

TENT PROPERTY AND DIRECTIONAL LIMIT SETS FOR SELF-JOININGS OF HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

DONGRYUL M. KIM, YAIR N. MINSKY, AND HEE OH

ABSTRACT. (1) Let $\Gamma = (\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(\Delta)$ where $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ are convex cocompact representations of a finitely generated group Δ . We provide a sharp pointwise bound on the growth indicator function ψ_Γ by a tent function: for any $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min(v_1 \dim_{\mathrm{H}} \Lambda_{\rho_1}, v_2 \dim_{\mathrm{H}} \Lambda_{\rho_2}). \quad (0.1)$$

We obtain several interesting consequences including the gap and rigidity property on the critical exponent.

- (2) For each v in the interior of the limit cone of Γ , we prove the following on the v -directional conical limit set $\Lambda_v \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$:

$$\frac{\psi_\Gamma(v)}{\max(v_1, v_2)} \leq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} \Lambda_v \leq \frac{\psi_\Gamma(v)}{\min(v_1, v_2)}.$$

We also study the local behavior of the higher rank Patterson-Sullivan measures on each Λ_v .

- (3) Our approach gives an analogous result as (0.1) for any Anosov subgroup of a semisimple Lie group of rank at most 3. For instance, our result implies that $\psi_\Gamma(\mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_d)) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq d-1} (t_i - t_{i+1})$ for any Hitchin representation $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ with $d \leq 4$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	7
3. Tent property of the growth indicator function	10
4. General Anosov subgroups and Hitchin representations	15
5. Hausdorff dimension of Λ	18
6. Fibered dynamical systems and $\ker \psi_u$ -coordinate map	20
7. Hausdorff dimension of Λ_u and local behavior of ν_u	23
8. Examples of symmetric growth indicator functions	27
References	29

Kim is partially supported by Korea Foundation for Advanced Studies and Minsky and Oh are partially supported by the NSF.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X denote the Riemannian product $(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n, d)$ of two hyperbolic n -spaces $(\mathbb{H}^n, d_{\mathbb{H}^n})$ of constant curvature -1 . The Lie group $G = \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1) \times \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ is the identity component of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. The Riemannian product $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is equal to the Furstenberg boundary of G . We consider a particular class of discrete subgroups of G , constructed as follows. Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ be non-elementary convex cocompact representations of a finitely generated group Δ with finite kernels. Let

$$\Gamma = \{(\rho_1(\sigma), \rho_2(\sigma)) \in G : \sigma \in \Delta\}.$$

Such a subgroup Γ is an Anosov subgroup of G with respect to a minimal parabolic subgroup¹ in the sense of Guichard and Wienhard [16]. Moreover, any such Anosov subgroup of G arises precisely in this way. The quotient $X := \Gamma \backslash (\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ is a locally symmetric rank-two Riemannian manifold of infinite volume, called a self-joining of a hyperbolic manifold.

A well-known theorem of Sullivan [34] says that the critical exponent δ_{ρ_i} of $\rho_i(\Delta)$ is equal to the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set $\Lambda_{\rho_i} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of $\rho_i(\Delta)$:

$$\delta_{\rho_i} = \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} \quad \text{for each } i = 1, 2.$$

The main aim of this paper is to investigate a higher rank analogue of this theorem. We begin by recalling the growth indicator function of Γ , which is a higher rank version of the critical exponent. Fix $o \in \mathbb{H}^n$, and by abuse of notation, we also set $o = (o, o) \in X$. For $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G$, the Cartan projection of g is a vector-valued distance function:

$$\mu(g) = (d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(g_1 o, o), d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(g_2 o, o)).$$

Following Quint [28], the growth indicator function $\psi_\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is defined as follows: If \mathcal{C} is an open cone in \mathbb{R}^2 , let $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the abscissa of convergence of $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-sd(\gamma o, o)}$. Now for any non-zero $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) := \|v\| \inf_{v \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \tag{1.1}$$

where the infimum is over all open cones \mathcal{C} containing v and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^2 , and let $\psi_\Gamma(0) = 0$. The supremum $\sup_{\|v\|=1} \psi_\Gamma(v)$ coincides with the critical exponent δ , which is the abscissa of convergence of $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd(\gamma o, o)}$. Moreover, $\psi_\Gamma = -\infty$ outside the limit cone of Γ , which is the asymptotic cone of $\mu(\Gamma)$. The limit cone \mathcal{L} can also be defined as

$$\mathcal{L} := \{(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 : d_- v_1 \leq v_2 \leq d_+ v_1\}$$

where d_+ and d_- are respectively the maximal and minimal geodesic stretching constants of ρ_2 relative to ρ_1 :

$$d_+(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \sup_{\sigma \in \Delta - \{e\}} \frac{\ell_2(\sigma)}{\ell_1(\sigma)} \quad \text{and} \quad d_-(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \inf_{\sigma \in \Delta - \{e\}} \frac{\ell_2(\sigma)}{\ell_1(\sigma)} \tag{1.2}$$

¹From now on, all Anosov subgroups mentioned in this paper are with respect to a minimal parabolic subgroup.

where $\ell_i(\sigma)$ denotes the length of the closed geodesic in the hyperbolic manifold $\rho_i(\Delta)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ corresponding to $\rho_i(\sigma)$. Note that $0 < d_- \leq d_+ < \infty$ and we have ρ_1 and ρ_2 are not conjugate of each other ($\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$) if and only if $d_- < d_+$ [2]. Quint showed that ψ_Γ is a concave function which is positive on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$, and $\mathcal{L} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \psi_\Gamma(v) \geq 0\}$. For Γ Anosov, ψ_Γ is known to be analytic and strictly concave on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$ [25, Prop. 4.6 and 4.11].

Tent property of ψ_Γ . The limit set of Γ is the set of all accumulation points of an orbit Γo in \mathcal{F} , and is of the form

$$\Lambda = \{(\xi, \zeta(\xi)) : \xi \in \Lambda_{\rho_1}\} \subset \Lambda_{\rho_1} \times \Lambda_{\rho_2}$$

for some Hölder isomorphism $\zeta : \Lambda_{\rho_1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\rho_2}$. For a subset $S \subset \mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\dim S$ the Hausdorff dimension of S with respect to the Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

The following theorem provides a tight tent over the graph of ψ_Γ : for $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$, there exist unique vectors $u_{e_1}, u_{e_2} \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$ such that $\langle u_{e_i}, e_i \rangle = 1$ and $\nabla \psi_\Gamma(u_{e_i})$ is parallel to e_i where $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ (Lemma 3.1). See Figures 1 and 4.

Theorem 1.1 (Tent property of ψ_Γ). *Let $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$. For any $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min(v_1 \cdot \dim \Lambda_{\rho_1}, v_2 \cdot \dim \Lambda_{\rho_2}), \quad (1.3)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $v = c \cdot u_{e_i}$ for some $c > 0$ and $i = 1, 2$, in which case $\psi_\Gamma(cu_{e_i}) = c \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i}$. In particular,

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min(v_1, v_2) \dim \Lambda.$$

Although there have been studies on the bounds of the critical exponent of Γ ([7], [25], [26], etc), our tent theorem seems the first sharp pointwise bound on the growth indicator function in this higher rank situation. See Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 1.10 where we present an analogous result in a more general setting. We remark that for a non-lattice discrete subgroup Γ of a semisimple Lie group G with property (T), some pointwise bound for ψ_Γ was previously known ([9], [30]). This does not apply in our situation as $G = \text{SO}^\circ(n, 1) \times \text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ does not have property (T).




FIGURE 1. Tent on the limit cone

Remark 1.2. The strict concavity of ψ_Γ on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$ implies the following lower bound on the closed convex cone spanned by u_{e_1} and u_{e_2} : if $v = \tilde{v}_1 u_{e_1} + \tilde{v}_2 u_{e_2}$ with $\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \geq \tilde{v}_1 \cdot \dim \Lambda_{\rho_1} + \tilde{v}_2 \cdot \dim \Lambda_{\rho_2} \quad (1.4)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_2 = 0$.

Remark 1.3. (1) If $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$, then $\psi_\Gamma = -\infty$ outside the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(1, 1)$, and $\psi_\Gamma(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \dim \Lambda_{\rho_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \dim \Lambda_{\rho_2}$; hence Theorem 1.1 also holds in this case, except for the statement concerning the equality case.

(2) Theorem 1.1 does not generalize to a general Zariski dense discrete subgroup of G ; for instance, it is false for a product of two non-elementary convex cocompact subgroups of $\text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$.

We now discuss some applications of the tent theorem. Since $\dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} \leq n - 1$ and $\min(v_1, v_2) \leq (v_1 + v_2)/2$, we get:

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\pi(v_1, v_2) = (n - 1)(v_1 + v_2)$, which is the sum of all positive roots of G . Then for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \frac{\pi(v)}{2}.$$

The comparison of ψ_Γ with the half-sum of positive roots is meaningful in view of Sullivan's theorem that $\delta_{\rho_i} \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ if and only if there exists no positive square-integrable harmonic function on $\rho_i(\Delta) \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ [35, Thm. 2.21]. In [12], similar result is obtained for $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X)$, where an application of Corollary 1.4 is discussed.

There exist convex cocompact (non-lattice) subgroups of $\text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ whose critical exponents are arbitrarily close to $n - 1$, constructed by McMullen [20, Sec.6]. For Anosov subgroups of G , the trivial bound is given by $\delta \leq \max(\delta_{\rho_1}, \delta_{\rho_2}) \leq n - 1$, while the following corollary presents a strong gap for the value of δ from the trivial bound.

Corollary 1.5 (Gap + Rigidity). *We have*

$$\delta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \dim \Lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

and the first equality holds if and only if $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$. In particular, $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(n-1)$ if and only if $\rho_1(\Delta), \rho_2(\Delta)$ are conjugate lattices of $\text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$.

Some cases of Corollary 1.5 when Δ is a surface group and $n = 2$ were also considered in [26, Thm. 1.8]. In Theorems 3.9, 4.1 and Corollary 4.3, a more general version of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.5 are presented.

In view of special interests in low dimensional hyperbolic manifolds which come with huge deformation spaces, we also formulate the following corollary of Theorem 1.1, using the isomorphisms $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{SO}^\circ(3, 1)$ and $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{SO}^\circ(2, 1)$:

Corollary 1.6. *For any non-elementary convex cocompact subgroup $\Gamma_0 < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and any non-elementary convex cocompact representation $\pi : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the critical exponent of the group $\{(\gamma_0, \pi(\gamma_0)) : \gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0\}$ with respect to the Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^3$ is strictly less than $\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$.*

Dimension of the limit set. The following theorem can be proved by an easy adaptation of Sullivan's proof of $\dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} = \delta_{\rho_i}$ [34], based on the fact that Λ consists of conical limit points for Anosov subgroups (2.2):

Theorem 1.7. *We have*

$$\dim \Lambda = \delta_{\min} = \max(\dim \Lambda_{\rho_1}, \dim \Lambda_{\rho_2}) \quad (1.5)$$

where δ_{\min} denotes the critical exponent of Γ with respect to the minimum of the metrics on $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n$.

