

Orientably-Regular p -Maps and Regular p -Maps

Shaofei Du, Yao Tian* and Xiaogang Li

School of Mathematical Sciences
Capital Normal University
Beijing, 100048, P.R.China

Abstract

A map is called a p -map if it has a prime p -power vertices. An orientably-regular (resp. A regular) p -map is called *solvable* if the group G^+ of all orientation-preserving automorphisms (resp. the group G of automorphisms) is solvable; and called *normal* if G^+ (resp. G) contains the normal Sylow p -subgroup.

In this paper, it will be proved that both orientably-regular p -maps and regular p -maps are solvable and except for few cases that $p \in \{2, 3\}$, they are normal. Moreover, nonnormal p -maps will be characterized and some properties and constructions of normal p -maps will be given.

Keywords: *orientably-regular map; regular map; permutation group; solvable group.*

1 Introduction

A *map* is a cellular decomposition of a closed surface. An alternative way to describe maps is to consider them as cellular embeddings of graphs into closed surfaces. By an *automorphism of a map* \mathcal{M} we mean an automorphism of the *underlying graph* \mathcal{G} which extends to a self-homeomorphism of the surface. These automorphisms form a subgroup $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} . It is well-known that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts semi-regularly on the set of all flags (in most cases, which are incident vertex-edge-face triples). If the action is regular, then we call the map as well as the corresponding embedding *regular*.

In the case of orientable supporting surface, if the group $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ of all orientation-preserving automorphisms of \mathcal{M} acts regularly on the set of arcs (incident vertex-edge pairs) of \mathcal{M} , then we call \mathcal{M} an *orientably-regular* map. Such maps fall into two classes: those that admit also orientation-reversing automorphisms, which are called *reflexible*, and those that do not, which are called *chiral*. Therefore, a reflexible map is a regular map but a chiral map is not.

One of the central problems in topological graph theory is to classify all the regular maps of given underlying graphs. In a general setting, the classification problem was

*corresponding author
Email: tianyao202108@163.com

treated in [8]. However, the problem has been solved only for a few particular classes of graphs. For instance, complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, complete multipartite graphs, n -dimensional cubes Q_n and so on. Moreover, there are closed connections between regular maps and other mathematical theories such as group theory, hyperbolic geometry and complex curves and so on. During the past forty years, there have been abundant references on regular maps, see [13, 15, 22] for an overview.

Definition 1.1 *A map is called a p -map if the number of vertices is p^k , where p is prime and $k \geq 1$.*

In this paper, we shall concentrate on orientably-regular p -maps and regular p -maps.

Many known orientably-regular maps and regular maps are p -maps. For instance, every orientably-regular map of a complete graph must be a p -map, see [13]. Every n -dimensional hypercube Q_n contains 2^n vertices, whose orientably-regular maps and nonorientable regular maps were classified in [1] and [17], respectively. Every regular map of complete bipartite graph $K_{2^e, 2^e}$ has 2^{e+1} vertices and a classification of orientably-regular embeddings of this graph played an important role in a final classification of $K_{n,n}$, see [3, 4, 14, 16]. Orientably-regular maps whose automorphism group G is nilpotent can be concluded to that when G is a 2-group and of course, these maps have a 2-power vertices, see [2, 19].

One will see that the studies of p -maps is very closed to that of finite p -groups and its automorphisms as well. In other words, the studies of p -maps stimulate us to focus on some related p -groups and it might pose some new research problems for group theorists.

Regular embeddings of simple graphs of order p , p^2 and p^3 have been classified, see [5, 6, 23]. During the studying of these maps, we obtained some new observations, ideas, methods and conjectures. this motivates us to pay more attention on p -maps. The aim of this paper is to give a basic theoretical characterization for orientably-regular p -maps and regular p -maps.

As usual, by \mathbb{D}_k , $O_p(G)$ and $H \triangleleft G$, we denote the dihedral group of order k , the maximal normal p -subgroup of G , and a normal subgroup H of G , respectively. First we introduce the following concepts.

Definition 1.2 *An orientably-regular (resp. A regular) p -map \mathcal{M} is called solvable if $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$) is solvable; and called normal if $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$) contains the normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

Remark 1.3 *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is an orientably-regular p -map which is reflexible. Then it is regular. Since $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) : \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})| = 2$, it follows that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ is solvable if and*

only if $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ is solvable. Take a Sylow p -subgroup P of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. If $p \neq 2$, then $P \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $P \triangleleft \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$. If $p = 2$, then $P \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ implies that $P \cap \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ is the normal Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$. However, the converse is not true. For example, consider the regular tetrahedron: the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M}) \cong A_4$ is normal but every Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \cong S_4$ is nonnormal.

Now we are ready to state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.4 *Let \mathcal{M} be an orientably-regular p -map or a regular p -map. Then*

- (1) \mathcal{M} is solvable;
- (2) \mathcal{M} is normal, except for the following two cases:
 - (2.1) $p = 2$, $G/O_2(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{D}_4$, where $m \geq 3$ is odd.
 - (2.2) $p = 3$, $G/O_3(G) \cong S_4$.

It follows from Theorem 1.4 that an orientably-regular p -map or a regular p -map can be nonnormal only if $p = 2$ or 3 . To give a description in details for these nonnormal p -maps, we introduce three families of (multi)graphs and maps as well.

(i) By \mathcal{D}_d we denote the d -dipoles, which is the graph of two vertices joined by d parallel edges. Every orientably-regular map of \mathcal{D}_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(d, e) := \mathcal{M}(G; x, x^e y)$ (see [20]), where $G = \langle x, y \mid x^d = y^2 = 1, x^y = x^e \rangle$ and $e^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

(ii) By \mathcal{S}_d we denote the d -semistars, which is the single vertex with d semi-edges. By $DM(d)$ and $EM(d)$, we denote the regular map of \mathcal{S}_d in a disc and a sphere, respectively (see [18]). Note that in this paper we only consider surfaces without boundary, except for the case in here we include the disc as a surface).

(iii) By $C_n^{(m)}$ we denote the graph resulting from the cycle C_n of length n by replacing each edge with m parallel edges. There exists a unique nonorientable regular map of $C_3^{(2)}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}(3, 2)$ (see [12]).

Theorem 1.5 *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a nonnormal orientably-regular p -map or a nonnormal regular p -map. Let $G = \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ or $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Then the quotient map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ induced by $O_p(G)$ is one of the following maps:*

- (1) $p = 2$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{D}(m, e)$, where $m \geq 3$ is odd and $e^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ but $e \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. \mathcal{M} is a nonnormal orientably-regular 2-map, more precisely, it is either chiral or reflexible and nonnormal regular;
- (2) $p = 2$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = DM(m)$ and \mathcal{M} is nonorientable and nonnormal regular;

- (3) $p = 2$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = EM(m)$ and \mathcal{M} is normal orientably-regular but nonnormal regular;
(4) $p = 3$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{C}(3, 2)$ and \mathcal{M} is nonorientable and nonnormal regular.

Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 will be proved by combining several lemmas in Sections 3-6.

Remark 1.6 *For a normal orientably-regular p -map, $G = P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_m$; and for a normal regular p -map, either $G = P \rtimes \mathbb{D}_{2m}$ if p is odd or $G = P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_m$ if $p = 2$. In all cases, m and p are co-prime. Therefore, for normal p -maps, the studies of p -maps are essentially determinations of automorphisms of a given p -group. Of course, it is very difficult, because of complexities of finite p -groups. In some senses, Theorem 1.4 is a basic theoretical characterization of orientably-regular p -maps and regular p -maps, and it could be a starting point for studying such maps.*

After this introductory section, a brief description for regular maps and some known results will be given in Section 2; the solvability of orientably-regular p -maps and regular p -maps will be proved in Section 3; the normality of such maps will be discussed in Sections 4-6 according to $p \geq 5$, $p = 3$ and $p = 2$, respectively; such p -maps that the induced group G^V of G on the set V of vertices acts primitively will be dealt with in Section 7. In addition, some examples of p -maps are presented in the related sections.

