

Approximation formula for complex spacing ratios in the Ginibre ensemble

Ioachim G. Dusa and Tilo Wettig

Department of Physics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany

Recently, Sá, Ribeiro and Prosen introduced complex spacing ratios to analyze eigenvalue correlations in non-Hermitian systems. At present there are no analytical results for the probability distribution of these ratios in the limit of large system size. We derive an approximation formula for the Ginibre universality class of random matrix theory which converges exponentially fast to the limit of infinite matrix size. We also give results for moments of the distribution in this limit.

I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical description of a given physical system typically involves a certain operator. For example, in quantum systems this could be the Hamilton operator or the Dirac operator. The eigenvalues of this operator, collectively called its spectrum, carry important information on the properties of the system. Naturally, the eigenvalues depend on the details of the system. However, in sufficiently complex systems, spectral correlations on the scale of the mean level spacing turn out to be universal, i.e., they do not depend on the detailed dynamics of the system but only on global (anti-unitary) symmetries [1, 2]. It is well known that random matrix theory (RMT) can be used to compute these universal correlations, see, e.g., [3–6].

To compare results for a given physical system to RMT, the universal and non-universal features of the spectrum need to be separated. This is usually done by a so-called unfolding procedure, which attempts to fit the global spectral density. There is some ambiguity in the choice of this procedure. Fortunately, unfolding can be avoided by considering the ratio of consecutive eigenvalue spacings [7, 8], for which the local spectral density drops out. Recently, this idea has been generalized to the non-Hermitian case with complex eigenvalues. Specifically, Sá, Ribeiro and Prosen [9] proposed to study the probability distribution $P(z)$ of the quantity

$$z_k = \frac{\lambda_k^{\text{NN}} - \lambda_k}{\lambda_k^{\text{NNN}} - \lambda_k}, \quad (1)$$

where λ_k^{NN} and λ_k^{NNN} are the nearest and next-to-nearest neighbors of λ_k in the complex plane. This avoids unfolding in the complex plane, which is more difficult than for real spectra [10, 11].

The classification of RMT involves 10 symmetry classes in the Hermitian case [12, 13] and 38 symmetry classes in the non-Hermitian case [14, 15]. However, for the bulk spectral correlations there are only three *universality classes* in both cases: GOE, GUE and GSE in the Hermitian case [2], and Ginibre, AI^\dagger and AII^\dagger in the non-Hermitian case [16–18]. In the Hermitian case, Wigner-like surmises for the spacing ratios have been computed in [8] for all three universality classes. They are obtained

from 3×3 matrices and give very good approximations to the corresponding $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits, where N is the matrix size. In the non-Hermitian case, a Wigner-like surmise obtained from 3×3 matrices has been computed for the Ginibre unitary ensemble in [9], but it was found to differ significantly from the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. This is largely due to boundary effects, which led the authors of [9] to consider random-matrix ensembles with smaller boundary effects but the same large- N distribution, such as the toric unitary ensemble. Indeed, the numerical results obtained in this ensemble for small N show much better agreement with the large- N limit, but the agreement is not as good as for the Hermitian Wigner-like surmises, and simple analytical results are not available either.

Our aim in this paper is to derive a simple finite- N approximation to $P(z)$ that converges rapidly to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. We will show that our approximation converges to this limit exponentially fast in N , while the exact finite- N result only converges like $1/\sqrt{N}$. We restrict ourselves to the Ginibre universality class, which is relevant for most non-Hermitian systems. (Note that the short-range bulk spectral correlations are identical in the Ginibre orthogonal, unitary and symplectic ensembles [11].) The universality classes AI^\dagger and AII^\dagger are non-standard but still have interesting applications [17–19]. To the best of our knowledge, no attempt to compute $P(z)$ for these two universality classes has been made in the literature yet.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we derive our approximation formula for $P(z)$. In Sec. III we compute the corresponding marginal distributions $P(r)$ and $P(\theta)$, where $z = re^{i\theta}$. In Sec. IV we present numerical results, both for our approximation formula and for RMT at finite N , to demonstrate the convergence behavior. We also give reference results for several moments of $P(z)$ in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. We conclude in Sec. V. Our computer algebra code is publicly available [20].