It is easy to observe that $\sqrt{2}\delta \leq \delta_{\min}$ in general, and $\sqrt{2}\delta = \delta_{\min}$ when $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$. See Theorem 5.1 for a more general version.

Dimension of the directional limit sets. We also obtain estimates on the Hausdorff dimension of directional conical limit sets of Γ . Given $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $v_1, v_2 > 0$, a point $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is called a *v-directional* conical limit point of Γ if the geodesic ray

$$\{(\xi_1(v_1 t), \xi_2(v_2 t)) : t \geq 0\}$$

accumulates on $\Gamma \backslash X$, where $\{\xi(t) : t \geq 0\}$ denotes a unit speed geodesic in \mathbb{H}^n toward $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We denote by

$$\Lambda_v \subset \Lambda$$

the set of all *v-directional* conical limit points of Γ ; note that Λ_v depends only on the slope v_2/v_1 of the line $\mathbb{R}v$. It is not hard to observe that $\Lambda_v = \emptyset$ if $v_2/v_1 \notin [d_-, d_+]$. On the other hand, the non-triviality of Λ_v is much more subtle to decide.

Theorem 1.8. *Assume that $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$. If $v_2/v_1 \in (d_-, d_+)$, i.e., $v \in \mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}$, then*

$$\frac{\psi_\Gamma(v)}{\max(v_1, v_2)} \leq \dim \Lambda_v \leq \frac{\psi_\Gamma(v)}{\min(v_1, v_2)}.$$

See Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.7 for a more general result.

If $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$, the growth indicator function ψ_Γ is symmetric for an obvious reason. We note that there are many geometric examples where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are non-conjugate and ψ_Γ is symmetric. For instance, when $\Delta = \pi_1(S)$ is a surface group, there always exists an involution ι in the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out} \Delta$ and a Fuchsian representation ρ of Δ which is not a fixed point of ι in the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$, i.e., $\rho \circ \iota \not\sim \rho$. See Figure 2. See section 8 for more examples including 3-manifold groups.




FIGURE 2. Example of an involution

On the proofs. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the observation that the value $\psi_\Gamma(v)$ coincides with the critical exponent D_α of Γ with respect to the Manhattan metric d_α on Γo for the dual vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the recent result of Burger, Landesberg, Lee and Oh [8] which implies that D_α can be computed by considering those γ 's whose Cartan projection lies within a strip around the ray \mathbb{R}_+v (Theorem 3.10). Indeed, the latter result holds for any semisimple group of rank at most 3, and accordingly, our proof yields the following (see Theorem 4.1 for notations):

Theorem 1.9 (Tent property). *Let G be a connected semisimple real algebraic group of rank $k \leq 3$. Let Γ be a Zariski dense Anosov subgroup of G . Then for any $v \in \mathfrak{a}$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} (\beta_i(v) \delta_{\beta_i}).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if the gradient vector $\nabla \psi_\Gamma(v) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is parallel to one of the coordinate axes.

We deduce the following from Theorem 1.9 (see Corollary 4.2):

Corollary 1.10. *Let $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be the image of a Hitchin representation with $d \leq 4$. Then for any $v = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^d t_i = 0$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq d-1} (t_i - t_{i+1}) \leq (t_1 - t_d)/(d-1).$$

In particular, we have

$$\delta \leq 1$$

with equality if and only if Γ Fuchsian, where the critical exponent δ is computed with respect to the norm given in (4.3).

We mention that this pointwise bound is sharper than the one from ([30], [23]), which for instance, for $d = 3$, gives the bound $t_1 - t_3$ while the above corollary gives a bound $(t_1 - t_3)/2$. The last part of Corollary 1.10 on δ recovers the main theorem of Potrie and Sambarino [25, Thm. A] for $d \leq 4$; note that their theorem is valid for all $d \geq 3$.

The proof of Theorem 1.8 is based on the reparameterization theorem ([6], [10], [31]) which provides us with a trivial vector bundle associated to the dynamics of one-dimensional diagonal flow $\exp tv$. We combine this with the non-triviality of the Patterson-Sullivan measure ν_u on Λ_v [8].

Organization. In section 2, we review basic notions and state known results about Anosov subgroups of $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and related corollaries. In section 4, we discuss Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 1.10. In section 5, we prove Theorem 1.7. In section 6, we discuss the trivial vector bundle mentioned above, and prove a result that the associated vector-valued coordinate map grows with speed $o(t)$ under the time t -flow (Theorem 6.4). In section 7, we prove Theorem 1.8. We also obtain the local behavior of the measures ν_u in Theorems 7.5 and 7.8. In the last section 8, we discuss some geometric examples with symmetric growth indicator functions.

As mentioned before, our approach works for any Anosov subgroup of a semisimple real algebraic group of rank at most 3, provided the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set is computed with respect to a well-chosen metric on the Furstenberg boundary. The reason we have chosen to write this paper mainly for the product of $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$'s is because \mathcal{F} in this case is simply the Riemannian product of the spheres \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and hence is equipped with a natural Riemannian metric.

Acknowledgements. Our work has been largely inspired by a pioneering paper of Marc Burger [7] on a higher rank Patterson-Sullivan theory. In particular, the upper bound of Theorem 1.8 was already noted in [7, Thm. 2]. We would like to dedicate this paper to him on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday with affection and admiration. Marc also informed us that Corollary 1.5 can be deduced by combining Theorems 1(a) and 3(a) of [7]. We are grateful to Dick Canary for many helpful remarks on an earlier version of this paper, and in particular for pointing out how to strengthen our original version of Theorem 1.7. He also explained to us a different argument proving Corollary 1.5 following [5]. We would also like to thank Minju Lee for helpful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Fixing $k \geq 1$, let $G = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$, and consider the Riemannian symmetric space $(X = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{H}^n, d)$ where

$$d((x_i), (y_i)) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x_i, y_i)^2}.$$

Consider the Furstenberg boundary $\mathcal{F} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ equipped with the Riemannian metric. Let Δ be a finitely generated group and $\rho_i : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ a non-elementary convex cocompact representation with finite kernel for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. In the whole paper, let Γ be the subgroup of G defined as

$$\Gamma = \{(\rho_1(\sigma), \dots, \rho_k(\sigma)) \in G : \sigma \in \Delta\}.$$

We remark that the class of these groups is precisely the class of Anosov subgroups of G with respect to a minimal parabolic subgroup in the sense of Guichard and Wienhard [16].

We will assume that no two ρ_i are conjugate to each other. This assumption implies that if H denotes the identity component of the Zariski closure of Γ , then H is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n_i, 1)$ for some $2 \leq n_i \leq n$. Hence Γ is a Zariski dense Anosov subgroup of a semisimple real algebraic group H of rank equal to $k = \mathrm{rank} G$, which enables us to use the general theory developed for such groups. Fix a basepoint $o \in \mathbb{H}^n$. By abuse of notation, we write $o = (o, \dots, o) \in X$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : u_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm on \mathfrak{a} .

The limit set of Γ , which we denote by $\Lambda = \Lambda_\Gamma$, is defined as the set of all accumulation points of Γo in the Furstenberg boundary \mathcal{F} . It is the unique Γ -minimal subset of \mathcal{F} ([2], [18, Lem. 2.3]).

For each $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathfrak{a}$, we write

$$\xi(t_1, \dots, t_k) = (\xi_1(t_1), \dots, \xi_k(t_k))$$

where $\{\xi_i(t) : t \geq 0\}$ denotes the unit speed geodesic from o to ξ_i in \mathbb{H}^n . Set

$$\xi(\mathfrak{a}^+) := \{\xi(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in X : t_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Recall that $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a conical limit point if there exists a sequence $\gamma_j \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\sup_j d(\xi(\mathfrak{a}^+), \gamma_j o) < \infty.$$

If Λ_c denotes the set of all conical limit points, then it is a well-known property of an Anosov subgroup (cf. [18, Prop. 7.4]) that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_c. \quad (2.2)$$

The Cartan projection of $g = (g_i)_{i=1}^k \in G$ is given by

$$\mu(g) = (d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(g_1 o, o), \dots, d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(g_k o, o)) \in \mathfrak{a}^+.$$

In particular, $d(go, o) = \|\mu(g)\|$. We denote by $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{a}^+$ the limit cone of Γ , which is the asymptotic cone of $\mu(\Gamma)$. It is a convex cone with non-empty interior [2]. For $k = 2$, \mathcal{L} coincides with $\{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathfrak{a}^+ : u_2 \in u_1[d_-, d_+]\}$ where d_\pm is defined as in (1.2). Let δ denote the critical exponent of Γ , which is the abscissa of convergence of the Poincaré series $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\|\mu(\gamma)\|}$. It follows from the non-elementary assumption on $\rho_i(\Delta)$ that $\delta > 0$.

Let $\psi_\Gamma : \mathfrak{a}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ denote the growth indicator function of Γ following Quint [28]: for any non-zero $u \in \mathfrak{a}^+$,

$$\psi_\Gamma(u) := \|u\| \inf_{\text{open cones } \mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{a}^+, u \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the abscissa of convergence of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-s\|\mu(\gamma)\|}$, and $\psi_\Gamma(0) = 0$. Quint also showed that ψ_Γ is a concave function such that

$\psi_\Gamma > 0$ on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$,

$$\delta = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \psi_\Gamma(u)$$

and that there exists a unique unit vector

$$u_\Gamma \in \mathcal{L} \tag{2.4}$$

in the maximal growth direction, that is, $\delta = \psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma)$ [28].

The following proposition follows from the fact that Γ is a Zariski dense Anosov subgroup of $H \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k \text{SO}^\circ(n_i, 1)$ for $2 \leq n_i \leq k$ by the works of Sambarino [31, Lem. 4.8] and Potrie-Sambarino [25, Prop. 4.6 and 4.11].

Theorem 2.1. *We have the following:*

- (1) $\mathcal{L} \subset \text{int } \mathfrak{a}^+ \cup \{0\}$;
- (2) ψ_Γ is strictly concave and analytic on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$;
- (3) $u_\Gamma \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$.

For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k), y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in X$, and $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \mathcal{F}$, the \mathfrak{a} -valued Busemann function is given as

$$\beta_\xi(x, y) = (\beta_{\xi_1}(x_1, y_1), \dots, \beta_{\xi_k}(x_k, y_k)) \in \mathfrak{a}$$

where $\beta_{\xi_i}(x_i, y_i) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\xi_i(t), x_i) - d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\xi_i(t), y_i)$ is the Busemann function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n$.