Except for the notations mentioned as above, more notations and terminologies used in this paper are listed below.

- $|G|$, $|g|$: the order of a group G and the order of an element g in G , respectively;
- $|G : H|$, $H \triangleleft G$ and $H \text{ char } G$: the index of H in G , a normal subgroup H of G and a characteristic subgroup H of G , respectively;
- H_G : the maximal normal subgroup of G contained in the subgroup H ;
- $K \rtimes H$: the semi-product of K by H where K is normal;
- $[a, b]$ and $[H, K]$: the commutator of two elements a and b , and the subgroup generated by all commutators $[h, k]$ where $h \in H$ and $k \in K$, respectively;
- π' : the complementary set of a subset π of \mathbb{P} , where \mathbb{P} is the set of primes;
- π -subgroup H : a subgroup H such that every prime divisor of $|H|$ is contained in a subset π of \mathbb{P} ;
- π -Hall subgroup H : a π -subgroup H such that $(|H|, |G|/|H|) = 1$;
- $\text{Syl}_p(G)$: the set of Sylow p -subgroups of G ;
- $\Phi(G)$: the Frattini subgroup of G (the intersection of all maximal subgroups of G);
- $F(G)$: the Fitting subgroup of G (the product of all nilpotent normal subgroups of G);
- $O_\pi(G)$: the maximal normal π -subgroup of G (the intersection of maximal π -subgroups of G);

$\exp(G)$: the exponent of G , which is defined to be the least positive integer s such that $x^s = 1$ for all $x \in G$;

$\text{Inn}(g)$: the inner automorphism of G induced by $g \in G$.

2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we shall give a brief description for orientably-regular and regular maps and list some known group theoretical results used in this paper.

2.1 Regular maps and orientably-regular maps

(1) Regular Maps.

A regular map can be described in the following way.

Definition 2.1 For a given finite set F and three fixed-point-free involutory permutations t, r, ℓ on F , a quadruple $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(F; t, r, \ell)$ is called a *combinatorial map* if they satisfy two conditions: (1) $t\ell = \ell t$; (2) the group $\langle t, r, \ell \rangle$ acts transitively on F .

For a given combinatorial map $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(F; t, r, \ell)$, F is called the *flag set*, t, r, ℓ are called *transversal, rotary, and longitudinal involution*, respectively. The group $\langle t, r, \ell \rangle$ is called the *monodromy group* of \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$. We define the *vertices, edges* and *face-boundaries* of \mathcal{M} to be the orbits of the subgroups $\langle t, r \rangle$, $\langle t, \ell \rangle$ and $\langle r, \ell \rangle$, respectively. The incidence in \mathcal{M} is defined by a nontrivial set intersection. To ensure that the underlying graph has no multiple edges, we let $\langle t, r \rangle \cap \langle t, r \rangle^\ell = \langle t \rangle$.

The map \mathcal{M} in Definition 2.1 is *unoriented*. Clearly, the even-word subgroup $\langle tr, r\ell \rangle$ of $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ has index at most 2. If the index is 1, then \mathcal{M} is said to be *nonorientable*. If the index is 2, then one may fix an orientation for \mathcal{M} and so \mathcal{M} is said to be *orientable*, while the group $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ of all orientation-preserving automorphisms acts transitively on all arcs is exactly $\langle tr, r\ell \rangle$.

Given two maps $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}(F_1; t_1, r_1, \ell_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_2(F_2; t_2, r_2, \ell_2)$, a bijection ϕ from F_1 to F_2 is called a *map isomorphism* if $\phi t_1 = t_2 \phi$, $\phi r_1 = r_2 \phi$ and $\phi \ell_1 = \ell_2 \phi$. In particular, if $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}$, then ϕ is called an *automorphism* of \mathcal{M} . The automorphisms of \mathcal{M} form a group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ which is called the *automorphism group* of the map \mathcal{M} . By the definition of map isomorphism, we have $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) = C_{S_F}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M}))$, the centralizer of $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ in S_F . It follows from the transitivity of $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ on F that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts semi-regularly on F . If the action is regular, we call the map \mathcal{M} *regular*. As a consequence of a result in permutation group theory (see [11, I.Theorem 6.5]), we get that for a regular map \mathcal{M} , the two associated permutation

groups $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ can be viewed as the right regular representations $R(G)$ and left regular representations $L(G)$ of an abstract group $G \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ mutually centralizing each other in S_F . If there is no confusion we also identify the elements $R(g)$ and $L(g)$ with the elements $g \in G$, so that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}(G; t, r, \ell)$, which is called an *algebraic map*. Thus the subgroups $\langle t, r \rangle$, $\langle t, \ell \rangle$ and $\langle r, \ell \rangle$, respectively, stand for the stabilizer of a vertex, an edge and a face which are mutually incident. Finally, two maps $\mathcal{M}(G; t_1, r_1, \ell_1) \cong \mathcal{M}(G; t_2, r_2, \ell_2)$ if and only if there exists an automorphism σ of G such that $t_1^\sigma = t_2$, $r_1^\sigma = r_2$ and $\ell_1^\sigma = \ell_2$ (see [15]).

(2) Orientably-regular maps.

An orientably-regular map can be described in the following direct way.

Definition 2.2 For a given finite set D and two fixed-point-free permutations r, ℓ on D where ℓ is an involutory, a triple $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(D; r, \ell)$ is called a *combinatorial orientable map* if $\langle r, \ell \rangle$ acts transitively on D .

For a given map $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(D; r, \ell)$, D is called the *arc set*, r, ℓ are called *local rotation and arc-revision involution*, respectively. The group $\langle r, \ell \rangle$ is called the *monodromy group* of \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$. We define the *vertices, edges and face-boundaries* of \mathcal{M} to be the orbits of the cyclic subgroups $\langle r \rangle$, $\langle \ell \rangle$ and $\langle r\ell \rangle$, respectively. The incidence in \mathcal{M} is defined by a nontrivial set intersection.

Similarly, one may define isomorphisms and automorphisms of \mathcal{M} and know that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts semi-regularly on D . If the action is regular, we call the map \mathcal{M} *regular*. In this case, the two associated permutation groups $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ can be viewed as the right regular representations $R(G)$ and left regular representations $L(G)$ of an abstract group $G = \langle r, \ell \rangle \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \text{Mon}(\mathcal{M})$ mutually centralizing each other in S_D so that the map is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(G; r, \ell)$. Moreover, two maps $\mathcal{M}(G; r_1, \ell_1) \cong \mathcal{M}(G; r_2, \ell_2)$ if and only if there exists an automorphism σ of G such that $r_1^\sigma = r_2$ and $\ell_1^\sigma = \ell_2$.

