

# MARKOV'S PROBLEM FOR FREE GROUPS

DMITRI SHAKHMATOV AND VÍCTOR HUGO YAÑEZ

ABSTRACT. We prove that every unconditionally closed subset of a free group is algebraic, thereby answering affirmatively a 76 years old problem of Markov for free groups. In modern terminology, this means that Markov and Zariski topologies coincide in free groups. It follows that the class of groups for which Markov and Zariski topologies coincide is not closed under taking quotients. We also show that Markov and Zariski topologies differ from the so-called precompact Markov topology in non-commutative free groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $G$  be a group. Pick  $x \notin G$  and consider the free product  $G * \langle x \rangle$  of  $G$  with the cyclic group  $\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$  generated by  $x$ . For every  $g \in G$ , let  $\text{ev}_g : G * \langle x \rangle \rightarrow G$  be the unique homomorphism which sends  $x$  to  $g$  and coincides with the identity on  $G$ . For every word  $w \in G * \langle x \rangle$ , the set  $E_w = \{g \in G : \text{ev}_g(w) = 1\}$  is the solution set in  $G$  of the equation  $w = 1$  with a single variable  $x$  and coefficients taken from  $G$ . (Here 1 denotes the identity of  $G$ .) The coarsest topology  $\mathfrak{Z}_G$  on  $G$  such that all solution sets  $E_w$  for  $w \in G * \langle x \rangle$  are  $\mathfrak{Z}_G$ -closed is called the *verbal* [4] or *Zariski* [2, 7, 8, 9] topology of  $G$ . In [17, 18, 19], Markov calls the solution set  $E_w$  for a word  $w \in G * \langle x \rangle$  an *elementary algebraic set* in  $G$  and he calls  $\mathfrak{Z}_G$ -closed sets *algebraic* subsets of  $G$ .

Dikranjan and Toller in [11, Section 5.3] derived the following explicit description of the Zariski topology of free groups from results of Bryant [4], Guba [15], and Chiswell and Remeslennikov [5]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Zariski closed subsets of a free group  $G$  are finite unions of singletons or sets of the form  $aC_G(b)c$ , where  $a, b, c \in G$  and  $C_G(b) = \{g \in G : bg = gb\}$  is the centralizer of  $b$  in  $G$ .*

It was noted in [25, Section 5.4.1] that, without loss of generality, one can take  $c = 1$  in Theorem 1.1. We also note that the set  $C_G(b)$  in Theorem 1.1 is an infinite cyclic subgroup of  $G$  containing  $b$  but it need not coincide with the cyclic subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $b$ .

In [17, 18, 19], Markov says that a subset  $S$  of  $G$  is *unconditionally closed* in  $G$  if  $S$  is closed in *every* Hausdorff group topology on  $G$ . The family of all unconditionally closed subsets of  $G$  coincides with the family of  $\mathfrak{M}_G$ -closed sets of a unique topology  $\mathfrak{M}_G$  on  $G$  called its *Markov* topology in [7, 8, 9].

It is clear that algebraic subsets of  $G$  are unconditionally closed, so the inclusion  $\mathfrak{Z}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_G$  holds. In 1945 Markov [18] asked whether every unconditionally closed set is algebraic, or equivalently, whether the equality  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$  holds for every group  $G$ . In [19] he gave a positive answer for all countable groups, and in [18] he attributed to Perel'man a positive answer for all abelian groups. However, Perel'man's result remained unpublished, so proofs were given only much later in [22, 8].

First (necessarily non-abelian) counter-example to Markov's problem was constructed in 1979 by Hesse in his PhD thesis [16] in German but was never published elsewhere. Motivated by

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20E05; Secondary: 03C55, 03E05, 03E75, 14L99, 20E06, 22A05, 54E50, 54H11.

*Key words and phrases*. free group, free product, algebraic set, unconditionally closed set, verbal topology, Zariski topology, Markov topology, precompact Markov topology, residually finite group, Bohr topology, Bohr convergent sequence, Noetherian space, Polish group, Graev metric, extension of Hausdorff group topologies.

The first listed author was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 20K03615 by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

this counter-example, Dikranjan and the first listed author asked in [7] whether the answer to Markov's problem is affirmative at least for permutation groups and free groups. Banach, Guran and Protasov [1] proved that Markov and Zariski topologies coincide for all permutation groups, thereby answering [7, Question 38].

In this paper, we answer [7, Question 39], repeated also as [11, Question 8.1], by confirming Markov's conjecture for all free (non-commutative) groups.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Every unconditionally closed subset of a free group is algebraic. Therefore, Markov and Zariski topologies coincide in free groups.*

When combined with Theorem 1.1, this result provides the complete description of the Markov topology of free groups.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 8. It uses a corollary of the characterization of the equality  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$  in terms of a certain reflection principle on a group  $G$  obtained in [8]; see Fact 6.2. Furthermore, it is based on a result about extension of Hausdorff group topologies stated in Theorem 5.3 which appears to be of independent interest.

Recall that a topological group is *precompact* if it is a subgroup of some compact group. For a group  $G$ , the family of all subsets of  $G$  which are closed in *every* precompact Hausdorff group topology on  $G$  forms a family of closed sets of a unique topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  called its *precompact Markov topology* [7, 9]. The inclusion  $\mathfrak{M}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_G$  always holds. The equality  $\mathfrak{M}_G = \mathfrak{P}_G$  holds for every abelian group  $G$  [9] but fails already for permutation groups [12]. Our second main result shows that the equality  $\mathfrak{M}_G = \mathfrak{P}_G$  fails also for free groups  $G$  of rank at least 2.

