

UPPER BOUNDS FOR VIRTUAL DIMENSIONS OF SEIBERG-WITTEN MODULI SPACES

TSUYOSHI KATO, DAISUKE KISHIMOTO, NOBUHIRO NAKAMURA,
AND KOUICHI YASUI

ABSTRACT. Given a closed four-manifold with $b_1 = 0$ and a prime number p , we prove that for any mod p basic class, the virtual dimension of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space is bounded above by $2p - 4$ under a mild condition on b_2^+ . As an application, we obtain adjunction inequalities for embedded surfaces with negative self-intersection number.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Seiberg-Witten invariant of a smooth four-manifold has been playing a fundamental role in the study of four-manifolds, and has been a rich source of ideas and applications. The basic ingredient in the construction of the invariant is the moduli space of solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equation, which is defined for each spin^c structure on a four-manifold. The virtual dimension of the moduli space is of particular importance, and there is a fundamental conjecture on it. Let us recall it here. Let X be a closed, connected, oriented and smooth four-manifold, and let \mathfrak{s} be a spin^c structure on X . We will omit a four-manifold X in the notation if it is clear from the context. We say that (the isomorphism class of) \mathfrak{s} is a *basic class* if the Seiberg-Witten invariant $SW(\mathfrak{s}) \neq 0$. Let $d(\mathfrak{s})$ denote the virtual dimension of the moduli space corresponding to \mathfrak{s} . We define that X is of *simple type* if $d(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ whenever \mathfrak{s} is a basic class. Now we state the so-called *simple type conjecture* (see [13, Conjecture 1.6.2]).

Conjecture 1.1. Every closed, connected, oriented and smooth four-manifold with $b_2^+ \geq 2$ is of simple type.

The simple type conjecture was originally posed in connection to Witten's conjecture on the relationship between the Donaldson and the Seiberg-Witten invariants for four-manifolds of simple type. By a partial solution due to Feehan and Leness [6], the simple type conjecture implies that the relation of these invariants holds under mild topological conditions only.

The simple type conjecture trivially holds in the case where $b_2^+ - b_1$ is even, since the Seiberg-Witten invariant always vanishes. In the case that $b_2^+ - b_1$ is odd, the simple type conjecture has been verified for all symplectic four-manifolds [20] and also for other very large families of four-manifolds. However, so far, there is no result without demanding a condition on a smooth structure, except for the following work. We say that \mathfrak{s} is a *mod q basic class* if $SW(\mathfrak{s}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. So we can consider the mod q analogue of the simple type conjecture, and recently, Kato, Nakamura and Yasui [11] solved the mod 2 analogue under a mild condition on the cohomology ring, which depends only on the underlying topological structure.

Date: December 1, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57K41, Secondary 55Q55.

Key words and phrases. Simple type conjecture, Seiberg-Witten invariant, Bauer-Furuta invariant, Stable cohomotopy group.

In this paper, under a simple topological condition, we give an upper bound for the virtual dimension, giving a new approach to the simple type conjecture. Now we state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be a prime, and suppose $b_2^+ \geq 2$, $b_1 = 0$ and $(b_2^+ - 1)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If \mathfrak{s} is a mod p basic class, then*

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2p - 4.$$

Remark 1.3. We can deduce a rather inexplicit upper bound for the virtual dimension $d(\mathfrak{s})$ from the result of Bauer and Furuta [4, Theorem 3.7] by a purely algebraic argument, as in Section 5. The method for proving Theorem 1.2 is very different as they evaluate the divisibility of the Seiberg-Witten invariant by connecting cohomotopy groups to K -theory while we directly compute cohomotopy groups. Moreover, our upper bound is much sharper.

A straightforward corollary below gives an upper bound for any basic class, not a mod p basic class.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose $b_2^+ \geq 2$ and $b_1 = 0$. For a basic class \mathfrak{s} , let p be the least prime not dividing $SW(\mathfrak{s})$ and satisfying $(b_2^+ - 1)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then*

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2p - 4.$$

From this corollary, we can derive a coarse but more concrete upper bound.

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose $b_2^+ \geq 2$ and $b_1 = 0$. Then every basic class \mathfrak{s} satisfies*

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq \max\{2|SW(\mathfrak{s})| - 6, b_2^+ - 7, 10\}.$$

Remark 1.6. Theorem 2.1 below shows that we can get a sharper upper bound than Corollary 1.5 if we assume either $|SW(\mathfrak{s})|$ or b_2^+ is large enough. For example (Example 2.5), if $\max\{|SW(\mathfrak{s})|, (b_2^+ - 1)/2\} \geq 44$, then

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq \max\left\{\frac{4}{3}|SW(\mathfrak{s})| - 4, \frac{2}{3}b_2^+ - \frac{14}{3}\right\}.$$

Given a four-manifold and an upper bound for $|SW(\mathfrak{s})|$ of all mod p basic classes \mathfrak{s} , we obtain the following straightforward corollary which gives a uniform upper bound for the virtual dimensions.