2.2 Some Known Results

Proposition 2.3 ([21, Chap.1, Theorem 6.11]) *Let H be a subgroup of a group G . Then $C_G(H)$ is a normal subgroup of $N_G(H)$ and the quotient $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$.*

Proposition 2.4 [11, VI. Hauptsatz 4.3] *Let $G = N_1 N_2 \cdots N_k$, where N_i is a nilpotent subgroup of G for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and $N_i N_j = N_j N_i$ for any i, j . Then G is solvable.*

Proposition 2.5 [9, Theorem 1] *Let T be a nonabelian simple group with a subgroup $H < T$ satisfying $|T : H| = p^a$, for p a prime. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $T = A_n$ and $H = A_{n-1}$ with $n = p^a$;
- (ii) $T = \text{PSL}(n, q)$, H is the stabilizer of a projective point or a hyperplane in $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$ and $|T : H| = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) = p^a$;
- (iii) $T = \text{PSL}(2, 11)$ and $H = A_5$;
- (iv) $T = M_{11}$ and $H = M_{10}$;
- (v) $T = M_{23}$ and $H = M_{22}$;
- (vi) $T = \text{PSU}(4, 2)$ and H is a subgroup of index 27.

Proposition 2.6 [10, Theorem 1] *Let G be a finite group with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups. Let $O(G)$ denote the maximal normal subgroup of odd order. Then $G/O(G)$ is isomorphic to either a subgroup of $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, q)$ containing $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ where q is odd, or A_7 , or a Sylow 2-subgroup of G .*

Proposition 2.7 [11] *Let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$ be the set of prime divisors of $|G|$. Suppose G is solvable. Then*

- (i) $F(G) = O_{p_1}(G) \times O_{p_2}(G) \times \dots \times O_{p_l}(G)$;
- (ii) $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$;
- (iii) $\Phi(G) \leq F(G)$.

Proposition 2.8 [7, A corollary of Theorem 4.7A] *Every solvable primitive group is an affine group.*

Recall that a finite p -group G is a *special p -group* if either G is an elementary abelian p -group; or $\Phi(G) = G' = Z(G)$ is an elementary abelian p -group. Furthermore, a special p -group G is called *extra-special* if $|Z(G)| = p$. We remind that a group G is said to be *the central product of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n related to the central subgroup Z* if $G = A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ such that for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have $A_i \cap A_j = Z$ and $[A_i, A_j] = 1$, while the central product is denoted by $G = A_1 * A_2 * \dots * A_n$.

A *maximal class p -group* of order p^n is the group which has nilpotent class $n - 1$.

The following proposition gives the structure of extra-special p -groups.

Proposition 2.9 ([11]) *Let G be an extraspecial p -group. Then $|G| = p^{2m+1}$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, and G is one of the following two types:*

$$G \cong \underbrace{N * \dots * N}_{m \text{ times}} \text{ or } G \cong \underbrace{N * \dots * N}_{m-1 \text{ times}} * M,$$

where for $p = 2$, $N \cong \mathbb{D}_8$ and $M \cong \mathbb{Q}_8$; for $p > 2$, N and M are nonabelian groups of order p^3 with the respective exponent p and p^2 .

Proposition 2.10 [21, Theorem 4.22] *Let G be a p -group. Assume that every characteristic abelian subgroup of G is cyclic. Then G is a central product of two subgroups E and S such that*

- (i) $E = \{1\}$ or E is an extra-special p -group where $\exp(E) = p$ for $p > 2$; and
- (ii) S is cyclic or 2-group of maximal class.

3 Solvability of p -Maps

From now on let \mathcal{M} be an orientably-regular (resp. regular) p -map of order p^k and valency $n \geq 2$, where p is odd and $k \geq 1$. Let X be the underlying graph of \mathcal{M} and V the set of vertices of X . Set $G = \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$) and take a Sylow p -subgroup P . Pick up a vertex $v \in V$ such that $H := G_v = \langle r \rangle$ (resp. $\langle r, t \rangle$).

The following lemma is a well-known fact and here we give a proof for a sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.1 *P acts transitively on V so that $G = PG_v = PH$.*

Proof Set $|G| = p^n m$ where $(p, m) = 1$. For any $v \in V$, we have $|G| = |G_v| p^k$. Since $|P_v| = |P \cap G_v| \leq p^{n-k}$, then $|PG_v| = |P||G_v|/|P \cap G_v| \geq p^n m = |G|$, forcing that $PG_v = PH = G$. \square

In this section we show Theorem 1.4.(1), that is the solvability of \mathcal{M} . To do that, it suffices to prove the following two lemmas formulated in the language of abstract groups.

Lemma 3.2 *Let G be a group having a cyclic subgroup H of index a p -power. Then G is solvable.*

Proof As mentioned before, $PH = G = HP$ and both P and H are nilpotent. By Proposition 2.4 again, G is solvable. \square

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a group having a subgroup $H \cong \mathbb{D}_{2n}$ of index a p -power. Then G is solvable.*

Proof Now $G = PH$, where $H \cong \mathbb{D}_{2n}$. In what follows, we deal with two cases when $p = 2$ and p is odd, separately.

Case 1: $p = 2$.

Let C be the maximal subgroup of odd order in $H \cong \mathbb{D}_{2n}$. Then C is cyclic and $G = PC = CP$. By Proposition 2.4, G is solvable.

Case 2: p is odd.

For the contrary, suppose G is insolvable. Let G be a minimal counterexample. Suppose that G is nonabelian simple. In Lemma 2.5, a classification of finite nonabelian simple groups containing a subgroup H of index p^k is given. Checking it, we know that the subgroup H cannot be a dihedral group. So in what follows, we assume that G is not simple. Then G contains a normal subgroup N such that $1 \subsetneq N \subsetneq G$. We shall show the solvability of G by showing that both G/N and N are solvable.

Step 1: The solvability of G/N .

From $|G : H| = |G : HN||HN : H| = p^k$ for some integer k , we know that $|G : HN|$ is a p -power. Since $|G/N : HN/N| = |G : HN|$, it follows that G/N has a subgroup HN/N of index a p -power..

Since $HN/N \cong H/H \cap N$ and $H \cong \mathbb{D}_{2n}$, we get that HN/N is isomorphic either to \mathbb{Z}_2 or to a dihedral group. If $HN/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, then G/N is solvable by Proposition 2.4. Suppose that HN/N is dihedral. Since our group G/N satisfies the conditions of the lemma and $|G/N| < |G|$, we get that G/N is solvable, taking into account that G is assumed to be a minimal counterexample.

Step 2: The solvability of N .

Note that N contains a subgroup $H \cap N$ such that $|N : H \cap N| = |HN : H| = p^s$ for some nonnegative integer s . First suppose that $H \cap N$ is cyclic. Take $P_1 \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$ so that $N = P_1(H \cap N) = (H \cap N)P_1$. By Proposition 2.4, N is solvable. Second suppose that $H \cap N$ is dihedral. Since $|N| < |G|$, it follows from the minimality of $|G|$ that N is solvable. \square

4 Normality of p -Maps where $p \geq 5$

In this section we prove the main part of Theorem 1.4.(2), that is, the normality of orientably-regular (resp. regular) p -maps where $p \geq 5$. Again, we formulate the result in the language of abstract groups. It will be proved in Lemma 4.1 that every orientably-regular p -map \mathcal{M} is normal if p is odd; and in Lemmas 4.2-4.3 that every regular p -map \mathcal{M} is normal if $p \geq 5$. For some terminologies and notations used below, the readers may see them in the end of Section 1.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose $G = \langle r, \ell \rangle$ such that ℓ is an involution, $|r|$ is even and $|G : \langle r \rangle| = p^k$, where p is odd. Then G contains a normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

Proof Let $H = \langle r \rangle$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ so that $G = PH$ and $|r|$ is even. By Lemma 2.7, G is solvable. For the contrary, assume that P is nonnormal in G . Then $O_p(G) < P$. Let $\overline{G} = G/O_p(G)$. Then $O_p(\overline{G}) = 1$. Set H_1 be the p' -Hall subgroup of H . Then $\overline{G} = \overline{PH} = \overline{PH_1}$.

