

Cubic Graphical Regular Representations of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$

Jing Jian Li*, Binzhou Xia[†], Xiao Qian Zhang*, Shasha Zheng[†]

Abstract

A graphical regular representation (GRR) of a group G is a Cayley graph of G whose full automorphism group is equal to the right regular permutation representation of G . Towards a proof of the conjecture that only finitely many finite simple groups have no cubic GRR, this paper shows that $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ has a cubic GRR if and only if $q \geq 4$. Moreover, a cubic GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ is constructed for each of these q .

Keywords: Cayley graphs; cubic graphs; graphical regular representations; projective special unitary groups

1 Introduction

Let G be a group whose identity element is denoted as 1, and let S be a subset of G such that $1 \notin S$ and $S^{-1} = S$, where $S^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in S\}$. The *Cayley graph* of G with *connection set* S , denoted by $\text{Cay}(G, S)$, is defined as the graph with vertex set G such that x and y are adjacent if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. If one identifies G with its right regular representation, then G is a subgroup of the full automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. We call $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ a *graphical regular representation* (GRR for short) of G if $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S)) = G$.

The question which finite groups admit GRRs was studied in a series of papers, and eventually a complete characterization was obtained by Godsil in [10]. There is also special interest in studying which finite groups admit GRRs of a prescribed valency. In the case of valency three, Fang, Li, Wang and Xu [9] conjectured that every finite non-abelian simple group admits a cubic GRR. However, in [19], Xia and Fang found that $\text{PSL}_2(7)$ is a counterexample to this conjecture. Meanwhile, they proposed the following conjecture in the same paper.

Conjecture 1.1. ([19, Conjecture 4.3]) *Except a finite number of cases, every finite non-abelian simple group has a cubic GRR.*

In [16, Conjecture 1.3], Spiga conjectured that except $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ and a finite number of other cases, every finite non-abelian simple group G contains an element x and an involution y such

*College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, P. R. China (lijjh@gxu.edu.cn, zhangxq@st.gxu.edu.cn)

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia (binzhoux@unimelb.edu.au, zhesz@student.unimelb.edu.au)

that $\text{Cay}(G, \{x, x^{-1}, y\})$ is a GRR of G . However, this conjecture is not true since both $\text{PSL}_3(q)$ and $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ form infinite families of counterexamples. For a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$, let

$$\text{Aut}(G, S) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G) : S^\alpha = S\}$$

be the group of automorphisms of G stabilizing S setwise. It is easy to see that if $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a GRR of G , then we necessarily have $\text{Aut}(G, S) = 1$ and $G = \langle S \rangle$. By [3, Theorem 4, Corollary 6], for $G = \text{PSL}_3(q)$ or $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ and any pair of generators (x, y) of G where y is an involution, $\text{Aut}(G, \{x, x^{-1}, y\})$ is always nontrivial and therefore the connection set of any cubic GRR of G (if it exists) consists of three involutions. In [20, Theorem 1.5], Xia, Zheng and Zhou showed that Spiga's conjecture ([16, Conjecture 1.3]) can be saved by adding $\text{PSL}_3(q)$ and $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ to the list of exceptional groups.

Note that, by [19, Theorem 1.3] and [18, Theorem 1.2], $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ with $q \notin \{2, 3, 7\}$ and $\text{PSL}_3(q)$ with $q \neq 2$ admit cubic GRRs. Thus, to settle Conjecture 1.1, the only remaining family of groups that need to be considered is $\text{PSU}_3(q)$. It is known by [6] that the non-simple group $\text{PSU}_3(2)$ does not admit cubic GRRs, and it is clear that $\text{PSU}_3(3)$ has no cubic GRR since the group cannot be generated by any triple of involutions. Inspired by the work on cubic GRRs of $\text{PSL}_3(q)$ in [18], in this paper, we construct cubic GRRs of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ for each $q \geq 4$ and verify Conjecture 1.1 for $\text{PSU}_3(q)$, which eventually helps to confirm Conjecture 1.1 in [20].

Theorem 1.2. *For a prime power q , the group $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ has a cubic GRR if and only if $q \geq 4$.*

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2, which is divided into two cases where the characteristic is odd (in Section 2) or even (in Section 3). For $q \geq 5$ odd, a graph is constructed in Construction 2.2 and proved to be a GRR in Theorem 2.8; for $q \geq 4$ even, a graph is constructed in Construction 3.2 and proved to be a GRR in Theorem 3.8.

2 Odd characteristic

Let $q = p^f \geq 5$ with odd prime p . Denote the projection from $\text{SU}_3(q)$ to $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ by η .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q = p^f \geq 5$ with odd prime p and $I = \{0, f/\gcd(3, f), 2f/\gcd(3, f)\}$. For an element b in \mathbb{F}_q^\times satisfying $b + b^q = 1$ and $b \neq b^q$, there exists an element a of order $q - 1$ in \mathbb{F}_q^\times such that*

$$(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i} \neq a + a^{-1}b^{q+1} \text{ for any } i \in I, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i} \neq 1 + b^{q+1} \text{ for any } i \in I \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$a + a^{-1}b^{q+1} \neq 1 + b^{q+1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let S be the set of elements a of order $q - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ satisfying the conditions (2.1)–(2.3), let S_0 be the set of elements of order $q - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, let

$$S_{1,i} = \{a \in S_0 : (a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i} = a + a^{-1}b^{q+1}\},$$

$$S_{2,i} = \{a \in S_0 : (a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i} = 1 + b^{q+1}\}$$

for each $i \in I$, and let

$$S_3 = \{a \in S_0 : a + a^{-1}b^{q+1} = 1 + b^{q+1}\}.$$

It is clear that

$$|S_{1,0}| + |S_{2,0}| + |S_3| \leq 5$$

and that $|S_0| \leq \varphi(q - 1)$, where φ is Euler's totient function.

