

OPTIMAL EMBEDDING OF HYPERCUBE INTO CYLINDER

ZHIYI TANG

ABSTRACT. We study the problem of Embedding Wirelength of n -dimensional Hypercube Q_n into Cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$, where $n_1 + n_2 = n$, called EWHC. We show that such wirelength corresponding to Gray code embedding is $2^{n_2}(3 \cdot 2^{2n_1-3} - 2^{n_1-1}) + 2^{n_1}(2^{2n_2-1} - 2^{n_2-1})$. In addition, we prove that Gray code embedding is an optimal strategy of EWHC.

Key words: hypercube; cylinder; optimal embedding.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph embedding is important in parrallel algorithm, parrallel computer, or multi-processor systems. The hypercube is one of the most popular, versatile and efficient topological structures of interconnection networks [14]. Several studies related to the embedding problem have been performed, such as hypercube into path [4], hypercube into grid [2, 8], hypercube into complete binary tree [9] or Caterpillar CAT [10]. Even though there are numerous results and discussions on the wirelength problem, most of them are basically focus on the host graphs without cycles. As for the host graphs with cycles, the embedding problems are more complex. The major challenge lies in finding a partition of the edge set of the host graph and minimizing the induced total wirelength.

Manuel et al ([10]) and Liu et al ([6]) considered some sepcial cases of EWHC, where only four or eight nodes are permitted in each loop of cylinder. The minimum EWHC for general cases has been proposed as a conjecture in [10]. [11] claimed to prove EWHC conjecture, but [1] pointed out that there are logical flaws in the proof of [11]. Hence the proof of EWHC conjecture remains open[1]. Recently, Liu and Tang ([7]) give a rigorous proof of the conjecture of circular wirelength of hypercubes. The present paper develop the method in [7] and try to minimize EWHC by Gray code embedding.

Let G and H be finite undirected graphs with n vertices. $V(G)$ and $V(H)$ denote the vertex sets of G and H , respectively. $E(G)$ and $E(H)$ denote the edge sets of G and H , respectively. An embedding ([2]) f of G into H is defined as follows:

- (i). f is a bijective mapping from $V(G)$ to $V(H)$;
- (ii). f is a injective mapping from $E(G)$ to $2^{E(H)}$, which assigns for each edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E(G)$ a path $P_f(u, v)$ in H between $f(u)$ and $f(v)$.

In this paper, we always take $P_f(u, v)$ to be a shortest path from $f(u)$ to $f(v)$ in H . The distance between $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ in H , denoted by $d_H(f(u), f(v))$, is the length of the path $P_f(u, v)$. Based on the embedding f , the wirelength of G into H

is given by

$$WL(G, H; f) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} d_H(f(u), f(v)).$$

In addition, taking over all embeddings f , the minimum wirelength of G into H is defined as

$$WL(G, H) = \min_f WL(G, H; f).$$

In the paper, we give an exact formula of minimum EWHC in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$.*

$$WL(Q_n, C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}) = 2^{n_2}(3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_1}-3} - 2^{n_1-1}) + 2^{n_1}(2^{2^{n_2}-1} - 2^{n_2-1}). \quad (1)$$

Moreover, Gray code embedding is an optimal embedding of EWHC.

If $n_1 = 0, n_2 = n$, then the cylinder degenerates into a path, which is solved by [5]. If $n_1 = n, n_2 = 0$, then the cylinder degenerates into a cycle, which is solved by [3, 7], the theorem still holds.

Manuel et al ([10]) prove (1) in the case of embedding $n_1 = 2$, where either lexicographical order or Gray code embedding minimizes the wirelength. Liu et al ([6]) show (1) in the case of embedding $n_1 = 3$, where Gray code embedding minimizes the wirelength. Our work is to devote to the general cases.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 starts with two equivalent ways to compute the wirelength of an embedding, ECP and EIP, which are rather straightforward in Lemma 2.2. In Section 3, we propose a partition of the edge set of cylinder and the derivate wirelength formula (11) is obtained corresponding to this partition with EIP. In Section 4, we define Gray code embedding of hypercube into a cylinder and compute the exact wirelength (17) based on Gray code embedding. In Section 5, we show that Gray code embedding is an optimal strategy of EWHC, which is turned into Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2. Concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Computations of the embedding wirelength between different graphs have been widely analyzed. In this section, we list two major approaches: edge congestion problem(ECP) and edge isoperimetric problem(EIP), and focus on EIP for hypercubes later.

2.1. Edge congestion problem.

ECP is one of the most popular tools studied in the computation of wirelength of different embeddings. With ECP, one could treat the wirelength of an embedding as a problem of some congestion summation through all edges of H (such as [2, 6, 8, 10]). Here we only state some necessary definitions and notations, more results about ECP are referred to [2, 8].

Definition 2.1 (Def.2 of [13]). The *edge congestion* of an edge $e' \in E(H)$ when using an embedding f , denoted by $EC_f(e')$, is defined as the number of $e = \{u, v\} \in E(G)$ such that e' is incident on $P_f(u, v)$. In other words,

$$EC_f(e') = \#\{\{u, v\} \in E(G) : e' \in P_f(u, v)\}.$$

This can be extended any edge subset $Y \subset E(H)$, define

$$EC_f(Y) = \sum_{e' \in Y} EC_f(e').$$

For an embedding f , we define an index function $\delta(e', P_f(u, v))$ to be one if $e' \in P_f(u, v)$ and zero otherwise for each $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, $e' \in E(H)$. Then $EC_f(e')$, $d_H(f(u), f(v))$ are equivalent to $\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E(G)} \delta(e', P_f(u, v))$, $\sum_{e' \in E(H)} \delta(e', P_f(u, v))$, respectively. Consequently, the embedding wirelength of G into H is equivalent to $\sum_{e' \in E(H)} EC_f(e')$. In other words,

$$WL(G, H; f) = \sum_{e' \in E(H)} EC_f(e').$$

Clearly, take any partition $(Y_i)_{i=1}^m$ of $E(H)$, then

$$WL(G, H; f) = \sum_{i=1}^m EC_f(Y_i).$$

In particular, G is a regular graph. Based on Congestion Lemma of [8], for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, let Y_i be an edge cut of H such that the removal of edges of Y_i leaves H into 2 components. One induced vertex set is denoted by β_i , and let $\alpha_i = f^{-1}(\beta_i)$, edge set $R_i = \{\{u, v\} \in E(G) : u \in \alpha_i, v \notin \alpha_i\}$. Suppose Y_i satisfies the following conditions:

(A1). For each $\{u, v\} \in R_i$, $P_f(u, v)$ has exactly one edge in Y_i ;

(A2). For each $\{u, v\} \in E(G) \setminus R_i$, $P_f(u, v)$ has no edge in Y_i .

