

Preprint

ON EXPONENTIAL DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS OVER \mathbb{Q} WITH FEW UNKNOWNNS

ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain three undecidable results for exponential diophantine equations over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. For example, we prove that there is no algorithm to decide the solvability of a general exponential diophantine equation $F(x_1, \dots, x_8) = 0$ over \mathbb{Q} with eight unknowns.

1. INTRODUCTION

Exponential diophantine equations have the form

$$F_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

where F_1 and F_2 are expressions constructed from variables and particular natural numbers using addition, multiplication, and exponentiation. (Note that 0^0 is regarded as 1.) Here is an example of exponential diophantine equation:

$$x^{2y^x} + y^{x+3y} - (5z^{2x^2} + xyz + 4) = 0.$$

In 1961 M. Davis, H. Putnam and J. Robinson [2] proved that the solvability of a general exponential diophantine equation over \mathbb{N} is undecidable, this is the first major step towards Y. Matiyasevich's negative solution [6] of Hilbert's Tenth Problem (HTP). In this direction, the 11 Unknowns Theorem established by Z.-W. Sun [12] states that there is no algorithm to decide for any given polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_{11}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{11}]$ whether the equation $P(x_1, \dots, x_{11}) = 0$ has integer solutions.

HTP over \mathbb{Q} (the field of rational numbers) is still open. We may also consider the decision problem for exponential diophantine equations over \mathbb{Q} . To avoid uncertainty like $(-1)^{2/3}$, in exponential diophantine equations we use the exponential function x^y only in the case $x, y \geq 0$. Recently, M. Prunescu [10] used a clever trick to show that there is no algorithm to decide whether an arbitrary exponential diophantine equation over \mathbb{Q} has rational solutions.

In this paper, we establish the following three new theorems for exponential diophantine equations over \mathbb{Q} .

Key words and phrases. Undecidability, exponential diophantine equations, recursively enumerable sets, Hilbert's Tenth Problem over \mathbb{Q} .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D61, 03D35; Secondary 03D25, 11U05.

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11971222).

Theorem 1.1. (i) For any r.e. (recursively enumerable) subset A of $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, there is an exponential diophantine equation

$$F(x, x_1, \dots, x_8) = 0$$

such that $a \in \mathbb{N}$ belongs to A if and only if

$$F(a, x_1, \dots, x_8) = 0$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_8 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) There is no algorithm to decide for any exponential diophantine equation

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_8) = 0$$

with eight unknowns, whether it has solutions over \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 1.2. (i) For any r.e. set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, there is an exponential diophantine equation

$$F(x, x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = 0$$

such that $a \in \mathbb{N}$ belongs to A if and only if

$$F(a, x_1^2, \dots, x_{10}^2) = 0$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_{10} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) There is no algorithm to decide for any exponential diophantine equation

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = 0$$

with ten unknowns, whether the equation

$$F(x_1^2, \dots, x_{10}^2) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

has solutions over \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 1.1. In contrast with Theorem 1.2(ii), Sun [12] proved that there is no algorithm to decide for any given polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_{17}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{17}]$ whether the equation $P(x_1^2, \dots, x_{17}^2) = 0$ has integer solutions.

The number 10 in Theorem 1.2 seems not optimal, however it looks challenging to replace it by a smaller number. Nevertheless, we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. There is no algorithm to decide for any exponential diophantine equation $F(x, y, z) = 0$, whether $F(x^2, y^2, z^2) = 0$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.3. Let p_1, \dots, p_{10} be ten distinct primes.

(i) For any r.e. set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, there is a polynomial $P(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{11})$ with integer coefficients such that $a \in \mathbb{N}$ belongs to A if and only if

$$P\left(a, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{10}, p_1^{x_1^2} \cdots p_{10}^{x_{10}^2}\right) = 0$$

for some $x_0, \dots, x_{10} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) *There is no algorithm to decide for any polynomial $P(x_0, \dots, x_{11})$ with integer coefficients whether the equation*

$$P\left(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{10}, p_1^{x_1^2} \cdots p_{10}^{x_{10}^2}\right) = 0$$

has solutions over \mathbb{Q} .

We will provide some lemmas in the next section, and prove Theorems 1.1-1.3 in Section 3. All variables in Sections 2 and 3 range over \mathbb{Q} unless specified.

Throughout this paper, we adopt logical symbols \wedge (conjunction) and \vee (disjunction), and also set

$$\square := \{r^2 : r \in \mathbb{Q}\}. \quad (1.2)$$

2. SOME LEMMAS

Prunescu [10] showed that a rational number w belongs to \mathbb{N} if and only if there are rational numbers $x > 0$ and $y > x$ such that $x^y = y^x$ and $wy = (w+1)x$. This follows from the known result that the only rational solutions of the equation $x^y = y^x$ with $0 < x < y$ are given by

$$x = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \quad \text{and} \quad y = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which dates back to L. Euler (cf. L. E. Dickson [4, p.687]) and appeared in a modern reference [13] with a detailed proof.