Corollary 1.7. *Let p be a prime, and suppose that $b_1 = 0$, $b_2^+ \geq 2$ and $(b_2^+ - 1)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then for any positive integer C , every mod p basic class \mathfrak{s} with $|SW(\mathfrak{s})| \leq C$ satisfies $d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2p - 4$.*

Remark 1.8. We can get an integral version of Corollary 1.7, that is to use a basic class, instead of a mod p basic class, as well as Corollary 1.4.

Let us consider a small prime p . Clearly, if p is small, then the upper bound in Theorem 1.2 imposes a strong constraint on the virtual dimension $d(\mathfrak{s})$. Here, we consider the $p = 2, 3$ cases. For $p = 2$, $(b_2^+ - 1)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ is equivalent to $b_2^+ \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ in Theorem 1.2 because $SW(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ for b_2^+ even. Then we obtain that if $b_2^+ \geq 2$, $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2^+ \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $d(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ for every mod 2 basic class \mathfrak{s} . This is the special case $b_1 = 0$ of [11, Corollary 1.4], the above mentioned solution to the mod 2 analogue of the simple type conjecture. Our proof is very different from theirs. Indeed, their proof relies on connected sum formulae for the Bauer-Furuta invariant [5, 10] and thus does not give upper bounds for virtual dimensions in the $p \geq 3$ case. For p odd, $(b_2^+ - 1)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to $b_2^+ \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then for $p = 3$, we have:

Corollary 1.9. *Suppose that $b_1 = 0$, $b_2^+ \geq 2$ and $b_2^+ \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If \mathfrak{s} is a mod 3 basic class, then*

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) = 0 \text{ or } 2.$$

We turn to an application of Theorem 1.2. A typical application of an affirmative solution to the simple type conjecture is an adjunction inequality for embedded surfaces with negative self-intersection number in the case $b_1 = 0$ [16]. Here, we prove an adjunction inequality from Theorem 1.2, instead of assuming manifolds being of simple type. For a second homology class α of a four-manifold, an adjunction inequality gives a lower bound for the genus of a smoothly embedded closed oriented surface representing α , and adjunction inequalities have various powerful applications to four-dimensional topology (e.g. [1, 2, 8, 24, 11]). When $\alpha \cdot \alpha \geq 0$, adjunction inequalities were previously obtained in [12, 14, 17]. When $\alpha \cdot \alpha < 0$, Ozsváth and Szabó [17] proved an adjunction inequality for four-manifolds satisfying a simple type condition on the extended Seiberg-Witten invariant, where in the $b_1 = 0$ case, their simple type condition is the same as ours. Applying Theorem 1.2 and results of Ozsváth and Szabó [16, 17], we obtain adjunction inequalities without assuming any simple type condition.

Theorem 1.10. *Let p be a prime, and suppose that $b_2^+ \geq 2$, $b_1 = 0$, $(b_2^+ - 1)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and \mathfrak{s} is a mod p basic class. Let α be a second homology class of X which satisfies $\alpha \cdot \alpha < 0$ and is represented by a smoothly embedded closed oriented surface of genus g .*

(1) *If $g \geq 2p - 3$, then*

$$|\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle| + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2g - 2.$$

(2) *If $g \geq p - 1$, then*

$$|\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle| + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2g - 2.$$

We note that the $p = 2$ case of this theorem is a special case of a result of Kato, Nakamura and Yasui [11, Theorem 1.7]. On the other hand, we can also derive adjunction inequalities for a basic class, instead of a mod p basic class. For a basic class \mathfrak{s} , as seen from the proof of Corollary 1.5, we can find a prime p with $p \leq \max\{|SW(\mathfrak{s})| - 1, (b_2^+ - 3)/2, 7\}$ satisfying the assumption of the above theorem. Hence, the adjunction inequalities in (1) and (2) hold for any ordinary basic class \mathfrak{s} if $g \geq \max\{2SW(\mathfrak{s}) - 5, b_2^+ - 6, 11\}$ and $g \geq \max\{|SW(\mathfrak{s})| - 2, (b_2^+ - 5)/2, 6\}$, respectively. As well as Corollary 1.5, these conditions get better as either $|SW(\mathfrak{s})|$ or b_2^+ get larger (see Remark 1.6).

To prove Theorem 1.2, we will employ the Bauer-Furuta invariant, which is a lift of the Seiberg-Witten invariant to the stable cohomotopy group. This will enable us to deduce the divisibility of the Seiberg-Witten invariant from a property of the p -localized cohomotopy groups of complex projective spaces, that will be proved by describing the p -local cell structure of a complex projective space. Considering p -locally is new in the study of Seiberg-Witten invariant, though it is standard in algebraic topology.

Acknowledgements. The authors were supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 17K05248 and 19K03473 (Kishimoto), 17H02841 and 17H06461 (Kato), 19K03506 (Nakamura), 19H01788 and 19K03491 (Yasui).

