QUADRATIC EMBEDDING CONSTANTS OF PATH GRAPHS

WOJCIECH MLOTKOWSKI

Abstract. We characterize positive definiteness for some family of matrices. As an application, we derive the explicit value of the quadratic embedding constants of the path graphs.

1. Introduction

Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a graph, with \( V \) as the set of vertices and \( E \) as the set of edges, i.e. two-element subsets of \( V \). We assume that \( G \) is connected, which means that for every \( x, y \in V \) there exists a walk connecting \( x \) and \( y \): a finite sequence \( x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in V \) such that \( n \geq 0 \), \( x_0 = x, x_n = y \) and \( \{x_{i-1}, x_i\} \in E \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). The distance \( d(x, y) \) is defined as the smallest possible length \( n \) of such a walk. For some connected graphs the distance matrix \( (d(x, y))_{x, y \in V} \) is conditionally negative definite, equivalently, there exists a function \( \phi \) which maps \( V \) into a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) and satisfies

\[
d(x, y) = \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|^2
\]

for all \( x, y \in V \). This motivated the authors of [5] to introduce and study the quadratic embedding constant, defined as

\[
\text{QEC}(G) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{x, y \in V} d(x, y)f(x)f(y) : f \in \mathcal{F}_{0,1}(V) \right\},
\]

where \( \mathcal{F}_{0,1}(V) \) denotes the set of all finitely supported functions \( f : V \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying \( \sum_{x \in V} f(x) = 0 \) and \( \sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2 = 1 \). In particular, the distance matrix \( (d(x, y))_{x, y \in V} \) is conditionally negative definite if and only if \( \text{QEC}(G) \leq 0 \). Several examples and properties were furnished in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Applying the min-max theorem and the Perron-Frobenius theorem, one can observe that if \( V \) is finite and \( \lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{|V|}(G) \) are the eigenvalues of the distance matrix of \( G \), then

\[
\lambda_2(G) \leq \text{QEC}(G) < \lambda_1(G).
\]

In this paper we will study finite path graphs, i.e. graphs of the form \( P_n := (V, E) \), where

\[
V := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \quad E := \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \ldots, \{n-1, n\}\}.
\]

The eigenvalues \( \lambda_i(P_n) \) of the distance matrix of \( P_n \) were found in [7]. In particular,

\[
\lambda_2(P_n) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\
\frac{1 + \cos(\pi/n)}{1 - \cos \theta^*} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd},
\end{cases}
\]
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where $\theta^*$ is the maximal solution of the equation:

$$\tan(\theta/2) \tan(n\theta/2) = -1/n, \quad \theta \in (0, \pi).$$

It was observed in [3, Proposition 5.4] that $QEC(P_n)$ is equal to the minimal $t$ such that the matrix

$$[2 \min\{i, j\} + t + t \cdot \delta_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^{n-1}$$

is positive definite, i.e. all the eigenvalues of this matrix are nonnegative. This led to inequality: $QEC(P_n) \leq -1/2$, see [3, Theorem 5.6]. Our aim is to provide the exact value:

**Theorem 1.1.** For $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\text{QEC}(P_n) = -\frac{1}{1 + \cos(\pi/n)}.$$ 

Consequently, if $n$ is even then $\lambda_2(P_n) = \text{QEC}(P_n)$ and if $n$ is odd then $\lambda_2(P_n) < \text{QEC}(P_n)$.

As an immediate consequence we obtain the quadratic embedding constant for $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ regarded as infinite path graphs, with edges $\{i, i+1\}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ or $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, c.f. [3, Theorem 5.7].

**Corollary 1.2.** $\text{QEC}(\mathbb{N}) = \text{QEC}(\mathbb{Z}) = -1/2$.

The paper is organized as follows. First we examine a family of auxiliary polynomials $S_n(a, b; t)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 0$. Section 3 is devoted to the study of a family $A_n(s, t)$ of matrices, a two-parameter version of (2). We provide formula for $\det A_n(s, t)$, $n < \infty$, in terms of polynomials $S_n(a, b; t)$, and characterize these $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $A_n(s, t)$, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, is positive definite. Finally we prove Theorem 1.1.