**Theorem 1.3.**  *$\mathfrak{M}_G \neq \mathfrak{P}_G$  for every free group  $G$  of rank at least 2.*

The restriction on rank of the free group in Theorem 1.3 is essential, as  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for the free group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of rank 1; see [9]. We note that the precompact Markov topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is non-discrete for a non-trivial free group  $G$  (Corollary 9.3(b)).

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be given in Section 10. It relies on the existence of a non-trivial Bohr convergent sequence in the free group with 2 generators due to Thom [24].

It seems worthwhile to point out that our proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 do not depend on the description of the Zariski topology of free groups obtained in Theorem 1.1.

Additional results on  $\mathfrak{Z}_G$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_G$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  for a non-commutative group  $G$  can be found in [12, 13, 3].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we deduce from Theorem 1.2 that *every* group is a quotient of a group with coinciding Markov and Zariski topologies (Corollary 2.1), thereby establishing that the class of groups with coinciding Markov and Zariski topologies is not closed under taking quotient groups (Corollary 2.2). A similar result holds for subgroups as well (Theorem 2.3). In Section 3 we establish an algebraic lemma on monomorphisms of a free group into a power of another free group. This lemma is combined in Section 4 with the result of Slutsky [23] on extension of Polish group topologies on two groups to a Polish group topology of their free product in order to obtain Theorem 4.3. In turn, this theorem is combined with Fact 5.2 proved in [8, Theorem 3.9] in order to establish Theorem 5.3. The latter theorem serves as a foundation for applying a corollary of a certain Reflection Principle from [8] described in Section 6. This corollary states that Markov and Zariski topologies of a group  $G$  coincide provided that the family of all at most countable subgroups of  $G$  contains a closed unbounded subset consisting of Hausdorff embedded subgroups of  $G$  (Corollary 6.3). In Section 7 we define a natural closed unbounded subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of the family of all at most countable subgroups of a free group  $G$ . In Section 8 we apply Theorem 5.3 to prove that all members of  $\mathcal{C}$  are Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ , thereby deducing Theorem 1.2 from Corollary 6.3. In Section 9 we establish connections between the precompact Markov topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  of a group  $G$  and its Bohr topology  $\mathfrak{B}_G$ . The key result here is Theorem 9.6. Its Corollary 9.7 states that if a group  $G$  is maximally almost periodic group in its discrete topology, then  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  induce the same (subspace) topology on every Bohr compact subset of  $G$ . Another Corollary

9.8 combines Theorem 9.6 with the result of Thom [24] on the existence of a non-trivial sequence in the free group with two generators converging to its identity element in the Bohr topology to conclude that a non-commutative free group  $G$  has an infinite Hausdorff subspace in its precompact Markov topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ . On the other hand, the Zariski topology  $\mathfrak{Z}_G$  of a free group  $G$  is known to be Noetherian [11, Corollary 5.2], and Noetherian spaces have no infinite Hausdorff subspaces. It follows that  $\mathfrak{Z}_G \neq \mathfrak{P}_G$  for a non-commutative free group  $G$ . Combining this with our Theorem 1.2, we deduce Theorem 1.3 in Section 10. In Section 11, we introduce two new classes of groups related to the precompact Markov topology and formulate a series of questions left open by our results.

## 2. THE CLASS $\mathcal{MZ}$ OF GROUPS WITH COINCIDING MARKOV AND ZARISKI TOPOLOGIES

Following [8, 11], we denote by  $\mathcal{MZ}$  the class of groups for which Markov and Zariski topologies coincide. As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we can show that the quotients of groups from this class generate the class of all groups.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Every group is a quotient of some group from the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be an arbitrary group, and let  $G$  be the free group  $F(H)$  over the alphabet set  $H$ . Then the identity map  $i : H \rightarrow H$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow H$  between  $G = F(H)$  and  $H$ . Since  $i$  is surjective, so is  $f$ . Therefore,  $H$  is a quotient group of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is a free group, it belongs to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$  by Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *A quotient group of a group from the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$  need not belong to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a counter-example to Markov's problem constructed by Hesse in his PhD thesis [16]. Then  $H \notin \mathcal{MZ}$ . On the other hand,  $H$  is a quotient group of some group  $G \in \mathcal{MZ}$  by Corollary 2.1.  $\square$

A result similar to Corollary 2.1 holds for subgroups as well.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Every group is a subgroup of some group in the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as that of [1, Corollary 3.2]. Indeed, every group  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S(G)$  over the set  $G$  by Cayley's theorem, and  $S(G)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$  by [1, Corollary 3.1].  $\square$

Since Hesse's example does not belong to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ , one derives the analog of Corollary 2.2 for subgroups obtained by Banach, Guran and Protasov:

**Corollary 2.4.** ([1, Corollary 3.2]) *A subgroup of a group from the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$  need not belong to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ .*

## 3. A LEMMA ON MONOMORPHISMS INTO POWERS OF A FREE GROUP

The symbol  $F(X)$  denotes the free (non-commutative) group over a set  $X$ .

