

INFINITELY MANY PLANAR LAGRANGIAN FILLINGS AND SYMPLECTIC MILNOR FIBERS.

ORSOLA CAPOVILLA-SEARLE

ABSTRACT. We provide a new family of Legendrian links with infinitely many distinct exact orientable Lagrangian fillings up to Hamiltonian isotopy. This family of links includes the first examples of Legendrian links with infinitely many distinct planar exact Lagrangian fillings, which can be viewed as the smallest Legendrian links currently known to have infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian fillings. As an application we find new examples of infinitely many exact Lagrangian spheres and tori 4-dimensional Milnor fibers of isolated hypersurface singularities with positive modality. We also provide a generalization of a criterion for when the symplectic homology of a Weinstein 4-manifold is non-vanishing given an explicit Weinstein handlebody diagram.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been remarkably difficult to classify orientable exact Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian links in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$. Indeed, the only Legendrian link for which we have a complete classification is the maximal-tb Legendrian unknot which has a unique exact Lagrangian disk filling [21]. Until 2020 it was not known whether there existed a Legendrian link with infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian fillings up to Hamiltonian isotopy. The first examples of Legendrian links with infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian fillings up to Hamiltonian isotopy were given by Casals and Gao [6] who used the theory of microlocal sheaves to distinguish the fillings. That same year Gao, Shen, and Weng [22, 23], Casals and Zaslow [9], Casals and Ng [8] found more such examples. The techniques from cluster algebras and microlocal theory of sheaves used to differentiate exact Lagrangian fillings in [6, 22, 23, 9] are constrained to studying rainbow closures of positive braids. In contrast, the Floer theoretic methods developed by Casals and Ng [8] are not constrained to this set of Legendrian links. They focus on (-1) -closures of positive braids where the Floer theoretic computations can be streamlined. We consider a family of oriented Legendrian links that are not rainbow closures of positive braids and which do not admit a Lagrangian projection as a (-1) -closure of a positive braid. We show, following the techniques developed in [8], that these Legendrian links admit infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian fillings distinguished by their induced augmentations of the Legendrian contact homology DGA.




FIGURE 1. A family of Legendrian links $\Lambda_n \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ for $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *The oriented Legendrian links $\Lambda_n \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$, for $n \geq 1$, shown in Figure 1 have infinitely many distinct exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian fillings up to Hamiltonian isotopy. For each n , these fillings are of genus $\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$ and are smoothly isotopic relative to their Legendrian boundaries.*

The Legendrian links Λ_1 and Λ_2 have genus 0 exact Lagrangian fillings, and are some of the first examples of a Legendrian link admitting infinitely many distinct planar exact Lagrangian fillings. This answers a question in Remark 7.8 of [8]. The Legendrian link Λ_1 is also the smallest known Legendrian with infinitely many distinct fillings, in terms of its Thurston-Bennequin number, or equivalently in terms of its number of link components c and the genus g of its fillings. For Λ_1 $2g + c = 3$, and to our knowledge there are not yet any known Legendrian links with infinitely many fillings and $2g + m < 3$. We also show that Λ_1 has an infinite order Legendrian isotopy loop.

One can construct Legendrian links with infinitely many distinct fillings using exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian cobordisms. Consider any two Legendrian links Λ_- and Λ_+ such that there exists an exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian cobordism Σ from Λ_- to Λ_+ , if Λ_- has infinitely many distinct exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian fillings Σ^i distinguished by augmentations over a non-finite unital ring R . Then, by Corollary 1.5 of [5], the fillings of Λ_+ given by concatenating Σ with the fillings Σ^i of Λ_- are all distinct up to Hamiltonian isotopy. In Remark 3.6 we provide new examples of Legendrian links with infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian fillings. In particular, there are Legendrian representatives of the knots $11n_{183}$, $12n_{293}$, $12n_{321}$, $12n_{370}$, $13n_{1529}$ that have infinitely many distinct genus 2 exact Lagrangian fillings. We provide examples of links with infinitely many distinct planar fillings so that one or two of the link components are Lagrangian slice knots.

Studying exact Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian links also allows one to study closed exact Lagrangian surfaces in Weinstein 4-manifolds. **Weinstein manifolds** are Liouville manifolds equipped with a Morse function that is compatible with the Liouville structure. The handlebody decomposition of a $2n$ -dimensional Weinstein manifold, has handles of index $k \leq n$ with a symplectic structure [43]. Each symplectic k handle is attached along isotropic spheres to the contact boundary of another symplectic handle of smaller index. If $k = n$, the k -handle is attached along a Legendrian sphere. Consequently, Weinstein handlebody decompositions can be represented by the Legendrian attaching spheres. We call these **Weinstein handlebody diagrams**. See Section 2.3 for more precise definitions. It is often difficult to produce explicit handlebody diagrams for Weinstein manifolds, and the collection of Weinstein manifolds where one can do this is currently somewhat limited. Weinstein handlebody diagrams are useful in that one can compute various symplectic and topological invariants that may be otherwise more difficult to compute, such as the fundamental group, homology, symplectic homology and the Wrapped Fukaya category. Moreover, Weinstein handlebody diagrams can also allow one to find unexpected equivalences using Legendrian Kirby calculus.

Explicit Weinstein handlebody diagrams can also be used to construct closed exact Lagrangian submanifolds. Let X_Λ be a Weinstein 4-manifold constructed with a 2-handle attached along a Legendrian link Λ in (S^3, ξ_{std}) or $(\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. Then, the union of the Lagrangian core disk of the 2-handle and an exact Lagrangian filling Σ of Λ is a closed exact Lagrangian surface in $\bar{\Sigma} \subset X_\Lambda$. Casals and Ng in Proposition 7.11 of [8] proved that if two fillings Σ_1 and Σ_2 of Λ have distinct induced **restricted** augmentations, then $\bar{\Sigma}_1$ is not Hamiltonian isotopic to $\bar{\Sigma}_2$ in X_Λ . Restricted augmentations are augmentations satisfying an additional algebraic condition, see Definition 2.13. In addition to the Legendrians Λ_n , we also consider the Legendrian links $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$ whose Lagrangian projection is the (-1) -closure of the positive 4 stranded braid $(\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_2)\sigma_3^a\sigma_1^b$ for integers $a, b \geq 1$. This Legendrian was first shown to have infinitely many distinct fillings in [8] if $a, b \geq 1$. In Corollary 3.5 we show that both Λ_n and $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$ for $n, a, b \geq 1$ have infinitely many distinct restricted augmentations induced by fillings. Thus, we arrive at the following Corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a Weinstein manifold that has a Weinstein handlebody diagram containing either of the Legendrian sublinks Λ_n or $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$ for $n, a, b \geq 1$. Then X contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic Lagrangian surfaces of genus $\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ or $\frac{a+b-c}{2}$ where c is the number of link components of $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$. These surfaces are all Maslov-0, smoothly isotopic and intersect the Lagrangian co-core disks of the 2-handles corresponding to the sublink once.*

We apply Corollary 1.2 to **Milnor fibers of isolated hypersurface singularities** which have been extensively studied in homological mirror symmetry, singularity theory and low-dimensional topology. An isolated hypersurface singularity is the equivalence class of the germ of a holomorphic function, $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, whose differential has an isolated zero at the origin. The Milnor fiber of such a singularity is the smooth manifold $M_f = f^{-1}(\epsilon_\delta) \cap B_\delta(0)$ for suitably small ϵ and δ [34]. The modality of a singularity f can be thought of as the dimension of a parameter space covering a neighborhood of f in the space of singularities after the appropriate holomorphic reparametrization. Isolated hypersurface singularities of modality 0 and 1 have been classified [3]. Unimodular isolated singularities are either parabolic, $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities or one of Arnold's 14 exceptional singularities. We focus on the Milnor fibers of $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities which were shown in [31] to be exact symplectomorphic to the affine variety

$$T_{p,q,r} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^p + y^q + z^r + xyz = 1\},$$

for $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$.

The only possible exact Lagrangian submanifolds of 4-dimensional Milnor fibers of modality 0 singularities are spheres [27, 1]. This is not the case for Milnor fibers of positive modality singularities. See [33] for examples of monotone Lagrangian surfaces in Milnor fibers of positive modality singularities, and more generally in Brieskorn-Pham hypersurfaces. Keating in [31] constructed an exact Lagrangian torus in the 4-dimensional Milnor fibers of unimodular singularities using an explicit Lefschetz fibration of the 4-dimensional affine varieties $T_{p,q,r} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^p + y^q + z^r + xyz = 1\}$. Shende, Treumann and Williams in Example 6.3 [41], outline an argument that there are in fact infinitely many distinct Lagrangian tori that are not Hamiltonian isotopic in $T_{p,q,r}$ for $p, q, r \geq 1$. These potentially distinct tori are constructed from fillings of Legendrians in distinct Weinstein handlebodies of $T_{p,q,r}$ that are symplectomorphic but not yet shown to be Weinstein homotopic. See section 7.4 in [32] and Remark 3.17 in [26] for a description of $T_{p,q,r}$ as D^*T^2 with $p+q+r$ 2-handles attached along Legendrians. If $(p, q, r) \in \{(3, 3, 3), (2, 4, 4), (2, 3, 6)\}$, then $T_{p,q,r}$ compactifies to a del Pezzo surface (see Proposition 5.19 in [31]). Vianna in [42] uses this fact to construct infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian tori in $T_{3,3,3}, T_{2,4,4}$, and $T_{2,3,6}$ using different almost toric fibrations of the corresponding del Pezzo surfaces. We find infinitely many distinct Lagrangian tori from fillings of a Legendrian link in a single Weinstein handlebody decomposition of $T_{p,q,r}$. The first step is to produce a Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$. Casals and Murphy [7] establish an affine dictionary that allows one to obtain the Weinstein handlebody diagram of a Weinstein manifold from particular Lefschetz fibrations such as the Lefschetz fibration of $T_{p,q,r}$ given by Keating. A change in convention for the ordering of vanishing cycles and the Hurwitz move between the affine dictionary and the Lefschetz fibration of $T_{p,q,r}$ resulted in an incorrect handlebody diagram in Figure 22 of [7]. We provide the corrected Weinstein handlebody diagram in Figure 14.

Proposition 1.3. *The Weinstein 4-dimensional domains*

$$T_{p,q,r} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^p + y^q + z^r + xyz = 1\}$$

have the Weinstein handlebody diagram shown in Figure 14 for $p, q, r \geq 0$.

We apply Legendrian Kirby calculus moves to the Weinstein handlebody diagram shown in Figure 14 to find another Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ for $p, q \geq 1$ and $r \geq 3$ that contains the Legendrian sublink $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$. This implies that $T_{p,q,r}$ has infinitely many distinct Lagrangian tori by Corollary 1.2. We also find another Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ for $p, q, r \geq 2$ with Λ_2 as a sublink.