First assume $\gcd(3, f) = 1$. For $q \in \{5, 7, 9, 11, 13\}$, the conclusion $|S| > 0$ can be directly verified by computation in MAGMA [4]. For $q > 13$, we have

$$|S| \geq |S_0| - (|S_{1,0}| + |S_{2,0}| + |S_3|) \geq \varphi(q - 1) - 5 > 0.$$

Next assume $\gcd(3, f) = 3$. By [15, Lemma 2.1], we know $\varphi(q - 1) > (q - 1)^{2/3}$. Note that

$$S_{1,f/3} \subseteq \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times : (a + a^{-1} + 1)^{q^{1/3}} = a + a^{-1}b^{q+1}\}$$

$$= \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times : a^{2q^{1/3}} + 1 + a^{q^{1/3}} = a^{q^{1/3}+1} + a^{q^{1/3}-1}b^{q+1}\}$$

and that

$$S_{1,2f/3} \subseteq \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times : (a + a^{-1} + 1)^{q^{2/3}} = a + a^{-1}b^{q+1}\}$$

$$= \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times : a + a^{-1} + 1 = (a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})^{q^{1/3}}\}$$

$$= \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times : a^{q^{1/3}+1} + a^{q^{1/3}-1} + a^{q^{1/3}} = a^{2q^{1/3}} + b^{q+1}\}.$$

It follows that

$$|S_{1,f/3}| + |S_{1,2f/3}| \leq 2q^{1/3} + 2q^{1/3} = 4q^{1/3}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$|S_{2,f/3}| + |S_{2,2f/3}| \leq 4q^{1/3}.$$

For $q \in \{3^3, 5^3, 7^3\}$, the conclusion $|S| > 0$ can be directly verified by computation in MAGMA [4]. For $q > 7^3$, we have

$$|S| > (q - 1)^{2/3} - 5 - 8q^{1/3} > 0.$$

Hence there exists $a \in S$, which completes the proof. \square

Construction 2.2. Let $q = p^f \geq 5$ with odd prime p . Let b be an element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ such that $b + b^q = 1$ and $b \neq b^q$, and let a be an element of order $q - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ satisfying the conditions (2.1)–(2.3) in Lemma 2.1. Moreover, let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -b^q & b & b^{q+1} \\ 1 & 0 & b^q \\ 1 & 1 & -b \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$x = X^\eta$, $y = Y^\eta$, $z = Z^\eta$, $S = \{x, y, z\}$ and $\Gamma(q) = \text{Cay}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$.

Note in the above construction that X, Y, Z are indeed elements of

$$\{A \in \text{SL}(3, q^2) : \overline{A}^T W A = W\} = \text{SU}_3(q)$$

where $\overline{(a_{ij})} = (a_{ij}^q)$ and

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *In the notation of Construction 2.2, $o(x) = o(y) = o(z) = 2$ and $o(yz) = q - 1$.*

Proof. It is clear that none of x, y and z is the identity and it is straightforward to verify that $X^2 = Y^2 = Z^2 = 1$. Since $|\ker(\eta)| = |\mathbf{Z}(\text{SU}_3(q))| = \gcd(3, q + 1)$ is coprime to 2, we have $o(x) = o(y) = o(z) = 2$. Note that

$$YZ = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $o(yz) = q - 1$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let H be a maximal subgroup of $\text{SU}_3(q)$ with $q > 13$ odd. If H^η contains a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$, then either H is reducible or $H = \text{SO}_3(q) \times \text{C}_{\gcd(q+1,3)}$.*

Proof. By [2, Tables 8.5–8.6], either H is reducible or H is one of the following groups:

- (i) $\text{C}_{q+1}^2 \rtimes \text{S}_3$;
- (ii) $\text{C}_{q^2-q+1} \rtimes \text{C}_3$;
- (iii) $\text{SU}_3(q_0) \cdot \text{C}_{\gcd((q+1)/(q_0+1), 3)}$, where $q = q_0^r$ with r odd prime;
- (iv) $\text{SO}_3(q) \times \text{C}_{\gcd(q+1,3)}$;
- (v) $3_+^{1+2} \rtimes \text{Q}_8 \cdot \text{C}_{\gcd(q+1,9)/3}$, where $q = p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$;
- (vi) $\text{PSL}_2(7) \times \text{C}_{\gcd(q+1,3)}$, where $q = p \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$;
- (vii) $\text{C}_3 \cdot \text{A}_6$, where $q = p \equiv 11, 14 \pmod{15}$.

Suppose that H^η contains a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$.