Recall that a *monomorphism* is a homomorphism with the trivial kernel, or equivalently, an injective homomorphism.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets such that  $Y \setminus X$  is infinite. Then there exist a non-empty set  $\mathcal{E}$  and a monomorphism  $\varphi : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\pi_E \circ \varphi(x) = x$  whenever  $x \in X \cap Y$  and  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , where  $\pi_E : F(Y)^\mathcal{E} \rightarrow F(Y)$  is the projection on the  $E$ th coordinate.*

*Proof.* Since  $Y \setminus X$  is an infinite set, we can fix an element  $y_0 \in Y \setminus X$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = [X]^{<\omega}$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  be arbitrary. Then  $E$  is a finite subset of  $X$ , and so is its subset  $E \setminus Y$ . Since the set  $Y \setminus X$  is infinite by our assumption, there exists an injection  $\iota_E : E \setminus Y \rightarrow Y \setminus X$ . Define the map  $f_E : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$(1) \quad f_E(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in X \cap Y, \\ \iota_E(x) & \text{if } x \in E \setminus Y, \text{ and} \\ y_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

**Claim 1.**  $f_E \upharpoonright_E$  is an injection for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $x_0, x_1 \in E$  and  $x_0 \neq x_1$ . It suffices to check that  $f_E(x_0) \neq f_E(x_1)$ . We consider three cases.

*Case 1.*  $x_0, x_1 \in X \cap Y$ . It follows from (1) that  $f_E(x_0) = x_0 \neq x_1 = f_E(x_1)$ .

*Case 2.*  $x_0, x_1 \in X \setminus Y$ . Since  $x_0, x_1 \in E$ , we get  $x_0, x_1 \in E \setminus Y$ , so  $f_E(x_i) = \iota_E(x_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1$  by (1). Since  $x_0 \neq x_1$  and  $\iota_E$  is an injection, we conclude that  $f_E(x_0) = \iota_E(x_0) \neq \iota_E(x_1) = f_E(x_1)$ .

*Case 3.*  $x_i \in X \cap Y$  and  $x_{1-i} \in X \setminus Y$  for some  $i = 0, 1$ . Since  $x_{1-i} \in E$ , we obtain  $x_{1-i} \in E \setminus Y$ , so  $f_E(x_{1-i}) = \iota_E(x_{1-i}) \in \iota_E(E \setminus Y) \subseteq Y \setminus X$  by (1). On the other hand, from  $x_i \in X \cap Y$  and (1) we get  $f_E(x_i) = x_i \in X$ . Therefore,  $f_E(x_i) \neq f_E(x_{1-i})$ . This shows that  $f_E(x_0) \neq f_E(x_1)$ .  $\square$

For each  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $\varphi_E : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$  be the homomorphism extending  $f_E$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \varphi_E \upharpoonright_X = f_E.$$

Let  $\varphi : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$  be the unique homomorphism such that

$$(3) \quad \pi_E \circ \varphi = \varphi_E \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{E}.$$

**Claim 2.**  $\pi_E \circ \varphi \upharpoonright_E$  is an injection for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E \subseteq X$ , it follows from (2) and (3) that  $\pi_E \circ \varphi \upharpoonright_E = f_E \upharpoonright_E$ . Now the conclusion follows from Claim 1.  $\square$

**Claim 3.**  $\varphi \upharpoonright_X : X \rightarrow F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$  is an injection and  $\varphi(X)$  is an independent subset of  $F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $x_0, x_1 \in X$  and  $x_0 \neq x_1$ . Let  $E = \{x_0, x_1\}$ . Then  $E \in [X]^{<\omega} = \mathcal{E}$ . It follows from Claim 2 that  $\varphi \upharpoonright_E$  is an injection, which implies  $\varphi \upharpoonright_X(x_0) = \varphi \upharpoonright_E(x_0) \neq \varphi \upharpoonright_E(x_1) = \varphi \upharpoonright_X(x_1)$ . This shows that  $\varphi \upharpoonright_X$  is an injection.

To show that  $\varphi(X)$  is an independent subset of  $F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$ , we follow [6, Lemma 2.4] and fix a finite subset  $S$  of  $\varphi(X)$ . Then there exists a finite subset  $E$  of  $X$  such that  $S = \varphi(E)$ . Note that  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  by our definition of  $\mathcal{E}$ . It follows from Claim 2 that  $\pi_E \upharpoonright_{\varphi(E)} = \pi_E \upharpoonright_S$  is an injection. Furthermore, since  $E \subseteq X$ , it follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\pi_E(S) = \pi_E(\varphi(E)) = \pi_E \circ \varphi(E) = \varphi_E(E) = f_E(E) \subseteq f_E(X) \subseteq Y.$$

Since  $Y$  is an independent subset of  $F(Y)$ , so is its subset  $\pi_E(S)$ . Since  $\pi_E : F(Y)^\mathcal{E} \rightarrow F(Y)$  is a group homomorphism and  $\pi_E \upharpoonright_S$  is an injection,  $S$  is an independent subset of  $F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$ .

We have proved that every finite subset  $S$  of  $\varphi(X)$  is an independent subset of  $F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$ . This implies that  $\varphi(X)$  is also an independent subset of  $F(Y)^\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

It follows from Claim 3 that  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism.

Suppose now that  $x \in X \cap Y$  and  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . By (3),  $\pi_E \circ \varphi(x) = \varphi_E(x)$ . Since  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi_E \upharpoonright_X = f_E$  by (2), this implies  $\varphi_E(x) = f_E(x)$ . Finally, since  $x \in X \cap Y$ , we have  $f_E(x) = x$  by (1). Thus,  $\pi_E \circ \varphi(x) = x$ .  $\square$

## 4. EXTENSION OF SEPARABLE METRIC GROUP TOPOLOGIES IN FREE GROUPS

**Fact 4.1.** [23, Theorem 3.8] *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be Polish groups. Then there exist a Polish group  $T$  and topological group embeddings  $\psi_G : G \rightarrow T$ ,  $\psi_H : H \rightarrow T$  such that the group generated by  $\psi_G(G) \cup \psi_H(H)$  is naturally isomorphic to the free product  $G * H$  of  $G$  and  $H$ .*