### 2. A FAMILY OF POLYNOMIALS

Now we are going to study a family of polynomials defined by the following recurrence:

$S_0(a, b; t) := 1, \quad S_1(a, b; t) := at + b$

and

$$S_n(a, b; t) = (1 + 2t)S_{n-1}(a, b; t) - t^2S_{n-2}(a, b; t)$$

for $n \geq 2, a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proposition 2.1.** For $n \geq 0$ we have $\deg S_n(a, b; t) \leq n$ and the coefficient at $t^n$ in $S_n(a, b; t)$ is equal to $an - n^2 + 1$. Moreover,

$$S_n(a, b; t) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\sqrt{1 + 4t}} \left[ (2b - 1 + 2(a - 1)t + \sqrt{1 + 4t}) \left( 1 + 2t + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right)^n - (2b - 1 + 2(a - 1)t - \sqrt{1 + 4t}) \left( 1 + 2t - \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right)^n \right].$$

The former formula holds for $n \geq 1$, while the latter for $n \geq 0$ and $t \neq -1/4$. For $t = -1/4, n \geq 0$ we have

$$S_n(a, b; -1/4) = \frac{1}{4^n} (4nb - na - n + 1).$$
Proof. The first statement can be easily proved by induction. Now define:

\[ W_n(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2n-k+1}{k} t^k \]

(see A172431 in OEIS [6]). Then \( W_0(t) = 1, W_1(t) = 2t + 1 \), and one can check that

\[ W_n(t) = (1 + 2t)W_{n-1}(t) - t^2W_{n-2}(t) \]

for \( n \geq 2 \), so that \( W_n(t) = S_n(2, 1; t) \). Putting \( Q_0(t) := 1 \) and

\[ Q_n(t) := (at + b)W_{n-1}(t) - t^2W_{n-2}(t) \]

for \( n \geq 1 \) we have

\[ Q_0(t) = 1, Q_1(t) = at + b \]

and from the identity

\[ \left( 1 + 2t \pm \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right)^2 = 2(1 + 2t) \left( 1 + 2t \pm \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right) - 4t^2 \]

we have

\[ T_n(t) = (1 + 2t)T_{n-1}(t) - t^2T_{n-2}(t) \]

for \( n \geq 2 \), which implies that \( T_n(t) = S_n(a, b; t) \) for \( n \geq 0, t \neq -1/4 \). □

For later use, we record the following identities:

**Proposition 2.2.** For \( W_n(t) \) defined in (7) we have:

(8) \[ W_n(t) = S_n(2, 1; t) = S_n(1, t + 1; t) \]

Moreover,

(9) \[ W_n(t) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\sqrt{1 + 4t}} \left[ \left( 1 + 2t + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right)^{n+1} - \left( 1 + 2t - \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right)^{n+1} \right] \]

**Proof.** The former equality in (8) was noted in the previous proof, the latter, as well as (9), is a consequence of (5). □

In some particular cases we are able to find the roots of \( S_n(a, b; t) \).
Proposition 2.3. For \( n \geq 1 \) we have

\[
\frac{1}{n+1} S_n(2,1;t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( t + \frac{1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right)} \right),
\]

\[
S_n(1,1/2;t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( t + \frac{1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n} \right)} \right),
\]

\[
S_n(1,1;t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( t + \frac{1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{2k\pi}{2n+1} \right)} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2n+1} S_n(3,1;t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( t + \frac{1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n+1} \right)} \right).
\]

Proof. First we note that in view of the first part of Proposition 2.1, if \( a \geq 1 \) then \( \deg S_n(a,b;t) = n \) and the leading term is \((an - n + 1)t^n\).

Fix \( a,b,t \in \mathbb{R} \), with \( 1 + 4t < 0 \), and put

\[
w := 2b - 1 + 2(a-1)t + \sqrt{1+4t},
\]
\[
z := 1 + 2t + \sqrt{1+4t},
\]

with \( \text{Im}(w) > 0, \text{Im}(z) > 0 \), \( \alpha := \arg(w), \beta := \arg(z), 0 < \alpha, \beta < \pi \). Then

\[
\cos \beta = \frac{1 + 2t}{-2t} \quad \text{and hence} \quad t = -\frac{1}{2 + 2 \cos \beta}.
\]

In view of (5), we have \( S_n(a,b;t) = 0 \) if and only if \( w \cdot z^n = \overline{w} \cdot \overline{z^n} \), equivalently, \( \alpha + n\beta = k\pi \) for some \( k = 1,2,\ldots,n \). Now we consider four cases.

