

Evolution and trade-off dynamics of functional load

Erich Round, Rikker Dockum and Robin J. Ryder

December 2021

Abstract

Function Load (FL) quantifies the contributions by phonological contrasts to distinctions made across the lexicon. Previous research has linked particularly low values of FL to sound change. Here we broaden the scope of enquiry into FL, to its evolution at all values. We apply phylogenetic methods to examine the diachronic evolution of FL across 90 languages of the Pama-Nyungan (PN) family of Australia. We find a high degree of phylogenetic signal in FL. Though phylogenetic signal has been reported for phonological structures, such as phonotactics, its detection in measures of phonological function is novel. We also find a significant, negative correlation between the FL of vowel length and of the following consonant, that is, a deep-time historical trade-off dynamic, which we relate to known allophony in modern PN languages and compensatory sound changes in their past. The finding reveals a historical dynamic, similar to transphonologization, which we characterize as a *flow* of contrastiveness between subsystems of the phonology. Recurring across a language family which spans a whole continent and many millennia of time depth, our finding provides one of the most compelling examples yet of Sapir’s ‘drift’ hypothesis, of non-accidentally parallel development in historically related languages.

Keywords: functional load; linguistics; phonology; phylogenetic signal; phylogenetic correlation; sound change; Pama-Nyungan; transphonologization; parallel evolution; Sapir’s drift

1 Introduction

Function Load (FL) quantifies the contribution of specific phonological contrasts to distinctions made in the lexicon of a language [1, 2, 3]. In English for example, the phonemes /t/ and /d/ contrast, and thus there exist phonological strings in English, including whole words, which differ only by virtue of one containing /t/ in a position where the other contains /d/. Examples include *time/dime*, *welter/welder* and *hit/hid*. At a conceptual level, the FL of the {/t/,/d/} contrast in English is the degree to which distinctions in the English lexicon are enabled by that contrast,

or conversely, the degree to which they would be conflated if /t/ and /d/ were merged into a single category.

A classic operationalization of FL by Hockett [2] is in terms of entropy [4]. Hockett’s definition makes reference to domains, \mathcal{D} , which could be words, morphemes, syllables, or any kind of substring composed of phonemes. In a language \mathcal{L} , the lexicon Λ will contain a set $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D},\Lambda}$ of unique phonological string types, s , which comprise a domain of type \mathcal{D} . The entropy of domain \mathcal{D} in lexicon Λ is:

$$H_{\mathcal{D},\Lambda} = - \sum_{s \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D},\Lambda}} P(s) \cdot \log_2 P(s) \quad (1)$$

The FL of a phonological contrast ϕ in lexicon Λ and domain \mathcal{D} is the difference between two entropy measures: the entropy of domain \mathcal{D} in lexicon Λ , and of domain \mathcal{D} in an altered lexicon Λ'_{ϕ} , created by collapsing the contrast ϕ in Λ :

$$f(\mathcal{D}, \Lambda, \phi) = H_{\mathcal{D},\Lambda} - H_{\mathcal{D},\Lambda'_{\phi}} \quad (2)$$

A phonological contrast, ϕ , may refer to distinctions between the members of a single set of phonemes, such as between two phonemes {/t/, /d/} or between four phonemes {/t/, /d/, /s/, /z/}. Alternatively, it may refer to a collection of multiple, parallel distinctions, defined by a collection of sets and the distinctions within each of them. For instance, a contrast between voiced and voiceless stop consonants could refer to the distinctions within each of the three sets in the collection {{/p/, /b/}, {/t/, /d/}, {/k/, /g/}} and a contrast between the places of articulation of stop consonants could refer to the distinctions within each of the two sets in the collection {{/p/, /t/, /k/}, {/b/, /d/, /g/}}. In all cases, the altered lexicon Λ'_{ϕ} is obtained by taking each individual set and replacing the phonemes within it with a single phonemic symbol which is distinct from all other phonemic symbols in \mathcal{L} .

1.1 Functional Load and sound change

Languages undergo mutational changes, known as sound change, in which sounds may change from one phonemic category to another [5]. The term *unconditioned merger* refers to sound changes which cause two or more previously distinct phonemic categories to become conflated into one. Conditioned mergers are when conflation affects the phonemes only in certain contexts. FL has attracted attention as a possible explanatory factor in the incidence of mergers, with recent evidence supporting a much older conjecture that contrasts with low FL are more prone to merge than contrasts with high FL [1, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Debate is ongoing over which operationalizations of FL provide the greatest predictive power [8] and what kinds of mergers FL predicts [10]. Whether it has used entropy-based definitions of FL or not, most research to date has focused on domains \mathcal{D} that are words.