Then

$$WL(G, H; f) = \sum_{i=1}^m |R_i|.$$

Since conditions (A1)(A2) imply that $\sum_{e' \in Y_i} \delta(e', P_f(u, v))$ is one if $\{u, v\} \in R_i$ and zero otherwise for each $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$. What's more, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$EC_f(Y_i) = \sum_{e' \in Y_i} \sum_{\{u, v\} \in E(G)} \delta(e', P_f(u, v)) = \sum_{\{u, v\} \in R_i} \sum_{e' \in Y_i} \delta(e', P_f(u, v)) = |R_i|.$$

It is worth mentioning that [10] studied EWHC of the case $n_1 = 2$ by introducing some partition of edge set $E(C_{2^2} \times P_{2^{n_2}})$, then minimized each item with the help of ECP. [6] extended to the case $n_1 = 3$ with ECP. But for $n_1 > 3$, no one find an appropriate partition to minimize each item with ECP until now. The purpose of the paper is aim to present an alternative partition of $E(C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}})$, then to apply EIP to solve EWHC for more general cases.

2.2. Edge isoperimetric problem.

For any graph G , and $S \subset V(G)$, define

$$\theta(S) = \#\{\{u, v\} \in E(G) : u \in S, v \notin S\}.$$

The above results can be summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a regular graph and f be an embedding of G into H . Let $(Y_i)_{i=1}^m$ be a partition of $E(H)$. If each Y_i is an edge cut of H such that Y_i disconnects H into 2 components, induced vertex set β_i and β_i^c . Let $\alpha_i = f^{-1}(\beta_i)$, edge set $R_i = \{\{u, v\} \in E(G) : u \in \alpha_i, v \notin \alpha_i\}$, and Y_i satisfies conditions(A1)(A2). Then*

$$WL(G, H; f) = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta(\alpha_i).$$

Consider G to be a hypercube of dimension n , denoted by Q_n . The vertex set of Q_n , $V(Q_n) = \{0, 1\}^n$, are all n -tuples over two letters alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. For any $u, v \in V(Q_n)$, $\{u, v\} \in E(Q_n)$, if and only if u, v differ in exactly one coordinate.

For any $S \subset V(Q_n)$, [5] denote

$$\theta(n, S) = \#\{e \in E(Q_n) : e = \{v, w\}, v \in S, w \notin S\}.$$

What's more, for each $0 \leq k \leq 2^n$, denote

$$\theta(n, k) = \min\{\theta(n, S) : S \subset V(Q_n), |S| = k\}.$$

What kinds of set $S \subset V(Q_n)$ with $|S| = k$ satisfies $\theta(n, S) = \theta(n, k)$, is called *the discrete isoperimetric problem (EIP) for hypercubes*. Harper([4, 5]) developed EIP theory and did a series of good jobs on hypercubes embeddings. He proved that

Lemma 2.3 ([5]). *For $S \subset V(Q_n)$ with $|S| = k$, $\theta(n, S) = \theta(n, k)$ if and only if S is a cubal.*

Definition 2.4 ([5, 7]). Take $S \subset V(Q_n)$ with $|S| = k$, where we write

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^N 2^{c_i}, \quad N \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_N = \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor.$$

If S is a disjoint union of c_i -subcubes, $i = 1, \dots, N$, such that each c_i -subcube lies in a neighbor of every c_j -subcube for $j < i$, then S is called a *k-cubal*. Letting $E(k) = |E(S)|$ if S is a cubal with k vertices, then

$$E(k) = \sum_{i=1}^N (N - i)2^{c_i} + c_i 2^{c_i - 1}. \quad (2)$$

Consequently,

$$\theta(n, k) = n \cdot k - 2E(k). \quad (3)$$

As a corollary, we have

$$\theta(n, k) = \theta(n - 1, k) + k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\theta(n + 1, 2k) = 2\theta(n, k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2^n. \quad (5)$$

Next, we introduce another powerful indicator, *Type*.

Definition 2.5 ([3, 7]). Given any $S \subset V(Q_n)$, define

$$\text{Type}(S) := \min_{H \in \mathcal{H}_n} |S \cap H|,$$

where \mathcal{H}_n is the set of $2n$ half planes of Q_n . For any $n > 0$, $0 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $t \leq k/2$, we denote,

$$\theta(n, k, t) = \min\{\theta(n, S) : S \subset V(Q_n), |S| = k, \text{Type}(S) = t\}.$$

We call a subset S with $|S| = 2^{n-1}$ is of small type, if $0 \leq \text{Type}(S) \leq 2^{n-3}$. Otherwise, we call it is of big type.

If S is of small type, then we can directly compute its θ value by formula

$$\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t) = 2\theta(n-2, t) + 2^{n-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2^{n-3}. \quad (6)$$

What's more, for $0 \leq t \leq 2^{n-4}$, by (6) and (5), we have

$$\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2t) = 2\theta(n-1, 2^{n-2}, t). \quad (7)$$

If S is of big type, then we can estimate the lower bound of its θ value by relation

$$\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t) \geq \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-3}), \quad 2^{n-3} \leq t \leq 2^{n-2}. \quad (8)$$

The details of (6) and (8) are referred to [7].

3. A PARTITION OF $E(C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}})$

In this section, we present notations of the cylinder, including its vertex set and edge set. Then we apply EIP to compute EWHC corresponding to a partition of the edge set of cylinder.

