

# ON RIEMANNIAN POLYHEDRA WITH NON-OBTUSE DIHEDRAL ANGLES IN 3-MANIFOLDS WITH POSITIVE SCALAR CURVATURE

LI YU

ABSTRACT. We determine the combinatorial types of all the 3-dimensional simple convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that can be realized as mean curvature convex (or totally geodesic) Riemannian polyhedra with non-obtuse dihedral angles in Riemannian 3-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. This result can be considered as an analogue of Andreev's theorem on polyhedra with non-obtuse dihedral angles in the 3-dimensional hyperbolic space. Moreover, we construct many examples of such kind of simple convex polytopes in higher dimensions.

## 1. Introduction

A *Riemannian polyhedron* of dimension  $n$  is a polyhedral domain  $W$  with faces in an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with the induced Riemannian metric  $g(W) = g|_W$  (see Gromov [11, § 1]). Moreover, each codimension-one face (or *facet*)  $F_i$  of  $W$  is contained in a smooth hypersurface  $\Sigma_i$  of  $M$  such that

- Whenever two facets  $F_i$  and  $F_j$  of  $W$  are adjacent, the corresponding hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  intersect transversely in  $M$ .
- The boundary  $\partial F_i$  of each facet  $F_i$  consists of  $F_i \cap F_j$  where  $F_j$  ranges over all the facets adjacent to  $F_i$ , and the decomposition  $\partial F_i = \bigcup_j F_i \cap F_j$  gives an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian polyhedron structure to  $F_i$ .

Note that the ambient manifold  $M$  here is not necessarily compact or closed. Typical examples of Riemannian polyhedra are the intersections of finitely many domains with smooth mutually transversal boundaries in a Riemannian manifold (e.g. convex polytopes in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $W_i$  be a Riemannian polyhedron in  $(M_i, g_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We call  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  *pseudo-diffeomorphic* if there exists a homeomorphism  $\varphi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Riemannian polyhedron, simple convex polytope, positive scalar curvature, Andreev's theorem.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51M20, 51F15, 52B10, 53C23, 57M50, 57S12  
This work is partially supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.11871266) and the PAPD (priority academic program development) of Jiangsu higher education institutions.

which is the restriction of a diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood of  $W_1$  in  $M_1$  to an open neighborhood of  $W_2$  in  $M_2$ , and  $\varphi$  is called a *pseudo-diffeomorphism* from  $W_1$  to  $W_2$ . Moreover, if  $\varphi$  is face-preserving (i.e.  $\varphi$  maps every face of  $W_1$  homeomorphically onto a face of  $W_2$ ), we call  $\varphi$  a *diffeomorphism* from  $W_1$  to  $W_2$ . In this case, we also say that  $W_2$  is a *realization* of  $W_1$  in  $(M_2, g_2)$ . In addition,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are called *combinatorially equivalent* if there is a bijection between their faces that preserves the inclusion relation.

By Wiemeler [23, Corollary 5.3] (also see Davis [8, Corollary 1.3]), two simple convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are diffeomorphic (as Riemannian polyhedra) if and only if they are combinatorially equivalent. Recall that a convex polytope  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called *simple* if every codimension- $k$  face of  $P$  is contained in exactly  $k$  different facets of  $P$ . The reader is referred to [26] for the basic notions of convex polytopes.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $W$  be a Riemannian polyhedron.

- We say that  $W$  has *acute* or *non-obtuse dihedral angles* if the dihedral angle function on every codimension-two face of  $W$  ranges in  $(0, \pi/2)$  or  $(0, \pi/2]$ . Especially, we call  $W$  *right-angled* if the dihedral angle function on every codimension-two face of  $W$  is constantly  $\pi/2$ .
- We call  $W$  *mean curvature convex* if every facet of  $W$  has non-negative mean curvature in the ambient Riemannian manifold. Especially, we call  $W$  *totally geodesic* if every facet of  $W$  is a totally geodesic submanifold.

**Convention:** In this paper, the mean curvature of a boundary point of a domain in a Riemannian manifold is always taken with respect to the inward unit normal vector.

The main purpose of this paper is to study the combinatorial types of all the 3-dimensional simple convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that can be realized as mean curvature convex (or totally geodesic) Riemannian polyhedra with non-obtuse dihedral angles in Riemannian 3-manifolds with positive scalar curvature.

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\Delta^n$  and  $[0, 1]^n$  denote the standard  $n$ -simplex and  $n$ -cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. The following is the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $P$  is a 3-dimensional simple convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $P$  can be realized as a mean curvature convex Riemannian polyhedron with non-obtuse dihedral angles in a Riemannian 3-manifold with positive scalar curvature if and only if  $P$  is combinatorially equivalent to a convex polytope that can be obtained from  $\Delta^3$  by a sequence of vertex-cuts.*

**Definition 1.4 (Vertex-Cut).** Let  $P$  be an  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $v$  a vertex of  $P$ . Choose a plane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $H$  separates  $v$  from the other vertices of  $P$ . Let  $H_{\geq}$  and  $H_{\leq}$  be the two half spaces determined by  $H$  and assume that  $v$  belongs to  $H_{\geq}$ . Then  $P \cap H_{\geq}$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -simplex,

and  $P \cap H_{\leq}$  is a simple convex polytope which we refer to as a *vertex-cut* of  $P$ . For example, a vertex-cut of  $\Delta^3$  is combinatorially equivalent to  $\Delta^2 \times [0, 1]$  (the triangular prism).

The simplicial polytope dual to a convex polytope that is obtained from  $\Delta^3$  by a sequence of vertex-cuts is known as a stacked 3-polytope. By definition, a *stacked  $n$ -polytope* is a polytope obtained from  $\Delta^n$  by repeatedly gluing another  $n$ -simplex onto one of its facets (see [17]). One reason for the significance of stacked polytopes is that, among all simplicial  $n$ -polytopes with a given number of vertices, the stacked polytopes have the fewest possible higher-dimensional faces.