2. UPPER BOUND

This section proves Theorems 1.2 and Corollary 1.5. Hereafter, let X be a closed, connected, oriented and smooth four-manifold with $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 \geq 2$, and let \mathfrak{s} be a spin^c structure on X .

We use the Bauer-Furuta invariant. Since there is a formula

$$(2.1) \quad d(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \text{sign}(X)}{4} - (1 + b_2^+),$$

it follows from [4, Proposition 3.4] that the Bauer-Furuta invariant $BF(\mathfrak{s})$ belongs to the stable cohomotopy group $\pi^{b_2^+-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{d-1})$, where $2d = d(\mathfrak{s}) + 1 + b_2^+$. Moreover, there is an identity

$$(2.2) \quad \text{hur}(BF(\mathfrak{s})) = SW(\mathfrak{s}),$$

where $\text{hur}: \pi^{b_2^+-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{d-1}) \rightarrow H^{b_2^+-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{d-1})$ denotes the Hurewicz homomorphism. Note that $H^{b_2^+-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{d-1})$ is isomorphic to 0 and \mathbb{Z} according to b_2^+ being even and odd.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $SW(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ for b_2^+ even, we only need to consider the case b_2^+ odd. Let $2n = b_2^+ + 2p - 3$ and $2\delta = d(\mathfrak{s}) - 2p + 2$. Then the Bauer-Furuta invariant $BF(\mathfrak{s})$ belongs to $\pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta})$ as mentioned above. Suppose $d(\mathfrak{s}) \geq 2p - 2$. Then $\delta \geq 0$, and so there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta}) & \xrightarrow{\text{hur}} & H^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta}) \\ \downarrow i_2^* & & \cong \downarrow i_2^* \\ \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{hur}} & H^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \\ \downarrow i_1^* & & \cong \downarrow i_1^* \\ \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{hur}} & H^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}), \end{array}$$

where $i_1: \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $i_2: \mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta}$ are inclusions. By the assumption $b_2^+ \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have $n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, and so by Proposition 4.5 in the next section, the map

$$i_1^* \otimes 1: \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

is identified with $p: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, the multiplication by p , where $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ denotes the ring of all rational numbers whose denominators are not divisible by p . Then the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism $\text{hur}: \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta})$ is included in $p\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \cong H^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+\delta})$. Thus by the identity (2.2), we obtain that $SW(\mathfrak{s})$ is divisible by p , which contradicts to the assumption that \mathfrak{s} is a mod p basic class. Thus we must have $d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2p - 3$. Since \mathfrak{s} is a basic class, $d(\mathfrak{s})$ is even. Therefore we obtain $d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2p - 4$, proving the statement. \square

We prove the crucial part of Corollary 1.5 in a more general form. To this end, we consider primes in intervals. For $c \in (1/2, 1]$, let $S_{c,n}$ be the infimum of real numbers such that for each $x \geq S_{c,n}$, there are at least n primes in $(x/2, x)$ for $c = 1$ and $(x/2, cx]$ for $c < 1$. Lemma 2.3 guarantees that $S_{c,n}$ certainly exists for each c, n , where we can easily see that $S_{c,n}$ is actually the least real number satisfying the above condition.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = \max\{|SW(\mathfrak{s})|, (b_2^+ - 1)/2\}$ and $c \in (1/2, 1]$. If \mathfrak{s} is a basic class and $n \geq S_{c,2}$, then*

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq \begin{cases} 2n - 6 & (c = 1) \\ 2cn - 4 & (c < 1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $n \geq S_{c,2}$, there are two primes p, q in $(n/2, n)$ for $c = 1$ and $(n/2, cn] \subset (n/2, n)$ for $c < 1$. Then $p < n < 2p$ and $q < n < 2q$, implying that n is not divisible by p and q . Moreover, for any $1 \leq m \leq n$, we have $m < pq$, implying m is not

divisible by at least one of p, q . Then $SW(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot (b_2^+ - 1)/2$ is not divisible by either p or q . Thus by Corollary 1.4, $d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2 \max\{p, q\} - 4$. Clearly, $\max\{p, q\} \leq n - 1$ for $c = 1$ and $\max\{p, q\} \leq cn$ for $c < 1$, completing the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. For $n < S_{c,2}$, we can alternatively apply Corollary 1.5 to get an upper bound for $d(\mathfrak{s})$.

To make Theorem 2.1 applicable, we give an upper bound for $S_{c,n}$ in terms of a generalized Ramanujan prime introduced in [3]. For $c \in (0, 1)$, the n -th c -Ramanujan prime $R_{c,n}$ is defined to be the least number such that for any $x \geq R_{c,n}$, $(cx, x]$ includes at least n primes. Clearly, $R_{c,n}$ is a prime, and $R_{\frac{1}{2},n}$ coincides with the Ramanujan prime R_n (see [19]). It is proved in [3, Theorem 2.2] that $R_{c,n}$ exists for each c, n , and as in [3, Section 1], for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad R_{\frac{1}{2},n} = 2, 11, 17, 29, 41 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\frac{3}{4},n} = 11, 29, 59, 67, 101.$$

Lemma 2.3. *There are inequalities*

$$S_{1,n} \leq R_{\frac{1}{2},n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{c,n} \leq \frac{1}{c} R_{\frac{1}{2c},n},$$

where $c \in (1/2, 1)$.