In convention, denote 1-dimensional path and circle with $n \geq 2$ vertices by P_n and C_n , respectively. The cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ is a Cartesian product of a circle $C_{2^{n_1}}$ with a path $P_{2^{n_2}}$. Also, the cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ is seen as the grid $P_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ with a wraparound edge in each column.

It is nature to label the vertex set of $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ with two coordinates, i.e., $V = \{(x_1, x_2) : 1 \leq x_i \leq 2^{n_i}, i = 1, 2\}$. In a different way, the vertex set of $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ can be labelled by a series of nature numbers (one coordinate) in the following arrangement. (see Fig.1)

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 2 & \dots \quad 2^{n_2} \\ 2 \cdot 2^{n_2} & 2 \cdot 2^{n_2} - 1 & \dots \quad 2^{n_2} + 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \quad \dots \\ (2^{n_1} - 2) \cdot 2^{n_2} + 1 & (2^{n_1} - 2) \cdot 2^{n_2} + 2 & \dots \quad (2^{n_1} - 1) \cdot 2^{n_2} \\ 2^{n_1} \cdot 2^{n_2} & 2^{n_1} \cdot 2^{n_2} - 1 & \dots \quad (2^{n_1} - 1) \cdot 2^{n_2} + 1 \end{array}$$

For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Based on this labelling, an embedding of hypercube Q_n into cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ is a map $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1}$, $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2}$, letting

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (i-1)2^{n_2} + j, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ i \cdot 2^{n_2} + 1 - j, & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 1. cylinder $C_{2^2} \times P_{2^3}$

then the edge set of $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$,

$$E(C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}) = \left\{ \{a_{i,j}, a_{i,j+1}\} : 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1}, 1 \leq j < 2^{n_2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \{a_{i,j}, a_{i+1,j}\} : 1 \leq i < 2^{n_1}, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \{a_{2^{n_1},j}, a_{1,j}\} : 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} \right\}.$$

Letting

$$X_i = \left\{ \{a_{2^{n_1},j}, a_{1,j}\}, \{a_{2^{n_1-1},j}, a_{2^{n_1-1}+1,j}\} : 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} \right\},$$

for $1 < i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$,

$$X_i = \left\{ \{a_{i-1,j}, a_{i,j}\}, \{a_{i-1,j} + 2^{n-1}, a_{i,j} + 2^{n-1}\} : 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} \right\},$$

and for $1 \leq j < 2^{n_2}$,

$$Y_j = \left\{ \{a_{i,j}, a_{i,j+1}\} : 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1} \right\}.$$

Observe that X_i disconnects $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ into two components, where the induced vertex set

$$A_i = \{(i-1)2^{n_2} + k : 1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}, \quad (9)$$

and Y_j disconnects $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ into two components, where the induced vertex set

$$B_j = \{a_{i,k} : 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1}, 1 \leq k \leq j\}, \quad 1 \leq j < 2^{n_2}. \quad (10)$$

It is direct to check that $\{X_1, \dots, X_{2^{n_1-1}}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{2^{n_2}-1}\}$ is a partition of $E(C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}})$ and satisfies conditions(A1) (A2).(See Fig. 2)

In the rest of paper, let f be an embedding of hypercube Q_n into cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ with $n_1 + n_2 = n$, A_i and B_j are defined in (9) and (10), respectively. By Lemma 2.2, the wirelength

$$WL(Q_n, C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}; f) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, f^{-1}(A_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(B_j)). \quad (11)$$

4. GRAY CODE EMBEDDING OF Q_n INTO $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$

In this section, we study an embedding of Q_n into $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ that is based on Gray code.




FIGURE 2. (a) edge cut X_2 disconnects $C_{2^3} \times P_{2^2}$ into two components, where the induced vertex set is A_2 . (b) edge cut Y_3 disconnects $C_{2^3} \times P_{2^2}$ into two components, where the induced vertex set is B_3 .

Definition 4.1 ([7]). A *Gray code* is an ordering of 2^n binary numbers such that only one bit changes from one entry to the next. For $n > 0$, define a Gray code map $\xi_n : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$. Take any $u = u_1 \cdots u_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Let $u' = u'_1 \cdots u'_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be defined as, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, $u'_i = 0$, if $u_1 + \cdots + u_i$ is even; $u'_i = 1$, otherwise. Then $\xi_n(u) = (u')_2 + 1$, where $(u')_2$ is the integer with binary expansion u' . For example: $\xi_5(01101) = (01001)_2 + 1 = 9 + 1 = 10$.

Clearly, $V(Q_n) = \{0, 1\}^n$, $V(C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$ for $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Thus, ξ_n is also identified as Gray code embedding of Q_n into $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$, where $n_1 + n_2 = n$. For $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$, letting

$$S_i = \{i, i + 1, \dots, i + 2^{n-1} - 1\}, \quad (12)$$

and $G_i = \xi_n^{-1}(S_i)$, $\text{Type}(G_i) = g_i^n$. Notice that $G_i, \text{Type}(G_i)$ are same as Section 2.4 in [7]. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$,

$$g_i^n = \begin{cases} i - 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-3}, \\ 2^{n-2} - (i - 1), & \text{if } 2^{n-3} < i \leq 2^{n-2}, \\ g_{i-2^{n-2}}^n, & \text{if } 2^{n-2} < i \leq 2^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

and $\theta(n, G_i) = \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, g_i^n)$.

