

\mathbb{C} -FUCHSIAN SUBGROUPS OF SOME NON-ARITHMETIC LATTICES

LI-JIE SUN

ABSTRACT. We give a general procedure to analyze the structure for certain \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups of some non-arithmetic lattices. We will also show that their fundamental domains lie in a complex geodesic, a set homeomorphic to the unit disk.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that H is a Hermitian form of signature $(2, 1)$ on \mathbb{C}^3 . Then the projective unitary Lie group $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$ of H contains two conjugacy classes of connected Lie subgroups, each of which is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The subgroups in one class are conjugate to $\mathrm{PSU}(1, 1)$, and preserve a complex line for the projective action of $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$ on the projective plane $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. The subgroups in the other class are conjugate to $\mathrm{PO}(2, 1)$, and preserve a totally real Lagrangian plane. If Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$, the intersections of Γ with the connected Lie subgroups locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ are its Fuchsian subgroups. The Fuchsian subgroups fixing a complex line are called \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups. See Section 2 for more details.

Fuchsian subgroups have remarkable geometrical properties and they are interesting on their own, see for instance [5, 11, 12]. They also play an important role in complex hyperbolic space. Deraux [2] proved that the discrete deformation of some \mathbb{R} -Fuchsian triangle group in $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$ is a cocompact arithmetic lattice (a lattice in $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$ is a discrete group with finite covolume). There also have been significant developments on \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups. To this direction, let S be a hyperbolic surface. Gusevskii-Parker [7] studied the deformation space of a \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian representation $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Isom}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ by formulating and proving Poincaré's polyhedron theorem for one special class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic plane. Furthermore, Stover [13] proved that if Γ is a complex hyperbolic lattice containing a complex reflection, then Γ contains a \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup stabilizing the complex geodesic fixed by the reflection. However, it is usually difficult to get an explicit description of such \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups from the complex hyperbolic lattice. In the present paper, we wish to identify the structures of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups (arising as stabilizers of the complex geodesics fixed by reflections) in complex hyperbolic

E-mail: ljsun@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 22E40; Secondary 20F05, 32M15, 51M10.

Keywords: \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup, Complex hyperbolic triangle group.

lattices mainly by applying Poincaré's polygon theorem. In this way, we also illustrate their actions on the fixing complex geodesic L . In other words, we get a more explicit version of Stover's result.

In [3, 4], Deraux, Parker and Paupert considered a family of groups which produce all currently known examples of non-arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$. Each of such groups is a complex hyperbolic triangle group generated by three complex reflections of the same order p ($p \geq 2$). They prove the discreteness by constructing an explicit fundamental domain for each group, and show that the geometric realisation gives an embedding of the combinatorial fundamental domain into the topological closure of complex hyperbolic plane $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. In particular, the authors listed the side (codimension-1) representatives of the fundamental domains for the sporadic triangle groups (see section 3.1) and Thompson triangle groups (see section 3.2), also give the natural representation for each group.

In this paper, our goal is to identify the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups of the sporadic triangle groups (subgroups of equilateral triangle groups) and Thompson triangle groups (subgroups of non-equilateral triangle groups), which appeared in [3]. We consider the equilateral triangle groups which are generated by three complex reflections R_1, R_2, R_3 with the property that there exists a complex hyperbolic isometry J of order 3 such that $R_{j+1} = JR_jJ^{-1}$ (the indices taken by mod 3). The equilateral triangle groups then can be parameterised by the order p of generators and the complex parameter

$$\tau = \mathrm{tr}(R_1J).$$

We denote the sporadic triangle groups by $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$. See details in Section 3.1.

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let R_1, R_2, R_3 be three complex reflections of order p in $\mathrm{SU}(2, 1)$ so that R_i fixes a complex geodesic L_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose that R_1, R_2, R_3 is the generating set for $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$. Then there exist \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups fixing complex geodesic L_1 which have the following structure according to (τ, p) :*

(i) $\tau = -1 + i\sqrt{2}, p = 3, 4, 6 :$

$$\langle (\overline{1323})^2, (13)^3, (12)^3, (123\overline{2})^2, (1232\overline{3\overline{2}})^3(123\overline{2})^2(12)^3 \rangle;$$

(ii) $\tau = -\frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{2}, p = 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12 :$

$$\langle (12)^2, (13)^2, 23\overline{2}P^2 \rangle,$$

where $P = R_1J$;

(iii) $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, p = 3, 4, 5, 10 :$

$$\langle \overline{13\overline{2}323}, 1312\overline{1\overline{3}}, (\overline{1323})^3 \cdot 13\overline{2}323 \rangle.$$

Here we just write $1\bar{3}23$ to denote $R_1R_3^{-1}R_2R_3$ (see Section 2.3), etc. Throughout this paper, we always investigate the ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups fixing a complex geodesic L_1 . One should note that there naturally exist ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups fixing other complex geodesics in the complex hyperbolic lattice we consider. For example, we could get a ℂ-Fuchsian subgroup in $\mathcal{S}(3, -1 + i\sqrt{2})$ stabilising the complex geodesic L_2 fixed by the complex reflection R_2 which is identified with JR_1J^{-1} :

$$\langle J(1\bar{3}23)^2J^{-1}, J(13)^3J^{-1}, J(12)^3J^{-1}, J(123\bar{2})^2J^{-1}, J(1232\bar{3}\bar{2})^3(123\bar{2})^2(12)^3J^{-1} \rangle.$$