Since $O_p(\overline{G}) = 1$ and $|G| = p^k|H|$, the Fitting subgroup $F(\overline{G})$ of \overline{G} is contained in $\overline{H_1}$. Since \overline{G} is solvable and $\overline{H_1}$ is cyclic, it follows from Proposition 2.7(ii) that $\overline{H_1} \leq C_{\overline{G}}(F(\overline{G})) \leq F(\overline{G})$, which gives $F(\overline{G}) = \overline{H_1}$. In particular, $\overline{H_1} \triangleleft \overline{G}$, forcing $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1}) \trianglelefteq \overline{G}$.

Since $\overline{H} \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1})$, $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1})$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of \overline{G} . Since $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1}) \triangleleft \overline{G}$, it contains all Sylow 2-subgroups of \overline{G} and then contains all involutions of \overline{G} . Now we have $\overline{H}, \overline{\ell} \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1})$, which implies $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1}) = \overline{G}$.

Finally, from $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H_1}) = \overline{G}$, we get $[\overline{H_1}, \overline{P}] = \overline{1}$, which implies $\overline{P} \trianglelefteq \overline{PH_1} = \overline{G}$, that is $P \triangleleft G$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose $G = \langle t, r, \ell \rangle$ such that t, r, ℓ are involutions, $t\ell = \ell t$ and $\langle r, t \rangle \cong \mathbb{D}_{2n}$ where $|G : \langle r, t \rangle| = p^k$ for an odd prime p . Then $G/O_{2'}(G)$ is isomorphic to either S_4 or a Sylow 2-group of G . Moreover, if $p \geq 5$, then $G/O_{2'}(G) \not\cong S_4$.*

Proof Suppose $4 \nmid |G|$. Then $|G| = 2m$ for some odd integer m and so G has a unique subgroup of order m , that is $O_{2'}(G)$. Clearly, $G/O_{2'}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, as desired. Remark that this group corresponds to a degenerated case for regular maps when $\ell = t$.

Suppose $4 \parallel |G|$. Then G contains a dihedral Sylow 2-subgroup. By Proposition 2.6, we get $G/O_{2'}(G)$ isomorphic to A_7 , a group N such that $\text{PSL}(2, q) \leq N \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, q)$ with q being an odd prime power or a Sylow 2-subgroup of G .

Since G is solvable and $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ is simple when $q \geq 5$, the first case was excluded and the second case happens only if $N = \text{PSL}(2, 3) \cong A_4$ or $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 3) \cong S_4$. Note

that A_4 cannot be generated by its involutions, we get that $G/O_{2'}(G)$ is isomorphic to either S_4 or a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . \square

Suppose that $G/O_{2'}(G) \cong S_4$ where $p \geq 5$. From $PO_{2'}(G)/O_{2'}(G) \cong S_4$, we get that $P \leq O_{2'}(G)$ and so $G/O_{2'}(G) = \overline{PH} = \overline{H}$, but S_4 can not be the homomorphic image of a dihedral group, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.3 *With the notations in Lemma 4.2, suppose that $G/O_{2'}(G)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , where p is odd. Then G contains a normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

Proof Under the hypothesis, we have $G = L \rtimes S$ where L is a characteristic subgroup of odd order of G and $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ which is either \mathbb{Z}_2 or dihedral. Then $L = PH_1$, where H_1 is the $\{p, 2\}'$ -Hall subgroup of H and clearly, H_1 is cyclic. Since $G = L \rtimes S$ and $L \triangleleft G$, we get that $\text{Syl}_p(L) = \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and so $O_p(L) = O_p(G)$. Remind that by Lemma 2.7, G is solvable.

Assume that $H_1 = 1$. Then $P = L \triangleleft G$. So in what follows, we assume that $H_1 \neq 1$. Let $\overline{G} = G/O_p(G)$. For the contrary, suppose that $P \not\triangleleft G$, that is $\overline{P} \neq \overline{1}$. Then we first derive the following two facts:

Fact 1: $F(\overline{L}) = \overline{H}_1$.

Form $\overline{G} = G/O_p(G) = \overline{LH}$, we get $O_p(\overline{L}) = 1$, which in turn implies the Fitting subgroup $F(\overline{L})$ of \overline{G} is contained in $O_{p'}(\overline{L})$, that is the intersection of all p' -Hall subgroups of \overline{L} . Since H_1 is a p' -Hall subgroup of L and also a $\{p, 2\}'$ -Hall subgroup of H , we have $O_{p'}(\overline{L}) \leq \overline{H}_1$. Since \overline{G} is solvable and \overline{H}_1 is cyclic, we have from Proposition 2.7.(ii) that

$$O_{p'}(\overline{L}) \leq \overline{H}_1 \leq C_{\overline{L}}(O_{p'}(\overline{L})) \leq C_{\overline{L}}(F(\overline{L})) \leq F(\overline{L}) \leq O_{p'}(\overline{L}),$$

which forces $\overline{H}_1 = F(\overline{L}) \triangleleft \overline{G}$.

Fact 2: There exists a $\overline{Q} \in \text{Syl}_q(F(\overline{L}))$ for some $q \neq p$ such that $2p \mid |\overline{G} : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})|$.

Since $C_{\overline{L}}(F(\overline{L})) \leq F(\overline{L}) = \overline{H}_1$ (Fact 1) and $\overline{P} \not\subseteq \overline{H}_1$, we get $[\overline{P}, F(\overline{L})] \neq \overline{1}$. Therefore, there exists some $\overline{Q} \in \text{Syl}_q(F(\overline{L}))$ such that $[\overline{P}, \overline{Q}] \neq \overline{1}$ where $q \neq p$. Since $\overline{Q} \text{ char } F(\overline{L}) \text{ char } \overline{L} \triangleleft \overline{G}$, we have $\overline{Q} \triangleleft \overline{G}$ and thus $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q}) \triangleleft \overline{G}$. Therefore, $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})$ contains one of Sylow p -subgroups (resp. Sylow 2-subgroups) if and only if it contains all Sylow p -subgroups (resp. all involutions). Since in $\overline{H} \cong \mathbb{D}_{2n}$, there exists an involution, say $\overline{h} \in \overline{H}$ such that $[\overline{Q}, \overline{h}] \neq 1$. Now \overline{P} and $\langle \overline{h} \rangle$ are not contained in $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})$. Therefore, $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})$ contains neither a Sylow p -subgroup of G nor a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , which means $2p \mid |\overline{G} : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})|$.

Come back to our proof. By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q}) = \overline{G}/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q}) \cong \text{Aut}(\overline{Q}).$$

Since $\text{Aut}(\overline{Q})$ is cyclic, we get that $\overline{G}/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})$ is cyclic. Let $\overline{T}/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})$ be the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\overline{G}/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})$. Since $2p \mid |\overline{G} : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})|$ by Fact 2, $\overline{T} \neq \overline{1}, \overline{G}$. Since \overline{T} is a subgroup of \overline{G} of odd index, \overline{T} contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of \overline{G} . Since $\overline{T} \triangleleft \overline{G}$, it contains all Sylow 2-subgroups of \overline{G} , and in particular it contains all involutions. Then $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t}, \overline{\ell} \rangle \leq \overline{T}$, a contradiction.

In summary, we get $P \triangleleft G$. □

5 Normallity of 3-Maps

In this section, we deal with 3-maps.

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is an orientably-regular 3-map or a regular 3-map. Then either*

- (1) \mathcal{M} is normal; or
- (2) \mathcal{M} is nonorientable and nonnormal regular. Moreover, it is a regular covering of $\mathcal{C}(3, 2)$, whose covering transformation group is a 3-group.