II. DERIVATION OF THE APPROXIMATION

The groundwork for our approximation formula has already been laid in [9]. We start directly from Eq. (C6) of that reference, which gives the exact distribution $P^{(N)}(z)$ for finite N . Since we are interested in the large- N limit we neglect terms of order $1/N$ in the exponential terms and obtain the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^{(N)}(x, y) &\propto \Theta(1 - (x^2 + y^2))(x^2 + y^2)(1 + x^2 + y^2 - 2x) \int ds dt (s^2 + t^2)^4 \exp \{-(s^2 + t^2)(1 + x^2 + y^2)\} \\ &\times I_{N-3}(x, y, s, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with $z = x + iy$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_N(x, y, s, t) &= \int \prod_{j=1}^N da_j db_j \Theta((a_j^2 + b_j^2) - (s^2 + t^2))(a_j^2 + b_j^2) [(a_j - s)^2 + (b_j - t)^2] \\ &\times [(a_j - sx + ty)^2 + (b_j - tx - sy)^2] \exp \{-(a_j^2 + b_j^2)\} \prod_{j < k}^N [(a_j - a_k)^2 + (b_j - b_k)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

All integrations in these formulas go from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. We emphasize that $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(x, y)$ as given above is not the exact result for a given N but an approximation that improves as N becomes large. We shall see in Sec. IV that this approximation converges to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit $P(z)$ exponentially fast in N , while the exact finite- N result $P^{(N)}(z)$ converges to $P(z)$ only like $1/\sqrt{N}$.

The integrand of I_N factorizes except for the double product at the end. To factorize this term we introduce complex variables $v_j = a_j + ib_j$ and employ standard methods (see, e.g., [21, Sec. 8.8.1]) to rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j < k}^N [(a_j - a_k)^2 + (b_j - b_k)^2] &= \prod_{j < k}^N |v_j - v_k|^2 \\ &= \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^N v_j^{i-1} v_j^{*k-1} \right)_{i,k=1,\dots,N} \\ &= \int \prod_{j=1}^N d\eta_j d\eta_j^* \prod_{j=1}^N \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^N \eta_i^* \eta_k v_j^{i-1} v_j^{*k-1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where in the last term the integral is over anticommuting variables. Furthermore, we write another part of the integrand of I_N in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (a_j^2 + b_j^2) [(a_j - s)^2 + (b_j - t)^2] \\ \times [(a_j - sx + ty)^2 + (b_j - tx - sy)^2] \\ = \sum_{m,n=1}^3 M_{mn}(x, y, s, t) v_j^m v_j^{*n} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with coefficient functions $M_{mn}(x, y, s, t)$ that satisfy $M_{mn} = M_{nm}^*$ and can easily be determined by inspection, see (11) below. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_N(x, y, s, t) &= \int \prod_{j=1}^N d\eta_j d\eta_j^* \\ &\times \left[\sum_{i,k=1}^N \eta_i^* \eta_k \sum_{m,n=1}^3 M_{mn}(x, y, s, t) J_{m+i,n+k}(s^2 + t^2) \right]^N \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with

$$J_{p,q}(x) = \int_{|v|^2 > x} d^2v v^{p-1} v^{*q-1} e^{-|v|^2} = \pi \Gamma(p, x) \delta_{pq}, \quad (7)$$

where in the last step we observed that the integral over the complex variable v is nonzero only for $p = q$ and used the definition of the incomplete gamma function,

$$\Gamma(p, x) = \int_x^\infty du u^{p-1} e^{-u}. \quad (8)$$

We now introduce the complex variable $w = s + it$ and define the N -dimensional pentadiagonal matrix

$$A_{ik} = \sum_{m,n=1}^3 M_{mn} \Gamma(m+i, |w|^2) \delta_{m+i,n+k}, \quad (9)$$

which depends on x, y and w and is Hermitian because of $M_{mn} = M_{nm}^*$. This yields

$$I_N = \pi^N \int \prod_{j=1}^N d\eta_j d\eta_j^* (\eta^\dagger A \eta)^N = \pi^N N! \det A. \quad (10)$$

At this point Eq. (2) has been reduced to a two-dimensional integral over w . In terms of w the M_{mn} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= |w|^4(x^2 + y^2), \quad M_{12} = -w|w|^2(x^2 + y^2 + x + iy), \\ M_{13} &= w^2(x + iy), \quad M_{22} = |w|^2((1+x)^2 + y^2), \\ M_{23} &= -w(1+x+iy), \quad M_{33} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Writing $w = \sqrt{R}e^{i\varphi}$ and using the explicit expressions for the M_{mn} it is straightforward to show by induction that $\det A$ depends only on R so that the integral over φ becomes trivial. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^{(N)}(x, y) &= \Theta(1 - (x^2 + y^2))(x^2 + y^2)((1-x)^2 + y^2) \\ &\times K_{N-3}(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with

$$K_N(x, y) = c_N \int_0^\infty dR R^4 e^{-R(1+x^2+y^2)} \det A, \quad (13)$$

where $\det A$ depends on x , y and R , and c_N is a normalization constant which ensures that $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(x, y)$ integrates to 1. The computation of $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(z)$ has now been reduced to the evaluation of the one-dimensional integral in (13). One can show from the structure of A that the integral converges. The integral is suitable for numerical evaluation. However, we can perform further analytical manipulations, which lead to manageable approximation formulas in terms of power series.