If H is as in (i), then $6(q + 1)^2$ is divisible by $2(q - 1)$, whence $6((q + 1)/2)^2$ is divisible by $(q - 1)/2$. Since $(q + 1)/2$ is coprime to $(q - 1)/2$, we deduce that 6 is divisible by $(q - 1)/2$, which is not possible as $q > 13$.

If H is as in (ii), then $3(q^2 - q + 1)$ is divisible by $2(q - 1)$, which is not possible.

Assume that H is as in (iii). Note that $|H| = q_0^3(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1) \gcd((q_0^r + 1)/(q_0 + 1), 3)$. For $r \geq 11$, we have $2(q - 1) = 2(q_0^r - 1) > |H|$, a contradiction. For $r = 3, 5$ or 7 , we have

$$\begin{cases} |H| \equiv 2 \gcd((q_0^3 + 1)/(q_0 + 1), 3)(q_0^2 - 1) \pmod{q_0^3 - 1} & \text{for } r = 3, \\ |H| \equiv -\gcd((q_0^5 + 1)/(q_0 + 1), 3)(q_0 - 1) \pmod{q_0^5 - 1} & \text{for } r = 5, \\ |H| \equiv -\gcd((q_0^7 + 1)/(q_0 + 1), 3)(q_0^6 - q_0^5 + q_0^3 - q_0) \pmod{q_0^7 - 1} & \text{for } r = 7. \end{cases}$$

This implies by direct analysis that $q - 1 = q_0^r - 1$ does not divide $|H|$, again a contradiction.

If H is as in (v), then $2(q - 1)$ is coprime to 3 as $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. This implies that $2(q - 1)$ divides 8, which is not possible.

If H is as in (vi) or (vii), then $H^\eta = \text{PSL}_2(7)$ or A_6 , which implies that H^η does not contain any dihedral group of order larger than 10. However, H^η contains a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$ and $q > 13$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.5. *In the notation of Construction 2.2, $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\text{SU}_3(q)$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ is reducible. It follows that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 1 or 2.

First assume that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 1, say $\langle u \rangle$, where $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ with $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We have $uZ \in \langle u \rangle$, that is, $(u_3, -u_2, u_1) \in \langle (u_1, u_2, u_3) \rangle$. This implies that either $u_2 \neq 0$ and $u_1 = -u_3$, or $u_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = \pm u_3$. Suppose $u_2 \neq 0$ and $u_1 = -u_3$. Since $uYZ = (au_1, u_2, -a^{-1}u_1) \in \langle u \rangle = \langle (u_1, u_2, -u_1) \rangle$ and $a \neq 1$, we have $u_1 = 0$. Moreover, we derive from $uX = (u_2, 0, b^q u_2) \in \langle u \rangle = \langle (0, u_2, 0) \rangle$ that $u_2 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus we have $u_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = \pm u_3$. Note that $uYZ \in \langle u \rangle$, that is, $(au_1, 0, a^{-1}u_3) \in \langle (u_1, 0, u_3) \rangle$. Since $a^2 \neq 1$, we conclude that $u_1 = u_3 = 0$, and hence $u = 0$, a contradiction.

Next assume that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 2. It follows that $\langle X^\top, Y^\top, Z^\top \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 1, suppose $\langle v \rangle$, where $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ with $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We have $vZ^\top \in \langle v \rangle$, that is, $(v_3, -v_2, v_1) \in \langle (v_1, v_2, v_3) \rangle$. This implies that either $v_2 \neq 0$ and $v_1 = -v_3$, or $v_2 = 0$ and $v_1 = \pm v_3$. Suppose $v_2 \neq 0$ and $v_1 = -v_3$. Since $vY^\top Z^\top = (a^{-1}v_1, v_2, -av_1) \in \langle v \rangle = \langle (v_1, v_2, -v_1) \rangle$ and $a \neq 1$, we have $v_1 = 0$. Moreover, we derive from $vX^\top = (bv_2, 0, v_2) \in \langle v \rangle = \langle (0, v_2, 0) \rangle$ that $v_2 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus we have $v_2 = 0$ and $v_1 = \pm v_3$. Note that $vY^\top Z^\top \in \langle v \rangle$, that is, $(a^{-1}v_1, 0, av_3) \in \langle (v_1, 0, v_3) \rangle$. Since $a^2 \neq 1$, we conclude that $v_1 = v_3 = 0$, and hence $v = 0$, again a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.6. *In the notation of Construction 2.2, S is a generating set of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle = \text{SU}_3(q)$. For $q \in \{5, 7, 9, 11, 13\}$, computation in MAGMA [4] directly verifies the conclusion. Now suppose that $q > 13$ and $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle \neq \text{SU}_3(q)$. It means that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ is contained in a maximal subgroup H of $\text{SU}_3(q)$. From Lemma 2.3, we deduce that $\langle y, z \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$. Thus H^η contains a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$. As a consequence of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have $H = \text{SO}_3(q) \times C_{\gcd(q+1, 3)}$.