In [3], the authors build blocks of the fundamental domains bounded by spherical shells that surround the fixed point of $P = R_1J$ for the lattices of equilateral triangle groups type or $Q = R_1R_2R_3$ in the non-equilateral case. A spherical shell here means that the corresponding cell complex is an embedded copy of S^3 , which bounds a well-defined 4-ball. Surrounding a point just means that the point is in the ball component of the complement of that copy of S^3 . The basic building blocks for their fundamental domains are pyramids (for example, see Figure 1) in bisectors. They finally list side (codimension-1) representative for each P -orbit of sides (or Q -orbit in the non-equilateral case), and one side for each pair of opposite sides which means paired in the sense of the Poincaré polyhedron theorem, see Appendix in [3]. In the present paper, our general procedure to distinguish ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups is as follows: we firstly focus on the pyramids of the side representatives of the fundamental domain for the non-arithmetic lattices; secondly, for each lattice, we force the side representatives to have the same base L_1 and obtain a polygon lying in the complex geodesic L_1 ; finally we prove that the polygon is a fundamental domain of some subgroup of the lattice. Actually the polygon can be matched by side pairing transformations, which are exactly the generators of the ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups as showed in Theorem 1.1. We also give the natural presentation for each ℂ-Fuchsian subgroup among the proof.

The paper is arranged as follows. Section 2 contains background material about complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, totally geodesic subspaces and complex reflection. In Section 3 we recall the normalisation of two kinds of complex hyperbolic triangle groups in $\text{PU}(2, 1)$: equilateral triangle groups and non-equilateral triangle groups, in which we will clarify the ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups. In Section 4, we mainly state and prove our theorems, including describing the fundamental domains of certain ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The material for this section is standard. The reader may refer to [6] for more details.

2.1. Complex hyperbolic plane. We use $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ to denote \mathbb{C}^3 equipped with a Hermitian form of signature $(2, 1)$. If we assume that \mathbf{P} is the canonical projectivisation from $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$

to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and suppose that the Hermitian form of signature $(2, 1)$ to be H , then the *complex hyperbolic plane* $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ can be defined as follows

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 := \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \bar{\mathbf{z}}^t H \mathbf{z} < 0\}.$$

Correspondingly, the boundary $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of complex hyperbolic plane is

$$\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 := \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \bar{\mathbf{z}}^t H \mathbf{z} = 0\}.$$

There exists a natural action of the unitary group $U(2, 1)$ of the Hermitian form on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. The automorphism group of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is then $PU(2, 1)$, the projectivisation of $U(2, 1)$. In particular, $SU(2, 1)$ is the subgroup of $U(2, 1)$ with the determinant of each element being 1, which is the three fold cover of the projection group $PU(2, 1)$.

Let z and w be points in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ corresponding to vectors $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$. Then the *Bergman metric* ρ on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is given by the following distance formula

$$\cosh^2 \left(\frac{\rho(z, w)}{2} \right) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}.$$

If we choose the Hermitian form of signature $(2, 1)$ as follows

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2 - z_3 \bar{w}_3,$$

with $\mathbf{z} = [z_1, z_2, z_3]^t$, $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, w_3]^t$, then the complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ can be described in the affine chart $z_3 \neq 0$ as the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^2 endowed with the unique Kähler metric invariant under all biholomorphisms of the ball. The metric is symmetric and has non-constant negative real sectional curvature but pinched between -1 and $-1/4$. We normalise its holomorphic sectional curvature to be -1 .

2.2. Totally geodesic subspaces. Given two points z and w in $\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} := \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \cup \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, with lifts \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} to $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ respectively, the complex span of \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{w} projects to a complex projective line in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. The intersection of a complex projective line with $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is called a *complex geodesic* L (homeomorphic to an open 2-dimensional disk), which can be simply obtained by taking the intersection of orthogonal complement of a positive vector \mathbf{n} with $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, i.e.

$$L = \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0\} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2.$$

We refer to \mathbf{n} as a *polar vector* to L .

There only exist two types of maximal totally geodesic subspaces in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, namely:

- (i) Complex geodesic, which is an isometrically embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. It has the Poincaré model of hyperbolic geometry with constant curvature -1 ;
- (ii) Totally real Lagrangian plane, which is an isometrically embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. It has the Beltrami-Klein projective model with constant curvature $-1/4$.

2.3. Complex reflection. Suppose that the polar vector of a complex geodesic L_1 is \mathbf{n}_1 . We consider the complex reflection R_1 in the complex geodesic L_1 which is of order p , i.e. complex reflection R_1 in $U(2, 1)$ maps \mathbf{n}_1 to $e^{i\phi}\mathbf{n}_1$, where $\phi = 2\pi/p$. Throughout this paper, we assume that $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \geq 2$. We take one lift of R_1 to a matrix in $SU(2, 1)$ and write the map here with the same symbol

$$(2.1) \quad R_1(\mathbf{z}) = e^{-\frac{i\phi}{3}}\mathbf{z} + (e^{\frac{2i\phi}{3}} - e^{-\frac{i\phi}{3}}) \frac{\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{n}_1.$$

A basic fact is that any complex reflection is an element of $PU(2, 1)$. We will restrict to the complex hyperbolic triangle groups generated by three complex reflections with the same order p ($p \geq 2$). In order to avoid tedious notation, we denote the three generators R_1, R_2, R_3 of complex hyperbolic triangle groups simply by 1, 2, 3. Unless otherwise stated, in what follows we also denote their inverse by $\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}$. In this way, we just write $1\bar{3}23$ to denote $R_1R_3^{-1}R_2R_3$, etc.

Here we recall the definition for *braid relation* between group elements (see section 2.2 of [8]). Let G be a group and $a, b \in G$. Then we will say that a, b satisfy a braid relation of length $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ if

$$(ab)^{n/2} = (ba)^{n/2},$$

where powers mean that the corresponding alternating product of a and b should have n factors. We denote the braid length n of a, b by $\text{br}_n(a, b)$. For example, $\text{br}_3(a, b)$ means that $aba = bab$.

