

FINITENESS FOR SELF-DUAL CLASSES IN INTEGRAL VARIATIONS OF HODGE STRUCTURE

BENJAMIN BAKKER, THOMAS W. GRIMM, CHRISTIAN SCHNELL,
AND JACOB TSIMERMAN

ABSTRACT. We generalize the finiteness theorem for the locus of Hodge classes with fixed self-intersection number, due to Cattani, Deligne, and Kaplan, from Hodge classes to self-dual classes. The proof uses the definability of period mappings in the o-minimal structure $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$.

A. INTRODUCTION

1. The purpose of this paper is to prove a rather unexpected new finiteness result for polarized integral variations of Hodge structure, containing the theorem of Cattani, Deligne, and Kaplan for the locus of Hodge classes [CDK95] as a special case. Instead of integral Hodge classes, we consider integral classes that are “self-dual”, meaning that they are preserved by the action of the Weil operator; the motivation for this comes from considerations in theoretical physics. Analyzing such classes using the methods in [CDK95] becomes rather complicated, so our main tool is going to be the definability of period mappings in the o-minimal structure $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$, recently proved by Bakker, Klingler, and Tsimerman [BKT20]. This transforms the problem into a pleasant set of exercises about certain algebraic groups.

2. We begin by describing a toy case of the problem, to set up the notation. Suppose that H is a polarized integral Hodge structure of even weight $2k$. We denote by

$$H_{\mathbb{C}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=2k} H^{p,q}$$

the Hodge decomposition, and by $Q: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the symmetric bilinear form giving the polarization. If we define the *Weil operator* by the formula

$$Cv = i^{p-q}v \quad \text{for } v \in H^{p,q},$$

then $C \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $C^2 = \text{id}$, and the expression

$$\langle -, - \rangle: H_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle v, w \rangle = Q(v, Cw),$$

puts a positive definite inner product on $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We usually write the resulting *Hodge norm* simply as $\|v\|^2 = \langle v, v \rangle$.

We shall be interested in integral vectors $v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the property that $Cv = v$. Since $C^2 = \text{id}$, any vector $v \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ can of course be decomposed uniquely as

$$v = v_+ + v_- \quad \text{with } Cv_+ = v_+ \text{ and } Cv_- = -v_-;$$

concretely, v_+ is the sum of all the “even” components in the Hodge decomposition of v , and v_- the sum of all the “odd” ones. By analogy with the action of the

Hodge $*$ -operator on the cohomology of four-manifolds, we may call v_+ and v_- the *self-dual* respectively *anti-self-dual* part of v . We say that an integral class is self-dual if $v = v_+$; note that Hodge classes (in $H_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap H^{k,k}$) are obviously self-dual. With this notation, we have

$$\|v\|^2 = \|v_+\|^2 + \|v_-\|^2 \geq \|v_+\|^2 - \|v_-\|^2 = Q(v, v),$$

with equality exactly when $Cv = v$. Among integral vectors with a fixed value of $Q(v, v)$, those with $Cv = v$ therefore have the smallest possible Hodge norm $\|v\|^2$. In this setting, we have the following completely trivial finiteness result: the set

$$H_q^+ = \{ v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \mid Cv = v \text{ and } Q(v, v) = q \}$$

of self-dual integral vectors with a given self-intersection number $q \geq 1$ is finite. Our main theorem is a generalization of this fact to arbitrary polarized integral variations of Hodge structure of even weight.

3. We now turn to the main result. Let X be a nonsingular complex algebraic variety, not necessarily complete, and let \mathcal{H} be a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure on X , of even weight $2k$. Let $p: E \rightarrow X$ be the underlying complex vector bundle, whose sheaf of holomorphic sections is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$; here $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the underlying local system of free \mathbb{Z} -modules. At each point $x \in X$, the complex vector space $E_x = p^{-1}(x)$ is equipped with a polarized integral Hodge structure of weight $2k$; the set of integral vectors coincides with the stalk $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x}$. We denote by $C_x \in \text{End}(E_x)$ the Weil operator, and by Q_x the polarization; it is the stalk of the pairing $Q: \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_X$ that defines the polarization on \mathcal{H} . We shall think of the points of E as pairs (x, v) , where $x \in X$ and $v \in E_x$.

Recall that E is actually an algebraic vector bundle [Del70]; the algebraic structure is uniquely determined by \mathcal{H} . We shall give both E and X the $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable structure extending their algebraic structure; then the projection $p: E \rightarrow X$ becomes a morphism of definable spaces. Our main result is that the set of all self-dual integral classes with fixed self-intersection number is a *definable* subspace of E .

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure of even weight on a nonsingular complex algebraic variety X . For each $q \geq 1$, the set*

$$\{ (x, v) \in E \mid v \in E_x \text{ is integral, } C_x v = v, \text{ and } Q_x(v, v) = q \}$$

is a definable, closed, real-analytic subspace of E , and the restriction of $p: E \rightarrow X$ to this set is proper with finite fibers.

4. By analogy with the theorem of Cattani, Deligne, and Kaplan, it is natural to ask whether the locus of self-dual classes is actually real (semi-)algebraic, meaning actually definable in the much smaller structure \mathbb{R}_{alg} . Simple examples in dimension one show that semi-algebraic is the best one can hope for in general. We do not know the answer to this question.

5. Several useful variants of the main result can be obtained by tensoring with certain auxiliary Hodge structures. The first one is the analogue of Theorem 3.1 for integral classes that are anti-self-dual.

Corollary 5.1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure of even weight on a nonsingular complex algebraic variety X . For each $q \geq 1$, the set*

$$\{ (x, v) \in E \mid v \in E_x \text{ is integral, } C_x v = -v, \text{ and } Q_x(v, v) = -q \}$$

is a definable, closed, real-analytic subspace of E , and the restriction of $p: E \rightarrow X$ to this set is proper with finite fibers.

The second one is a generalization to polarized integral variations of Hodge structure of arbitrary weight, where we now consider pairs of integral classes that are related by the Weil operator.

Corollary 5.2. *Let \mathcal{H} be a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure on a nonsingular complex algebraic variety X . For each $q \geq 1$, the set*

$$\{ (x, v, w) \in E \times_X E \mid v, w \in E_x \text{ are integral, } v = C_x w, \text{ and } Q_x(v, w) = q \}$$

is a definable, closed, real-analytic subspace of $E \times_X E$, and the restriction of $p: E \times_X E \rightarrow X$ to this set is again proper with finite fibers.

Note that when H is an integral Hodge structure of *odd* weight, the Weil operator $C \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ satisfies $C^2 = -\text{id}$, and so its eigenvalues are the two complex numbers $\pm i$. The condition $v = Cw$ is equivalent to

$$C(v + iw) = i(v + iw),$$

which is saying that $v + iw \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is an eigenvector of the Weil operator that is integral with respect to the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

6. Unlike in the case of Hodge classes, the locus of self-dual (or anti-self-dual) classes is in general not a complex analytic subset of the vector bundle E , hence in particular not algebraic. The reason is that the Weil operator $C_x \in \text{End}(E_x)$ depends real analytically – but not complex analytically – on the point $x \in X$, which means that $C_x v = \pm v$ is not a holomorphic condition. We intend to discuss both the local structure of the locus of self-dual classes, and its more precise behavior near a divisor with normal crossing singularities, in a future paper. Here we only give two examples to show what these loci can look like in practice.

7. Our first example concerns anti-self-dual classes on K3 surfaces; these show up naturally in Verbitsky’s study of ergodic complex structures on hyperkähler manifolds [Ver15, Ver17].

Example 7.1. Let S be a (not necessarily algebraic) K3 surface and let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} = H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ together with the cup product pairing. The period domain D parametrizing the Hodge structures of K3 surfaces is a complex manifold of dimension 20; concretely, if $H^{2,0}(S) = \mathbb{C}\sigma$, one has

$$D = \{ [\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{P}^{21} \mid Q(\sigma, \sigma) = 0 \text{ and } Q(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}) < 0 \}.$$

The set of points where a given integral class $v \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is anti-self-dual, of Hodge type $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$, is easily seen to be

$$\{ [\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}^{21} \mid Q(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})v = Q(v, \bar{\sigma})\sigma + Q(v, \sigma)\bar{\sigma} \}$$

This is a totally real submanifold of real dimension 20.

Using Ratner theory, Verbitsky shows that for any finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{O}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}})$, orbits Γp come in three flavors: closed orbits, dense orbits, and orbits whose closures are the Γ -orbit of an anti-self-dual locus. The three behaviors correspond to the three possibilities $\text{rk}((H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}) \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}) = 2, 0, 1$, respectively, for the Hodge structure associated to p . The same analysis holds more generally for the period domain associated to the degree two cohomology of any (possibly singular)

hyperkähler variety, and is important for instance in the proof of the global Torelli theorem in the singular case [BL18].

8. Our second example is self-dual classes in certain nilpotent orbits. This is less geometric, but provides us with a large family of examples. For the general theory, see [CKS86, §3] and the survey paper [CK89, §3] by Cattani and Kaplan.