Definition 2.2. For a linear form $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, a Borel probability measure ν on Λ is called a (Γ, ψ) -Patterson-Sullivan measure if the following holds: for any $\xi \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\frac{d\gamma_*\nu}{d\nu}(\xi) = e^{-\psi(\beta_\xi(\gamma o, o))}$$

where $\gamma_*\nu(W) = \nu(\gamma^{-1}W)$ for any Borel subset $W \subset \Lambda$.

Theorem 2.3 ([11, Thm. 7.7 and Cor. 7.8], [18, Cor. 7.12]). *Let $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$.*

- (1) *There exists a unique $\psi_u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\psi_u \geq \psi_\Gamma$ and $\psi_u(u) = \psi_\Gamma(u)$. Moreover, $\psi_u(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\|u\|} \langle \nabla \psi_\Gamma(u), \cdot \rangle$.*
- (2) *There exists a unique (Γ, ψ_u) -Patterson-Sullivan measure, say, ν_u .*
- (3) *The abscissa of convergence of the series $\mathcal{P}_u(s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}$ is equal to 1 and $\mathcal{P}_u(1) = \infty$.*

Construction of ν_u . Fix $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$. It follows from Theorem 2.1(1) that $\Gamma o \cup \Lambda$ is a compact space. For $s > 1$, consider the probability measure on $\Gamma o \cup \Lambda$ given by

$$\nu_{u,s} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_u(s)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))} D_{\gamma o} \tag{2.5}$$

where $D_{\gamma o}$ denotes the Dirac measure on γo ; this is a probability measure by Theorem 2.3(3). Note that the space of probability measures on $\Gamma o \cup \Lambda$ is a weak* compact space. Therefore, by passing to a subsequence, it weakly converges to a probability measure, say ν_u , on $\Gamma o \cup \Lambda$. Since $\mathcal{P}_u(1) = \infty$

by Theorem 2.3, ν_u is supported on Λ . Now the uniqueness of (Γ, ψ_u) -Patterson-Sullivan measure (Theorem 2.3) implies that as $s \rightarrow^+ 1$, $\nu_{u,s}$ weakly converges to ν_u .

Hausdorff dimension. We consider the Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For a subset $S \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $s > 0$, the s -dimensional Hausdorff measure of S is defined by $H^s(S) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf \{ \sum_j r_j^s : S \subset \cup_{j \in J} B(x_j, r_j) : 0 < r_j \leq \varepsilon \}$ where the infimum is taken over all countable covers of S by balls of radius at most ε . The Hausdorff dimension of S , which we denote by $\dim S$, is the infimum $s \geq 0$ such that $H^s(S) = 0$, or equivalently the supremum s such that $H^s(S) = +\infty$. We refer to [3] for general facts on Hausdorff dimension.

3. TENT PROPERTY OF THE GROWTH INDICATOR FUNCTION

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3.3 which provides the pointwise bound of ψ_Γ by a tent function. This theorem implies Theorem 1.1 of the introduction.

Let $G = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ and $\Gamma = (\prod_{i=1}^k \rho_i)(\Delta) < G$ be an Anosov subgroup as in the last section. In particular, no ρ_i and ρ_j are conjugate for all $i \neq j$. Write $\rho = \prod \rho_j$ so that an element of $\Gamma = \rho(\Delta)$ is of the form $\rho(\sigma) = (\rho_1(\sigma), \dots, \rho_k(\sigma))$ for $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Consider the following dual cone of the limit cone \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L}^* := \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k : \langle \mathcal{L}, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $\mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}^* = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k : \langle \mathcal{L} - \{0\}, \alpha \rangle > 0 \}$. Since $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathrm{int} \mathfrak{a}^+$, $\mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}^*$ contains \mathfrak{a}^+ properly. In particular, it contains the standard unit vectors $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$. See Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Limit cone and its dual cone.

For $u \in \mathcal{L}$, we write

$$\delta_u = \psi_\Gamma(u).$$

Lemma 3.1. *The map $u \mapsto \alpha_u := \frac{1}{\delta_u \|u\|} \nabla \psi_\Gamma(u)$ defines a bijection $\mathrm{int} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}^*$. If we denote its inverse map $\mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}$ by $\alpha \mapsto u_\alpha$, then for $\alpha \in \mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}^*$,*

$$u_\alpha \in \mathrm{int} \mathcal{L}$$

is the unique vector such that α is parallel to $\nabla\psi_\Gamma(u_\alpha)$ and $\langle\alpha, u_\alpha\rangle = 1$. Moreover, $\psi_{u_\alpha}(\cdot) = \langle\delta_{u_\alpha}\alpha, \cdot\rangle$, $\delta_{u_\alpha} = \max_{v \in \mathcal{L}, \langle v, \alpha \rangle = 1} \psi_\Gamma(v)$, and $\nabla\psi_{u_\Gamma} \in \mathbb{R}u_\Gamma$.

Proof. This follows from [18, Prop. 4.4, Lem. 4.6]) except for the last claim. Since $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$, the hyperplane $\ker \alpha = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^k : \langle w, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$ intersects \mathcal{L} only at the origin, and hence the set $S_\alpha = \{u \in \mathcal{L} : \langle \alpha, u \rangle = 1\}$, parallel to $\ker \alpha$, is a compact convex subset. Since ψ_Γ is concave, and strictly concave in $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$, there exists a unique vector $v_\alpha \in S_\alpha$ such that $\psi_\Gamma(v_\alpha) = \max_{v \in S_\alpha} \psi_\Gamma(v)$. Since α is parallel to $\nabla\psi_\Gamma(v_\alpha)$, it follows that $u_\alpha = v_\alpha$. See Figure 4. See [11, Lem. 2.24] for the claim $\nabla\psi_{u_\Gamma} \in \mathbb{R}u_\Gamma$. \square




FIGURE 4. From α to u_α

Definition 3.2 (Tent function). We define the tent function $T : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of Γ by

$$T(v) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} v_i \delta_{\rho_i}.$$

Theorem 3.3 (Tent property). Let $k \leq 3$. For any $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq T(v). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover the equality holds if and only if $v = cu_{e_i}$ for some $c > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, in which case we have $\psi_\Gamma(cu_{e_i}) = c\delta_{\rho_i} = T(cu_{e_i})$.

The key part of the argument is Theorem 3.9, which we state after discussing some of the corollaries.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}^\dagger = \{\sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{v}_i u_{e_i} : \tilde{v}_i \geq 0\}$ the closed convex cone spanned by u_{e_1}, \dots, u_{e_k} ; then $\mathcal{L}^\dagger \subset \text{int } \mathcal{L}$. The last claim of Theorem 3.3 together with the strict concavity of ψ_Γ on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$ implies the following lower bound of ψ_Γ on \mathcal{L}^\dagger :

Corollary 3.4. If $v = \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{v}_i u_{e_i}$ with $\tilde{v}_i \geq 0$, then

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{v}_i \delta_{\rho_i},$$

where the inequality is strict if and only if $\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_2 + \tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_3 + \tilde{v}_3 \cdot \tilde{v}_1 > 0$.

Note that Theorem 3.3 implies that for any $v \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$,

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} v_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} \leq \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} v_i \right) \dim \Lambda$$

since $\dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} = \delta_{\rho_i}$, and $\max_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} \leq \dim \Lambda$, as the projection $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\rho_i}$ is Lipschitz. Therefore Theorem 1.1 follows.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\pi(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \frac{n-1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^k v_i)$, which is the half sum of all positive roots of G . Let $k = 2, 3$. Then for all $v \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \pi(v).$$

Proof. Since $\delta_{\rho_i} \leq (n-1)$, we get $\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq (\min_i v_i)(n-1)$. Since $\min_i v_i \leq \frac{1}{k}(\sum_{i=1}^k v_i) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^k v_i)$, the claim follows. \square

We also get the following gap+rigidity result for the critical exponent δ :

Corollary 3.6 (Gap + Rigidity property). *For $k \leq 3$, we have*

$$\delta < \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i \delta_{\rho_i} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i}$$

where $u_\Gamma = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is the unit vector of the maximal growth direction.

In particular,

$$\delta < \frac{\dim \Lambda}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

Proof. Note that $\psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma) = \delta$, and $\nabla \psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma)$ is a positive multiple of u_Γ . In particular, $\nabla \psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma) \in \text{int } \mathfrak{a}^+$ and hence not parallel to any e_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore the first claim follows from Theorem 3.3. The second claim follows since $\min_i u_i \leq 1/\sqrt{k}$ for $\sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2 = 1$. \square

Critical exponents for the Manhattan metrics. The proof of Theorem 3.3 uses the realization of $\psi_\Gamma(u)$ as the critical exponent of Γ with respect to the Manhattan metric d_{α_u} which we now recall.

Definition 3.7 (Manhattan metric d_α on Γo). For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$, define the following function d_α on $\Gamma o \times \Gamma o$ by

$$d_\alpha(\rho(\sigma_1)o, \rho(\sigma_2)o) := \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \cdot d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\rho_i(\sigma_1)o, \rho_i(\sigma_2)o)$$

for any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Delta$. In other words, for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$,

$$d_\alpha(\gamma_1 o, \gamma_2 o) = \langle \alpha, \mu(\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_2) \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

This defines a metric on $\{\gamma o : \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{L}\}$ which is a co-finite subset of Γ , since \mathcal{L} is the asymptotic cone of $\mu(\Gamma)$. We call d_α the Manhattan metric on Γo for the parameter α .

Let D_α be the critical exponent of Γ with respect to d_α , i.e., it is the abscissa of convergence of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd_\alpha(\gamma o, o)}$.

We remark that by [28, Lemma 3.1.1], we have for each $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$,

$$D_\alpha = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \langle \alpha, \mu(\gamma) \rangle \leq T\}}{T}.$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, it follows from the definition that $D_{e_i} = \delta_{\rho_i}$.

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(u_\alpha) = D_\alpha.$$

In particular, $\psi_\Gamma(u_{e_i}) = \delta_{\rho_i}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3(3), the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi_{u_\alpha}(\mu(\gamma))}$ has the abscissa of convergence 1. Since $\psi_{u_\alpha}(\cdot) = \langle \delta_{u_\alpha} \alpha, \cdot \rangle$ by Lemma 3.1, we have $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi_{u_\alpha}(\mu(\gamma))} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\delta_{u_\alpha} d_\alpha(\gamma o, o)}$. Therefore $D_\alpha = \delta_{u_\alpha}$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $k \leq 3$ and $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$. Then*

$$\psi_\Gamma(u_\alpha) = D_\alpha \leq T(u_\alpha). \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\alpha = ce_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $c > 0$, in which case, $D_{ce_i} = \delta_{\rho_i}/c = T(u_{ce_i})$.