Now, consider the embedding of Q_n into $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ for $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Observe that for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$, $A_i = S_{1+2^{n_2}(i-1)}$, and

$$\xi_n^{-1}(A_i) = G_{1+2^{n_2}(i-1)},$$

$$\text{Type}(\xi_n^{-1}(A_i)) = g_{1+2^{n_2}(i-1)}^n = 2^{n_2} g_i^{n_1}. \quad (13)$$

Together with (7) repeatedly, we have

$$\theta(n, \xi_n^{-1}(A_i)) = \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n_2} g_i^{n_1}) = 2^{n_2} \theta(n_1, 2^{n_1-1}, g_i^{n_1}). \quad (14)$$

Moreover, by the circular wirelength formula[7]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, g_i^n) = 3 \cdot 2^{2n-3} - 2^{n-1},$$

so, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, \xi_n^{-1}(A_i)) = 2^{n_2} (3 \cdot 2^{2n_1-3} - 2^{n_1-1}). \quad (15)$$

1	2	3	4
00000	00001	00011	00010
8	7	6	5
00100	00101	00111	00110
9	10	11	12
01100	01101	01111	01110
16	15	14	13
01000	01001	01011	01010
17	18	19	20
110000	11001	11011	11010
24	23	22	21
11100	11101	11101	11010
25	26	27	28
10100	10101	10111	10110
32	31	30	29
10000	10001	10011	10010

FIGURE 3. Gray code in $C_{2^3} \times P_{2^2}$

In addition, by the recurrent structure of Gray code embedding, it is direct to check that for each $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} - 1$, $\xi_n^{-1}(\{a_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1}\})$ is a n_1 -dimensional subcube.(see Fig.3). Lemma 4.2 of [6] shows that for each $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1}$, $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} - 1$, $\xi_n^{-1}(\{a_{i,k} : 1 \leq k \leq j\})$ is a cubal of Q_n . Notice that for each $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} - 1$, $\xi_n^{-1}(B_j)$ is a Cartesian product of a subcube of order n_1 with a cubal. So,by Lemma 4.1(ii) of [6], for each $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} - 1$, $\xi_n^{-1}(B_j)$ is a cubal of Q_n . Hence, by Lemma 2.3, for $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} - 1$, we have

$$\theta(n, \xi_n^{-1}(B_j)) = \theta(n, |B_j|) = \theta(n, j \cdot 2^{n_1}).$$

Moreover, by (5) repeatedly, we have $\theta(n, \xi_n^{-1}(B_j)) = 2^{n_1} \theta(n_2, j)$. Together with the wirelength formula of hypercube into a path[4, 5]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \theta(n, i) = 2^{2n-1} - 2^{n-1},$$

so, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, \xi_n^{-1}(B_j)) = 2^{n_1}(2^{2n_2-1} - 2^{n_2-1}). \quad (16)$$

At last, take (15) and (16) into (11), we have the wirelength of Gray code embedding from Q_n into $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$.

$$WL(Q_n, C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}; \xi_n) = 2^{n_2}(3 \cdot 2^{2n_1-3} - 2^{n_1-1}) + 2^{n_1}(2^{2n_2-1} - 2^{n_2-1}). \quad (17)$$

5. OPTIMAL EMBEDDING OF Q_n INTO $C_{n_1} \times P_{n_2}$

In this section, we explain that the Gray code embedding is an optimal strategy of EWHC. Section 4 has illustrated that for each $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2} - 1$, $\xi_n^{-1}(B_j)$ is a cubal. This implies that Gray code embedding minimizes each $\theta(n, f^{-1}(B_j))$, consequently,

Theorem 5.1. *For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$, Gray code embedding minimizes $\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(B_j))$.*

Together with (11), we only need to prove that

Theorem 5.2. *For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$, Gray code embedding minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(A_i))$.*

Notice that for each $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$, $f^{-1}(A_i)$ consists of 2^{n-1} vertices, hence $\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(A_i))$ is largely related to its each type. In the following, we firstly summarize the derivative properties of these types, then finish the proof of Theorem 5.2.

5.1. Type sequence of $f^{-1}(A_i)$.

In this subsection, we follow the results in [3, 7] to discuss the properties of the induced type sequence corresponding to an embedding f . For $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$, letting $P_i = f^{-1}(S_i)$, $\text{Type}(P_i) = t_i$, where S_i is defined in (12), then

Lemma 5.3 ([3], Prop.2.6 of [7]). *The sequence $(t_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$ satisfies*

- (i) *circular continuous, i.e., for any $1 \leq i < 2^{n-1}$, $|t_i - t_{i+1}| \leq 1$;*
- (ii) *there exist at least two $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ such that $t_i \geq 2^{n-3}$.*

Now, we suggest some new notations to describe $(\text{Type}(f^{-1}(A_i)))_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1}$.

Definition 5.4. For $m, n > 0$, an integer sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is called an m -level circular continuous sequence, if for $1 \leq i < n$, $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \leq 2^m$, and $|x_n - x_1| \leq 2^m$.

For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$. It is direct to see that for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$,

$$f^{-1}(A_i) = P_{1+2^{n_2}(i-1)}, \quad \text{Type}(f^{-1}(A_i)) = t_{1+2^{n_2}(i-1)}.$$

For brevity, denote $t_{1+2^{n_2}(i-1)}$ by s_i . Clearly, $(s_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1}$ is a subsequence of sequence $(t_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$ and possesses similar properties with $(t_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$.

Proposition 5.5. *For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$. The sequence $(s_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1}$ satisfies*

- (C1) $(s_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is n_2 -level circular continuous;
(C2) there exist at least two $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$ such that $s_i \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$.

Proof. (1). Without loose of generality(WLOG),we only prove s_1, s_2 , the others are same. It is direct to verify that

$$|s_1 - s_2| = |t_1 - t_{1+2^{n_2}}| \leq |t_1 - t_2| + |t_2 - t_3| + \cdots + |t_{2^{n_2}} - t_{1+2^{n_2}}| \leq 2^{n_2},$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 5.3 (i). So, (C1) is proved.

(2). By Lemma 5.3 (ii), assume $t_k \geq 2^{n-3}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}$. Due to Lemma 5.3 (i), $t_{k+j} \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$ for each $-2^{n_2-1} \leq j \leq 2^{n_2-1}$. Notice that $(s_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is a subsequence of sequence $(t_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$ and n_2 -level circular continuous. Then, there must exist some $1 \leq i_1 \leq 2^{n_1-1}, -2^{n_2-1} \leq j_1 \leq 2^{n_2-1}$, such that $s_{i_1} = t_{k+j_1} \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$. Similarly, we can find another $s_{i_2} \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$ for $1 \leq i_2 \leq 2^{n_1-1}$. \square

Remark 5.6. For $n_2 = 0$, Proposition 5.5 also holds. In other words, Proposition 5.5 is actually the generalization of Lemma 5.3.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.2.