Proof. If $x \geq R_{\frac{1}{2},n+1}$, then $(x/2, x]$ includes at least $n + 1$ primes, implying that $(x/2, x)$ includes at least n primes. Hence the first inequality is proved. Let $c \in (1/2, 1)$. If $cx \geq R_{\frac{1}{2c},n}$, then there are at least n primes in $(x/2, cx]$, implying the second inequality. \square

We give a coarse upper bound for $R_{c,n}$, which helps evaluate $S_{c,n}$ by Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *For $n \geq 1$ and $c \in (0, 1)$, there is an inequality*

$$R_{c,n} \leq \max \left\{ (2[\sqrt{2n} + 1])!, \exp \left(\frac{-\log c + \frac{3}{2}}{1 - c} \right), \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{c}, 59 \right\},$$

where $[x]$ denotes the least integer $\geq x$.

Proof. Let $\pi(x)$ denote the prime counting function, that is, $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes $\leq x$. By [18, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1], for $x > \max\{59, e^{\frac{3}{2}}/c\}$, we have

$$\pi(x) - \pi(cx) > \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \log x} \right) - \frac{cx}{\log(cx) - \frac{3}{2}}.$$

If $x \geq \exp \left(\frac{-\log c + \frac{3}{2}}{1 - c} \right)$, then $\frac{x}{\log x} - \frac{cx}{\log(cx) - \frac{3}{2}} \geq 0$, and so

$$\pi(x) - \pi(cx) \geq \frac{x}{2(\log x)^2}.$$

Clearly, $\frac{x}{2(\log x)^2} \geq n$ if and only if $e^{\sqrt{x}} - x^{\sqrt{2n}} \geq 0$. For $x \geq (2[\sqrt{2n} + 1])!$, we have

$$e^{\sqrt{x}} - x^{\sqrt{2n}} > \frac{x^{[\sqrt{2n}+1]}}{(2[\sqrt{2n} + 1])!} - x^{\sqrt{2n}} \geq 0.$$

Thus the proof is complete. \square

Now we are ready to prove Corollary 1.5.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $n = \max\{|SW(\mathfrak{s})|, (b_2^+ - 1)/2\}$. By (2.3) and Lemma 2.3, we get $S_{1,2} \leq R_{1,3} = 17$. Then by Theorem 2.1, we obtain the inequality in the statement for $n \geq 17$. We can easily check that if $12 \leq n \leq 16$, then there are two primes in $(n/2, n)$, so that the proof of Theorem 2.1 for $c = 1$ works verbatim to show the inequality holds for $12 \leq n \leq 16$. Suppose $1 \leq m \leq n \leq 11$. Then

m, n are divisible by at most two of 2, 3, 5, 7, 11. Moreover, at most one of m, n is divisible by 11, and if this is the case, mn is not divisible by at least one of 2, 3, 5, 7. We also have that at most one of m, n is divisible by 7, and if this is the case, mn is not divisible by at least one of 2, 3, 5. Then we obtain that $SW(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot (b_2^+ - 1)/2$ is not divisible by at least one of 2, 3, 5, 7. Thus by Corollary 1.4, $d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2 \cdot 7 - 4 = 10$, completing the proof. \square

Note that Proposition 2.1 implies that if we assume either $|SW(\mathfrak{s})|$ or b_2^+ is large enough, then we could get a sharper upper bound than Corollary 1.5. Here, we give such an example.

Example 2.5. Let $n = \max\{|SW(\mathfrak{s})|, (b_2^+ - 1)/2\}$, and let \mathfrak{s} be a basic class. By (2.3) and Lemma 2.3, $S_{\frac{2}{3}, 2} \leq \frac{3}{2}R_{\frac{3}{4}, 2} = \frac{3}{2} \cdot 29 = 43.5$, and so by Theorem 2.1, for $n \geq 44$, there is an inequality

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq \frac{4}{3}n - 4 = \max\left\{\frac{4}{3}|SW(\mathfrak{s})| - 4, \frac{2}{3}b_2^+ - \frac{14}{3}\right\}.$$

3. ADJUNCTION INEQUALITY

This section proves Theorem 1.10. To this end, we use the Seiberg-Witten invariant of the form

$$SW_{\mathfrak{s}} : \mathbb{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{A}(X) = (\Lambda H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U]$ such that elements of $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ are assumed to be of degree 1 and U is of degree 2. See [16, 17] for details. The above Seiberg-Witten invariant is an extension of the usual Seiberg-Witten invariant because there is an identity

$$SW_{\mathfrak{s}}(U^{d(\mathfrak{s})/2}) = SW(\mathfrak{s})$$

whenever $d(\mathfrak{s})$ is even.