The proof of Theorem 3.9 is based on the following recent result:

Theorem 3.10 (Strip theorem). [8, Thm. 6.3] *Let $k \leq 3$ and $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$. For all sufficiently large $R > 0$, the abscissa of convergence of the series*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \|\mu(\gamma) - \mathbb{R}u\| \leq R} e^{-s\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}$$

is equal to 1.

Proof of Theorem 3.9: Fix $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$. Let $S_R := \{g \in G : \|\mu(g) - \mathbb{R}u_\alpha\| < R\}$ for $R > 0$. By Theorem 3.10, there exists $R > 0$ such that the series $\mathcal{D}_R(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap S_R} e^{-s\psi_{u_\alpha}(\mu(\gamma))}$ has the abscissa of convergence 1. Write $u_\alpha = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq k$. Recalling that $u_i > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ so that for any $\rho(\sigma) \in S_R$, we have

$$\left\| \mu(\rho(\sigma)) - \frac{\mu(\rho_i(\sigma))}{u_i} u_\alpha \right\|_{\max} \leq C. \quad (3.5)$$

It then follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_R(s) = \sum_{\rho(\sigma) \in \Gamma \cap S_R} e^{-s\delta_{u_\alpha} \langle \mu(\rho(\sigma)), \alpha \rangle} \ll \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\delta_{u_\alpha} \frac{\mu(\rho_i(\sigma))}{u_i}}$$

which is finite whenever $s > \frac{u_i}{\delta_{u_\alpha}} \delta_{\rho_i}$. Therefore we have

$$1 \leq \frac{u_i}{\delta_{u_\alpha}} \delta_{\rho_i}.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.8,

$$D_\alpha \leq u_i \delta_{\rho_i} = u_i D_{e_i}.$$

Since $1 \leq i \leq k$ is arbitrary, we get $D_\alpha \leq T(u_\alpha)$. It completes the proof of (3.4).

Now we show the last assertion: If $\alpha = ce_i$ for some $c > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, then the i -th component of u_α must be $1/c$, as $\langle \alpha, u_\alpha \rangle = 1$; hence

$$D_\alpha = D_{e_i}/c \leq T(u_\alpha) = \min_j (u_j D_{e_j}) \leq D_{e_i}/c.$$

Therefore we have the equality $D_{ce_i} = D_{e_i}/c = T(u_{ce_i})$ in (3.4). Now suppose that α is not parallel to any coordinate axis and that $D_\alpha = \min_i (u_i D_{e_i})$, that is, $\psi_\Gamma(u_\alpha) = T(u_\alpha)$. Let $S_\alpha := \{v \in \text{int } \mathcal{L} : \langle \alpha, v \rangle = 1\}$. Since $\psi_\Gamma|_{S_\alpha}$ is maximized at u_α by Lemma 3.1 and ψ_Γ is analytic at u_α , $\psi_\Gamma|_{S_\alpha}$ is constant to first order at u_α ; more precisely, for some neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset S_\alpha$ of u_α , $\psi_\Gamma(v) = \psi_\Gamma(u_\alpha) + O(\|v - u_\alpha\|^2)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{U}$. On the other hand, if we set $T_i(v) = v_i \delta_{\rho_i}$, then by the hypothesis, ∇T_i , which is in the direction of the i -th coordinate axis, is not normal to S_α for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence there exists a tangent direction, say, w , to S_α at u_α where $T|_{S_\alpha}$ strictly decreases to first order, that is, there exists $c > 0$ such that $T(u_\alpha + sw) = T(u_\alpha) - cs + O(\|sw\|^2)$ for all sufficiently small $s \geq 0$. Since $\psi_\Gamma(u_\alpha + sw) \leq T(u_\alpha + sw)$ for all sufficiently small $s \geq 0$ by (3.4), we get $0 \leq -c$, yielding a contradiction. This finishes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.3: Observe that for $c > 0$ and $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$, we have $cu_\alpha = u_{\alpha/c}$. Therefore by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.8, Theorem 3.9 implies Theorem 3.3 for any $v \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$. Now this inequality extends to \mathcal{L} by the following lemma, and this proves the claim since $\psi_\Gamma = -\infty$ outside \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 3.11. *For any sequence $v_j \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$ converging to a non-zero vector $w \in \partial \mathcal{L}$ along a fixed line L , we have*

$$\psi_\Gamma(w) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\Gamma(v_j).$$

Proof. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be a line and $v_j \in L \cap \text{int } \mathcal{L}$ be a sequence converging to $w \in \partial \mathcal{L}$. By the upper semi-continuity of ψ_Γ , we have $\psi_\Gamma(w) \geq \limsup_j \psi_\Gamma(v_j)$. Since ψ_Γ is concave, the restriction $\psi|_L$ is a concave function on the line L in particular. It follows that $\psi_\Gamma(w) \leq \liminf_j \psi_\Gamma(v_j)$. This implies the claim. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let $k = 2, 3$. For each $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, the j -th component of $u_{e_i} \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$ is at least $\frac{\delta_{\rho_i}}{\delta_{\rho_j}}$, and the i -th component is equal to 1.*

Proof. We just consider the case when $k = 3$. Note that u_{e_1} is of the form $(1, c_2, c_3)$ for some $c_2, c_3 > 0$. Since $\psi_\Gamma(u_{e_1}) = \min(\delta_{\rho_1}, c_2 \delta_{\rho_2}, c_3 \delta_{\rho_3}) = \delta_{\rho_1}$ by Theorem 3.9, it follows $c_j \delta_{\rho_j} \geq \delta_{\rho_1}$ from which the claim on u_{e_1} follows. The argument is now symmetric for other u_{e_i} 's. \square

The case of $k = 2$ in the above corollary implies the following theorem of Burger [7, Thm. 1 and its Coro.], which generalizes a theorem of Thurston on the stretch constant of a finite area hyperbolic surface (see also [13]):

Corollary 3.13. *If $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$, then*

$$d_+(\rho_1, \rho_2) > \frac{\dim \Lambda_{\rho_1}}{\dim \Lambda_{\rho_2}}.$$

Proof. Corollary 3.12 for $k = 2$ implies that the slope of the vector u_{e_1} is at least $\delta_{\rho_1}/\delta_{\rho_2}$. Since $u_{e_1} \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{L} is the convex cone whose largest slope is $d_+(\rho_1, \rho_2)$, this implies that

$$d_+(\rho_1, \rho_2) > \delta_{\rho_1}/\delta_{\rho_2}.$$

□

Example 3.14. Recalling that $D_{(1, \dots, 1)}$ denotes the critical exponent of Γ for the L^1 -metric on $\mathbb{H}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{H}^n$, a particular case of the above theorem implies that

$$D_{(1, \dots, 1)} < \frac{\dim \Lambda}{k}, \quad (3.6)$$

since $\min u_i \leq 1/k$ when $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i = 1$.

For $k = 2$ and $\dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} = 1$, this was proved by Bishop and Steger [4].

4. GENERAL ANOSOV SUBGROUPS AND HITCHIN REPRESENTATIONS

Let G be a connected semisimple real algebraic group of rank k , and let $P = MAN$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of G with a fixed Langlands decomposition. So A is a maximal real split torus of G . Set $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie } A$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. Let $G = K(\exp \mathfrak{a}^+)K$ be a Cartan decomposition of G where K is a maximal compact subgroup and $\mathfrak{a}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}$ is a closed positive Weyl chamber. For $g \in G$, denote the Cartan projection of g by $\mu(g)$ the unique element of \mathfrak{a}^+ such that $g \in K \exp \mu(g)K$. The quotient $\mathcal{F} = G/P$ is the Furstenberg boundary of G . We fix a basepoint $o = [K] \in G/K$. Let Φ (resp. Φ^+) denote the set of all (resp. positive) roots for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}^+)$. Consider the inner product on \mathfrak{g} given by the killing form:

$$B(v, w) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad } v \circ \text{ad } w) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi} \beta(v)\beta(w).$$

Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense subgroup. Suppose that Γ is Anosov G with respect to P ; this means that Γ arises as the image $\rho(\Delta)$ where $\rho : \Delta \rightarrow G$ is a representation of a Gromov hyperbolic group Δ which induces a Δ -equivariant continuous embedding $\partial_\infty \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of the Gromov boundary $\partial_\infty \Delta$ mapping two distinct points to two points in general position. Two points ξ, η of \mathcal{F} are said to be in general position if (ξ, η) belongs to a unique open G -orbit of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$.

The limit cone $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{a}^+$ of Γ is the asymptotic cone of $\mu(\Gamma)$, and the growth indicator function $\psi_\Gamma : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is defined as in (2.3) using

the norm induced by the killing form. Then Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 3.10 are all known to hold for Γ in this generality (see the same references quoted there). In particular, ψ_Γ is analytic in $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$. For $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$, we denote by $\nabla\psi_\Gamma(u)$ the gradient of ψ_Γ at u so that the directional derivative ∂_v satisfies $\partial_v\psi_\Gamma(u) = \langle \nabla\psi_\Gamma(u), v \rangle$ for all non-zero $v \in \mathfrak{a}$. Lemma 3.1 also holds giving the bijection $\alpha \mapsto u_\alpha$ between $\text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$ and $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\} \subset \Phi^+$ be the set of simple roots, and let δ_{β_i} denote the abscissa of convergence of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\beta_i(\mu(\gamma))}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$ be the unique unit vectors such that

$$\langle e_i, v \rangle = \beta_i(v)$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{a}$. For each $\alpha \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}^*$, we denote by D_α the critical exponent of Γ with respect to the Manhattan metric $d_\alpha(o, \gamma o) = \langle \alpha, \mu(\gamma) \rangle$ on Γo . Then D_{e_i} coincides with δ_{β_i} .

Theorem 4.1 (Tent property). *Let $k = 2, 3$. Let Γ be a Zariski dense Anosov subgroup of G as above. Then for any $v \in \mathfrak{a}$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} (\beta_i(v)\delta_{\beta_i}).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $v = cu_{e_i}$ for some $c > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. In particular, if $u_\Gamma \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ is the unit vector of the maximal growth,

$$\delta = \psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma) < \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} (\beta_i(u_\Gamma)\delta_{\beta_i}). \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.3 works precisely in the same way if we replace (3.5) with the following: there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\gamma \in S_R$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left| \beta_j(\mu(\gamma)) - \frac{\beta_i(\mu(\gamma))}{\beta_i(u_\alpha)} \beta_j(u_\alpha) \right| \leq C. \quad (4.2)$$

□

Hitchin representations. We discuss the implication of Theorem 4.1 for Hitchin representations. Let Δ be a surface group, i.e., $\Delta = \pi_1(\Sigma)$ for a closed orientable surface Σ of genus at least 2. Fix a realization of Δ as a uniform lattice of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and let π_d denote the d -dimensional irreducible representation $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ which is unique up to conjugation. Let $\rho : \Delta \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be a Hitchin representation, that is, ρ belongs to the same connected component as π_d in the character variety $\text{Hom}(\Delta, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})) / \sim$ where the equivalence is given by conjugations. Then

$$\Gamma := \rho(\Sigma)$$

is an Anosov subgroup of $\text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to a minimal parabolic subgroup [17]. We call Γ Fuchsian if ρ is conjugate to π_d .