By Lemma 2.3, we see that X, Y and Z all have order divisible by 2. Since $|H/\mathrm{SO}_3(q)| = \gcd(q+1, 3)$ is odd, we conclude that $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{SO}_3(q)$. This means that there exists $B \in \mathrm{GL}_3(q^2)$ with $B^\top = B$ and $X^\top BX = Y^\top BY = Z^\top BZ = B$. Write

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{13} & b_{23} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We derive from $Z^\top BZ = B$ that

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{33} & -b_{23} & b_{13} \\ -b_{23} & b_{22} & -b_{12} \\ b_{13} & -b_{12} & b_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{13} & b_{23} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that $b_{11} = b_{33}$ and $-b_{23} = b_{12}$. Next we derive from $Y^\top BY = B$ that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{-2}b_{11} & a^{-1}b_{12} & b_{13} \\ a^{-1}b_{12} & b_{22} & -ab_{12} \\ b_{13} & -ab_{12} & a^2b_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & -b_{12} \\ b_{13} & -b_{12} & b_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $a \neq 1$ and $a^2 \neq 1$, we have $b_{12} = b_{11} = 0$. Thus

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_{13} \\ 0 & b_{22} & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & d & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with some $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$. Now we derive from $X^\top BX = B$ that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2b^q + d & b - b^q & 2b^{q+1} + db^q \\ b - b^q & 2b & b^{q+1} - b^2 \\ 2b^{q+1} + db^q & b^{q+1} - b^2 & -2b^{q+2} + db^{2q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & d & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

from which we deduce $b = b^q$, contradicting the conditions for b in Construction 2.2. \square

Lemma 2.7. *In the notation of Construction 2.2, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$.*

Proof. Let α be an arbitrary element of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSU}_3(q), S)$. We aim to show that α fixes every element of S . Since Lemma 2.6 asserts that S generates $\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$, this will lead to $\alpha = 1$ and hence $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$.

Recall that the characteristic polynomial of YZ is

$$\lambda^3 - (a + a^{-1} + 1)\lambda^2 + (a + a^{-1} + 1)\lambda - 1.$$

Direct calculation also shows that the characteristic polynomials of

$$XY = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}b^{q+1} & -b & -ab^q \\ a^{-1}b^q & 0 & a \\ -a^{-1}b & -1 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad XZ = \begin{pmatrix} b^{q+1} & -b & b^q \\ b^q & 0 & 1 \\ -b & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

are

$$\lambda^3 - (a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})\lambda^2 + (a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})\lambda - 1$$

and

$$\lambda^3 - (1 + b^{q+1})\lambda^2 + (1 + b^{q+1})\lambda - 1$$

respectively.

Since the order of α is 1, 2 or 3, we deduce that $\alpha\text{PGU}_3(q)$ has order 1, 2 or 3 in

$$\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q))/\text{PGU}_3(q) = \langle \phi\text{PGU}_3(q) \rangle,$$

where ϕ is the field automorphism of order $2f$. Hence $\alpha\text{PGU}_3(q) = \phi^i\text{PGU}_3(q)$ for some $i \in \{f, 2f, 2f/\gcd(3, f), 4f/\gcd(3, f)\}$. By abuse of notation we also denote by ϕ the corresponding automorphism of $\text{SU}_3(q)$ mapping each entry of a matrix to its p th power.

We first confirm that α fixes x by showing that $(yz)^\alpha \notin \{xy, yx, xz, zx\}$.

(i) Suppose that $(yz)^\alpha = xy$ (or yx). It follows that

$$sD^{-1}(YZ)^{\phi^i}D = XY$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $D \in \text{GU}_3(q)$. Hence $s(YZ)^{\phi^i} = D(XY)D^{-1}$ has the same characteristic polynomial as XY , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^3 - s(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i}\lambda^2 + s^2(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i}\lambda - s^3 \\ &= \lambda^3 - (a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})\lambda^2 + (a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})\lambda - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i} = a + a^{-1}b^{q+1}$, contradicting (2.1).

(ii) Suppose that $(yz)^\alpha = xz$ (or zx). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^3 - t(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i}\lambda^2 + t^2(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i}\lambda - t^3 \\ &= \lambda^3 - (1 + b^{q+1})\lambda^2 + (1 + b^{q+1})\lambda - 1. \end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Hence $(a + a^{-1} + 1)^{p^i} = 1 + b^{q+1}$, which contradicts (2.2).

To finish the proof, we only need to show that α cannot swap y and z .

Suppose for a contradiction that α swaps y and z . It implies that α has order 2, and so $\alpha\text{PGU}_3(q) = \phi^j\text{PGU}_3(q)$ for some $i \in \{f, 2f\}$. As $(xy)^\alpha = x^\alpha y^\alpha = xz$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^3 - r(a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})^{p^i}\lambda^2 + r^2(a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})^{p^i}\lambda - r^3 \\ &= \lambda^3 - r(a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})\lambda^2 + r^2(a + a^{-1}b^{q+1})\lambda - r^3 \\ &= \lambda^3 - (1 + b^{q+1})\lambda^2 + (1 + b^{q+1})\lambda - 1. \end{aligned}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Hence $a + a^{-1}b^{q+1} = a + a^{-1} + 1$, contradicting (2.3).