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are separable metric groups, then there exists a separable metric group topology on their free product  $A * B$  which induces the original topologies on  $A$  and  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  and  $H$  denote the completions of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Then  $G$  and  $H$  are Polish groups. Applying Fact 4.1 to  $G$  and  $H$ , we can find a Polish group  $T$  and topological embeddings  $\psi_G : G \rightarrow T$ ,  $\psi_H : H \rightarrow T$  as in the conclusion of this theorem. Since  $A \subseteq G$ ,  $B \subseteq H$  and the group generated by  $\psi_G(G) \cup \psi_H(H)$  is naturally isomorphic to the free product  $G * H$  of  $G$  and  $H$ , it follows that the group  $N$  generated by  $\psi_G(A) \cup \psi_H(B)$  is naturally isomorphic to the free product  $A * B$  of  $A$  and  $B$ . Now the subspace topology induced by  $T$  on  $N$  is a separable metric group topology inducing the original topologies on  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\square$

Recall that for every subset  $Z$  of a set  $X$ , the subgroup of  $F(X)$  generated by  $Z$  coincides with  $F(Z)$ . In what follows, we shall always identify  $F(Z)$  with this subgroup of  $F(X)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a set and  $Z$  be its subset. Then for every separable metric group topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $F(Z)$  there exists a Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{T}'$  on  $F(X)$  inducing the original topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $F(Z)$ ; that is,  $(F(Z), \mathcal{T})$  is a subspace of  $(F(X), \mathcal{T}')$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a set  $Y$  such that  $Y \cap X = Z$  and  $Y \setminus X$  is countably infinite. Note that  $F(Y) = F(Z) * F(Y \setminus X)$ . Let  $A$  be the group  $F(Z)$  equipped with the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ , and let  $B$  be the group  $F(Y \setminus X)$  equipped with the discrete topology. Since  $Y \setminus X$  is countably infinite,  $F(Y \setminus X)$  is a countable group, so  $B$  is a separable metric group. Use Corollary 4.2 to fix a separable metric group topology  $\mathcal{T}^*$  on  $F(Y) = A * B$  which induces the original topologies on  $A$  and  $B$ . In particular,  $(F(Z), \mathcal{T})$  is a subgroup of  $(F(Y), \mathcal{T}^*)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\varphi$  be as in the conclusion of Lemma 3.1. Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi}$  be the Tychonoff product topology on  $F(Y)^{\mathcal{E}}$ ; that is,  $(F(Y)^{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{T}_{\Pi}) = (F(Y), \mathcal{T}^*)^{\mathcal{E}}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism,  $\mathcal{T}' = \{\varphi^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{T}_{\Pi}\}$  is a Hausdorff group topology on  $F(X)$ .

Let  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  be arbitrary. By the conclusion of Lemma 3.1, the composition  $\pi_E \circ \varphi$  is the identity map on  $Z$ . Since both  $\varphi$  and  $\pi_E$  are group homomorphisms, this implies that  $\pi_E \circ \varphi$  is the identity map on  $F(Z)$  as well. Since  $(F(Z), \mathcal{T})$  is a subgroup of  $(F(Y), \mathcal{T}^*)$ , we conclude that  $\pi_E \circ \varphi \upharpoonright_{F(Z)} : (F(Z), \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (F(Y), \mathcal{T}^*)$  is a homeomorphic embedding. Since this holds for an arbitrary  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , it follows that the map  $\varphi \upharpoonright_{F(Z)} : (F(Z), \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (F(Y)^{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{T}_{\Pi})$  is a homeomorphic embedding. It follows from this that  $(F(Z), \mathcal{T})$  is a subspace of  $(F(X), \mathcal{T}')$ .  $\square$

## 5. HAUSDORFF AND MARKOV EMBEDDINGS

For a subset  $Y$  of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  the symbol  $\mathcal{T} \upharpoonright_Y$  denotes the subspace topology which  $Y$  inherits from  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ ; that is,  $\mathcal{T} \upharpoonright_Y = \{U \cap Y : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** [8, Definition 3.1(i)] A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called *Hausdorff embedded in  $G$*  if for every Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $H$  there exists a Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{T}'$  on  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}' \upharpoonright_H$  (and in this case we say that  $\mathcal{T}'$  *extends*  $\mathcal{T}$ ).

**Fact 5.2.** [8, Theorem 3.9] *Let  $H$  be an at most countable subgroup of a group  $G$ . If every metric group topology on  $H$  can be extended to a (not necessarily metric) group topology on  $G$ , then  $H$  is Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ .*

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be a set and  $Z$  be its at most countable subset. Then every Hausdorff group topology on  $F(Z)$  can be extended to a Hausdorff group topology on  $F(X)$ ; that is,  $F(Z)$  is Hausdorff embedded in  $F(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 4.3, Definition 5.1 and Fact 5.2.  $\square$

**Definition 5.4.** [8, Definition 2.1(ii)] A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called *Markov embedded in  $G$*  if  $(H, \mathfrak{M}_H)$  is a subspace of  $(G, \mathfrak{M}_G)$ .

Item (iii) of our next lemma is a limited version of [8, Lemma 3.6]. Since [8, Lemma 3.6] is stated without proof, we include the proof below for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mathfrak{Z}_H = \mathfrak{M}_H$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}_H \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$ .*
- (ii) *If  $H$  is Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}_H \supseteq \mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$ .*
- (iii) *If  $H$  is at most countable and Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ , then  $H$  is also Markov embedded in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\mathfrak{M}_H = \mathfrak{Z}_H$  by the assumption of item (i). Note that  $\mathfrak{Z}_H \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_G \upharpoonright_H$ . Since  $\mathfrak{Z}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_G$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_G \upharpoonright_H \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$ . This gives the desired inclusion.