By the proof of Theorem 1.3, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Suppose  $P$  is a 3-dimensional simple convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $P$  can be realized as a right-angled totally geodesic Riemannian polyhedron in a Riemannian 3-manifold with positive scalar curvature if and only if  $P$  is combinatorially equivalent to a convex polytope that can be obtained from  $\Delta^3$  by a sequence of vertex-cuts.*

Note that Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5 still hold if we assume the scalar curvature of the ambient Riemannian 3-manifold to be positive constant (see Corollary 3.2).

Theorem 1.3 can be thought of as an analogue of Andreev's theorem (see [2, 3]) on totally geodesic polyhedra with non-obtuse dihedral angles in the 3-dimensional hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$  (see [19] for a new proof). Andreev's theorem is essential for proving Thurston's Hyperbolization theorem for Haken 3-manifolds. Especially, Andreev's theorem tells us that a simple convex 3-polytope  $P$  can be realized as a right-angled totally geodesic hyperbolic polyhedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  if and only if  $P$  has no prismatic 3-circuits or prismatic 4-circuits (this result was also obtained by A. V. Pogorelov in an earlier paper [18]).

In addition, the following question proposed by M. Gromov in [11] is related to our study.

**Question** (Gromov [11, §1.7]): What are the possible combinatorial types of mean curvature convex Riemannian polyhedra  $W$  with acute dihedral angles in a Riemannian manifold with non-negative scalar curvature?

If  $W$  in the above question is the realization of 3-dimensional simple convex polytope in a Riemannian 3-manifold with positive scalar curvature, then the combinatorial type of  $W$  must belong to the cases described in Theorem 1.3. But conversely, it is not clear whether we can construct a Riemannian polyhedron with acute dihedral angles for every combinatorial type described in Theorem 1.3. Indeed, the Riemannian polyhedra constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.3 are all right-angled.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition of real moment-angle manifold associated to a simple convex polytope. Besides, we quote a result from Wu-Yu [24] on when a 3-dimensional real moment-angle manifold can admit a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.3 using the idea of “doubling-smoothing” of Riemannian manifolds described in [11, §2.1] along with the result from [24]. In Section 4, we study examples in higher dimensions and ask a related question.

## 2. Real moment-angle manifolds

Suppose  $P$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytope in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(P) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$  be the set of all facets of  $P$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  be a basis of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ . Define a function  $\lambda_0 : \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$  by

$$(1) \quad \lambda_0(F_i) = e_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

For any proper face  $f$  of  $P$ , let  $G_f$  denote the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$  generated by the set  $\{\lambda_0(F_i) \mid f \subset F_i\}$ . For any point  $p \in P$ , let  $f(p)$  denote the unique face of  $P$  that contains  $p$  in its relative interior. In [7, Construction 4.1], the *real moment-angle manifold*  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  of  $P$  is a closed orientable  $n$ -manifold defined by the following quotient construction

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P := P \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m / \sim$$

where  $(p, g) \sim (p', g')$  if and only if  $p = p'$  and  $g^{-1}g' \in G_{f(p)}$ . So at every vertex of  $P$ ,  $2^n$  copies of  $P$  are glued together so that locally they look like the  $2^n$  cones of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  bounded by the  $n$  coordinate hyperplanes meeting at the origin. Let

$$(3) \quad \eta : P \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$$

be the quotient map. There is a *canonical action* of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$  on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  defined by

$$g' \cdot [(p, g)] = [(p, g' + g)], \quad \forall p \in P, \forall g, g' \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m,$$

whose orbit space can be identified with  $P$ . Let

$$\Theta_P : \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow P$$

be the orbit map. Note that each facet  $F$  of  $P$  is also a simple convex polytope and,  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F)$  is a disjoint union of several copies of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_F$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  with trivial normal bundles.

The real moment-angle manifolds are important subjects in the study of toric topology. The reader is referred to Davis-Januszkiewicz [7], Buchstaber-Panov [6], Kuroki-Masuda-Yu [14] and Wu-Yu [24] for more information of the topological and geometric properties of real moment-angle manifolds. The construction of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  in (2) also makes sense for any smooth nice manifold with corners. The topology of such generalized spaces are studied in a recent paper Yu [25].

In addition, we can realize  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  as a non-degenerate intersection of  $m - n$  real quadrics (quadratic hypersurfaces) in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , which induces a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -invariant smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  (see [6, §6]). Consider a presentation of  $P$  as follows:

$$(4) \quad P = P(A, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle a_i, x \rangle + b_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

where  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  is an  $n \times m$  real matrix. Since  $P$  has a vertex, the rank of  $A$  is equal to  $n$ . Define a map

$$(5) \quad i_{A,b} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad i_{A,b}(x) = A^t x + b$$

where  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . So the map  $i_{A,b}$  embeds  $P$  into the positive cone  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ . We can define a space  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}$  by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{Z}}} & \mathbb{R}^m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ P & \xrightarrow{i_{A,b}} & \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \end{array}$$

where  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_1^2, \dots, x_m^2)$ . Clearly  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}$  with quotient space  $P$  and  $i_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -equivariant embedding. It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ . In addition, the image of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under  $i_{A,b}$  is an affine plane of dimension  $n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , which we can specify by  $m - n$  linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} i_{A,b}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid y = A^t x + b, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \Gamma y = \Gamma b\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{jk})$  is an  $(m - n) \times m$  matrix of rank  $m - n$  so that  $\Gamma A^t = 0$ . In other words, the rows of  $\Gamma$  form a basis of all the linear relations among  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ . Then we can write the image of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}$  under  $i_{\mathcal{Z}}$  explicitly as the common zeros of  $m - n$  real quadratic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$(6) \quad i_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_m)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_{jk} y_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_{jk} b_k, 1 \leq j \leq m - n\}.$$