In this case, $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{v = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_d) : t_1 \geq \dots \geq t_d, \sum t_i = 0\}$ and $\beta_i(v) = t_i - t_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

To ease the notation, we write (t_1, \dots, t_d) for $\text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_d)$. We set $v_d := \pi_d(1/2, -1/2) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ for $d \geq 2$; hence $v_3 = (1, 0, -1)$ and $v_4 = (3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2)$. Since the killing form of $\text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$B((t_1, \dots, t_d), (s_1, \dots, s_d)) = 2d \sum t_i s_i,$$

we get $B(v_3, v_3) = 12$ and $B(v_4, v_4) = 40$. We consider the following normalized inner product: for $v = (t_1, \dots, t_d), w = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathfrak{a}$,

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{B(v_d, v_d)} B(v, w) = \frac{2d}{B(v_d, v_d)} \sum_{i=1}^d t_i s_i \quad (4.3)$$

so that $\|v_d\| = 1$. We then consider ψ_Γ and δ defined with respect to this inner product. Then for $\Gamma \subset \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ Fuchsian, it is easy to check that $\delta = 1$.

By the work of Potrie-Sambarino [25, Thm. B] (see also [27, Cor. 9.4]), we have for any Hitchin $\Gamma < \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\delta_{\beta_i} = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq d-1.$$

The following implies Corollary 1.10:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $d = 3, 4$, and $\Gamma < \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be the image of a Hitchin representation $\rho: \Delta \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$. Then for any $v = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$,*

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq d-1} (t_i - t_{i+1}) \leq (t_1 - t_d)/(d-1). \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, we have

$$\delta < 1$$

for Γ non-Fuchsian.

Proof. For $d = 3$, any non-Fuchsian Γ is Zariski dense in $\text{PSL}(3, \mathbb{R})$, and for $d = 4$, the Zariski closure of a non-Fuchsian Γ is known to be either $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ or $\text{PSL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ ([15], [33]). If Γ is Zariski dense in $\text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$, the first claim follows from Theorem 4.1. When the Zariski closure of Γ is $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$, we apply Theorem 4.1 with G replaced by $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$, so that $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{(s_1, s_2, -s_2, -s_1) : s_1 \geq s_2 \geq 0\}$. Since $\beta_1 = s_1 - s_2$ and $\beta_2 = 2s_2$, Theorem 4.1 implies the first claim again.

In order to prove the claim on δ , we use the fact that the maximal growth direction of any Zariski dense subgroup is stabilized by the opposition involution ι which maps (t_1, \dots, t_d) to $(-t_d, \dots, -t_1)$. Consider the case when $d = 3$, and Γ non-Fuchsian, so that Γ is Zariski dense in $\text{PSL}(3, \mathbb{R})$. Then the unit vector of the maximal growth direction u_Γ is $u_\Gamma = (1, 0, -1)$, and $\delta = \psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma) < 1$ by (4.1).

Now consider the case when $d = 4$ and Γ non-Fuchsian. u_Γ must be of the form $u_\Gamma = (t_1, t_2, -t_2, -t_1)$ where $t_1 > t_2 > 0$ and $t_1^2 + t_2^2 = 5/2$. It is easy to compute that $\min(s_1 - s_2, 2s_2) \leq 1$ for any $s_1 > s_2 > 0$ satisfying $s_1^2 + s_2^2 = 5/2$. Hence if Γ is Zariski dense in $\text{PSL}(4, \mathbb{R})$, we get $\psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma) < 1$ by (4.1) again. The case when the Zariski closure of Γ is $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$, we also get $\psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma) < \min(t_1 - t_2, 2t_2) \leq 1$ by the preceding discussion on this case. \square

Gap property for a product of rank one groups. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let (X_i, d_i) be a rank one Riemannian symmetric space whose maximum sectional curvature is -1 , and G_i denote the identity component of the isometry group of X_i . Then G_i is isomorphic to one of

$$\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1), \mathrm{SU}(n, 1), \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1), F_4^{-20}$$

and the volume entropy $D(X_i)$ is respectively given by

$$n - 1, 2n, 4n + 2, 22.$$

Let $(X = \prod_{i=1}^k X_i, d)$ denote the Riemannian product, and let $G = \prod_{i=1}^k G_i$. If $\Gamma < G$ is an Anosov subgroup of G with respect to a minimal parabolic subgroup, then $\pi_i(\Gamma)$ is a discrete subgroup where $\pi_i : G \rightarrow G_i$ denotes the canonical projection. The notation $\delta_{\pi_i(\Gamma)}$ denotes the critical exponent of $\pi_i(\Gamma)$ with respect to d_i .

Corollary 4.3 (Gap + Rigidity property). *Let $G = \prod_{i=1}^k G_i$ for $k \leq 3$ and $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense Anosov subgroup with respect to a minimal parabolic subgroup. Then for the critical exponent δ of Γ with respect to d , we have*

$$\delta < \min_i (u_i \delta_{\pi_i(\Gamma)}) \leq \min (u_i D(X_i))$$

where $u_\Gamma = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathrm{int} \mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is the unit vector of the maximal growth direction for Γ .

This corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.9 since the critical exponent δ satisfies $\delta = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \psi_\Gamma(v) = \psi_\Gamma(u_\Gamma)$ and $\delta_{\pi_i(\Gamma)} \leq D(X_i)$.

5. HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF Λ

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7. It is convenient to use the upper half-space model of \mathbb{H}^n so that $\partial\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $o = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $v_o = (0, \dots, 0, -1) \in T_o^1 \mathbb{H}^n$ denote the downward normal vector based at o . By abuse of notation, we set $o = (o, \dots, o) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{H}^n$ and $v_o = (v_o, \dots, v_o) \in \prod_{i=1}^k T_o^1 \mathbb{H}^n$. For $\xi \in \mathcal{F} \cap \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $r > 0$, let $B(\xi, r)$ denote the ball in $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ centered at ξ of radius r .

Let $K_0 \simeq \mathrm{SO}(n)$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ given as the stabilizer of $o \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Let $M_0 := \mathrm{Stab}(v_o)$. We then have the following identification: $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/K_0 = \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0 = T^1 \mathbb{H}^n$. Let $A_0 = \{a_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\} < \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ denote the one-parameter subgroup of semisimple elements whose right translation action on $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0$ corresponds to the geodesic flow on $T^1 \mathbb{H}^n$. Set $K = \prod K_0 < G$, $M = \prod M_0 < G$, and $A = \prod A_0$. We also set $A^+ = \prod A_0^+$ where $A_0^+ = \{a_t : t \geq 0\}$. Then $X = G/K$.

Let δ_{\min} denote the abscissa of convergence of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d_{\min}(o, \gamma o)}$ where d_{\min} denotes the minimum of the Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{H}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{H}^n$.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\dim \Lambda = \delta_{\min} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i}. \quad (5.1)$$

In particular,

$$\delta \leq \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

Proof. Since $\sqrt{k} \cdot d_{\min} \leq d$, we have $\sqrt{k} \cdot \delta \leq \delta_{\min}$. Therefore the second assertion follows from the first one.

In order to show (5.1), we use shadows. For $R > 0$ and $x \in X$, the shadow $O_R(o, x)$ is defined as

$$O_R(o, x) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{F} : \exists k \in K \text{ s.t. } k^+ = \eta, a \in A^+, \text{ and } d(ka, x) \leq R\}. \quad (5.2)$$

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Lambda_N := \Lambda \cap \limsup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} O_N(o, \gamma o)$, that is,

$$\Lambda_N = \{\xi \in \Lambda : \exists \gamma_i \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \Gamma \text{ such that } \xi \in O_N(o, \gamma_i o) \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}.$$

There exists a constant $c_N > 0$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the shadow $O_N(o, \gamma o)$ is contained in a ball $B(\xi_\gamma, c_N e^{-\min \mu(\gamma)})$ for some $\xi_\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$.

From Theorem 2.3 (1), $\gamma_i \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\min \mu(\gamma_i) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for any fixed $t > 0$, we have

$$\Lambda_N \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \min \mu(\gamma) > t} O_N(o, \gamma o) \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \min \mu(\gamma) > t} B(\xi_\gamma, c_N e^{-\min \mu(\gamma)}).$$

Let $s > \delta_{\min}$. Since $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \min \mu(\gamma)} < \infty$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \min \mu(\gamma) > t} e^{-s \min \mu(\gamma)} = 0.$$

This implies that the s -dimensional Hausdorff measure of Λ_N is equal to zero; so $\dim \Lambda_N \leq \delta_{\min}$. Since Λ is equal to the conical limit set Λ_c by (2.2), we have $\Lambda = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_N$. Consequently,

$$\dim \Lambda \leq \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \dim \Lambda_N \leq \delta_{\min}.$$

Since, for any $s > 0$,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \min \mu(\gamma)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} e^{-s \mu(\rho_i(\sigma))},$$

the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \min \mu(\gamma)}$ converges when $s > \max_i \delta_{\rho_i}$. It implies that $\delta_{\min} \leq \max_i \delta_{\rho_i} = \max_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i}$. Since the projection map $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\rho_i}$ is Lipschitz, we have $\dim \Lambda \geq \max_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i}$. As a result, we have

$$\max_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i} \leq \dim \Lambda \leq \delta_{\min} \leq \max_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i},$$

which completes the proof. \square

6. FIBERED DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND $\ker \psi_u$ -COORDINATE MAP

We continue to use notation M_0, K_0, M, K, A from the last section. For $[g] \in \mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0$, we denote by $g^+ \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $g^- \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ respectively the forward and backward endpoints of the geodesic determined by the tangent vector $[g] \in \mathbb{T}^1 \mathbb{H}^n$. Now the map $[g] \rightarrow (g^+, g^-, \beta_{g^+}(o, go))$ gives an $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ -equivariant homeomorphism between the space $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0$ and $\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n : \xi \neq \eta\} \times \mathbb{R}$, where the $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ -action on the latter space is given by $g \cdot (\xi, \eta, s) = (g\xi, g\eta, s + \beta_\xi(g^{-1}o, o))$. This homeomorphism is called the Hopf parametrization of $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0$ under which the right A -action on $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0$ corresponds to the translation flow on \mathbb{R} .