Let α be a second homology class of X represented by a smoothly embedded closed oriented surface Σ of genus g . Let $\text{PD}(\alpha)$ denote the Poincaré dual of α . Since $c_1(\mathfrak{s} + \text{PD}(\alpha)) = c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + 2\text{PD}(\alpha)$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\mathfrak{s} + \text{PD}(\alpha)) &= d(\mathfrak{s}) + c_1(\mathfrak{s})\text{PD}(\alpha) + \text{PD}(\alpha)^2 \\ &= d(\mathfrak{s}) + \langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \equiv w_2(M) \pmod{2}$, the Wu formula implies that $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha$ is an even integer. In particular, $d(\mathfrak{s} + \text{PD}(\alpha))$ is even whenever so is $d(\mathfrak{s})$. We will freely use these facts.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha \geq \max\{2g - 2d(\mathfrak{s}), 0\}$ and $g \geq 1$. If \mathfrak{s} is a mod p basic class, then so is $\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha)$ too.*

Proof. By (3.1) and $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha \geq 0$, there is an inequality $d(\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha)) \geq d(\mathfrak{s})$. Then since $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s}) \geq 2g$ and $g \geq 1$, we can apply [17, Theorem 1.7] to get

$$SW_{\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha)}(U^{d(\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha))/2}) = SW_{\mathfrak{s}}(U^{d(\mathfrak{s})/2}).$$

(In [17, Theorem 1.7], it is stated that $SW_{\mathfrak{s}}(U^d) = SW_{\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}[\Sigma]}(U^{d'})$, where d and d' denote the dimensions of \mathfrak{s} and $\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}[\Sigma]$, respectively. We must be aware this is a typo by dimensionality, and the above equality is the correct one.) Thus by (3), $SW(\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha)) = SW(\mathfrak{s})$, implying that $SW(\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha))$ is a mod p basic class, as stated. \square

When $d(\mathfrak{s}) = 2n \geq 0$, e.g. \mathfrak{s} is a basic class, we define

$$\widehat{X} = X \#_n \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}.$$

Clearly, $b_1(\widehat{X}) = b_1(X) = 0$ and $b_2^+(\widehat{X}) = b_2^+(X) \geq 2$. We may regard that the (co)homology of X is a subgroup of the (co)homology of \widehat{X} . Let $L = c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + 3\text{PD}(e_1) + \cdots + 3\text{PD}(e_n) \in H^2(\widehat{X})$, where each e_i is the second homology class of the i -th $\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ represented by the exceptional sphere. By the blow-up formula [7, 15], there is a spin^c structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}$ on \widehat{X} such that

$$c_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}) = L \quad \text{and} \quad SW(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}) = SW(\mathfrak{s}).$$

Since $\text{sign}(\widehat{X}) = \text{sign}(X) - n$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}) &= \frac{c_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}})^2 - \text{sign}(\widehat{X})}{4} - (1 + b_2^+(\widehat{X})) \\ &= \frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \text{sign}(X)}{4} - (1 + b_2^+(X)) - 2n \\ &= d(\mathfrak{s}) - 2n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\widehat{\alpha} = \alpha - e_1 - \cdots - e_n \in H_2(\widehat{X})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\alpha \cdot \alpha < 0$, $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha \geq 2g$ and $g \geq 1$. If \mathfrak{s} is a mod p basic class, then so is $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} - \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})$ too.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{s} is a basic class, we can consider \widehat{X} and $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}$. We may assume that Σ is also embedded into \widehat{X} . Let

$$\xi(\Sigma) = (U - x_1 y_1) \cdots (U - x_g y_g) \in \mathbb{A}(\widehat{X}),$$

where $\{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g\}$ is the image of the standard symplectic basis of $H_1(\Sigma)$. Then by assumption and $d(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}) = 0$, we can apply [16, Theorem 1.3] to obtain

$$SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})}(\xi(\Sigma)U^m) = SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}}(1),$$

where $2m = \langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha - 2g \geq 0$. Since $b_1(\widehat{X}) = 0$, $i_*(\xi(\Sigma))U^m$ coincides with U^{g+m} modulo torsion elements. Since $SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})}: \mathbb{A}(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is linear, it annihilates torsion elements, so that $SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})}(\xi(\Sigma)U^m) = SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})}(U^{g+m})$. Thus by (3),

$$SW(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})) = SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})}(U^{m+g}) = SW_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}}(1) = SW(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}) = SW(\mathfrak{s}),$$

implying $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\widehat{\alpha})$ is a mod p basic class, as desired. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. (1) By Theorem 1.2 and the assumption, we have $2d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2(2p - 4) \leq 2g - 2$. It thus suffices to prove the case $|\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle| + \alpha \cdot \alpha \geq 0$. By reversing the orientation of the embedded surface if necessary, we may assume $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle \leq 0$, so that $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha \geq 0$. We also have $g \geq 2p - 3 \geq 1$. Assume that $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s}) \geq 2g - 1$. Then since $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s})$ is even, $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s}) \geq 2g$. So by Lemma 3.1, $\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha)$ is a mod p basic class. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} 2d(\mathfrak{s} - \text{PD}(\alpha)) &= 2(-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s})) \\ &\geq -\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s}) \\ &\geq 2g \\ &\geq 2(2p - 3). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain a contradiction to Theorem 1.2. Therefore we must have $-\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + 2d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2g - 2$.