Example 8.1. Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank, and let $Q: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear pairing. Suppose that we have a representation $\rho: \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, such that

$$N = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{Q}}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$$

satisfy $Q(Nv, w) + Q(v, Nw) = 0$ and $Q(Yv, w) = Q(v, Yw)$ for all $v, w \in H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and such that $e^N \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Let F be a decreasing filtration of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$Y(F^p) \subseteq F^p \quad \text{and} \quad N(F^p) \subseteq F^{p-1} \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Further assume that $F_{\sharp} = e^{iN} F$ is the Hodge filtration of an integral Hodge structure of even weight on $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, polarized by the pairing Q . Then it is known [CK89, Prop. 3.9] that the nilpotent orbit

$$\mathbb{H} \rightarrow D, \quad z \mapsto e^{zN} F,$$

descends to a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure on Δ^* , whose monodromy transformation is $T = e^N$. Let us describe the locus of points in \mathbb{H} where a given integral class $v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is self-dual. Write $z = x + iy$. Let $C_{\sharp} \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ denote the Weil operator of the Hodge structure F_{\sharp} . From the identity

$$e^{zN} F = e^{xN} e^{iyN} F = e^{xN} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} e^{iN} e^{\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} F = e^{xN} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} F_{\sharp}$$

and the fact that both exponential factors are elements of the real Lie group $G(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that the Weil operator of the Hodge structure $e^{zN} F$ is

$$e^{xN} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} C_{\sharp} e^{\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} e^{-xN}.$$

The set of points $z \in \mathbb{H}$ where our integral class $v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is self-dual is therefore defined by the simple equation

$$(8.2) \quad C_{\sharp} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} e^{-xN} v \right) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} e^{-xN} v.$$

At each point, the Hodge norm of v is of course equal to $Q(v, v)$. If the set contains points with $y = \text{Im } z$ arbitrarily large, then necessarily $v \in W_0(N)$. Since

$$W_0(N) = \bigoplus_{\ell \leq 0} E_{\ell}(Y),$$

we have a decomposition $v = v_0 + v_{-1} + \dots$, where $Yv_{\ell} = \ell v_{\ell}$. Now the Weil operator C_{\sharp} interchanges the two weight spaces $E_{\pm \ell}(Y)$, because $YC_{\sharp} + C_{\sharp}Y = 0$, for example by [CKS86, (6.35)]. Since $e^{\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} e^{-xN} v \in W_0(N)$, the identity in (8.2) implies that $e^{\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} e^{-xN} v \in E_0(Y)$, and hence that

$$v = e^{xN} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \log y Y} v_0 = e^{xN} v_0.$$

Now there are two possibilities. Either $Nv = 0$ and $v = v_0$, or $Nv \neq 0$. In the first case, v is self-dual at every point $z \in \mathbb{H}$; in the second case, the equation $v = e^{xN} v_0$ uniquely determines the value of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and v is self-dual along the vertical ray $\text{Re } z = x$. The connected components of the locus of self-dual classes are therefore

of two different kinds: one kind projects isomorphically to the entire punctured disk Δ^* ; the other to a single angular ray in Δ^* .

9. Acknowledgements. During the preparation of this paper, B.B. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1848049. The research of Th.G. is in part supported by the Dutch Research Council (NWO) via a Start-Up grant and a Vici grant. Ch.S. is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1551677 and by a Simons Fellowship from the Simons Foundation. He thanks the Max-Planck-Institute for providing him with excellent working conditions during his stay in Bonn.

B. BACKGROUND ON DEFINABILITY

10. The theory of o-minimal structures provides a precise notion of tameness for subsets of euclidean space and functions on them. It is flexible enough to allow for complicated constructions but restrictive enough to imply strong finiteness properties. A general reference for this section is [vdD98].

11. To formalize this notion, we first introduce a way to describe collections of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n which are closed under a variety of natural operations.

Definition 11.1. A structure S is a collection $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where each S_n is a set of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) Each S_n is closed under finite intersections, unions, and complements;
- (2) The collection (S_n) is closed under finite Cartesian products and coordinate projections;
- (3) For every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, the zero set

$$(P = 0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid P(x) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

is an element of S_n .

We refer to an element $U \in S_n$ as an S -definable subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $U \in S_n$, and $V \in S_m$, we say a map $f : U \rightarrow V$ of S -definable sets is S -definable if the graph is as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{m+n} . When the structure S is clear from context, we will often just refer to “definable” sets and functions.

12. For each n taking S_n to be the Boolean algebra generated by real algebraic subsets $(P = 0)$ of \mathbb{R}^n , the resulting S is not a structure. For example, the algebraic set $(x^2 - y = 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ projects to the semialgebraic set $(y \geq 0) \subset \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, if we take S_n to be the Boolean algebra generated by real semialgebraic subsets $(P \geq 0)$ of \mathbb{R}^n , then by the Tarski–Seidenberg theorem the resulting $S = \mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$ is a structure.

Note. Tarski–Seidenberg is usually phrased as quantifier elimination for the real ordered field. Indeed, the above axioms for a structure say that definable sets are closed under first order formulas, as intersections, unions, and complements correspond to the logical operators “and”, “or”, and “not”, while the projection axiom corresponds to universal and existential quantifiers. For this reason, structures have been studied extensively in model theory.

13. Surprisingly, a good notion of tame structure can be achieved by simply restricting the definable subsets of the real line:

Definition 13.1. A structure S is said to be o-minimal if $S_1 = (\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}})_1$ —that is, if the S -definable subsets of the real line are exactly finite unions of intervals.

Sets and functions which are definable in an o-minimal structure have very nice properties, including the following. Here we fix an o-minimal structure S and by “definable” we mean “ S -definable.”

- For any definable function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with finite fibers, the fiber size $|f^{-1}(v)|$ is a definable function. In particular, it is uniformly bounded, and for any n the set

$$\{v \in V \mid |f^{-1}(v)| = n\}$$

is definable.

- Any definable subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ admits a definable triangulation: it is definably homeomorphic to a finite simplicial complex.
- Any definable subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has a well-defined dimension, namely, the dimension as a simplicial complex for any definable triangulation. Moreover, for any definable map $f : U \rightarrow V$ and any n the set

$$\{v \in V \mid \dim f^{-1}(v) = n\}$$

is definable.

- For any k and any definable function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there is a definable triangulation of U such that f is C^k on each simplex. As a consequence, any definable $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ can be partitioned into finitely many C^k -submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n .

14. We give some examples of o-minimal structures. As discussed above, the structure \mathbb{R}_{alg} is o-minimal; in fact, it is the smallest o-minimal structure.

Given a collection $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n for each n , we say the structure generated by Σ is the smallest structure in which each set in each Σ_n is definable. It is the structure whose definable sets are given by first order formulas involving real polynomials, inequalities, and the sets in Σ . The structure \mathbb{R}_{exp} generated by the graph of the real exponential $\exp : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is o-minimal by a result of Wilkie [Wil96]. However, the function $\sin : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not definable in **any** o-minimal structure, as the definable set $\pi\mathbb{Z} = \sin^{-1}(0)$ is both discrete and infinite.

15. To get a much larger o-minimal structure, let \mathbb{R}_{an} be the structure generated by the graphs of all restrictions $f|_{B(R)}$, where $f : B(R') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real analytic functions on a finite radius $R' < \infty$ open euclidean ball (centered at the origin) and $B(R) \subset B(R')$ is a ball of strictly smaller radius $R < R'$. Via the embedding $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$, this is equivalent to the structure of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n that are subanalytic in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$. As observed by van-den-Dries [vdD98], Gabrielov’s theorem of the complement implies that \mathbb{R}_{an} is o-minimal. Note that while the sine function is not \mathbb{R}_{an} -definable, its restriction to any finite interval is.

Finally, let $\mathbb{R}_{an,\text{exp}}$ be the structure generated by \mathbb{R}_{an} and \mathbb{R}_{exp} . Then $\mathbb{R}_{an,\text{exp}}$ is o-minimal by a result of van-den-Dries–Miller [vdDM96]. Most of the applications to algebraic geometry currently use the structure $\mathbb{R}_{an,\text{exp}}$, and this will be the default structure we work with.

16. For applications, we typically wish to discuss definability for manifolds that don’t arise as subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , and for this we need an appropriate notion of definable atlas.

Definition 16.1. Let M be a topological space and S a structure.

- A (S) -definable atlas $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}$ consists of a finite open covering U_i of M , and homeomorphisms $\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ such that
 - (1) The V_i and the pairwise intersections $V_{ij} := \phi_i(U_i \cap U_j)$ are definable sets;
 - (2) The transition functions $\phi_{ij} := \phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1} : V_{ij} \rightarrow V_{ji}$ are definable.
- If M is equipped with a definable atlas $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}$, we say a subset $Z \subset M$ is definable if each $\phi_i(U_i \cap Z)$ is.
- If M, M' are equipped with definable atlases $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}, \{(U'_{i'}, \phi'_{i'})\}$, a map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is definable if each $f^{-1}(U'_{i'}) \subset M$ is definable and moreover for each i, i' the composition

$$(f \circ \phi_i^{-1})^{-1}(U'_{i'}) \xrightarrow{\phi_i^{-1}} f^{-1}(U'_{i'}) \xrightarrow{f} U'_{i'} \xrightarrow{\phi'_{i'}} V'_{i'}$$

is (S) -definable.

- We say two atlases $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}, \{(U'_{i'}, \phi'_{i'})\}$ on M are equivalent if the identity map is definable with respect to $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}$ on the source and $\{(U'_{i'}, \phi'_{i'})\}$ on the target.
- A (S) -definable topological space is a topological space M equipped with an equivalence class of definable atlases. A morphism of (S) -definable topological spaces is a continuous map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ which is definable for any choice of atlases in the equivalence classes on the source and target.
- We likewise define (S) -definable manifolds (resp. (S) -definable complex manifolds) by in addition requiring that the charts map to open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n (resp. \mathbb{C}^n) and that the transition functions are smooth (resp. holomorphic). Here we make sense of definability in \mathbb{C}^n via the identification $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by taking real and imaginary parts.

Example 16.2. Any complex algebraic variety X naturally has the structure of an S -definable topological space for any structure S . It admits a finite covering U_i by affine algebraic varieties, each of which is a complex (hence real) algebraic subset of some \mathbb{C}^{n_i} , and the transition functions are given by algebraic functions. Likewise, any nonsingular complex algebraic variety has a natural structure as an S -definable complex manifold. We denote this definable complex manifold by X^{def} .

17. One common method of producing interesting definable topological spaces is by taking quotients of other definable topological spaces by definable group actions.

Definition 17.1. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff definable topological space and Γ a group acting on X by definable homeomorphisms. A definable fundamental set for the action of Γ on X is an open definable subset $F \subseteq X$ such that

- (1) $\Gamma \cdot F = X$,
- (2) the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \cdot F \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

We shall require the following proposition:

Proposition 17.2 ([BBKT20, Prop 2.3]). *If F is a definable fundamental set for the action of Γ on X , then there exists a unique definable structure on $\Gamma \backslash X$ such that the canonical map $F \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$ is definable.*

C. BACKGROUND ON SIEGEL SETS

18. In this section, we give some background on Siegel sets for symmetric spaces of the type that appear in the study of period mappings. We begin by recalling

the definition of a Siegel set; a good general discussion is [BJ06, §2]. Let G be a reductive \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group. The set of real points $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a real Lie group, and we fix a maximal compact subgroup $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$. By [BS73, Prop. 1.6], this determines a Cartan involution θ of the \mathbb{R} -algebraic group $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, whose fixed point set is K . Let $P \subseteq G$ be a minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup, and let $U \subseteq P$ be its unipotent radical. The dimension of any maximal split \mathbb{Q} -torus in P is called the \mathbb{Q} -rank of G ; we shall denote it by $\text{rk } G$. The Levi quotient P/U is isomorphic to the product of a split \mathbb{Q} -torus of dimension $\text{rk } G$ and a maximal anisotropic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. According to [BS73, Cor. 1.9], there is a unique Levi subgroup

$$L = S \times M \subseteq P_{\mathbb{R}}$$

that maps isomorphically to the Levi quotient $P_{\mathbb{R}}/U_{\mathbb{R}}$ and is stable under the Cartan involution θ . In particular, S is a split \mathbb{R} -torus of dimension $\text{rk } G$ that is conjugate over $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ to a maximal \mathbb{Q} -split torus of G , such that $\theta(g) = g^{-1}$ for every $g \in S(\mathbb{R})$; compare [Orr18, Lem. 2.1]. Moreover, M is contained in the centralizer of S in $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. The adjoint action of S on the Lie algebra of $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ determines a root system, and we write Δ for the set of simple roots.

We use the definition of Siegel sets in [Orr18, §2.2]; for a discussion of how it relates to the original definition in [Bor19, §12], see [Orr18, §2.3]. For our purposes, a *Siegel set* in $G(\mathbb{R})$, with respect to the maximal compact subgroup K and the minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup P , is any set of the form

$$\mathfrak{S}(\Omega, t) = \Omega \cdot A_t \cdot K \subseteq G(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\Omega \subseteq U(\mathbb{R})M(\mathbb{R})^+$ is a compact set, $t > 0$ is a positive real number, and

$$A_t = \{ g \in S(\mathbb{R})^+ \mid \chi(g) \geq t \text{ for all simple roots } \chi \in \Delta \}.$$

We say that a Siegel set is *subalgebraic* if $\Omega \subseteq U(\mathbb{R})M(\mathbb{R})^+$ is subalgebraic, meaning definable in the o-minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{alg} .

Note. More generally, it is known that any set of the form $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega, t)$ with $\Omega \subseteq P(\mathbb{R})$ compact is contained in a Siegel set in the above sense [Orr18, §2.3].

19. We are only going to be interested in Siegel sets with respect to a fixed maximal compact subgroup. To emphasize this, we usually talk about *Siegel sets for K* , meaning that the maximal compact subgroup K in the definition is fixed, whereas the minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup P is allowed to be arbitrary. For later use, let us briefly recall how Siegel sets for different minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups are related to each other. Let $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ be a fixed maximal compact subgroup, and $P \subseteq G$ be a minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. Since all minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups are conjugate to each other, any other choice $P' \subseteq G$ has the form $P' = gPg^{-1}$ for a suitable element $g \in G(\mathbb{Q})$. Write $g = kp$ with $k \in K$ and $p \in P(\mathbb{R})^+$, so that

$$P'(\mathbb{R}) = gP(\mathbb{R})g^{-1} = kP(\mathbb{R})k^{-1}.$$

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ is a Siegel set for K and P . By [Bor19, §12.4], the translate $p\mathfrak{S}$ is contained in a larger Siegel set $\Omega A_t K \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to K and P ; here $\Omega \subseteq U(\mathbb{R})M(\mathbb{R})^+$ is compact and $t > 0$. Consequently,

$$g\mathfrak{S} \subseteq k\Omega A_t K = k\Omega k^{-1} \cdot kA_t k^{-1} \cdot K,$$

and the right-hand side is now a Siegel set with respect to K and $P' = gPg^{-1}$. This shows that any Siegel set for K is contained in a $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translate of a Siegel set with respect to K and a fixed minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup P .

20. Now we specialize to the case that is of interest in the study of period mappings. The general setting is as follows. Let $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space, of dimension $n = \dim H_{\mathbb{Q}}$, equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$Q: H_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Further suppose that there is an endomorphism $C \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ of the real vector space $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $C^2 = \text{id}$, such that

$$\langle -, - \rangle_C: H_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle v, w \rangle = Q(v, Cw),$$

is a positive definite inner product on $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. By analogy with the case of Hodge structures, we shall say that C is a *Weil operator* for the pair $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$.

21. The orthogonal group $G = O(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$ is a reductive \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, in general not connected, whose set of real points is the real Lie group

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = \{ g \in \text{Aut}(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \mid Q(gv, gw) = Q(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in H_{\mathbb{R}} \}.$$

Evidently, $C \in G(\mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that an element $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ preserves the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_C$ if and only if $gC = Cg$; therefore the subgroup

$$K = \{ g \in G(\mathbb{R}) \mid gC = Cg \}$$

is compact. It is proved in [Sch73, (8.4)] that K is actually a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$, and that the associated Cartan involution is given by the simple formula

$$\theta: G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R}), \quad \theta(g) = CgC.$$

The following result is well-known.

Lemma 21.1. *The symmetric space $G(\mathbb{R})/K$ parametrizes Weil operators for $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$, with the coset gK corresponding to the Weil operator $gCg^{-1} \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. All elements in the coset gK give us the same operator $gCg^{-1} \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$, which is a Weil operator for the pair $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$ because

$$Q(v, gCg^{-1}w) = Q(g^{-1}v, Cg^{-1}w) = \langle g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w \rangle_C$$

is positive definite. Conversely, suppose that $C' \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ is another Weil operator for $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$. Let $n = \dim H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since Q has a fixed signature, we have

$$\dim E_1(C') = \dim E_1(C) = p \quad \text{and} \quad \dim E_{-1}(C') = \dim E_{-1}(C) = n - p.$$

Pick a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is orthonormal for the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_C$, in such a way that $e_1, \dots, e_p \in E_1(C)$ and $e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n \in E_{-1}(C)$. Pick a second basis $e'_1, \dots, e'_n \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is similarly adapted to $\langle -, - \rangle_{C'}$ and C' , and let $g \in \text{Aut}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the unique automorphism such that $ge_i = e'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$Q(e_i, Ce_j) = Q(e'_i, C'e'_j) = Q(ge_i, C'ge_j) = Q(ge_i, gCe_j),$$

and therefore $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ by the nondegeneracy of Q . By construction, $C'g = gC$, which makes $C' = gCg^{-1}$ equal to the image of the coset gK . \square

22. Now let us turn our attention to Siegel sets in $G(\mathbb{R})$. The \mathbb{Q} -rank of G and the collection of minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups $P \subseteq G$ can be described concretely as follows. Let $r \geq 0$ be the Witt rank of Q , meaning the dimension of a maximal Q -isotropic subspace of $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let

$$\{0\} \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_r$$

be a maximal flag of isotropic subspaces, with $\dim V_i = i$. As explained in [Bor19, §11.16], the stabilizer P of this flag is a minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of G , and every minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup arises in this way; moreover, the \mathbb{Q} -rank of G is equal to r . Since Q is nondegenerate, it is possible to choose vectors $v'_1, \dots, v'_r \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the property that

$$Q(v_i, v'_j) = [i = j] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The $2r$ -dimensional subspace spanned by $v_1, \dots, v_r, v'_1, \dots, v'_r$ is uniquely determined by V_r ; so is its orthogonal complement with respect to Q . Let $U \subseteq P$ denote the unipotent radical; concretely, $g \in U(\mathbb{Q})$ iff $gv_i - v_i \in V_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

23. The unique Levi subgroup $S \times M \subseteq P_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is stable under the Cartan involution can be described concretely as follows. Using the Gram-Schmidt process, construct an orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_r \in V_r$ relative to the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_C$, in such a way that

$$V_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}e_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since V_r is isotropic, the vectors $e_1, \dots, e_r, Ce_r, \dots, Ce_1$ are still orthonormal, and we get an embedding

$$s: G_{m, \mathbb{R}} \times \cdots \times G_{m, \mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow P_{\mathbb{R}}$$

by letting $s(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ act as multiplication by λ_i on the vector e_i , as multiplication by λ_i^{-1} on the vector Ce_i , and as the identity on the orthogonal complement of $e_1, \dots, e_r, Ce_r, \dots, Ce_1$. The image of this embedding is the desired \mathbb{R} -torus S . The other factor of the Levi subgroup $S \times M$ has as its set of real points

$$M(\mathbb{R}) = \{ g \in G(\mathbb{R}) \mid ge_i = CgCe_i = e_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r \},$$

which is clearly stable under the Cartan involution $\theta(g) = CgC$. Note in particular that $M(\mathbb{R})$ preserves the orthogonal complement of $e_1, \dots, e_r, Ce_r, \dots, Ce_1$.