When a contrast undergoes unconditional merger, its FL falls to zero. The fact that all else equal, contrasts with low FL values are more likely to fall to zero than contrasts with higher FL values, can be viewed as an

expected outcome of any system in which FL evolves over time according to a stochastic process in which small changes are more likely than large ones. Such a system leads to other predictions too. A novel contribution of this study is to test such predictions, and thus to broaden the scope of research into FL.

Here we examine FL from a phylogenetic perspective, investigating how FL evolves over time in the large, Pama-Nyungan (PN) language family of Australia. PN languages extend across 90% of the Australian mainland and the time depth of the family is estimated at around 5–6,000 years Before Present [11, 12, 13]. In Study 1, we apply standard techniques to show that FL contains significant phylogenetic signal, which is to say, the variance in the FL data across the PN language family is consistent with the hypothesis that FL has evolved along the PN tree according to stochastic process that is well approximated by a Brownian motion.

1.2 Contrastiveness in Pama-Nyungan VC strings

In many PN languages, an inverse correlation has been observed between phonemic vowel length and the phonetic duration of a following consonant [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. This is particularly so for vowels in the first syllable of words, referred to as tonic vowels. Here we focus on tonic vowels and single, intervocalic consonants which follow them. Post-tonic single consonants which follow phonemically short vowels and which have phonetically longer durations in some language also exhibit additional phonetic properties associated with long duration, such as more complete closure and passive devoicing of stops, and pre-stopping of nasals and laterals. Conversely, post-tonic single consonants which follow phonemically long vowels and which have phonetically shorter durations may exhibit more voicing and lenition of stops, and the absence of pre-stopping in laterals and nasals [19, 14, 15, 20, 21]. Examples of allophonic conditioning of this kind are cited in Table 1.

Table 1: Examples of allophony in post-tonic consonants, conditioned by phonemic length of the tonic vowel. Key: V_ after phonemically short vowel, VV_ after phonemically long vowel. See references for additional details in the conditioning of allophony.

Language (subgroup)	Consonants	V_	VV_
Djambarrpuyngu (Yolngu) [18]	Consonants	longer	shorter
Wik (Middle Paman) [22]	Stops	tenser	laxer
Kugu Nganhcara (Middle Paman) [23]	Voiced stops	stop	fricative
Nukunu (Thura-Yura) [24]	Nasals, Laterals	prestopped	plain
Yadhaykenu (Nothern Paman) [25]	Laterals	plain	flapped

A general fact about sound change is that when two phonemes merge, it is possible for phonetic correlates of the original contrast, which are manifested in other segments, to remain in place and become contrastive. This has occurred in multiple branches of PN, as phonemic vowel length

is lost while its erstwhile phonetic correlates on the following consonant remain and become distinctive. Examples are cited in Table 2.

Table 2: Examples of post-tonic consonant contrasts created upon the loss of length distinctions in tonic vowels of Pama-Nyungan languages. Key: T short stop, TT long stop, D voiced stop, Z spirant, N nasal, NN long nasal, DN prestopped nasal, ND nasal+stop, L lateral, DL prestopped lateral, V₋ after erstwhile short vowel, VV₋ after erstwhile long vowel. See references for additional details and conditioning of the tabulated sound changes.

Language (subgroup)	Original C	V ₋	VV ₋
Warumungu (Warunmungic) [26]	T	TT	T
Wik-Muminh (Middle Paman) [22]	T (non-apical)	T	D
Northern Paman subgroup [27, 28, 25]	T (non-apical)	T	Z
Lamalama, Umbuygamu (Lamalamic) [29]	/k/	/k/	/h/
Kugu Mumminh (Middle Paman) [26]	N	NN	N
Arandic subgroup [30, 31]	N	DN	N
Walangama (Norman Paman) [32]	N	DN	N
Olgolo (Southwest Paman) [33]	N	DN	N
Lamalama (Lamalamic) [29]	N	ND	N
Rimanggudinhma (Lamalamic) [29]	N	D	N
Thura-Yura subgroup [24]	L	DL	L

In the cases cited in Table 2, the complete loss of vowel length is associated with an increase in contrastiveness in following consonants. In such cases, the FL of the vowel length contrast falls (to zero) while the FL of the following consonant position rises. Consequently, there is a trade-off relationship between FL_V , the FL of vowel length, and FL_C , the FL of the manner of articulation (included voicing and fortition) of the following consonant. This trade-off is of a very specific kind, in which the complete merger of all short/long vowel pairs reduces FL_V to zero. We will refer to this as a *trade-off with contrast collapse*. Other trade-offs are possible, however. If a length contrast is lost only in certain vowels, and/or only in certain contexts, then FL_V would fall (though not to zero) and in such cases, FL_C could be expected to rise if consonants become more contrastive when they follow the vowels which do merge. This scenario would give rise to a second kind of trade-off. We will refer to this second kind as a *trade-off with contrast maintenance*.