Using the definition of A and the fact that the first argument of the incomplete gamma function is an integer one can show that $\det A = P(R)e^{-NR}$, where $P(R) = \sum_{k=0}^{N(N+5)/2} c_k R^k$ is a polynomial in R with coefficients c_k that are polynomials in x and y . The individual terms in $P(R)$ can be integrated analytically to give

$$K_N(x, y) = c_N \sum_{k=0}^{N(N+5)/2} \frac{c_k(x, y)(k+4)!}{(N+1+x^2+y^2)^{k+5}}. \quad (14)$$

In the following we write $x+iy = z = re^{i\theta}$ with $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. From the structure of the M_{mn} and the fact that $\det A$ is real one can show that only even powers of y appear in $c_k(x, y)$. Thus, the latter can be written as polynomials in r and $\cos\theta$. Pulling out the leading factor in the denominator we obtain

$$K_N(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{[1+r^2/(N+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+5)+5}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{N(N+5)} \sum_{n=0}^N c_{mn} r^m \cos^n \theta, \quad (15)$$

where the coefficients c_{mn} are zero if $m+n$ is odd, which can be seen by writing $r^m \cos^n \theta = (x^2+y^2)^{(m-n)/2} x^n$. It is straightforward to determine the c_{mn} by computer algebra, and we provide a sympy program for this purpose [20]. Our approximate result thus reads

$$\tilde{P}^{(N)}(r, \theta) = \Theta(1-r)r^3(r^2 - 2r \cos \theta + 1)K_{N-3}(r, \theta), \quad (16)$$

where the additional factor of r on the RHS is the Jacobian of the transformation from (x, y) to (r, θ) .

III. MARGINAL DISTRIBUTIONS

For practical applications it is useful to consider the marginal distributions $P(r)$ and $P(\theta)$, which are obtained by integrating $P(r, \theta)$ over θ and r , respectively. For the integration over θ we use

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \cos^n \theta = \begin{cases} 2\pi \frac{(n-1)!!}{n!!}, & n \text{ even,} \\ 0, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

For the integration over r we encounter integrals of the type

$$I_{n,p}(u) = \int_0^1 dr \frac{r^n}{(u+r^2)^p} \quad (18)$$

with $u > 0$ and nonnegative integers n and p . These integrals can be done analytically for any n and p , which can be accomplished using the recursion relations

$$I_{n,p+1} = -\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{du} I_{n,p}, \quad (19a)$$

$$I_{n+2,p} = I_{n,p-1} - u I_{n,p} \quad (19b)$$

with starting values

$$I_{0,0} = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad (20a)$$

$$I_{0,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}, \quad I_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{u+1}{u}. \quad (20b)$$

We first use (19a) to compute $I_{0,p}$ and $I_{1,p}$, starting from (20a) and going up to the desired value of p . Afterwards, we use (19b) to compute the desired $I_{n,p}$, starting from (20b). Performing the integrations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^{(N)}(r) &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \tilde{P}^{(N)}(r, \theta) \\ &= \frac{\Theta(1-r)r^3}{[1+r^2/(N-2)]^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)+2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)-2} a_n r^{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{P}^{(N)}(\theta) = \int_0^1 dr \tilde{P}^{(N)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} b_n \cos^n \theta \quad (22)$$

with coefficients a_n and b_n that are again straightforward to determine by computer algebra [20]. From the results (16), (21) and (22) we can also compute various moments of these distributions, for example

$$\langle r \cos \theta \rangle = \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \tilde{P}^{(N)}(r, \theta) r \cos \theta, \quad (23)$$

by simply using the integrals given above.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Convergence of the approximation

A very interesting question is how rapidly our approximation converges to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. To answer this question we first compute various moments of $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(z)$ and investigate their behavior as we increase N . We have checked that we obtain identical results from integrating (13) numerically and from using (15), (21) or (22), where ‘‘identical’’ refers to the relative precision of 10^{-13} we used in the numerical integration. In Fig. 1 we show