The above intersection of real quadrics is non-degenerate (i.e. the gradients of these quadrics are linearly independent everywhere in their intersection). This implies that  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}$  is embedded as an  $n$ -dimensional smooth submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  where  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$  acts smoothly. So  $P$  is embedded as a Riemannian polyhedron in  $i_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b})$  with the induced metric from  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Example 2.1.** The standard simplex  $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

$$\Delta^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq 1, x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

By the notation in (4), we have  $\Delta^n = P(A, b)$  where

$$A_{n \times (n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

So the image of the embedding  $i_{A,b} : \Delta^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  (see (5)) is given by

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1} = 1, x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n+1\}.$$

Then by (6),  $i_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{A,b}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is given by the following equation

$$y_1^2 + \cdots + y_{n+1}^2 = 1, \quad (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

which is exactly the standard unit sphere  $S^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Moreover, the canonical  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^n}$  is equivalent to the action of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$  on  $S^n$  by the reflections about all the coordinate hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . More precisely, for each  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , the  $i$ -th generator of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$  acts on  $S^n$  by

$$(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \longrightarrow (y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, -y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}).$$

In the following, we think of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^n}$  as  $S^n$  equipped with the above  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$ -action.

The theorem below tells us what kind of 3-dimensional real moment-angle manifolds can admit a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature.

**Theorem 2.2** (Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.10 in Wu-Yu [24]).

*Let  $P$  be a 3-dimensional simple convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $m$  facets. Then  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  admits a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature if and only if  $P$  is combinatorially equivalent to a convex polytope that can be obtained from  $\Delta^3$  by a sequence of vertex-cuts. Moreover, we can choose the Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  to be invariant with respect to the canonical  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ .*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 is inspired by Gromov's proof of the dihedral rigidity of the  $n$ -cube in [11]. Gromov's proof is based on the idea of doubling the cube  $n$  times and uses the well-known fact that the  $n$ -dimensional torus admits no metric with positive scalar curvature. Here we observe that for a simple convex polytope  $P$  with  $m$  facets, doubling  $P$   $m$  times gives the real moment-angle manifold  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ . This relates the Riemannian metric on  $P$  with certain boundary conditions to that on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  through the "doubling-smoothing" process described in [11]. Then we can prove Theorem 1.3 by the result in Theorem 2.2. But the description of the "doubling-smoothing" of Riemannian metrics in [11] is a bit rough, we will give

more detailed exposition in our proof of Theorem 1.3 below. Indeed, our proof will generalize the argument in the proof of Gromov-Lawson [9, Theorem 5.7] to deal with the doubling of Riemannian polyhedra.

Let  $A$  be a subspace of a topological space  $X$ . The *double of  $X$  along  $A$*  is the quotient space of the disjoint union of two copies of  $X$  by identifying each point of  $A$  in one copy of  $X$  to the same point in the other copy.

Suppose  $F$  and  $F'$  are two facets of a Riemannian polyhedron  $W$  which intersect transversely at a codimension-two face  $F \cap F'$ .

- Let  $\angle(F, F')_x$  be the dihedral angle of  $W$  at a point  $x \in F \cap F'$ .
- Let  $\angle(F, F')$  denote the dihedral angle of  $W$  at an arbitrary point of  $F \cap F'$ .

***Proof of Theorem 1.3.***


Let  $P$  be a simple convex 3-polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose facets are  $F_1, \dots, F_m$ . Let  $\Theta_P : \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow P$  be the orbit map of the canonical  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ . So each  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , consists of some closed connected 2-manifolds that intersect transversely in  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ . Since we are working in dimension 3, we do not need to argue the existence or uniqueness of the smooth structures in our manifolds.

We first prove the “if” part. Suppose  $P$  is combinatorially equivalent to a convex polytope that can be obtained from  $\Delta^3$  by a sequence of vertex-cuts. Then by Theorem 2.2, there exists a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g_0$  with positive scalar curvature on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$ . Note that  $P$  is realized as the fundamental domain of the canonical  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  which is bounded by the submanifolds  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Moreover, by definition each  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F_i)$  is the fixed point set of the generator  $e_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$  under the canonical  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -action (see (1)). It is a standard fact that every connected component of the fixed point set (with the induced Riemannian metric) of an isometry on a Riemannian manifold is a totally geodesic submanifold (see [13, Theorem 1.10.15]). So each  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F_i)$  consists of totally geodesic submanifolds of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P, g_0)$ . In addition, the dihedral angles between any components of  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F_i)$  and  $\Theta_P^{-1}(F_j)$  (whenever they intersect) are always equal to  $\pi/2$  since the  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -action on  $(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P, g_0)$  is isometric. Therefore,  $P$  is realized as a right-angled totally geodesic Riemannian polyhedron in  $(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P, g_0)$ . The “if” part is proved.

Next, we prove the “only if” part. In the rest of the proof, we consider  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  as a Riemannian manifold with the metric induced from the embedding in the Euclidean space described in (6). We can think of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  as an iterated doubling of  $P$  as follows. Using the notation in the definition of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  (see (1) and (3)), for each  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , define

$H_j =$  the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_j$ , and let  $H_0 = \{0\}$ ;

$$Y^{(j)} = \eta(P \times H_j), \quad F_i^{(j)} = \eta(F_i \times H_j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

FIGURE 1. The filtration of  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^2} = S^2$ 

Then  $Y^{(j)}$  is the gluing of  $2^j$  copies of  $P$  under  $\eta$  whose boundary is

$$\partial Y^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i>j} F_i^{(j)}.$$

In addition, for any facet  $F_i$  of  $P$  and any element  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , let

$$F_{i,g} := \eta(F_i \times \{g\}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then we have

$$F_i^{(j)} = \bigcup_{g \in H_j} F_{i,g}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

So  $Y^{(j)}$  is a Riemannian polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  whose facets are  $\{F_{i,g} \mid i > j, g \in H_j\}$ .