In Study 2, we test the hypothesis that FL_V and FL_C are negatively correlated in PN. Recall that FL_C relates to post-tonic consonants and is defined in terms of their manner of articulation. We expect FL_C to correlate negatively with FL_V for the phonetic and historical reasons introduced above. We also examine FL_P , the FL of post-tonic consonants' place of articulation. Because research on PN languages has identified no particular association between vowel length and consonant place, our hypothesis is that FL_P will show no significant correlation with FL_V .

2.2 Phylogenetic analysis

Because languages are related to one another, it is not statistically valid to treat cross-linguistic observations as independent [38]. Quantitative phylogenetic methods [39] take phylogenetic relatedness into account in a principled and statistically sound manner. Our two studies use phylogenetic techniques in order to make valid inferences from the cross-linguistic FL data in PN.

Study 1 assesses the degree of phylogenetic signal in FL_V , FL_C and FL_P . Phylogenetic signal is a measure of genealogical structuration in the variance in the data. Here we measure phylogenetic signal using a widely employed statistic, Blomberg’s K [40, 34]. Intuitively, Blomberg’s K measures how well the observed distribution of FL values accords with the hypothesis that they have evolved along the PN family tree according to a stochastic, Brownian motion process.

To calculate phylogenetic signal, reference must be made to a PN family tree, however in linguistics there is uncertainty about the details of this tree. Here we employ a standard approach to accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty of this kind, by measuring phylogenetic signal with respect to not one tree, but a sample of 1000 highly-likely family trees [41]. This generates 1000 estimates of K , providing a distribution describing its likely value. Blomberg’s K takes a value of 1 if the data accord perfectly with the reference tree and a minimum value of 0 if the data is perfectly randomly distributed. Values in excess of 1 are possible if FL data values are highly clumped within subgroups of the family.

Study 2 examines the phylogenetic Pearson’s correlation [42] between FL_V and FL_C and between FL_V and FL_P . This test is conceptually parallel to a regular Pearson’s correlation, but also takes into account the specific kinds of non-independence caused by genealogical relationships between the languages. As with our estimate of phylogenetic signal, we take into account phylogenetic uncertainty by performing the correlation test in reference to the sample of 1000 highly-likely trees.

We used 1000 dated phylogenetic trees from the posterior distribution provided by Bowerman [41]; these trees were reconstructed using cognate data. We assume that (FL_V, FL_C, FL_P) evolves along a phylogeny following a Brownian motion. We used the `phytools` R package [43] to estimate the covariance matrix of the Brownian motion on each tree in the sample, giving a sample from the posterior distribution of Pearson’s r correlation. The p -values reported were computed using the posterior mean estimates.

3 Results

Phylogenetic signal as measured by Blomberg’s K was very close to 1 for FL_V , FL_C and FL_P , as shown in Table 3. To place these K values in context, Macklin-Cordes et al. [34] examine the lexical Markov chain transition probabilities of biphones (two-segment sequences) in PN and find mean K values of 0.54, or mean K of 0.59 when segments were binned into groups by place or manner of articulation; Macklin-Cordes and Round

[38] examine relative frequencies of dental versus palatal consonants in word initial and intervocalic positions in PN and find mean K values from 0.78 to 1.32 word-initially and from 0.34 to 0.70 intervocalically; Dockum [44] examines phoneme frequencies and biphone Markov chain transition probabilities in languages of the Tai family and finds mean K of 0.71 and 0.68 respectively. Further afield, Blomberg et al. [40] examined 121 biological traits of a wide variety of plant and animal organisms, finding mean K of 0.35 for behavioral traits, 0.54 for physiology and 0.83 for traits related to body size. Taken in this context, our results suggest that the evolution of FL is very well modelled by a stochastic, Brownian motion process along the PN tree.

Table 3: Phylogenetic signal in FL_V , FL_C and FL_P , measured using Blomberg’s K and a sample of 1000 reference PN trees.

Functional load measure	mean K	std.dev of K
FL of tonic vowel length (FL_V)	0.972	0.036
FL of post-tonic consonant manner (FL_C)	0.956	0.038
FL of post-tonic consonant place (FL_P)	0.960	0.030

One consequence of the high levels of phylogenetic signal found in FL, is that statistical analysis, such as the measurement of correlations, should be carried out using phylogenetic comparative methods [38]. Phylogenetic Pearson’s correlation (Table 4) was significant and negative between FL_V and FL_C , but did not reach significance between FL_V and FL_P , in accordance with our hypotheses.

Table 4: Phylogenetic Pearson’s correlation between FL_V and FL_C and FL_P .