Proof From Lemma 4.1, every orientably-regular 3-map is normal.

Let \mathcal{M} be a regular 3-map and set $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. If $G/O_{2'}(G)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , then \mathcal{M} is normal by Lemma 4.3. Following Lemma 4.2, we need to consider the case that $G/O_{2'}(G) \cong S_4$. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$. The proof will be divided into the following two steps.

Step 1: Show $G = PD_8$ and \mathcal{M} is nonnormal and nonorientable.

Since $G/O_{2'}(G) \cong S_4$, we get $H = H_1S$ where $S \cong D_8$ and H_1 is the $\{3, 2\}'$ -Hall subgroup of H , which is cyclic. Let $L = O_{2'}(G)$. Then $G = PH = LPS$. Since $(|LH_1/L|, 2 \cdot 3) = 1$, we have $H_1 \leq L$. Since $LO_3(G)$ is a normal subgroup of odd order of G , we get $O_3(G) \leq L$.

If $P \triangleleft G$, then $P \leq O_{2'}(G)$, which forces $G/O_{2'}(G) \cong S_4$, reminding $p = 3$. Therefore P is nonnormal in G , that is $O_3(G) < P$.

Suppose that $H_1 = 1$. Then $O_{2'}(G) = O_3(G)$ and $G/O_3(G) \cong S_4$ so that $G = PD_8$.

Suppose $H_1 \neq 1$. Then there exists a prime divisor q of $|G|$ where $q \neq 2, 3$. Set $\overline{G} = G/O_3(G)$. Then we first show the following two facts.

Fact 1: $F(\overline{L}) = \overline{H}_1$.

The proof is completely the same as that in Fact 1 of Lemma 4.3.

Fact 2: For any $\overline{Q} \in \text{Syl}_q(F(\overline{L}))$ where $q \neq 2, 3$, we have $6 \mid |\overline{G} : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q})|$.

By Fact 1, $F(\overline{L}) = \overline{H}_1$. Let $\overline{Q} \in \text{Syl}_q(F(\overline{L}))$, where we may take $Q \leq H_1 \leq H$.

Since $\overline{Q} \text{ char } F(\overline{L}) \text{ char } \overline{L}$, we have $\overline{Q} \trianglelefteq \overline{G}$, forcing $\overline{C} := C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{Q}) \trianglelefteq \overline{G}$. Since $[\overline{Q}, \overline{S}] \neq \overline{1}$, some element of $\overline{S} \cong D_8$ is not contained in \overline{C} . By the normality of \overline{C} , we know that \overline{C} cannot contain any Sylow 2-subgroup of \overline{G} , that is $2 \mid |\overline{G} : \overline{C}|$. But from $\overline{Q} \leq \overline{H}$, we know that a Sylow 2-subgroup \overline{A} of \overline{C} is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Suppose that \overline{C} contains a Sylow 3-subgroup of \overline{G} . Then $3 \mid |\overline{C}\overline{L}/\overline{L}|$. Since $\overline{C}\overline{L}/\overline{L} \triangleleft \overline{G}/\overline{L} \cong G/L \cong S_4$, it follows that $\overline{C}\overline{L}/\overline{L}$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to A_4 , contradicting to $\overline{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. Therefore, \overline{C} does not contain any Sylow 3-subgroup of \overline{G} , that is $3 \mid |\overline{G} : \overline{C}|$.

Finally, using the same arguments as that in last paragraph of Lemma 4.3, we may get $P \triangleleft G$, a contradiction. Therefore, H_1 must be trivial. In particular, $\overline{G} = G/O_3(G) \cong S_4$. Note that $\langle \overline{r}\overline{t}, \overline{t}\overline{\ell} \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of \overline{G} containing a subgroup $\langle \overline{r}\overline{t} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, we must have $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{r}\overline{t}, \overline{t}\overline{\ell} \rangle$. On the other hand, that $|G : \langle rt, t\ell \rangle| \leq 2$ yields $O_3(G) \leq \langle rt, t\ell \rangle$, forcing $G = \langle rt, t\ell \rangle$. Hence \mathcal{M} is a nonorientable map.

Step 2: Show $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{C}(3, 2)$.

Consider the quotient 3-map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ induced by $O_3(G)$. Then $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t}, \overline{\ell} \rangle = S_4$ where $\overline{H} = \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle = \mathbb{D}_8$ and so $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ has three vertices. Since the kernel of the action of \overline{G} on vertices is isomorphic to \mathbb{D}_4 , there are double edges (four flags) between every two adjacent vertices and thus the underlying graph is $C_3^{(2)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathcal{C}(3, 2)$. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a regular cover of $\mathcal{C}(3, 2)$, with the covering transformation group $O_3(G)$, a 3-group. \square

To end up this subsection, we give two examples that $|O_3(G)| = 1$ or 3.

Example 5.2 $O_3(G) = 1$: Let $G = S_4$. Take $r = (13)$, $t = (12)(34)$ and $\ell = (12)$. Then $\mathcal{M}(G; r, t, \ell)$ is a nonorientable nonnormal 3-map which has three vertices and six edges. Since $|\langle r, \ell \rangle| = 6$, the map has four faces. The genus g of the map is 1.

Example 5.3 $|O_3(G)| = 3$: Let $G = (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle) \rtimes \langle d, e \rangle$ with the defining relations

$$b^2 = c^2 = e^2 = d^9 = [b, c] = 1, b^d = c, c^d = bc, d^e = d^{-1}, b^e = c, c^e = b.$$

Then $O_3(G) = \langle d^3 \rangle$ and $G/O_3(G) \cong S_4$. Let $r = e, t = b, \ell = de$, then $\mathcal{M}(G; r, t, \ell)$ is a nonorientable nonnormal 3-map which has 9 vertices, 18 edges and 4 faces. The genus g of the map is 7.

6 Normality of 2-Maps

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is an orientably-regular 2-map or a regular 2-map. Then either*

- (1) \mathcal{M} is normal; or
- (2) \mathcal{M} is a regular covering of one of the following maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, whose covering transformation group is a 2-group:
 - (2.1) $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{D}(m, e)$, where $m \geq 3$ is odd and $e^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ but $e \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. \mathcal{M} is nonnormal orientably-regular, more precisely, it is either a chiral map or reflexible and nonnormal regular;
 - (2.2) $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = DM(m)$ and \mathcal{M} is nonorientable and nonnormal regular;
 - (2.3) $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = EM(m)$ and \mathcal{M} is normal orientably-regular but nonnormal regular.

Proof Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a nonnormal orientably-regular 2-map or a regular 2-map. Set $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ or $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ and take $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Then G cannot be a 2-group and $O_2(G) \not\leq P$. We shall deal with two cases, separately.

- (1) \mathcal{M} is a nonnormal orientably-regular 2-map.

Set $G = \langle r, \ell \rangle$ and $\overline{G} = G/O_2(G)$. Then $\overline{G} = \overline{P}\langle \overline{r} \rangle$, and the Fitting subgroup $F(\overline{G})$ is of odd order, which implies $F(\overline{G}) \leq \langle \overline{r} \rangle$. Since \overline{G} is solvable, we have $\langle \overline{r} \rangle \leq C_{\overline{G}}(F(\overline{G})) \leq F(\overline{G})$, that is $F(\overline{G}) = \langle \overline{r} \rangle$, and in particular $|\overline{r}|$ is odd. Therefore, $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{r}, \overline{\ell} \rangle = \langle \overline{r} \rangle \rtimes \langle \overline{\ell} \rangle$. Since $\overline{P} \neq \overline{1}$, we get $\overline{\ell} \neq \overline{1}$ and so $|P : O_2(G)| = 2$. This implies that the quotient map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathcal{M} induced by $O_2(G)$ has two vertices and then the underlying graph of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a dipoles \mathcal{D}_m , where $m = |\overline{r}|$ is odd. A classification of orientably-regular maps of \mathcal{D}_m was given in [20]. As mentioned in Section 1, every such map is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(m, e)$, where $e^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Since \overline{G} is nonabelian, $e \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a regular cover of $\mathcal{D}(m, e)$, with the covering transformation group $O_2(G)$, a 2-group.