By identifying  $P$  with  $Y^{(0)}$ , we have a filtration (see Figure 1 for example)

$$P = Y^{(0)} \subset Y^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset Y^{(m)} = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P.$$

Clearly,  $Y^{(j+1)}$  is the double of  $Y^{(j)}$  along  $F_{j+1}^{(j)}$  for each  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ . Note that  $F_{j+1}^{(j)}$  may not be connected.

In the following, we do induction on  $j$  and assume that:

- (a) There exists a pseudo-diffeomorphism  $\varphi_j : Y^{(j)} \rightarrow W_j$  where  $W_j$  is a mean curvature convex Riemannian polyhedron in a Riemannian 3-manifold  $(M_j, g_j)$  with positive scalar curvature.

Note that here we do not require  $\varphi_j$  to be face-preserving when  $j \geq 1$  since  $W_j$  may have more facets than  $Y^{(j)}$  (see Figure 2 for example).

We say that a facet  $E$  of  $W_j$  comes from a facet  $F_i$  of  $P$  if

$$\Theta_P(\varphi_j^{-1}(E)) \subset F_i \text{ or equivalently } E \subset \varphi_j(F_i^{(j)}) \text{ where } j < i \leq m.$$

There are two types of edges (codimension-two faces) on  $\partial W_j$  (see Figure 2):

Type-I: The intersection of two facets that come from different facets of  $P$ .

Type-II: The intersection of two facets that come from the same facet of  $P$ .

Moreover, we require  $W_j$  to satisfy the following two conditions in our induction hypothesis.




FIGURE 2. Two types of edges on the boundary of  $W_1$




FIGURE 3. A domain  $U_j$  containing  $W_j$

- (b) The dihedral angles of  $W_j$  are non-obtuse at every Type-I edge on  $\partial W_j$ .
- (c) The dihedral angles of  $W_j$  range in  $(0, \pi]$  at every Type-II edge on  $\partial W_j$ .

Choose a compact connected domain  $U_j$  containing  $W_j$  in  $(M_j, g_j)$  such that

$$\partial U_j \cap \partial W_j = \varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)}),$$

and the boundary  $\partial U_j$  of  $U_j$  has non-negative mean curvature. Indeed, by our assumption of  $W_j$ , we can obtain such a domain  $U_j$  by cutting off a neighborhood of  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  from a geodesic convex neighborhood of  $W_j$  in  $(M_j, g_j)$  (see Figure 3). Moreover, let


$$\widehat{U}_j := \{x \in M_j; \text{dist}(x, U_j) \leq \delta\}, \quad \delta \ll 1;$$

$$\widehat{W}_j := W_j \cup \{\text{geodesic segments in } \widehat{U}_j \text{ emanating orthogonally from faces in } \varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})\}.$$

So  $\widehat{U}_j \setminus U_j$  is a thin collar of  $\partial \widehat{U}_j$ . Then consider

$$(7) \quad D(U_j) := \{p \in \widehat{U}_j \times [-1, 1]; \text{dist}(p, U_j \times \{0\}) = \varepsilon\}, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1,$$

$$(8) \quad D(W_j) := \{p \in \widehat{W}_j \times [-1, 1]; \text{dist}(p, W_j \times \{0\}) = \varepsilon\}, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1.$$

FIGURE 4.  $D(U_j)$  and  $D(W_j)$ 

It is easy to see that  $D(U_j)$  is homeomorphic to the double of  $U_j$  along  $\partial U_j$ , and  $D(W_j)$  is homeomorphic to the double of  $W_j$  along  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  (see Figure 4). Hence  $D(W_j)$  is homeomorphic to  $Y^{(j+1)}$ . More precisely, there exists a homeomorphism

$$\varphi_{j+1} : Y^{(j+1)} \rightarrow D(W_j)$$

which maps a normal neighborhood  $N(F_{j+1}^{(j+1)})$  of  $F_{j+1}^{(j+1)}$  in  $Y^{(j+1)}$  onto the bending region of  $D(W_j)$  and maps the two components of  $Y^{(j+1)} \setminus N(F_{j+1}^{(j+1)})$  onto the two copies of  $W_j$  in  $D(W_j)$  that are parallel to  $W_j \times \{0\}$ . Moreover, by our assumption that  $\varphi_j : Y^{(j)} \rightarrow W_j$  is a pseudo-diffeomorphism, we can extend  $\varphi_{j+1}$  to a diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood of  $Y^{(j+1)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  to an open neighborhood of  $D(W_j)$  in  $D(U_j)$ . So  $\varphi_{j+1}$  is also a pseudo-diffeomorphism.

We want to remark that if the dihedral angles of  $W_j$  were greater than  $\pi$  at an edge in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$ , then  $D(U_j)$  and  $D(W_j)$  would have some holes in the bending region. This is the reason why we need to assume  $W_j$  to satisfy the condition (c).

Geometrically,  $D(U_j)$  and  $D(W_j)$  will have some codimension-one creases where the induced metric from  $\widehat{U}_j \times [0, 1]$  is not smooth. In addition, the facets in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)}) \subset \partial W_j$  may have different types of local configurations which will cause different shapes of  $D(W_j)$  in the bending region (see Figure 4). In particular in




FIGURE 5. Local configurations of facets of  $W_j$  in dimension 3

dimension 3, we may have three types of local configurations of facets in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  (see Figure 5).

