

TiltedBERT: Resource Adjustable Version of BERT

Sajjad Kachuee

Department of Electrical Engineering
Sharif University of Technology
Tehran, Iran
sajjad.kachuee@ee.sharif.edu

Mohammad Sharifkhani

Department of Electrical Engineering
Sharif University of Technology
Tehran, Iran
msharifk@sharif.edu

Abstract—In this paper, a novel adjustable fine-tuning method is proposed that improves the training and inference time of the BERT model on downstream tasks. In the proposed method, first, the more important word vectors are detected in each layer by the proposed Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric. Second, the less important ones are eliminated with the proposed strategy. In the TiltedBERT method, the word vector elimination rate in each layer is controlled by the Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter, and the model learns to work with a considerably lower number of Floating Point Operations (FLOPs) than the original BERT_{base} model. The proposed method does not need any extra training steps, and also it can be generalized to other transformer-based models. The extensive experiments show that the word vectors in higher layers have less contribution that can be eliminated and improve the training and inference time. Experimental results on extensive sentiment analysis, classification and regression datasets, and benchmarks like IMDB and GLUE showed that the TiltedBERT is effective in various datasets. TiltedBERT improves the inference time of BERT_{base} up to 5.3 times with less than 0.85% accuracy drop on average. After the fine-tuning by the offline-tuning property, the inference time of the model can be adjusted for a wide range of Tilt-Rate selection. Also, A mathematical speedup analysis is proposed to estimate the TiltedBERT method’s speedup accurately. With the help of this analysis, the proper Tilt-Rate value can be selected before fine-tuning and during offline-tuning phases.

Index Terms—NLP, Transformer Structure, BERT Model, TiltedBERT Model, Tilt-Rate, GLUE Benchmark, Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Transformer [3] based architectures achieved remarkable success in various Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks, but the number of parameters of these models is around hundreds of millions. The training difficulty, inference latency, and small size of available datasets are the main concerns of using these models in real applications. [7] Fine-tuning of a pre-trained language model on downstream tasks is a useful way to mitigate some of these concerns including training effort and size of the dataset. GPT [4], BERT [5] and XLNet [6] are the examples of recently state-of-the-art pre-trained language models. The number of parameters is significant in these models hence the computational complexity bears billions of Floating Point Operations (FLOPs) for processing a single sample for inference.

The amount of inference phase computational effort made using these models on resource-limited systems such as edge devices is impossible or causes unacceptable latency and

energy usage management problems. In the literature, some methods are proposed to reduce the model computational effort by decreasing the number of model parameters and removing or sharing some layers. In addition, distillation-based methods produce a student network with a much smaller number of parameters. These techniques try to mitigate computational costs by decreasing the number of model parameters and decreasing the number of FLOPs at the inference phase [8] [9] and heads [10] [11]. The main problems of these methods are pre-training from scratch, extra training steps, excessive computational efforts, the need for supplement datasets, and significant accuracy degradation.

In this paper, the TiltedBERT model is proposed that speeds up the fine-tuning and inference phases of BERT_{base}. The proposed word vector sort and elimination (SaE) approach gradually decreases the effective word vectors in each layer and significantly reduces the number of FLOPs. The main contributions in this work are:

- The novel structure and training policy are proposed to speed up fine-tuning and inference times with negligible accuracy degradation.
- The method significantly decreases the number of FLOPs that can be effectively deployed on resource-limited devices like smartphones.
- After the fine-tuning phase, the model speedup can be tuned with the proposed offline-tuning property for a wide range of Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter selections.
- An accurate analytical speedup estimation is presented for the proposed method that helps a system designer determine the hyper-parameter selection on fine-tuning and offline-tuning.
- A new Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric is developed that experimentally shows that the context contribution in each self-attention output is decreased significantly at later encoder layers.
- The proposed method can be easily applied to other transformer-based architectures alongside various compression and acceleration methods.

II. RELATED WORKS

One of the most effective pre-trained language models is Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) [5]. It has multi-layer transformer-based architecture. BERT_{base} consists of an embedding layer and 12 stacked

encoder layers. Each encoder layer contains an attention layer with 12 heads and fully connected layers. The number of BERT_{base} parameters is around 110M, the pre-training of which has taken 79 hours on V100x64 GPUs [7].