This shows that α fixes every element of S , as desired. \square

Theorem 2.8. *For each odd prime power $q \geq 5$, the graph $\Gamma(q)$ as in Construction 2.2 is a cubic GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$.*

Proof. By [9, Theorem 1.3], for any connection set S of size three, the graph $\text{Cay}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$ is a GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ if and only if $\langle S \rangle = \text{PSU}_3(q)$ and $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$. Now let S be the set in Construction 2.2. Lemma 2.6 shows that $\langle S \rangle = \text{PSU}_3(q)$, and Lemma 2.7 shows that $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$. Hence $\Gamma(q) = \text{Cay}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$ is a cubic GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$. \square

3 Even characteristic

Let $q = 2^f \geq 4$. Denote the projection from $\text{SU}_3(q)$ to $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ by η .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $q = 2^f \geq 4$ and $I = \{0, f, 2f/\gcd(3, f), 4f/\gcd(3, f)\}$. For an element b in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ satisfying $b + b^q = 1$ and $b^{q+1} \neq 1$, there exists an element a of order $q+1$ such that*

$$(1 + b + b^2)^{2^i} \neq a + a^{-1} + 1 \text{ for any } i \in I, \quad (3.1)$$

$$(1 + b + b^2)^{2^i} \neq a(1 + b + b^3 + b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2 + b^3 + b^4) \text{ for any } i \in I, \quad (3.2)$$

$$a + a^{-1} + 1 \neq a(1 + b + b^3 + b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2 + b^3 + b^4), \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$a + a^{-1} + 1 \neq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Let S be the set of elements a of order $q+1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ satisfying the conditions (3.1)–(3.4), let S_0 be the set of elements of order $q+1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, let

$$S_{1,i} = \{a \in S_0 : (1 + b + b^2)^{2^i} = a + a^{-1} + 1\},$$

$$S_{2,i} = \{a \in S_0 : (1 + b + b^2)^{2^i} = a(1 + b + b^3 + b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2 + b^3 + b^4)\}$$

for each $i \in I$ and let

$$S_3 = \{a \in S_0 : a + a^{-1} + 1 = a(1 + b + b^3 + b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2 + b^3 + b^4)\},$$

$$S_4 = \{a \in S_0 : a + a^{-1} + 1 = 0\}.$$

It is clear that $|S_{1,i}| \leq 2$, $|S_{2,i}| \leq 2$, $|S_3| \leq 2$ and $|S_4| \leq 2$.

For $q \in \{2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5\}$, the conclusion $|S| > 0$ can be directly verified. Note that $\varphi(q+1) > 20$ for $q = 2^f \geq 2^6$. Thus for $q \geq 2^6$, we have

$$|S| \geq |S_0| - \left(\sum_{i \in I} |S_{1,i}| + \sum_{i \in I} |S_{2,i}| + |S_3| + |S_4| \right) \geq \varphi(q+1) - 20 > 0.$$

Hence there exists $a \in S$, which completes the proof. \square

Construction 3.2. Let $q = 2^f \geq 4$. Let b be an element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ satisfying $b + b^q = 1$ and $b^{q+1} \neq 1$, and let a be an element of order $q + 1$ satisfying the conditions (3.1)–(3.4) in Lemma 3.1. Moreover, let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 & 1 \\ b^q & 0 & 1 \\ b^{q+1} & b & b^q \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} ab + a^{-1}b^q & 0 & a(b + b^{q+1}) + a^{-1}(b^q + b^{q+1}) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & ab + a^{-1}b^q \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$x = X^\eta$, $y = Y^\eta$, $z = Z^\eta$, $S = \{x, y, z\}$ and $\Gamma(q) = \text{Cay}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$.

Note in the above construction that X, Y, Z are indeed elements of $\text{SU}_3(q)$.

Lemma 3.3. *In the notation of Construction 3.2, $o(x) = o(y) = o(z) = 2$, and $o(zy) = q + 1$.*

Proof. It is clear that none of x, y and z is the identity and it is straightforward to verify that $X^2 = Y^2 = Z^2 = 1$. Since $|\ker(\eta)| = |\mathbf{Z}(\text{SU}_3(q))| = \gcd(3, q + 1)$ is coprime to 2, we have $o(x) = o(y) = o(z) = 2$. From the characteristic polynomial of

$$ZY = \begin{pmatrix} ab^q + a^{-1}b & 0 & (a + a^{-1})b^{q+1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & ab + a^{-1}b^q \end{pmatrix},$$

which is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda + ab^q + a^{-1}b & 0 & (a + a^{-1})b^{q+1} \\ 0 & \lambda + 1 & 0 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & \lambda + ab + a^{-1}b^q \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda + a)(\lambda + a^{-1})(\lambda + 1),$$

we see that the eigenvalues of ZY are a, a^{-1} and 1, whence $o(zy) = q + 1$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let H be a maximal subgroup of $\text{SU}_3(q)$ with $q > 8$ even. If H^η contains a dihedral group of order $2(q + 1)$, then either H is reducible or $H = \text{C}_{q+1}^2 \rtimes \text{S}_3$.*

Proof. By [2, Tables 8.5–8.6], either H is reducible or H is one of the following groups:

- (i) $\text{C}_{q+1}^2 \rtimes \text{S}_3$;
- (ii) $\text{C}_{q^2-q+1} \rtimes \text{C}_3$;
- (iii) $\text{SU}_3(q_0) \cdot \text{C}_{\gcd((q+1)/(q_0+1), 3)}$, where $q = q_0^r$ with r odd prime.