(2) Assume that $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s}) \geq 2g$. Then by Lemma 3.2, $\hat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\hat{\alpha})$ is a mod p basic class. Moreover, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} d(\hat{\mathfrak{s}} + \text{PD}(\hat{\alpha})) &= \langle c_1(\hat{\mathfrak{s}}), \hat{\alpha} \rangle + \hat{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\alpha} + d(\hat{\mathfrak{s}}) \\ &= (\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + 3n) + (\alpha \cdot \alpha - n) + 0 \\ &= \langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s}) \\ &\geq 2g \\ &\geq 2p - 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $d(\mathfrak{s}) = 2n$. So we obtain a contradiction to Theorem 1.2, and hence $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2g - 1$. Since $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s})$ is even, we must have $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle + \alpha \cdot \alpha + d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq 2g - 2$. By reversing the orientation of the embedded surface if necessary, we may assume $\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \alpha \rangle \geq 0$. Thus the proof is complete. \square

4. COHOMOTOPY COMPUTATION

Let p be a prime or zero, and let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ denote the ring of rational numbers whose denominators are not divisible by p . Recall that the p -localization of a finitely generated abelian group A is defined by $A_{(p)} = A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. The 0-localization is alternatively called the rationalization. This section proves a property of the p -localized cohomotopy groups of complex projective spaces for a prime p that is used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

As in [9], we can consider the p -localization of spaces such that for a simply-connected CW complex of finite type X , there is a space $X_{(p)}$ such that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\tilde{H}_*(X_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z})_{(p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*(X_{(p)}) \cong \pi_*(X)_{(p)}.$$

We can also consider the p -localization of maps between spaces, so that the p -localization is a functor, up to homotopy.

Let $\pi^i(X)$ denote the i -th stable cohomotopy group of a space X . By [9, Corollary 6.5], there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi^i(X)_{(p)} \cong \pi^i(X_{(p)})_{(p)}.$$

Then we compute the p -localized cohomotopy groups of the p -localized complex projective space. So for the rest of this section, we will localize everything at a prime p and will omit $_{(p)}$.

First, we recall the (p -localized) stable homotopy groups of a sphere. Let $\pi_i(X)$ denote the i -th stable homotopy group of a space X .

Proposition 4.1. *The (p -localized) stable homotopy groups $\pi_*(S^0)$ for $* \leq 2p - 3$ is given by*

$$\pi_i(S^0) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} & i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/p & i = 2p - 3 \\ 0 & 0 < i < 2p - 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The $p = 2$ case is well known, and the odd prime case is proved by Toda [21, Theorem 4.15]. \square

Next, we prove properties of (p -localized) stunted complex projective spaces that we will need.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $Y = \bigvee_{i=1}^{p-2} S^{2n-2p+2+2i}$. For $k = 0, 1$, there is a stable homotopy equivalences*

$$\mathbb{C}P^{n-k}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} \simeq X_{n-k} \vee Y,$$

where $X_{n-1} = S^{2n-2p+2}$ and $X_n = S^{2n-2p+2} \cup_{\alpha} e^{2n}$ for some $\alpha \in \pi_{2p-3}(S^0)$. Moreover, there is a stably homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & \mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ X_{n-1} \vee Y & \xrightarrow{j \vee 1_Y} & X_n \vee Y, \end{array}$$

where $j: X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n$ denotes the inclusion.

Proof. The inclusions $S^{2n-2p+2+2i} \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^j S^{2n-2p+2+2i}$ induce an isomorphism

$$(4.1) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^j \pi_*(S^{2n-2p+2+2i}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_* \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^j S^{2n-2p+2+2i} \right)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. Then by Proposition 4.1, we can see that all attaching maps of a cell decomposition $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} = S^{2n-2p+2} \cup e^{2n-2p+4} \cup \dots \cup e^{2n-2}$ are stably trivial, and so we obtain a homotopy equivalence for $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}$. By (4.1) and Proposition 4.1, we also get the inclusion $X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_{n-1} \vee Y$ is an isomorphism in π_{2n-1} . Then the attaching map of the $2n$ -cell of $\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} \cup e^{2n}$ is stably identified with the composite

$$S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{2n-2p+2} = X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} X_{n-1} \vee Y$$

for some $\alpha \in \pi_{2p-3}(S^0)$. Thus we obtain a homotopy equivalence for $\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}$ too. The naturality of these equivalences is obvious by the construction. \square

Remark 4.3. Although Lemma 4.2 is stated stably, we can see that only one suspension is enough by the Hilton-Milnor theorem and [22, Theorem 13.4].