By [1, Theorem 1.1], we can multiply a smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to some components of the metric  $g_j|_{U_j}$  and obtain a new metric  $g'_j$  with positive scalar curvature on  $U_j$  so that the mean curvature of  $g'_j$  on  $\partial U_j$  is positive. So without loss of generality, we can just assume that the mean curvature of  $g_j$  on  $\partial U_j$  is positive at the beginning. This allows us to use a generalized version of the argument in [9, Theorem 5.7] to obtain a Riemannian metric on  $D(U_j)$  with positive scalar curvature for a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  after smoothing the creases. We will explain the details of the argument below. Let

- $M_{j+1} = D(U_j)$  with the creases smoothed out;
- $g_{j+1} =$  the metric on  $M_{j+1}$  induced from the product metric  $g_j \times g_{[-1,1]}$  on  $U_j \times [-1, 1]$ .

So after smoothing the creases,  $D(W_j)$  becomes a Riemannian polyhedron in  $(M_{j+1}, g_{j+1})$ . By the proof of [9, Theorem 5.7], we can study the scalar curvature of  $g_{j+1}$  by estimating the principal curvatures of  $D(U_j)$  in  $U_j \times [-1, 1]$  as follows.

On the region parallel to  $U_j \times \{0\}$  in  $\widehat{U}_j \times [-1, 1]$ , the scalar curvature of  $g_{j+1}$  on  $D(U_j)$  is clearly positive. So the difficulty comes from the bending region of  $D(U_j)$ . The following argument is parallel to the argument in the proof of Gromov-Lawson [9, Theorem 5.7]. Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point on  $\partial U_j$ . We have the following three cases according to where  $x$  lies.

- (i) If  $x$  is not in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  or  $x$  is the relative interior of a facet  $E$  in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$ , then there is a unique normal direction of  $\partial U_j$  at  $x$ . Let  $\sigma_x$  be the geodesic segment in  $\widehat{U}_j$  emanating orthogonally from  $\partial U_j$  at  $x$ . Then  $\sigma_x \times [-1, 1]$  is totally geodesic in  $\widehat{U}_j \times [-1, 1]$ . The intersection of  $\sigma_x \times [-1, 1]$  with  $D(U_j)$  is of the form shown in Figure 6. Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be the principal curvatures of  $\partial U_j$  at  $x$ . Then at a point  $p$  corresponding to the angle  $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ,




FIGURE 6. The bending region of  $D(U_j)$  parametrized by one angle

the principal curvatures of  $D(U_j)$  will be of the form

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cos \theta + O(\varepsilon), \quad \lambda_1 = (\mu_1 + O(\varepsilon)) \cos \theta + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

$$\lambda_2 = (\mu_2 + O(\varepsilon)) \cos \theta + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Let  $\widehat{\kappa}$  be the scalar curvature function of  $\widehat{U}_j$  (and  $\widehat{U}_j \times [-1, 1]$ ). The by the Gauss equation, the scalar curvature  $\kappa$  of  $g_{j+1}$  at  $p$  is of the form



$$\kappa = \widehat{\kappa} + \left( \frac{2}{\varepsilon} H + O(1) \right) \cos^2 \theta + O(\varepsilon)$$

where  $H = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  is the mean curvature of  $\partial U_j$  at  $x$ . By our assumption of  $g_j$ , we have  $\widehat{\kappa} > 0$  and  $H > 0$ . So we have  $\kappa > 0$  when  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small.

- (ii) If  $x$  is the relative interior of an edge  $E \cap E'$  where  $E$  and  $E'$  are two facets in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$ , the normal direction of  $\partial U_j$  at  $x$  in  $\widehat{U}_j$  is not unique. Let  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma'_x$  be the geodesic segments orthogonal to  $E$  and  $E'$  at  $x$ , respectively. Let  $\Sigma_x^{(E, E')}$  be the union of the geodesic segments in  $\widehat{U}_j$  orthogonal to  $E \cap E'$  at  $x$  which is bounded  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma'_x$ . So  $\Sigma_x^{(E, E')}$  looks like a fan with fan angle  $\pi - \angle(E, E')_x$ . Note that  $E \cap E'$  is a Type-II edge of  $W_j$ . So we have  $\angle(E, E')_x \in (0, \pi]$  from the condition (c) of  $W_j$ . Moreover,  $x$  determines an oval-shaped patch (see Figure 7) in the intersection of  $\Sigma_x^{(E, E')} \times [-1, 1]$  and  $D(U_j)$ . Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be the principal curvatures of  $E$  at  $x$ . At a point  $p$  corresponding to the angles  $\phi \in [0, \pi - \angle(E, E')_x]$  and  $\theta \in (-a_\phi, a_\phi)$  where  $0 < a_\phi \leq \pi/2$  is determined by  $\phi$ , the principal curvatures of  $D(U_j)$  will be of the form (see Figure 7):

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cos \phi \cos \theta + O(\varepsilon), \quad \lambda_1 = (\mu_1 + O(\varepsilon)) \cos \phi \cos \theta + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

$$\lambda_2 = (\mu_2 + O(\varepsilon)) \cos \phi \cos \theta + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

FIGURE 7. The bending region of  $D(U_j)$  parametrized by two anglesFIGURE 8. The bending region of  $D(U_j)$  parametrized by three angles

So we have

$$\kappa = \widehat{\kappa} + \left( \frac{2}{\varepsilon} H + O(1) \right) \cos^2 \phi \cos^2 \theta + O(\varepsilon).$$


By our assumption of  $\widehat{\kappa}$  and  $H$ , we have  $\kappa > 0$  when  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small.