(ii) Let  $X$  be a  $\mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$ -closed subset of  $H$ . Then there exists a  $\mathfrak{M}_G$ -closed subset  $Y$  of  $G$  such that  $Y \cap H = X$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Hausdorff group topology on  $H$ . Since  $H$  is Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ , we can use Definition 5.1 to find a Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{T}'$  on  $G$  extending  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since  $Y$  is  $\mathfrak{M}_G$ -closed, it is also  $\mathcal{T}'$ -closed in  $G$ . Therefore,  $X = Y \cap H$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -closed. We have checked that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -closed in every Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $H$ . Thus,  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}_H$ -closed.

(iii) Suppose that  $H$  is at most countable and Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ . From the first assumption we get  $\mathfrak{Z}_H = \mathfrak{M}_H$ , as Markov and Zariski topologies coincide for at most countable groups by an old theorem of Markov [19]. Combining this with (i), we obtain the inclusion  $\mathfrak{M}_H \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$ . Since  $H$  is Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ , the inverse inclusion  $\mathfrak{M}_H \supseteq \mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$  holds by (ii). This establishes the equality  $\mathfrak{M}_H = \mathfrak{M}_G \upharpoonright_H$ . Therefore,  $(H, \mathfrak{M}_H)$  is a subspace of  $(G, \mathfrak{M}_G)$ , and so  $H$  is Markov embedded in  $G$  by Definition 5.4.  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** Recall that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is *Zariski embedded in  $G$*  if the Zariski topology of  $G$  induces on  $H$  the Zariski topology of  $H$  [8, Definition 2.1(i)]. The authors have proved recently that *every* subgroup of a free group is both Zariski embedded and Markov embedded in it [21]. However, the free group with two generators contains a normal subgroup which is not Hausdorff embedded in it [21]. This shows the limits of our Theorem 5.3.

## 6. A REFLECTION PRINCIPLE FROM [8]

The following definition recalls well-known set-theoretic concepts.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $G$  be a set and  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [G]^{\leq \omega}$ , where  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$  denotes the set of all at most countable subsets of  $G$ .

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  is *closed in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$*  if, whenever  $\{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and  $C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq C_n \subseteq C_{n+1} \subseteq \dots$ , then  $\bigcup \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is *unbounded in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$*  if for every  $H \in [G]^{\leq \omega}$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $H \subseteq C$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *club in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$*  (a common abbreviation for “closed and unbounded”) if  $\mathcal{C}$  is both closed and unbounded in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ .

The following fact is a corollary of a reflection principle established in [8].

**Fact 6.2.** [8, Corollary 5.3] *Let  $G$  be a group such that the family*

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}(G) = \{H \in [G]^{\leq \omega} : H \text{ is a Markov embedded subgroup of } G\}$$

*contains a club in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$ ; that is, Markov and Zariski topologies on  $G$  coincide.*

The following corollary was implicitly used in some arguments in [8] even though it was not stated in this paper explicitly.

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group such that the family*

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{H}(G) = \{H \in [G]^{\leq \omega} : H \text{ is a Hausdorff embedded subgroup of } G\}$$

*contains a club in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$ ; that is, Markov and Zariski topologies on  $G$  coincide.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{H}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G)$  by Lemma 5.5(iii), so we can apply Fact 6.2.  $\square$

## 7. A CLUB IN $[F(X)]^{\leq \omega}$

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $G = F(X)$ . Then the family*

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{C} = \{F(Z) : Z \in [X]^{\leq \omega}\}$$

*is a club in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$(7) \quad \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$$

and

$$(8) \quad C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_n \subseteq C_{n+1} \subseteq \cdots$$

By (6) and (7), for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $Z_n \in [X]^{\leq \omega}$  such that

$$(9) \quad C_n = F(Z_n).$$

From (8) and (9) it follows that

$$(10) \quad Z_0 \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_n \subseteq Z_{n+1} \subseteq \cdots$$

Since each  $Z_n$  is at most countable subset of  $X$ , so is the set  $Z = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ . Combining this with (6), we conclude that  $F(Z) \in \mathcal{C}$ . It easily follows from (10) and our definition of  $Z$  that  $F(Z) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(Z_n)$ . From this and (9), we get  $\bigcup\{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(Z_n) = F(Z) \in \mathcal{C}$ . By Definition 6.1(i), this shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ .

Let  $H \in [G]^{\leq \omega}$ . For every  $h \in H$ , denote by  $S_h$  the finite set of letters in the alphabet  $X$  appearing in the irreducible representation of  $h$ . Then  $Y = \bigcup_{h \in H} S_h \in [X]^{\leq \omega}$ , so  $F(Y) \in \mathcal{C}$  by (6). Since  $h \in F(S_h) \subseteq F(Y)$  for every  $h \in H$ , the inclusion  $H \subseteq F(Y)$  holds. By Definition 6.1(ii), this shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is unbounded in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ .

We established that  $\mathcal{C}$  is both closed and unbounded in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ . By Definition 6.1(iii), this means that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a club in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ .  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $G = F(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the club in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$  defined in Lemma 7.1.

Let  $Z \in [X]^{\leq \omega}$  be arbitrary. Since  $Z$  is an at most countable subset of  $X$ ,  $F(Z)$  is a countable subgroup of  $G$ . Applying Theorem 5.3, we conclude that  $F(Z)$  is Hausdorff embedded in  $G$ . Therefore,  $F(Z) \in \mathcal{H}(G)$  by (5). Recalling (6), we conclude that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(G)$ .