Lemma 4.4. *If $n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, then $\alpha \in \pi_{2p-3}(S^0)$ in Lemma 4.2 is non-trivial.*

Proof. Let $\theta = \text{Sq}^2$ for $p = 2$ and $\theta = \mathcal{P}^1$ for p odd. If α is trivial, then there is a stable homotopy equivalence $X_n \simeq S^{2n-2p+2} \vee S^{2n}$, implying that the Steenrod reduced power operation θ acts trivially on the mod p cohomology of X_n . The composition of projections $\mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} \rightarrow X_n$ is an isomorphism in the mod p cohomology of dimensions $2n-2p+2$ and $2n$ because the homotopy equivalences in Lemma 4.2 come from the standard cell decomposition of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Since $n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$\theta(u^{n-p+1}) = (n-p+1)u^n \neq 0,$$

where u is a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$. Then θ acts non-trivially on the mod p cohomology of X_n . Thus we obtain that α is non-trivial. \square

Now we are ready to prove:

Proposition 4.5. *If $n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, then the natural map*

$$(4.2) \quad \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$$

is identified with $p: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, the multiplication by p .

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Then since $\pi^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}) = 0$ for $* \geq 2n - 2p + 1$, we get a natural isomorphism $\pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k}) \cong \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p})$ for $k = 0, 1$. So the map (4.2) is identified with the map

$$(4.3) \quad \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}) \rightarrow \pi^{2n-2p+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p})$$

induced from the inclusion $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}$. By Lemma 4.2, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k}/\mathbb{C}P^{n-p}) \cong \pi^*(X_{n-k}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-2} \pi^*(S^{2n-2p+2+2i}).$$

Then by Proposition 4.1, the map (4.3) is identified with the map

$$(4.4) \quad j^*: \pi^{2n-2p+2}(X_n) \rightarrow \pi^{2n-2p+2}(X_{n-1})$$

induced from the inclusion $j: X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n$. Consider the exact sequence

$$\pi^{2n-2p+2}(S^{2n}) \rightarrow \pi^{2n-2p+2}(X_n) \xrightarrow{j^*} \pi^{2n-2p+2}(X_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \pi^{2n-2p+3}(S^{2n}).$$

By Proposition 4.1, $\pi^{2n-2p+3}(S^{2n}) = \pi_{2p-3}(S^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, and so the map α^* is surjective because by Lemma 4.4, $\alpha^*(1_{S^0}) = \alpha$ is non-trivial in $\pi^{2n-2p+3}(S^{2n}) = \pi_{2p-3}(S^0)$ for $1_{S^0} \in \pi^{2n-2p+2}(X_{n-1}) = \pi_0(S^0)$. By Proposition 4.1, we also have $\pi^{2n-2p+2}(S^{2n}) = \pi_{2p-2}(S^0) = 0$ and $\pi^{2n-2p+2}(X_{n-1}) = \pi^{2n-2p+2}(S^{2n-2p+2}) = \pi_0(S^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Thus the map (4.4) is identified with $p: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, completing the proof. \square

Remark 4.6. Let p be an odd prime. Then $\pi_*(S^0)$ is determined by Toda [21, Theorem 4.15] in a range, which enables us to generalize Proposition 4.5 as follows. Let $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. If $n \not\equiv -1, -2, \dots, -i \pmod{p}$, then the natural map

$$\pi^{2n-2i(p-1)}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow \pi^{2n-2i(p-1)}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-2(i-1)(p-1)-1}).$$

is identified with the map $p^i: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. The key of the proof is the identity [21, Proposition 4.16] involving a Toda bracket

$$\{\alpha_{j-1}, p, \alpha_1\} = \alpha_j$$

for $2 \leq j \leq p-1$, where α_l is a generator of $\pi_{2l(p-1)-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ for $1 \leq l \leq p-1$.

5. INEXPLICIT UPPER BOUND

This section explains an upper bound mentioned in Remark 1.3. So it is completely independent from other sections, and does not contain any result. Let X be a closed, connected, oriented and smooth four-manifold, and let \mathfrak{s} be a spin^c structure on X . We recall a result of Bauer and Furuta [4, Theorem 3.7].