For $\xi \in \mathcal{F} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we write ξ_i for its i -th component. We set $\mathcal{F}^{(2)} = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} : \xi_i \neq \eta_i \text{ for all } i\}$. Then the Hopf parametrization of $\mathrm{SO}^\circ(n, 1)/M_0$ extends to the Hopf-parametrization of G/M componentwise, and gives the G -equivariant homeomorphism $G/M \simeq \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{a}$ given by

$$[g] \rightarrow (g^+, g^-, \beta_{g^+}(o, go)) \quad \text{where } g^\pm = (g_i^\pm).$$

Set $\Lambda^{(2)} = \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \cap (\Lambda \times \Lambda)$. Then $\Omega := \Gamma \backslash (\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{a})$ is identified with the closed subspace $\{[g] \in \Gamma \backslash G/M : g^\pm \in \Lambda\}$ of $\Gamma \backslash G/M$ via the Hopf parameterization.

Trivial $\ker \psi_u$ -vector bundle. We fix a unit vector $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$ in the rest of this section. Consider the Γ -action on the space $\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\gamma \cdot (\xi, \eta, s) = (\gamma\xi, \gamma\eta, s + \psi_u(\beta_\xi(\gamma^{-1}o, o))).$$

The reparametrization theorems for Anosov groups ([6, Prop. 4.1], [10, Thm. 4.15]) imply that Γ acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly on $\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence $Z := \Gamma \backslash (\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R})$ is a compact space. Now the Γ -equivariant projection $\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $(\xi, \eta, v) \mapsto (\xi, \eta, \psi_u(v))$ induces an affine bundle with fiber $\ker(\psi_u)$:

$$\pi : \Omega = \Gamma \backslash (\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow Z = \Gamma \backslash (\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}).$$

It is well known that such a bundle is indeed a trivial vector bundle, and hence we can choose a continuous global section

$$s : Z \rightarrow \Omega$$

so that $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_Z$. Denote by $\{\tau_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ the flow on Z given by translations on \mathbb{R} . For $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathfrak{a}$, we write

$$a_v = (a_{v_1}, \dots, a_{v_k}) \in A.$$

Definition 6.1 ($\ker \psi_u$ -coordinate map). We define the following continuous $\ker \psi_u$ -valued map:

$$\hat{K}_u : Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \ker \psi_u$$

defined as follows: for $z \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathfrak{s}(z)a_{tu} = \mathfrak{s}(z\tau_t)a_{\hat{K}_u(z,t)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Fix a compact subset $D \subset G$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(Z) = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma D$, and for each $z \in Z$, write $\mathfrak{s}(z) = \Gamma \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z)$ for some $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z) \in D$. Hence

$$\Lambda^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{a} = \Gamma D a_{\ker \psi_u}. \quad (6.2)$$

Lemma 6.2. *For any $g \in G$ with $g^\pm \in \Lambda$, there exist $z_g \in Z$ and $w_g \in \ker \psi_u$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\gamma_{g,t} \in \Gamma$ satisfying*

$$\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu} = \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z_g \tau_t) a_{\hat{K}_u(z_g,t)+w_g}. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. By (6.2), there exist $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $z \in Z$ and $w \in \ker \psi_u$ such that $\gamma g = \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z)a_w$, and hence

$$\gamma g a_{tu} = \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z)a_{tu+w}.$$

On the other hand, by (6.1), there exists $\gamma_{z,t} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\gamma_{z,t} \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z)a_{tu} = \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z\tau_t)a_{\hat{K}_u(z,t)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_{z,t} \gamma g a_{tu} = \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(z\tau_t)a_{\hat{K}_u(z,t)+w}.$$

It remains to set $\gamma_{g,t} = \gamma_{z,t} \gamma$. \square

We also set $K_u^\dagger(g, t) := \hat{K}_u(z_g, t) + w_g \in \ker \psi_u$, so that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu} \in D a_{K_u^\dagger(g,t)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Lemma 6.3. *For any $g \in G$ with $g^\pm \in \Lambda$, we have*

$$\mathbf{Q}(g) := \sup_{t>0} \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi_u(\mu(\gamma g a_{tu})) < \infty.$$

Moreover, $\sup_{g \in G, g^\pm \in \Lambda} \mathbf{Q}(g) < \infty$.

Proof. If $g, h \in G$ satisfy $g^+ = h^+$, then $\sup_{t>0} d(g a_{tu} o, h a_{tu} o) < \infty$. It follows that $\mathbf{Q}(g) < \infty$ if and only if $\mathbf{Q}(h) < \infty$. Therefore, by replacing g with $h \in G$ satisfying $g^+ = h^+$ and $h^\pm \in \Lambda$, we may assume without loss of generality that $g^\pm \in \Lambda$. By (6.4), we have that for all $t > 0$,

$$\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu} \in D \cdot a_{\ker \psi_u},$$

and hence

$$\mu(\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu}) \in \mu(D \cdot a_{\ker \psi_u}) \subset C + \ker \psi_u,$$

where C is a fixed compact subset of \mathfrak{a} depending only on D . Therefore

$$\mathbf{Q}(g) \leq \sup_{t>0} \psi_u(\mu(\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu})) \leq \max_{c \in C} \psi_u(c) < \infty.$$

It is clear from the above proof that $\sup_{g \in G, g^\pm \in \Lambda} \mathbf{Q}(g) \leq \max_{c \in C} \psi_u(c)$. \square

Theorem 6.4. *For ν_u -a.e. $\xi \in \Lambda$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \hat{K}_u(z, t) = 0$$

whenever $z = [\xi, \eta, s]$ for some $\eta \in \Lambda$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{m}_u denote the ψ_u -Bowen-Margulis-Sullivan measure on Z ; that is, \mathfrak{m}_u is the unique probability measure on Z which is locally equivalent to $\nu_u \otimes \nu_u \otimes ds$. It follows from [6] that \mathfrak{m}_u is the measure of maximal entropy and in particular ergodic for the τ_t -flow. Combining the reparametrization theorem [6, Prop. 4.1], and [32, Prop. 3.5], we deduce that there exists a Hölder continuous function $F : Z \rightarrow \ker \psi_u$ with $\int_Z F d\mathfrak{m}_u = 0$ such that for all $z \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\hat{K}_u(z, t) = \int_0^t F(z\tau_s) ds + E(z) - E(z\tau_t) \quad (6.5)$$

for some bounded measurable function $E : Z \rightarrow \ker \psi_u$. The Birkhoff ergodic theorem for the τ_s flow on (Z, \mathfrak{m}_u) implies that for \mathfrak{m}_u -almost all $z \in Z$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F(z\tau_s) ds = \int_Z F d\mathfrak{m}_u = 0;$$

hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \hat{K}_u(z, t) = 0$$

since E is bounded. This proves the claim. \square

Corollary 6.5. *For ν_u -a.e. $\xi \in \Lambda$, we have the following: for any $g \in G$ with $g^+ = \xi$ and $g^- \in \Lambda$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} K_u^\dagger(g, t) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $z = [(\xi_1, \eta_1, s)] \in Z$ be such that $\gamma_{g,0}g = \tilde{s}(z)a_{K^\dagger(g,0)}$ as given by (6.4). It follows that $g^+ = \xi \in \Gamma\xi_1$. Hence if $\xi_1 \in \Lambda$ satisfies Theorem 6.4, so does $g^+ = \xi$. Since $\sup_{t>0} \|K_u^\dagger(g, t) - \hat{K}_u(z, t)\| < \infty$ by their definitions, the claim now follows from Theorem 6.4. \square

Let $\mathfrak{m}_u^{\text{BMS}}$ denote the Bowen-Margulis-Sullivan measure on $\Omega \subset \Gamma \backslash G$ given by $\mathfrak{m}_u^{\text{BMS}} = \mathfrak{m}_u \otimes \text{Leb}|_{\ker \psi_u}$; this is an A -invariant ergodic (infinite) Radon measure, as shown in [19]. We also remark that by [8], $\mathfrak{m}_u^{\text{BMS}}$ is $\{a_{tu} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ -ergodic if and only if $k \leq 3$. In terms of this measure, Corollary 6.5 can be formulated as the following which may be regarded as an analogue of Sullivan's result [34, Coro. 19], which predates his logarithm law.

Theorem 6.6. *For $\mathfrak{m}_u^{\text{BMS}}$ -a.e. $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(xa_{tu}o, o)}{t} = 0.$$

Since D is compact, this theorem follows from Corollary 6.5 in view of (6.4).

7. HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF Λ_u AND LOCAL BEHAVIOR OF ν_u

The directional conical limit sets Λ_u . For each $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, the u -directional conical limit set $\Lambda_u \subset \Lambda_c$ is defined as

$$\Lambda_u := \{\xi \in \mathcal{F} : \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d(\xi(u_1 t, \dots, u_k t), \Gamma o) < \infty\}.$$

In this section, we obtain estimates on $\dim \Lambda_u$ and local estimates on ν_u for $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$. As in section 5, we use the upper half-space model of \mathbb{H}^n so that $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$. For $r > 0$, let $B(r)$ denote the ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} centered at 0 of radius r . Note that for $w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \mathfrak{a}$, the set $\{a_{tw}v_o = (a_{w_1 t}v_o, \dots, a_{w_k t}v_o) : t > 0\}$ represents the geodesic ray emanating from (o, \dots, o) pointing toward $(0, \dots, 0) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, with the speed controlled by w . By abuse of notation, we write $d(o, a_w v_o)$ for the distance between o and the basepoint of $a_w v_o$ in X .

In the whole section, we fix a unit vector with respect to the Euclidean norm

$$u = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}.$$

However, note that statements below still hold for an arbitrary vector in $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$ since all quantities are homogeneous. We also set $M_u = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i$, $m_u = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i$ and $\delta_u := \psi_u(u) = \psi_\Gamma(u) > 0$.

Upper bound for dimension.

Theorem 7.1. *For any $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\dim \Lambda_u \leq \frac{\delta_u}{m_u}. \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$\Gamma_N(u) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \|\mu(\gamma) - t_\gamma u\| \leq N \text{ for some } t_\gamma > 0\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_N^*(u) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{O_N(o, \gamma o) : \gamma \in \Gamma_N(u), \|\mu(\gamma)\| \geq t\},$$

where $O_N(o, \gamma o)$ is defined as in (5.2).