FIG. 1. Convergence of our approximation (red circles) towards the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit (blue lines) for several moments of $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(z)$. The insets show the differences relative to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. The green curves are fits to an exponential in the range $N \in [10, 30]$. For $N \geq 30$ we observe numerical noise since our numerical precision was set to 10^{-13} .

our results. We observe a very rapid convergence to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit,¹ which appears to be exponentially fast. Already at $N = 20$ the results agree with the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit within 9 significant digits. This is quite different from the behavior of large random matrices, where the convergence to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit goes like $1/\sqrt{N}$, see Fig. 3 in Sec. IV B below. The results for the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the moments are given in Table I.

| Moment | $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| $\langle r \rangle$ | 0.73866010 |
| $\langle r^2 \rangle$ | 0.58084906 |
| $\langle \cos \theta \rangle$ | -0.24683082 |
| $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$ | 0.44991388 |
| $\langle r \cos \theta \rangle$ | -0.18882912 |

TABLE I. $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of several moments of $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(z)$.

Given that the moments converge rapidly, we expect the distributions to do so as well. We do not show two-dimensional plots of $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(z)$ since it is difficult to extract information on the N -dependence from them. Instead, we show the marginal distributions in Fig. 2. They confirm our expectations and approximate the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit very well already for $N \sim 10$. The coefficients a_n and b_n in Eqs. (21) and (22) are tabulated for $N = 10$ in Table II. We refer the interested reader to our computer algebra program [20] for the case of general N .

¹ For $N \geq 30$ our results differ only by numerical noise due to finite precision. We somewhat arbitrarily took the results for $N = 50$ to define the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Any $N \geq 20$ yields the same numbers in Table I.




FIG. 2. Top: Marginal distributions $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(r)$ and $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(\theta)$ for various values of N . We only show the interval $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ since $\tilde{P}^{(N)}(\theta)$ is invariant under $\theta \rightarrow 2\pi - \theta$. Already at $N = 8$ the curves are essentially indistinguishable to the naked eye from the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Bottom: Differences between the marginal distributions and the corresponding $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits. Our numerical precision was set to 10^{-13} .

| n | a_n | a_{n+10} | b_n |
|-----|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| 0 | 1.1343071e+01 | 5.0846897e+00 | 1.8692290e-01 |
| 1 | 4.2370622e+01 | 1.8756273e+00 | -4.8290999e-02 |
| 2 | 8.3036308e+01 | 6.0958526e-01 | -3.5195979e-02 |
| 3 | 1.1290599e+02 | 1.7528443e-01 | -2.6644115e-02 |
| 4 | 1.1877675e+02 | 4.4809124e-02 | -1.7668758e-02 |
| 5 | 1.0217790e+02 | 1.0233169e-02 | -6.3894405e-03 |
| 6 | 7.4201384e+01 | 2.0971650e-03 | -5.9691982e-03 |
| 7 | 4.6398521e+01 | 3.8722534e-04 | -1.1634604e-02 |
| 8 | 2.5315302e+01 | 6.4636142e-05 | -6.1396515e-03 |
| 9 | 1.2110800e+01 | 9.7808814e-06 | |

TABLE II. Coefficients of the marginal distributions for $N = 10$. We omitted the a_n for $n \geq 20$ since they decrease rapidly.

B. Convergence of RMT results

We now investigate how the moments computed for N -dimensional random matrices from the Ginibre unitary ensemble behave as a function of N . The results are shown in Fig. 3. We observe that the convergence to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit is much slower than for our approximation formula and asymptotically goes like $1/\sqrt{N}$. As already observed in [9], this is largely due to boundary effects. Indeed, if the N eigenvalues occupy a certain area in the complex plane, the ratio of boundary to area generically scales like $1/\sqrt{N}$.

The convergence of the marginal distributions (not shown) to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit is also slow. Thus, when studying a particular physical system with complex eigenvalues, it is advisable to compare the results for the spacing ratios to our approximation formula rather than to data obtained from diagonalizing random matrices.




FIG. 3. Similar to Fig. 1, but with moments obtained from the numerical diagonalization of N -dimensional random matrices from the Ginibre unitary ensemble. For every N the number of random matrices was chosen to obtain a total of 10^6 complex spacings. If the error bars are not visible they are smaller than the symbols. The green curves are fits to the function $\alpha/\sqrt{N} + \beta/N$ in the range $N \in [10, 4000]$.