Theorem 1.4. *For any $p, r \geq 1$, and $q \geq 3$, the Weinstein 4-manifold $T_{p,q,r}$ contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian tori that are all smoothly isotopic and intersect the Lagrangian co-cores once. For any $p, q, r \geq 2$, the Weinstein 4-manifold $T_{p,q,r}$ contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian spheres that are all smoothly isotopic and intersect the Lagrangian co-cores once.*

It was already known that these Milnor fibers contain infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian spheres that are smoothly isotopic by work of Seidel [40]. However, these Lagrangian spheres intersect

the co-cores of the critical attaching handles $2k$ -times for all different values of k , which is not the case for the exact Lagrangians spheres we construct. Applying properties of Milnor fibers of adjacent singularities to Theorem 1.4 we arrive at the following more general result:

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that M_f is the Milnor fiber of a positive modality isolated hypersurface singularity f , then M_f contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian tori that are smoothly isotopic and infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian spheres that are smoothly isotopic.*

One can also compute the **symplectic homology** of a Weinstein manifold X_Λ using the Legendrian contact homology DGA of Λ , denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \partial)$ [4, 16]. We extend a criterion of Levenson [25] for the nonvanishing of the symplectic homology of a Weinstein manifold given a Weinstein handlebody diagram. Symplectic homology, denoted by **SH**, is a difficult invariant to compute, and this extension gives an easy way to check whether it vanishes for a slightly broader class of Weinstein manifolds.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X_Λ be the Weinstein 4 manifold resulting from attaching 2-handles along a Legendrian link $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(n)} \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. If there is any sublink $\Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(l)}$ for $l \leq n$ such that its differential graded algebra has a representation*

$$\rho : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_l^{\pm 1}]), \partial) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

where V is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} and $\rho(t_k) = -Id$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$, then $\text{SH}(X_\Lambda) \neq 0$.

An important theme in symplectic and contact topology is understanding flexibility phenomena which refers to when an object or situation is governed only by homotopy data. Flexible Weinstein manifolds abide by an h -principle [13] and it is often difficult to determine whether a Weinstein manifold is flexible or not. Since a flexible Weinstein manifold has vanishing symplectic homology, Theorem 1.6 also gives a criterion for when a Weinstein manifold is not flexible.

1.1. Outline of the article. In Section 2, we provide definitions and background material on the Legendrian contact homology differential graded algebra, exact Lagrangian cobordisms and Weinstein 4-manifolds. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we construct an infinite family of tori in Milnor fibers of positive modality isolated hypersurface singularities. Finally in Section 5, we prove the new non-vanishing criterion for symplectic homology.

1.2. Acknowledgement. The author thanks Lenhard Ng for many helpful conversations and suggestions. This project developed from a suggestion of Roger Casals, whom the author would also like to thank for various helpful conversations. The author also thanks Adam Levine, Ailsa Keating, and Emmy Murphy for useful conversations. The author was first introduced to the topic of Weinstein manifolds and Lefschetz fibrations in the 2017 Kylerec workshop. This work was supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship under grant *DGE* – 1644868 and also partially through the NSF grant *DMS* – 1707652.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Legendrian contact homology differential graded algebra. The **Legendrian contact homology differential graded algebra**, also known as the Chekanov-Eliashberg DGA, is an important Legendrian link invariant. For a more recent survey on this Legendrian invariant see [19].

Let $\Lambda \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ be an oriented Legendrian link with n components, $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(n)}$ and with k basepoints such that every component of Λ has at least one basepoint decorated with a monomial $\pm s_i^\pm$. We will always assume that Λ has rotation number zero. For a Reeb chord $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$, let a^+ and a^- denote the points of Λ at the end and beginning of the Reeb chord. Then, define $r(a), c(a) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ as follows: $r(a) = i$ if $a^- \in \Lambda^{(i)}$ and $c(a) = j$ if $a^+ \in \Lambda^{(j)}$. We say a is a **mixed Reeb chord** if $r(a) \neq c(a)$ and a **pure Reeb chord** otherwise. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_{i,j}(\Lambda)$ the set of Reeb chords a of Λ such that $c(a) = i$ and $r(a) = j$.

The underlying algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; R)$ is a unital non-commutative graded algebra over the coefficient ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}]$ that is generated by Reeb chords $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$, and basepoints labeled by monomials $\pm s_i^\pm$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. There is a surjective map from $\mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Lambda)]$ where each basepoint is

mapped the homology class of the link component on which the basepoint lies. We assume that the basepoints s_j^\pm commute with the Reeb chords. The grading on $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; R)$ is defined on the Reeb chord generators via a Conley-Zehnder index, and is defined on a word as the sum of the gradings of the letters in the word.

The differential ∂ of the Legendrian contact homology DGA is defined by counting rigid \mathcal{J} -holomorphic disks in the symplectization $(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3, d(e^t \alpha))$ with boundary on $\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda$. For Reeb chords a, b_1, \dots, b_m of Λ , denote by $\mathcal{M}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m)$ the moduli space of \mathcal{J} -holomorphic disks

$$u : (\mathbf{D}_{m+1}, \partial \mathbf{D}_{m+1}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda)$$

such that

- \mathbf{D}_{m+1} is the disk with $m + 1$ boundary points p, q_1, \dots, q_m removed and which are labeled in a counterclockwise order,
- u is asymptotic to $[0, \infty) \times a$ at p , and
- u is asymptotic to $(\infty, 0] \times b_i$ at q_i .

The quotient of $\mathcal{M}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m)$ by a vertical translation of \mathbb{R} is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m)$. If $\dim(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m)) = 0$, then we say the disks $u \in \mathcal{M}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m)$ are rigid. One can count such rigid \mathcal{J} -holomorphic disks over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Lambda)]$ as follows. For such a disk u the boundary segment between q_i and q_{i+1} can be closed off on $\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda$ into a curve by concatenating with the capping paths from q_i^- to $t_{c(q_i)}$, and the capping path from $t_{r(q_{i+1})}$ to q_{i+1}^+ since $c(q_i) = r(q_{i+1})$. Let τ_i denote the homology class of this curve. Then, $w(u)$ is the product of the Reeb chords and homology classes $\tau_0 \cdots \tau_m b_1 \cdots b_m$. Here we are setting $b_0 = a$. Moreover, if Λ is spin then the moduli spaces of the \mathcal{J} -holomorphic curves that we are considering admit a coherent orientation [17, 29], so one can assign a sign $\text{sgn}(u) \in \{-1, 1\}$ to each rigid \mathcal{J} -holomorphic disk. For a Reeb chord $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$, the differential is then given by

$$(2.1) \quad \partial(a) = \sum_{\dim(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m))=0} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}(a; b_1, \dots, b_m)} \text{sgn}(u) w(u).$$

Let $\partial s_i^\pm = 0$ for any basepoint, and extend the differential to \mathcal{A} using the graded Leibniz rule:

$$\partial(uv) = \partial(u)v + (-1)^{|u|} u \partial(v).$$

One can compute this DGA from the Lagrangian projection of a Legendrian in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$. The **Lagrangian projection** is given by $\Pi_{xy}(x, y, z) = (x, y)$, while the front projection is given by $\Pi_{xz}(x, y, z) = (x, z)$. Each double point in $\Pi_{xy}(\Lambda)$ is a Reeb chord of Λ . The four quadrants of every crossing in $\Pi_{xy}(\Lambda)$ are decorated with a Reeb sign, and an orientation sign as shown in Figure 2. For each crossing, two opposite quadrants have positive Reeb sign, and two have negative Reeb sign. If a crossing is positive then two adjacent quadrants have positive orientation sign and two adjacent quadrants have negative orientation sign. If a crossing is negative then all of the quadrants have positive orientation sign. Each rigid \mathcal{J} -holomorphic disk with $m + 1$ boundary punctures is projected to an immersed disk in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\Delta : (\mathbf{D}_{m+1}, \partial \mathbf{D}_{m+1}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \Pi_{xy}(\Lambda))$$

such that

- a neighborhood of each puncture is mapped to one of the four quadrants of a crossing of $\Pi_{xy}(\Lambda)$;
- $\Delta(p) = a$ and the neighborhood of a is mapped to a quadrant of a labeled with a positive Reeb sign;
- for $i = 1, \dots, m$, Δ sends q_i to b_i and a neighborhood of q_i is mapped to a quadrant of b_i labeled with a negative Reeb sign.

Let $\Delta(a)$ denote the moduli space of such rigid holomorphic disks Δ . For every such disk u , let $\text{sgn}(\Delta)$ be the product of the orientation signs at each corner of Δ , and the signs of any basepoints on the boundary of the disk. We also define $w(\Delta)$ to be the product of Reeb chords at the negative Reeb sign corners and basepoints on the boundary of the disk as we go around the disk in the counterclockwise




FIGURE 2. Reeb signs indicated for a positive crossing and a negative crossing. The quadrants with purple shading have negative orientation sign.

direction starting and ending at the corner at a . A basepoint labeled $\pm s_i^{\pm 1}$ contributes $\pm s_i^{\pm}$ if it is traversed along the orientation of Λ and $\pm s_i^{\mp 1}$ otherwise. Then, the differential is given by:

$$\partial(a) = \sum_{\Delta \in \Delta(a)} \text{sgn}(\Delta) w(\Delta).$$

The Legendrian contact homology DGA is a Legendrian invariant up to stable tame isomorphism. There are various Legendrian link invariants one can obtain from the Legendrian contact homology DGA which are easier to compute and to compare, such as the set of augmentations of a Legendrian link.

Definition 2.1. A **graded augmentation** of $(\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; R), \partial)$ to a ring of coefficients R supported in grading zero is a chain map $\epsilon : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; R), \partial) \rightarrow (R, 0)$ such that $\epsilon(1) = 1$, and for any element a of nonzero grading $\epsilon(a) = 0$.

The set $\{\epsilon \mid \epsilon \text{ is an augmentation of } \Lambda \text{ over } R\}$ is a Legendrian link invariant. It is useful to know when two augmentations are equivalent:

Definition 2.2. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(n)}$ be a Legendrian link. Two augmentations $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; R), \partial) \rightarrow (R, 0)$ are **split DGA homotopic** if there exists a chain operator $K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$ such that

- (1) K has degree 1,
- (2) $K(x \cdot y) = K(x) \cdot \epsilon_2(y) + (-1)^{|x|} \epsilon_1(x) \cdot K(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1$,
- (3) For any Reeb chord $a \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}(\Lambda)$ that begins on Λ_i and ends on Λ_j ,

$$\alpha_i \epsilon_1(a) - \alpha_j \epsilon_2(a) = K \partial$$

where $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in R^*$.

Remark 2.3. A split DGA homotopy is a generalization of a DGA homotopy for Legendrian links. We use this notion of equivalence for augmentations motivated by the following result for the Augmentation Category $\text{Aug}_+(\Lambda)$ defined in [36]:

Proposition 2.4 ([5]). *Augmentations of Legendrian links $\Lambda \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ are split DGA homotopic if and only if they are equivalent in $\text{Aug}_+(\Lambda; R)$.*

Remark 2.5. Suppose that Λ is a link with (-1) graded Reeb chords. If there is a zero graded Reeb chord a which is a cycle in the DGA (so $\partial a = 0$) then $\epsilon_1(a) \neq \alpha \epsilon_2(a)$ where $\alpha \in R^*$ implies that ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are not split DGA homotopic.

2.2. Exact Lagrangian cobordisms.

Definition 2.6. Let Λ_+ and Λ_- be Legendrian links in \mathbb{R}_{std}^3 . An **exact Lagrangian cobordism** Σ from Λ_- to Λ_+ is an embedded, oriented Lagrangian surface in the symplectization $(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3, d(e^t \alpha))$ that has cylindrical ends and is exact in the following sense: for some $N > 0$,

- (1) $\Sigma \cap ([-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ is compact,
- (2) $\Sigma \cap ((N, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3) = (N, \infty) \times \Lambda_+$,
- (3) $\Sigma \cap ((-\infty, -N) \times \mathbb{R}^3) = (-\infty, -N) \times \Lambda_-$, and

- (4) there exists a function $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and constants \mathbf{c}_\pm such that $e^t \alpha|_\Sigma = df$, where $f|_{(-\infty, -N) \times \Lambda_-} = \mathbf{c}_-$, and $f|_{(N, \infty) \times \Lambda_+} = \mathbf{c}_+$. We call f the primitive on Σ .