Let A and B be two complex reflections in distinct complex geodesics L_A and L_B respectively, which correspond to polar vectors \mathbf{n}_A and \mathbf{n}_B . The cross-product $\mathbf{z} := \mathbf{n}_A \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_B$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{z} = (\overline{\mathbf{n}_A}^t \mathbf{H}) \times (\overline{\mathbf{n}_B}^t \mathbf{H}).$$

Then three possibilities arise (see Section 3.3.2 in Goldman [6]):

- (1) \mathbf{z} is negative, namely $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle < \mathbf{0}$. In this case L_A and L_B intersect in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ corresponding to the negative vector \mathbf{z} ;
- (2) \mathbf{z} is null, namely $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \mathbf{0}$. In this case L_A and L_B are asymptotic at the point $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{z}) \in \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$;
- (3) \mathbf{z} is positive, namely $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle > \mathbf{0}$. In this case L_A and L_B are ultraparallel, that is they are disjoint and have a common orthogonal complex geodesic, which is polar to \mathbf{z} .

Throughout this paper, we freely use the classification of automorphisms of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ into regular elliptic, complex reflection, ellipto-parabolic, unipotent parabolic and loxodromic elements, e.g. an automorphism is regular elliptic if and only if it has a fixed point in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and has distinct eigenvalues. We refer to section 6.2 of [6] for the details.

3. SPORADIC TRIANGLE GROUPS AND THOMPSON TRIANGLE GROUPS

In this section we review sporadic triangle groups (Section 3.1) and Thompson triangle groups (Section 3.2), which we will mainly study in Section 4. For these two kinds of complex hyperbolic triangle groups, we refer for instance to [3, 10, 14] for the details.

3.1. Equilateral triangle groups. Recall from the introduction that the equilateral triangle groups can be generated by a complex reflections R_1 and a complex hyperbolic isometry J of order 3. Let

$$R_2 = JR_1J^{-1}, \quad R_3 = JR_2J^{-1}$$

The equilateral triangle groups then can be parameterized by the order p of generators and the complex parameter

$$\tau = \text{tr}(R_1J).$$

It is difficult to give the conditions of p with τ so that the equilateral triangle group is a lattice, or at least discrete. However, the pairwise product of generators should be non-loxodromic (see [10]). This shows that there are two continuous families satisfying that R_1J and R_1R_2 are elliptic

$$\tau = -e^{i\psi/3}, \quad \tau = e^{i\psi/6} \cdot 2 \cos(\psi/2),$$

where ψ are rational multiples of π . These two families correspond to Mostow groups or certain subgroups of Mostow groups. For such groups, the list of lattices can be obtained from the work of Deligne-Mostow (see [9, 10]). There are still lattice candidates not lying on these two families. In [3] the authors show that the equilateral triangle groups for some values of $\tau = \text{tr}(R_1J)$ indeed contain lattices, of which the explicit values of τ and p are in Table 1. They are called *sporadic triangle groups*. We denote the corresponding group by $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$.

τ	p
$\tau_1 = -1 + i\sqrt{2}$	3, 4, 6
$\tau_2 = -(1 + i\sqrt{7})/2$	3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12
$\tau_3 = e^{-\pi i/9}(-e^{-2\pi i/3} - (1 - \sqrt{5})/2)$	2, 3, 4
$\tau_4 = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$	3, 4, 5, 10

TABLE 1. Values of p, τ such that $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$ are lattices.

Note that the list here is given up to complex conjugation and multiplication by a cube root of unity. In Section 4, we will give the analysis on \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups of complex hyperbolic lattices $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$ for $\tau = \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_4$. It is plausible to consider that one could also identify the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups for τ_3 ; however, this has not been achieved by our present method.

3.2. Non-equilateral triangle groups. In this section, we review notation for the non-equilateral triangle groups which come from Thompson's thesis [14]. They can be parameterised by a triple of complex numbers ρ, σ, τ . The three numbers will be all equal to τ as above in the case of equilateral triangle. In the same fashion, we assume that the generators are of order p , $u = e^{2\pi i/3p}$ and the Hermitian form is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta_1 & \bar{\beta}_3 \\ \bar{\beta}_1 & \alpha & \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 & \bar{\beta}_2 & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha = 2 - u^3 - \bar{u}^3$, $\beta_1 = (\bar{u}^2 - u)\rho$, $\beta_2 = (\bar{u}^2 - u)\sigma$, $\beta_3 = (\bar{u}^2 - u)\tau$ and

$$\rho = (u^2 - \bar{u}) \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_1 \rangle}{\|\mathbf{n}_2\| \|\mathbf{n}_1\|}, \quad \sigma = (u^2 - \bar{u}) \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}_3, \mathbf{n}_2 \rangle}{\|\mathbf{n}_3\| \|\mathbf{n}_2\|}, \quad \tau = (u^2 - \bar{u}) \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_3 \rangle}{\|\mathbf{n}_1\| \|\mathbf{n}_3\|}.$$

The generators which preserve the above Hermitian form H are given by

$$(3.2) \quad R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u^2 & \rho & -u\bar{\tau} \\ 0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\ -u\bar{\rho} & u^2 & \sigma \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\ \tau & -u\bar{\sigma} & u^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The elements R_1, R_2, R_3 are determined up to conjugacy by $|\rho|, |\sigma|, |\tau|$ and $\arg(\rho\sigma\tau)$, see [4, 10]. Suppose that the order of 23, 31, 12 and $1\bar{3}23$ are a, b, c, d respectively. We

	a	b	c	d	$o(123)$	ρ	σ	τ	lattices for p
S_2	3	3	4	5	5	$1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}e^{2\pi i/3}$	1	1	3, 4, 5
E_2	3	4	4	4	6	$\sqrt{2}$	$e^{-2\pi i/3}$	$\sqrt{2}$	3, 4, 6, 12
H_1	3	3	4	7	42	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{7}}{2}$	$e^{-4\pi i/7}$	$e^{-4\pi i/7}$	2, -7
H_2	3	3	5	5	15	$-1 - e^{-2\pi i/5}$	$e^{4\pi i/5}$	$e^{4\pi i/5}$	2, 3, 5, 10, -5