V. SUMMARY

As pointed out in [9], complex spacing ratios are an important tool in the analysis of non-Hermitian systems because they eliminate the need for unfolding and can unambiguously discriminate between regular and chaotic dynamics. We have derived an approximation formula for the distribution $P(z)$ of complex spacing ratios in the Ginibre universality class that converges exponentially fast to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. In contrast, the exact finite- N results converge to this limit only like $1/\sqrt{N}$. Therefore our result appears to be more suitable for comparison with empirical data from large systems.

Our approximations to $P(z)$ and the corresponding marginal distributions $P(r)$ and $P(\theta)$ are given in terms of simple power series. The coefficients of the power series and the moments of the distributions can be obtained from our computer algebra code [20]. In future work it would be interesting to perform similar calculations for the other two universality classes, AI^\dagger and AII^\dagger . This is a more complicated problem since, to the best of our knowledge, the joint probability distribution of the eigenvalues is not known yet for these ensembles.

-
- [1] E. P. Wigner, On a class of analytic functions from the quantum theory of collisions, *Annals of Mathematics* **53**, 36 (1951).
 - [2] F. J. Dyson, The Threefold Way. Algebraic Structure of Symmetry Groups and Ensembles in Quantum Mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 1199 (1962).
 - [3] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, 2004).
 - [4] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Random matrix theories in quantum physics: Common concepts, *Phys. Rept.* **299**, 189 (1998), [arXiv:cond-mat/9707301](#).
 - [5] J. J. M. Verbaarschot and T. Wettig, Random matrix theory and chiral symmetry in QCD, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **50**, 343 (2000), [arXiv:hep-ph/0003017](#).
 - [6] G. Akemann, J. Baik, and P. Di Francesco, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory* (Oxford University Press, 2015).
 - [7] V. Oganesyan and D. A. Huse, Localization of interacting fermions at high temperature, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 155111 (2007), [arXiv:cond-mat/0610854](#) [[cond-mat.str-ell](#)].
 - [8] Y. Y. Atas, E. Bogomolny, O. Giraud, and G. Roux, Distribution of the ratio of consecutive level spacings in random matrix ensembles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 084101 (2013), [arXiv:1212.5611](#) [[math-ph](#)].
 - [9] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Complex Spacing Ratios: A Signature of Dissipative Quantum Chaos, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 021019 (2020), [arXiv:1910.12784](#) [[cond-mat.stat-mech](#)].
 - [10] H. Markum, R. Pullirsch, and T. Wettig, Non-Hermitian random matrix theory and lattice QCD with chemical potential, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 484 (1999), [arXiv:hep-lat/9906020](#).
 - [11] G. Akemann, M. Kieburg, A. Mielke, and T. Prosen, Universal signature from integrability to chaos in dissipative open quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 254101 (2019), [arXiv:1910.03520](#) [[cond-mat.stat-mech](#)].
 - [12] M. R. Zirnbauer, Riemannian symmetric superspaces and their origin in random-matrix theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 4986 (1996), [arXiv:math-ph/9808012](#).
 - [13] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 1142 (1997), [arXiv:cond-mat/9602137](#).
 - [14] K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, M. Ueda, and M. Sato, Symmetry and Topology in Non-Hermitian Physics, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 041015 (2019), [arXiv:1812.09133](#) [[cond-mat.mes-hall](#)].
 - [15] H. Zhou and J. Y. Lee, Periodic table for topological bands with non-hermitian symmetries, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 235112 (2019), [arXiv:1812.10490](#) [[cond-mat.mes-hall](#)].
 - [16] J. Ginibre, Statistical Ensembles of Complex, Quaternion and Real Matrices, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 440 (1965).
 - [17] A. B. Jaiswal, A. Pandey, and R. Prakash, Universality classes of quantum chaotic dissipative systems, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **127**, 30004 (2019), [arXiv:1904.12484](#) [[quant-ph](#)].
 - [18] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, N. Kura, and M. Ueda, Universality classes of non-Hermitian random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 023286 (2020), [arXiv:1904.13082](#) [[cond-mat.stat-mech](#)].
 - [19] T. Kanazawa and T. Wettig, New universality classes of the non-Hermitian Dirac operator in QCD-like theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 014509 (2021), [arXiv:2104.05846](#) [[hep-th](#)].
 - [20] <http://github.com/wettig/csratio>.
 - [21] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2010).