There exists $d_N > 0$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_N(u)$, the shadow $O_N(o, \gamma o)$ is contained in a ball $B(\xi_\gamma, d_N e^{-t_\gamma m_u})$ for some $\xi_\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\|\mu(\gamma) - t_\gamma u\| \leq N$, by applying ψ_u , we get

$$|\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))\delta_u^{-1} - t_\gamma| \leq N\delta_u^{-1}\|\psi_u\|_{\text{op}}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ denotes the operator norm of ψ_u . Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $t > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_N^*(u) &\subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N(u), \|\mu(\gamma)\| \geq t} O_N(o, \gamma o) \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N(u), \|\mu(\gamma)\| \geq t} B(\xi_\gamma, d_N e^{-t_\gamma m_u}) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \|\mu(\gamma)\| \geq t} B(\xi_\gamma, d'_N e^{-m_u \delta_u^{-1} \psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $d'_N \geq 1$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.3(3), for any $s > \delta_u/m_u$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \|\mu(\gamma)\| \geq t} e^{-sm_u \delta_u^{-1} \psi_u(\mu(\gamma))} = 0.$$

It follows that the s -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\Lambda_N^*(u)$ is zero. Hence $\dim \Lambda_N^*(u) \leq \frac{\delta_u}{m_u}$. Since

$$\Lambda_u \subset \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_N^*(u),$$

it follows that $\dim \Lambda_u \leq \frac{\delta_u}{m_u}$. \square

Remark 7.2. In the case when $m_u(n-1)(k-1) < \delta_u$, the upper bound in (7.1) can be improved to $\frac{\delta_u + (M_u - m_u)(n-1)(k-1)}{M_u}$ by replacing $B(\xi_\gamma, d_N e^{-t\gamma m_u})$ with $e^{(M_u - m_u)t\gamma}$ number of balls of radius $d_N e^{-t\gamma M_u}$.

By Theorems 7.1 and 3.3, we deduce:

Corollary 7.3.

$$\dim \Lambda_u \leq \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} (u_i \dim \Lambda_{\rho_i})}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i}$$

Lower bound for dimension.

Lemma 7.4. [18, Lem. 10.6] *There exists a compact subset $\mathcal{S} \subset G$ such that for any $\eta \in \Lambda$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g^+ = \eta$ and $g^- \in \Lambda$.*

Theorem 7.5. *For any $k \geq 1$, there exists $C > 0$, depending only on ψ_u , such that for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \Lambda_u$ and for all $t > 0$,*

$$\nu_u \left(\prod_{i=1}^k B(\xi_i, e^{-u_i t}) \right) \leq C e^{-\delta_u t}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\xi = (0, \dots, 0) \in \Lambda_u$ by translating ξ to 0. By Lemma 7.4, we can choose $g \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $g^+ = \xi$ and $g^- \in \Lambda$. By Lemma 6.3, there exists $c > 0$ (depending only on Γ) such that for any $t > 0$, there exists $\gamma_{g,t} \in \Gamma$ such that $|\psi_u(\mu(\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu}))| \leq c$.

Let R_t denote the semiball centered at 0 of radius e^{-t} . Using the explicit construction of $\nu_u = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \nu_{u,s}$ with $\nu_{u,s}$ given in (2.5), we get

$$\nu_u \left(\prod_{i=1}^k B(e^{-u_i t}) \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma o \in \prod R_{u_i t}} e^{-s \psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}}{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}}. \quad (7.2)$$

Let $\gamma o \in \prod R_{u_i t}$ (such γ exists since $\xi \in \Lambda_u$) and write $\gamma = (\rho_1(\sigma), \dots, \rho_k(\sigma))$ for $\sigma \in \Delta$. By the hyperbolic geometry on \mathbb{H}^n , there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending only on \mathcal{S}) such that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $t > 1$,

$$u_i t + d(g a_{tu_i} v_o, \rho_i(\sigma) o) - C \leq d(\rho_i(\sigma) o, o) \leq u_i t + d(g a_{tu_i} v_o, \rho_i(\sigma) o). \quad (7.3)$$

This implies that $ut + \mu(a_{tu}^{-1}g^{-1}\gamma) - \mu(\gamma) \in Q_0$ for some compact subset $Q_0 \subset \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore

$$c_0 := \sup_{t>0} \|tu + \mu(\gamma_{g,t}\gamma) - \mu(\gamma_{g,t}ga_{tu}) - \mu(\gamma)\| < \infty.$$

By applying ψ_u and using $|\psi_u(\gamma_{g,t}ga_{tu})| \leq c$, we then get

$$c_1 := \sup_{t>0} |\delta_u t + \psi_u(\mu(\gamma_{g,t}\gamma)) - \psi_u(\mu(\gamma))| < \infty,$$

where c_1 depends only on \mathcal{S} and ψ_u .

Hence for all $t > 0$ and $s > 1$,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \circ \in \prod R_{u_i t}} e^{-s\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))} \leq e^{sc_1} e^{-s\delta_u t} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}.$$

By (7.2), it follows that for all $t > 0$,

$$\nu_u \left(\prod_{i=1}^k B(e^{-u_i t}) \right) \leq e^{c_1} e^{-\delta_u t}.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

To deduce a lower bound on $\dim \Lambda_u$ from the above estimate, we use:

Theorem 7.6. [8, Thm. 1.6] *Let $k \leq 3$. For any $u \in \text{int } \mathcal{L}$, we have $\nu_u(\Lambda_u) = 1$.*

Corollary 7.7. *For $k \leq 3$, we have*

$$\dim \Lambda_u \geq \frac{\delta_u}{M_u}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 7.5, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < 1$,

$$\nu_u(B_{\max}(\xi, r)) \leq Cr^{\delta_u/M_u}$$

where $B_{\max}(\xi, r)$ is a radius $r > 0$ ball centered at ξ in $\mathcal{F} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with respect to the maximum metric. Since $k \leq 3$, we have $\nu_u(\Lambda_u) = 1$ by Theorem 7.6. Since the Riemannian metric and the maximum metric on \mathcal{F} are Lipschitz equivalent to each other, the well-known mass distribution principle (cf. [3, Lemma 1.2.8]) now implies that

$$\dim \Lambda_u \geq \frac{\delta_u}{M_u}.$$

\square

Lower bound on the local size of ν_u . Let Λ_u^* be a subset of Λ_u defined as follows: $\xi \in \Lambda_u^*$ if and only if for any $g \in G$ with $g^+ = \xi$ and $g^- \in \Lambda$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} K_u^\dagger(g, t) = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

By Corollary 6.5, $\nu_u(\Lambda_u^*) = 1$.

Theorem 7.8. *Let $k \geq 1$. There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \Lambda_u^*$, and for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_0 = t_{\varepsilon, \xi} > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$,*

$$C \cdot e^{-\delta_u(1+\varepsilon)t} \leq \nu_u \left(\prod_{i=1}^k B(\xi_i, e^{-u_i t}) \right).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\xi = 0$ and choose $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g^+ = \xi = 0$ and $g^- \in \Lambda$ where \mathcal{S} is a compact subset of G given in Lemma 7.4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $0 = g^+ \in \Lambda_u^*$, there exists $t_0 = t_{\varepsilon, g} > 0$ such that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, absolute of the i -th component of $K^\dagger(g, t) \in \mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}^k$ is at most $\frac{\varepsilon u_i t}{4}$ for all $t > t_0$.

Recall the definition of $\gamma_{g,t}$ from (6.4): $\gamma_{g,t} g a_{tu} = d_t a_{K^\dagger(g,t)}$ where $d_t \in D$. Therefore $\gamma_{g,t}^{-1} = g a_{tu - K^\dagger(g,t)} d_t^{-1}$. Let q be the diameter of $D^{-1}o$.

Note that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $t > t_0$,

$$O_1(o, \gamma_{g,t}^{-1} o) \subset O_{q+1}(o, g a_{tu - K^\dagger(g,t)} v_o) \subset \prod_{i=1}^k B(c_0 e^{-u_i(1-\varepsilon/4)t}).$$

On the other hand, the shadow lemma (cf. [18, Lem. 7.8]) says that for any $R > 0$, there exists $c = c(\psi_u, R) \geq 1$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$c^{-1} e^{-\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))} \leq \nu_u(O_R(o, \gamma o)) \leq c e^{-\psi_u(\mu(\gamma))}.$$

Hence we deduce that for all $t > \max(t_0, 2 \log c_0 / (u_i \varepsilon))$,

$$\beta \cdot e^{-\delta_u t} \leq \nu_u \left(\prod_{i=1}^k B(\xi_i, c_0 e^{-u_i(1-\varepsilon/4)t}) \right) \leq \nu_u \left(\prod_{i=1}^k B(\xi_i, e^{-u_i(1-\varepsilon/2)t}) \right)$$

for some constant $\beta = \beta(\psi_u, D) > 0$. By reparameterizing $(1 - \varepsilon/2)t = s$, this implies the claim. \square

Critical exponents δ_{\max} and δ_{\min} . Let δ_{\max} denote the abscissa of convergence of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d_{\max}(o, \gamma o)}$ where d_{\max} denotes the maximum of the Riemmanian metrics on $\mathbb{H}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{H}^n$. Since $d \geq d_{\max}$ and $\sqrt{k} d_{\min} \leq d \leq \sqrt{k} d_{\max}$, we have $\delta \leq \delta_{\max} \leq \sqrt{k} \delta \leq \delta_{\min}$. Then by [28, Lemma 3.1.1], we have

$$\delta_{\spadesuit} = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \spadesuit \mu(\gamma) < T\}}{T} \quad (7.5)$$

where $\spadesuit = \max$ or \min and $\max \mu(\gamma)$ and $\min \mu(\gamma)$ denote respectively the maximum and minimum of the entries of the vector $\mu(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Although [28, Lemma 3.1.1] is stated only when \spadesuit is a norm, the same proof works for the min function.

Lemma 7.9. *We have*

$$\delta_{\max} = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\delta_u}{M_u} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\min} \geq \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\delta_u}{m_u}.$$

Moreover, there exists a unique vector $w_\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\|w_\Gamma\|_{\max} = 1$ and $\delta_{\max} = \psi_\Gamma(w_\Gamma)$, and thus $\delta_{\max} > \delta$.

Proof. Note that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\delta_u}{M_u} = \sup_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^+, \|u\|_{\max}=1} \psi_\Gamma(u),$$

and this is equal to δ_{\max} by [28, Cor. 3.14]. By the strict concavity of ψ_Γ on $\text{int } \mathcal{L}$ (see Theorem 2.1), there exists a unique $w_\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ as claimed in the statement. Since $\mathcal{L} \subset \text{int } \mathfrak{a}^+$ by Theorem 2.1(1), for any $v \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\|v\| < \|v\|_{\max}$ from which $\delta_{\max} > \delta$ follows.