Theorem 5.1. *If $b_2^+ \geq 2$ and $b_1 = 0$, then $SW(\mathfrak{s})$ is divisible by the denominator of $a_i^{(k)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d(\mathfrak{s})/2$, where $k = (b_2^+ - 1)/2$ and $a_i^{(k)}$ is defined by*

$$\left(-\frac{\log(1-x)}{x} \right)^k = \left(1 + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^2}{3} + \cdots + \frac{x^{n-1}}{n} + \cdots \right)^k = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i^{(k)} x^i.$$

Let $d(q, k)$ denote the greatest integer $2d$ such that the denominator of $a_i^{(k)}$ is not divisible by q for $1 \leq i \leq d$. By Theorem 5.1, we get that if \mathfrak{s} is a mod p basic class for a prime p and $k = (b_2^+ - 1)/2$, then there is an inequality

$$d(\mathfrak{s}) \leq d(p, k).$$

Clearly, $d(q, 1) = 2q - 4$. If p is a prime and divides k , then $d(p, k) > 2p - 4$ as $d(p, k) \geq 2p - 4$ and the denominator of $a_{p-1}^{(k)}$ is not divisible by p . Then the upper bound in Theorem 1.2 is much sharper than $d(p, k)$, in general. By putting

$x = e^y - 1$, we can see that the numbers $a_i^{(k)}$ are computed from the Bernoulli numbers, and vice versa. Then it is quite hard to determine or evaluate $a_i^{(k)}$, in general. Thus the upper bound $d(p, k)$ is rather inexplicit.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akbulut and R. Matveyev, Exotic structures and adjunction inequality, *Turkish J. Math.* **21** (1997), no. 1, 47-53. [3](#)
- [2] S. Akbulut and K. Yasui, Cork twisting exotic Stein 4-manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **93** (2013), no. 1, 1-36. [3](#)
- [3] N. Amersi, O. Beckwith, S.J. Miller, R. Ronan, and J. Sondow, Generalized Ramanujan primes. (English summary) *Combinatorial and additive number theory-CANT 2011 and 2012*, 1-13, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **101**, Springer, New York, 2014. [5](#)
- [4] S. Bauer and M. Furuta, A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants. I, *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 1, 1-19. [2](#), [4](#), [10](#)
- [5] S. Bauer, A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants. II, *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 1, 21-40. [2](#)
- [6] P. Feehan and T.G. Leness, Witten's Conjecture for many four-manifolds of simple type, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **17** (2015), no. 4, 899-923. [1](#)
- [7] R. Fintushel and R.J. Stern, Immersed spheres in 4-manifolds and the immersed Thom conjecture, *Turk. J. Math.* **19** (1995), no. 2, 145-157. [7](#)
- [8] R.E. Gompf, Minimal genera of open 4-manifolds, *Geom. Topol.* **21** (2017), no. 1, 107-155. [3](#)
- [9] P. Hilton, G. Mislin, and J. Roitberg, *Localization of Nilpotent Groups and Spaces*, North Holland, *Math. Studies* **15**, 1975. [8](#)
- [10] M. Ishida and H. Sasahira, Stable cohomotopy Seiberg-Witten invariants of connected sums of four-manifolds with positive first Betti number, I: non-vanishing theorem, *Internat. J. Math.* **26** (2015), no. 6, 1541004. [2](#)
- [11] T. Kato, N. Nakamura, and K. Yasui, The simple type conjecture for mod 2 Seiberg-Witten invariants, accepted by *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [12] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane, *Math. Res. Lett.* **1** (1994), no. 6, 797-808. [3](#)
- [13] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, *Monopoles and Three-manifolds*, New Mathematical Monographs **10**, Cambridge University Press, 2007. [1](#)
- [14] J.W. Morgan, Z. Szabó, and C.H. Taubes, A product formula for the Seiberg-Witten invariants and the generalized Thom conjecture, *J. Differential Geom.* **44** (1996), no. 4, 706-788. [3](#)
- [15] L.I. Nicolaescu, *Notes on Seiberg-Witten Theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **28**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. [7](#)
- [16] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, The symplectic Thom conjecture, *Ann. Math. (2)* **151** (2000), no. 1, 93-124. [3](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [17] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Higher type adjunction inequalities in Seiberg-Witten theory, *J. Differ. Geom.* **55** (2000), no. 3, 385-440. [3](#), [6](#)
- [18] J.B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 64-94. [5](#)
- [19] J. Sondow, Ramanujan primes and Bertrand's postulate, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116** (2009), no. 7, 630-635. [5](#)
- [20] C.H. Taubes, SW \Rightarrow Gr: from the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 3, 845-918. [1](#)
- [21] H. Toda, p -primary components of homotopy groups IV. Compositions and toric constructions, *Memoirs Univ. Kyoto* **32** (1959), 297-332. [8](#), [10](#)
- [22] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **49**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962 [9](#)
- [23] E. Witten, Monopoles and four-manifolds, *Math. Res. Letters* **1** (1994), 769-796.
- [24] K. Yasui, Geometrically simply connected 4-manifolds and stable cohomotopy Seiberg-Witten invariants, *Geom. Topol.* **23** (2019), no. 5, 2685-2697.

3

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN
Email address: tkato@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN
Email address: kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA MEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL UNIVERSITY, 2-7
DAIGAKUMACHI, TAKATSUKI, OSAKA 569-8686, JAPAN
Email address: nobuhiro.nakamura.jl@ompu.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCI-
ENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, 1-5 YAMADAOKA, SUITA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN
Email address: kyasui@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp