

---

# SEMANTIC ANSWER TYPE AND RELATION PREDICTION TASK (SMART 2021)

---

**Nandana Mihindukulasooriya**  
IBM Research AI  
nandana.m@ibm.com

**Mohnish Dubey**  
University of Bonn  
and Fraunhofer IAIS  
dubey@cs.uni-bonn.de

**Alfio Gliozzo**  
IBM Research AI  
gliozzo@us.ibm.com

**Jens Lehmann**  
University of Bonn and Fraunhofer IAIS  
jens.lehmann@cs.uni-bonn.de

**Axel-Cyrille Ngonga Ngomo**  
Universität Paderborn  
axel.ngonga@upb.de

**Ricardo Usbeck**  
Conversational AI, Fraunhofer IAIS Dresden  
ricardo.usbeck@iaais.fraunhofer.de

**Gaetano Rossiello**  
IBM Research, USA  
gaetano.rossiello@ibm.com

**Uttam Kumar**  
University of Bonn, Germany  
uttam1216@gmail.com

January 11, 2022

## ABSTRACT

Each year the International Semantic Web Conference organises a set of Semantic Web Challenges to establish competitions that will advance state of the art solutions in some problem domains. The *Semantic Answer Type and Relation Prediction Task* (SMART) task is one of the ISWC 2021 Semantic Web challenges. This is the second year of the challenge after a successful SMART 2020 at ISWC 2020. This year's version focuses on two sub-tasks that are very important to Knowledge Base Question Answering (KBQA): Answer Type Prediction and Relation Prediction. Question type and answer type prediction can play a key role in knowledge base question answering systems providing insights about the expected answer that are helpful to generate correct queries or rank the answer candidates. More concretely, given a question in natural language, the first task is, to predict the answer type using a target ontology (e.g., *DBpedia* or *Wikidata*). Similarly, the second task is to identify relations in the natural language query and link them to the relations in a target ontology. This paper discusses the task descriptions, benchmark datasets, and evaluation metrics. More information, please visit <https://smart-task.github.io/2021/>.

**Keywords** Answer Type Prediction · Relation Linking · Question Answering · ISWC Semantic Web Challenge

## 1 Background

Question Answering (QA) is a popular task in Natural Language Processing and Information Retrieval. The goal of a QA engine is commonly to answer a natural language question based on information contained in (a potentially predefined set of) datasets. An instantiation of the QA task is reading comprehension, in which the expected answers can be either a segment of text or span from the corresponding reading passage of text. In contrast, Knowledge Graph Question Answering (KGQA), the answer is extracted from a structured knowledge graph using a query. Typically, these knowledge graphs are in RDF and the queries are formulated using the SPARQL query language.

In KGQA, the expected answer can either be (1) a set of entities or literals from a given knowledge graph  $G$  or (2) derived from an aggregation of facts found in  $G$ . Many available datasets for the KGQA task originate from the ISWC community (e.g., QALD [Ngomo, 2018], LC-QuAD 1.0 [Trivedi et al., 2017], LC-QuAD 2.0 [Dubey et al., 2019], SimpleQuestions-DBpedia [Azmy et al., 2018], SimpleQuestions-Wikidata [Diefenbach et al., 2017]).

In KGQA, given a natural language query, the final goal is to formulate a logical query that can generate the answer to the questions. In SMART 2021, we will focus on two sub-tasks that are relevant to KBQA: (a) answer type prediction, and (b) relation prediction. SMART 2021 is the second edition of the SMART task challenge (<https://smart-task.github.io/>). Its first incarnation happened at ISWC 2020 with 11 submitting systems in total, advancing the state of the art of answer type classification. The proceedings of the first edition is published in CEUR [Mihindukulasooriya et al., 2020a] which consists of 8 submissions [Perevalov and Both, 2020, Setty and Balog, 2020, Nikas et al., 2020, Steinmetz and Sattler, 2020, Ammar et al., 2020, Kertkeidkachorn et al., 2020, Vallurupalli et al., 2020, Bill and Jiménez-Ruiz, 2020].

Classifying a question’s answer type plays a key role in KGQA [Harabagiu et al., 2000, Allam and Haggag, 2012]. The questions themselves can be generally classified based on Wh-terms (*Who, What, When, Where, Which, Whom, Whose, Why*). The answer type classification is the task of determining the type of the expected answer based on the query. In literature, such answer type classifications is performed as a short-text classification task using a set of coarse-grained types, with either 6 types [Zhao et al., 2015, Zhou et al., 2015] or fine-grained with 50 types [Li and Roth, 2006] like in the TREC QA task<sup>1</sup>. We propose that a more granular answer type classification is possible and usefule using popular Semantic Web ontologies such as DBpedia and Wikidata.

In addition to answer type prediction task which we also had last year, we will introduce the subtask of relation prediction [Rossiello et al., 2021, Naseem et al., 2021, Dubey et al., 2018, Mihindukulasooriya et al., 2020b, Sakor et al., 2020, Lin et al., 2020, Sakor et al., 2019, Pan et al., 2019] as another step towards a functional KGQA system. This decision is also based on last year’s systems performances and their implications. Relation prediction is a vital step towards formulating the correct query to extract the answer from the knowledge base. A question can contain one or more relations from an ontology connecting the entities and classes directly or indirectly mentioned in the question [Usbeck et al., 2019]. Participants will be able to choose to participate in one or both tasks using their systems. The two tasks will maintain two leaderboards.

## 2 Task Description

### 2.1 Answer Type Prediction

Given a natural language question, the task is to produce a ranked list of answer types of a given target ontology. Currently, the target ontology could be either *DBpedia* or *Wikidata*. Table 1 illustrates some examples. The participating systems can be either supervised (training data is provided) or unsupervised.

Table 1: Example questions and answer types

Question	Answer Type	
	DBpedia	Wikidata
Give me all actors starring in movies directed by and starring William Shatner.	dbo:Actor	wd:Q33999
Who is the heaviest player of the Chicago Bulls?	dbo:BasketballPlayer	wd:Q3665646
How many employees does Google have?	xsd:integer	xsd:integer

### 2.2 Relation Prediction

The second task is similar to the answer type prediction task. Here, the task is predict a set of relations from a given natural language question based on the underlying knowledge graph. The knowledge graph is either *DBpedia* or *Wikidata*. Table 2 illustrates some examples. The participating systems can be either supervised (training data is provided) or unsupervised. Note, an answer span detection is not needed nor do implicate relations from general ontologies such as *rdf:type* or *rdfs:label* need to be detected.

## 3 Datasets

Rather than building a benchmark from scratch, several datasets for semantic answer type prediction are created from existing academic benchmarks for Knowledge Graph Question Answering. For creating the gold standards, we have used QALD-9 [Ngomo, 2018], LC-QuAD v1.0 [Trivedi et al., 2017], and LC-QuAD v2.0 [Dubey et al., 2019] datasets. To overcome the problem of repeating last year’s data, we have re-split the datasets and added new

<sup>1</sup><https://trec.nist.gov/data/qamain.html>

Table 2: Example questions and relations

Question	Relations	
	DBpedia	Wikidata
Give me all actors starring in movies directed by and starring William Shatner.	dbo:starring, dbo:director	wdt:P161, wdt:P57
Which programming languages were influenced by Perl?	dbo:influencedBy	wdt:P737
How many employees does Google have?	dbo:numberOfEmployees	wdt:P1128

datasets. Tentatively, we are planing to add questions from RuBQ [Rybin et al., 2021], SimpleQuestionsWiki-data [Diefenbach et al., 2017], SimpleDBpediaQA [Azmy et al., 2018], WebQSP-WD [Sorokin and Gurevych, 2018], and Complex Sequential Question Answering [Saha et al., 2018] datasets. Additionally, we have added a certain amount of honey pot questions to avoid cheating.

**Answer Type Prediction:** Each of these datasets has a natural language query and a corresponding SPARQL query. We used the gold standard SPARQL query to generate results and analyze them to generate an initial answer type for each query and manually validated them. Table3

Table 3: Answer Type Prediction Datasets

Dataset	Train	Test	Total
SMART2021-AT-DBpedia	40,621	10,093	50,714
SMART2021-AT-Wikidata	43,604	10,864	54,468

**Relation Prediction:** Similar to the answer type prediction task, for the relation prediction task, we extracted the corresponding relations from the given SPARQL queries. The datasets have natural language queries and their corresponding SPARQL queries. Each SPARQL query has a set of triple patterns, each containing a relation. For each natural language questions, those relations are considered as the gold standard relations.