TABLE 2. Lists of parameters of some lattices in Thompson triangle groups. The negative values of p correspond to the conjugate values of parameters of Thompson triangle groups.

write $(a, b, c; d)$ for the groups generated by complex reflections in a triangle with angles $\pi/a, \pi/b, \pi/c$ satisfying that the order of $1\bar{3}23$ is d . Note that here $a, b, c \geq 3$ because the $(2, b, c)$ triangle groups are rigid in $\text{PU}(2, 1)$. In Table 2, we list only some values of ρ, σ, τ which correspond to lattices. For the construction of the fundamental domain of

these lattices, we refer to [3] for further details. We give the explicit structures of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups stabilizing the complex geodesic L_1 in Thompson triangle groups S_2 and E_2 as Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4. Also one could possibly identify the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups for H_1, H_2 ; however, this has not been achieved by our present method

4. \mathbb{C} -FUCHSIAN SUBGROUPS AND THEIR EXPLICIT FUNDAMENTAL DOMAINS

Let us firstly recall the Poincaré polygon theorem in hyperbolic plane (see [1]), which is the tool for us to elaborate the structure of certain \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups in complex hyperbolic triangle lattices, then give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let D be a polygon in the hyperbolic plane satisfying the following conditions and denote $D \cup \partial D$ by \bar{D} .*

(i) *For each side s of D , there is a side s' and an element g_s (of the isometries of the hyperbolic plane) such that $g_s(s) = s'$, we call each g_s the side pairing transformation.*

(ii) *$g_{s'} = g_s^{-1}$. Observe that if there is a side s , with $s' = s$, then it implies that $g_s^2 = Id$. If this occurs, the relation $g_s^2 = Id$ is called a reflection relation. Now let G be the group generated by the g'_s .*

(iii) *$g_s(D) \cap D = \emptyset$.*

(iv) *For each vertex x of D , there are vertices $x_0(= x), x_1, \dots, x_n$ of D and elements $f_0(= Id), f_1, \dots, f_n$ of G such that the sets $f_j(N_j)$ ($N_j = \{y \in \bar{D} : d(y, x_j) < \epsilon\}$) are non-overlapping sets whose union is $B(x, \epsilon)$ (the ball centered at x with radius ϵ) and such that each f_{j+1} is of the form $f_j g_s$ for some s ($j = 1, \dots, n; f_{n+1} = Id$).*

(v) *The ϵ in the above condition can be chosen independently of x in \bar{D} .*

Then the group G generated by the side pairing transformations is discrete, and D is a fundamental polygon for G .

Before we give the proof of Theorem 1.1, we state the Cosine Rule for a hyperbolic triangle; this will be our tool of checking the local tiling condition near each vertex below.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that a hyperbolic triangle have sides a, b and c and opposite angles α, β , and γ . Then the following formula holds*

$$(4.3) \quad \cosh c = \cosh a \cosh b - \sinh a \sinh b \cos \gamma.$$

For the details, see Section 7.12 in [1].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that \mathbf{n}_i is the polar vector of R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and $u = e^{i\phi/3} = e^{2ip/3}$. By the trace formula of $\text{tr}(R_1 J)$ in [9], we may write τ as

$$\tau = \text{tr}(R_1 J) = (u^2 - \bar{u}) \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}_{j+1}, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle}{\|\mathbf{n}_{j+1}\| \|\mathbf{n}_j\|}.$$

We normalise \mathbf{n}_i so that $\langle \mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle = 2 - u^3 - \bar{u}^3$. Then one can get that $\langle \mathbf{n}_{i+1}, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle = (\bar{u}^2 - u)\tau$. We now choose the polar vectors \mathbf{n}_i of the complex geodesics R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) to be the normal basis of \mathbb{C}^3 , i.e.

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{n}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{n}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{n}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore the corresponding matrix representation of complex hyperbolic isometry J and the Hermitian form H are given respectively by

$$(4.5) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \bar{\beta} \\ \bar{\beta} & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \bar{\beta} & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the Hermitian form is of signature $(2, 1)$ if and only if

$$\det(H) = 1 - 3a^2 + 2a^3(\omega^3 + \bar{\omega}^3) < 0.$$

All the lattices we will consider below satisfy the above inequality. We can get the matrix representation of R_1 in $SU(2, 1)$ by the formula (2.1)

$$(4.6) \quad R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u^2 & \tau & -u\bar{\tau} \\ 0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Correspondingly, one can get the matrix forms of R_2, R_3 by the relations

$$R_2 = JR_1J^{-1}, \quad R_3 = JR_2J^{-1}.$$

Let \mathbf{v}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) denotes a lift of the three vertices of the triangle, i.e. $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{n}_{i+1} \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_{i+2}$. A direct computation yields

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^2 - |\beta|^2 \\ \beta^2 - \alpha\bar{\beta} \\ -\alpha\beta + \bar{\beta}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha\beta + \bar{\beta}^2 \\ \alpha^2 - |\beta|^2 \\ \beta^2 - \alpha\bar{\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta^2 - \alpha\bar{\beta} \\ -\alpha\beta + \bar{\beta}^2 \\ \alpha^2 - |\beta|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In what follows, we investigate the subgroups of triangle lattices $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$ (see Table 1), which fix complex geodesic L_1 by considering fundamental domains of the triangle lattices one by one. We refer to Appendix in [3] for the details of the explicit presentations of triangle lattices $\mathcal{S}(p, \tau)$ and the combinatorial invariant shells. We wish to emphasize that the invariant shells are the side representatives of the fundamental domains for the complex hyperbolic lattices.

(i) $\tau = -1 + i\sqrt{2}$.