- (iii) If  $x = E \cap E' \cap E'' \cap E'''$  is a vertex where  $E, E', E''$  and  $E'''$  are four facets in  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$ , the geodesic segments in  $\widehat{U}_j$  emanating from  $x$  determine a cone  $C_x$  which is bounded by the fans  $\Sigma_x^{(E, E')}$ ,  $\Sigma_x^{(E', E'')}$ ,  $\Sigma_x^{(E'', E''')}$  and  $\Sigma_x^{(E''', E)}$  (see Figure 8). Moreover,  $x$  determines a football-shaped region in the intersection of  $C_x \times [-1, 1]$  and  $D(U_j)$  which is parametrized by three angles  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  and  $\theta$ , where  $\phi_1 \in [0, \pi - \angle(E, E')_x]$ ,  $\phi_2 \in [0, \pi - \angle(E, E'')_x]$  and  $\theta \in (-a_{\phi_1, \phi_2}, a_{\phi_1, \phi_2})$ , where  $0 < a_{\phi_1, \phi_2} \leq \pi/2$  is determined by  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . Similarly to the previous cases, for a point corresponding to  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  and  $\theta$ , we obtain

$$\kappa = \widehat{\kappa} + \left( \frac{2}{\varepsilon} H + O(1) \right) \cos^2 \phi_1 \cos^2 \phi_2 \cos^2 \theta + O(\varepsilon).$$

By our assumption of  $\widehat{\kappa}$  and  $H$ , we have  $\kappa > 0$  when  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small.

So in all cases, we have  $\kappa > 0$ . By the above discussion, the bending region of  $D(U_j)$  can be written as  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$  where  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  consist of the points

FIGURE 9. Smoothing a curve  $\gamma$  near  $t = 0$ 

parametrized by one, two and three angles, respectively. Besides, let  $A_0$  be the region of  $D(U_j)$  that is parallel to  $U_j \times \{0\}$ . There are some obvious creases at the intersections of  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  where the metric  $g_{j+1}$  does not have continuous second derivatives. But by some small perturbations of  $D(U_j)$ , we can smooth out these creases so that the condition  $\kappa > 0$  still holds. The local model of the smoothing is given by the Cartesian product of an open subset of the quadrant  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$  with the curve  $\gamma$  shown in Figure 9 where  $\gamma$  is smooth except at  $t = 0$ . For every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ , we deform  $\{x\} \times \gamma$  to be  $\{x\} \times \tilde{\gamma}$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is an everywhere smooth curve that differs from  $\gamma$  only in a small interval  $0 < t < \delta$  for some  $\delta \ll 1$  (see Figure 9).

Next, let us verify that  $D(W_j)$  satisfies the conditions (b) and (c). By the definition of  $\widehat{W}_j$  and  $D(W_j)$ , we can easily see that any facet  $G$  of  $D(W_j)$  in the bending region is of the following form:

$$G = (I_{E \cap F} \times [-1, 1]) \cap D(W_j)$$

where  $E, F$  are two facets of  $W_j$  with  $E \subseteq \varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  and  $F \not\subseteq \varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$ , and  $I_{E \cap F}$  is union of the geodesic segments in  $\widehat{U}_j$  orthogonal to  $E$  that emanate from points in  $E \cap F$  (see Figure 10). This implies:

- $G$  is a totally geodesic facet of  $D(W_j)$ .
- $\angle(\tilde{E}_{\pm 1}, G) = \pi/2$  where  $\tilde{E}_{\pm 1}$  is the parallel copy of  $E$  in  $W_j \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

Let  $\tilde{F}_{\pm 1}$  be the parallel copy of  $F$  in  $W_j \times \{\pm 1\}$ . By the condition (b) of  $W_j$ , the dihedral angles  $\angle(\tilde{E}_{+1}, \tilde{F}_{+1})$  and  $\angle(\tilde{E}_{-1}, \tilde{F}_{-1})$  are both non-obtuse. So

$$(9) \quad \angle(G, \tilde{F}_{\pm 1}) \in (0, \pi].$$

If an edge  $L$  of  $D(W_j)$  is not contained in the two parallel copies of  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  in  $W_j \times \{\pm 1\}$ , the dihedral angles of  $D(W_j)$  at  $L$  will agree with the dihedral angles of the counterpart of  $L$  in  $W_j \times \{0\}$ . These include all the Type-I edges of  $D(W_j)$ . Then since  $W_j$  satisfies the condition (b), so does  $D(W_j)$ .




FIGURE 10. Facets of  $D(W_j)$  in the bending region

In addition, it is easy to see that all the Type-II edges of  $D(W_j)$  that lie in the two parallel copies of  $\varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)})$  in  $W_j \times \{\pm 1\}$  are:

$$\{G \cap \tilde{F}_{\pm 1}; F \not\subseteq \varphi_j(F_{j+1}^{(j)}), G \text{ is a facet of } D(W_j) \text{ in the bending region}\}.$$

Then since  $W_j$  satisfies the condition (c), it follows from (9) that  $D(W_j)$  also satisfies the condition (c).

Note that the conditions (b) and (c) still hold for  $D(W_j)$  after we smooth the creases of  $D(U_j)$ . This can be easily seen from the local model  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 \times \gamma$  of the smoothing in our preceding argument.

In addition,  $D(W_j)$  is mean curvature convex in  $(M_{j+1}, g_{j+1})$  since its facets in the bending region are all totally geodesic while its facets outside the bending region have the same mean curvatures as their counterparts in  $W_j \times \{0\}$ .

So from the above arguments, we obtain a pseudo-diffeomorphism  $\varphi_{j+1}$  from  $Y^{(j+1)}$  to  $W_{j+1} := D(W_j)$  where  $W_{j+1}$  is a mean curvature convex Riemannian polyhedron in  $(M_{j+1}, g_{j+1})$  with positive scalar curvature and  $W_{j+1}$  satisfies the conditions (b) and (c). This finishes the induction.