24. We also need to know the set of simple roots Δ for the action of S on the Lie algebra of $P_{\mathbb{R}}$. These are computed in [Bor19, §11.16]. There are two cases, depending on the value of the integer $n - 2r \geq 0$:

- (1) If $n = 2r$, the simple roots are $\lambda_1/\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{r-1}/\lambda_r$ and $\lambda_{r-1}\lambda_r$; this is the case where $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$ is split, hence isomorphic to a sum of hyperbolic planes.
- (2) If $n > 2r$, the simple roots are $\lambda_1/\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{r-1}/\lambda_r$ and λ_r ; this is the case where $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$ has a nontrivial anisotropic summand.

25. As in the case of the general linear group, Siegel sets in $G(\mathbb{R})$ are closely related to the reduction theory of positive definite quadratic forms. We are going to make this idea precise by comparing Siegel sets for the two \mathbb{Q} -algebraic groups $G = O(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$ and $\tilde{G} = \text{GL}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$. In \tilde{G} , we have the maximal compact subgroup

$$\tilde{K} = \{g \in \tilde{G}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \langle g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w \rangle_C = \langle v, w \rangle_C \text{ for } v, w \in H_{\mathbb{R}}\}.$$

The associated Cartan involution is $g \mapsto C(g^t)^{-1}C$, where g^t means the adjoint of g with respect to the nondegenerate pairing Q . Clearly, $\tilde{K} \cap G(\mathbb{R}) = K$. The relevant minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup $\tilde{P} \subseteq \tilde{G}$ is obtained as follows. Complete the given flag $V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_r$ of isotropic subspaces to a maximal flag

$$\{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_r \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-r} \subset V_{n-r+1} \subset \cdots \subset V_n = H_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

by defining $V_{n-r} = V_r^\perp$ and $V_{n-r+i} = V_r^\perp \oplus \mathbb{Q}v'_r \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}v'_{r+1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and then filling in the $n - 2r$ steps in between V_r and V_r^\perp . Let $\tilde{P} \subseteq \tilde{G}$ be the stabilizer of this maximal flag, and let $\tilde{U} \subseteq \tilde{P}$ be its unipotent radical; then

$$\tilde{P} \cap G \subseteq P \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U} \cap G = U.$$

Using the Gram-Schmidt process, construct an orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the property that $V_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}e_i$; a short calculation shows that

$$e_{n-r+1} = Ce_r, e_{n-r+2} = Ce_{r-1}, \dots, e_n = Ce_1.$$

In this case, the Levi subgroup $\tilde{S} \times \tilde{M}$ reduces to the split \mathbb{R} -torus $\tilde{S} \subseteq \tilde{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ consisting of all diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with respect to the basis e_1, \dots, e_n ; with a little bit of work, one can show that $\tilde{S} \cap G(\mathbb{R}) = S$. The simple roots are computed in [Bor19, §1.14] to be $\lambda_1/\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}/\lambda_n$.

26. We can now compare Siegel sets in $G(\mathbb{R}) = O(H_{\mathbb{R}}, Q)$ and $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{GL}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$. The result is a more precise version of a general theorem by Orr [Orr18, Thm. 1.2], with a small correction contained in [OS21].

Proposition 26.1. *Any Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$ for the maximal compact subgroup K is contained in at most two $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translates of a Siegel set in $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$ (for \tilde{K}).*

Proof. We need to consider the two cases $n > 2r$ and $n = 2r$ separately. Let us first deal with the easier case $n > 2r$ (where $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a nontrivial anisotropic summand). Without loss of generality, we can assume that the Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$ has the form

$$\Omega_U \cdot \Omega_M \cdot A_t \cdot K,$$

where $\Omega_U \subseteq U(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Omega_M \subseteq M(\mathbb{R})^+$ are compact subsets and $t > 0$ is a positive real number. From the description of the simple roots above, we know that

$$A_t = \{s(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \mid \lambda_1/\lambda_2 \geq t, \dots, \lambda_{r-1}/\lambda_r \geq t, \text{ and } \lambda_r \geq t\}.$$

It will be convenient to write elements of $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$ as matrices with respect to our fixed orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$. With this convention, the set A_t consists of all diagonal matrices of the form

$$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 1, \dots, 1, \lambda_r^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_1^{-1})$$

with $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \geq t, \dots, \lambda_{r-1}/\lambda_r \geq t$ and $\lambda_r \geq t$. The crucial point is that every such matrix belongs to $\tilde{A}_t \subseteq \tilde{S}(\mathbb{R})$, because the number of 1's in the middle is $n - 2r \geq 1$. Consider an arbitrary element

$$u \cdot m \cdot a \cdot k \in \Omega_U \cdot \Omega_M \cdot A_t \cdot K.$$

Here is the reason why $u^\sigma = \sigma u \sigma \in \tilde{U}(\mathbb{R})$. The matrix for u is upper triangular with all diagonal entries equal to 1; in particular, there is some $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$ue_{r+1} \equiv e_{r+1} + xe_r \pmod{\langle e_1, \dots, e_{r-1} \rangle}.$$

Because of the special shape of σ , having $u^\sigma \in \tilde{U}(\mathbb{R})$ is now equivalent to

$$x = \langle ue_{r+1}, e_r \rangle_C = Q(ue_{r+1}, Ce_r) = Q(ue_{r+1}, e_{r+1}) = 0.$$

As $u \in G(\mathbb{R})$, we have $Q(ue_{r+1}, e_{r+1}) = Q(u^{-1}e_{r+1}, e_{r+1})$, and therefore

$$\langle ue_{r+1}, e_r \rangle_C = \langle u^{-1}e_{r+1}, e_r \rangle_C.$$

From the relation $ue_{r+1} \equiv e_{r+1} + xe_r$, we deduce that

$$u^{-1}e_{r+1} \equiv e_{r+1} - xe_r \pmod{\langle e_1, \dots, e_{r-1} \rangle},$$

and after taking the inner product with e_r , we get $x = -x$ or $x = 0$.

It remains to argue that the translate is actually by an element of $G(\mathbb{Q})$; note that $\sigma \in \tilde{K}$ is not rational in general. To that end, we define an involution $g \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ by requiring that $gv_i = v_i$ and $gv'_i = v'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, and $gv_r = v'_r$ and $gv'_r = v_r$. Then it is easy to check that the matrix for $g\sigma$ in the basis e_1, \dots, e_{2r} is upper triangular, which means that $g\sigma \in \tilde{P}(\mathbb{R})$. Using [Bor19, §12.4], it follows that the translate by σ of a Siegel set in $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to \tilde{K} and \tilde{P} is contained in the translate by g of a bigger Siegel set in $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$, which is enough for our purposes. \square

27. Let us now relate Siegel sets in $G(\mathbb{R})$ to reduction theory for quadratic forms. Following [Kli90, I.2], we shall say that a positive definite inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ on the vector space $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is *t-reduced* relative to an ordered basis $v_1, \dots, v_n \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (where $t > 0$ is a real number) if the following three conditions hold:

- (a) For every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, one has $\|v_i\|^2 \leq t\|v_{i+1}\|^2$.
- (b) For every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, one has $2|\langle v_i, v_j \rangle| \leq t\|v_i\|^2$.
- (c) The matrix of the quadratic form satisfies the inequality

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \|v_i\|^2 \leq t \cdot c_1(n) \det(\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{i,j},$$

where $c_1(n)$ the optimal constant in Minkowski's inequality.

For a given basis $v_1, \dots, v_n \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a given number $t > 0$, consider the set of elements $g \in GL(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ such that the inner product

$$(v, w) \mapsto \langle g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w \rangle_C = Q(g^{-1}v, Cg^{-1}w)$$

is *t-reduced* relative to the basis v_1, \dots, v_n . It is known that every Siegel set in $GL(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ for the maximal compact subgroup \tilde{K} is contained in a set of this type; conversely, every set of this type is contained in a Siegel set (for \tilde{K}).

28. To simplify the discussion, let us denote by

$$\mathfrak{S}(v_1, \dots, v_n, t)$$

the set of elements $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ such that the inner product

$$(v, w) \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle_{gCg^{-1}} = Q(v, gCg^{-1}w)$$

is *t-reduced* relative to a given basis $v_1, \dots, v_n \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Being defined by a collection of inequalities, this is clearly a subalgebraic subset of $G(\mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that

$$g\mathfrak{S}(v_1, \dots, v_n, t) = \mathfrak{S}(gv_1, \dots, gv_n, t)$$

for any $g \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, which means that the collection of these sets is stable under translation by elements in $G(\mathbb{Q})$. The following theorem gives a useful criterion for checking whether a given subset of $G(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in finitely many $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translates of a Siegel set for K .

Theorem 28.1. *Any Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$ for the maximal compact subgroup K is contained in a finite union of sets of the form $\mathfrak{S}(v_1, \dots, v_n, t)$; conversely, any set of the form $\mathfrak{S}(v_1, \dots, v_n, t)$ is contained in a finite union of Siegel sets (for K).*

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from Proposition 26.1 and the discussion above. To prove the second assertion, observe that any set of the form $\mathfrak{S}(v_1, \dots, v_n, t)$ is contained in a Siegel set in $\mathrm{GL}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ (for \tilde{K}); moreover, the intersection of such a Siegel set with the subgroup $G(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in finitely many $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translates of a Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$ by Proposition 29.1 below. We then get the desired result by recalling that any $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translate of a Siegel set for K is again a Siegel set for K (with a possibly different minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup). \square

29. In this section, we prove a proposition concerning the intersection of a Siegel set with a reductive subgroup. The result is similar to [BHC62, Lem.7.5], except that we are working with Siegel sets for \mathbb{Q} -algebraic groups (instead of with Siegel domains for \mathbb{R} -algebraic groups), and that we are making a different set of assumptions about the subgroup. To simplify the notation, let G be an arbitrary reductive \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, and $H \subseteq G$ a reductive \mathbb{Q} -algebraic subgroup. Further, let $K_G \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ and $K_H \subseteq H(\mathbb{R})$ be maximal compact subgroups such that $K_H = K_G \cap H(\mathbb{R})$. Note that the Cartan involutions on $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ are not necessarily compatible with each other.