The triangle lattice Γ is generated by R_1, R_2, R_3, J , explicitly

$$\langle R_1, R_2, R_3, J : R_1^p, J^3, (R_1 J)^8, R_3 = J R_2 J^{-1} = J^{-1} R_1 J, (R_1 R_2)^{\frac{3p}{p-3}}, br_3(R_1, R_2 R_3 R_2 R_3^{-1} R_2^{-1}), \\ br_6(R_1, R_2), br_4(R_1, R_2 R_3 R_2^{-1}), (R_1 R_2 R_3 R_2^{-1})^{\frac{4p}{p-4}}, br_3(R_1, R_3^{-1} R_2^{-1} R_3 R_2 R_3) \rangle$$

Unless otherwise stated, relations involving negative or infinite exponents shall be removed from the presentation. In the form of a list of side representatives of Γ 's fundamental domain, the rough structure of the invariant shells is given by

$$(4.7) \quad [6] 1; 2, 3; [4] 2; 1, 23\bar{2}; [3] 23\bar{2}; 1, 232\bar{3}\bar{2}; [3] 232\bar{3}\bar{2}; 1, \bar{3}\bar{2}323,$$

where $[k] a; b, c$ denotes a k -gon pyramid with base L_a (which is fixed by element a). In Figure 1, we give a rough picture of $[6] 1; 2, 3$.




FIGURE 1. Pyramid corresponding to $[6] 1; 2, 3$ with the base L_1 fixed by the complex reflection R_1 . Note that $\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{3}2323 = 232\bar{3}\bar{2}$ is a consequence of the braid relation $br_6(R_1, R_2)$.

Here each vertex \mathbf{z}_i is the intersection point of the lateral edge with the base edge L_1 , therefore usually the formula of the vertices can be written in this form: $\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_2$, $\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_2(\mathbf{n}_3)$ and so on. However, one should note that the form of each vertex of such pyramid depends on p ; for example, the vertex \mathbf{z}_2 (the intersection point of $R_2(L_3)$ with L_1) will be slightly changed when $p = 6$. One can check that $\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_2(\mathbf{n}_3)$ is a positive vector which is a polar vector of the common perpendicular complex geodesic $L_{123\bar{2}}$ to L_1 and $R_2(L_3)$. Actually, the point \mathbf{z}_2 will be $\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes (\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes 2(\mathbf{n}_3))$.

We take elements of the triangle lattice such that each of the four shells in (4.7) to a pyramid with the same base L_1 . Note that all of them indeed exist among their tessellation to the complex hyperbolic plane. We leave $[6] 1; 2, 3$ invariant and do minor surgeries to the other three pyramids such that each of them has base L_1 . Firstly, we consider the action of the element J^{-1} on the pyramid $[4] 2; 1, 23\bar{2}$ with base L_2 . Then one can get a new pyramid with base $J^{-1}(L_2)$ fixed by $J^{-1}2J$. The new pyramid is identified with

$[4] J^{-1}2J; J^{-1}1J, J^{-1}23\bar{2}J$ which can be written as $[4] 1; 3, 12\bar{1}$ due to $R_{j+1} = JR_jJ^{-1}$. Similarly, we deform the other two pyramids in (4.7) to be with the same base L_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} [4] 2; 1, 23\bar{2} &\xrightarrow{J^{-1}} [4] 1; 3, 12\bar{1} \\ [3] 23\bar{2}; 1, 232\bar{3}\bar{2} &\xrightarrow{J} [3] 31\bar{3}; 2, 313\bar{1}\bar{3} \xrightarrow{\bar{3}} [3] 1; \bar{3}23, 13\bar{1} \\ [3] 232\bar{3}\bar{2}; 1, \bar{3}\bar{2}323 &\xrightarrow{J^{-1}} [3] 121\bar{2}\bar{1}; 3, \bar{2}\bar{1}212 \xrightarrow{\bar{2}\bar{1}} [3] 1; \bar{2}\bar{1}312, 12\bar{1} \end{aligned}$$

Along the same base L_1 , we paste the four pyramids

$$(4.8) \quad [6] 1; 2, 3; [4] 1; 3, 12\bar{1}; [3] 1; \bar{3}23, 13\bar{1}; [3] 1; \bar{2}\bar{1}312, 12\bar{1},$$

and mainly focus on the obtained decagon which lies in the closure of complex geodesic L_1 (homeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}$). Its vertices are the intersection points of complex geodesic L_1 with other complex geodesics. Generally, the decagon F and its vertices are as follows




FIGURE 2. The decagon F

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \bar{3}\bar{2}(\mathbf{n}_3)), \quad x_1 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \bar{3}(\mathbf{n}_2)), \quad x_2 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \bar{3}231(\mathbf{n}_3)), \quad x_3 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_3), \\ x_4 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes 31(\mathbf{n}_2)), \quad x_5 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes 1\bar{2}\bar{1}(\mathbf{n}_3)), \quad x_6 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \bar{2}\bar{1}3121(\mathbf{n}_2)), \quad x_7 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_2), \\ x_8 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes 2(\mathbf{n}_3)), \quad x_9 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes 23(\mathbf{n}_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Here x_0 just denotes the intersection point of L_1 with $R_3^{-1}R_2^{-1}(L_3)$ (the point fixed by the complex reflection $\bar{3}\bar{2}323$), i.e. x_0 is the fixed point of $1\bar{3}\bar{2}323$. Just as we stated previously, one should note that the formulas of the vertices above depend on p . For

example, the formula for the point x_7 (the intersection point of L_1 with L_2) will be $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes (\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_2))$ when $p = 4$, which lies in the complex geodesic L_1 and is fixed by 12.