Functional load measures	r	95% interval	p
FL_V versus FL_C	-0.28	[-0.31 - 0.26]	0.006
FL_V versus FL_P	0.03	[0.001 0.055]	0.778

4 Discussion

Our study examines language diachrony at a statistical level. By doing so, it contributes to a more precise, quantitative characterization of diachronic typology. Specifically, here we have studied the historical dynamics of FL, which is a quantitative characterization of the contribution of specific contrasts to distinctiveness in the lexicon. We have established the potential for these contributions to be correlated with one another, evolving in a statistically non-independent fashion across almost a hundred languages and thousands of years of history. Moreover, we have demonstrated that all values of FL, not only those close to zero, exhibit interesting historical dynamics deserving of more investigation. In this discussion section we select three points that warrant additional emphasis.

4.1 High degree of phylogenetic signal in FL

Phylogenetic signal has recently been shown to be present in phonotactic biphoneme frequencies, phoneme frequencies, and contextual ratios of places of articulation [45, 44, 46, 34, 38]. These studies reveal that the frequencies of phonological *structures* pattern with genealogy. Here we find a high level of phylogenetic signal also in FL, that is, in the contrastive *function* that phonological structures serve. Interestingly, we find that phylogenetic signal in the FL measures examined here is very close to 1, and closer than the values found in studies of phonological structures. Why this is so, and how far the finding generalizes, is not yet apparent to us, and deserves further study.

4.2 Transphonologization and the flow of contrastiveness

Transphonologization [47] (see also cheshirization [48]) is a term given to sound changes in which a contrastive function is preserved, but the locus of the contrast – the segments or features which instantiate it – changes. Here we have studied a closely related phenomenon, in which contrasts do not necessarily disappear or emerge in their entirety, but the relative contrastive workload of them (their FL) does shift from one to another. One way to view this phenomenon is in terms of a diachronic *flow* of FL from one contrast to another (cf [49]). The fact that we are able to quantitatively detect the presence of this flow of contrastiveness through a language family as large and old as PN suggests the potential of new avenues for investigating the dynamic flow of contrastiveness through phonological systems as they evolve over time.

One question that arises, is whether our findings in PN might reflect some strong preference in language for the conservation of contrastiveness, in which case the flow of FL from one contrast to another might be regarded as an automatic consequence of one contrast undergoing a significant decrease in FL. Although our results alone cannot answer this question, we doubt that such a principle exists in any strong form. Certainly, in many mergers, the overall contrastive capacity of a language is simply reduced, as the FL of one contrast falls but no other FL rises to balance it. In the case of PN tonic vowels and post-tonic consonants, we suggest that the cause of recurrent historical flow of FL lies in particular phonetic factors that are common across PN languages: a synchronic correlation between phonetic tonic vowel duration and phonetic post-tonic consonant manner, even in systems in which only the vowel-durational aspect is tied to a synchronic phonemic contrast; when the phonetic vowel-durational differences are neutralized diachronically, causing the phonemic vowel length contrasts to collapse, the phonetic manner differences – which still correlate with the same lexical distinctions which vowel length had signalled – become phonemic. On this view, it is the phonetics of the vowel-consonant strings that furnish the conditions for a natural flow of FL from vowel to consonant.

4.3 On Sapir’s ‘drift’: the non-accidental, parallel evolution of related languages

It is a century now since the appearance in print of Edward Sapir’s hypothesis that languages undergo parallel grammatical evolution for several centuries after they split [50]. Providing anything more than anecdotal evidence in support of Sapir’s hypothesis has long been difficult [51, 52, 53], and some apparent cases may be due to language contact [54, 55]. Dunn et al. [56] used phylogenetic methods to show different language families undergoing different patterns of word order evolution, however the study did not produce an identifiable cause for those patterns.

Ideally, evidence in support of Sapir’s drift should not be anecdotal, but rather be statistically significant across a language family; it should not be reducible to the effects of language contact; and it should be related to an identifiable cause. The current study meets these three criteria. It detects parallel changes in FL that are instantiated statistically across 90 languages, within the PN family whose time depth is estimated at around 5–6,000 years [11, 12, 13], thus the evidence is not anecdotal. The data pattern tightly with phylogeny, so are unlikely to be due to contact. And we have identified a causal basis for them, in the common phonetics of PN tonic vowel-consonant sequences. Thus, we believe our results to be one of the fullest confirmations yet, that Sapir’s conjecture was essentially correct: that under the right circumstances, languages can undergo parallel grammatical evolution, not merely for centuries but for millennia.

It has been suggested by Joseph [57] that drift in phonology may be due to a narrowing of the range of variation inherited from a proto-language. In the PN changes described here, however, the flow of FL from vowel length to consonant manner is not due to any narrowing of variation in FL in proto-PN (indeed it is not entirely clear what it should mean for FL to have a range of variation). Nor, when the PN developments are viewed in terms of phonological substance, are they a matter merely of narrowing variation. Although contrasts in vowel length are lost, new variation is introduced in the inventory of contrastive consonant manners and into the set of relationships that can hold between the length of a tonic vowel and the manner of post-tonic consonants.