In this subsection, we devote to proving Theorem 5.2. For any $n \geq 2, n_1, n_2 \geq 1, n_1 + n_2 = n$, by the definition of $\theta(n, k, t)$ and (8),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, f^{-1}(A_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, s_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, s_i^{(1)}), \quad (18)$$

where $s_i^{(1)}$ is s_i if $0 \leq s_i \leq 2^{n-3}$ and 2^{n-3} otherwise. It is clear that $(s_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ satisfies condition (C1)(C2) and (C3), where

(C3).all the entries are less than or equal to 2^{n-3} .

For any $n \geq 2, n_1 \geq 1, n_2 \geq 0, n_1 + n_2 = n$. We say a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is of CONDITION C_{n,n_2} , if it satisfies conditions (C1)(C2)(C3) corresponding to n, n_2 . What is more,

Lemma 5.7. For any $n \geq 2, n_1, n_2 \geq 1, n_1 + n_2 = n$. If $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is an even sequence with CONDITION C_{n,n_2} , then the sequence $(x_i/2)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is of CONDITION C_{n-1,n_2-1} .

It is direct to check Lemma 5.7 holds due to the definition of CONDITION C_{n,n_2} . Next, we are only interested in those subsets of Q_n with small type. Using (2) repeatedly, we obtain the recurrence relation of $E(k)$ in Lemma 5.8. By this Lemma, we have Lemma 5.9 which provides the relation of $\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t)$ and $\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t \pm 1)$ when t is odd. It will play an important role in the rest proof.

Lemma 5.8. For $k > 0, k = \sum_{i=1}^N 2^{c_i}, N \geq 1, 0 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_N = \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$, then (i). $E(2k+1) = E(2k) + N$, (ii). $E(2k+2) = E(2k+1) + N + 1$.

Proof. (i). Since $2k = \sum_{i=1}^N 2^{c_i+1}, 2k+1 = 2^0 + \sum_{i=1}^N 2^{c_i+1}$, by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} E(2k) &= \sum_{i=1}^N (N-i)2^{c_i+1} + (c_i+1)2^{c_i}, \\ E(2k+1) &= N \cdot 2^0 + 0 + \sum_{i=1}^N (N-i)2^{c_i+1} + (c_i+1)2^{c_i}. \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned above, result (i) follows.

(ii). **Case 1:** $c_1 > 0$. It implies that $2k + 2 = 2^1 + \sum_{i=1}^N 2^{c_i+1}$. Consequently,

$$E(2k + 2) = N \cdot 2^1 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N (N - i)2^{c_i+1} + (c_i + 1)2^{c_i}.$$

Comparing $E(2k + 2)$ with $E(2k + 1)$ in (i), result (ii) follows.

Case 2: $c_1 = 0$. There is $1 \leq N_1 \leq N$ such that

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} 2^{i-1} + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N 2^{c_i}, \text{ for } c_{N_1+1} > N_1.$$

Then $2k = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} 2^i + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N 2^{c_i+1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} E(2k + 1) &= E(2k) + N \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} ((N - i)2^i + i2^{i-1}) + \alpha + N \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (N - i)2^i + (1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N_1} i2^{i-1}) + N + \alpha \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_1-1} (N - i)2^i + (N - N_1)2^{N_1} + (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_1-1} (i + 1)2^i) + N + \alpha \\ &= (N + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N_1-1} 2^i + (N - N_1)2^{N_1} + 1 + N + \alpha \\ &= (N + 1)(2^{N_1} - 2) + (N - N_1)2^{N_1} + 1 + N + \alpha \\ &= (2N - N_1 + 1)2^{N_1} + \alpha - (N + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N (N - i)2^{c_i+1} + (c_i + 1)2^{c_i}$. Moreover, we have $2k + 2 = 2^{N_1+1} + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N 2^{c_i+1}$, and

$$E(2k + 2) = (N - N_1)2^{N_1+1} + (N_1 + 1)2^{N_1} + \alpha = (2N - N_1 + 1)2^{N_1} + \alpha.$$

Comparing $E(2k+2)$ with $E(2k+1)$, result (ii) still follows. Notice that $\sum_{i=N_1+1}^N 2^{c_i} = 0$, $\alpha = 0$ if $N_1 = N$, then Lemma (ii) still holds. Combining these results with **Case 1** and **Case 2**, Lemma (ii) is proved. \square

For any $k > 0$, by Lemma 5.8, we have

$$2E(2k + 1) = E(2k) + E(2k + 2) - 1. \quad (19)$$

Lemma 5.9. For $0 < k \leq 2^{n-4} - 1$ with $n \geq 4$,

$$2\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k + 1) = \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k) + \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k + 2) + 4.$$

Proof. By (6), we only need to prove

$$2\theta(n - 2, 2k + 1) = \theta(n - 2, 2k) + \theta(n - 2, 2k + 2) + 2.$$

Together with (3) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\theta(n - 2, 2k + 1) &= 2[(n - 2)(2k + 1) - 2E(2k + 1)] \\ &= (n - 2)(2k + 2k + 2) - 2(E(2k) + E(2k + 2) - 1) \\ &= (n - 2) \cdot 2k - 2E(2k) + (n - 2)(2k + 2) - 2E(2k + 2) + 2 \\ &= \theta(n - 2, 2k) + \theta(n - 2, 2k + 2) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Furthermore, we want to find the relation between $\sum_t \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t)$ and $\sum_t \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t \pm 1)$ when t is odd.

Lemma 5.10. *For any $n \geq 3, k \geq 1$, positive odd sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^k$ is less than 2^{n-3} , then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i) \geq \min\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i - 1), \sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i + 1)\right\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2}(\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i - 1) + \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i + 1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i + 1)) \\ &\geq \min\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i - 1), \sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i + 1)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 5.1. Letting $(x_i)_{i=1}^{10} = \{5, 9, 3, 13, 13, 13, 9, 7, 5, 9\}$. It is direct to check that $\sum_{i=1}^{10} \theta(7, 2^6, x_i) = 996$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{10} \theta(7, 2^6, x_i - 1) = 952$, $\sum_{i=1}^{10} \theta(7, 2^6, x_i + 1) = 1000$. So, $996 \geq 952$. Lemma holds!