From the combinatorics of the four pyramids (4.8), we know that the decagon composes of a hexagon P_1 with vertices $x_0, x_1, x_3, x_7, x_8, x_9$, a quadrilateral P_2 with vertices x_3, x_4, x_5, x_7 , a triangle P_3 with vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 and a triangle P_4 with vertices x_5, x_6, x_7 , i.e. it comprises ten sides l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{10} , where

$$(4.9) \quad l_i = \mathbf{P}(\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}) \cap L_1.$$

In order to find the explicit structure of the Fuchsian group stabilizing L_1 , we start from the side pairing transformations for the decagon. One can separately consider the transformations which convert vertices from the construction of above four polygons. Note that the element $\bar{3}23$ transfers the complex geodesic $L_{\bar{3}\bar{2}323}$ fixed by $\bar{3}\bar{2}323$ to the complex geodesic L_3 fixed by R_3 when focusing on the hexagon P_1 . Also, the element $\bar{3}23$ transfers the complex geodesic L_3 to the complex geodesic $L_{\bar{3}2313\bar{1}\bar{3}23}$ when focusing on the triangle P_3 . Then we consider the element $(1\bar{3}23)^2$ which fixes the vertex x_1 and obtain that $(1\bar{3}23)^2(l_1) = l_2$. In the same manner, one can get that $(13)^3$ fixes x_3 and maps l_3 to l_4 ; $(12)^3$ fixes x_7 and maps l_7 to l_8 .

The difficulty here is pairing the remaining four sides l_5, l_6, l_9, l_{10} . We have that $(12)^3(x_6) = x_8$, and now pay attention to the stabilizer $123\bar{2}$ of x_8 . A direct computation yields that $(123\bar{2})^2 \circ (12)^3(x_6) = x_8$ and $(123\bar{2})^2 \circ (12)^3(x_5) = x_9$, i.e.,

$$(123\bar{2})^2 \circ (12)^3(l_6) = l_9.$$

Similarly, one can get that $(1232\bar{3}\bar{2})^3 \circ (123\bar{2})^2 \circ (12)^3(l_5) = l_{10}$. We consider the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup Γ_0 generated by g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 , where

$$g_1 = (1\bar{3}23)^2, \quad g_2 = (13)^3, \quad g_3 = (12)^3, \quad g_4 = (123\bar{2})^2 \circ g_3, \quad g_5 = (1232\bar{3}\bar{2})^3 \circ g_4,$$

which satisfy

$$g_1(l_1) = l_2, \quad g_2(l_3) = l_4, \quad g_3(l_7) = l_8, \quad g_4(l_6) = l_9, \quad g_5(l_5) = l_{10}.$$

Now we only need to check the local tiling condition near every vertex of the decagon F in Figure 2 to show that it is indeed the fundamental domain of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup Γ_0 . It is sufficient to consider the three cycles: $\{x_0, x_2, x_4\}$, $\{x_5, x_9\}$, $\{x_6, x_8\}$. We would like to take the vertex x_8 when $p = 3$ for example. It is easily seen that the order of the stabilizer $g_3 \circ g_4^{-1}$ in Γ_0 of the vertex x_8 is 6 by considering its eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Because the invariant shells (4.7) are the side representatives of the fundamental domain of the complex hyperbolic lattice Γ , the domains $(g_3 \circ g_4^{-1})^m(F)$ do not intersect with each other for $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Let θ_1, θ_2 be the internal angles of x_6, x_8 respectively. Using the Cosine Rule (4.3) for the triangle with vertices $g_3(x_5), x_8, x_7$ lying in the complex geodesic

L_1 (an embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$), one can get that $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ is exactly $2\pi/6$. We conclude, therefore, that the local tiling condition is satisfied for the vertex x_8 when $p = 3$. In the same way, one can check the local tiling condition of all vertices for $p = 3, 4, 6$ by considering the relation of the sum of angles at all elliptic vertices belonging to an elliptic cycle with the order of that cycle.

We obtain that the decagon F is the fundamental domain of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup Γ_0 by Theorem 4.1. Finally, we give the normal representations of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup Γ_0 for $p = 3, 4, 6$.

- $p = 3$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 : g_1^6, (g_5 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^6, (g_5^{-1} \circ g_4)^6, (g_4^{-1} \circ g_3)^6 \rangle,$$

where g_2, g_3 are unipotent parabolic elements, g_4, g_5 are loxodromic elements.

- $p = 4$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 : g_2^4, g_3^4, (g_5 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^4, (g_5^{-1} \circ g_4)^4 \rangle$$

where g_1 is unipotent parabolic, g_4, g_5 are loxodromic elements. In this case, the vertex x_6 lies in the boundary of the disk.

- $p = 6$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 : g_1^6, g_2^2, g_3^2, (g_4^{-1} \circ g_3)^6 \rangle$$

where $g_5 \circ g_2 \circ g_1$ is ellipto-parabolic element. In this case x_0 lies in the boundary of the disk.

(ii) $\tau = -\frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{2}$.

The triangle lattice Γ is generated by R_1, R_2, R_3, J , explicitly

$$\langle R_1, R_2, R_3, J : R_1^p, J^3, (R_1 J)^7, R_3 = J R_2 J^{-1} = J^{-1} R_1 J, (R_1 R_2)^{\frac{4p}{p-4}}, \\ br_4(R_1, R_2), (R_1 R_2 R_3 R_2^{-1})^{\frac{6p}{p-6}}, br_3(R_1, R_2 R_3 R_2^{-1}) \rangle$$


The rough structure of the invariant shell is given by

$$[4] 1; 2, 3; \quad [3] 2; 1, 23\bar{2}.$$

The element J^{-1} maps the shell $[3] 2; 1, 23\bar{2}$ to $[3] 1; 3, 12\bar{1}$. Pasting the two shells

$$(4.10) \quad [4] 1; 2, 3; \quad [3] 1; 3, 12\bar{1}$$

along the bases in L_1 , we get a pentagon with vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 , which composes of a tetragon P_1 with vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5 and a triangle P_2 with vertices x_3, x_4, x_5 as follows