Observe that when  $j = m - 1$ ,  $\partial U_{m-1} = \partial W_{m-1} = \varphi_{m-1}(F_m^{(m-1)})$ . This implies  $W_{m-1} = U_{m-1}$ . So by our doubling construction (8), the pseudo-diffeomorphism  $\varphi_m : Y^{(m)} = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow W_m = D(W_{m-1})$  is actually a diffeomorphism, where  $W_m$  is a compact Riemannian 3-manifold with positive scalar curvature. Then by Theorem 2.2,  $P$  must be combinatorially equivalent to a convex polytope that can be obtained from  $\Delta^3$  by a sequence of vertex cuts. So we finish the proof of the “only if” part and hence the whole theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** In the proof of the “only if” part of Theorem 1.3, there is a canonical action of  $H_j \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^j$  on both  $Y^{(j)}$  and  $W_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Indeed, we can define the action of  $H_j$  on  $W_j$  inductively through the doubling construction  $D(W_{j-1})$ . From our definition of the pseudo-diffeomorphism  $\varphi_j : Y^{(j)} \rightarrow W_j$ , it is easy to see that  $\varphi_j$  is equivariant with respect to the canonical  $H_j$ -actions for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *The statements of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5 still hold if we assume that the ambient Riemannian 3-manifold in these two theorems has positive constant scalar curvature.*

*Proof.* By the solution of the equivariant Yamabe problem in Hebey-Vaugon [12], if  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  has a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g_0$  with positive scalar curvature, then there exists a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}_0$  conformal to  $g_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  which has positive constant scalar curvature. So we can prove this corollary by applying the same proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.3 to  $(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P, \bar{g}_0)$ .  $\square$

## 4. Examples in higher dimensions

The argument of “doubling-smoothing” of Riemannian polyhedra in the proof of Theorem 1.3 can be generalized to any dimension  $n \geq 2$  (also see [11, §2.1]). Indeed, the only new ingredient in the proof of the higher dimensions is: there are more types of local configurations of facets on the boundary of the polyhedra in our iterated doubling construction. So if an  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytope  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be realized as a mean curvature convex Riemannian polyhedron with non-obtuse dihedral angles in a Riemannian  $n$ -manifold with positive scalar curvature, then we can construct a Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  with positive scalar curvature. Note that the iterated doubling construction induces a smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  from  $P$  which is equivariant with respect to the canonical  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -action. Then since the equivariant smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  is known to be unique up to equivariant diffeomorphisms (see [14, Proposition 3.8]), this smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  should agree with the smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  determined by the embedding  $i_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  (see Section 2). But unfortunately, we do not have the analogue of Theorem 2.2 for  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  in higher dimensions. So we cannot determine all possible combinatorial types of  $P$  in dimension greater than 3.

On the other hand, we can construct many examples of such kind of simple convex polytopes  $P$  in arbitrarily high dimensions as follows. Let us first quote some well known results on the existence of Riemannian metrics with positive scalar curvature.

**Theorem 4.1** (see Gromov-Lawson [10] and Schoen-Yau [20]). *Let  $N$  be a closed manifold which admits a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature. If  $M$  is a manifold that is obtained from  $N$  by surgery in codimension  $\geq 3$ , then  $M$  also admits a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature.*




FIGURE 11. Flip of a simple convex polytope  $P$  at a face  $f$

Moreover, there is an equivariant version of Theorem 4.1 for manifolds equipped with a compact Lie group action as follows.

**Theorem 4.2** (Theorem 11.1 in [4]). *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $G$ -manifolds where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. Assume that  $N$  admits a  $G$ -invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. If  $M$  is obtained from  $N$  by equivariant surgeries of codimension at least three, then  $M$  admits a  $G$ -invariant metric of positive scalar curvature.*

It is shown in Bosio-Meersseman [5, Lemma 2.3] that up to combinatorial equivalence any  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytope  $P$  can be obtained from the  $n$ -simplex by a finite number of flips at some proper faces. Let  $f$  be a proper face of  $P$  which is combinatorially equivalent to the  $k$ -simplex  $\Delta^k$ . Roughly speaking, the *flip of  $P$  at  $f$*  gives us a new polytope, denoted by  $\text{flip}_f(P)$ , which is obtained by cutting off a small neighborhood  $N(f)$  of  $f$  from  $P$  and a neighborhood  $N(\Delta^k)$  of  $\Delta^k$  from  $\Delta^n$ , and then gluing  $P \setminus N(f)$  and  $\Delta^n \setminus N(\Delta^k)$  together along their cutting sections and merging the nearby facets (see [16, 22] or [5] for the precise definition). For example, Figure 11 shows the flip of a 3-dimensional simple convex polytope at a vertex and at an edge, respectively. Note that doing a flip on  $P$  at a vertex  $v$  is equivalent to cutting off  $v$  from  $P$ , which will increase the number of facets by one. But whenever  $\dim(f) > 0$ , the number of facets of  $\text{flip}_f(P)$  will be equal to that of  $P$ . In addition, a flip of  $P$  at a face corresponds to a *bistellar move* on  $\partial P^*$  where  $P^*$  is the dual simplicial polytope of  $P$  (see [16, 5]).