Several methods have been proposed in the literature to mitigate the model size and latency, respectively, controlling the number of parameters and inference phase computational effort. The memory usage is linearly increased by increasing the number of model parameters but on the other hand, the inference time increases at a higher rate than the model size based on the model architecture.

ALBERT is a lighter version of the BERT model [9]. It used the cross-layer weight sharing and modified embedding layer structure, making the embedding size independent of the encoder stage hidden size. Moreover, the new Sentence Order Prediction (SOP) task is utilized in the pre-training phase instead of the Next Sentence Prediction task. ALBERT reports state-of-the-art results with its parameters reduction and modified pre-training policy. ALBERT is nine times smaller and 1.2 times faster than BERT_{base} with less than 2.5% on average accuracy drop. A progressive layer dropping at the pre-training phase of BERT architecture offers up to 2.5 times speedup at the inference phase with more knowledge transferability, and better generalization on downstream tasks [8]. DistilBERT [12], TinyBERT [17], and MobileBERT [15] are used the knowledge distillation techniques during the pre-training and offers up to 5.5 times faster inference on downstream task respect to BERT_{base}. The main concern with these methods is the pre-training effort of the model from scratch, extra training steps, excessive computational efforts, and significant accuracy degradation.

FastBERT is proposed with adaptive inference time in which the model uses a sufficient number of encoder layers based on the sample difficulty [13]. It dynamically adjusts the number of executed layers to reduce the overall computational effort. In [14] the model weights are encouraged to be exactly zero with the L0 regularization term. In [16] experimentally shows that it is not necessary to use all layers of a pre-trained model in downstream tasks. Studying various layer dropping strategies show that a significant amount of model weights are not necessary.

A parameter reduction method was proposed by identifying the most critical heads in each encoder layer using layer-wise relevance propagation and pruning the redundant heads [10]. It showed that the earlier encoder layer’s heads are much more critical than the last layers. Moreover, in [11], it is shown that around 17% of attention heads can be removed at the test time without significantly impacting performance.

PoWER-BERT proposes a word vector elimination method that eliminates redundant word vectors [18]. A supplement learn-able layer is added between each encoder self-attention and feed-forward layer, and an additional loss function term is used to train these supplement parameters. PoWER-BERT training consists of 3 steps of fine-tuning, elimination configuration search, and re-training that impose extra training effort.




Fig. 1. BERT_{base} [5] architecture with output layers

III. PROPOSED METHOD

This Section presents the philosophy behind the proposed TiltedBERT method and its architecture. Analyzing the number of FLOPs in the BERT_{base} model shows that most of the FLOPs and latency takes place in the encoder stage. The word vector elimination strategy at the encoder stage is proposed based on the novel Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric.

A. Backgrounds

Fig. 1 shows the BERT_{base} model architecture and classifier. The model consists of multiple layers. The inputs go through the tokenizer layer, the embedding layer, all the encoder layers, and the output layer in order. The tokenized input is mapped into a proper language word vectors space at the word embedding layer. After the embedding layer, the embedded context is fed into the 12 encoder layers to be processed. The proposed method focuses on sentiment analysis, classification, and regression tasks, so the BERT pooler and classifier layers are added in the last layer to produce proper output. For regression tasks, a fully-connected layer with a single output is used.

The BERT_{base} encoder layer, as shown in Fig. 2 consists of a multi-head self-attention layer and a feed-forward layer. Also, The residual branches and normalization layers are used for training stability and efficiency. The multi-head self-attention and feed-forward layers comprise several tensor multiplications and fully-connected layers with many computational FLOPs.

B. BERT FLOPs Analysis

The inference phase time and computational effort are directly related to Floating Point Operations (FLOPs). If the number of FLOPs in a network decreases, the inference time and computational effort are reduced accordingly.

Table. I shows the estimated FLOPs of BERT_{base} at different layers and sub-layers along with the relative computational load of each layer. The amount of FLOPs is estimated based




Fig. 2. BERT_{base} [5] encoder architecture

on the number of input tokens (T), the number of encoder layers (L), the hidden state size (H), and the number of output labels (N). The experimental results show that 99.8% of the computational complexity is at the encoder layers for a typical case¹. Therefore, reducing the number of FLOPs at the encoder layers can reduce the total number of FLOPs and inference latency.

C. Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric

As mentioned in III-B, the main portion of latency and FLOPs occurs in the BERT encoder layers. The proposed idea is to reduce the FLOPs by eliminating the less important word vectors at each encoder layer and passing the remaining ones to the next layer. Therefore, the context contribution of word vectors at each self-attention layer is studied in this Section.

The attention probability matrix already computed in the BERT encoder is used in the Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric. Fig. 3(left) shows the attention probability matrix of the BERT_{base} model. The rows of this matrix are normalized to one. By calculating the average of the attention probability matrix of the heads, the averaged probability matrix is created Fig. 3(center). The Score Vector (SV) results from the sum of this matrix along the unnormalized dimension Fig. 3(right). The SV indicates the contribution of each input word vector to the output of the attention layer. The average of the SV elements is one, and also the median of this vector is bounded. The median of the Score Vector is interpreted as the proposed Attention Context Contribution metric.

If the median of Score Vector is lower than the average, more than half of the elements are lower than the average value, and a few elements are larger than the average. In this case, the elements with the higher score values contribute more to the layer output and are more valuable. Decreasing

¹ $T = 512, L = 12, H = 768$ and $N = 2$

Algorithm 1 Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric

Input: Encoder stage inputs $\in R^{LTH}$ & fine-tuned BERT
 $\{T = \text{number of input tokens}, L = \text{number of encoder layers}, H = \text{hidden state size}\}$

Output: ACC vector: $E_{att} \in R^L$

Initialisation:

1: $E_{att} = [\emptyset]$

LOOP Process

2: **for** encoder in BERT – Encoders **do**

3: $M_{att} \leftarrow$ Self-Attention probability matrix: $\in R^{HTT}$

4: $M_h \leftarrow$ Mean of M_{att} over heads: $\in R^{TT}$

5: $M_s \leftarrow$ Sum of M_h over unnormalized dimension: $\in R^T$

6: $S_l \leftarrow$ Median of M_s (Score Vector): $\in R$

7: Append S_l to E_{att}

8: **end for**

9: **return** E_{att}

the median of the SV means that the number of less valuable word vectors is increased.

The Score Vector plays a key role in the TiltedBERT method. Attention Context Contribution (ACC) algorithm uses this vector to find the contribution of word vectors in each layer. The algorithm of the ACC metric calculation is explained in Algorithm. 1. The algorithm inputs are the BERT encoder stage word vectors and the model parameters. The algorithm output is the ACC metric vector that suggests the contribution of word vectors in each encoder self-attention layer. The first step is to get the pre-computed self-attention probability matrix, M_{att} . Then compute the average of this matrix over its heads, M_h . In the next step, the summation of the resulted matrix over its unnormalized dimension is computed, M_s . This matrix can be interpreted as the Score Vector. In the last step, the value of the Attention Context Contribution (ACC) of the layer is computed from the median of the Score Vector.

Fig. 4 shows the results of the calculated value of ACC metric for BERT_{base} encoder stage on IMDB [19] dataset and several General Language Understanding Evaluation (GLUE) [20] benchmark tasks. The ACC value is gradually decreased at subsequent encoder layers. The fitted curve to the ACC metric results shows that the value of the ACC metric is reduced at later layers. Hence, the word vectors contribution in each encoder layer is decreased remarkably at the last few encoder layers.

D. TiltedBERT Architecture

According to Section III-B, almost all of the latency and FLOPs occur in the encoder stage. On the other hand, according to the observation in Section III-C, the word vector contribution in the encoder stage decrease at later encoder layers. This Section proposes details of the TiltedBERT model architecture.