In special, when the type sequence consists of a series of constitutive odd numbers with maximum $2^{n-3} - 1$, we have

Lemma 5.11. *For $0 \leq k_1 \leq 2^{n-4} - 1$ with $n \geq 4$,*

$$\sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-1} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+1) \geq \sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-1} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+2).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-1} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-2} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+2) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k_1) + \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-3})) \\ &\quad + 2(2^{n-4} - 1 - k_1 + 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-1} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+2) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k_1) - \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-3})) \\ &\quad + 2(2^{n-4} - k_1) \\ &= \sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-1} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+2) + (\theta(n-2, 2k_1) - \theta(n-2, 2^{n-3})) \\ &\quad + 2^{n-3} - 2k_1 \\ &= \sum_{k=k_1}^{2^{n-4}-1} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, 2k+2) + \theta(n-3, 2k_1), \end{aligned}$$

where the third and last equality are due to formula (6), and (4), respectively. Since $2k_1 < 2^{n-3}$, $\theta(n-3, 2k_1) \geq 0$ and the lemma follows. □

Example 5.2. It is direct to check that $\sum_{k=2}^7 \theta(7, 2^6, 2k+1) = 608 \geq 600 = \sum_{k=2}^7 \theta(7, 2^6, 2k+2)$, although $\sum_{k=2}^7 \theta(7, 2^6, 2k+2) = 600 > 592 = \sum_{k=2}^7 \theta(7, 2^6, 2k)$. Lemma holds!

If the type sequence consists of a series of constitutive odd numbers without $2^{n-3} - 1$, then it also can be dealt with in the way used in Lemma 5.10. With above preparations, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.12. *For any $n \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$. For any integer sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ with CONDITION C_{n, n_2} , then there exists an even sequence $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ with CONDITION C_{n, n_2} such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i^*). \quad (20)$$

Proof. We discuss $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ in following two cases.

Case 1: $n_2 > 1$. Construct a new sequence $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$. Define $x_i^{(1)}$ to be x_i if x_i is even for each $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$. Then, observe all the odd part sequences between two even in $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$. WLOG, only consider such an odd part sequence $(x_i)_{i=k_1}^{k_2}$ for $1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq 2^{n_1-1}$, the other odds are same. For $k_1 \leq i \leq k_2$, define $x_i^{(1)}$ to be $x_i - 1$ if

$$\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i - 1) \leq \sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i + 1)$$

and $x_i + 1$ otherwise. By Lemma 5.10, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i^{(1)}). \quad (21)$$

It is direct to see that $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is an even sequence. Next, we study the properties of sequence $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$.

claim 1.1. $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is n_2 -level circular continuous.

◁. WLOG, assume $|x_1 - x_2| \leq 2^{n_2}$.

- For even x_1, x_2 or odd x_1, x_2 . Then

$$|x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}| = |x_1 - x_2| \leq 2^{n_2}.$$

- For even x_1 and odd x_2 . Then $|x_1 - x_2| < 2^{n_2}$,

$$|x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}| = |x_1 - (x_2 \pm 1)| \leq |x_1 - x_2| + 1 \leq 2^{n_2}.$$

claim 1.2. There exist at least two $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$ such that $x_i^{(1)} \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$. ▷

◁. WLOG, assume $x_1 \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$.

- For even $x_1 \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} = x_1 \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}.$$

- For odd $x_1 > 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} \geq x_1 - 1 \geq 2^{n-3} - 2^{n_2-1}.$$

▷

claim 1.3. All the entries of $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ are less than or equal to 2^{n-3} .

◁. WLOG, assume $x_1 \leq 2^{n-3}$.

- For even $x_1 \leq 2^{n-3}$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} = x_1 \leq 2^{n-3}.$$

- For odd $x_1 < 2^{n-3}$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} \leq x_1 + 1 \leq 2^{n-3}.$$

▷

Summing up the discussions in claim 1.1–1.3, we conclude that even sequence $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is of CONDITION C_{n,n_2} . (See $(s_i^{(2)})_{i=1}^{16}$ in Table 1). Together with (21), the result follows if $x_i^* = x_i^{(1)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$.

Case 2: $n_2 = 1$. That is a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ with CONDITION $C_{n,1}$. It is worth mentioning that CONDITION $C_{n,1}$ is induced from the properties of sequence $(t_{2i-1}^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ or $(t_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$. Recall that the sequence $(t_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$ is of CONDITION $C_{n,0}$. Notice that if $t_2^{(1)} = 2^{n-3}$, then $t_1^{(1)}, t_3^{(1)} \geq 2^{n-3} - 1$. Based on this fact, we consider the sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ with CONDITION $C_{n,1}$. If there are at least two elements equal to 2^{n-3} , then we go to *step 2* directly. In the following, we devote to working on the problem that there is at most one element equal to 2^{n-3} in $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$. If there is an unique element equal to 2^{n-3} , then there must be one element, such as x_j , satisfying an additional property (\mathcal{U}) , where

$$(\mathcal{U}). \quad x_j = 2^{n-3} - 1, \text{ and } \max\{x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}\} \geq 2^{n-3} - 1.$$

What's more, if all the elements are strictly less than 2^{n-3} in $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$, then there must be two elements satisfying property (\mathcal{U}) . Now we first consider the odd part sequences containing element satisfying property (\mathcal{U}) , then deal with the rest.

step 1. Collect all the odd part sequences, each of which is strictly monotonous and contains element satisfying property (\mathcal{U}) in $(x_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$. Denote the union of such part sequences by Γ . It's worth mentioning that we only pick those local *longest* odd part sequences. WLOG, assume $(x_i)_{i=k_1}^{k_2}$ is strictly increasing for $1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq 2^{n-2}$, then $x_{k_2} = 2^{n-3} - 1$ and $x_{k_1-1} \geq x_{k_1}$ if x_{k_1-1} is odd. Clearly, we write 2^{n-2} instead of $k_1 - 1$ if $k_1 = 1$. (See Example 5.3).

Construct a new sequence $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$. For $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-2}$, define $x_i^{(1)}$ to be $x_i + 1$ if $x_i \in \Gamma$ and x_i otherwise. By Lemma 5.11, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i^{(1)}). \quad (22)$$

Next, we study the properties of sequence $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$.

claim 2.1. $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ is 1-level circular continuous.