To show the second inequality, let $u \in \mathcal{L} \subset \text{int } \mathfrak{a}^+$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, set $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon = \{v = (v_i) \in \text{int } \mathfrak{a}^+ : \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|\frac{v}{v_i} - \frac{u}{u_i}\| < \varepsilon\}$. For all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, if $v = (v_i) \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ and $\min_i v_i = v_j$, then $m_u = u_j$. It follows that if $\mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ and $\|\mu(\gamma)\| < T/m_u$, then $\min \mu(\gamma) \leq T/(1 - \varepsilon m_u)$. Hence we deduce from the definition of $\psi_\Gamma(u)$ that for all small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_u/m_u &\leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon, \|\mu(\gamma)\| < T/m_u\}}{T} \\ &\leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \min \mu(\gamma) < T/(1 - \varepsilon m_u)\}}{T} \\ &= \delta_{\min}/(1 - \varepsilon m_u) \end{aligned}$$

by (7.5). As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $\delta_{\min} \geq \delta_u/m_u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{L}$. \square

8. EXAMPLES OF SYMMETRIC GROWTH INDICATOR FUNCTIONS

Let Δ be a Gromov hyperbolic group, and $\text{Out } \Delta$ denote its outer automorphism group, i.e., the group of automorphisms of Δ modulo the inner automorphisms. Note that, for a representation $\rho : \Delta \rightarrow \text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ and $\iota \in \text{Out } \Delta$, $\rho \circ \iota$ is well-defined up to conjugation in $\text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $k \geq 2$. Let $\rho_1 : \Delta \rightarrow \text{SO}^\circ(n, 1)$ be a non-elementary convex cocompact representation and $\iota \in \text{Out } \Delta$ be of order k . Let $\rho_i = \rho_1 \circ \iota^{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ and let $\Gamma_\iota := (\prod_{i=1}^k \rho_i)(\Delta)$. Then*

$$\psi_{\Gamma_\iota} = \psi_{\Gamma_\iota} \circ \theta \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\Gamma_\iota} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(1, \dots, 1)$$

where θ denotes the cyclic permutation $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto (x_2, \dots, x_k, x_1)$.

Proof. For each $1 \leq n \leq k$, let $\Gamma^{(n)} = (\prod_{i=1}^k \rho_i \circ \iota^n)(\Delta)$. Since $\iota^k = 1$ in $\text{Out } \Delta$, $\Gamma^{(n)}$ can be regarded as a group obtained by permuting coordinates in a cyclic way. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma^{(n)}} = \theta(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma^{(n-1)}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\Gamma^{(n)}} = \psi_{\Gamma^{(n-1)}} \circ \theta^{-1}. \quad (8.1)$$

However, $\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma_\iota$ for all n ; since applying an automorphism to all coordinates does not change the group. Hence, (8.1) implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\iota}$ and ψ_{Γ_ι} are invariant under the cyclic permutation θ of coordinates. \square

Examples in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$. Let us describe some examples to which Lemma 8.1 can be applied. We begin in dimension 2. For a closed surface S of genus $g \geq 2$, one can obtain homeomorphisms $\iota : S \rightarrow S$ of order 2 in a number of ways. Figure 5 indicates how this can be done: Arrange the surface in \mathbb{R}^3 so that it is symmetric by a 180° rotation. There are several possibilities distinguished by the number of intersection points of the surface with the rotation axis, which yield fixed points of ι .




FIGURE 5. Examples of involutions $\iota \in \text{Out } \pi_1(S_3)$. Indicated curves are mapped to each other by ι .

In order for the example $(\rho, \rho \circ \iota)$ not to be trivial, we need the groups not to be conjugate in $\text{SO}^\circ(2, 1)$. That is, ρ should not represent a point of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ which is fixed by ι . This is always possible when $g \geq 3$; to see this, note that there are disjoint, non-homotopic simple closed curves exchanged by ι in each case. They can be assigned different lengths by a hyperbolic structure, which would then not be fixed by ι . In genus 2, one just needs to avoid the hyperelliptic involution – the one with 6 fixed points – which fixes every point in $\mathcal{T}(S)$. All other rotations will do.

Examples in $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{H}^3$. Examples involving 3-manifolds are also plentiful. Consider for example a “book of I -bundles” constructed as follows (see Anderson-Canary [1]). Let S_1, \dots, S_ℓ be ℓ copies of a surface of genus $g \geq 1$ with one boundary component and let Y be the 2-complex obtained by identifying all the boundary circles to one. A choice of cyclic order c on the ℓ surfaces determines a thickening of Y to a 3-manifold N_c : form $S_i \times [-1, 1]$ for each i , and identify the annulus $\partial S_i \times [0, 1]$ with $\partial S_j \times [-1, 0]$ whenever j follows i in the order c (the identification should take $[0, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 0]$ by an orientation-reversing homeomorphism, and should respect the original identification of the boundary circles). See Figure 6.

The result N_c is homotopy equivalent to Y , and has ℓ boundary components of genus $2g$. It admits many convex cocompact hyperbolic structures: it is easy to construct one “by hand” by attaching Fuchsian structures along the common boundary using the Klein-Maskit combination theorem [22]. The Ahlfors-Bers theory parametrizes all convex cocompact representations




FIGURE 6. Book of I -bundles with three surfaces and patterns indicating the identification.

as the Teichmüller space of ∂N_c (cf. [21]). A permutation of $(1, \dots, \ell)$ induces a homeomorphism of Y which extends to a homotopy equivalence of N_c which, if the permutation does not preserve or reverse the cyclic order, will not correspond to a homeomorphism. Selecting such a permutation of order 2, we have an automorphism that cannot be an isometry for any hyperbolic structure on N_c . (Even if it does correspond to a homeomorphism one can choose the hyperbolic structure on N_c using a point in $\mathcal{T}(\partial N_c)$ that is not symmetric with respect to the involution).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Anderson and R. D. Canary. *Algebraic limits of Kleinian groups which rearrange the pages of a book*. Invent. Math. Vol 126 (1996) 205-214.
- [2] Y. Benoist. *Propriétés asymptotiques des groupes linéaires*. Geom. Funct. Anal. (1997), 1-47.
- [3] C. Bishop and Y. Peres. *Fractals in Probability and Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Vol. 162 (2017)
- [4] C. Bishop and T. Steger. *Representation theoretic rigidity in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$* . Acta Math., 170: 121-149, 1993
- [5] H. Bray, R. Canary, L. Kao, and G. Martone. *Counting, equidistribution and entropy gaps at infinity with applications to cusped Hitchin representations*. Preprint, arXiv:2102.08552
- [6] M. Bridgeman, R. Canary, F. Labourie and A. Sambarino. *The pressure metric for Anosov representations*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 25 (2015), 1089–1179.
- [7] M. Burger. *Intersection, the Manhattan curve, and Patterson-Sullivan theory in rank 2*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 1993, no. 7, 217-225.
- [8] M. Burger, O. Landesberg, M. Lee and H. Oh. *The Hopf-Tsuji-Sullivan dichotomy in higher rank and applications to Anosov subgroups*. Preprint, arXiv:2105.13930.
- [9] K. Corlette. *Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets*. Invent. Math. 102 (1990), 521–541.
- [10] M. Chow and P. Sarkar. *Local mixing of one-parameter diagonal flows on Anosov homogeneous spaces*. Preprint, arXiv:2105.11377.
- [11] S. Edwards, M. Lee and H. Oh. *Anosov groups: local mixing, counting, and equidistribution*. arXiv:2003.14277, to appear in Geometry & Topology
- [12] S. Edwards and H. Oh. *Positive eigenfunctions and Anosov groups (tentative)*. In preparation
- [13] F. Guéritaud and F. Kassel. *Maximally stretched laminations on geometrically finite hyperbolic manifolds*. Geom. Topol. 21 (2017), p. 693-840.

- [14] O. Glorieux, D. Monclair, N. Tholozan. *Hausdorff dimension of limit sets for projective Anosov representations*. Preprint, arXiv:1902.01844.
- [15] O. Guichard. *Zariski closure of positive and maximal representations*. In preparation
- [16] O. Guichard and A. Wienhard. *Anosov representations: Domains of discontinuity and applications*. Invent. Math. 190, Issue 2 (2012), 357-438.
- [17] F. Labourie. Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space. *Invent. Math.* 165 (2006), no. 1, 51-114.
- [18] M. Lee and H. Oh. *Invariant measures for horospherical actions and Anosov groups*. Preprint, arXiv:2008.05296.
- [19] M. Lee and H. Oh. *Ergodic decompositions of geometric measures on Anosov homogeneous spaces*. Preprint, arXiv:2010.11337.
- [20] M. Magee. *Quantitative spectral gap for thin groups of hyperbolic isometries*. Journal of EMS, Vol 17 (2015), 151-187.
- [21] A. Marden. *Hyperbolic manifolds: an introduction in 2 and 3 dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [22] B. Maskit. *Kleinian groups*. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [23] H. Oh. *Uniform pointwise bounds for matrix coefficients of unitary representations and applications to Kazhdan constants*. Duke Math. J. 113 (2002), no. 1, 133-192.
- [24] S. Patterson. *The limit set of a Fuchsian group*. Acta Math. 136 (1976), 241-273.
- [25] R. Potrie and A. Sambarino. *Eigenvalues and entropy of a Hitchin representation*. Invent. Math. 209, issue 3 (2017), pp. 885-925.
- [26] B. Pozzetti, A. Sambarino and A. Wienhard. *Anosov representations with Lipschitz limit set*. arXiv:1910.06627.
- [27] B. Pozzetti, A. Sambarino and A. Wienhard. *Conformality for a robust class of non-conformal attractors*. To appear in Crelle.
- [28] J.-F. Quint. *Divergence exponentielle des sous-groupes discrets en rang supérieur*. Comment. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), no. 3, 563-608.
- [29] J.-F. Quint. *Mesures de Patterson-Sullivan en rang supérieur*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 12 (2002), no. 4, 776-809.
- [30] J.-F. Quint. *Propriété de Kazhdan et sous-groupes discrets de covolume infini*. Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XIV, 143-151, Trav. Math., 14, Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2003.
- [31] A. Sambarino. *Hyperconvex representations and exponential growth*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 34 (2014), no. 3, 986 -1010.
- [32] A. Sambarino. *The orbital counting problem for hyperconvex representations*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 65 (2015), no. 4, 1755-1797.
- [33] A. Sambarino. *Infinitesimal Zariski closures of positive representations*. Preprint, arXiv:2012.10276
- [34] D. Sullivan. *The density at infinity of a discrete subgroup of hyperbolic motions*. Publ. IHES (1979), 171-202
- [35] D. Sullivan. *Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry*. J. Diff geometry. 25 (1987), 327-351
- [36] W. Thurston. *Minimal stretch maps between hyperbolic surfaces*. arXiv: 980103

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Email address: dongryul.kim@yale.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Email address: yair.minsky@yale.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Email address: hee.oh@yale.edu