- (2) \mathcal{M} is a nonnormal regular 2-map.

Set $G = \langle r, t, \ell \rangle$ and H_1 the 2'-Hall subgroup of $\langle r, t \rangle$. Let $\overline{G} = G/O_2(G)$. Then $\overline{G} = \overline{P}\langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle$. Then $|F(\overline{G})|$ is odd and so $F(\overline{G}) \leq \overline{H}_1$. From $\langle \overline{r}\overline{t} \rangle \leq C_{\overline{G}}(F(\overline{G})) \leq F(\overline{G})$, that is $F(\overline{G}) = \langle \overline{r}\overline{t} \rangle \triangleleft \overline{G}$, and thus $m := |\langle \overline{r}\overline{t} \rangle|$ is odd and $\langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle \cong \mathbb{D}_{2m}$. Therefore, $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{r}\overline{t} \rangle \rtimes \langle \overline{t}, \overline{\ell} \rangle = \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle \langle \overline{\ell} \rangle$, reminding $[t, \ell] = 1$.

Suppose that $\overline{\ell} \notin \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ has two vertices and so its underlying graph is a dipoles \mathcal{D}_m where m is odd. If \mathcal{M} is nonorientable, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonorientable too. However, By [12, Lemma 3.1], the dipoles \mathcal{D}_m has a nonorientable map if and only if $m = 2$. Since m is odd, our \mathcal{M} is orientable and (so reflexible). Since $\overline{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{D}_4$ and $F(\overline{G}) = C_{\overline{G}}(F(\overline{G})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$, m must contains at least two prime divisors by Proposition 2.3. Clearly, $\langle rt, t\ell \rangle$ has index 2 in \overline{G} and so $O_2(G) \leq \langle rt, t\ell \rangle$. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is nonnormal orientably-regular if and only if it is nonnormal regular.

Suppose that $\overline{\ell} \in \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle$. Then $\overline{G} \cong \mathbb{D}_{2m}$ and so the quotient map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ induced by $O_2(G)$ has only one vertex. Since $\overline{t\ell} = \overline{t}\overline{\ell}$ and $\overline{G} \cong \mathbb{D}_{2m}$ where m is odd, we have either $\overline{\ell} = \overline{1}$ or $\overline{\ell} = \overline{t}$:

(1) $\overline{\ell} = 1$: Following [18], $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is said to be degenerate. The underlying graph is a m -semistar and it can be embedded in a disc, which is an orientable surface with boundary. This map is denoted by $DM(m)$. Since $\langle \overline{r}\overline{t}, \overline{t}\overline{\ell} \rangle = \langle \overline{r}, \overline{t} \rangle = \overline{G}$, we have $\langle rt, t\ell \rangle = G$ and so \mathcal{M} is nonorientable.

(2) $\overline{\ell} = \overline{t}$: Following [18], $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is said to be redundant. The underlying graph is a m -semistar too and it can be embedded in a sphere. This map is denoted by $EM(m)$. Since $\langle \overline{r}\overline{t}, \overline{t}\overline{\ell} \rangle = \langle \overline{r}\overline{t} \rangle \neq \overline{G}$, we have $\langle rt, t\ell \rangle \neq G$ and $O_2(G) \leq \langle rt, t\ell \rangle$ and so \mathcal{M} is orientable and so reflexible. Moreover, $O_2(G) \leq \text{Syl}_2(\langle rt, t\ell \rangle)$, which means that \mathcal{M} is normal orientably-regular but nonnormal regular. \square

In what follows, we construct four 2-maps, which give respective four examples satisfying the conditions in Lemma 6.1.(2).

Example 6.2 *Let*

$$G = (((\langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle) \times (\langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle)) \times \langle e, f \rangle) \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \times S_3,$$

with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^4 = b^4 = [a, b] = 1; c^2 = d^2 = [c, d] = 1, a^c = ab^2, b^c = a^2b^3, a^d = a^3, b^d = b^3; \\ e^2 = f^3 = 1, f^e = f^2, a^e = a^2b^3, b^e = a^3b^2, c^e = cd, d^e = d, a^f = a^2b, b^f = ab, \\ c^f = c, d^f = d. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $O_2(G) = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ which is of order 2^6 and $\langle e, f \rangle \cong D_6$.

Set $r = a^{-1}b^{-1}cf$ and $\ell = e$. Omitting computations in details, we get

$$(i) [r, \ell] = df, (ii) r^2 = af^{-1}, (iii) (r\ell)^2(\ell r)^2 = a^{-1}b, (iv) r^3 = a^2b^2c. \quad (1)$$

Then (i) gives $d, f \in \langle r, \ell \rangle$, and combining (ii), (iii) and (iv) in order we have $a, b, c \in \langle r, \ell \rangle$. So $G = \langle r, \ell \rangle$. Moreover, we state that there exists no $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $r^\sigma = r^{-1}$ and $\ell^\sigma = \ell$. Assume the converse. Then from Eq(1) again, we have

$$f^\sigma = ([r, \ell]^4)^\sigma = [r^{-1}, \ell]^4 = a^2bf^{-1}, \quad a^\sigma = r^{-2}f^\sigma = r^{-2}a^2bf^{-1} = b, \quad b^\sigma = a \quad (\text{as } \sigma^2 = 1)$$

From

$$(a^2b^2)c^\sigma = (a^2b^2)^\sigma c^\sigma = (r^3)^\sigma = r^3 = a^2b^2c,$$

we have $c^\sigma = c$. Then we get the following contradiction.

$$r^\sigma = (a^{-1}b^{-1}cf)^\sigma = a^{-1}b^{-1}cf^\sigma = a^3b^2cf^{-1} \quad \text{but} \quad r^{-1} = a^3cf^{-1}.$$

The above arguments tell us that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(G; r, \ell)$ is a chiral and nonnormal 2-map whose quotient map $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathcal{D}(3, 2)$, which is one of the cases in Lemma 6.1.(2.1). Finally, one may check that $|r| = 6$ and $|r\ell| = 4$. Then \mathcal{M} has 2^6 vertices, $3 \cdot 2^5$ faces and $3 \cdot 2^6$ edges, which implies $g = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(2^6 + 3 \cdot 2^5 - 3 \cdot 2^6) = 17$.

Example 6.3 In $G = \text{GL}(2, 3)$, set

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $G = \langle r, \ell \rangle$. If a relation $c(r, \ell) = 1$, then ℓ must appear even number times in the word. So $c(r, \ell) = 1$ if and only if $c(r, z\ell) = 1$, it follows that $\sigma : r \rightarrow r, \ell \rightarrow z\ell$ can be extended to an automorphism of G . Moreover, $\sigma \cdot \text{Inn}(w)$ fixes ℓ and maps r to r^{-1} . Check that $|r| = 6$ and $|r\ell| = 8$. Then the 2-map $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(G; r, \ell)$ is reflexible and has 8 vertices, 24 edges and 6 faces, which implies that $g = 12$. Since $O_2(G) \cong Q_8$, we have $G/O_2(G) \cong \mathbb{D}_6$ and $\langle r \rangle O_2(G)/O_2(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Thus the quotient map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ has exactly two vertices and three edges, which implies $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathcal{D}(3, 2)$.