1. If \( a = 2, b = 1 \) then \( w = z, \alpha = \beta \) and therefore \( \beta = k\pi/(n+1) \).
2. If \( a = 1, b = 1/2 \) then \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) and then \( \beta = (2k - 1)\pi/(2n) \).
3. If \( a = b = 1 \) then

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4t}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \cos \beta}{2}} = \cos(\beta/2),
\]

which implies \( \alpha = \beta/2 \) and \( \beta = 2k\pi/(2n+1) \).
4. Finally, if \( a = 3, b = 1 \) then

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{1 + 4t}{\sqrt{4t(1+4t)}} = -\sqrt{\frac{1 + 4t}{4t}} = -\sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \beta}{2}}
\]
\[
= -\sin(\beta/2) = \cos(\pi/2 + \beta/2),
\]

therefore \( \alpha = \pi/2 + \beta/2 \) and, consequently, \( \beta = (2k - 1)\pi/(2n+1) \). \( \square \)

Lemma 2.4. For \( n \geq 1, a,b \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
S_n(2,1;t) \cdot S_n(a,b;t) - S_{n-1}(2,1;t) \cdot S_{n+1}(a,b;t) = t^{2n}.
\]

Proof. Putting

\[
u_\pm := 2b - 1 + 2(a-1)t \pm \sqrt{1 + 4t}, \quad v_\pm := 1 + 2t \pm \sqrt{1 + 4t}
\]
we have
\[
(v_{n+1} - v_{-n}^1) (u_+ v_+^n - u_- v^n) - (v^n_+ - v^n_-) (u_+ v_+^{n+1} - u_- v^{n+1})
= (u_+ - u_-)(v_+ - v_-)(v_+ v_-)^n = 4(1 + 4t)(4t^2)^n,
\]
and, by (5), the formula follows.

\[\square\]

Proof. The first equality in (16) can be verified by induction: putting
\[t = (15)\]
we have
\[t = (15)\]
and, by (5), the formula follows.

\[\square\]

Lemma 2.5. If \(t_n < t < t_{n+1}\) then \(S_{n-1}(2, 1; t) > 0\), \(S_n(2, 1; t) < 0\) and if \(t > t_{n+1}\) then \(S_{n-1}(2, 1; t) > 0\), \(S_n(2, 1; t) > 0\).

Proof. First note that the function \(\alpha \mapsto -1/(2 + 2 \cos \alpha)\) is decreasing with \(\alpha \in (0, \pi)\). Therefore the statement is a consequence of (10), because for \(n \geq 2\) we have
\[
\frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{(n+1)}\right)} < \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)} < \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{(n+1)}\right)}.
\]

\[\square\]

Now we collect properties of polynomials of the form \(S_n(1, s + 1; t)\), which will be applied in the next section.

Proposition 2.6. For \(s \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1\), we have
\[
(16) \quad S_n(1, s + 1; t) = S_n(1, 1; t) + s \cdot S_{n-1}(2, 1; t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2n-k}{k} t^k + s \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n-1-k}{k} t^k
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \sqrt{1 + 4t}} \left[ \left(1 + 2s + \sqrt{1 + 4t}\right) \left(1 + 2t + \sqrt{1 + 4t}\right)^n
\right.
\]
\[
- \left(1 + 2s - \sqrt{1 + 4t}\right) \left(1 + 2t - \sqrt{1 + 4t}\right)^n \right].
\]

The latter formula is valid for \(t \neq -1/4\), while
\[
(17) \quad S_n(1, s + 1; -1/4) = \frac{4ns + 2n + 1}{4^n}.
\]

Proof. The first equality in (16) can be verified by induction: putting \(P_n(t) := S_n(1, 1; t) + s \cdot S_{n-1}(2, 1; t)\) we have \(P_0(t) = 1\), \(P_1(t) = t + 1 + s\) and \(P_n(t) = (1 + 2t)P_{n-1}(t) - t^2P_{n-2}(t)\) for \(n \geq 2\), consequently \(P_n(t) = S_n(1, s + 1; t)\) for all \(n \geq 0\). Now (16) and (17) are consequences of (5) and (6).

\[\square\]

3. A FAMILY OF MATRICES

Define a family of matrices
\[
A_n(s, t) := \left[\min\{i, j\} + s + t\delta_{i,j}\right]_{i,j=1}^n,
\]
where \(s, t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq n \leq \infty\). These matrices seem interesting on their own, for the sake of Theorem \([1, 1]\) we are particularly interested in the case \(s = t\). We are going to study
their determinants and positive definiteness. Note that if $s_1 \leq s_2$, $t_1 \leq t_2$, $n_1 \geq n_2$ and $A_{n_1}(s_1, t_1)$ is positive definite then so is $A_{n_2}(s_2, t_2)$.