An **exact Lagrangian filling** Σ of a Legendrian link Λ is an exact oriented Lagrangian cobordism from \emptyset to Λ .

The **Maslov number** of an exact Lagrangian surface Σ is the greatest common divisor of the Maslov numbers of the closed loops in Σ . For a Legendrian Λ , the Maslov number of Λ is the Maslov number of the surface $\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda$. We will always assume that all Legendrians and Lagrangian cobordisms are Maslov-0. For any Legendrian link Λ with rotation number 0 that admits a Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian filling Σ , Chantraine [10] showed that the Thurston-Bennequin number of Λ is related to the genus of Σ : $tb(\Lambda) = 2g(\Sigma) - 2c + 1$ where c is the number of link components of Λ .

Remark 2.7. A **local system** of an exact Lagrangian cobordism Σ is a representation $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{F})$ where \mathbb{F} is a field. Local systems allow one to enrich various invariants by recording homotopic data. By abuse of notation we refer to representations $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow GL(1, \mathbb{Z}) = \{+1, -1\}$ for orientable surfaces Σ as rank-1 local systems over Σ . Furthermore, since $GL(1, \mathbb{Z})$ is abelian, it suffices to consider the maps $H_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow GL(1, \mathbb{Z})$.

The Legendrian contact homology DGA is functorial over exact Lagrangian cobordisms [18, 29]. Therefore, exact Lagrangian fillings induce augmentations.

Theorem 2.8 (Ekholm, Honda, Kalmán, Karlsson [18, 29]). *Suppose that Σ is an oriented, embedded, Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian filling of the Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$. Then Σ induces a DGA map*

$$\epsilon_\Sigma : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)], 0)$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]$ is in grading 0. If Σ and Σ' are Lagrangian fillings of Λ such that there exists a Hamiltonian isotopy from Σ_1 to Σ_2 through exact Lagrangian fillings of Λ that fixes the boundary, then the corresponding augmentations ϵ_Σ and $\epsilon_{\Sigma'}$ are chain homotopic maps.

Not all augmentations are induced by embedded Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian fillings, but they are induced from immersed exact Lagrangian fillings [39]. We will only consider embedded exact Lagrangian fillings from here on out. For an exact embedded Lagrangian filling Σ , the induced augmentation ϵ_Σ over $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]$ is a family of augmentations over \mathbb{Z} where each augmentation over \mathbb{Z} corresponds to a choice of local system of Σ :

$$\epsilon_\Sigma : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)], 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, 0).$$

One can also enlarge the coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]$ to include link automorphisms. If Λ is a link with n components, let e_1, \dots, e_n be a choice of units in \mathbb{Z} . A link automorphism of Λ is an automorphism ψ of $(\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]), \partial)$ such that $\psi(a) = e_{r(a)} e_{c(a)}^{-1} a$. Now consider $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_{n-1}^\pm]$, where $s_i = e_i/e_n$ for $1 \leq i < n$. Any augmentation over $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]$ lifts to an augmentation over $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}]$ by setting $\tilde{\epsilon}(a) = e_{r(a)} e_{c(a)}^{-1} \epsilon(a)$. The following definition allows one to work with such augmentations.

Definition 2.9 ([8]). A **k -system of augmentations** of a Legendrian link Λ is an algebra map

$$\epsilon : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}]$$

such that $\epsilon \circ \partial = 0$, $\epsilon(1) = 1$, and $\epsilon(a) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}])$ with nonzero grading. Two k -systems of augmentations

$$\epsilon : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}] \text{ and } \epsilon' : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[s_1'^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k'^{\pm 1}]$$

are equivalent if there exists a \mathbb{Z} -algebra isomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[s_1'^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k'^{\pm 1}]$$

such that $\epsilon' = \phi \circ \epsilon$. The space of such isomorphisms is parametrized by $\mathbb{Z}_2^k \times GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$.




FIGURE 3. A Reidemeister $R3$ move in the Lagrangian projection.

Definition 2.10 ([8]). A Legendrian link Λ is **aug-infinite** if the collection of all \mathbb{Z} valued augmentations $(\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ induced by embedded Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian fillings of Λ , ranging over all such fillings, is infinite.

Since each exact Lagrangian filling of a Legendrian link induces finitely many \mathbb{Z} valued augmentations, one can conclude that it has infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian fillings.

2.2.1. *Decomposable exact Lagrangian cobordisms.* There are two useful projections of Legendrian links $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{std}^3$. The Lagrangian projection is given by $\Pi_{xy}(x, y, z) = (x, y)$, while the front projection is given by $\Pi_{xz}(x, y, z) = (x, z)$. One can translate a front projection of a Legendrian link to a Lagrangian projection using Ng's resolution [35]. For both projections, there are Legendrian Reidemeister moves, see [19] for more details. If two Legendrian links Λ_- and Λ_+ are related by Legendrian isotopy or pinch moves then there exists an exact Lagrangian cobordism Σ from Λ_- to Λ_+ [18]. Moreover, there is a unique exact Lagrangian filling of the maximal-tb Legendrian unknot [21], which we call a minimum cobordism. **Decomposable** Lagrangian cobordisms are those which can be constructed using only pinch moves, Legendrian isotopy and minimum cobordisms. We now summarize the DGA chain maps induced by Legendrian Reidemeister moves and pinching moves [12, 18, 28, 38, 8].

Legendrian Reidemeister $R3$ moves. See Figure 3 for a Legendrian Reidemeister $R3$ move in the Lagrangian projection of Λ . Consider a braid of 3 strands labeled $\{1, 2, 3\}$ from the bottom strand to the top one, and let σ_k denote the positive crossing between the k th strand and the $(k+1)$ strand. A Legendrian $R3$ Reidemeister move takes $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2$, where the crossings given by $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$ are labeled b, a, c respectively. If we identify a, c with c, a respectively after performing the Reidemeister move then we find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} c \\ a \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Legendrian $R3$ Reidemeister move that takes $\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \rightarrow \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$, where the crossings given by $\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2$ are labeled c, a, b . After identifying a, c with c, a respectively, the chain map is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -b & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Legendrian Reidemeister $R2$ moves. A Legendrian Reidemeister $R2$ move that introduces two Reeb chords of grading k and $k-1$ induces a k -graded stabilization on the DGA. Now suppose we perform a Reidemeister $R2$ move on Λ that removes two Reeb chords a and b of grading k and $k-1$ such that $\partial a = b + v$ where v has no terms containing a or b . Then, the induced DGA chain map is given by $a \rightarrow 0$ and $b \rightarrow v$ and the identity on all other Reeb chords.

Moving a basepoint s over or under a crossing. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ is the Reeb chord that corresponds to the crossing in question. If we move s over a crossing as shown in the leftmost diagram in Figure 4, then $a \rightarrow sa$. If we move s over a crossing as shown in the rightmost diagram in Figure 4, then $a \rightarrow as^{-1}$. Both of these DGA maps are isomorphisms and the reverse of either of these DGA maps is their inverse.

Pinch moves. Figure 5 shows an orientable pinch move in the Lagrangian projection of a Legendrian where the pinch is performed at a contractible Reeb chord a . Ekholm, Honda and Kálmán in [18] gave an explicit description of the chain map over $\mathbb{Z}_2[H_1(\Sigma)]$ induced by pinching at a contractible simple Reeb chord. Casals and Ng in [8] have generalized this result and provide the explicit chain





FIGURE 4. Two base point moves.


 FIGURE 5. A pinch move at a chord a in the Lagrangian projection.

map over $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]$ induced by pinching at a more general class of contractible Reeb chords which they call proper.

Definition 2.11 (Definition 4.3 in [8]). A contractible Reeb chord $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ is proper if for any immersed disk Δ with possibly concave corners:


- Δ has a convex corner at some Reeb chord besides a labeled with a positive Reeb sign,
- Δ has at least one convex corner at a labeled with a positive Reeb sign,
- All other convex corners of Δ are labeled with negative Reeb signs, and
- if Δ has concave corners they are concave corners at a labeled with a positive Reeb sign.

Theorem 2.12 (Proposition 4.8 in [8]). Let Λ_+ be the Legendrian link before pinching a proper contractible Reeb chord a and Λ_- the Legendrian after pinching a proper contractible Reeb chord $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$. Then the induced cobordism map $\Phi_a : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_-; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm])$ is equal to the combinatorial map $\Phi_{L_a}^{\text{comb}}$ up to a link automorphism of Λ_- .

We now define the combinatorial map Φ_a^{comb} . View the Lagrangian cobordism from top to bottom. There is a natural oriented arc in a saddle cobordism which intersects Λ_- in two points that we label by s_i and $-s_i^{-1}$. Furthermore, the basepoints t_i on each component of Λ_+ are joined to the corresponding basepoints t_i on Λ_- by arcs on the Lagrangian cobordism. Suppose a_i be any Reeb chord of Λ_- . Let $\Delta_a^{\leftarrow}(a_i)$ and $\Delta_a^{\rightarrow}(a_i)$ denote the set of immersed disks Δ of Λ_+ such that

- Δ only has convex corners, only two of which are positive at a_i and of a ;
- We say $\Delta \in \Delta_a^{\leftarrow}(a_i)$, if at the corner at a , the orientation of Λ_+ points towards the disk Δ .
- We say $\Delta \in \Delta_a^{\rightarrow}(a_i)$, at the corner at a , the orientation of Λ_+ points away from the disk Δ .

Then, for any immersed disk $\Delta \in \Delta_a^{\leftarrow}(a_i) \cup \Delta_a^{\rightarrow}(a_i)$ we define the following three quantities: $\text{sgn}(\Delta)$, and $\omega_j(\Delta)$ for $j = 1, 2$. The sign associated to the disk $\text{sgn}(\Delta) \in \{\pm 1\}$ is the product of the orientation signs associated to Λ_+ at each corner of Δ multiplied by the signs of any basepoints on the boundary of the disk. The word $\omega_1(\Delta)$ is the product of all the Reeb corners of Δ with negative Reeb sign and basepoints that we encounter if we travel along the boundary of Δ from a_i to a in the counterclockwise direction. The word $\omega_2(\Delta)$ is the product of all the Reeb corners of Δ with negative Reeb sign and basepoints that we encounter if we travel along the boundary of Δ from a to a_i in the counterclockwise direction. Note that $\omega_1(\Delta)\omega_2(\Delta)$ gives us the product of all of the Reeb chords with negative sign encountered as we travel along Δ in the clockwise direction starting and ending at a_i . See Figure 6 for examples of disks in $\Delta_a^{\leftarrow}(a_i) \cup \Delta_a^{\rightarrow}(a_i)$. On the left of Figure 6 we show a holomorphic disk $\Delta \in \Delta_a^{\leftarrow}(a_3)$, where $\omega_1(\Delta) = a_2sa_1$, $\omega_2(\Delta) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}(\Delta) = +1$. On the right we show a holomorphic disk $\Delta' \in \Delta_a^{\leftarrow}(a_1)$, where $\omega_1(\Delta') = s$, and $\omega_2(\Delta') = sa_2$, and $\text{sgn}(\Delta') = -1$.

FIGURE 6. Two holomorphic disks $\Delta \in \Delta_a^-(a_3)$ and $\Delta' \in \Delta_a^-(a_1)$.