In reality, Joseph’s proposal would appear to reduce to a fact, well-recognised in evolutionary biology, that incomplete lineage sorting (i.e., the inheritance of variation from a proto-taxon into its descendant) can result in the appearance of convergent evolution [58]. But this does not entail that all convergent evolution is due to incomplete lineage sorting (see also [59]). Another important source can be the existence of dependencies with a system which are inherited along with its substance [60], and which will favour certain outcomes over others in descendent systems, as has been observed in protein evolution for example [61]. In PN, certain phonetic dependencies between tonic vowel length and post-tonic consonant manners were inherited alongside the phonological substance itself. In the descendent systems, in the event that vowel length was lost, the inherited dependencies favoured the rise of new, contrastive consonant manners.

5 Conclusions

This paper joins a growing body of work on the application of computational phylogenetic methods to phonological data. It also represents the first phylogenetic study of FL. We show that there is significant phylogenetic signal in FL, which has implications for a better understanding of the dynamics of sound change. Further, we show that the FL of tonic vowels and and post-vowel consonants are negatively correlated in PN, and that this maps closely to a sample of highly probably PN family trees. We also introduce the idea of the *flow* of contrastiveness between subsystems of the phonology in different languages, which is connected to the concept of transphonologization, and claim that this represents a concrete example of Sapir's drift.

Setting our gaze beyond PN, although not all sound changes are associated with phonetic conditions that promote the flow of FL, there are many do appear to be, and it will be valuable to apply the methods we have introduced here to study them also. In time, this may lead to a more general understanding of how FL can flow within phonological systems over long time horizons. Promising future applications of our approach include the investigation of other suspected diachronic trade-offs such as the rise of phonemic tone and register (such as contrastive phonation) in Southeast Asia, tied to losses of consonantal laryngeal distinctions [62, 63, 64, 3].

6 Appendix A. Functional load data

Table 5: Functional load estimates and number of observations on which they are based in Pama-Nyungan languages A–M.

Language	FL_V	FL_C	FL_P	N
Adnyamathanha	0.007	2.299	1.693	1424
Bandjalang	0.388	1.211	0.846	864
Batyala	0.153	1.205	0.840	303
Bidyara-Gungabula	0.020	1.044	1.439	466
Bilinarra	0.024	1.601	1.385	1071
Biri	0.011	1.047	1.308	387
Bularnu	0.010	1.814	1.532	514
Dhangu	0.537	1.306	1.204	222
Dhayyi	0.620	1.335	1.356	636
Djabugay	0.051	1.269	1.161	641
Djapu	0.552	1.426	1.456	563
Djinang	0.008	1.757	1.276	1459
Duungidjawa	0.359	0.932	0.913	340
Dyirbal	0.019	1.207	1.226	339
Gamilaraay	0.476	1.092	0.667	619
Githabul	0.383	1.209	0.850	863
Gumbaynggir	0.682	1.142	0.561	260
Gunya	0.086	1.675	1.879	421
Gupapuyngu	0.703	1.660	1.390	1426
Gurindji	0.141	1.684	1.400	2783
Guwamu	0.152	0.972	1.283	284
Jaru	0.146	1.651	1.239	1459
Jiwarli	0.107	1.500	1.545	747
Kalkatungu	0.174	1.451	1.667	937
Karajarri	0.011	1.585	1.372	1217
Kariyarra	0.008	1.512	1.342	252
Kartujarra	0.103	1.598	1.629	526
Kok Nar	0.024	1.025	1.038	213
Koko Bera	0.008	1.125	1.144	428
Kugu Nganhcara	0.231	1.201	1.524	359
Kukatj	0.492	1.371	1.262	422
Kukatja	0.177	1.692	1.556	2339
Kuku Yalanji	0.006	1.206	1.064	1070
Kurrama	0.157	1.475	1.333	495
Kurtjar	0.357	1.098	0.902	405
Kuuk Thaayorre	0.398	0.946	1.297	873
Kuuku Ya'u	0.822	0.968	1.827	672
Malgana	0.091	1.360	1.520	208
Mangala	0.068	1.585	1.312	749
Martuthunira	0.122	1.597	1.413	633
Mbakwithi	0.158	0.890	0.946	255
Mirninny	0.057	1.494	1.646	259
Mudburra	0.121	1.573	1.362	509
Muruwari	0.299	1.303	1.239	873

Table 6: Functional load estimates and number of observations on which they are based in Pama-Nyungan languages N–Z.