The TiltedBERT architecture is based on the original BERT with the modified multi-head self-attention layer. The proposed

TABLE I
BERT_{BASE} FLOPs STUDY

Stage	Sub-stage	Sub-stage FLOPs Estimation ^a	FLOPs Estimation	FLOPs Share ^b	Latency Share ^c
Embedding	Word-embeddings	0	7TH	0.003%	0.2%
	Position-embeddings	0			
	Layer-normalization	7TH			
Encoder (x12)	Attention-self	6LTH ² + 2HTL ²	6LTH ² + 2HTL ²	25.1%	99.8%
	Attention-output	2LTH ²	18LTH ²	74.9%	
	Intermediate	8LTH ²			
	Output	8LTH ²			
Classifier	Pooler	2H ²	2H ²	0.0013%	0.036%
	Output	4H			

^a T = number of input tokens, L = number of encoder layers, H = hidden state size and N = number of output labels

^b With T = 512, L = 12, H = 768 and N = 2

^c IMDB [19] dataset average relative inference time latency.




Fig. 3. Attention Probability Matrix and Score Vector (SV)

TiltedBERT multi-head self-attention layer is presented in Fig. 5. The probability matrix $P_{T \times T}^h$ and values matrix V^h on each head are sorted based on the obtained Score Vector. Then, the number of self-attention output word vectors is calculated with the Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter. The word vectors with less score are eliminated from the output of the self-attention layer. Therefore the number of the word vectors is reduced in subsequent layers gradually.

The number of remaining word vectors in each TiltedBERT encoder layer is calculated from (1). T_{l-1} and T_l are the numbers of input and output TiltedBERT encoder word vectors of the l th layer, respectively. α_{TR} is the Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter that controls the number of proposed self-attention output word vectors in each encoder layer.

$$T_l = \max 1, \lfloor T_{l-1} \times \alpha_{TR} \rfloor \quad (1)$$

Equation (2) presents the approximated number of processed word-vector in each encoder layer. Based on Table. I, in each encoder layer, around 75% of FLOPs occur after the added word-vector elimination layer. Therefore, the effective

number of processed word-vector in each encoder layer is composed of the remaining word vectors before and after the elimination layer.

$$PW_l = \frac{T_{l-1} + 3T_l}{4} \quad (2)$$

As mentioned in Section IV, the TiltedBERT method significantly decreases inference time without any extra training steps and additional training effort. For more intuition, in (3) and (4), the number of processed word vectors in the BERT_{base} and TiltedBERT is presented. In these equations, L is the number of BERT encoder layers, T is the number of encoder input word vectors, and α_{TR} is the Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter.

$$PW_{BERT_{base}} = T \times L \quad (3)$$

$$PW_{TiltedBERT} = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \alpha_{TR}^l \times T \times \frac{1 + 3\alpha_{TR}}{4} \quad (4)$$

Since almost all inference latency occurs in the encoder stage, the overall inference time speedup is almost equal to




Fig. 4. BERT_{base} [5] Encoder Stage Attention Context Contribution (ACC) metric results (blue bars) and second degree fitted curves (red lines) on SST-2 [21], MRPC [23], QQP [24], QNLI [25], RTE [26], MNLI [27], STS-B [28] and IMDB [19] tasks.




Fig. 5. Proposed TiltedBERT Modified self-attention layer

the encoder stage speedup. Equation (5) presents the estimated speedup of the proposed method. In this equation, the maximization of (1) is assumed always returning the second term because the sentences length of understudy datasets are not very short, and the assumptions remain valid for a wide range of Tilt-Rate selections.

$$K_{speedup} = \frac{4L \times (1 - \alpha_{TR})}{(1 + 3\alpha_{TR}) \times (1 - \alpha_{TR}^{L-1})} \quad (5)$$

For example, if T , L and α_{TR} were 512, 12 and 0.8, the TiltedBERT inference time speed is around 3.09 times faster

than BERT_{base}.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

This Section overviews the GLUE benchmark and IMDB sentiment analysis task and presents the TiltedBERT model and its implementation settings. The TiltedBERT performance is evaluated in the extensive tasks. At the end of this Section, the ablation studies are mentioned.

A. Datasets

The TiltedBERT model is evaluated on the IMDB [19] dataset which is a sentiment analysis task and General Lan-

guage Understanding Evaluation (GLUE) [20] benchmark with consist of two single-sentence classification tasks: SST-2 [21] and CoLA [22], two multi-sentence similarity classification tasks: MRPC [23] and QQP [24], one binary question answering task: QNLI [25], three natural language inference tasks: RTE [26], MNLI-M and MNLI-MM [27], and one multi-sentence similarity regression task: STS-B [28].