Table 4: Relation Prediction Datasets

Dataset	Train	Test	Total
SMART2021-RL-DBpedia	34,204	8,552	42,756
SMART2021-RL-Wikidata	24,112	6,029	30,141

## 4 Evaluation metrics and software

The systems can participate in one or both tasks in one or both datasets. The are expected to provide a list of answer types or/and a set of relations from the target ontology for each natural language question. The answer types will be evaluated using the metrics: *Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR)*, *Hits @ 1/5/10*, and *Macro F1*. The systems will be ranked based on F1. The relations will be evaluated using *Precision*, *Recall*, *Micro F1* and *Macro F1* and ranked according to the latter metric.

We provide evaluation scripts<sup>2</sup> (in Python) for each task.

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/smart-task/smart-2021-dataset/tree/main/evaluation>

## References

- [Allam and Haggag, 2012] Allam, A. M. N. and Haggag, M. H. (2012). The Question Answering Systems: A Survey. *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Information Sciences (IJRRIS)*, 2(3).
- [Ammar et al., 2020] Ammar, A., Mehryar, S., and Celebi, R. (2020). A methodology for hierarchical classification of semantic answer types of questions. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic Answer Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 41–48.
- [Azmy et al., 2018] Azmy, M., Shi, P., Lin, J., and Ilyas, I. (2018). Farewell freebase: Migrating the Simple Questions dataset to DBpedia. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 2093–2103.
- [Bill and Jiménez-Ruiz, 2020] Bill, E. and Jiménez-Ruiz, E. (2020). Question embeddings for semantic answer type prediction. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic Answer Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 71–80.
- [Diefenbach et al., 2017] Diefenbach, D., Tanon, T. P., Singh, K. D., and Maret, P. (2017). Question answering benchmarks for wikidata. In *Proceedings of the ISWC 2017 Posters & Demonstrations and Industry Tracks co-located with 16th International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2017), Vienna, Austria, October 23rd - to - 25th, 2017*.
- [Dubey et al., 2019] Dubey, M., Banerjee, D., Abdelkawi, A., and Lehmann, J. (2019). LC-QuAD 2.0: A Large Dataset for Complex Question Answering over Wikidata and DBpedia. In *International Semantic Web Conference*, pages 69–78. Springer.
- [Dubey et al., 2018] Dubey, M., Banerjee, D., Chaudhuri, D., and Lehmann, J. (2018). Earl: joint entity and relation linking for question answering over knowledge graphs. In *ISWC2018*, pages 108–126.
- [Harabagiu et al., 2000] Harabagiu, S., Moldovan, D., Pasca, M., Mihalcea, R., Surdeanu, M., Bunescu, R., Girju, R., Rus, V., and Morarescu, P. (2000). Falcon: Boosting knowledge for answer engines. In *TREC*, volume 9, pages 479–488.
- [Kertkeidkachorn et al., 2020] Kertkeidkachorn, N., Nararatwong, R., Nguyen, P., Yamada, I., Takeda, H., and Ichise, R. (2020). Hierarchical contextualized representation models for answer type prediction. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic Answer Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 49–56.
- [Li and Roth, 2006] Li, X. and Roth, D. (2006). Learning Question Classifiers: the Role of Semantic Information. *Natural Language Engineering*, 12(3):229–249.
- [Lin et al., 2020] Lin, X., Li, H., Xin, H., Li, Z., and Chen, L. (2020). Kbppearl: a knowledge base population system supported by joint entity and relation linking. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 13(7):1035–1049.
- [Mihindukulasooriya et al., 2020a] Mihindukulasooriya, N., Dubey, M., Gliozzo, A., Lehmann, J., Ngomo, A. N., and Usbeck, R., editors (2020a). *Proceedings of the SeMantic Answer Type prediction task (SMART) at ISWC 2020 Semantic Web Challenge co-located with the 19th International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2020), Virtual Conference, November 5th, 2020*, volume 2774 of *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*. CEUR-WS.org.
- [Mihindukulasooriya et al., 2020b] Mihindukulasooriya, N., Rossiello, G., Kapanipathi, P., Abdelaziz, I., Ravishankar, S., Yu, M., Gliozzo, A., Roukos, S., and Gray, A. (2020b). Leveraging semantic parsing for relation linking over knowledge bases. In *International Semantic Web Conference*, pages 402–419. Springer.
- [Naseem et al., 2021] Naseem, T., Ravishankar, S., Mihindukulasooriya, N., Abdelaziz, I., Lee, Y.-S., Kapanipathi, P., Roukos, S., Gliozzo, A., and Gray, A. (2021). A semantics-aware transformer model of relation linking for knowledge base question answering. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 256–262.
- [Ngomo, 2018] Ngomo, N. (2018). 9th Challenge on Question Answering over Linked Data (QALD-9). *language*, 7(1).
- [Nikas et al., 2020] Nikas, C., Fafalios, P., and Tzitzikas, Y. (2020). Two-stage semantic answer type prediction for question answering using bert and class-specificity rewarding. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic Answer Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 19–28.
- [Pan et al., 2019] Pan, J. Z., Zhang, M., Singh, K., van Harmelen, F., Gu, J., and Zhang, Z. (2019). Entity enabled relation linking. In *International Semantic Web Conference*, pages 523–538. Springer.
- [Perevalov and Both, 2020] Perevalov, A. and Both, A. (2020). Augmentation-based answer type classification of the smart dataset. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic Answer Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 1–9.