Our following lemma gives a rather simple way to characterize \mathbb{Z} in \mathbb{Q} .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}$, and let p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct primes. Then*

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z} \iff \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{Q} \iff \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i^2} \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, if α^2 is an integer m then α is a rational algebraic integer and hence $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Prop. 6.1.1 of [5, p.66]. So we only need to show the first equivalence in (2.1).

\Rightarrow : This direction is obvious.

\Leftarrow : Suppose that $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is a rational number r . Choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m_i = n\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{m_i} = r^n. \quad (2.2)$$

For any prime p and $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, we write $\nu_p(x)$ to denote the p -adic valuation of x . By (2.2), for any $i = 1, \dots, k$ we have

$$m_i = \nu_{p_i}(r^n) = n\nu_{p_i}(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Therefore $\alpha_i = m_i/n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

In view of the above, we have proved Lemma 2.1. \square

Remark 2.1. Let $a > 1$ be an integer which is not a perfect power. For a rational number $\alpha = m/n$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, if $a^\alpha = r \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $a^m = r^n$ and hence a is an n -th power (since $\gcd(m, n) = 1$), so $a^\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also note that a rational number $x \geq 0$ is an integer if and only if $x^x \in \mathbb{Q}$. In fact, if $x = m/n$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and $n > 1$, and $x^x = r \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $(m/n)^m = r^n$, hence for any prime divisor p of n we have $n \mid \nu_p(n)$ and thus $n \geq p^n > n$ which is impossible.

Lemma 2.2. *For any integer m , we have*

$$m \geq 0 \iff \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}[x \neq 0 \wedge (4m + 2)x^2 + 1 \in \square]. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, the integer $(4m + 2)x^2 + 1$ lies in $\square = \{r^2 : r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ if and only if it is an integer square. So, this lemma is essentially equivalent to [11, Lemma 2] obtained via Pell equations. \square

The following result is an analogue of Matiyasevich-Robinson's Relation-Combining Theorem [9].

Lemma 2.3 (G.-R. Zhang and Z.-W. Sun [14]). *Let $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, and define*

$$\mathcal{J}_k(A_1, \dots, A_k, x) = \prod_{s=1}^k A_s^{(k-1)2^{k+1}} \times \prod_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}} \left(x + \sum_{s=1}^k \varepsilon_s \sqrt{A_s} W^{s-1} \right),$$

where

$$W = \left(k + \sum_{s=1}^k A_s^2 \right) \left(1 + \sum_{s=1}^k A_s^{-2} \right).$$

Then $\mathcal{J}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, x)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Moreover,

$$A_1, \dots, A_k \in \square \iff \exists x[\mathcal{J}_k(A_1, \dots, A_k, x) = 0]. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.4 (Matiyasevich, 1979). *For any r.e. set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, there is an exponential diophantine equation*

$$f(t, x, y, z) = 0$$

such that for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$a \in A \iff \exists x \in \mathbb{N} \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \exists z \in \mathbb{N} [f(a, x, y, z) = 0]. \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.2. This result of Matiyasevich [7] (see also Section 8.2 of [8, pp. 156–160]) improves the Davis-Putnam-Robinson Theorem [2] greatly.

Lemma 2.5. *Let α be a rational number. Then*

$$\alpha \geq 0 \iff \exists x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 [\alpha = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \vee \alpha = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2]. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. \Leftarrow : This is obvious.

\Rightarrow : Write $\alpha = a/b$ with $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By the theory of ternary quadratic forms,

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{4^k(8m + 7) : k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus \{x^2 + y^2 + 2z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{4^k(16m + 14) : k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(cf. [3, pp.112–113]). Note that

$$\{4^k(8m + 7) : k, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{4^k(16m + 14) : k, m \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset.$$

So, for some $\delta \in \{1, 2\}$ we have $ab = x^2 + y^2 + \delta z^2$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\alpha = \frac{ab}{b^2} = \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 + \delta \left(\frac{z}{b}\right)^2.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1-1.3

It is known that there are nonrecursive r.e. subsets of \mathbb{N} (see, e.g., N. Cutland [1, pp.140–141]). Thus, for each of Theorems 1.1-1.3, its first part implies the second part. So it remains to prove the first parts of Theorems 1.1-1.3.