**Theorem 3.1.** For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

\begin{equation}
\det A_n(s, t) = S_n(1, s + 1; t) + S_n(1, 1; t) + s \cdot S_{n-1}(2, 1; t).
\end{equation}

With the notation of (15), the matrix $A_n(s, t)$ is positive definite if and only if

\begin{equation}
t > t_n \quad \text{and} \quad S_n(1, s + 1; t) \geq 0.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** It is easy to verify (19) for $n = 1, 2$. Now assume that $n \geq 3$ and let $k_j$ denote the $j$th column of $A_n(s, t)$. Then

$$
\det A_n(s, t) = \det(k_1, \ldots, k_n) = \det(k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}, k_n - k_{n-1})
$$

and, denoting the transposition by “T”, we have

$$
k_n - k_{n-1} = (0, \ldots, 0, -t, 1 + t)^T.
$$

Expanding the determinant along the last column $k_n - k_{n-1}$, we get

$$
\det A_n(s, t) = (1 + t) \det A_{n-1}(s, t) + t \det B,
$$

where

$$
B = (k'_{j}, \ldots, k'_{n-2}, k'_{n-1} + \eta),
$$

$k'_{j}$ is the $j$th column of $A_{n-1}(s, t)$ and $\eta := (0, \ldots, 0, -t)^T$. This yields

$$
\det B = \det A_{n-1}(s, t) - t \det A_{n-2}(s, t),
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\det A_n(s, t) = (1 + 2t) \det A_{n-1}(s, t) - t^2 \det A_{n-2}(s, t),
$$

which completes the proof of (19).

Fix $t' \in \mathbb{R}$ and put

$$
\ell_k(s) := \det A_k(s, t') := b_k + s \cdot a_k.
$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4, we have

\begin{equation}
a_k b_{k-1} - a_{k-1} b_k = (t')^{2k-2}.
\end{equation}

With the notation of (15), if $t_{k-1} < t' \leq t_k$ then $a_{k-1} > 0$, $a_k \leq 0$. Consequently, the lines $\ell_{k-1}, \ell_k$ cross at some point $(s', y')$, i.e. $\ell_{k-1}(s') = \ell_k(s') = y'$, and, by (21),

$$
y' = \frac{a_k b_{k-1} - a_{k-1} b_k}{a_k - a_{k-1}} < 0.
$$

This implies, that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have either $\ell_{k-1}(s) < 0$ or $\ell_k(s) < 0$, therefore the matrix $A_n(s, t')$ is not positive definite for $n \geq k$.

Now, if we assume that $t' > t_n$ then $a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0$. Defining $s_k$ by $\ell_k(s_k) = 0$, we have $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_n$, by (21). Therefore, if $\det A_n(s, t') > 0$ then $s > s_n$, det $A_k(s, t') > 0$ for all $k \leq n$, and hence $A_n(s, t')$ is positive definite. If $\det A_n(s, t') = 0$ then $A_n(s, t')$ is positive definite as pointwise limit of positive definite matrices. The proof is complete. \hfill \Box

Note, that in particular,

\begin{equation}
\det A_n(t, t) = S_n(1, t + 1; t) = S_n(2, 1) = W_n(t).
\end{equation}

Since $S_n(1, s_0 + 1; t)$ is the characteristic polynomial of a real symmetric matrix, we have
Corollary 3.2. For every fixed $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 1$, the polynomial $S_n(1, s_0 + 1; t)$ has only real roots.

Now we consider some particular cases.

Theorem 3.3.
- The matrix $A_n(t, t)$ is positive definite if and only if
  \[ t \geq \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)}. \]
- The matrix $A_n(-1/2, t)$ is positive definite if and only if
  \[ t \geq \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2n} \right)}. \]
- The matrix $A_n(0, t)$ is positive definite if and only if
  \[ t \geq \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \right)}. \]
- The matrix $A_n(2t, t)$ is positive definite if and only if
  \[ t \geq \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2n+1} \right)}. \]

Proof. First note that by (5) we have $S_n(1, 2t + 1; t) = S_n(3, 1; t)$. It is easy to check the statements for $n = 1$. For $0 < k < m$ put
\[ t^k_m := \frac{-1}{2 + 2 \cos (k\pi/m)}. \]
Then, for $n > 1$, we have $t^2_{n+1} < t^1_n < t^1_{n+1}$, $t^3_{2n} < t^1_n < t^3_{2n+1}$, $t^4_{2n+1} < t^4_n < t^4_{2n+1}$, and the statements follow from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.3. □

Now we are able to describe the case $n = \infty$.

Theorem 3.4. The infinite matrix $A_\infty(s, t)$ is positive definite if and only if
\[ 1 + 4t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + 2s + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \geq 0. \]

Proof. If $1 + 4t \geq 0$ and $1 + 2s + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \geq 0$ then all the matrices $A_n(s, t)$, $1 \leq n < \infty$, are positive definite by (19), (16) and (17), hence so is $A_\infty(s, t)$.