The DGA map $\Phi_a^{\text{comb}} : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_-; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm])$ induced by pinching the contractible proper Reeb chord a in Λ_+ is given by the composition $\Phi_a := \Phi^\leftarrow \circ \Phi \circ \Phi^0$, where $\Phi^0(a) = s$ and for Reeb chords $a_i \neq a$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(a_i) &:= a_i; \\ \Phi^\rightarrow(a_i) &:= a_i + \sum_{\Delta \in \Delta_a^\rightarrow(a_i)} (-1)^{|\omega_1(\Delta)|} \text{sgn}(\Delta) \Phi^\rightarrow(\omega_1(\Delta)) s^{-1} \omega_2(\Delta); \\ \Phi^\leftarrow(a_i) &:= a_i + \sum_{\Delta \in \Delta_a^\leftarrow(a_i)} (-1)^{|\omega_1(\Delta)|} \text{sgn}(\Delta) \Phi^\leftarrow(\omega_1(\Delta)) s^{-1} \omega_2(\Delta). \end{aligned}$$


2.3. Weinstein 4-manifolds. We will review some key facts on Weinstein 4-manifolds. See [13] for more details on Weinstein manifolds. Let (X, ω) be a symplectic manifold with non-empty boundary, a 1-form λ is a Liouville 1-form if $d\lambda = \omega$. Then, the vector field Z that is ω -dual to λ ($\iota_Z \omega = \lambda$) is called the Liouville vector field. A domain $(X, \omega = d\lambda, Z)$ is a **Liouville domain** if the Liouville vector field is complete and transverse to the boundary, and points outward along the boundary. For a Liouville domain $(X, \omega = d\lambda, Z)$, there is an induced contact structure on ∂X given by $\ker(\lambda|_{\partial X})$. The completion of a Liouville domain (X, λ, Z) is the non-compact manifold constructed from X by attaching to the boundary of X the symplectization of the boundary, $X \cup_\partial (\mathbb{R} \times \partial(X))$. A **Weinstein domain** (X, ω, Z, ϕ) is a Liouville domain $(X, d\lambda, Z)$ such that Z which is gradient-like with respect to an exhausting Morse function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A **Weinstein homotopy** on a Weinstein cobordism or manifold is a smooth family of Weinstein structures $(X_t, \lambda_t, Z_t, \phi_t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where we allow birth-death type degenerations such that the associated Liouville structures (X_t, λ_t, Z_t) form a Liouville homotopy. If two Weinstein domains $W_0 = (V, \omega_0, X_0, \phi_0)$, and $W_1 = (V, \omega_1, X_1, \phi_1)$ are Weinstein homotopic their completions are symplectomorphic.

The model Weinstein handle \mathcal{H} of index k in dimension $2n$ for $k \leq n$, $(\mathbf{D}^k \times \mathbf{D}^{2n-k}, \omega_{std}, Z_k, \phi_k) \subset (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{std})$ is described in detail in [43]. In particular, \mathcal{H} has convex boundary $S^{k-1} \times \mathbf{D}^{m-k}$ (Z_k points outward to the handle), and concave boundary $\mathbf{D}^k \times S^{m-k-1}$ (Z_k points inward to the handle). Then, the components of the handle are:

- the **core of the handle**, $\mathbf{D}^k \times \{0\}$, is a Lagrangian submanifold of \mathcal{H} ;
- the **co-core of the handle** $\{0\} \times \mathbf{D}^{m-k}$, is a Lagrangian submanifold of \mathcal{H} ;
- the **attaching sphere** $S^{k-1} \times \{0\}$, is an isotropic sphere in $(S^{k-1} \times \mathbf{D}^{m-k}, \ker(\lambda_k))$.

A Weinstein handlebody decomposition can then be given explicitly by attaching Weinstein handles each with a locally defined Morse functions which one can assemble into a global Morse function. Weinstein handlebody decompositions only contain Weinstein k -handles for $k \leq n$. In fact, the Weinstein handlebody decomposition of any $2n$ -dimensional Weinstein manifold (W, ω, Z, ϕ) , is encoded by the Legendrian submanifold $\Lambda \subset (\partial W_0, \omega, Z, \phi)$ corresponding to the attaching spheres of the critical handles, where (W_0, ω, Z, ϕ) is the Weinstein subcritical domain given by attaching handles of index strictly less than n .

Weinstein 4-manifolds only admit handlebody decompositions with 0, 1 and 2-handles. Attaching m Weinstein 1-handles to a Weinstein 0-handle $(\mathbf{D}^4, \omega_{std})$ gives the 4-manifold whose boundary is

FIGURE 7. A Legendrian handle slide of h_2 over h_1 .

$(\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. The attaching region of a 1-handle is $S^0 \times \mathbf{D}^3$. Diagrammatically, we consider S^3 as \mathbb{R}^3 with a point at infinity and draw the attaching region of the 1-handle as a pair of 3-balls that are identified with a reflection. A 2-handle is then attached along its attaching sphere, a Legendrian knot $\Lambda \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. For a 4-dimensional Weinstein 2-handle attached along a null-homologous Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$ the framing is given by $tb(\Lambda) - 1$, where $tb(\Lambda)$ is the Thurston-Bennequin number. Although the Thurston-Bennequin number is not invariant under all Legendrian isotopy in $(\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$, the canonical framing of the 2-handle is invariant under contactomorphism.

Gompf in [24] showed that Legendrian links in $(\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$ can be isotoped into a standard form where the attaching spheres of the 1-handles are all vertically stacked and the Legendrian links can run through the 1-handles but are otherwise contained to an area between the pairs of 3-balls representing the 1-handles. Within this area the contact structure, thanks to a contactomorphism is $(\mathbb{R}^3, \ker(dz - ydx))$, and we can therefore use the front projection within this region. The Thurston-Bennequin number of a Legendrian $\Lambda \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$ can be computed from the front projection as in the case of a Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3_{std}$. Two Legendrian links in $(\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$ are related by Legendrian isotopy if and only if their front projections are related by a set of six moves [24], three of which are the usual Legendrian Reidemeister moves and three referred to as Gompf moves. Gompf move 4 and 5 corresponds to passing cusps and crossings through 1-handles respectively. Gompf move 6 corresponds to moving a Legendrian strand past the attaching region of a 1-handle.

Two Weinstein handlebody diagrams in Gompf standard form are equivalent as Weinstein domains if they are related by the following moves: isotropic or Legendrian isotopies of the attaching spheres, handle slides, handle cancellations and handle additions. If one has two Weinstein k -handles h_1 and h_2 , a **handle slide** of h_1 over h_2 is given by isotoping the attaching sphere of h_1 and pushing it through the belt sphere of h_2 , where the isotopy is an isotropic or Legendrian isotopy. In the case of a handle slide for a 4-dimensional 1-handle, one drags one of the 3-balls in the attaching region of h_1 , over h_2 and back following a Legendrian path. If h_1 and h_2 are 4-dimensional Weinstein handles, with attaching spheres $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi)$, then the Weinstein handlebody diagram after sliding h_1 over h_2 has attaching spheres Λ'_1 and Λ_2 , where Λ'_1 has a front projection given by taking a connect sum with a push off of Λ_2 [14]. Figure 7 shows an example of a handle slide. One can add or cancel a pair of Weinstein handles h_1 and h_2 of index k and $k - 1$ respectively if the attaching sphere of h_2 intersects the belt sphere of h_1 transversely at one point [7]. We call such a pair of handles a **canceling pair**, see Figure 8 for an example of a canceling pair.

2.4. Exact Lagrangian surfaces in Weinstein manifolds. One can find exact Lagrangian surfaces in a Weinstein manifold using its Weinstein handlebody decomposition as follows. If $\Sigma \subset (W_0, \omega, Z, \phi)$ is an exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian filling of Λ , then the union of Σ with the Lagrangian cores of the handles attached to Λ is a closed exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian $\bar{\Sigma}$. See [7] for some combinatorial constructions of exact Lagrangian submanifolds obtained from fillings of Legendrian attaching spheres for high dimensional Weinstein manifolds.




FIGURE 8. A canceling pair of 4-dimensional 1 and 2-handles.

Let X_Λ denote the Weinstein 4-manifold given by attaching 2-handles to a Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset (\#^k(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. Recall that for every Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian filling Σ of Λ there is an induced system of augmentations

$$\epsilon_\Sigma : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)], 0).$$

One can compose this induced system of augmentations with a local system on $H_1(\Sigma)$ and obtain a family of \mathbb{Z} valued augmentations. Such a composition is well behaved under equivalence of local systems and systems of augmentations. Since $H_1(\Sigma)$ and $H_1(\bar{\Sigma})$ are not in general isomorphic (namely, if Λ is a link with more than one component), not all local systems on Σ extend to local systems on $\bar{\Sigma}$. We are interested in the systems of augmentations where this extension is possible.

Definition 2.13 (Definition 7.9 in [8]). Suppose that Σ is an exact Lagrangian filling of a Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset (\#^k(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$ that induces a system of augmentations $\epsilon_\Sigma : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)], 0)$, where Λ is equipped with the null-cobordant spin structure. The **restricted system of augmentations** associated to Σ is the composition

$$\epsilon_{\bar{\Sigma}} : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)]), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)], 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\bar{\Sigma})], 0),$$

where the second map is induced by the quotient map $H_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow H_1(\bar{\Sigma})$

Suppose one starts with the DGA of Λ $(\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Lambda)]), \partial)$ such that Λ has the Lie group spin structure. To translate to the DGA where Λ has a null-cobordant spin structure we map $t_i \rightarrow -t_i$ where t_i is a basepoint on the link component Λ_i which represents $H_1(\Lambda_i)$. Since each homology component of Λ is null-homologous in $\bar{\Sigma}$, then any restricted augmentation defined over the Lie group spin structure must map $t_i \rightarrow -1$. For Legendrian knots, $H_1(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to $H_1(\bar{\Sigma})$ for null-homologous knots Λ , so all augmentations are restricted.

Definition 2.14. A Legendrian link Λ is **restricted aug-infinite** if the collection of all \mathbb{Z} valued restricted augmentations $(\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ induced by Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian fillings of Λ , ranging over all such fillings, is infinite.

The following Proposition let us conclude that Weinstein 4-manifolds constructed by attaching 2-handles along a restricted aug-infinite Legendrian link Λ to $(\mathbf{B}^4, \omega_{std})$ have infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian surfaces up to Hamiltonian isotopy.

Proposition 2.15 (Proposition 7.11 in [8]). *Let $\Lambda \subset (S^3, \xi_{std})$ be a Legendrian link and $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \subset (\mathbf{D}^4, \omega_{std})$ two exact Lagrangian fillings of Λ . Suppose that the two restricted systems of augmentations*

$$\epsilon_{\bar{\Sigma}_1} : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\bar{\Sigma}_1)], \quad \epsilon_{\bar{\Sigma}_2} : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\bar{\Sigma}_2)],$$

are not DGA homotopic. Then, the exact Lagrangian surfaces $\bar{\Sigma}_1, \bar{\Sigma}_2 \subset W(\Lambda)$ are not Hamiltonian isotopic in the Weinstein 4-manifold X_Λ .