Language	FL_V	FL_C	FL_P	N
Ngaanyatjarra	0.308	1.657	1.544	1125
Ngadjumaya	0.279	1.581	1.525	512
Ngamini	0.009	1.658	1.628	453
Ngardily	0.044	1.541	1.419	274
Ngarinyman	0.050	1.609	1.371	870
Ngarla	0.054	1.650	1.522	940
Ngarluma	0.009	1.550	1.511	633
Nhanta	0.221	1.617	1.983	427
Nukunu	0.230	1.885	1.572	282
Nyamal	0.011	1.668	1.585	574
Nyangumarta	0.056	1.637	1.530	1002
Nyawaygi	0.448	0.917	0.847	339
Olkola	0.009	1.897	1.525	992
Paakantyi	0.482	1.310	1.586	748
Pakanh	0.482	0.757	1.702	379
Panyjima	0.033	1.526	1.537	327
Payungu	0.120	1.443	1.487	514
Pintupi-Luritja	0.257	1.620	1.498	3065
Purduna	0.186	1.484	1.641	540
Ritharrngu	0.528	1.594	1.285	841
Thalanyji	0.113	1.412	1.640	467
Tharrgari	0.101	1.808	1.468	371
Umpila	0.726	0.895	1.792	473
Wailwan	0.441	1.112	0.699	489
Wajarri	0.096	1.555	1.585	787
Wakaya	0.026	1.478	1.347	696
Walmarjarri	0.075	1.695	1.531	2361
Wangkatja	0.243	1.647	1.549	1290
Wangkumara	0.037	1.784	1.492	480
Wargamay	0.466	1.166	1.224	470
Warlmanpa	0.032	1.769	1.546	603
Warlpiri	0.078	1.717	1.549	3215
Warluwarra	0.062	1.625	1.609	731
Warnman	0.034	1.619	1.557	607
Warriyanga	0.049	1.463	1.557	273
Wik Mungkan	0.579	0.911	1.733	1411
Yadhaykenu	0.118	1.390	1.474	385
Yalarnga	0.013	1.479	1.596	397
Yannhangu	0.527	1.621	1.351	952
Yanyuwa	0.028	1.534	1.477	1351
Yaygirr	0.627	1.490	1.004	658
Yidiny	0.014	1.240	1.084	964
Yindjibarndi	0.127	1.476	1.212	492
Yinhawangka	0.080	1.654	1.673	773
Yulparija	0.078	1.657	1.582	1234
Yuwaalaraay	0.610	1.185	0.807	1109

References

- [1] André Martinet. Function, structure, and sound change. *Word*, 8(1): 1–32, 1952.
- [2] Charles F Hockett. The quantification of functional load. *Word*, 23 (1-3):300–320, 1967.
- [3] Dinoj Surendran and Partha Niyogi. Quantifying the functional load of phonemic oppositions, distinctive features, and suprasegmentals. *Amsterdam studies in the theory and history of linguistic science series 4*, 279:43, 2006.
- [4] Claude Elwood Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27:379–423, 623–565, 1948. Publisher: ACM New York, NY, USA.
- [5] Andrew Garrett. Sound change. In Claire Bowerman and Bethwyn Evans, editors, *The Routledge handbook of historical linguistics*, pages 227–248. Routledge, London, 2015.
- [6] Daniel Silverman. Neutralization and anti-homophony in Korean. *Journal of linguistics*, 46(2):453–482, 2010.
- [7] Alexandre Bouchard-Côté, David Hall, Thomas L. Griffiths, and Dan Klein. Automated reconstruction of ancient languages using probabilistic models of sound change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(11):4224–4229, 2013.
- [8] Andrew Wedel, Abby Kaplan, and Scott Jackson. High functional load inhibits phonological contrast loss: A corpus study. *Cognition*, 128(2):179–186, 2013.
- [9] Sarah Babinski and Claire Bowerman. Mergers in Bardi: Contextual probability and predictors of sound change. *Linguistics Vanguard*, 4 (s2), 2018.
- [10] Andrea Ceolin. On Functional Load and its Relation to the Actuation Problem. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, 26(2):Article 6, 2020. URL <https://repository.upenn.edu/pwpl/vol126/iss2/6>.
- [11] Patrick McConvell. Backtracking to Babel: the chronology of Pama-Nyungan expansion in Australia. *Archaeology in Oceania*, 31(3):125–144, 1996.
- [12] Claire Bowerman and Quentin Atkinson. Computational phylogenetics and the internal structure of Pama-Nyungan. *Language*, 88(4):817–845, 2012.
- [13] Remco R. Bouckaert, Claire Bowerman, and Quentin D. Atkinson. The origin and expansion of Pama-Nyungan languages across Australia. *Nature ecology & evolution*, 2:741–649, 2018.