FIGURE 3. The polygon F_1

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_2(\mathbf{n}_3)), & x_2 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_3^{-1}(\mathbf{n}_2)), & x_3 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_3), \\ x_4 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_3 R_1(\mathbf{n}_2)), & x_5 &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the singular points existed in [3], we restrict to the singular point x_0 fixed by $23\bar{2}P^2$ (where $P = R_1J$). A direct computation yields that x_0 lies in the geodesic spanned by x_1, x_2 and $23\bar{2}P^2$ maps x_1 to x_2 . Thence we consider a hexagon comprising the following sides

$$(4.11) \quad l_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_i, x_0\}) \cap L_1, & i = 1, 2. \\ \mathbf{P}(\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}) \cap L_1, & i = 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

By the composition of this hexagon, one could check that the second power of the element 12 maps x_4 to x_1 . Then we get that $(12)^2(l_5) = l_6$ because of $(12)^2(x_4) = x_1$ and $(12)^2(x_5) = x_5$. Similarly, it is easy to check that $(13)^2(l_3) = l_4$. Furthermore, it follows from $23\bar{2}P^2(x_0) = x_0$, $23\bar{2}P^2(x_1) = x_2$ that $23\bar{2}P^2(l_1) = l_2$. Now we have paired the sides of the pentagon drawn above. For each vertex of the cycle $\{x_1, x_2, x_4\}$, one can verify that it satisfies local tiling condition by considering the sum of angle of all vertices and the order of its stabilizer.

By Theorem 4.1, we get the fundamental domain in L_1 (which is the hexagon F_1 in Figure 3) of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroup generated by g_1, g_2, g_3 , where

$$g_1 = (12)^2, \quad g_2 = 23\bar{2}P^2, \quad g_3 = (13)^2.$$

We elaborate the structure of the Fuchsian groups for $p = 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12$ in what follows.

- $p = 3$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^6, g_2^6, g_3^6, (g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^2 \rangle.$$

- $p = 4$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_2^8, (g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^2 \rangle$$

where g_1, g_3 are unipotent parabolics.

- $p = 5$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^{10}, g_2^{10}, g_3^{10}, (g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^{10} \rangle.$$

- $p = 6$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^6, g_2^{12}, g_3^6 \rangle$$

where $g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1$ is an ellipto-parabolic element which acts as a parabolic element of the disk. The vertex x_1 lies in the boundary.

- $p = 8$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^4, g_2^{16}, g_3^4, (g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^8 \rangle.$$

- $p = 12$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^3, g_2^{24}, g_3^4, (g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1)^4 \rangle.$$

- (iii) $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

The triangle lattice Γ is generated by R_1, R_2, R_3, J , explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_1, R_2, R_3, J : R_1^p, J^3, (R_1 J)^5, R_3 = J R_2 J^{-1} = J^{-1} R_1 J, \text{br}_5(R_1, R_2), \\ (R_1 R_2)^{\frac{10p}{3p-10}}, \text{br}_3(R_1, R_2 R_3 R_2^{-1}), (R_1^{-1} R_2 R_3 R_2^{-1})^{\frac{6p}{p-6}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We consider the combinatorics of the fundamental domain for this triangle lattice which comprises the following two invariant shells

$$[5] 1; 2, 3; \quad [3] 2; 1, 23\bar{2}.$$

Following the process of the previous cases, we firstly map the pyramid $[3] 2; 1, 23\bar{2}$ to $[3] 1; 3, 12\bar{1}$ by the action of the element J^{-1} . We paste the two pyramids

$$(4.12) \quad [5] 1; 2, 3; \quad [3] 1; 3, 12\bar{1}$$

along the bases in L_1 . Then we get a hexagon in Figure 4 with the following vertices


$$\begin{aligned} x_0 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_3^{-1} R_2^{-1}(\mathbf{n}_3)), \quad x_1 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_3^{-1}(\mathbf{n}_2)), \quad x_2 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_3), \\ x_3 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_3 R_1(\mathbf{n}_2)), \quad x_4 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{n}_2), \quad x_5 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{n}_1 \boxtimes R_2(\mathbf{n}_3)). \end{aligned}$$

The hexagon composes of a pentagon P_1 with vertices x_0, x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5 and a triangle P_2 with vertices x_2, x_3, x_4 . We write the sides of the hexagon F_2 as follows

$$(4.13) \quad l_i = \mathbf{P}(\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}) \cap L_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 6.$$

Let $g_1 = 13\bar{2}323$, $g_2 = 1312\bar{1}3$. A trivial verification shows that

$$g_1(x_0) = x_0, \quad g_1(x_5) = x_1, \quad g_2(x_3) = x_3, \quad g_2(x_2) = x_4.$$

FIGURE 4. The hexagon F_2

It follows immediately that $g_1(l_6) = l_1$ and $g_2(l_3) = l_4$. For the left sides l_5 with endpoints x_4, x_5 and l_2 with endpoints x_2, x_1 , we firstly apply the element g_1 to map l_5 to a geodesic l , one of whose endpoint is x_1 because of $g_1(x_5) = x_1$. Due to the construction of the fundamental domain for the triangle lattice Γ and $\bar{13}23$ fixes x_1 , a direct calculation yields that $(\bar{13}23)^3 \cdot g_1$ maps x_5, x_4 to x_2, x_1 respectively. Therefore, we know that $g_3(l_5) = l_2$, where $g_3 = (\bar{13}23)^3 \circ g_1$. One could finally check the local tiling condition for the vertices of the two cycles: $\{x_1, x_5\}, \{x_2, x_4\}$ which follows by the same method as in the first case $\tau = -1 + i\sqrt{2}$.

