p}{|x-p|} \right)$  belongs to  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1)$ . All the norms are uniformly bounded in  $p$ .

We conclude that also the  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(S^1)$  norm of  $G_p$  is bounded uniformly in  $p$ .  $\square$

**Lemma VI.1.** Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , let  $X \in L^{p,q}$ . Then there exists  $\eta \in W^{1,(p,q)}$ ,  $\xi \in W_0^{1,(p,q)}$  so that

$$X = \nabla \eta + \nabla^\perp \xi \text{ in } D^2$$

and

$$\|\eta\|_{W^{1,(p,q)}} \leq C \|X\|_{L^{p,q}} \text{ and } \|\xi\|_{W^{1,(p,q)}} \leq C \|X\|_{L^{p,q}}$$

for some constant  $C$  independent from  $X$ .

*Proof.* The result is well known for  $L^p$  spaces. In particular, for fixed  $p \in (1, \infty)$  there exists a continuous linear map from  $L^p(D^2)$  to  $W^{1,p}(D^2)$  sending a vector space  $X$  to the solution  $\eta$  of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \eta = \operatorname{div} X & \text{in } D^2 \\ \eta = 0 & \text{on } \partial D^2. \end{cases}$$

By interpolation, one can define an analogous map from  $L^{p,q}(D^2)$  to  $W^{1,(p,q)}(D^2)$ . In particular, given  $X \in L^{p,q}(D^2)$  and  $\eta$  as above, there holds

$$\operatorname{div}(X - \nabla \eta) = 0.$$

Therefore there exists  $\xi \in W^{1,(p,q)}(D^2)$  such that  $\nabla^\perp \xi = X - \nabla \eta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(\partial D^2, S^1)$ . Then there exists an harmonic map  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}$  with trace  $g_0$  on  $S^1$ , and the mapping sending  $g_0 \in W^{1,1}(S^1, S^1)$  to  $g \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2, S^1)$  is a continuous linear map.*

*Proof.* First we show that  $g_0$  has a lift in  $BV(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ . In fact, for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  let

$$\phi(\theta) = \int_0^\theta g_0^{-1}(e^{i\phi}) \partial_\theta g_0(e^{i\phi}) d\phi.$$

Set

$$\lambda(e^{i\theta}) = \phi(\theta) - \phi(2\pi) \delta_{\theta=0}$$

for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and observe that  $\lambda \in BV(S^1, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\|\lambda\| \leq C \|g_0\|_{W^{1,1}}$$

Next we consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \psi = 0 & \text{in } D^2 \\ \psi = \lambda & \text{on } \partial D^2. \end{cases} \quad (\text{VI.8})$$

We claim that the solution  $\psi$  to (VI.8) lies in  $W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2)$ . To see this, assume first that  $\lambda$  is a smooth function. Then the solution  $\psi$  of (VI.8) is also smooth. Now let  $X$  be a vector field on  $\overline{D^2}$  smooth up to the boundary, let  $\eta \in W_0^{1,(2,1)}(D^2)$  and  $\xi \in W^{1,(2,1)}(D^2)$  so that

$$X = \nabla \eta + \nabla^\perp \xi$$

and

$$\|\xi\|_{W^{1,(2,1)}} \leq C \|X\|_{L^{2,1}}$$

for a constant  $C$  independent from  $X$  (the existence of such  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  can be shown as in the proof of Lemma VI.1). Then

$$\int_{D^2} X \nabla \psi = \int_{D^2} \nabla \eta \nabla \psi + \int_{D^2} \nabla^\perp \xi \nabla \psi = \int_{D^2} \nabla^\perp \xi \nabla \psi = \int_{\partial D^2} \xi \partial_\theta \psi = \int_{\partial D^2} \xi \partial_\theta \lambda. \quad (\text{VI.9})$$

Here we used the fact that since  $\eta$  has vanishing trace on  $\partial D^2$  and  $\psi$  is harmonic,

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla \eta \nabla \psi = 0.$$

We also used the fact that, by the divergence Theorem,

$$\int_{D^2} \nabla^\perp \xi \nabla \psi = - \int_{D^2} \operatorname{div}(\xi \nabla^\perp \psi) = - \int_{\partial D^2} \xi \nabla^\perp \psi \cdot \nu = \int_{\partial D^2} \xi \partial_\theta \psi.$$

Since  $W^{1,(2,1)}(D^2)$  embeds continuously in  $L^\infty(D^2)$ , it follows from (VI.9) that

$$\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C \|\lambda\|_{BV}.$$

Now assume that  $\lambda$  is a generic element of  $BV(S^1)$ . Then there exists a sequence of smooth function  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  approximating  $\lambda$  in the  $BV$  strict convergence (see [2], Remark 3.22). For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  one can apply the argument above to find a function  $\psi_n \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2)$  satisfying (VI.8) and so that

$$\|\nabla \psi_n\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C \|\lambda_n\|_{BV}$$

and

$$\|\nabla\psi_n - \nabla\psi_m\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C\|\lambda_n - \lambda_m\|_{BV} \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular,  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2)$ . Therefore there exists  $\psi \in W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2)$  so that

$$\psi_n \rightarrow \psi \text{ in } W^{1,(2,\infty)}(D^2) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that  $\psi$  satisfies equation (VI.8) and