Proposition 29.1. *Suppose that every Siegel set in $H(\mathbb{R})$ for the maximal compact subgroup K_H is contained in finitely many $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translates of a Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$ (for K_G). Let $\mathfrak{S}_G \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ be any Siegel set for K_G . Then there is a Siegel set $\mathfrak{S}_H \subseteq H(\mathbb{R})$ for K_H , and a finite set $F \subseteq H(\mathbb{Q})$, such that*

$$\mathfrak{S}_G \cap H(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq F \cdot \mathfrak{S}_H.$$

Proof. This is an easy consequence of reduction theory, and all that is required is collecting some results from [Bor19]. Let $\Gamma_G \subseteq G(\mathbb{Q})$ be an arithmetic subgroup; the intersection $\Gamma_H = \Gamma_G \cap H(\mathbb{Q})$ is then an arithmetic subgroup of $H(\mathbb{Q})$. According to [Bor19, Thm. 15.5], there exists a Siegel set $\mathfrak{S}_H \subseteq H(\mathbb{R})$ for the maximal compact subgroup K_H , and a finite set $C_H \subseteq H(\mathbb{Q})$, such that

$$H(\mathbb{R}) = \Gamma_H \cdot C_H \cdot \mathfrak{S}_H.$$

Since the intersection $\mathfrak{S}_G \cap H(\mathbb{R})$ is of course contained in $\Gamma_H C_H \mathfrak{S}_H$, it is therefore enough to prove finiteness of the set

$$B = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_H \mid \mathfrak{S}_G \text{ intersects } \gamma C_H \mathfrak{S}_H \}.$$

After enlarging \mathfrak{S}_G , if necessary, our assumption about Siegel sets in $H(\mathbb{R})$ implies that there is a finite set $A \subseteq G(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\mathfrak{S}_H \subseteq A \mathfrak{S}_G$. Consequently, our set $B \subseteq \Gamma_H$ is contained in the larger set

$$\{ \gamma \in \Gamma_G \mid \mathfrak{S}_G \text{ intersects } \gamma C_H A \mathfrak{S}_G \},$$

which is finite because \mathfrak{S}_G has the Siegel property [Bor19, Thm. 15.4].

It remains to justify our claim that $\mathfrak{S}_H \subseteq A \mathfrak{S}_G$. By assumption, \mathfrak{S}_H is contained in many $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translates of a Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$, but probably with respect to a

different minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. After translation by an element of $G(\mathbb{Q})$, we can assume that the minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup is the same as for \mathfrak{S}_G ; and then we can enlarge \mathfrak{S}_G and assume that the Siegel set in question is actually \mathfrak{S}_G . This completes the proof. \square

30. Let us return to the setting considered in §20, but add one additional piece of data. We still assume that $G = O(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$, and that we have a fixed Weil operator $C \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ for which $(v, w) \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle_C = Q(v, Cw)$ is a positive definite inner product on the \mathbb{R} -vector space $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let us now assume in addition that we have a nonzero element $a \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the property that $Ca = a$. In particular,

$$Q(a, a) = \langle a, a \rangle_C > 0.$$

The stabilizer of this vector is a reductive \mathbb{Q} -subgroup $G_a \subseteq G$; concretely,

$$G_a(\mathbb{Q}) = \{ g \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \mid ga = a \}.$$

We are interested in Weil operators $C' \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ with the property that $C'a = a$. The following result says that all such Weil operators are conjugate to C by elements of the real group $G_a(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 30.1. *Let $C' \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a Weil operator for $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$. If $C'a = a$, then there is an element $g \in G_a(\mathbb{R})$ such that $C' = gCg^{-1}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 21.1. We have

$$\|a\|_{C'}^2 = Q(a, a) = \|a\|_C^2,$$

because $C'a = a = Ca$. Let $n = \dim H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since Q has a fixed signature, we have

$$\dim E_1(C') = \dim E_1(C) = p \quad \text{and} \quad \dim E_{-1}(C') = \dim E_{-1}(C) = n - p.$$

The unit vector $e_1 = a/\|a\|_C$ can be completed to a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is orthonormal for the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_C$, in such a way that $e_1, \dots, e_p \in E_1(C)$ and $e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n \in E_{-1}(C)$. Choose a second basis $e'_1, \dots, e'_n \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $e'_1 = a/\|a\|_{C'}$ that is similarly adapted to $\langle -, - \rangle_{C'}$ and C' , and let $g \in \text{Aut}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the unique automorphism such that $ge_i = e'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then obviously $ga = a$. As in the proof of Lemma 21.1, one shows that $C' = gCg^{-1}$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$, which then implies that $g \in G_a(\mathbb{R})$ because $ga = a$. \square

31. The orthogonal complement of a relative to Q is the subspace

$$H'_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{ v \in H_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid Q(a, v) = 0 \} = \{ v \in H_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle a, v \rangle_C = 0 \}.$$

Evidently, $H_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}a \oplus H'_{\mathbb{Q}}$. It is also easy to see that $C(H'_{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq H'_{\mathbb{R}}$; consequently, the restriction of C to $H'_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a Weil operator for the pair $(H'_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$. We denote by

$$K_a = \{ g \in G_a(\mathbb{R}) \mid gC = Cg \}$$

the resulting maximal compact subgroup; note that $K_a = K \cap G_a(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 31.1. *Any Siegel set in $G_a(\mathbb{R})$ for the maximal compact subgroup K_a is contained in finitely many $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -translates of a Siegel set in $G(\mathbb{R})$ (for K).*

Proof. The criterion in Theorem 28.1 reduces the problem to the following concrete statement: Suppose that $g \in G_a(\mathbb{R})$ is an element with the property that

$$(v, w) \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle_{gCg^{-1}} = Q(v, gCg^{-1}w)$$

is t -reduced relative to an ordered basis $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}'$; then it is possible to add the vector a to the basis (in one of the n possible places) and still keep the inner product t -reduced. This is completely elementary. To simplify the notation, let us agree to write $\langle v, w \rangle$ and $\|v\|$ instead of $\langle v, w \rangle_{gCg^{-1}}$ and $\|v\|_{gCg^{-1}}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $t \geq 1$. Recall that $\|a\|^2 = Q(a, a)$. Since

$$\langle v_i, a \rangle = Q(v_i, gCg^{-1}a) = Q(v_i, a) = 0,$$

the second and third condition in the definition are trivially satisfied. For the first condition, note that for every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, at least one of the inequalities

$$\|a\|^2 \leq t\|v_i\|^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \|v_i\|^2 \leq t\|a\|^2$$

will be true (because $t \geq 1$). Consequently, there is some value of $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ with the property that

$$\|v_i\|^2 \leq t\|a\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\|^2 \leq t\|v_{i+1}\|^2.$$

But this is saying exactly that our inner product is t -reduced relative to the ordered basis $v_1, \dots, v_i, a, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{n-1} \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. \square

D. DEFINABLE STRUCTURES ON FLAT BUNDLES

32. Let X be a nonsingular complex algebraic variety, and let \mathcal{E} be a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of finite rank with a flat holomorphic connection $\nabla: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$. We denote by $p: E \rightarrow X$ the associated holomorphic vector bundle. Recall that E is actually an algebraic vector bundle; the algebraic structure on E is uniquely determined by the flat connection. Let us briefly review the construction. Choose an embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ into a complete nonsingular variety, such that $D = Y \setminus X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla)$ be Deligne's canonical extension of the pair (\mathcal{E}, ∇) ; up to isomorphism, it is determined by the following two conditions:

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_Y -module with a flat logarithmic connection

$$\nabla: \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \tilde{\mathcal{E}},$$

such that $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla)|_X \cong (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.

- (2) For each irreducible component D_j of the divisor D , the pointwise eigenvalues of the residue operator

$$\text{Res}_{D_j} \nabla \in \text{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{D_j})$$

are complex numbers whose real part is contained in the interval $[0, 1)$.

The canonical extension has the following simple description in local coordinates. Let $U \cong \Delta^n$ be an open neighborhood of a point $y \in Y$, with local holomorphic coordinates t_1, \dots, t_n centered at y , such that the divisor $D \cap U$ is defined by the equation $t_1 \cdots t_k = 0$. Let V be the fiber of the vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ at the point y . Then there is a unique holomorphic trivialization

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$$

that restricts to the identity on V at the point y , such that the logarithmic connection takes the form

$$\nabla(1 \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{dt_j}{t_j} \otimes R_j v$$

for commuting operators $R_1, \dots, R_k \in \text{End}(V)$, all of whose eigenvalues have real part in $[0, 1)$; here R_j is the residue operator along $t_j = 0$. It is easy to see that

$$e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^k \log t_j R_j} (1 \otimes v)$$

defines a multivalued flat section of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) on $U \cap X$. The monodromy transformation around the divisor $t_j = 0$ is therefore described by the operator

$$e^{-2\pi i R_j} \in \text{GL}(V).$$

In particular, the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The eigenvalues of the local monodromy transformations around the components of D are complex numbers of absolute value 1.
- (b) For each irreducible component D_j of the divisor D , the pointwise eigenvalues of the residue operators $\text{Res}_{D_j} \nabla$ are real numbers.