The proof of this proposition relies on the the fact that for such a Weinstein 4-manifold, X_Λ , the Wrapped Fukaya Category of X_Λ , $\mathcal{W}(X_\Lambda)$ is generated by the union of co-cores of the Weinstein 2-handles of X_Λ [11]. Then, by considering the Yoneda embedding $Hom(C, -) := CW(C, -)$, they show $CW(C, \bar{\Sigma}_1)$ and $CW(C, \bar{\Sigma}_2)$ are distinct as $CW(C)$ -modules and can conclude that $\bar{\Sigma}_1$ and $\bar{\Sigma}_2$ are distinct objects in $\mathcal{W}(X_\Lambda)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In order to prove the Theorem 1.1 we first show in Proposition 3.1 that Λ_1 is restricted aug-infinite by constructing a family of exact Lagrangian fillings of Λ_1 using a Legendrian isotopy loop. Then, in Corollary 3.5 we argue using exact Lagrangian cobordisms that Λ_n are also restricted aug-infinite for $n > 1$.

One way to construct different Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian links is through **Legendrian isotopy loops**. For any Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{std}^3$, consider the space of Legendrian links that are Legendrian isotopic to Λ , with basepoint an arbitrary but fixed Legendrian representative which we denote by $(\mathcal{L}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$. A Legendrian isotopy loop in $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ is a map $\tilde{\Phi} : (S^1, pt) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$. Any such loop $\tilde{\Phi}$ produces an exact Lagrangian concordance N of Λ , which induces a DGA map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}[s_1^\pm, \dots, s_k^\pm]).$$

One can then construct an infinite family of Lagrangian fillings Σ^i by composing the i concordances N and a specified exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian filling Σ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Recall that exact Lagrangian filling Σ induces an augmentation $\epsilon : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma)], 0)$. The exact Lagrangian filling Σ^i by construction induces an augmentation $\epsilon \circ \Phi^i : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma^i)], 0)$. It is a natural question to ask whether the systems of augmentations $\epsilon \circ \Phi^i$ are distinct for different $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This construction of exact Lagrangian fillings was first proposed in [6], and further developed in [8] where θ -loops were introduced. If the k -systems of augmentations $\epsilon \circ \Phi^i$ are pairwise distinct, then one can conclude that the Legendrian isotopy loop $\tilde{\Phi} : (S^1, pt) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ is an loop of infinite order on $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ whose induced monodromy action on the Legendrian contact homology DGA is of infinite order. Observe that we cannot only consider restricted augmentations to come to this conclusion. Moreover, in contrast to the property of being aug-infinite or restricted aug-infinite, the existence of a Legendrian isotopy loop of infinite order is not necessarily a functorial property. We prove that Λ_1 has a Legendrian loops of infinite order. The existence of a Legendrian isotopy loop of infinite order is also known for $\Lambda(\beta_{11})$ [8], and expected for Λ_n and $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$ for $n, a, b > 1$.

To show that a family of k -systems of augmentations ϵ_{Σ^i} induced by exact Lagrangian fillings Σ^i , are pairwise distinct as systems of augmentations it is useful to consider the following invariant defined in [8]:

$$(3.1) \quad E(i, a) := \max_{\eta: \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}} |\eta \circ \epsilon_{\Sigma^i}|,$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, some $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ and local systems η . Observe that the unital ring isomorphisms $\eta : \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which map s_i to ± 1 are in one-to-one correspondence with the composition of the augmentation $\epsilon \circ \Phi^n$ with a local system of the exact Lagrangian filling Σ^i . If $E(i, a) \neq \pm E(j, a)$ for a Reeb chord a of Λ that a cycle in the DGA, and integers $i \neq j$, then $\epsilon_{\Sigma^i} \neq \epsilon_{\Sigma^j}$, as argued in Remark 2.5. Thus, if $E(i, a)$ is a strictly increasing function over i for a Reeb chord a of Λ that is a cycle in the DGA $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$, then Λ is aug-infinite. To show that a family of restricted k -systems of augmentations, ϵ_{Σ^i} induced by exact Lagrangian fillings Σ^i , are pairwise distinct as systems of augmentations we consider the following refined invariant:

$$(3.2) \quad E_r(i, a) := \max_{\eta: \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}} |\eta \circ \epsilon_{\Sigma^i}|,$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, some $a \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ and local systems η . Again, if $E_r(i, a)$ is a strictly increasing function over k for a Reeb chord a that is a cycle in the DGA of Λ , then Λ has infinitely distinct \mathbb{Z} valued restricted augmentations induced by Lagrangian fillings.

Proposition 3.1. *The Legendrian link $\Lambda_1 \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ shown in Figure 9 is restricted aug-infinite. Moreover Λ_1 has an infinite order Legendrian isotopy loop.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Phi} : (S^1, pt) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}(\Lambda_1), \Lambda_1)$ be the Legendrian loop of the Legendrian link Λ_1 given by taking the crossings and basepoints labeled b_1, t_1, t_2 and moving them similarly to the Legendrian loop shown in Figure 10. This gives an automorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Lambda_1)]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1; \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Lambda_1)])$ defined




FIGURE 9. A family of Legendrian links Λ_n , where one of the sublinks is the (-1) closure of $\sigma_1^n \in Br_2^+$ for $n \geq 1$.




FIGURE 10. The purple arrow indicates the Legendrian isotopy of Λ_1 which moves the b_1 Reeb chords and t_1, t_2 basepoints clockwise.

as follows. Let

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_2 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_1 \\ t_2 & -t_1 b_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $\Phi(b_i) = b_i$, $\Phi(t_i) = t_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\Phi(C) = MCM^{-1}$, where C is the matrix with entries c_{ij} for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(f_2) \\ \Phi(f_4) \end{pmatrix} &= (M)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ f_4 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(g_2) \\ \Phi(g_4) \end{pmatrix} &= (M)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} g_2 \\ g_4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(g_3) \\ \Phi(g_1) \end{pmatrix} &= (M)^T \begin{pmatrix} g_3 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(f_3) \\ \Phi(f_1) \end{pmatrix} &= (M)^T \begin{pmatrix} f_3 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the decomposable exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian filling Σ^0 given by first pinching the Reeb chords g_2, f_4, f_3, g_1, f_2 in order, then performing a Legendrian $R2$ move and finally composing with four minimum cobordisms. We will compute the DGA maps induced by Σ^0 for all degree-0 Reeb chords. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}[s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_5^{\pm 1}]$ and set an isomorphism $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[H_1(\Sigma^0)]$. The filling Σ^0 of Λ_1 constructed

by this pinching sequence induces an augmentation ϵ_{Σ^0} . The specified isotopy loop $\tilde{\Phi}$ produces an exact Lagrangian concordance N of Λ with an induced DGA map $\Phi : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1; R)$. The exact Lagrangian filling Σ^i given by concatenating the concordance N i times and Σ^0 induces the augmentation

$$\epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^n : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1; R) \rightarrow R,$$

which is the Φ -orbit of ϵ_{Σ^0}

We start by describing the DGA map induced by exact Lagrangian filling Σ_1 . obtain the augmentation ϵ_{Σ_1} of Λ_1 induced by Σ_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(f_1) &= s_1 s_2 s_3^{-1} s_4^{-1} s_5^{-1} - s_2 s_1 s_5^{-1} & \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_1) &= s_1 - s_1 s_3^{-1} s_4^{-1} - s_2^{-1} \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(f_2) &= s_5 & \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_2) &= s_2 \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(f_3) &= s_3 - s_4^{-1} & \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_3) &= s_5 s_2^{-1} s_4^{-1} \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(f_4) &= s_4 + s_2 s_1 s_3^{-1} & \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_4) &= s_2^2 s_1 s_5^{-1} s_3^{-1} \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(t_1) &= s_1 s_2 s_4 s_5^{-1} & \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(t_2) &= -s_3 s_5 \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(t_3) &= -(s_3 s_4)^{-1} & \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(t_4) &= -(s_1 s_2)^{-1} \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(b_1) &= s_3 s_5^2 (s_1 s_2 s_4)^{-1} [s_3^{-1} s_2 s_1 s_5^{-1} + s_4 s_5^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

We now need to show that the loop $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ produces infinitely many distinct systems of augmentations of Λ_1 . Consider the Reeb chord g_2 , which is a cycle and compute $\epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^n(g_2)$. Recall that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_2) \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_4) \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}((M^{-1})^n) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_2) \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_4) \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(M)^n \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_2) \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_4) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the fillings we have constructed:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(M^{-1}) &= \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \left(\begin{pmatrix} b_1 t_2^{-1} & t_2^{-1} \\ t_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -[s_3^{-1} s_4^{-1} + s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}] & -(s_3 s_5)^{-1} \\ (s_1 s_2 s_4)^{-1} s_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_2) = s_2$ and $\epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(g_4) = s_2^2 s_1 s_5^{-1} s_3^{-1}$.

Note that for all choices of local systems η such that $\eta(s_3^{-1} s_4^{-1} + s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}) = \pm 2$, we find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_2) \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 s_3^{-1} s_4^{-1} & -(s_3 s_5)^{-1} \\ s_3^{-1} s_4^{-2} s_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} s_2 \\ s_2 s_4 s_5^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the local systems that may maximize $\eta \circ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_2)$ or $\eta \circ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_4)$ take the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \pm (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 2k+1 \\ 1-2k \end{pmatrix} \\ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+2k \\ 1-2k \end{pmatrix} \\ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+2k \\ -1+2k \end{pmatrix} \\ \pm \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \pm (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 1+2k \\ -1+2k \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We can then conclude that $E(k, g_2) = 1 + 2k$, and $E(k, g_4) = 2k - 1$, which are both increasing functions over k . Thus, Λ_1 is aug-infinite, and $\tilde{\Phi}$ is an infinite order Legendrian isotopy loop.

For any restricted augmentation, we have that $\epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(t_3) = -(s_3s_4)^{-1} = -1$, and $\epsilon_{\Sigma^0}(t_4) = -(s_1s_2)^{-1} = -1$. Therefore, for a restricted augmentation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_2) \\ \epsilon_{\Sigma^0} \circ \Phi^k(g_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -(s_3s_5)^{-1} \\ s_3s_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} s_2 \\ s_2s_4s_5^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since g_2 is a cycle, and $E_r(k, g_2) = 1 + 2k$ is a strictly increasing function over k , we can conclude that Λ_1 is restricted aug-infinite. \square

In order to prove that Λ_n are restricted aug-infinite for $n > 1$, we use the following Lemma to upgrade the following result from [5] which itself was a generalization of Proposition 7.5 in [8].