B. TiltedBERT Settings

The TiltedBERT model is implemented based on the pre-trained BERT_{base} model that consists of a tokenizer layer, an embedding layer, 12 encoder layers, and a pooler layer. Also, a proper output layer is added at the end of the model. The total number of parameters of this model is around 110 million parameters. As mentioned in Section III-D, the self-attention layer is modified in each encoder layer that detects, sorts, and eliminates less important word vectors. With this modification, the number of word vectors decreases in the later encoder’s layers and reduces the total number of FLOPs.

The hyper-parameters of TiltedBERT are the same as the BERT_{base} and one supplement Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter is added to control the word vectors elimination rate. The TiltedBERT model hyper-parameters are: learning rate - [1×10^{-5} , 5×10^{-5}], batch size - {4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128}, number of epochs - {3, 5}, Dropout - [0.05, 0.15], and Tilt-Rate - [0.65, 0.90].

The cross-entropy loss function is used for classification tasks and the Mean Square Error (MSE) loss function for regression tasks. The linear learning rate scheduler is used for all tasks and warm-up for some. In all of the tasks, AdamW optimizer with $\epsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$, $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.999$ is used. The number of input tokens is fixed based on datasets; somehow, lower 1% of samples are truncated. The selected input sentence length for each dataset is mentioned in Table. II.

C. Implementation Details

The TiltedBERT is implemented in the PyTorch² library with an Nvidia Tesla P100 GPU. The pre-trained BERT_{base-uncased} [5] Hugging Face³ implementation is used as the starting point. The datasets are from the Hugging Face Datasets library, and the GLUE results are verified on the official GLUE service⁴.

D. Experimental Results on GLUE and IMDB

The experimental results of the TiltedBERT for extensive tasks are presented in table Table. II. As observed in the table, the TiltedBERT inference time is up to 5.3 times faster than BERT_{base} with around 0.7% accuracy drop. The TiltedBERT speedup to BERT_{base} is 2.81 times on average with around 0.85% test result drop on average. These results indicate that the proposed method effectively improves transformer-based models’ performance and resource usage with trivial accuracy degradation. The reported measured FLOPs reduction gain is

consistent with inference speedup, and slight differences are from other computational overheads.

The expected speedup results are obtained from (5), and as observed, these estimations are in line with inference time speedup and FLOPs reduction gain. In the estimation, all of the computational efforts are assumed in the encoder stage and did not consider the tokenizer and classifier layers latencies. This consistency between the estimation and experimental results shows the correctness of the proposed analysis.

The experimental results of the TiltedBERT model on several GLUE benchmark tasks and recently proposed methods are summarized in Table. III. The BERT_{base} model (L=12, h=12, d=768) is used as the basis for comparison. The test results, number of FLOPs reduction gain, and inference time speedup are mentioned on average for each model. TiltedBERT results are competitive to other baseline previous methods on the GLUE benchmark and achieve 2.81 times speedup while less than 1% on average accuracy drops to the BERT_{base} model.

As shown in Table. III, the DistilBERT model achieves 1.67 times average speedup with around 1.5% accuracy degradation. In DistilBERT, a pre-trained general-purpose language presentation model must be trained from scratch with significant effort. The TinyBERT model speedup is 2.0 times with 0.5% accuracy drops on average. TinyBERT uses a two-stage learning framework that performs transformer distillation at pre-training and fine-tuning phases with considerable excessive computational effort. The MobileBERT model speedup is 5.5 times with around 1% accuracy drop, but the starting point of this model is BERT_{large}. The PoWER-BERT model speedup is 2.71 times with around 0.7% accuracy drop. However, the training of this model consists of 3 phases: fine-tuning, elimination configuration search, and re-training that impose extra training effort. The proposed method does not need extra training steps, excessive computational effort, and supplement datasets compared to other compared methods.

E. Ablation Studies

This Section will conduct ablation studies on the TiltedBERT offline-tuning property. In these experiments, the TiltedBERT model is fine-tuned on the downstream task. Then the Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter is swept around its value.