Example 6.4 Let $G = S_4 = \langle r, t, \ell \rangle$, where $r = (12)$, $t = (13)$ and $\ell = (13)(24)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(G; r, t, \ell)$. Since $\langle rt, t\ell \rangle = G$, we know \mathcal{M} is a nonorientable and nonnormal regular 2-map, which has 4 vertices, 3 faces and 6 edges. Therefore, it is an embedding of K_4 into the projective plane. Moreover, $O_2(G) \cong \mathbb{D}_4$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = DM(6)$.

Example 6.5 Let $G = S_4 = \langle r, t, \ell \rangle$, where $r = (12)$, $t = (13)$ and $\ell = (24)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(G; r, t, \ell)$. Since $\langle rt, t\ell \rangle = A_4$, we know \mathcal{M} is a nonnormal orientably-regular 2-map, which has 4 vertices, 4 faces and 6 edges. Therefore, it is an embedding of K_4 into the sphere. Moreover, $O_2(G) \cong \mathbb{D}_4$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = EM(6)$.

7 Affine p -Maps

By Theorem 1.4, every orientably-regular p -map or regular p -map is normal, with some exceptions for $p = \{2, 3\}$. In this section, we concentrate on normal p -maps.

Suppose \mathcal{M} is a normal orientably-regular p -map or a normal regular p -map and let $G = \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M})$ or $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Take $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Then $P \triangleleft G$. In general case, a p -group may contain some characteristic subgroups, which induce blocks on the set V of all vertices, in other words, the induced action of G^V on V is imprimitive. Therefore, it is a framework to determine normal p -maps such that G^V is primitive.

We use $c(P)$ to denote the nilpotent class of P and set $\Omega_1(P) := \langle x \in P \mid x^p = 1 \rangle$, note that $\Omega_1(P) = \{x \in P \mid x^p = 1\}$ when $p > 2$ and $c(P) \leq 2$. Recall that H_G denotes the core of H in G .

Proposition 7.1 *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a normal orientably-regular p -map with p^k vertices where $k \geq 2$. Let $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) = \langle r, \ell \rangle$, $H = \langle r \rangle$ a point stabilizer, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and $P_0 = H_G \cap P$. Suppose that G^V is primitive. Then we have*

- (1) $P = P_0 \times T$, where $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$; or
- (2) $P = E * P_0$, where $P_0 = Z(P)$ and E is an extra-special p -group, where E can be chosen as $\Omega_1(P)$ if $p > 2$. In particular, k is always even in this case.

Proof Suppose that G^V acts primitively on V . Since G is solvable, G^V is solvable too. By Proposition 2.8, G^V must be of affine type and V can be identified with a vector space of dimension k . Thus $\langle \bar{r} \rangle$ acts irreducibly on V , $|\bar{r}| \mid p^k - 1$ and $|\bar{r}| \nmid p^s - 1$ for any $1 \leq s < k$, which implies $P/P_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ so that $G/H_G = \bar{P} \rtimes \langle \bar{r} \rangle$. Moreover, $P_0 \leq Z(G)$, as $H/H_G \leq C_G(P_0)/H_G \triangleleft G/H_G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k \rtimes H/H_G$, a primitive group.

In what follows we deal with two cases separately.

(1) P is abelian

Since P is abelian, P_0 is cyclic and $P/P_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$, we know that either $P = P_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ or $P = P_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$ where P_1 is cyclic and $|P_1 : P_0| = p$. In fact, the second case cannot happen. Otherwise, $\Omega_1(P)$ is a characteristic subgroup of P , which induces blocks. By the primitivity of G^V , we get that either $\Omega_1(P)$ fixes V pointwise which means $\Omega_1(P) \leq P_0$ or $\Omega_1(P)$ acts transitively on V , but these two cases cannot happen. So $P = P_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^k$, as shown in (1) of the lemma.

(2) P is nonabelian

Since a characteristic abelian subgroup is not transitive on V and it induces blocks on V , it must be contained in P_0 . In particular, $Z(P) \leq P_0$, and then $Z(P) = P_0$, which

is cyclic. By Proposition 2.10 we deduce that P is a central product of two groups S and E , where S and E are given below according to $p > 2$ and $p = 2$, separately.

Step 1: $p > 2$.

By Proposition 2.10, S is a cyclic subgroup and E is an extra-special p -group of exponent p .

If $S = 1$, then P is an extra-special p -group, as desired. Suppose that $S > 1$. First note that $S \leq Z(P) = P_0$, we thus have $Z(P) = S(Z(P) \cap E)$ by Dedekind's lemma. But from $1 < |Z(P) \cap E| \leq |Z(E)| = p$, we derive that $Z(P) \cap E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, which implies that $S = Z(P) = P_0$. Since $\exp(E) = p$, we have $E \leq \Omega_1(P)$ by definition. If there are elements $e \in E, s \in S$ such that $|es| \leq p$, then we must have both $|e| \leq p$ and $|s| \leq p$. Since any element $s \in S$ with $|s| \leq p$ is contained in E , we conclude that any element of order p in P lies in E , thus $\Omega_1(P) = E$, as required.

Step 2: $p = 2$

By Proposition 2.10, E is either identity or an extra-special 2-group; and S is either a cyclic 2-group or a 2-group of maximal class.

If $|P_0| = 2$, then P is an extra-special 2-group and we can write $P = P_0 * P$. So we suppose that $|P_0| \geq 4$ from now on. If P is a cyclic 2-group or a maximal class 2-group, then P would contain an index 2 characteristic cyclic subgroup containing P_0 which is neither transitive on vertices nor contained in the core of H , a contradiction. Thus E is an extra-special 2-group.

Now we show that S can not be a maximal class 2-group. Assume the contrary. Set $P_0 = \langle x \rangle$, where $x = es$ for some $e \in E$ and $s \in S$. Then, we have $e \in Z(E), s \in Z(S)$ and either $|e| \geq |x| \geq 4$ or $|s| \geq |x| \geq 4$, but the center of both an extra-special 2-group and a maximal class 2-group are of order 2, a contradiction. Thus S is cyclic and clearly we have $S \leq P_0$.

Finally, we show that $S = P_0$. Since $S \leq P_0$, we have $P_0 = S(P_0 \cap E)$. Since $P_0 = Z(P)$, we must have $1 < |P_0 \cap E| \leq |Z(E)| = 2$, which implies that $P_0 \cap E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and then $S = P_0$.

Note that $P/P_0 \cong E/Z(E)$ and the order of an extra-special p -group is always of the form p^{2m+1} for some positive integer m , the statement k is even follows, as required.

□

Proposition 7.2 *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a normal regular p -map with $p^k (k \geq 2)$ vertices such that $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts primitively on vertices. Let H be a point stabilizer, $P_0 = P \cap H_G$. Then k is even and either*

- (1) $P = P_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^k$; or
- (2) $P = \Omega_1(P) * P_0$, where $P_0 = Z(P)$ and $\Omega_1(P) = \{x \in P | x^p = 1\}$ is an extraspecial p -group.

Proof Note that if \mathcal{M} is a normal regular 2-map such that G acts primitively on vertices, then G is a 2-group with H maximal which is of less interest, thus our assumption $k \geq 2$ excludes that possibility. Now p must be odd and the proofs for (1) and (2) are similar to those in Lemma 7.1, reminding a slight difference here is that we need to invoke Proposition 2.3 to prove that $P_0 = Z(P)$ in case P is nonabelian. Here we only need to prove that k is even. It suffices to consider the case G acts faithfully and primitively on vertices. Since G is solvable, Proposition 2.8 can be applied to show that G must be of affine type.