Corollary 3.2 (Corollary 1.5 [5]). *Suppose Λ_- is a Legendrian link in \mathbb{R}_{std}^3 that is aug-infinite over some non-finite unital ring R . If there exists a Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian cobordism Σ from Λ_- to Λ_+ , then Λ_+ is also restricted aug-infinite.*

Corollary 3.2 is a consequence of the fact that exact cobordisms induce injective maps on categories of augmentations of the Legendrian links [5]. In particular, if ϵ_-^1 and ϵ_-^2 are distinct augmentations of Λ_- over R induced by fillings Σ_-^1 , and Σ_-^2 . Thanks to the functionality of exact Lagrangian cobordisms, both augmentations induce augmentations of Λ_+ , ϵ_+^1 and ϵ_+^2 . By Theorem 1.4 in [5], ϵ_+^1 and ϵ_+^2 must be distinct and therefore the fillings given by concatenating Σ with Σ_-^1 and Σ_-^2 are not Hamiltonian isotopic.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\Lambda_-, \Lambda_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_{std}^3$ are Legendrian links such that there exists an exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_- to Λ_+ . Then, any restricted augmentation of Λ_- lifts to a restricted augmentation of Λ_+ .*

Proof. Let ϵ_- denote a restricted augmentation induced by a filling on Λ_- and ϵ_+ the augmentation on Λ_+ induced by concatenating with the Lagrangian cobordism Σ . Then, for some i, j we have that

$$\epsilon_+(t_i) = \epsilon_-(t_i)s \text{ and } \epsilon_+(t_j) = -\epsilon_-(t_j)s^{-1}.$$

If Λ_+ has one fewer link component than Λ_- , then t_it_j is a distinguished basepoint on Λ_+ . Since Λ_- is assumed to be restricted aug-infinite, $\epsilon_-(t_i) = \epsilon_-(t_j) = -1$. Therefore $\epsilon_+(t_it_j) = -\epsilon_-(t_i)\epsilon_-(t_j)ss^{-1} = -1$. If Λ_+ has one less link component than Λ_- , then $\epsilon_-(t_it_j) = -1$. Choose a local system such that $\eta(s) = -\epsilon_-(t_i)$. Then, $\eta \circ \epsilon_+(t_i) = \epsilon_-(t_i)\eta(s) = -1$, and $\eta \circ \epsilon_+(t_j) = -\epsilon_-(t_j)\eta(s^{-1}) = -1$. Thus, a restricted augmentation on Λ_- lifts to a restricted augmentation on Λ_+ . \square

The following Corollary is a consequence of Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose Λ_- is a Legendrian link in \mathbb{R}_{std}^3 that is restricted aug-infinite over some non-finite unital ring R . If there exists an exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian cobordism Σ from Λ_- to Λ_+ , then Λ_+ is also restricted aug-infinite.*

Corollary 3.5. *The Legendrian links $\Lambda_n, \Lambda(\beta_{ab}) \subset \mathbb{R}_{std}^3$ and any Legendrian link Λ' such that there exists an exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_1 or $\Lambda(\beta_{11})$ to Λ' , are restricted aug-infinite for $n, a, b \geq 1$.*

Proof. For $n > 1$, recall that there exists a Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_1 to Λ_n given by pinching the Reeb chords b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} in order. For $a, b > 1$ there exists also a Maslov-0 exact Lagrangian cobordism from $\Lambda(\beta_{11})$ to $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$. We showed in Proposition 3.1 that Λ_1 is restricted aug-infinite, and Casals and Ng showed that $\Lambda(\beta_{11})$ is restricted aug-infinite [8]. By Corollary 3.4, we can then conclude that Λ', Λ_n , and $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$ are restricted aug-infinite for $n, a, b \geq 1$. \square

of Theorem 1.1. Corollary 3.5 implies that the Legendrian links Λ_n have infinitely many distinct exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian fillings. The genus of the fillings of Λ_n can be obtained from the relation $g = \frac{tb(\Lambda_n)+c-2}{2} = \frac{n+c-2}{2}$ where c is the number of components of Λ_n (3 if n is odd, and 4 if n is even). Finally all that remains to be shown is that the fillings of Λ_n are smoothly isotopic. We follow

the argument in [6] for a similar set of fillings. First observe that fillings constructed from pinching sequences that differ by a composition of transpositions yield smoothly isotopic surfaces relative to the boundary. Suppose that a Reidemeister $R3$ move that takes crossings labeled left to right a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1} to a_{i+1}, a_i, a_{i-1} . Consider the surface given by pinching a_{i+1}, a_i , and a_{i-1} and compare it to the surface given by applying the Reidemeister move $R3$ and then pinching a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1} . Since the Reidemeister $R3$ move interchanges a_{i+1} and a_{i-1} , these two surfaces are smoothly isotopic. The fillings of Λ_1 , and Λ_n for $n > 1$ were constructed by pinching sequences and Reidemeister $R3$ moves and are therefore all smoothly isotopic relative to their boundary. \square

We can also apply Proposition 2.15 and Corollary 3.5 to conclude the following result for Weinstein manifolds constructed with critical handles attached along Legendrian links that we have just shown are restricted aug-infinite. The fact that the Lagrangian surfaces that we consider are smoothly isotopic follows from our construction.

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a Weinstein manifold that has a Weinstein handlebody diagram containing either of the Legendrian sublinks Λ_n or $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$ for $n, a, b \geq 1$. Then X contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic Lagrangian surfaces of genus $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$ or $\frac{a+b-c+2}{2}$ respectively, where c is the number of link components of $\Lambda(\beta_{ab})$. These surfaces are all Maslov-0, smoothly isotopic and intersect the Lagrangian co-core disks of the 2-handles corresponding to the sublink once.*

Remark 3.6. Corollary 3.5 allows one to find more examples of restricted aug-infinite Legendrian links. Here we provide some constructed from cobordisms to Λ_1 , and Λ_2 which may be of interest. We use front projections of Legendrian links. A pinch move in the front projection replaces two oppositely oriented strands with two cusps as shown in Figure 11. Figure 12 gives Lagrangian cobordisms from Legendrian representatives of the knots $11n_{183}, 12n_{293}, 12n_{321}, 12n_{370}, 13n_{1529}$ to Λ_1 . These Legendrian links then have infinitely many distinct genus 2 exact Lagrangian fillings and are restricted aug-infinite.




FIGURE 11. An orientable pinch move in the front projection of a Legendrian.




FIGURE 12. Legendrian knots with infinitely many distinct genus 2 exact Lagrangian fillings of smooth type (A) $13n_{1529}$, (B) $12n_{370}$, (C) $12n_{321}$, (D) $12n_{293}$, and (E) $11n_{183}$. The red bands denote the pinch moves that yield an exact Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_1 to any of these knots.

Suppose Λ is an arbitrary Legendrian link, Λ_s is an Lagrangian slice knot, and Λ_U the max-tb Legendrian unknot. Then, one can often construct an exact Lagrangian cobordism from $\Lambda \cup \Lambda_U$ to $\Lambda \cup \Lambda_s$. See Figure 13 for two such Legendrians that are cobordant to Λ_1 and Λ_2 . By Corollary 3.5,

these 3 and 4-component Legendrian links are restricted aug-infinite and have infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian planar fillings.




FIGURE 13. Two Legendrian links with at least one link component that is Lagrangian slice and which infinitely many distinct exact Lagrangian planar fillings. The red band denotes the pinch move that yields an exact Lagrangian cobordism from (A) Λ_1 , and (B) Λ_2 .

4. CONSTRUCTING INFINITELY MANY DISTINCT LAGRANGIAN TORI IN MILNOR FIBERS

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function such that $f(0) = 0$, $df|_0 = 0$ and $df \neq 0$ on $B_r(0) \setminus \{0\}$ for a sufficiently small r . An **isolated hypersurface singularity** at 0 is the equivalence class of the germ of such a holomorphic function f , up to bi-holomorphic changes of coordinates that fix 0. For an isolated hypersurface singularity f , the **Milnor fiber** of f is the smooth manifold

$$M_f := f^{-1}(\epsilon_\delta) \cap B_\delta(0)$$

for suitable choices of δ , and ϵ_δ which depends on our choice of δ . Milnor proved that M_f is independent of choices [34]. As the intersection of a hypersurface with a ball, the Milnor fiber has an exact symplectic structure inherited from $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, d(\frac{i}{4} \sum_{i=0}^n (z_i d\bar{z}_i \wedge \bar{z}_i dz_i))$. Furthermore the negative Liouville flow given by is the gradient flow of $h(x)$ with respect to the standard Kähler metric. Choose a cut-off function

$$h_A(x) = \|Ax\|^2$$

for some $A \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$f^{-1}(\epsilon_\delta) \cap \{h_A(x) \leq \delta\}$$

is an exact symplectic manifold with contact type boundary since the negative gradient flow of h points strictly inwards at any point of the hypersurface $\|Ax\|^2 = \delta$. Thus, we can describe the Milnor fiber as a Liouville domain which we can then complete by attaching cylindrical ends. Call this the completed Milnor fiber of f and denote it by M_f . Keating [30] showed that M_f is independent of the choice of holomorphic representative of f , A , δ , and ϵ_δ up to exact symplectomorphisms.

Isolated hypersurface singularities are equivalent to polynomials. This follows from the fact that the $(\mu + 1)$ jet of a function f at an isolated critical point with Milnor number μ is sufficient. That is, for any other function g with the same $(\mu + 1)$ jet, there exists a biholomorphic change of coordinates between f and g . One important property of a singularity is its modality. The group G of germs of diffeomorphisms $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ acts on the space of function germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. The modality of a singularity f is the least integer m such that a sufficiently small neighborhood of f is covered by a finite number of m -parameters of orbits. Moreover, this action of G on the space of

function germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ induces an action on the k -jet space of these function germs. In fact, the **modality** of a singularity f is the modality of any of its k -jets for $k \geq \mu(f) + 1$ where μ is the Milnor number of f . Isolated hypersurface singularities of modality 0 and 1 are classified [3]. There are three families of unimodular isolated singularities: three parabolic singularities, the hyperbolic series $T_{p,q,r}$ and 14 exceptional singularities. The hyperbolic singularities $T_{p,q,r}$ are given by

$$x^p + y^q + z^r + axyz,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$. The three parabolic singularities are the $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$. If f is a weighted homogenous function with a single isolated singularity at 0, then M_f is exact symplectomorphic to any of the hypersurfaces $f^{-1}(\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$ equipped with the standard Kähler exact symplectic form. The $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities are not in general weighted homogeneous, but Keating [31] proved that they are independent of $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, so we can set $a = 1$. There are also important relations between singularities that one can consider, one of which is given by whether a singularity is adjacent to another. A singularity $[f]$ is **adjacent** to $[g]$ if there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation p such that $[f + p] = [g]$.

Lemma 4.1 (Lemma 9.9 in [30]). *Suppose $[f]$ and $[g]$ are singularities, such that $[f]$ is adjacent to $[g]$. Then there exists an exact symplectic embedding from a non-completed Milnor fiber of g into a completed Milnor fiber of f .*

Under an embedding such as the one given in Lemma 4.1, vanishing cycles of g get mapped to vanishing cycles for f up to compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy. Arnold's exceptional singularities are adjacent to one of the three parabolic singularities. For $p' \geq p, q' \geq q$, and $r' \geq r$, $T_{p',q',r'}$ is adjacent to $T_{p,q,r}$. More generally, Durfee showed that:

Theorem 4.2 (Durfee [15]). *Any positive modality hypersurface singularity is adjacent to a modality one hypersurface singularity.*




FIGURE 14. A Weinstein handlebody diagram of the Milnor fiber of a $T_{p,q,r}$ -singularity where $p, q, r \geq 0$.

In [31], Keating showed that the Milnor fiber of $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities are given by the affine varieties $T_{p,q,r} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^p + y^q + z^r + xyz = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ for $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$. In [30] she gave a Lefschetz fibration $\Xi : T_{p,q,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ shown with regular fiber $\Xi^{-1}(0)$ symplectomorphic to a thrice punctured torus and $(p + q + r + 3)$ distinct critical values. We apply Casals and Murphy's affine dictionary [7] to this Lefschetz fibration of $T_{p,q,r}$ to produce a Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$. Note that we are using the conventions for Lefschetz fibrations from [7] where we order vanishing cycles counterclockwise and also use Hurwitz move convention in [7] where $\{V_i, V_{i+1}\} \rightarrow \{\tau_{V_i}^{-1}V_{i+1}, V_i\}$.




FIGURE 15. A Lefschetz fibration of $T_{p,q,r}$ whose vanishing cycles are Dehn twists of the four curves T, P, Q, R on the regular fiber.