In Fig. 6(a), the FLOPs numbers in a wide range of Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter values are presented. As observed, the number of FLOPs exponentially decreased with the Tilt-Rate value. In Fig. 6(b), the accuracy of fine-tuned TiltedBERT model on multiple tasks is presented and shows that the accuracy value is stable for a wide range of Tilt-Rate values selections. In Fig. 6(c), the accuracy results to the number of FLOPs are plotted. The accuracy value is almost unchanged by decreasing about 60% of the FLOPs. The model’s accuracy on validation sets is reported because of the number of test set verification limits.

For fine-tuning TiltedBERT on a downstream task, the first step is to find the sentence length of the target dataset. The second step is to set the proper number of FLOPs or speedups

²<https://pytorch.org/>

³<https://huggingface.co/>

⁴<https://gluebenchmark.com/>

TABLE II
TILTEDBERT EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON GLUE [20] BENCHMARK AND IMDB [19] DATASET

	Model	CoLA	SST-2	MRPC	QQP	QNLI	RTE	MNLI-M	MNLI-MM	STS-B	IMDB	Avg
Test Result ^a	BERT _{base}	52.7	93.5	88.7	89	91	68.9	84.7	83.8	84.3	93.7	83.03
	TiltedBERT	52.8	92.5	87.5	88.3	90.1	67.8	83.7	82.8	83.7	92.7	82.19
Inference Speedup	-	3.7	2.7	2.7	5.3	2.0	1.8	2.7	2.5	2.0	2.7	2.81
FLOPs Reduction	-	3.80	2.79	2.85	5.56	2.05	1.81	2.69	2.53	2.11	2.73	2.89
Expected Speedup ^b	-	3.85	2.68	2.81	5.53	2.10	1.89	2.68	2.56	2.10	2.81	2.90
Input Seq Length	-	64	64	128	128	128	256	128	128	64	512	160

^a Matthew’s Correlation reported for CoLA; F1-score for MRPC; Pearson Correlation for STS-B; Accuracy for the rest.

^b With using the equation (5)

TABLE III
RESULTS COMPARISON BETWEEN BERT_{BASE} [5], DISTILBERT [12], TINYBERT [17], MOBILEBERT [15], POWER-BERT [18] AND TILTEDBERT MODELS

Model ^a	CoLA	SST-2	MRPC	QNLI	RTE	MNLI-M	MNLI-MM	STS-B	Avg	FLOPs	Speedup
BERT _{base}	52.7	93.5	88.7	91	68.9	84.7	83.8	84.3	80.95	1	1
DistilBERT	51.3	91.3	87.5	89.2	59.9	82.2	-	86.9	78.33	2.00	1.67
TinyBERT	51.1	93.1	87.3	90.4	70	84.6	83.2	83.7	80.42	2.00	2.00
MobileBERT ^b	50.5	92.8	88.8	90.6	66.2	83.3	82.6	84.4	79.9	3.95	5.52
PoWER-BERT	52.3	92.1	88.1	90.1	67.4	83.8	83.1	85.1	80.25	-	2.71
TiltedBERT	52.8	92.5	87.5	90.1	67.8	83.7	82.8	83.7	80.11	2.89	2.81

^a Matthew’s Correlation reported for CoLA; F1-score for MRPC; Pearson Correlation for STS-B; Accuracy for the rest.

^b MobileBERT starting point is from BERT_{large} architecture that is around three times more parameters with respect to BERT_{base}.




Fig. 6. (a)TiltedBERT FLOPs reduction gain versus Tilt-Rate in the various numbers of input sequence word vectors, (b)TiltedBERT offline validation set accuracy curves versus Tilt-Rate for SST-2 [21], MRPC [23], MNLI-M/MM [27] and QNLI [25] tasks, (c)TiltedBERT offline validation set accuracy curves versus FLOPs number for SST-2 [21], MRPC [23], MNLI-M/MM [27] and QNLI [25] tasks

concerning use case considerations and choose the Tilt-Rate value with the above curves or proposed speedup estimation formula. The last step is other hyper-parameters selection with mentioned in Section IV-B. After the fine-tuning phase, the speedup error can be adjusted with TiltedBERT offline-tuning property.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, The TiltedBERT model is proposed that speedups the BERT_{base} inference time significantly with a trivial accuracy drop. The TiltedBERT speedup can be tuned