Since Y is complete, the holomorphic vector bundle $p: \tilde{E} \rightarrow Y$ associated to the locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} has a unique algebraic structure; the algebraic structure on the bundle E is obtained by restriction. As before, we give Y and \tilde{E} the structure of $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable complex manifolds extending their algebraic structures; this induces definable complex manifold structures on X and E . The former is the canonical algebraic definable structure X^{def} on X and we call the latter the *algebraic* definable structure on E . It is uniquely described as that for which any holomorphic section s of $p: \tilde{E} \rightarrow Y$ over an open subset $U' \subset Y$ restricts to a definable map on any definable $U \subset U' \cap X$ which has compact closure in U' .

33. The holomorphic vector bundle E naturally comes equipped with another $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable structure coming from the flat coordinates which we construct as follows. The subsheaf $\mathcal{E}^\nabla \subset \mathcal{E}$ of flat sections is a complex local system. By definable triangulation, the definable topological space X^{def} admits a definable atlas $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}$ with each U_i simply connected. Choosing a basis of flat sections s_1, \dots, s_r of $\mathcal{E}^\nabla|_{U_i}$, we therefore obtain a holomorphic trivialization $\psi_i: U_i \times \mathbb{C}^r \xrightarrow{\cong} p^{-1}(U_i)$ via $(u, z_1, \dots, z_r) \mapsto \sum_i z_i s_i(u)$. Moreover, on intersections $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j$ the transition functions are constant: there are $g_{ij} \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\psi_i = \psi_j \circ (\text{id} \times g_{ij})$. The *flat* definable structure on E is then given by the definable complex manifold atlas $\{(p^{-1}(U_i), (\phi_i \times \text{id}) \circ \psi_i^{-1})\}$. It is uniquely characterized by the property that any flat section s of $p: E \rightarrow X$ over a definable open subset $U \subset X$ is definable.

34. From now on, we assume that the local monodromy transformations around the components of D have eigenvalues of absolute value 1; in this case we say that E has norm one eigenvalues at infinity. Under this assumption, the two definable structures from the previous two paragraphs are equivalent.

Proposition 34.1 ([BM21, Theorem 1.2]). *Let X be a nonsingular complex algebraic variety and E a holomorphic flat vector bundle over X with norm one eigenvalues at infinity in the above sense. Then the flat and algebraic definable complex manifold structures on E are equivalent.*

The idea of the proof can be seen from the construction of the Deligne canonical extension as above. An algebraic frame for \mathcal{E} extends to an algebraic frame for the canonical extension $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on the compactification Y . Thus, locally on the boundary it is related by a matrix of restricted analytic functions to a basis of sections of the form $e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^k \log t_j R_j} (1 \otimes v)$. This basis is in turn related to the flat basis

by the matrix $e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^k \log t_j R_j}$, which is $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ -definable on bounded angular sectors. Indeed, the functions $\log t_j$ are $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ -definable on bounded angular sectors in polydisk neighborhoods of the boundary in X^{def} . If $R_j = R_j^{ss} + R_j^u$ is the Jordan decomposition, then $e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^k \log t_j R_j^u}$ is polynomial in the $\log t_j$, while $e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^k \log t_j R_j^{ss}}$ is $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ -definable since the R_j^{ss} are real.

In particular, we have the following concrete corollary, which we will use:

Corollary 34.2. *Let Z be a complex manifold, and let $f: Z \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic mapping that is $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ -definable. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(Z, f^* \mathcal{E})$ be a holomorphic section with $\nabla \sigma = 0$. Then the resulting function $\sigma: Z \rightarrow E$ is $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ -definable with respect to the algebraic definable structure.*

E. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

35. We now come to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let X be a nonsingular complex algebraic variety, \mathcal{H} a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure on X , of even weight $2k$. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$ and let $H_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}, x_0}$; this is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank, which comes with a symmetric bilinear pairing

$$Q = Q_{x_0}: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

As usual, we set $H_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$; for simplicity, we shall use the notation $C = C_{x_0} \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ for the Weil operator of the Hodge structure at the point x_0 . In particular,

$$(v, w) \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle_C = Q(v, Cw)$$

is a positive definite inner product on the vector space $H_{\mathbb{R}}$.

36. Let D be the period domain parametrizing integral Hodge structures of weight $2k$ on $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ that are polarized by Q . Since the statement of Theorem 3.1 only involves the Weil operator (instead of the full Hodge structure), it makes sense to consider not the period domain D , but rather the associated symmetric space (which is a quotient of D). Consider the \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group

$$G = O(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q),$$

whose set of real points is the real Lie group

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \mid Q(gv, gw) = Q(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in H_{\mathbb{R}} \}.$$

Recall that $G(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on the period domain D , and that $D = G(\mathbb{R})/V$, where $V \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ is the stabilizer of the Hodge structure at x_0 . Clearly, the Weil operator satisfies $C \in G(\mathbb{R})$ and $C^2 = \text{id}$. It is easy to see that

$$K = \{ g \in G(\mathbb{R}) \mid gC = Cg \}$$

is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$ containing the compact subgroup V ; by Lemma 21.1, the points of the quotient $G(\mathbb{R})/K$ can be identified with Weil operators for the pair $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$, with the coset gK corresponding to the Weil operator gCg^{-1} .

37. Consider now the arithmetic subgroup

$$(37.1) \quad \Gamma = O(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, Q) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{GL}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Note that Γ is quite a bit larger than the monodromy group of the variation of Hodge structure; this will be important in what follows. Instead of the usual period mapping to $\Gamma \backslash D$, we consider the (Weil operator) period mapping

$$(37.2) \quad \Phi: X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$$

to the arithmetic quotient of the symmetric space $G(\mathbb{R})/K$. It associates to every point $x \in X$ the Weil operator $C_x \in \mathrm{Aut}(E_x)$, viewed as an automorphism of the fixed vector space $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ by parallel transport; this is well-defined in the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$ since Γ contains the monodromy group of the variation of Hodge structure. According to [BKT20, Thm. 1.3], the mapping Φ in (37.2) is $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{an}, \mathrm{exp}}$ -definable. The main result is stated for the usual period mapping into $\Gamma \backslash D$, but what is actually proved in [BKT20, Thm. 4.1] is the $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{an}, \mathrm{exp}}$ -definability of (37.2).

38. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering space of X . Since the period mapping is locally liftable, there is a real-analytic mapping $\tilde{\Phi}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/K$, unique up to a choice of base point, making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & G(\mathbb{R})/K \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K \end{array}$$

We now extend the definability result to the vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$. On $G(\mathbb{R})/K$, consider the trivial complex vector bundle $G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. The arithmetic group Γ acts on this bundle via the formula

$$\gamma \cdot (gK, v) = (\gamma gK, \gamma(v)),$$

and the quotient gives us a “universal” complex vector bundle

$$\Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$$

with fiber $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. The pullback $\pi^* \mathcal{E}$ has a canonical trivialization by ∇ -flat sections, hence $\pi^* E \cong \tilde{X} \times H_{\mathbb{C}}$. The trivial morphism of vector bundles

$$\tilde{\Phi} \times \mathrm{id}: \tilde{X} \times H_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}$$

therefore descends to a morphism of complex vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\Phi_E} & \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K. \end{array}$$

Proposition 38.1. *The morphism of complex vector bundles*

$$\Phi_E: E \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}})$$

is $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{an}, \mathrm{exp}}$ -definable.

Proof. Let Y be a complete nonsingular variety containing X , such that $X = Y \setminus D$ for a simple normal crossing divisor D . By the same argument as in [BKT20, §4.1], the problem is local on Y , and so we may assume that $Y = \Delta^n$, with holomorphic coordinates t_1, \dots, t_n , and that the divisor D is defined by the equation $t_1 \cdots t_k = 0$. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and $\Sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$. By [BKT20, Thm. 1.5], there is a subalgebraic Siegel set $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ for the maximal compact subgroup K , and a finite set $A \subseteq G(\mathbb{Q})$, such that the image of

$$\tilde{\Phi}: \Sigma^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/K$$

is contained in $A \cdot \mathfrak{S}$. We get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & A \cdot \mathfrak{S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & G(\mathbb{R})/K \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K \end{array} \quad .$$

Now $\pi: \Sigma^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \rightarrow (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$ is $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable, and so Proposition 34.2 implies that the isomorphism of complex vector bundles

$$\pi^* E \cong \Sigma^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \times H_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is actually $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable. Since the morphism of trivial bundles

$$\tilde{\Phi} \times \text{id}: \Sigma^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \times H_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (A \cdot \mathfrak{S}) \times H_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is obviously $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable, we get the desired result. \square

39. We are ready to prove a first definability result for self-dual vectors in a single Γ -orbit. Suppose that we have an integral vector $a \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $Ca = a$. We are interested in self-dual classes in the orbit $\Gamma a \subseteq H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. As noted after Lemma 21.1, points of the symmetric space $G(\mathbb{R})/K$ correspond to Weil operators for $(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, Q)$; we identify a coset gK with the Weil operator $C_g = gCg^{-1}$.

Proposition 39.1. *Let $a \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a nonzero integral vector with $Ca = a$. The set*

$$\{ \Gamma(gK, v) \in \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid v \in \Gamma a \text{ and } C_g v = v \}$$

is \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable.