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C\|\lambda\|_{BV}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C\|g\|_{W^{1,1}}.$$

Setting

$$g = e^{i\psi}$$

we obtain

$$\|\nabla g\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \leq C\|g\|_{W^{1,1}}.$$

□

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $\alpha : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous injective map. Assume that  $S^1 \subset \text{Im}(\alpha)$ . Then  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = S^1$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\alpha^{-1}(S^{1c})$  is a countable union of open connected disjoint sets. Therefore  $\alpha^{-1}(S^1)$  is a countable union of closed connected disjoint sets  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_i$ . As  $\alpha$  is injective and closed,  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(C_i)$  is a countable union of closed connected disjoint sets, and since  $S^1 \subset \text{Im}(\alpha)$ ,

$$\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(C_i) = S^1.$$

By [6], at most one of the  $\alpha(C_i)$  is non-empty, therefore  $S^1 = \alpha(C_i)$  for some  $C_i$ . Thus  $\alpha|_{C_i}$  is a homeomorphism from  $C_i$  to  $S^1$ . But unless  $C_i = S^1$ ,  $\alpha(C_i)$  will be homeomorphic to an interval, not to  $S^1$ . □

## References

- [1] Grafakos, L., *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Springer, 2014.
- [2] Ambrosio, L., Fusco, N., and Pallara, D., *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [3] Bethuel, Fabrice; Brezis, Haïm; Hélein, Frédéric *Ginzburg-Landau vortices*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 13. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [4] Bourgain, J., Brezis, H., and Mironescu, P., *Lifting in Sobolev spaces*, J. Anal. Math. 80, 37–86 (2000).
- [5] Brezis, H. ; Mironescu, P. ; Ponce, A. C.  *$W^{1,1}$ -maps with values into  $S^1$* . Geometric analysis of PDE and several complex variables, 69-100, Contemp. Math., 368, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [6] Sierpinsky, W., *Un théorème sur les continus*, Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Vol. 13, 1918, pp. 300-305.

- [7] Hajlasz, P., *Sobolev mappings co-area formula and related topics*, Proceedings on Analysis and Geometry, Sobolev Institute Press, Novosibirsk, 2000, 227–254.
- [8] Hardt, Robert; Lin, Fang-Hua *A remark on  $H^1$  mappings*. Manuscripta Math. 56 (1986), no. 1, 1-10.
- [9] Hardt, Robert; Rivière, Tristan *Ensembles singuliers topologiques dans les espaces fonctionnels entre variétés*. (French) [Topological singular sets in functional spaces between manifolds] Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 2000-2001, Exp. No. VII, 14 pp., Sémin. Équ. Dériv. Partielles, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 2001.
- [10] Luo, Yong; Wang, Guofang *On geometrically constrained variational problems of the Willmore functional I. The Lagrangian-Willmore problem*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 23 (2015), no. 1, 191-223.
- [11] Mondino, Andrea ; Rivière, Tristan *A frame energy for immersed tori and applications to regular homotopy classes*. J. Differential Geom. 104 (2016), no. 1, 143-186.
- [12] Oh, Yong-Geun *Second variation and stabilities of minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds*. Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 2, 501-519.
- [13] Oh, Yong-Geun *Volume minimization of Lagrangian submanifolds under Hamiltonian deformations*. Math. Z. 212 (1993), no. 2, 175-192.
- [14] Rivière, Tristan *Weak immersions of surfaces with  $L^2$ -bounded second fundamental form*. Geometric analysis, 303-384, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [15] Rivière, Tristan *Willmore minmax surfaces and the cost of the sphere eversion*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 23 (2021), no. 2, 349-423.
- [16] Schoen, Richard; Uhlenbeck, Karen *A regularity theory for harmonic maps*. J. Differential Geometry 17 (1982), no. 2, 307-335.
- [17] Schoen, R.; Wolfson, J. *Minimizing area among Lagrangian surfaces: the mapping problem*. J. Differential Geom. 58 (2001), no. 1, 1-86.
- [18] Tartar, L., *An introduction to Sobolev spaces and interpolation spaces*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, 3. Springer, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2007.
- [19] Topping, Peter *Towards the Willmore conjecture*, Calc. Var. and PDE, 11 (2000), 361-393.
- [20] Wolfson, Jon *Lagrangian homology classes without regular minimizers*. J. Differential Geom. 71 (2005), no. 2, 307-313.