Suppose that H^η contains a dihedral group of order $2(q + 1)$.

If H is as in (ii), then $3(q^2 - q + 1)$ is divisible by $2(q + 1)$, which is not possible.

Assume that H is as in (iii). For $r = 3$, we know that H has no dihedral subgroup of order $2(q+1) = 2(q_0^3+1)$ according to [2, Tables 8.5–8.6], a contradiction. For $r = 5$ or 7 , direct analysis shows that $q+1 = q_0^r+1$ does not divide $|H|$ since

$$\begin{cases} |H| \equiv -\gcd((q_0^5+1)/(q_0+1), 3)(2q_0^3 - q_0 + 1) \pmod{q_0^5+1} & \text{for } r = 5, \\ |H| \equiv -\gcd((q_0^7+1)/(q_0+1), 3)(q_0^6 - q_0^5 + q_0^3 + q_0) \pmod{q_0^7+1} & \text{for } r = 7, \end{cases}$$

a contradiction. For $r \geq 11$, we have $2(q+1) > |H|$, again a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.5. *In the notation of Construction 3.2, $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\text{SU}_3(q)$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ is reducible. It follows that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 1 or 2.

First assume that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 1, say $\langle u \rangle$, where $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ with $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We have $uZ \in \langle u \rangle$, that is, $(u_1, u_2, u_1+u_3) \in \langle (u_1, u_2, u_3) \rangle$. This implies that $u_1 = 0$. Note that $uY = ((a+a^{-1})u_3, u_2, (ab+a^{-1}b^q)u_3) \in \langle (0, u_2, u_3) \rangle$. In view of $a+a^{-1} \neq 0$, we obtain $u_3 = 0$. Moreover, we derive from $uX = (b^q u_2, 0, u_2) \in \langle (0, u_2, 0) \rangle$ that $u_2 = 0$. Thus $u = 0$, a contradiction.

Next assume that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 2. It follows that $\langle X^\top, Y^\top, Z^\top \rangle$ stabilizes a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ of dimension 1, say $\langle v \rangle$, where $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ with $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. From $vZ^\top = (v_1+v_3, v_2, v_3) \in \langle (v_1, v_2, v_3) \rangle$, we have $v_3 = 0$. Note that $vY^\top = ((ab+a^{-1}b^q)v_1, v_2, (a+a^{-1})v_1) \in \langle (v_1, v_2, 0) \rangle$. Since $a+a^{-1} \neq 0$, we obtain $v_1 = 0$. Moreover, we see from $vX^\top = (v_2, 0, bv_2) \in \langle (0, v_2, 0) \rangle$ that $v_2 = 0$. Hence $v = 0$, again a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.6. *In the notation of Construction 3.2, S is a generating set of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle = \text{SU}_3(q)$. For $q = 4$ or 8 , computation in MAGMA [4] directly verifies the conclusion. Now suppose that $q > 8$ and $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle \neq \text{SU}_3(q)$. By Lemma 3.3, we see that $\langle y, z \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q+1)$. According to Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle = \text{C}_{q+1}^2 \rtimes \text{S}_3$. This implies that $\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ stabilizes a direct sum decomposition of $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ such that each V_i is a non-singular subspace of dimension 1.

Note that X, Y and Z are all involutions, which means that each of them stabilizes at least one of the three subspaces. For a given vector (u_1, u_2, u_3) with $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we have

$$(u_1, u_2, u_3)Z = (u_1, u_2, u_1+u_3).$$

It is easy to see that Z stabilizes $\langle (u_1, u_2, u_3) \rangle$ if and only if $u_1 = 0$. Thus, for the three subspaces of the decomposition, Z swaps two of them, suppose V_1 and V_2 , and stabilizes the third one, V_3 . Let $V_1 = \langle (1, k_2, k_3) \rangle$, $V_2 = \langle (1, k_2, 1+k_3) \rangle$ and $V_3 = \langle (0, k_4, k_5) \rangle$ with $k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. It is clear that $k_4 \neq 0$, otherwise $(V_1 \oplus V_2) \cap V_3 \neq \{0\}$.

We claim that $k_5 \neq 0$. Suppose for a contradiction that $k_5 = 0$.

Assume $V_3X = V_3$. Hence

$$(b^q k_4, 0, k_4) \in \langle (0, k_4, 0) \rangle.$$

Thus we have $k_4 = 0$, a contradiction.

Assume that X stabilizes V_1 , and swaps V_2 and V_3 . Hence

$$\begin{cases} (b + b^q k_2 + b^{q+1} k_3, 1 + b k_3, 1 + k_2 + b^q k_3) \in \langle (1, k_2, k_3) \rangle, \\ (b + b^q k_2 + b^{q+1}(1 + k_3), 1 + b(1 + k_3), 1 + k_2 + b^q(1 + k_3)) \in \langle (0, k_4, 0) \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

$$(3.6)$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{cases} b + b^q k_2 + b^{q+1}(1 + k_3) = 0, \\ 1 + k_2 + b^q(1 + k_3) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $k_2 = 0$ and

$$1 + b^q(1 + k_3) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Next we see from (3.5) that $1 + b k_3 = 0$. This together with (3.7) implies that $k_3 = b^q$, and so $b^{q+1} = 1$, a contradiction.