after fine-tuning phase with the proposed offline-tuning property by adjusting the Tilt-Rate hyper-parameter value. The accurate analytical TiltedBERT speedup estimation is developed. Also, the novel word vector contribution ACC metric is presented. The ACC metric is applied on extensive datasets and experimentally showed that the word vector contribution in the self-attention layer is decreased in later layers. The TiltedBERT method improvements are verified on the GLUE benchmark and IMDB dataset. For future works, we plan to improve the word vector elimination policy and boost the inference speedup by applying parameter reduction methods

on the TiltedBERT.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to Mr.Mahdi Hosseini for his assistance and comments greatly improved our work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Krizhevsky, Alex, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 25 (2012): 1097-1105.
- [2] Greff, Klaus, et al. "LSTM: A search space odyssey." *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* 28.10 (2016): 2222-2232.
- [3] Vaswani, Ashish, et al. "Attention is all you need." *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2017.
- [4] Radford, Alec, et al. "Improving language understanding by generative pre-training." (2018).
- [5] Devlin, Jacob, et al. "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805* (2018).
- [6] Yang, Zhilin, et al. "Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 32 (2019).
- [7] Strubell, Emma, Ananya Ganesh, and Andrew McCallum. "Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.02243* (2019).
- [8] Zhang, Minjia, and Yuxiong He. "Accelerating training of transformer-based language models with progressive layer dropping." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.13369* (2020).
- [9] Lan, Zhenzhong, et al. "Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11942* (2019).
- [10] Voita, Elena, et al. "Analyzing multi-head self-attention: Specialized heads do the heavy lifting, the rest can be pruned." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.09418* (2019).
- [11] Michel, Paul, Omer Levy, and Graham Neubig. "Are sixteen heads really better than one?." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10650* (2019).
- [12] Sanh, Victor, et al. "DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01108* (2019).
- [13] Liu, Weijie, et al. "Fastbert: a self-distilling bert with adaptive inference time." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.02178* (2020).
- [14] Louizos, Christos, Max Welling, and Diederik P. Kingma. "Learning sparse neural networks through L_0 regularization." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.01312* (2017).
- [15] Sun, Zhiqing, et al. "Mobilebert: a compact task-agnostic bert for resource-limited devices." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.02984* (2020).
- [16] Sajjad, Hassan, et al. "Poor Man's BERT: Smaller and Faster Transformer Models." *arXiv e-prints* (2020): *arXiv:2004*.
- [17] Jiao, Xiaoqi, et al. "Tinybert: Distilling bert for natural language understanding." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.10351* (2019).
- [18] Goyal, Saurabh, et al. "Power-bert: Accelerating bert inference via progressive word-vector elimination." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2020.
- [19] Maas, Andrew, et al. "Learning word vectors for sentiment analysis." *Proceedings of the 49th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies*. 2011.
- [20] Wang, Alex, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel R. Bowman. "GLUE: A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07461* (2018).
- [21] Socher, Richard, et al. "Recursive deep models for semantic compositionality over a sentiment treebank." *Proceedings of the 2013 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*. 2013.
- [22] Warstadt, Alex, Amanpreet Singh, and Samuel R. Bowman. "Neural network acceptability judgments." *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 7 (2019): 625-641.
- [23] Dolan, William B., and Chris Brockett. "Automatically constructing a corpus of sentential paraphrases." *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Paraphrasing (IWP2005)*. 2005.
- [24] Cer, Daniel, et al. "Semeval-2017 task 1: Semantic textual similarity-multilingual and cross-lingual focused evaluation." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.00055* (2017).
- [25] Rajpurkar, Pranav, et al. "Squad: 100,000+ questions for machine comprehension of text." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.05250* (2016).
- [26] Bentivogli, Luisa, et al. "The Fifth PASCAL Recognizing Textual Entailment Challenge." *TAC*. 2009.
- [27] Williams, Adina, Nikita Nangia, and Samuel R. Bowman. "A broad-coverage challenge corpus for sentence understanding through inference." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.05426* (2017).
- [28] Cer, Daniel, et al. "Semeval-2017 task 1: Semantic textual similarity-multilingual and cross-lingual focused evaluation." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.00055* (2017).