Proposition 1.3. *The Weinstein 4-dimensional domains*

$$T_{p,q,r} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^p + y^q + z^r + xyz = 1\}$$

have the Weinstein handlebody diagram shown in Figure 14 for $p, q, r \geq 0$.

Proof. We start with the Lefschetz fibration $\Xi : T_{p,q,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from [30] which has vanishing cycles that can be written as Dehn twists of the four curves T, P, Q, R on the regular fiber $\Xi^{-1}(0)$ which are shown in Figure 15. These vanishing cycles are:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{-2} &= \tau_P \tau_Q \tau_R T, \quad V_{-1} = T, \quad V_0 = P, \quad V_1 = Q, \quad V_2 = R, \quad V_3 = T, \\ V_4 &= P \dots V_{p+3} = P, \quad V_{p+4} = Q, \dots, \quad V_{p+q+6} = Q, \quad V_{p+q+7} = R, \dots, \quad V_{p+q+r} = R. \end{aligned}$$

We apply three Hurwitz moves and obtain the following collection of vanishing cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{-2} &= \tau_P \tau_Q \tau_R T, \quad V_{-1} = T, \quad V_0 = \tau_P^{-1} \tau_Q^{-1} \tau_R^{-1} T, \\ V_1 &= P, \dots, \quad V_p = P, \quad V_{p+1} = Q, \dots, \quad V_{p+q} = Q, \quad V_{p+q+1} = R, \dots, \quad V_{p+q+r} = R. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we apply the affine dictionary and obtain the Weinstein handlebody diagram 14 as follows. First we use the Lefschetz fibration on the regular fiber $\Xi^{-1}(0)$ where the Lagrangian skeleton consists of Lagrangian spheres T, P, Q , and R . Since we already know how to write the vanishing cycles of Ξ as Dehn twists of these four curves T, P, Q, R , then we are in Step 5 of the affine dictionary and can use Proposition 2.23 of [7] to draw the front projection of their Legendrian lifts. Note that the order of the vanishing cycles determines the Reeb height of the Legendrian lifts. \square

It will be convenient to simplify the Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ as shown in Figure 16: (A)–(B) perform various handle-slides; (C) cancel out the 1-handles; (D)–(E) perform Reidemeister moves; (F) use the fact that one can handle-slide an N -copy of the unknot to a chain of N unknots. As soon as one cancel the 1-handles one can check that $T_{p,q,r}$ has the correct intersection form for $p, q, r \geq 1$. Furthermore one can also check that $T_{0,0,0}$ is Weinstein equivalent to T^*T^2 . We are now ready to use the Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ to find closed exact Lagrangian surfaces in $T_{p,q,r}$.

Theorem 1.4. *For any $p, r \geq 1$, and $q \geq 3$, the Weinstein 4-manifold $T_{p,q,r}$ contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian tori that are all smoothly isotopic and intersect the Lagrangian co-cores once. For any $p, q, r \geq 2$, the Weinstein 4-manifold $T_{p,q,r}$ contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian spheres that are all smoothly isotopic which intersect the Lagrangian co-cores once.*




FIGURE 16. We simplify the Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ for $p, q, r \geq 1$.

Proof. We start with the Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ shown in Figure 16. After performing Legendrian isotopy, Legendrian handle slides and handle cancellation, as described in Figures 17 and 18 we find a Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ that contains a sublink that is isotopic to $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$ if $p, r \geq 1$, and $q \geq 3$. The oriented sublink $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$ is restricted aug-infinite and only admits genus 1 exact Lagrangian fillings and so by Corollary 1.2, if $p, r \geq 1$, and $q \geq 3$ $T_{p,q,r}$ contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic Lagrangian tori. Here we give a brief summary of the Legendrian isotopies, handle-slides and cancellations employed in Figures 17 and Figure 18. For simplicity of notation we do not change the notation for the attaching sphere of a 2-handle after handle slides, although they are distinct as Legendrians. We also do not include the vanishing cycles that are chains of unknots as they do not play an active role in the computation. (A) Handle slide V_{p+1} under V_0 ; (B) – (D) perform Reidemeister moves; (E) handle slide V_{-1} over V_{p+1} ; (F) perform Reidemeister moves; (G) handle




FIGURE 17. We find a Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ that contains as a sublink $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$ when $p, r \geq 1$ and $q \geq 3$.




FIGURE 18. A sequence of Legendrian Reidemeister moves on the Legendrian link $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$. We use Ng's resolution to find a Lagrangian projection of $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$ that is isotopic to the (-1) closure of $(\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_1\sigma_2)^4\sigma_3^2\sigma_1^2 \in Br_4^+$.

slide V_{p+2} under V_{p+1} ; (H) perform Reidemeister moves; (I) handle slide V_{p+2} over V_0 ; (J) perform Reidemeister moves; (K) handle slide V_{p+2} over V_{-1} ; (L) perform Reidemeister moves; (M) handle slide V_{-1} over V_{p+2} . In Figure 18 we perform a series of Reidemeister moves, use Ng's resolution to translate from the front projection to the Lagrangian projection, and use Reidemeister moves once again to present $\Lambda(\beta_{22})$ as a link with a sublink that is the (-1) closure of the braid $\sigma_1^2 \in Br_2^+$.

We can also find a Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ that contains as a sublink Λ_2 . The oriented sublink Λ_2 has genus 0 fillings, and by Corollary 1.2, if $p, q, r \geq 2$ $T_{p,q,r}$ contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic Lagrangian spheres. We now describe the moves used in Figure 19. Note that we do not include the vanishing cycles $V_3, \dots, V_p, V_{p+3}, V_{p+q}, V_{p+q+3}, \dots, V_{p,q,r}$ as these are chains of unknots that do not play an active role in the computation. (A) handle slide V_1 under V_0 , (B) perform Reidemeister moves, (C) handle slide V_{p+q+1} under V_0 , (D) perform Reidemeister moves and handle slide V_{p+2} under V_{p+1} . For steps (F – G) we perform Legendrian Reidemeister moves to obtain the Legendrian link Λ_2 in the desired front projection. At step (I) we translate from the front projection to the Lagrangian projection using Ng's resolution, and (J) perform Reidemeister moves to obtain a projection of Λ_2 as a link with a sublink that is the (-1) closure of the braid $\sigma_1^2 \in Br_2^+$. \square

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that M_f is the Milnor fiber of a positive modality isolated hypersurface singularity f , then M_f contains infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian tori that are all smoothly isotopic and infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian spheres that are all smoothly isotopic.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.4, the Milnor fiber of any of the three parabolic singularities, that is the $T_{p,q,r}$ singularity for $(p, q, r) = (3, 3, 3), (4, 4, 2), (6, 3, 2)$, has infinitely many Hamiltonian non-isotopic exact Maslov-0 Lagrangian tori and infinitely many non-isotopic exact Lagrangian spheres. All positive modality singularities f are adjacent to a unimodular singularity by Theorem 4.2, and all unimodular singularities are adjacent to at least one of the three parabolic singularities. By Lemma 4.1, there then exists an exact symplectic embedding of the non-completed Milnor fiber of such $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities into M_f . \square




FIGURE 19. We use handle-slides and Legendrian isotopy to find a Weinstein handlebody diagram of $T_{p,q,r}$ that contains Λ_2 as a sublink when $p, q, r \geq 2$.

5. CRITERION FOR THE NONVANISHING OF THE SYMPLECTIC HOMOLOGY OF A WEINSTEIN 4-MANIFOLD.

There are various topological and symplectic invariants that one can compute from a Weinstein handlebody diagram, one of which is symplectic homology. Let X_Λ denote the Weinstein 4-manifold obtained from attaching Weinstein 2-handles to a Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. Bourgeois, Ekholm and Eliashberg in [4, 16] gave a relation between Legendrian invariants of the critical attaching

Legendrian spheres of 2 handles and symplectic invariants of the resulting Weinstein manifold. In particular, they showed that

$$\mathbf{SH}(X_\Lambda) = L\mathbf{H}^{H_0}(\Lambda)$$

where $L\mathbf{H}^{H_0}(\Lambda)$ is the homology of Hochschild like complex associated to the Legendrian contact homology differential graded algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Levenson in [25] used this result to show that if Λ has a graded augmentation the symplectic homology $\mathbf{SH}(X_\Lambda)$ does not vanish. We provide a generalization of this non-vanishing criterion.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X_Λ be the Weinstein 4 manifold resulting from attaching 2 handles along a Legendrian link $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(n)} \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. If there is any sublink $\Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(l)}$ for $l \leq n$, such that its differential graded algebra has a representation*

$$\rho : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_l^{\pm 1}]), \partial) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

where V is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} and $\rho(t_k) = -Id$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$, then $\mathbf{SH}(X_\Lambda) \neq 0$.

There is a fair amount of work that goes into defining a chain complex and differential of $L\mathbf{H}^{H_0}(\Lambda)$ in [4]. For the readers convenience we recall the relevant definitions and notation, with the slight modification that chain complexes are denoted with a C instead of CH . Consider the Legendrian contact homology differential graded algebra $(\mathcal{A}(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z}), \partial)$, with the null cobordant spin structure on each Λ_i , and generated by the Reeb chords of Λ . For the purposes of this section, we assume the DGA also had generators e_i , where e_i is an idempotent element associated to the link component $\Lambda^{(i)}$ and which we refer to as an empty Reeb chord. Moreover, we also require the empty Reeb chords to satisfy the following relations:

$$e_1 + \dots + e_n = 1, \quad e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij}$$

and

$$e_i \cdot c = \begin{cases} c & \text{If } c \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}(\Lambda) \text{ for some } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad c \cdot e_j = \begin{cases} c & \text{If } c \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}(\Lambda) \text{ for some } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The subalgebra $LCO(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is generated by cyclically composable monomials of Reeb chords, while $LCO^+(\Lambda) := LCO(\Lambda)/\langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$ is the subalgebra generated by non-trivial cyclically composable monomials of non-empty Reeb chords. Let $\widetilde{LCO}^+(\Lambda) := LCO^+(\Lambda)$ and $\widehat{LCO}^+(\Lambda) := LCO^+(\Lambda)[1]$. For any element $w = c_1 \dots c_j \in LHO^+(\Lambda)$, write the corresponding elements in $\widetilde{LCO}^+(\Lambda)$ and $\widehat{LCO}^+(\Lambda)$ as follows $\tilde{w} = \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n$ and $\hat{w} = \hat{c}_1 \dots \hat{c}_n$. The chain complex is then

$$LC^{H_0}(\Lambda) = \widetilde{LCO}^+(\Lambda) \oplus \widehat{LCO}^+(\Lambda) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \rangle,$$

where each τ_i has grading 0. Each τ_i is in bijective correspondence with each e_i and thus with each Legendrian link component $\Lambda^{(i)}$. Given an element $(\tilde{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i)$ in $LC^{H_0}(\Lambda)$, where $\hat{v} = \hat{c}_1 \dots \hat{c}_k$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, the differential is given by

$$d_{H_0}(\tilde{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i) = (\check{d}_{LCO^+}(\tilde{w}) + \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_k - c_1 \dots \check{c}_k, \hat{d}_{LCO^+}(\hat{v}), \delta_{H_0}(\tilde{w} + \hat{v})).$$

The differentials \check{d}_{LCO^+} and \hat{d}_{LCO^+} are the differential of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ restricted to $\widetilde{LCO}^+(\Lambda)$ and $\widehat{LCO}^+(\Lambda)$ respectively. The differential δ_{H_0} is defined as follows. If c is a non-empty pure Reeb chord beginning and ending on Λ_i , then $\delta_{H_0}(\check{c}) = n_{c_i} \tau_i$, where n_{c_i} is the count of the zero dimensional moduli space of holomorphic disks asymptotic at $+\infty$ to c . If w is a nonlinear monomial $\delta_{H_0}(\tilde{w}) = 0$, and for any element \hat{v} , $\delta_{H_0}(\hat{v}) = 0$.