We have proved that the family  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  contains a club  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $[G]^{\leq \omega}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$  by Corollary 6.3.  $\square$

## 9. AN INTERPLAY BETWEEN $\mathfrak{P}_G$ AND THE BOHR TOPOLOGY $\mathfrak{B}_G$ OF A GROUP $G$

Recall that the finest precompact group topology on a group  $G$  is called its *Bohr topology*. We shall use the symbol  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  for denoting the Bohr topology of a group  $G$ . The  $\mathfrak{B}_G$ -closure of the identity 1 of  $G$  is called the *von Neumann kernel* of  $G$ ; it is the kernel of a natural homomorphism of  $G$  into its Bohr compactification  $bG$ . The topology  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $G$  admits a precompact Hausdorff group topology, or equivalently, if the discrete group  $G$  is maximally almost periodic in the sense of von Neumann [20].

The implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) of the following lemma is known [11].

**Lemma 9.1.** *For an arbitrary group  $G$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff,
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{P}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_G$ .

*Proof.* (i)→(ii) Since  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is a precompact group topology on  $G$  (being the finest precompact group topology on  $G$ ) which is also Hausdorff by (i), the definition of  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  implies that every  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed subset of  $G$  must be  $\mathfrak{B}_G$ -closed. This establishes (ii).

(ii)→(i) Assume (ii), yet suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is not Hausdorff. Then  $G$  does not admit any precompact Hausdorff group topology, and by the definition of  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  this means that *every* subset of  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed in  $G$ ; that is,  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is the discrete topology. Combining this with the inclusion  $\mathfrak{P}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_G$  given by (ii), we conclude that  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  must be discrete as well, in contradiction with our assumption that  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is not Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.2.** *For an infinite group  $G$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is maximally almost periodic in its discrete topology,
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is non-discrete.

*Proof.* (i)→(ii) Let  $G$  be an infinite group which is maximally almost periodic in its discrete topology. Then  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff, and so  $\mathfrak{P}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_G$  by the implication (i)→(ii) of Lemma 9.1. Since  $G$  is infinite and  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is precompact,  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is non-discrete. Therefore, the coarser topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  cannot be discrete.

(ii)→(i) Since  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is non-discrete,  $G$  must admit at least one precompact Hausdorff group topology, which implies (i).  $\square$

The proof of the implication (ii)→(i) of Corollary 9.2 does not require  $G$  to be infinite. On the other hand, the implication (i)→(ii) requires  $G$  to be infinite, as every finite group  $G$  is compact (and so maximally almost periodic) in its discrete topology, yet  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is discrete because every Hausdorff group topology of  $G$  is discrete.

**Corollary 9.3.** *The following hold for a non-trivial free group  $G$ :*

- (a) the Bohr topology  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  of  $G$  is Hausdorff;
- (b) the precompact Markov topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  of  $G$  is non-discrete.

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is known to be residually finite, it admits a precompact Hausdorff group topology. Therefore, its finest Hausdorff precompact group topology  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff as well, showing (a). Item (a) implies that  $G$  is maximally almost periodic in its discrete topology. Since  $G$  is non-trivial (and thus, infinite), item (b) follows from the implication (i)→(ii) of Corollary 9.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.4.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then every  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ -compact subset of  $H$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ -compact subset of  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a precompact Hausdorff group topology on  $G$ . Then its restriction  $\mathcal{T} \upharpoonright_H$  to  $H$  is a precompact group topology on  $H$ . Since the Bohr topology of  $H$  is the finest precompact group topology on  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{T} \upharpoonright_H$  is coarser than  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ . Since  $K$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ -compact subset of  $H$ , it is also compact in the weaker topology  $\mathcal{T} \upharpoonright_H$ , and thus also in the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $G$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is Hausdorff,  $K$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -closed.

We have proved that  $K$  is closed in every precompact Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $G$ . Therefore,  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed by the definition of  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.5.** *Every  $\mathfrak{B}_G$ -compact subset of a group  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed in it.*

**Theorem 9.6.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ ,  $K$  be a  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ -compact subset of  $H$  and  $\iota_K : (K, \mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_K) \rightarrow (K, \mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_K)$  be the identity map of  $K$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\iota_K$  is a closed map;
- (ii) if  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff, then  $\iota_K$  is a homeomorphism.



*Proof.* (i) Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $(K, \mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_K)$ . Since  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ -compact subset of  $H$ , so is its closed subset  $F$ . Applying Lemma 9.4 to  $F$ , we conclude that  $F$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed in  $G$ . Since  $F \subseteq K$ , it follows that  $F$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_K$ -closed in  $K$ . We proved that the image  $\iota_K(F) = F$  of every  $\mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_K$ -closed subset  $F$  of  $K$  under the map  $\iota_K$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_K$ -closed in  $K$ , which implies (i).