Proof. We introduce the additional subgroups

$$K_a = G_a(\mathbb{R}) \cap K \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_a = G_a(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \Gamma.$$

For the same reason as before, K_a is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_a(\mathbb{R})$, and Γ_a is an arithmetic subgroup of $G_a(\mathbb{Q})$. Lemma 30.1 shows that the image of

$$G_a(\mathbb{R})/K_a \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/K$$

consists of all cosets gK whose corresponding Weil operator $C_g = gCg^{-1}$ satisfies $C_g a = a$. According to Proposition 31.1 and [BKT20, Thm. 1.2], the morphism of arithmetic quotients

$$\Gamma_a \backslash G_a(\mathbb{R})/K_a \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$$

is \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable. This morphism has a well-defined lifting

$$i: \Gamma_a \backslash G_a(\mathbb{R})/K_a \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad \Gamma_a hK_a \mapsto \Gamma(hK, a),$$

which is \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable for the same reason. In more detail, let \mathfrak{S} be an arbitrary Siegel set in $G_a(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the maximal compact subgroup K_a . The formula

$$\tilde{i}: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \tilde{i}(h) = (hK, a)$$

gives us an \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable local lifting of i . Because of Proposition 31.1, the composition of \tilde{i} with the projection to $\Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}})$ is definable; it follows that the mapping i is itself \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable.

Now the image of i is exactly the set we are interested in. Indeed, suppose that $\Gamma(gK, v) = \Gamma(hK, a)$ for some $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$, $h \in G_a(\mathbb{R})$, and $v \in H_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there is an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $g = \gamma h$ and $v = \gamma a$, and one easily deduces that $v \in \Gamma a$ and $C_g v = v$. In fact, the mapping i is an embedding: if $\Gamma(hK, a) = \Gamma(h'K, a)$ for two elements $h, h' \in G_a(\mathbb{R})$, then there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and some $k \in K$ such that $h' = \gamma h k$ and $\gamma a = a$; but then $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$, and therefore $k \in K_a$, and so the double cosets $\Gamma_a h' K_a = \Gamma_a h K_a$ are equal. The locus of self-dual classes in our given Γ -orbit is therefore an \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable subset that is isomorphic to the smaller arithmetic quotient $\Gamma_a \backslash G_a(\mathbb{R})/K_a$. \square

40. We now extend the above result to integral vectors $v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with a fixed self-intersection number $Q(v, v)$.

Proposition 40.1. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer. Then the set*

$$\{ \Gamma(gK, v) \in \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}, Q(v, v) = q, \text{ and } C_g v = v \}$$

is \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable.

Proof. The crucial point is that Γ acts on the set $\{ v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \mid Q(v, v) = q \}$ with only *finitely* many orbits; this makes the result a direct consequence of Proposition 39.1. The finiteness of the number of Γ -orbits follows from [Kne02, Satz 30.2]; since Kneser works in much greater generality, let us briefly explain how to deduce the statement we need. The quadratic form $v \mapsto Q(v, v)$ makes $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ into a lattice in the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and an integral vector $v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $Q(v, v) = q$ defines an isometry $v: [q] \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $[q]$ means the lattice \mathbb{Z} with the quadratic form $n \mapsto qn^2$. (Kneser calls this a ‘‘Darstellung’’ of $[q]$ in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$.) Now $[q]$ is nondegenerate because $q \geq 1$, and [Kne02, Satz 30.2] guarantees that there are only finitely many equivalence classes of such isometries. But since $\Gamma = O(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, Q)$, two vectors $v, v' \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are in the same equivalence class, in the sense of [Kne02, Def. 30.1], exactly when there is an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $v' = \gamma v$. \square

41. Finally, we assemble all the pieces and prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The polarized integral variation of Hodge structure \mathcal{H} on X gives rise to a kind of period mapping

$$\Phi: X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$$

that, up to the action by Γ , associates to every point $x \in X$ the Weil operator C_x of the corresponding Hodge structure. We already know that Φ is $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable [BKT20, Thm. 1.2]. We also have a morphism of complex vector bundles

$$\Phi_E: E \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}})$$

from the algebraic vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ to the ‘‘universal’’ vector bundle on the right. We also know that Φ_E is $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable (by Proposition 38.1). The

two morphisms fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\Phi_E} & \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K. \end{array}$$

Fix a positive integer $q \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 40.1, the set

$$\{ \Gamma(gK, v) \in \Gamma \backslash (G(\mathbb{R})/K \times H_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid v \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}, Q(v, v) = q, \text{ and } C_g v = v \}$$

is \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable, and so its preimage under Φ_E is an $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ -definable subset of E . Since it is easy to see that a point $(x, v) \in E$ lies in the preimage exactly when $v \in E_x$ is integral and satisfies $Q_x(v, v) = q$ and $C_x v = v$, we get the result. \square

F. ADDITIONAL RESULTS

42. In this section, we prove the two variants of the main theorem stated in the introduction. The idea is simple enough: we tensor a given integral variation of Hodge structure by an auxiliary Hodge structure of weight 1 or 2, and then apply Theorem 3.1. The first Hodge structure that we need is the following.

Example 42.1. Consider the Hodge structure on the first cohomology of the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i)$. Concretely, this is an integral Hodge structure of weight 1 on the free \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$, whose Hodge decomposition is given by

$$\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2} = \mathbb{C}(1, i) \oplus \mathbb{C}(1, -i).$$

The Hodge structure is polarized by the skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad ((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) \mapsto a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1,$$

and the Weil operator is easily seen to be the operator $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto (a_2, -a_1)$; note that it happens to preserve the integral structure in this case.

Now suppose that H is a polarized integral Hodge structure of odd weight $2k-1$. Let $Q: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the skew-symmetric bilinear form giving the polarization, and let $C \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the Weil operator (which now satisfies $C^2 = -\text{id}$). After taking the tensor product with the above Hodge structure of weight 1, we obtain a polarized integral Hodge structure \tilde{H} of weight $2k$ on

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

polarized by the symmetric bilinear form $\tilde{Q}((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = Q(a_1, b_2) - Q(a_2, b_1)$, and with Weil operator $\tilde{C}(a_1, a_2) = (Ca_2, -Ca_1)$. Evidently,

$$\tilde{H}_{2q}^+ = \{ (a_1, a_2) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}} \mid a_1 = Ca_2 \text{ and } Q(a_1, a_2) = q \};$$

for polarized integral variations of Hodge structure of *odd* weight, Corollary 5.2 is therefore an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

43. The remaining assertions concern variations of Hodge structure of even weight. We can deal with them by the same method, using the following Hodge structure.

Example 43.1. Consider now the symmetric square of the Hodge structure in Example 42.1. Concretely, we get an integral Hodge structure of weight 2 on the free \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$, whose Hodge decomposition is

$$\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 3} = \mathbb{C}(1, 2i, -1) \oplus \mathbb{C}(1, 0, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}(1, -2i, -1).$$

The Hodge structure is polarized by the symmetric bilinear form

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad ((a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_1, b_2, b_3)) \mapsto a_1 b_3 + a_3 b_1 - a_2 b_2,$$

and the Weil operator is easily seen to be the operator $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_3, -a_2, a_1)$, which again preserves the integral structure.

Suppose that H is a polarized integral Hodge structure of even weight $2k$, with polarization $Q: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and Weil operator $C \in \text{End}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$. After taking the tensor product with the Hodge structure in Example 43.1, we obtain a polarized integral Hodge structure \tilde{H} of weight $2k + 2$ on

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

polarized by the symmetric bilinear form

$$\tilde{Q}((a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_1, b_2, b_3)) = Q(a_1, b_3) + Q(a_3, b_1) - Q(a_2, b_2),$$

and with Weil operator $\tilde{C}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (Ca_3, -Ca_2, Ca_1)$. This time around,

$$\tilde{H}_q^+ = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}} \mid \begin{aligned} &a_1 = Ca_3, \quad Ca_2 = -a_2, \text{ and} \\ &2Q(a_1, a_3) - Q(a_2, a_2) = q \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

We now obtain Corollary 5.2 for polarized integral variations of Hodge structure of *even* weight by looking at triples of the form $(a_1, 0, a_3)$, and Corollary 5.1 by looking at triples of the form $(0, a_2, 0)$.

G. MOTIVATION FROM STRING THEORY

44. A motivation for studying the locus of self-dual integral Hodge classes stems from string theory. String theory is a candidate theory of quantum gravity that unifies Einstein's theory of general relativity and quantum field theory. Quantum consistency forces the string to travel through a higher-dimensional space-time manifold, extending beyond the four space-time dimensions that we currently observe in our universe. In prominent variants of string theory this implies that either six or eight extra dimensions need to be present. These extra dimensions are often considered to be on a tiny compact manifold. Particularly well-studied choices are Calabi-Yau manifolds, which are defined to be Kähler manifolds that admit a Ricci-flat metric. While it is not known which Calabi-Yau manifold one should pick, it has been studied intensively how the physical four-dimensional theory can be determined after making a choice.

45. We now describe one example from physics that originally suggested the result in Theorem 3.1. Let Y be a compact polarized Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension D , with $D = 3, 4$ being the cases most relevant in the string theory application. One can associate a family of manifolds Y_t to Y that is obtained by deforming its complex structure. It is shown by the Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov theorem [Tia87, Tod89] that the Kuranishi space of Y is unobstructed. Hence Y_t varies over a finite-dimensional moduli space \mathcal{M} if one demands that all Y_t are Calabi-Yau manifolds. For polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds of complex dimension D the moduli space \mathcal{M} is quasi-projective [Vie95] and of complex dimension $h^{D-1,1} = \dim H^{D-1,1}(Y)$. The existence of such a moduli space leads to several physical problems when using such Y_t as backgrounds of string theory. In particular, one finds modifications of Newton's law or Einstein's equations that are in contradiction with observations. To avoid this immediate conclusion further ingredients known as background fluxes can be introduced. These fluxes are integral classes in the cohomology of Y . Compared with the general considerations above, we thus identify $X = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is the resolution of \mathcal{M} [Hir64]. Furthermore, we set $H_{\mathbb{Z}} = H^D(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ and $Q = \int_Y v \wedge w$. Introducing a Weil operator C acting on $H^{p,q}(Y_t)$ with i^{p-q} , we define a norm $\|w\|^2 = Q(\bar{w}, Cw)$.