◁. WLOG, assume $|x_1 - x_2| \leq 2$.

- For $x_1, x_2 \in \Gamma$ or $x_1, x_2 \notin \Gamma$. Then

$$|x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}| = |x_1 - x_2| \leq 2.$$

- For $x_1 \notin \Gamma, x_2 \in \Gamma$. Then

$$|x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}| = |x_1 - (x_2 + 1)| = \begin{cases} \leq |x_1 - x_2| + 1 = 2, & \text{if } x_1 \text{ is even,} \\ = |(x_1 - x_2) - 1| = 1, & \text{if } x_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $x_1 \geq x_2$ when x_1 is odd. If $x_2 = 2^{n-3} - 1$, then $x_1 = 2^{n-3} - 1$ by property (\mathcal{U}) , thus $x_1 \in \Gamma$. So, $x_2 < 2^{n-3} - 1$, and $x_1 \geq x_2$ by the statement of *longest*. \triangleright

claim 2.2. There exist at least two $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-2}$ such that $x_i^{(1)} \geq 2^{n-3}$.

\triangleleft . WLOG, assume $x_1 \geq 2^{n-3} - 1$.

- For even $x_1 > 2^{n-3} - 1$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} = x_1 \geq 2^{n-3}.$$

- For odd $x_1 \geq 2^{n-3} - 1$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} = x_1 + 1 \geq 2^{n-3}.$$

claim 2.3. All the entries of $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ are less than or equal to 2^{n-3} . \triangleright

\triangleleft . WLOG, assume $x_1 \leq 2^{n-3}$.

- For $x_1 \notin \Gamma$. Then

$$x_1^{(1)} = x_1 \leq 2^{n-3}.$$

- For $x_1 \in \Gamma$. Then odd $x_1 < 2^{n-3}$,

$$x_1^{(1)} = x_1 + 1 \leq 2^{n-3}.$$

Summing up the discussions in claim 2.1–2.3, we conclude that sequence $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ is of CONDITION $C_{n,1}$ and contains at least two 2^{n-3} . (See $(s_i^{(4)})_{i=1}^{16}$ in Table 1). \triangleright

step 2. Pick all the odd part sequences between two even in $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$. Following the same procedure in **Case 1**, an even sequence $(x_i^{(2)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ is obtained. By Lemma 5.10, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i^{(1)}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, x_i^{(2)}). \quad (23)$$

By analogous proof of claim 1.1–1.3, we can show that even sequence $(x_i^{(2)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-2}}$ is of CONDITION $C_{n,1}$. (See $(s_i^{(5)})_{i=1}^{16}$ in Table 1). For each $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-2}$, letting $x_i^* = x_i^{(2)}$, then inequality (20) holds by (22) (23). Combining these results with **Case 1** and **Case 2**, the proposition follows. \square

Example 5.3. For $n = 7$, given a sequence $\{**, 9, 9, 11, 13, 15, **\}$ or $\{11, 13, 15, **, 9, 9\}$, then pick the longest part $\{9, 11, 13, 15\}$ in step 1. If pick $\{11, 13, 15\}$, then $\{9, 12, 14, 16\}$ doesnot maintain 1-level continuous in step 1.

Now, we go back to the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof. It is seen in (18) that $(s_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is of CONDITION C_{n,n_2} . By Proposition 5.12 and (7), there there exists an even sequence $(s_i^{(2)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ with CONDITION C_{n,n_2} such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, s_i^{(1)}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, s_i^{(2)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} 2\theta(n-1, 2^{n-2}, s_i^{(3)}),$$

where $s_i^{(3)} = s_i^{(2)}/2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1-1}$. By Lemma 5.7, the sequence $(s_i^{(3)})_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ is of CONDITION C_{n-1,n_2-1} .

Using Proposition 5.12, (7) and Lemma 5.7 repeatedly, there exists a sequence $(s_i^*)_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}}$ with CONDITION $C_{n_1,0}$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, s_i^{(1)}) \geq 2^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n_1, 2^{n_1-1}, s_i^*).$$

It is known in [3, 7] that any sequence $(t_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$ with CONDITION $C_{n,0}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, t_i^{(1)}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, g_i^n).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, 2^{n-1}, s_i^{(1)}) \geq 2^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n_1, 2^{n_1-1}, s_i^*) \geq 2^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n_1, 2^{n_1-1}, g_i^{n_1})$$

Together with (18) and (14), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, f^{-1}(A_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1-1}} \theta(n, \xi_n^{-1}(A_i)).$$

Hence Theorem 5.2 is proved! \square

In the following, we will present an example to explain Theorem 5.2 more clearly.

Example 5.4. Take an embedding $f : Q_7 \rightarrow C_{2^5} \times P_{2^2}$ with $(f(v))_{v \in \{0,1\}^7} =$

(3, 2, 6, 7, 5, 4, 12, 13, 11, 9, 8, 24, 25, 27, 26, 30, 31, 29, 28, 20, 21,
23, 22, 18, 19, 17, 16, 48, 49, 51, 50, 54, 55, 53, 52, 60, 61, 63, 62,
58, 59, 57, 56, 40, 41, 43, 42, 46, 47, 45, 44, 36, 37, 39, 38, 34, 35,
33, 32, 96, 97, 99, 98, 102, 103, 101, 100, 108, 109, 111, 110, 106,
107, 105, 104, 120, 121, 123, 15, 14, 10, 122, 126, 127, 125, 124,
116, 117, 119, 118, 114, 115, 113, 112, 80, 81, 83, 82, 86, 87, 85,
84, 92, 93, 95, 94, 90, 91, 89, 88, 72, 73, 75, 74, 78, 79, 77, 76,
68, 69, 71, 70, 66, 67, 65, 64, 0, 1),

where $f(v)$ are list in lexicographic order of $v \in \{0,1\}^7$. Based on this embedding, for $1 \leq i \leq 2^4$, s_i and $s_i^{(j)}$ are illustrated in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Illustration of s_i and $s_i^{(j)}$

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
s_i	5	9	13	15	13	10	6	3	5	9	13	17	14	10	6	3
$s_i^{(1)}$	5	9	13	15	13	10	6	3	5	9	13	16	14	10	6	3
$s_i^{(2)}$	4	8	12	14	12	10	6	2	4	8	12	16	14	10	6	2
$s_i^{(3)}$	2	4	6	7	6	5	3	1	2	4	6	8	7	5	3	1
$s_i^{(4)}$	2	4	6	7	6	5	3	1	2	4	6	8	8	6	4	2
$s_i^{(5)}$	2	4	6	8	6	4	2	0	2	4	6	8	8	6	4	2
$s_i^{(6)}$	1	2	3	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	4	3	2	1

Observe Table 1, $n = 7, n_1 = 5, n_2 = 2$.