Assume that X stabilizes V_2 , and swaps V_1 and V_3 . Hence

$$\begin{cases} (b + b^q k_2 + b^{q+1} k_3, 1 + b k_3, 1 + k_2 + b^q k_3) \in \langle (0, k_4, 0) \rangle, \\ (b + b^q k_2 + b^{q+1}(1 + k_3), 1 + b(1 + k_3), 1 + k_2 + b^q(1 + k_3)) \in \langle (1, k_2, 1 + k_3) \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

$$(3.9)$$

It follows from (3.8) that

$$\begin{cases} b + b^q k_2 + b^{q+1} k_3 = 0, \\ 1 + k_2 + b^q k_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $k_2 = 0$ and

$$1 + b^q k_3 = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Next we see from (3.9) that $1 + b(1 + k_3) = 0$. This together with (3.10) implies that $k_3 = b$, and so $b^{q+1} = 1$, again a contradiction.

Thus we conclude that $k_5 \neq 0$.

Since $a + a^{-1} \neq 0$, we have

$$((a + a^{-1})k_5, k_4, (ab + a^{-1}b^q)k_5) \notin \langle (0, k_4, k_5) \rangle.$$

This implies that $V_3Y \neq V_3$. Thus $V_3Y = V_1$ or V_2 .

If $V_3Y = V_1$ and $V_2Y = V_2$, then

$$\begin{cases} ((a + a^{-1})k_5, k_4, (ab + a^{-1}b^q)k_5) \in \langle (1, k_2, k_3) \rangle, \\ (ab^q + a^{-1}b + (a + a^{-1})k_3, k_2, (a + a^{-1})b^{q+1} + (ab + a^{-1}b^q)k_3) \in \langle (1, k_2, 1 + k_3) \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

$$(3.12)$$

It follows from (3.11) that $k_2 \neq 0$ and

$$(a + a^{-1})k_3 = ab + a^{-1}b^q. \quad (3.13)$$

Next we see from (3.12) that $ab^q + a^{-1}b + (a + a^{-1})k_3 = 1$. Combining this with (3.13), we have $a + a^{-1} + 1 = 0$, which contradicts (3.4).

If $V_3Y = V_2$ and $V_1Y = V_1$, then

$$\begin{cases} ((a + a^{-1})k_5, k_4, (ab + a^{-1}b^q)k_5) \in \langle (1, k_2, 1 + k_3) \rangle, \\ (ab + a^{-1}b^q + (a + a^{-1})k_3, k_2, a(b + b^{q+1}) + a^{-1}(b^q + b^{q+1}) + (ab + a^{-1}b^q)k_3) \in \langle (1, k_2, k_3) \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{cases} (a + a^{-1})(1 + k_3) = ab + a^{-1}b^q, \\ ab + a^{-1}b^q + (a + a^{-1})k_3 = 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $a + a^{-1} + 1 = 0$, again contradicting (3.4). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.7. *In the notation of Construction 2.2, $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$.*

Proof. Let α be an arbitrary element of $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$. We aim to show that α fixes every element of S . Since Lemma 3.6 asserts that S generates $\text{PSU}_3(q)$, this will lead to $\alpha = 1$ and hence $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$.

Recall that the characteristic polynomial of ZY is

$$\lambda^3 + (a + a^{-1} + 1)\lambda^2 + (a + a^{-1} + 1)\lambda + 1.$$

Direct calculation also shows that the characteristic polynomials of ZX and XY are

$$\lambda^3 + (1 + b + b^2)\lambda^2 + (1 + b + b^2)\lambda + 1$$

and

$$\lambda^3 + (a(1 + b + b^3 + b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2 + b^3 + b^4))\lambda^2 + (a(1 + b + b^3 + b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2 + b^3 + b^4))\lambda + 1$$

respectively.

Since the order of α is 1, 2 or 3, we deduce that $\alpha\text{PGU}_3(q)$ has order 1, 2 or 3 in

$$\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q))/\text{PGU}_3(q) = \langle \phi\text{PGU}_3(q) \rangle,$$

where ϕ is the field automorphism of order $2f$. Hence $\alpha\text{PGU}_3(q) = \phi^i\text{PGU}_3(q)$ for some $i \in \{f, 2f, 2f/\gcd(3, f), 4f/\gcd(3, f)\}$. By abuse of notation we also denote by ϕ the corresponding automorphism of $\text{SU}_3(q)$ mapping each entry of a matrix to its 2nd power.

We first confirm that α fixes y by showing that $(zx)^\alpha \notin \{xy, yx, yz, zy\}$.

(i) Suppose that $(zx)^\alpha = xy$ (or yx). It follows that

$$sD^{-1}(ZX)^{\phi^i}D = XY$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $D \in \text{GU}_3(q)$. Hence $s(ZX)^{\phi^i} = D(XY)D^{-1}$ has the same characteristic polynomial as XY , that is,

$$\lambda^3 + s(1 + b + b^2)^{2^i}\lambda^2 + s^2(1 + b + b^2)^{2^i}\lambda + s^3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lambda^3 + (a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4)) \lambda^2 \\
&\quad + (a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4)) \lambda + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thereby we deduce that

$$(1+b+b^2)^{2^i} = a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4),$$

contradicting (3.2).