46. The best understood string theory settings with integral fluxes are obtained from Type IIB string theory [GVW00, GKP02]. Let us consider this ten-dimensional theory on a Calabi-Yau manifold Y of complex dimension three. In this string theory setting one is also free to choose in addition to Y two integral three-forms $F, H \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, which set the flux background. They naturally combine to a complex three-form $\mathcal{G} = F - \tau H$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. The fluxes F, H are constrained by a consistency condition

$$(46.1) \quad Q(F, H) = \ell ,$$

where ℓ is a fixed positive rational number that can be derived for a given setting. This condition is known as a tadpole cancellation condition and plays a crucial role in finding consistent solutions of string theory. Furthermore, the presence of \mathcal{G} impacts the physical four-dimensional theory by giving rise to an energy potential $V(\mathcal{G})$, which generally changes for different choices Y_t within the family. Concretely, it takes the form [GKP02]

$$(46.2) \quad V(\mathcal{G}) = \kappa \|\mathcal{G}^-\|^2 ,$$

where $C\mathcal{G}^- = -i\mathcal{G}^-$ and κ is τ -dependent but constant over \mathcal{M} . The loci in \mathcal{M} that minimize this energy potential with $\mathcal{G}^- = 0$ have been shown to be consistent background solutions of Type IIB string theory [GKP02]. It has been a long-standing question of whether or not the number of distinct H, F with $\mathcal{G}^- = 0$ and (46.1) is finite.

47. A more general setting that leads to a similar question arises in a geometric higher-dimensional version of Type IIB string theory known as F-theory [Vaf96, Den08]. In F-theory the extra dimensions are constrained to reside on an eight-dimensional compact manifold to extract a four-dimensional physical theory. The consistency equations for such twelve-dimensional string backgrounds admit solutions that are (conformal) Calabi-Yau manifolds Y of complex dimension $D = 4$ that admit a four-form flux background. Let us consider $v \in H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ and assume that v is primitive with respect to the Kähler form J of Y . The condition (46.1)

generalizes to $Q(v, v) = \ell$, which is the consistency condition every solution to F-theory with a compact Y has to satisfy. A non-trivial v induces again an energy potential

$$(47.1) \quad V(v) = \lambda \|v_-\|^2,$$

where $Cv_- = -v_-$ and λ is a constant. $V(v)$ changes in the family Y_t and hence is a function on the moduli space \mathcal{M} . The self-dual loci in \mathcal{M} are by definition those that satisfy $Cv = v$ and hence minimize $V(v)$. They comprise consistent solutions to F-theory and are of central interest to some of the most prominent scenarios on realizing our four-dimensional universe in string theory. Each choice of v satisfying these consistency conditions can imply different values for physical observables. It is thus of profound importance to know if there are infinitely many choices for v .

48. Finiteness statements about the set of self-dual $v \in H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ with $Q(v, v) = \ell$ have been conjectured in [Dou03, AD06]. In order to provide evidence for these statements and to estimate the number of distinct solutions it was suggested in [AD04, DD04] to introduce a critical point density on the moduli space. Mathematically rigorous proofs on estimating this density were given in [DSZ04, DSZ06a, DSZ06b]. Strong finiteness results have been shown in [DL06, DL13] for a certain index counting solutions to the self-duality relations for Calabi-Yau manifolds by applying a Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem on the moduli space. In this work we have given an affirmative answer to the finiteness conjectures without using a density function. We have also shown that in the complex structure moduli space there is no need to introduce a refined notion of physically distinct vacua to ensure finiteness as suggested in [AD06]. The finiteness statement is centrally based on the definability of the period mapping which suffices to exclude the pathological examples discussed in [AD06]. In dimension 1, it is possible to prove Theorem 3.1 along the lines of [CDK95], by using more details about the $SL(2)$ -orbit theorem [Sch20], see also [Gri21] for a sketch of the argument. In higher dimensions, this kind of argument looks completely infeasible.

REFERENCES

- [AD04] Sujay Ashok and Michael R. Douglas, *Counting flux vacua*, JHEP **01** (2004), 060.
- [AD06] Bobby S. Acharya and Michael R. Douglas, *A finite landscape?*, 2006, [arXiv:hep-th/0606212](#).
- [BBKT20] Benjamin Bakker, Yohan Brunebarbe, Bruno Klingler, and Jacob Tsimerman, *Definability of mixed period maps*, 2020, [arXiv:2006.12403](#).
- [BHC62] Armand Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 485–535. MR 147566
- [BJ06] Armand Borel and Lizhen Ji, *Compactifications of locally symmetric spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), no. 2, 263–317. MR 2226955
- [BKT20] Benjamin Bakker, Bruno Klingler, and Jacob Tsimerman, *Tame topology of arithmetic quotients and algebraicity of Hodge loci*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (2020), no. 4, 917–939. MR 4155216
- [BL18] Benjamin Bakker and Christian Lehn, *The global moduli theory of symplectic varieties*, [arXiv:1812.09748](#) (2018).
- [BM21] Benjamin Bakker and Scott Mullane, *Definable structures on flat bundles*, in preparation (2021).
- [Bor19] Armand Borel, *Introduction to arithmetic groups*, University Lecture Series, vol. 73, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019, Translated from the 1969 French original [MR0244260] by Lam Laurent Pham, Edited and with a preface by Dave Witte Morris. MR 3970984

- [BS73] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Corners and arithmetic groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 436–491. MR 387495
- [CDK95] Eduardo Cattani, Pierre Deligne, and Aroldo Kaplan, *On the locus of Hodge classes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), no. 2, 483–506. MR 1273413 (95e:14003)
- [CK89] Eduardo Cattani and Aroldo Kaplan, *Degenerating variations of Hodge structure*, Astérisque (1989), no. 179-180, 9, 67–96, Actes du Colloque de Théorie de Hodge (Luminy, 1987). MR 1042802 (91k:32019)
- [CKS86] Eduardo Cattani, Aroldo Kaplan, and Wilfried Schmid, *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no. 3, 457–535. MR 840721 (88a:32029)
- [DD04] Frederik Denef and Michael R. Douglas, *Distributions of flux vacua*, JHEP **05** (2004), 072.
- [Del70] Pierre Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970. MR 0417174 (54 #5232)
- [Den08] Frederik Denef, *Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua*, Les Houches **87** (2008), 483–610.
- [DL06] Michael R. Douglas and Zhiqin Lu, *On the geometry of moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, 3 2006, [arXiv:math/0603414](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603414).
- [DL13] ———, *Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem on moduli space*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), 469–511.
- [Dou03] Michael R. Douglas, *The Statistics of string / M theory vacua*, JHEP **05** (2003), 046.
- [DSZ04] Michael R. Douglas, Bernard Shiffman, and Steve Zelditch, *Critical points and supersymmetric vacua*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252** (2004), 325–358.
- [DSZ06a] ———, *Critical points and supersymmetric vacua, II: Asymptotics and extremal metrics*, J. Diff. Geom. **72** (2006), no. 3, 381–427.
- [DSZ06b] ———, *Critical points and supersymmetric vacua. III. String/M models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **265** (2006), 617–671.
- [GKP02] Steven B. Giddings, Shamit Kachru, and Joseph Polchinski, *Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications*, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002), 106006.
- [Gri21] Thomas W. Grimm, *Moduli space holography and the finiteness of flux vacua*, JHEP **10** (2021), 153.
- [GVW00] Sergei Gukov, Cumrun Vafa, and Edward Witten, *CFT’s from Calabi-Yau four folds*, Nucl. Phys. B **584** (2000), 69–108, [Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 608, 477–478 (2001)].
- [Hir64] Heisuke Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic 0*, Ann. of Math.,79:109–326, 1964.
- [Kli90] Helmut Klingen, *Introductory lectures on Siegel modular forms*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR 1046630
- [Kne02] Martin Kneser, *Quadratische Formen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Revised and edited in collaboration with Rudolf Scharlau. MR 2788987
- [Orr18] Martin Orr, *Height bounds and the Siegel property*, Algebra Number Theory **12** (2018), no. 2, 455–478. MR 3803710
- [OS21] Martin Orr and Christian Schnell, *Correction to “Height bounds and the Siegel property”*, Algebra Number Theory, to appear (2021).
- [Sch73] Wilfried Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319. MR 0382272 (52 #3157)
- [Sch20] Christian Schnell, Personal letter to Thomas Grimm, dated March 4, 2020.
- [Tia87] Gang Tian, *Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric*, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., vol. 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987, pp. 629–646. MR 915841
- [Tod89] Andrey N. Todorov, *The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds. I*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **126** (1989), no. 2, 325 – 346.
- [Vaf96] Cumrun Vafa, *Evidence for F theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **469** (1996), 403–418.
- [vdD98] Lou van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 248, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 1633348

- [vdDM96] Lou van den Dries and Chris Miller, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 2, 497–540. MR 1404337
- [Ver15] Misha Verbitsky, *Ergodic complex structures on hyperkähler manifolds*, Acta Math. **215** (2015), no. 1, 161–182. MR 3413979
- [Ver17] ———, *Ergodic complex structures on hyperkähler manifolds: an erratum*.
- [Vie95] Eckart Viehweg, *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [Wil96] A. J. Wilkie, *Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 4, 1051–1094. MR 1398816

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
AT CHICAGO, 851 S. MORGAN ST., CHICAGO, IL 60607
Email address: bakker.uic@gmail.com

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, PRINCETONPLEIN 5, 3584 CE
UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS
Email address: t.w.grimm@uu.nl

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, STONY BROOK, NY 11794
Email address: christian.schnell@stonybrook.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 215 HURON STREET, TORONTO,
CANADA
Email address: jacobt@math.toronto.edu