- [14] A. R. Butcher. What speakers of Australian Aboriginal languages do with their velums and why: the phonetics of the nasal/oral contrast. In J. J. Ohala, Y. Hasegawa, M. Ohala, D. Granville, and A. C. Bailey, editors, *Proceedings of the XIVth International Congress of the Phonetic Sciences*, pages 479–482. International Congress of the Phonetic Sciences, 1999.
- [15] Marija Tabain, Gavan Breen, and Andrew Butcher. VC vs CV syllables: a comparison of Aboriginal languages with English. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, 34(2):175–200, 2004.
- [16] Andrew Butcher. Australian Aboriginal languages: consonant-salient phonologies and the ‘place-of-articulation imperative’. In J. M. Harrington and M. Tabain, editors, *Speech production: models, phonetic processes and techniques*, pages 187–210. Psychology Press, New York, 2006.
- [17] Janet Fletcher and Andrew Butcher. Sound patterns of Australian languages. In Harold Koch and Rachel Nordlinger, editors, *The languages and linguistics of Australia: A comprehensive guide*, pages 91–138. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.
- [18] Kathleen Jepson and Hywel Stoakes. Vowel duration and consonant lengthening in Djambarrpuyngu. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, Glasgow, 2015. ICPhS.
- [19] Luise Anna Hercus. The pre-stopped nasal and lateral consonants of Arabana-Wangganguru. *Anthropological Linguistics*, 14(8):293–305, 1972.
- [20] Deborah Loakes, Andrew Butcher, Janet Fletcher, and Hywel Stoakes. Phonetically prestopped laterals in Australian languages: a preliminary investigation of Warlpiri. In J. Fletcher, D. Loakes, R. Goecke, D. Burnham, and M. Wagner, editors, *Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association (Interspeech 2008), incorporating the 12th Australasian International Conference on Speech Science and Technology (SST2008), Brisbane, Australia, 22-26 September 2008*. Causal Production, Brisbane, 2008.
- [21] Erich R. Round. Prestopping of nasals and laterals is only partly parallel. In Rob Pensalfini, Diana Guillemin, and Myfany Turpin, editors, *Language Description Informed by Theory*, pages 81–95. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 2014.
- [22] Kenneth L. Hale. Wik reflections of Middle Paman phonology. In Peter Sutton, editor, *Languages of Cape York*, pages 50–60. AIAS, 1976.
- [23] Ian Smith and Steve Johnson. Kugu Nganhcara. In R. M. W. Dixon and Barry J. Blake, editors, *Handbook of Australian Languages*, volume 5, pages 357–507. Oxford University Press, Melbourne, Oxford, 2000. ISBN 0-19-554998-8.

- [24] Luise A. Hercus. *A Nukunu dictionary*. Department of Linguistics, Australian National University, Canberra, 1992.
- [25] Terry Crowley. Uradhi. In R. M. W. Dixon and Barry J. Blake, editors, *Handbook of Australian languages*, volume 3, pages 307–428. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [26] Barry J. Alpher. Sound change. In Claire Bowern, editor, *Oxford guide to Australian languages*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2022.
- [27] Kenneth L. Hale. Phonological developments in particular Northern Paman languages. In Peter Sutton, editor, *Languages of Cape York*, pages 7–40. AIAS, Canberra, 1976.
- [28] Kenneth L. Hale. Phonological developments in a Northern Paman language: Uradhi. In Peter Sutton, editor, *Languages of Cape York*, pages 41–49. AIAS, Canberra, 1976.
- [29] Jean-Christophe Verstraete. Lamalamic Root Structure: Erosion and Expansion. *Australian Journal of Linguistics*, 38(3):360–394, 2018.
- [30] Harold J. Koch. Pama-Nyungan reflexes in the Arandic languages. In D. Tryon and M. Walsh, editors, *Boundary rider: essays in honour of Geoffrey O’Grady*, pages 271–302. Pacific Linguistics, 1997.
- [31] Harold Koch. Basic vocabulary of the Arandic languages: from classification to reconstruction. In Jane Simpson, David Nash, Mary Laughren, Peter Austin, and Barry Alpher, editors, *Forty years on: Ken Hale and Australian languages*, pages 71–87. Pacific Linguistics, Canberra, 2001.
- [32] Paul D. Black. Norman Pama historical phonology. In *Papers in Australian Linguistics 13*, pages 181–239. Pacific Linguistics, Canberra, 1980.
- [33] R. M. W. Dixon. Olgolo syllable structure and what they are doing about it. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 1(2):273–276, 1970.
- [34] Jayden L Macklin-Cordes, Claire Bowern, and Erich R. Round. Phylogenetic signal in phonotactics. *Diachronica*, 38(2):210–258, 2021. doi: 10.1075/dia.20004.mac.
- [35] Peter K. Austin. *A Grammar of Diyari, South Australia*. Number 32 in Cambridge Studies in Linguistics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; New York, 1981. ISBN 0-521-22849-2.
- [36] William McGregor. *A Functional Grammar of Gooniyandi*. Number 22 in Studies in Language. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, Philadelphia, 1990. ISBN 90-272-3025-0.
- [37] Erich R. Round. Phonotactics. In Claire Bowern, editor, *Oxford Guide to Australian languages*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2022.