Proof. Our goal is to construct a representation $\tilde{\rho} : (LC^{H_0}(\Lambda), d_{H_0}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(V^{\oplus n}), 0)$ such that $\tilde{\rho} \circ d_{H_0} = 0$. We use such a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ to show that $d_{H_0}(\tau_k) = 0$ and $\tau_k \notin \text{Im}(d_{H_0})$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. We can then conclude that $L\mathbf{CH}^{H_0}(\Lambda) = \mathbf{SH}(X_\Lambda)$ is nonzero.

First define a graded map $\rho' : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \rangle \rightarrow \text{End}(V^{\oplus n})$ as follows. For $1 \leq k \leq l$

$$\rho'(t_k)_{ij} = \begin{cases} Id & i = j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $k > l$,

$$\rho'(\tau_k)_{ij} = 0.$$

For $1 \leq k \leq l$

$$\rho'(\tau_k)_{ij} = \begin{cases} Id & i = j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $c \in \mathcal{R}_{kr}(\Lambda)$ is a Reeb chord beginning on Λ_k and ending on Λ_r and $1 \leq k, r \leq l$

$$\rho'(c)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \rho(c) & i = k, j = r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $c \in \mathcal{R}_{kr}(\Lambda)$ but $l < k$ or $l < r$, then

$$\rho'(c)_{ij} = 0.$$

Then, for any element $(\check{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i) \in LC^{H_0}(\Lambda)$, where $\hat{v} = \hat{c}_1 \cdots c_k$, and $m_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ define

$$\tilde{\rho}(\check{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i) = \rho'(w) + \rho'(m_i \tau_i).$$

We need to check that $\tilde{\rho} \circ d_{H_0} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(d_{H_0}(\check{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i)) &= \tilde{\rho}(d\check{w} + \check{c}_1 \cdots c_j - c_1 \cdots \check{c}_j, \hat{d}_{LCO^+}(\hat{v}), \delta_{H_0}(\check{w} + \hat{v})) \\ &= \rho'(\check{d}_{LCO^+}(\check{w}) + c_1 \cdots c_k - c_1 \cdots c_k) + \rho'(\delta_{H_0}(\check{w} + \hat{v})) \\ &= \rho'(\check{d}_{LCO^+}(\check{w}) + \delta_{H_0}(\check{w})). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of δ_{H_0} there are two cases to consider. If w is a nonlinear monomial that begins and ends on the link component Λ_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\tilde{\rho}(d_{H_0}(\check{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i)) = \rho'(\check{d}_{LCO^+}(\check{w}) + 0),$$

and by definition of ρ'

$$\rho'(\check{d}_{LCO^+}(\check{w}))_{ij} = \begin{cases} \rho(d\check{w}) & i = j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

since $d_{LCO^+} = d_{LCO}^+$. If $w = c$ is a Reeb chord beginning and ending on the link component Λ_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and because we can identify τ_i with the empty Reeb word $e_i \in LCO(\Lambda)$,

$$\tilde{\rho}(d_{H_0}(\check{w}, \hat{v}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \tau_i)) = \epsilon'(\check{d}_{LCO^+}(\check{c}) + n_{c_k} \tau_k) = \rho'(d_{LCO}(c)).$$

Then,

$$\rho'(d_{LCO}(c))_{ij} = \begin{cases} \rho(d(c)) & i = j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since we started with a representation ρ such that $\rho \circ \partial = 0$, we can conclude that $\tilde{\rho} \circ d_{H_0} = 0$. Finally, we observe that τ_k for $k = 1, \dots, n$ is a cycle because $d_{H_0}(0, 0, \tau_k) = (0, 0, 0)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\rho}(\tau_k) = \rho(t_k) = -Id \neq 0$, and $\tilde{\rho} \circ d_{H_0} = 0$, so we can conclude that $\tau_k \notin \text{Im}(d_{H_0})$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. \square

Flexible Weinstein manifolds abide by an h-principle [13] and it is often difficult to determine whether a Weinstein manifold is flexible or not. A Weinstein manifold is said to be flexible if the critical handles are attached along loose Legendrian submanifold. Since flexible Weinstein manifolds have vanishing symplectic homology, we can then immediately conclude the following.

Corollary 5.1. *Let X_Λ be the Weinstein 4 manifold resulting from attaching 2 handles along a Legendrian link $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(n)} \subset (\#^m(S^1 \times S^2), \xi_{std})$. If there is any sublink $\Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(l)}$ for $l \leq n$, such that its differential graded algebra has a representation*

$$\rho : (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_l^{\pm 1}]), \partial) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

where V is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} and such that $\rho(t_k) = -Id$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$. Then, X_Λ is not a flexible Weinstein manifold.

For an example of an application of Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 5.1, see [2] where the authors showed that the Weinstein complement of certain divisors in toric 4-manifolds are not flexible.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mohammed Abouzaid and Ivan Smith. Exact Lagrangians in plumbings. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 22(4):785–831, 2012.
- [2] Bahar Acu, Orsola Capovilla-Searle, Agn s Gabbled, Aleksandra Marinkovi c, Emmy Murphy, Laura Starkston, and Angela Wu. Weinstein complements of smoothed toric divisors. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:2012.08666*, 2020.
- [3] V.I. Arnol’d, et. all. *Dynamical Systems VI*. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [4] Fr d ric Bourgeois, Tobias Ekholm, and Yakov Eliashberg. Effect of Legendrian surgery. *Geometry & Topology*, 16(1):301-389, 2012. With an appendix by Sheel Ganatra and Maksim Maydanskiy.
- [5] Orsola Capovilla-Searle, No mie Legout, Ma ylis Limouzineau, Emmy Murphy, Yu Pan, and Lisa Traynor. Obstructions to reversing Lagrangian surgery in Lagrangian fillings. *preprint*, 2022.
- [6] Roger Casals and Honghao Gao. Infinitely many Lagrangian fillings. *Annals of Mathematics*, 195(1):207-249, 2022.
- [7] Roger Casals and Emmy Murphy. Legendrian fronts for affine varieties. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 168(2):225-323, 2019.
- [8] Roger Casals and Lenhard Ng. Braid Loops with infinite monodromy on the Legendrian contact DGA. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.02318*, 2021.
- [9] Roger Casals and Eric Zaslow. Legendrian Weaves: N-graph Calculus, Flag Moduli and Applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.04943*, 2020.
- [10] Baptiste Chantraine. Lagrangian concordance of Legendrian knots. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 10(1):63–85, 2010.
- [11] Baptiste Chantraine, Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell, Paolo Ghiggini, and Roman Golovko. Geometric generation of the wrapped Fukaya category of Weinstein manifolds and sectors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.09126*, 2017.
- [12] Yuri Chekanov. Differential algebra of Legendrian links *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 150(3):441-483, 2002.
- [13] Kai Cieliebak and Yakov Eliashberg. *From Stein to Weinstein and back*. volume 59 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. Symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds.
- [14] Fan Ding and Hansj rg Geiges. Handle moves in contact surgery diagrams. *Journal of Topology*, 2:105-122, 2009.
- [15] A.H. Durfee. Fifteen characterizations of rational double points and simple critical points. *Enseign. Math.*, 25(1-2):131-163, 1979.
- [16] Tobias Ekholm. Holomorphic curves for Legendrian surgery. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.07228*, 2019.
- [17] Tobias Ekholm, John Etnyre, and Michael Sullivan. Orientations in Legendrian contact homology and exact Lagrangian immersions. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 16(5):453-532, 2005.
- [18] Tobias Ekholm, Ko Honda, and Tam s K lm n. Legendrian knots and exact Lagrangian cobordisms. *Journal European Mathematical Society (JEMS)*, 18(11):2627-2689, 2016.
- [19] Tobias Ekholm and Lenhard Ng. Legendrian contact homology in the boundary of a subcritical Weinstein 4-manifold. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 101(1):67-157, 2015.
- [20] John Etnyre and Lenhard Ng. Legendrian Contact Homology in \mathbb{R}^3 *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.10966*, 2018.
- [21] Yakov Eliashberg and Leonid Polterovich. Local Lagrangian 2-Knots are Trivial. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 144(1):61, 1996.
- [22] Honghao Gao, Linhui Shen, and Daping Weng. Positive Braid Links with Infinitely Many Fillings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00499*, 2020.
- [23] Honghao Gao, Linhui Shen, and Daping Weng. Augmentations, Fillings, and Clusters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.10793*, 2020.
- [24] Robert Gompf. Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 148(2):619-693, 1998.

- [25] Caitlin Levenson. Augmentations and rulings of Legendrian links in $\#^k(S^1 \times S^2)$. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 288(2):381-423, 2017.
- [26] Paul Hacking and Ailsa Keating. Homological mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.05010*, to appear in *Geometry and Topology*, 2020.
- [27] Akira Ishii, Kazushi Ueda, and Hokuto Uehara. Stability Conditions on A_n -Singularities. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 84(1):87-126, 2010.
- [28] Tamás Kálmán. Contact homology and one parameter families of Legendrian knots. *Geometry & Topology*, 9(4):2013-2078, 2005.
- [29] Cecilia Karlsson. A note on coherent orientations for exact Lagrangian cobordisms. *Quantum Topology*, 11(1):1-54, 2019.
- [30] Ailsa Keating. Dehn twists and free subgroups of symplectic mapping class groups. *Journal of Topology*, 7(2):436-474, 2013.
- [31] Ailsa Keating. Lagrangian tori in four-dimensional Milnor fibres. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 25(6):1822-1901, 2015.
- [32] Ailsa Keating. Homological mirror symmetry for hypersurface cusp singularities. *Selecta Mathematica*, 24(2):1411-1452, 2017.
- [33] Ailsa Keating. Families of monotone Lagrangians in Brieskorn-Pham hypersurfaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2021.
- [34] John Milnor. *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*. volume 61 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1968.
- [35] Lenhard Ng. Computable Legendrian invariants. *Topology*, 42(1):55-82, 2003.
- [36] Lenhard Ng, Dan Rutherford, Vivek Shende, Steven Sivek and Eric Zaslow. Augmentations are sheaves. *Geometry & Topology*, 24(5):2149-2286, 2020.
- [37] Yu Pan. The augmentation category map induced by exact Lagrangian cobordisms *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 12(3):1813-1870, 2017.
- [38] Yu Pan. Exact Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian $(2, n)$ torus links. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 289:417-441, 2017.
- [39] Yu Pan and Dan Rutherford. Functorial LCH for immersed Lagrangian cobordisms. *Journal of Symplectic Geometry*, 19(3):635-722, 2021.
- [40] Paul Seidel. Graded Lagrangian submanifolds. *Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France* 128:103-149, 2000.
- [41] Vivek Shende, David Treumann, and Harold Williams. On the combinatorics of exact Lagrangian surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07449*, 2016.
- [42] Renato Vianna. Infinitely many monotone Lagrangian tori in del Pezzo surfaces. *Selecta Mathematica*, 23(3):1955-1996, 2017.
- [43] Alan Weinstein. Contact surgery and symplectic handlebodies. *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, 2(1):105-122.

(O. Capovilla-Searle) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS, DAVIS, CA, U.S.A.
Email address: ocapovillasearle@ucdavis.edu