Firstly, since $2^{n-3} = 16$, let $s_{12}^{(1)} = 16$ instead of $s_{12} = 17$. Others stay still. It is direct to check that the sequence $(s_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^{16}$ is of CONDITION $C_{7,2}$. Following the procedure in **Case 1** of Proposition 5.12,

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(7, 2^6, s_{16}^{(1)} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^5 \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(1)} - 1) = 576 \\ & < 592 = \theta(7, 2^6, s_{16}^{(1)} + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^5 \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(1)} + 1), \\ & \sum_{i=8}^{11} \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(1)} - 1) = 368 < 392 = \sum_{i=8}^{11} \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(1)} + 1), \end{aligned}$$

then $s_i^{(2)} = s_i^{(1)} - 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16\}$. Others stay still. Thus, even sequence $(s_i^{(2)})_{i=1}^{16}$ is of CONDITION $C_{7,2}$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, f^{-1}(A_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, 2^6, s_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(1)}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(2)}).$$

Secondly, for $1 \leq i \leq 2^4$, letting $s_i^{(3)} = s_i^{(2)}/2$, then sequence $(s_i^{(3)})_{i=1}^{16}$ is of CONDITION $C_{6,1}$ by Lemma 5.7. Follow the procedure in **Case 2** of Proposition 5.12. Step 1, since $s_{13}^{(3)}$ satisfies property \mathcal{U} , then $s_i^{(4)} = s_i^{(3)} + 1$ for $i \in \{13, 14, 15, 16\}$. Others stay still. Step 2, since $\theta(6, 2^5, s_4^{(4)} - 1) = 52 > 48 = \theta(6, 2^5, s_4^{(4)} + 1)$, then $s_4^{(5)} = s_4^{(4)} + 1$. Similarly, $s_i^{(5)} = s_i^{(4)} - 1$ for $i \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. Others stay still. Thus, even sequence $(s_i^{(5)})_{i=1}^{16}$ is of CONDITION $C_{6,1}$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, 2^6, s_i^{(2)}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(6, 2^5, s_i^{(3)}) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(6, 2^5, s_i^{(5)}).$$

Finally, for $1 \leq i \leq 2^4$, letting $s_i^{(6)} = s_i^{(5)}/2$, then sequence $(s_i^{(6)})_{i=1}^{16}$ is of CONDITION $C_{5,0}$ by Lemma 5.7.

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(6, 2^5, s_i^{(5)}) = 2^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(5, 2^4, s_i^{(6)}) \geq 2^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(5, 2^4, g_i^5) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, \xi_7^{-1}(A_i)).$$

As mentioned above, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, f^{-1}(A_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^4} \theta(7, \xi_7^{-1}(A_i)).$$

6. CONCLUSIONS

We identify an exact layout of hypercube into a cylinder. We introduce new technique related to EIP to estimate the minimum EWHC. This technique is based on CONDITION C_{n,n_2} , which exactly describes the properties of type sequence corresponding to some subsets of hypercube. Following this idea, the problem of embedding wirelength of hypercube into torus and related graphs is also considered[12].

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to Prof. Qinghui Liu for his thorough review and suggestions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No.11871098.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arockiaraj, A. J. Shalini, Conjectures on wirelength of hypercube into cylinder and torus, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 595(2015),168–171.
- [2] S. L. Bezrukov, J. D. Chavez, L. H. Harper, M. Röttger, U. P. Schroeder, Embedding of hypercubes into grids, In: Brim L., Gruska J., Zlatuka J. (eds) *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 1998*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 1450, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 693–701.
- [3] C. J. Guu, *the circular wirelength problem for hypercubes*, ProQuest Dissertations and Thesis, University of California Riverside, 1997.
- [4] L. H. Harper, Optimal assignments of numbers to vertices, *J. SIAM* 12:1 (1964), 131–135.
- [5] L. H. Harper, *Global methods for isoperimetric problems*, Cambridge university press, 2004.
- [6] WeiXing Ji, QingHui Liu, GuiZhen Wang, ZhuoJia Shen, Embedding of hypercube into cylinder, 2015, arXiv:1511.07932v1.
- [7] Q. H. Liu, Z. Y. Tang, A note on circular wirelength for hypercubes, 2021, arXiv:2108.08177, under review.
- [8] P. Manuel, I. Rajasingh, B. Rajan, H. Mercy, Exact wirelength of hypercube on a grid, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157:7(2009), 1486–1495.
- [9] P. Manuel, Minimum average congestion of enhanced and augmented hypercubes into complete binary trees, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159(2010), 360–366.
- [10] P. Manuel, M. Arockiaraj, I. Rajasingh, B. Rajan, Embedding hypercubes into cylinders,snakes and caterpillars for minimizing wirelength, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159(2011), 2109–2116.
- [11] R.S.Rajan, I. Rajasingh, N.Parthiban, T.M. Rajalaxmi, A linear time algorithm for embedding hypercube into cylinder and torus, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 542(2014),108–115.
- [12] Z. Y. Tang, Optimal embedding of hypercube into torus and related graphs, 2021, submitted.
- [13] T.H. Tsai, Y. C. Chen, J.M. Tan, Optimal Edge Congestion of Exchanged Hypercubes, *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 27:1(2016), 250–262.
- [14] JunMing Xu, *Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001, pp 105.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 100081, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: zytang@bit.edu.cn