- [38] Jayden Macklin-Cordes and Erich R Round. Challenges of sampling and how phylogenetic comparative methods help: With a case study of the Pama-Nyungan laminal contrast. URL <https://zenodo.org/record/4796981>.
- [39] László Zsolt Garamszegi. *Modern phylogenetic comparative methods and their application in evolutionary biology: concepts and practice*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- [40] Simon P. Blomberg, Theodore Garland, and Anthony R. Ives. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution*, 57(4):717–745, 2003. doi: 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00285.x/abstract.
- [41] Claire Bowern. Pama-Nyungan phylogenetics and beyond [plenary address]. In *Lorentz Center workshop on phylogenetic methods in linguistics*, 2015. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3032846.
- [42] Emilia P Martins and Theodore Garland Jr. Phylogenetic analyses of the correlated evolution of continuous characters: a simulation study. *Evolution*, 45(3):534–557, 1991.
- [43] Liam J Revell. phytools: an r package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in ecology and evolution*, 3(2): 217–223, 2012.
- [44] Rikker Dockum. Phylogeny in phonology: How Tai sound systems encode their past. In *Annual Meeting on Phonology (AMP)*. Linguistic Society of America, 2018. doi: 10.3765/amp.v5i0.4238.
- [45] Jayden Macklin-Cordes and Erich Round. High-definition phonotactics reflect linguistic pasts. In *Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Quantitative Investigations in Theoretical Linguistics*, Tübingen, 2015. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.15496/publikation-8609>.
- [46] Rikker Dockum. *The tonal comparative method: Tai tone in historical perspective*. PhD thesis, Yale University, 2019.
- [47] André G Haudricourt. Les doubles transphonologisations simultanées. In *Actes du XIIe Congrès International de Linguistique et de Philologie romanes, Bucarest*, pages 315–317, 1968.
- [48] James A Matisoff. Areal and universal dimensions of grammaticalization in Lahu. In Elizabeth Traugott and Bernd Heine, editors, *Approaches to grammaticalization*, pages 383–453. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [49] Jayden L Macklin-Cordes and Erich R Round. Re-evaluating phoneme frequencies. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11:3181, 2020. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.570895.
- [50] E. Sapir. *Language: An Introduction to the Study of Speech*. Dover Publications, 1921.

- [51] George A. Grierson. *On the modern Indo-Aryan vernaculars*. Indian Antiquary, 1931.
- [52] Michael Fortescue. Drift and the grammaticalization divide between Northern and Southern Wakashan. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, 72(3):295–324, 2006.
- [53] William Croft. *Explaining language change: An evolutionary approach*. Longman, New York, 2000.
- [54] Östen Dahl. *The growth and maintenance of linguistic complexity*. John Benjamins Publishing, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [55] Bernd Heine and Tania Kuteva. *Language contact and grammatical change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [56] Michael Dunn, Simon J. Greenhill, Stephen C. Levinson, and Russell D. Gray. Evolved structure of language shows lineage-specific trends in word-order universals. *Nature*, 473(7345):79–82, 2011.
- [57] Brian D. Joseph. Demystifying drift. In Martine Robbeets and Hubert Cuyckens, editors, *Shared grammaticalization*, pages 43–66. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 2013.
- [58] Fábio K. Mendes and Matthew W. Hahn. Gene Tree Discordance Causes Apparent Substitution Rate Variation. *Systematic Biology*, 65(4):711–721, 2016. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syw018.
- [59] Pavel Iosad. Phonological convergence in North-western Europe. Oslo, 2021. URL <https://www.anghyflawn.net/presentation/2021/icngl12/>. Paper presented at the 12th International Conference of Nordic and General Linguistics.
- [60] Erich R. Round. Getting Sapir’s drift: Parallel evolution in linguistic as in biological systems, 2019. Paper presented at Protolang 5.
- [61] Jay F. Storz. Causes of molecular convergence and parallelism in protein evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 17(4):239–250, 2016. ISSN 1471-0056. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2016.11.
- [62] James A. Matisoff. Tonogenesis in Southeast Asia. In Larry M. Hyman, editor, *Consonant Types and Tone*, number 1 in Southern California Occasional Papers in Linguistics, pages 71–96. University of Southern California, Los Angeles, 1973.
- [63] Franklin Huffman. The register problem in fifteen Mon-Khmer languages. In Phillip N. Jenner, Laurence C. Thompson, and Stanley Starosta, editors, *Austroasiatic Studies*, pages 575–590. Univ of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, 1976.
- [64] Rikker Dockum and Ryan Gehrman. The East Asian Voicing Shift and its Role in the Origins of Tone and Register. San Francisco, 2021. Paper presented at the 95th Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America, San Francisco.