From the viewpoint of the construction of real moment-angle manifolds, a flip of  $P$  at a codimension- $k$  face  $f$  corresponds to an equivariant surgery on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  at some codimension- $k$  submanifolds. More specifically, it is a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -equivariant surgery on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  if  $\dim(f) > 0$  or a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{m+1}$ -equivariant surgery on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

if  $\dim(f) = 0$ , where  $m$  is the number of facets of  $P$  (see [15, §4]). Then it follows from [5, Lemma 2.3] that we can obtain  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  from  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^n} = S^n$  (see Example 2.1) by a sequence of equivariant surgeries for any  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytope  $P$ . Moreover, the sequence of equivariant surgeries induce an (unique) equivariant smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  step by step from the standard smooth structure on  $S^n$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose  $P$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$ . If  $P$  is combinatorially equivalent to a convex polytope that can be obtained from the  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n$  by a sequence of flips at faces of codimension at least three, then  $P$  can be realized as a right-angled totally geodesic Riemannian polyhedron in a Riemannian  $n$ -manifold with positive scalar curvature.*

*Proof.* By our assumption of  $P$ ,  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  can be obtained from  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^n} = S^n$  by a sequence of equivariant surgeries at some submanifolds with codimension at least three. Note that the induced Riemannian metric  $g_0$  on  $S^n$  from  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  has positive constant scalar (sectional) curvature, and  $g_0$  is invariant with respect to the canonical action of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$  on  $S^n$  (see Example 2.1). Then it follows from Theorem 4.2 that  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  has a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g_1$  with positive scalar curvature where  $m$  is the number of facets of  $P$ . Finally, by applying the proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.3 to  $(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P, g_1)$ , we can deduce that  $P$  is realized as a right-angled totally geodesic Riemannian polyhedron in  $(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P, g_1)$ .  $\square$

To consider the generalization of Theorem 1.3 to higher dimensions, it is natural to ask the following question.

**Question:** Is it true that the examples given in Proposition 4.3 are exactly all the  $n$ -dimensional simple convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) that can be realized as mean curvature convex (or totally geodesic) Riemannian polyhedra with non-obtuse dihedral angles in Riemannian  $n$ -manifolds with positive scalar curvature?

To answer the above question, we need to understand for what simple convex polytope  $P$  the manifold  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  can admit a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature. It is well known that in dimension  $\geq 5$ , such kind of problems are related to the existence of spin structures,  $\widehat{A}$ -genus and  $\alpha$ -invariant defined by index theory (see [21]). In dimension 4, the existence of Riemannian metrics with positive scalar curvature implies the vanishing of Seiberg-Witten invariants. But the calculations of these invariants for  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_P$  are very difficult in general.

## Acknowledgment

The author wants to thank Jiaqiang Mei, Yalong Shi, Xuezhang Chen and Yiyan Xu for some valuable discussions on Riemannian geometry.

## References

- [1] S. de Almeida, *Minimal hypersurfaces of a positive scalar curvature manifold*, Math. Z. 190 (1985), no.1, 73–82.
- [2] E. M. Andreev, *Convex polyhedra in Lobačevskiĭ spaces* (in Russian). Mat. Sb., 81 (1970), 445–478.
- [3] E. M. Andreev, *Convex polyhedra in Lobačevskiĭ spaces* (english transl.), Math. USSR Sbornik 10 (1970), 413–440.
- [4] L. Bérard Bergery. *Scalar curvature and isometry groups*, in Spectra of Riemannian manifolds: Proceedings of the France-Japan seminar on spectra of Riemannian manifolds and space of metrics of manifolds, Kyoto, 1981, Kagai Publications, Tokyo (1983): 9–28.
- [5] F. Bosio and L. Meersseman, *Real quadrics in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , complex manifolds and convex polytopes*, Acta Math. 197 (2006), 53–127.
- [6] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, *Toric Topology*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 204, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [7] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*. Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. **2**, 417–451.
- [8] M. Davis, *When are two Coxeter orbifolds diffeomorphic?*, Michigan Math. J. 63 (2014), no. **2**, 401–421.
- [9] M. Gromov and H. B. Jr. Lawson, *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group*. I, Ann. of Math. (2) 111 (1980), no. **2**, 209–230.
- [10] M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, *The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. Math. (2), 111 (1980), 423–434.
- [11] M. Gromov, *Dirac and Plateau billiards in domains with corners*. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 12 (2014), no. **8**, 1109–1156.
- [12] E. Hebey and M. Vaugon, *Le problème de Yamabe équivariant*, Bull. Sci. Math. 117 (1993), no. **2**, 241–286.
- [13] W. Klingenberg, *Riemannian geometry, 2nd edition*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 1. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [14] S. Kuroki, M. Masuda and L. Yu, *Small covers, infra-solvmanifolds and curvature*, Forum Math. 27 (2015), no. **5**, 2981–3004.
- [15] Z. Lü, W. Wang and L. Yu, *Lickorish type construction of manifolds over simple polytopes*, Algebraic topology and related topics, 197–213, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Singapore, 2019.
- [16] P. McMullen, *On simple polytopes*, Invent. Math. 113 (1993), no. **2**, 419–444.
- [17] E. Miller, V. Reiner and B. Sturmfels. *Geometric combinatorics*, IAS/Park City mathematics series 13 (2007), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [18] A. V. Pogorelov, *A regular partition of Lobachevskian space*, Math. Notes. 1:1 (1967), 3–5.
- [19] R. Roeder, J. Hubbard and W. Dunbar, *Andreev’s theorem on hyperbolic polyhedra*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57 (2007), no. **3**, 825–882.
- [20] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979), no. **1-3**, 159–183.
- [21] S. Stolz, *Manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Topology of high-dimensional manifolds, No. 1, 2 (Trieste, 2001), 661–709, ICTP Lect. Notes, 9, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2002.
- [22] V. A. Timorin, *An analogue of the Hodge–Riemann relations for simple convex polyhedra*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 54 (1999), no. **2**, 113–162; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 54 (1999), no. **2**, 381–426.

- [23] M. Wiemeler, *Exotic torus manifolds and equivariant smooth structures on quasitoric manifolds*, Math. Z. 273 (2013), no. 3-4, 1063–1084.
- [24] L. S. Wu and L. Yu, *Fundamental groups of small covers revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2021, no. 10, 7262–7298.
- [25] L. Yu, *A generalization of moment-angle manifolds with non-contractible orbit spaces*, arXiv:2011.10366.
- [26] G. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Math. 152, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, 210093, P.R.CHINA.  
Email address: [yuli@nju.edu.cn](mailto:yuli@nju.edu.cn)