- [Rossiello et al., 2021] Rossiello, G., Mihindukulasooriya, N., Abdelaziz, I., Bornea, M., Gliozzo, A., Naseem, T., and Kapanipathi, P. (2021). Generative relation linking for question answering over knowledge bases. In *International Semantic Web Conference*, pages 321–337. Springer.
- [Rybin et al., 2021] Rybin, I., Korablinov, V., Efimov, P., and Braslavski, P. (2021). RuBQ 2.0: An Innovated Russian Question Answering Dataset. *ESWC 2021*.
- [Saha et al., 2018] Saha, A., Pahuja, V., Khapra, M. M., Sankaranarayanan, K., and Chandar, S. (2018). Complex sequential question answering: Towards learning to converse over linked question answer pairs with a knowledge graph.
- [Sakor et al., 2019] Sakor, A., Mulang, I. O., Singh, K., Shekarpour, S., Vidal, M. E., Lehmann, J., and Auer, S. (2019). Old is gold: linguistic driven approach for entity and relation linking of short text. In *NAACL: HLT 2019*, pages 2336–2346.
- [Sakor et al., 2020] Sakor, A., Singh, K., Patel, A., and Vidal, M.-E. (2020). Falcon 2.0: An entity and relation linking tool over wikidata. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 3141–3148.
- [Setty and Balog, 2020] Setty, V. and Balog, K. (2020). Semantic answer type prediction using bert: Iai at the iswc smart task 2020. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic AnswER Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 10–18.
- [Sorokin and Gurevych, 2018] Sorokin, D. and Gurevych, I. (2018). Modeling semantics with gated graph neural networks for knowledge base question answering. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 3306–3317. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Steinmetz and Sattler, 2020] Steinmetz, N. and Sattler, K.-U. (2020). Coala-a rule-based approach to answer type prediction. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic AnswER Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 29–40.
- [Trivedi et al., 2017] Trivedi, P., Maheshwari, G., Dubey, M., and Lehmann, J. (2017). LC-QuAD: A corpus for complex question answering over knowledge graphs. In *International Semantic Web Conference*, pages 210–218. Springer.
- [Usbeck et al., 2019] Usbeck, R., Röder, M., Hoffmann, M., Conrads, F., Huthmann, J., Ngomo, A. N., Demmler, C., and Unger, C. (2019). Benchmarking question answering systems. *Semantic Web*, 10(2):293–304.
- [Vallurupalli et al., 2020] Vallurupalli, S., Sleeman, J., Finin, T., et al. (2020). Fine and ultra-fine entity type embeddings for question answering. In *Proceedings of the SeMantic AnswER Type prediction task (SMART 2020)*, pages 57–70.
- [Zhao et al., 2015] Zhao, H., Lu, Z., and Poupart, P. (2015). Self-adaptive hierarchical sentence model. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [Zhou et al., 2015] Zhou, C., Sun, C., Liu, Z., and Lau, F. (2015). A C-LSTM neural network for text classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08630*.

This figure "test.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2112.07606v2>