Let A be any r.e. subset of \mathbb{N} . By Lemma 2.4, there is an exponential diophantine equation $f(t, x, y, z) = 0$ such that (2.5) holds for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of Lemmas 2.1-2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x \in \mathbb{N} \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \exists z \in \mathbb{N} [f(a, x, y, z) = 0] \\ \iff & \exists x \exists y \exists z \exists \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} \exists \bar{z} [x, y, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} \neq 0 \wedge (4x + 2)\bar{x}^2 + 1 \in \square \\ & \wedge (4y + 2)\bar{y}^2 + 1 \in \square \wedge (4z + 2)\bar{z}^2 + 1 \in \square \wedge f(a, x, y, z) = 0] \\ \iff & \exists x \exists y \exists z \exists \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} \exists \bar{z} \exists u \exists v [(u\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}2^{x^2}3^{y^2}5^{z^2}7^{\bar{x}^2}11^{\bar{y}^2}13^{\bar{z}^2} - 1)^2 + f(a, x, y, z)^2 \\ & + \mathcal{J}_3((4x + 2)\bar{x}^2 + 1, (4y + 2)\bar{y}^2 + 1, (4z + 2)\bar{z}^2 + 1, v)^2 = 0]. \end{aligned}$$

In light of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x \in \mathbb{N} \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \exists z \in \mathbb{N} [f(a, x, y, z) = 0] \\ \iff & \exists x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 \exists y_1 \exists y_2 \exists y_3 \exists z_1 \exists z_2 \exists z_3 \exists \delta_1 \in \{1, 2\} \exists \delta_2 \in \{1, 2\} \exists \delta_3 \in \{1, 2\} \\ & [2^{x_1^2+x_2^2+\delta_1 x_3^2} 3^{y_1^2+y_2^2+\delta_2 y_3^2} 5^{z_1^2+z_2^2+\delta_3 z_3^2} \in \mathbb{Q} \\ & \wedge f(a, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \delta_1 x_3^2, y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \delta_2 y_3^2, z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \delta_3 z_3^2) = 0] \\ \iff & \exists w x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 \exists y_1 \exists y_2 \exists y_3 \exists z_1 \exists z_2 \exists z_3 \\ & [F(a, w^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2, z_1^2, z_2^2, z_3^2) = 0], \end{aligned}$$

where $F(a, w^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2, z_1^2, z_2^2, z_3^2)$ is the product of those

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(w^2 - (2^{x_1^2+x_2^2+\delta_1 x_3^2} 3^{y_1^2+y_2^2+\delta_2 y_3^2} 5^{z_1^2+z_2^2+\delta_3 z_3^2})^2\right)^2 \\ & + f(a, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \delta_1 x_3^2, y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \delta_2 y_3^2, z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \delta_3 z_3^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{1, 2\}$.

By Sun [12, Theorem 1.1(ii)], there is a polynomial $Q(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{10}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{10}]$ such that for any $a \in A$ we have

$$a \in A \iff \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_{10} [x_1, \dots, x_{10} \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge x_{10} \neq 0 \wedge Q(a, x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = 0].$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.1, for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$a \in A \iff \exists x_0 \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_{10} [(x_0 x_{10} p_1^{x_1^2} \dots p_{10}^{x_{10}^2} - 1)^2 + Q(a, x_1, \dots, x_{10})^2 = 0].$$

In view of the above, we have completed the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Cutland, *Computability*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [2] M. Davis, H. Putnam and J. Robinson, *The decision problem for exponential diophantine equations*, Ann. of Math. **74**(2) (1961), 425–436.
- [3] L. E. Dickson, *Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1939.
- [4] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. II, AMS Chelsea Publ., 1999.
- [5] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, 2nd Edition, Grad. Texts. Math., vol. 84, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [6] Y. Matiyasevich, *Enumerable sets are diophantine*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **191** (1970), 279–282; English translation with addendum, Soviet Math. Doklady **11** (1970), 354–357.
- [7] Y. Matiyasevich, *Algorithmic unsolvability of exponential Diophantine equations in three unknowns*, Slecta Math. Sovietica **3**(3) (1983/84), 223–232.
- [8] Y. Matiyasevich, *Hilbert’s Tenth Problem*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1993.
- [9] Y. Matiyasevich and J. Robinson, *Reduction of an arbitrary diophantine equation to one in 13 unknowns*, Acta Arith. **27** (1975), 521–553.
- [10] M. Prunescu, *The exponential diophantine problem for \mathbb{Q}* , J. Symb. Log. **85** (2020), 671–672.
- [11] Z.-W. Sun, *A new relation-combining theorem and its application*, Z. Math. Logik Grundlag. Math. **38** (1992), 209–212.
- [12] Z.-W. Sun, *Further results on Hilbert’s Tenth Problem*, Sci. China Math. **64** (2021), 281–306.
- [13] M. Sved, *On the rational solutions of $x^y = y^x$* , Math. Magazine **63** (1990), 30–33.
- [14] G.-R. Zhang and Z.-W. Sun, *$\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ is diophantine over \mathbb{Q} with 32 unknowns*, preprint, arXiv:2104.02520, 2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: zwsun@nju.edu.cn