(ii) Suppose that $(zx)^\alpha = yz$ (or zy). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^3 + s(1+b+b^2)^{2^i} \lambda^2 + s^2(1+b+b^2)^{2^i} \lambda + t^3 \\
&= \lambda^3 + (a+a^{-1}+1) \lambda^2 + (a+a^{-1}+1) \lambda + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$. Hence

$$(1+b+b^2)^{2^i} = a+a^{-1}+1,$$

which contradicts (3.1).

To finish the proof, we only need to show that α cannot swap x and z .

Suppose for a contradiction that α swaps x and z . It implies that α has order 2, and so $\alpha \text{PGU}_3(q) = \phi^i \text{PGU}_3(q)$ for some $i \in \{f, 2f\}$. As $(zy)^\alpha = z^\alpha y^\alpha = xy$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^3 + r(a+a^{-1}+1)^{2^i} \lambda^2 + r^2(a+a^{-1}+1)^{2^i} \lambda + r^3 \\
&= \lambda^3 + (a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4)) \lambda^2 \\
&\quad + (a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4)) \lambda + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$. Thereby we deduce that

$$(a+a^{-1}+1)^{2^i} = a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4),$$

which is equivalent to

$$a+a^{-1}+1 = a(1+b+b^3+b^4) + a^{-1}(b^2+b^3+b^4),$$

contradicting (3.3).

This shows that α fixes every element of S , as desired. \square

Theorem 3.8. *For every 2-power $q \geq 4$, the graph $\Gamma(q)$ as in Construction 2.2 is a cubic GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$.*

Proof. By [9, Theorem 1.3], for any connection set S of size three, the graph $\text{Cay}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$ is a GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ if and only if $\langle S \rangle = \text{PSU}_3(q)$ and $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$. Now let S be the set in Construction 3.2. Lemma 3.6 shows that $\langle S \rangle = \text{PSU}_3(q)$, and Lemma 3.7 shows that $\text{Aut}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S) = 1$. Hence $\Gamma(q) = \text{Cay}(\text{PSU}_3(q), S)$ is a cubic GRR of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$. \square

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by NNSFC (12061092), Yunnan Applied Basic Research Projects (202101AT070137) and Melbourne Research Scholarship.

References

- [1] N. Blackburn and B. Huppert, *Finite groups II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [2] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt and C. M. Roney-Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [3] A. Breda d’Azevedo and D. A. Catalano, Strong map-symmetry of $SL(3, K)$ and $PSL(3, K)$ for every finite field K , *J. Algebra Appl.* 20 (04) (2021) p.2150048.
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265.
- [5] M. Conder and N. Poznanović, The arc-types of Cayley graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 15 (2018), no. 1, 97–112.
- [6] H. S. M. Coxeter, R. Frucht and D. L. Powers, *Zero-Symmetric Graphs*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [7] E. Dobson, P. Spiga and G. Verret, Cayley graphs on abelian groups, *Combinatorica*, 36 (2016), no. 4, 371–393.
- [8] J. K. Doyle, T. W. Tucker and M. E. Watkins, Graphical Frobenius representations, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 48 (2018), no. 3, 405–428.
- [9] X. G. Fang, C. H. Li, J. Wang and M. Y. Xu, On cubic Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *Discrete Math.*, 244 (2002), no. 1-3, 67–75.
- [10] C. D. Godsil, GRRs for nonsolvable groups, *Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory*, Szeged, 1978, in: Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 25, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981, pp. 221–239.
- [11] C. D. Godsil, The automorphism groups of some cubic Cayley graphs, *European J. Combin.*, 4 (1983), no. 1, 25–32.
- [12] D. Hetzel, Über reguläre graphische Darstellung von auflösbaren Gruppen, Technische Universität, Berlin, 1976.
- [13] J. Morris and P. Spiga, Classification of finite groups that admit an oriented regular representation, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 50 (2018), no. 5, 811–831.
- [14] J. Morris, P. Spiga and G. Verret, Automorphisms of Cayley graphs on generalised dicyclic groups, *European J. Combin.*, 43 (2015), 68–81.
- [15] M. A. Pellegrini and M. C. Tamburini Bellani, The simple classical groups of dimension less than 6 which are $(2, 3)$ -generated, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 14 (2015), no. 10, 1550148, 15pp.

- [16] P. Spiga, Cubic graphical regular representations of finite non-abelian simple groups, *Comm. Algebra*, 46 (2018), no. 6, 2440–2450.
- [17] B. Xia, On cubic graphical regular representations of finite simple groups, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 141 (2020), 1–30.
- [18] B. Xia, Cubic graphical regular representations of $\text{PSL}_3(q)$. *Discrete Mathematics*, 343 (1) (2020), 111646.
- [19] B. Xia and T. Fang, Cubic graphical regular representations of $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, *Discrete Math.*, 339 (8) (2016) no. 8, 2051–2055.
- [20] B. Xia, S. Zheng and S. Zhou, Cubic graphical regular representations of some classical simple groups, in preparation.