Write $G = T \rtimes G_\alpha$ where $P = T = \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ and G_α is a point (vector) stabilizer which is an irreducible subgroup of $\text{GL}(k, p)$. Let C be the cyclic subgroup of G_α with index 2.

Suppose that C fixes some nonzero proper subspace of V , we can choose some $V_0 \neq 0$ fixed by C with minimal dimension, then V_0^t is also a subspace fixed by C for some $t \in G_\alpha - C$. Thus $V_0 \cap V_0^t = 0$ by assumption on V_0 and irreducibility of G_α implies that $V = V_0 \oplus V_0^t$, hence $\dim(V) = 2\dim(V_0)$ and then k is even.

Now we may suppose that C is irreducible on V . By [11, page 196, theorem 3.10], C is conjugate to a subgroup of some Singer subgroup. However, the normalizer of any such subgroup in $\text{GL}(k, p)$ is isomorphic to the semilinear group $\Gamma\text{L}(1, p^k) \cong \text{GF}(p^k)^* \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ where $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of order k . This group contains a dihedral subgroup only if k is even. \square

In what follows, we construct three 3-maps, showing that all the cases in Propositions 7.1 and 7.2 may be realized.

Example 7.3 *Let*

$$G = \langle a \rangle \times ((\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle) \rtimes \langle e \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times ((\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{26})$$

with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = d^3 = e^{26} = 1, a^b = a^c = a^d = a^e = a, b^c = b^d = b, c^d = c; \\ b^e = d^2, c^e = bd^2, d^e = cd. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the Sylow 3-subgroup $P = \langle a \rangle \times (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle) \triangleleft G$. Let $r = ae$ and $\ell = ce^{13}$. Then check that $G = \langle r, \ell \rangle$ and there exists no automorphism σ of G such that $r^\sigma = r^{-1}$.

and $\ell^\sigma = \ell$. Set $H = \langle r \rangle$ where $H_G = \langle a \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(G; r, \ell)$ is a normal orientably-regular and chiral 3-map with 27 vertices such that $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{M}) = G$ acts primitively on vertices.

Example 7.4 *Let*

$$G = (\langle a \rangle \times (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle)) \rtimes \langle d, e \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \rtimes \mathbb{D}_8$$

with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = d^4 = e^2 = 1, a^b = a^c = a^d = a, b^c = b, b^d = b^2c^2, c^d = b^2c; \\ a^e = a^2, b^e = bc, c^e = c^2, d^e = d^3. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the Sylow 3-subgroup $P = \langle a \rangle \times (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle) \triangleleft G$. Let $r = ade, t = e, \ell = b^2cd^2e$ so that $G = \langle r, t, \ell \rangle$ and $|G : \langle rt, t\ell \rangle| = 2$. Set $H = \langle r, t \rangle$ so that $H_G = \langle a \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(G; r, t, \ell)$ is a normal regular 3-map with 9 vertices such that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) = G$ acts primitively on vertices and clearly \mathcal{M} is also orientably-regular.

Example 7.5 *Let*

$$G = ((\langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle) \rtimes \langle c \rangle) \rtimes \langle d, e \rangle \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{D}_8$$

with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = d^4 = e^2 = 1, a^b = a^c = a^d = a, b^c = ab, b^d = b^2c^2, c^d = b^2c; \\ a^e = a^2, b^e = b^2, c^e = b^2c, d^e = d^3. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the Sylow 3-subgroup $P = \langle a \rangle * (\langle b, c \rangle) \triangleleft G$. Let $r = ade, t = e, \ell = bcd^2$ so that $G = \langle r, t, \ell \rangle = \langle rt, t\ell \rangle$. Set $H = \langle r, t \rangle$ so that $H_G = \langle a \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(G; r, t, \ell)$ is a normal regular and nonorientable 3-map with 9 vertices such that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) = G$ acts primitively on vertices.

Acknowledgments: This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071312).

References

- [1] D.A. Catalano, M.D.E. Conder, S.F. Du, Y.S. Kwon, R. Nedela and S.E. Wilson, Classification of regular embeddings of n -dimensional cubes, *J. Algeb. Combin.*, **33**(2011)(2), 215–238.
- [2] M.D.E., Conder, S.,F. Du, R. Nedela, M. Škoviera, Regular maps with nilpotent automorphism group, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **44**(2016), 863-874.
- [3] S.F. Du, G.A. Jones, J.H. Kwak, R. Nedela and M. Škoviera, Regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ where n is a power of 2, I: Metacyclic case, *European J. Combin.*, **28**(6)(2007), 1595-1609.
- [4] S.F. Du, G.A. Jones, J.H. Kwak, R. Nedela, M. Škoviera, Regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ where n is a power of 2, II: Nonmetacyclic case, *European J. Combin.*, **31**(2010), 1946-1956.
- [5] S.F. Du, J.H. Kwak and R. Nedela, A Classification of regular embeddings of graphs of order a product of two primes, *J. Algeb. Combin.*, **19**(2004), 123-141.
- [6] S.F. Du and J.H. Kwak, Nonorientable regular embeddings of graphs of order p^2 , *Discrete Math.*, **310**(2010), 1743-1751.
- [7] J.D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer-Verlag (1996).
- [8] A. Gardiner, R. Nedela, J. Širáň, M. Škoviera, Characterization of graphs which underlie regular maps on closed surfaces, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **59**(1)(1999), 100-108.
- [9] R.M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, *J. Algebra*, **81**(1983), 304-311.
- [10] D. Gorenstein and J. H. Walter, The characterization of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups I, *J. Algebra*, **2**(1965), 85-151.
- [11] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [12] K. Hu, R. Nedela, M. Škoviera, N. Wang, Regular embeddings of cycles with multiple edges revisited, *Ars, Math. Contemp.*, **8**(2015), 177-194.
- [13] L.D. James and G.A. Jones, Regular orientable imbeddings of complete graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* , **39**(1985) 353-367.
- [14] G.A. Jones, Regular embeddings of complete bipartite graphs: classification and enumeration, *London Math. Soc.*, **101**(2010), 427-453.
- [15] G.A. Jones, Maps on surfaces and Galois groups, *Math. Slovaca*, **47**(1997), 1-33
- [16] J. H. Kwak and Y. S. Kwon, Classification of nonorientable regular embeddings of complete bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **101**(2011), 191-205.
- [17] Y.S. Kwon and R. Nedela, Non-existence of nonorientable regular embeddings of n -dimensional cubes, *Discrete Math.*, **307**(2007)(3-5), 511-516.
- [18] C.H. Le and Jozef Širáň, Regular maps whose groups do not act faithfully on vertices, edges, or faces Classification of regular embeddings of complete multipartite graphs, *Euro. J. Combin.*, **26**(2005), 521-541.
- [19] A. Malnič, R. Nedela, and M. Škovier, Regular maps with nilpotent automorphism groups, *European J. Combin.*, **33**(2012), 1974-1986.
- [20] R. Nedela, M. Škoviera, Regular embeddings of canonical double coverings of graphs, *J. Combina. Theory, Ser. B*, **67**(1996)(1-3), 249-277.

- [21] M. Suzuki, *Group theory II*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1985.
- [22] S.E. Wilson, Cantankerous maps and rotary embeddings of K_n , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **47**(1989), 262–273.
- [23] Y.H. Zhu, W.Q. Xu, S.F. Du and X.S. Ma, On the orientable regular embeddings of order prime-cube, *Discrete Math.*, **339**(2016), 1140–1146.