(ii) Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff. Then  $\mathfrak{P}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_G$  by the implication (i)→(ii) of Lemma 9.1. Since  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is a precompact Hausdorff group topology on  $G$ , its restriction  $\mathfrak{B}_G \upharpoonright_H$  to the subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is a precompact Hausdorff group topology on  $H$ , so  $\mathfrak{B}_G \upharpoonright_H \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_H$  by the maximality of the Bohr topology of  $H$ . From  $\mathfrak{P}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_G$  we obtain also  $\mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_H \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_G \upharpoonright_H$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_H \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_H$ . Since  $K$  is a subset of  $H$ , it now follows that  $\mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_K = (\mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_H) \upharpoonright_K \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_K$ . This inclusion implies the continuity of the map  $\iota_K$ . Since this map is also closed by (i), we conclude that  $\iota_K$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.7.** *Let  $G$  be a maximally almost periodic group in its discrete topology. Then  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  induce the same (subspace) topology on every Bohr compact subset of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is maximally almost periodic in its discrete topology,  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is Hausdorff. Now the conclusion follows from item (ii) of Theorem 9.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.8.** *If  $G$  is a non-commutative free group, then  $(G, \mathfrak{P}_G)$  contains a countably infinite compact Hausdorff subspace with a single non-isolated point.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  a non-commutative free group. Then  $G = F(X)$  for a suitable set  $X$  with at least two elements  $x_0, x_1$ . Define  $Y = \{x_0, x_1\}$  and  $H = F(Y)$ . Now  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . By the result of Thom,  $H$  contains a faithfully indexed sequence converging in its Bohr topology  $\mathfrak{B}_H$  [24, Proposition 3.7]. Denote by  $S$  this sequence taken together with its limit. Then  $S$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}_H$ -compact subset of  $H$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  is Hausdorff by Corollary 9.3(a), the spaces  $(S, \mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_S)$  and  $(S, \mathfrak{P}_G \upharpoonright_S)$  are homeomorphic by item (ii) of Theorem 9.6. Similarly,  $\mathfrak{B}_H$  is Hausdorff by Corollary 9.3(a), so the restriction  $\mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_S$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_H$  to  $S$  is Hausdorff as well. Thus,  $(S, \mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_S)$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Since it is also a convergent sequence taken together with its limit,  $(S, \mathfrak{B}_H \upharpoonright_S)$  is a countably infinite compact Hausdorff space with a single non-isolated point.  $\square$

**Remark 9.9.** We note that Corollary 9.5 does not offer anything really new for commutative groups  $G$ . Indeed, if  $G$  is abelian, then  $\mathfrak{B}_G$ -compact sets are finite ([14]; see also [10, Corollary 6.4] for a more general result), and finite sets are  $\mathfrak{P}_G$ -closed because  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is a  $T_1$ -topology. Similar comment applies to Corollary 9.7 (as well as Lemma 9.4 and Theorem 9.6), as finite subspaces of  $T_1$ -spaces are discrete.

## 10. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let  $G$  be a non-commutative free group. Then the space  $(G, \mathfrak{Z}_G)$  is Noetherian; that is, each strictly decreasing sequence of its closed subsets stabilises [11, Corollary 5.2]. Therefore, all Hausdorff subspaces of  $(G, \mathfrak{Z}_G)$  are finite. On the other hand,  $(G, \mathfrak{P}_G)$  contains a countably infinite Hausdorff subspace by Corollary 9.8. Since  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$  by Theorem 1.2, it follows that  $\mathfrak{M}_G \neq \mathfrak{P}_G$ .  $\square$

## 11. TWO NEW CLASSES OF GROUPS DEFINED BY MEANS OF THE PRECOMPACT MARKOV TOPOLOGY, AND OPEN QUESTIONS

Inspired by the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{PZ}$  the class of groups for which precompact Markov topology and Zariski topology coincide. Similarly, we shall use the notation  $\mathcal{PM}$  for denoting the class of groups for which precompact Markov topology and Markov topology coincide. Since  $\mathfrak{Z}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_G$  for every group  $G$ , the following inclusions hold:

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{MZ} \supseteq \mathcal{PZ} \subseteq \mathcal{PM}.$$

Our next remark shows that both inclusions in (11) are proper and there are no other inclusions beyond the ones displayed.

**Remark 11.1.** (i) Let  $G = S(X)$  be an infinite symmetric group. Then  $\mathfrak{Z}_G = \mathfrak{M}_G$  is the non-discrete topology of the pointwise convergence on  $X$  [1], yet the topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is discrete [11, Example 4.4(ii)]. Therefore,  $G$  is in the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$  but does not belong to the class  $\mathcal{PM}$ , and so is not in the smaller class  $\mathcal{PZ}$  either.

(ii) Let  $G$  be the group constructed by Hesse in [16]; see also [11, Section 1.2] and [11, Theorem 3.1]. Then  $\mathfrak{Z}_G$  is non-discrete yet  $\mathfrak{M}_G$  is discrete. Since  $\mathfrak{M}_G \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_G$ , it follows that the topology  $\mathfrak{P}_G$  is also discrete. Therefore,  $G$  is in the class  $\mathcal{PM}$  but does not belong to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ , and so is not in the smaller class  $\mathcal{PZ}$  either.

Item (i) of the following problem is a “precompact version” of Markov’s problem on the description of (the groups in) the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ .

**Problem 11.2.** (i) Describe the class  $\mathcal{PZ}$ .

(ii) Describe the class  $\mathcal{PM}$ .

It was proved in [9] that abelian groups belong to the class  $\mathcal{PZ}$  (and thus, to the wider classes  $\mathcal{MZ}$  and  $\mathcal{PM}$ ). Nevertheless, it remains unclear if nilpotent groups belong to any of the three classes  $\mathcal{MZ}$ ,  $\mathcal{PZ}$  and  $\mathcal{PM}$  [9, Question 12.1]. Since abelian groups are amenable, the following question appears interesting:

**Question 11.3.** Does any of the three classes  $\mathcal{MZ}$ ,  $\mathcal{PZ}$  and  $\mathcal{PM}$  include the class of all amenable groups?

**Question 11.4.** Can every group be represented as a quotient group of a group from the class  $\mathcal{PZ}$ , or at least a wider class  $\mathcal{PM}$ ?

Since  $\mathcal{PZ} \subseteq \mathcal{MZ}$  by (11), a positive answer to the  $\mathcal{PZ}$ -version of this question would strengthen Corollary 2.1. By Theorem 1.3, non-commutative free groups do not belong to the class  $\mathcal{PM}$  (and hence to its subclass  $\mathcal{PZ}$ ), so the idea of the proof of Corollary 2.1 cannot be used to answer Question 11.4 positively. By the same reason, a negative answer to this question would imply that the correspondent class of groups is not closed under taking quotients.