By Theorem 4.1, we get the fundamental domain in L_1 (which is a hexagon) of the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian group generated by g_1, g_2, g_3 . We list the explicit representation for different values of $p = 3, 4, 5, 10$ here.

- $p = 3$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^3, g_2^3, (g_3 \circ g_2)^6, (g_3^{-1} \circ g_1)^2 \rangle$$

where g_3 is a loxodromic element.

- $p = 4$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^4, g_2^4, (g_3 \circ g_2)^4, (g_3^{-1} \circ g_1)^4 \rangle$$

where g_3 is a loxodromic element.

- $p = 5$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^5, g_2^5, (g_3 \circ g_2)^2, (g_3^{-1} \circ g_1)^{10} \rangle$$

where g_3 is a loxodromic element.

- $p = 10$,

$$\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 : g_1^{10}, g_2^{10}, g_3^{10}, (g_3 \circ g_2)^2, (g_3^{-1} \circ g_1)^5 \rangle.$$

Now the proof is complete. □

By an almost similar method, one can also consider the structure of ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups in Thompson triangle groups for E_1 and E_2 in Table 2. Recall the matrix normalisation (3.2) for Thompson triangle groups in section 3.2. We just list the generators here and stress that calculations can be very complicated if conducted by hand; the situation is improving significantly when one uses Mathematica for example.

Theorem 4.3. *For Thompson triangle groups S_2 , there exist ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups stabilising L_1 which have the following structure when $p = 3, 4$:*

(i) $p = 3$

$$\langle (13)^3, (1\bar{3}\bar{1}213)^3, (12)^2 \circ (13)^3, \bar{3}\bar{1}Q^{-1}2Q^313, (1\bar{3}\bar{1}231\bar{3}\bar{2}13)^2 \circ (1\bar{3}\bar{1}213)^3 \rangle,$$

where $Q = R_1R_2R_3$;

(ii) $p = 4$

$$\langle (13)^3, (1\bar{3}\bar{1}213)^3, (12)^2 \circ (13)^3, \bar{3}\bar{1}Q^{-1}2Q^313, (1\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}1213)^5 \circ \bar{3}\bar{1}Q^{-1}2Q^313 \circ (12)^2 \circ (13)^3 \rangle.$$

Theorem 4.4. *For Thompson triangle groups E_2 , there exist ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups (which are actually triangle groups) stabilising L_1 which have the following structure when $p = 3, 4, 6$:*

$$\langle (12)^2, (13)^2, 3Q^3\bar{3} \rangle.$$

Also, there exist ℂ-Fuchsian subgroups fixing complex geodesic L_3 which are obviously not conjugate to the ones stated above; the explicit generators are as follows:

$$\langle (3\bar{2}\bar{3}132)^2, (3\bar{2}32)^3, (3\bar{2}12)^2, (3\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{1}23\bar{2}\bar{1}32)^3 \circ \langle (3\bar{2}\bar{3}132)^2 \circ (3\bar{2}12)^2 \rangle \rangle.$$

The Fuchsian groups we investigate above are subgroups of non-arithmetic lattices acting on the complex hyperbolic plane. One can immediately get that: if Γ is a lattice and $A \in \Gamma$ is a complex reflection fixing a complex geodesic L_A , then $\mathbf{Stab}_\Gamma(L_A)$ intersects $\mathbf{Stab}_{SU(2,1)}(L_A)$ in a lattice. Then one can easily get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *There exist lattices in $\mathbf{Stab}_{SU(2,1)}(L_1)$, which could be embedded in $SU(1, 1)$. They are subgroups of the complex hyperbolic triangle groups, which we considered in Theorem 1.1, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4.*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Martin Deraux for drawing her attention on the topic and several valuable discussions. The author is also grateful to Professor Ioannis D. Platis, Professor Toshiyuki Sugawa for providing comments and suggestions on the earlier version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.F. Beardon: *The geometry of discrete groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, (1983).
- [2] M. Deraux: *Deforming the \mathbb{R} -Fuchsian $(4, 4, 4)$ -triangle group into a lattice*, *Topology*, 45 (2006), 989–1020.
- [3] M. Deraux, J.R. Parker, and J. Paupert: *New non-arithmetic complex hyperbolic lattices II*, To appear in *Michigan Math J*.
- [4] ———: *New non-arithmetic complex hyperbolic lattices*, *Invent. Math.*, 203 (2015), 681–771.
- [5] X. Fu, L. Li and X. Wang, *A characterization of Fuchsian groups acting on complex hyperbolic spaces*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 62(137) (2012), 517–525.
- [6] W.M. Goldman: *Complex hyperbolic geometry*, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [7] N. Gusevskii and J.R. Parker: *Representations of free Fuchsian groups in complex hyperbolic space*, *Topology*, 39 (2000), 33–60.
- [8] G.D. Mostow: *On a remarkable class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic space*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 86 (1980), 171–276.
- [9] J.R. Parker: *Unfaithful complex hyperbolic triangle groups. I. Involutions*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 238 (2008), 145–169.
- [10] J.R. Parker and J. Paupert: *Unfaithful complex hyperbolic triangle groups. II. Higher order reflections*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 239 (2009), 357–389.
- [11] J. Parkkonen and F. Paulin: *A classification of \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian subgroups of Picard modular groups*, *Math. Scand.*, 121 (2017), 57–74.
- [12] J.R. Parker and I.D. Platis: *Open sets of maximal dimension in complex hyperbolic quasi-Fuchsian space*, *J. Differential Geom.*, 73 (2006), 319–350.
- [13] M. Stover: *Arithmeticity of complex hyperbolic triangle groups*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 257 (2012), 243–256.
- [14] J.M. Thompson: *Complex hyperbolic triangle groups*, Doctoral thesis, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE, YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY 2-16-1 TOKIWADAI, UBE 755-8611, JAPAN

Email address: `ljsun@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp`

This figure "pyramid.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2111.15038v1>