Now assume that $A_\infty(s, t)$ is positive definite. Then $t > -1/(2 + 2 \cos(\pi/n))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies $t \geq -1/4$. If $t = -1/4$ then $s \geq -1/2$ in view of (17). If $1 + 4t > 0$ then, putting
\[ q := \frac{1 + 2t - \sqrt{1 + 4t}}{1 + 2t + \sqrt{1 + 4t}}, \]
we have $0 < q < 1$ and, in view of the last formula in (16), the inequality
\[ 1 + 2s + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \geq \left( 1 - 2s + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \right) q^n \]
holds for every $n \geq 1$. This implies that $1 + 2s + \sqrt{1 + 4t} \geq 0$. □
For example, the following matrix is positive definite:

\[
4A_{\infty}(-1/2, -1/4) = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
2 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & \ldots \\
2 & 6 & 9 & 10 & 10 & \ldots \\
2 & 6 & 10 & 13 & 14 & \ldots \\
2 & 6 & 10 & 14 & 17 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

4. Path graphs

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this paper.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In view of [3, Proposition 5.4], QEC\((P_n)\) is equal to the minimal \(t\) such that \(A_{n-1}(t/2, t/2)\) is positive definite. It remains to apply the first part of Theorem 3.3. □

Now we indicate explicitly a vector \(x_n\) on the vertices of \(P_n\) for which the supremum in (1) is attained. Put

\[
(23) \quad x_n := (x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, \ldots, x_{n,n}), \quad \text{with} \quad x_{n,i} := (-1)^i \sin \left( \frac{2i - 1}{2n} \pi \right).
\]

If \(n\) is even then \(x_n\) is the eigenvector corresponding to the second eigenvalue \(\lambda_2(P_n)\), see [7].

**Proposition 4.1.** For \(n \geq 2\) we have

\[
(24) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{n,i} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{n,i}^2 = \frac{n}{2}
\]

and

\[
(25) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |i - j| x_{n,i} \cdot x_{n,j} = \frac{-1}{1 + \cos(\pi/n)} \cdot \frac{n}{2}.
\]
Proof. Denoting by “i” the imaginary unit, we have
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \exp \left( \frac{2i - 1}{2n} \pi i \right) = - \exp \left( \frac{\pi i}{2n} \right) \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{\pi i}{n} \right)} = \frac{-1 - (-1)^n}{2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2n} \right)}. \]

Taking the imaginary part we get the first equation in (24). Similarly, since
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left( \frac{(2i - 1)\pi i}{n} \right) = 0, \]
we get
\[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin^2 \left( \frac{(2i - 1)\pi}{2n} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{(2i - 1)\pi}{n} \right) \right] = n, \]
which completes the proof of (24).

Now we will prove (25). For \( 1 \leq k < n \) we have
\[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \sin \left( \frac{2i - 1}{2n} \pi \right) \sin \left( \frac{2i + 2k - 1}{2n} \pi \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \left[ \cos(k\pi/n) - \cos \left( (2i + k - 1)\pi/n \right) \right] \]
\[ = (n - k) \cos(k\pi/n) + \frac{\sin(k\pi/n)}{\sin(\pi/n)}. \]

Therefore
\[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |i - j| x_{n,i} \cdot x_{n,j} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} x_{n,i} \cdot x_{n,i+k} \]
\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k k(n - k) \cos(k\pi/n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k k \frac{\sin(k\pi/n)}{\sin(\pi/n)}. \]

Now applying elementary formulas:
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kq^k = \frac{q(1 - q^n) - nq^n(1 - q)}{(1 - q)^2}, \]
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(n - k)q^k = \frac{nq(1 - q)(1 + q^n) - q(1 + q)(1 - q^n)}{(1 - q)^3} \]
to \( q := -\exp(\pi i/n) \), so that \( q^n = -(-1)^n \), we obtain
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(-1)^k \exp(k\pi i/n) = \frac{-1 - (-1)^n + (-1)^n \left( \exp(-\pi i/n) + 1 \right)}{4 \cos^2 \left( \pi/(2n) \right)}, \]
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(n-k)(-1)^k \exp(k\pi i/n)
= \frac{2n \cos (\pi/(2n))((-1)^n - 1) + 2 \sin (\pi/(2n))(1 + (-1)^n)i}{8 \cos^3 (\pi/(2n))}.
\]

Consequently,
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k k \sin(k\pi/n) = \frac{(-1)^n n}{4 \cos^2(\pi/(2n))},
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k (n-k) \cos(k\pi/n) = \frac{((-1)^n - 1)n}{4 \cos^2(\pi/(2n))},
\]
which, together with (26), leads to (25). □
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