In connection with Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, the following question arises:

**Question 11.5.** (i) Can every group be represented as a *normal* subgroup of a group from the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ ?

(ii) Does every *normal* subgroup of a group in the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ ?

Remark 11.1(ii) gives an example of a group outside the class  $\mathcal{MZ}$ , so positive answers to both items of this question are mutually exclusive.

**Question 11.6.** Can every group be represented as a (normal) subgroup of a group from the class  $\mathcal{PZ}$ , or at least a wider class  $\mathcal{PM}$ ?

Since  $\mathcal{PZ} \subseteq \mathcal{MZ}$  by (11), a positive answer to the  $\mathcal{PZ}$ -version of this question would strengthen Theorem 2.3. Since symmetric groups do not belong to the class  $\mathcal{PM}$  (and hence to its subclass  $\mathcal{PZ}$ ) by Remark 11.1(i), the idea of the proof of Theorem 2.3 cannot be used to answer Question 11.6 positively. By the same reason, a negative answer to this question would imply that the correspondent class of groups is not closed under taking (normal) subgroups.

The authors do not know whether the restriction on the cardinality of a set  $Z$  in Theorem 5.3 can be omitted.

**Question 11.7.** Does Theorem 5.3 remain valid for an arbitrary (uncountable) subset  $Z$  of the set  $X$ ?

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank Professor Kazuhiro Kawamura for a stimulating question resolved in Corollary 2.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banakh, I. Guran, I. Protasov, Algebraically determined topologies on permutation groups, *Topol. Appl.* 159 (9) (2012) 2258–2268.
- [2] G. Baumslag, A. Myasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, Algebraic geometry over groups. I: Algebraic sets and ideal theory, *J. Algebra* 219 (1999) 16–79.
- [3] M. Bonatto, D. Dikranjan, D. Toller, Groups with cofinite Zariski topology and potential density, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2110.13084](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13084) [math.GR].
- [4] R. Bryant, The verbal topology of a group, *J. Algebra* 48 (2) (1977) 340–346.
- [5] I.M. Chiswell, V. Remeslennikov, Equations in free groups with one variable. I, *J. Group Theory* 3 (2000) 445–466.
- [6] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov, The Algebraic Structure of Pseudocompact Groups, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 133/633 (1998), 83 pp.
- [7] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov, Selected topics from the structure theory of topological groups, in: E. Perl (Ed.), *Open Problems in Topology II*, Elsevier, 2007, pp. 389–406.
- [8] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov, Reflection principle characterizing groups in which unconditionally closed sets are algebraic, *J. Group Theory* 11 (3) (2008) 421–442.
- [9] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov, The Markov-Zariski topology of an Abelian group, *J. Algebra* 324 (6) (2010) 1125–1158.
- [10] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov, A Kronecker-Weyl theorem for subsets of abelian groups, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011) 4776–4795.
- [11] D. Dikranjan, D. Toller, Markov's problems through the looking glass of Zariski and Markov topologies, in: *Ischia Group Theory 2010*, Proc. of the Conference, World Scientific Publ., Singapore, 2012, pp. 87–130.
- [12] D. Dikranjan, D. Toller, The Markov and Zariski topologies of some linear groups, *Topol. Appl.* 159 (13) (2012) 2951–2972.
- [13] D. Dikranjan, D. Toller, Zariski topology and Markov topology on groups, *Topol. Appl.* 241 (2018) 115–144.
- [14] I. Glicksberg, Uniform boundedness for groups, *Canad. J. Math.* 14 (1962) 269–276.
- [15] V. Guba, Equivalence of infinite systems of equations in free groups and semigroups to finite subsystems, *Mat. Zametki* 40 (3) (1986) 321–324 (in Russian); English transl: *Math. Notes* 40 (1986) 688–690.
- [16] G. Hesse, Zur Topologisierung von Gruppen, PhD thesis, Univ. Hannover, Hannover, 1979.
- [17] A.A. Markov, On unconditionally closed sets, *C. R. Dokl. AN SSSR (N.S.)* 44 (1944) 180–181 (in Russian).
- [18] A.A. Markov, On free topological groups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 9 (1) (1945) 3–64 (in Russian).
- [19] A.A. Markov, On unconditionally closed sets, *Mat. Sb.* 18 (1946) 3–28 (in Russian).
- [20] J. von Neumann, Almost periodic functions in a group I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36 (1934) 445–492.
- [21] D. Shakhmatov, V.H. Yañez, Hausdorff, Zariski and Markov embeddings in free groups, preprint.
- [22] O.V. Sipacheva, A class of groups in which all unconditionally closed sets are algebraic, *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* 12 (8) (2006) 217–222 (in Russian); translation in: *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* 152 (2) (2008) 288–291.
- [23] K. Slutsky, Graev ultrametrics and free products of Polish groups, *Forum Math.* 28 (3) (2016) 437–456.
- [24] A. Thom, Convergent sequences in discrete groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 56 (2) (2013) 424–433.
- [25] D. Toller, Verbal functions of a group, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 46 (2014) 71–99.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, EHIME UNIVERSITY, MATSUYAMA 790-8577, JAPAN  
*Email address:* [dmitri.shakhmatov@ehime-u.ac.jp](mailto:dmitri.shakhmatov@ehime-u.ac.jp)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210046, CHINA  
*Email address:* [victor\\_yanez@comunidad.unam.mx](mailto:victor_yanez@comunidad.unam.mx)