

r -cross t -intersecting families for vector spaces

Mengyu Cao^{*1}, Mei Lu^{†1}, Benjian Lv^{‡2}, and Kaishun Wang^{§2}

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

²Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education), School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Abstract

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , and $\binom{V}{k}$ denote the family of all k -dimensional subspaces of V . The families $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \binom{V}{k_1}, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \binom{V}{k_2}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \binom{V}{k_r}$ are said to be r -cross t -intersecting if $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_r) \geq t$ for all $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. The r -cross t -intersecting families $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are said to be non-trivial if $\dim(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq r} \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$. In this paper, we first determine the structure of r -cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes. As a consequence, we partially prove one of Frankl and Tokushige's conjectures about r -cross 1-intersecting families for vector spaces. Then we describe the structure of non-trivial r -cross t -intersecting families $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ with maximum product of their sizes under the assumptions $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{F}$, respectively, where the \mathcal{F} in the latter assumption is well known as r -wise t -intersecting family. Meanwhile, stability results for non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting families are also been proved.

AMS classification: 05D05, 05A30

Key words: r -cross t -intersecting family, r -wise t -intersecting family, t -covering number, vector space

1 Introduction

Let n and k be integers with $1 \leq k \leq n$, and V an n -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , where q is necessarily a prime power. We use $\binom{V}{k}$ to denote the family of all k -dimensional subspaces of V . In the sequel we will abbreviate “ k -dimensional subspace” to “ k -subspace”. Recall that for any positive integers a and b the *Gaussian binomial coefficient* is defined by

$$\binom{a}{b} = \prod_{0 \leq i < b} \frac{q^{a-i} - 1}{q^{b-i} - 1}.$$

^{*}E-mail: caomengyu@mail.bnu.edu.cn

[†]E-mail: lumei@tsinghua.edu.cn

[‡]Corresponding author. E-mail: bjlv@bnu.edu.cn

[§]E-mail: wangks@bnu.edu.cn

In addition, we set $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$, and $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} = 0$ if c is a negative integer. Recall that the size of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is equal to $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$.

For any positive integer t , a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is called *t-intersecting* if $\dim(A \cap B) \geq t$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$. A *t-intersecting* family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is called *trivial* if all its members contain a common specified t -subspace of V and *non-trivial* otherwise. In general, the triviality of a t -intersecting family is determined by the following parameter. For any $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ (not necessary to be t -intersecting), a subspace T of V is called to be a *t-cover* of \mathcal{F} if $\dim(T \cap F) \geq t$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and the *t-covering number* $\tau_t(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is the minimum dimension of a t -cover. It is clear that a t -intersecting family \mathcal{F} is trivial if and only if $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = t$.

The structure of t -intersecting families of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ with maximum size had been completely determined [7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 20], which are known as the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem for vector spaces. In [2], using the 1-covering number, the structure of non-trivial 1-intersecting families of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ with maximum size was described. In [5], using the t -covering number, the authors described the structure of maximal non-trivial t -intersecting families of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ with large size, from which the extremal non-trivial t -intersecting families are determined (see also [8] for the latter result). Recently, in [16], the authors considered an inverse problem for t -intersecting families of subspaces, and provided structural characterizations for the families with maximal total intersection number.

Let t, r, k_1, \dots, k_r be positive integers with $r \geq 2$. We say that r families $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}$ are *r-cross t-intersecting* if $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_r) \geq t$ for all $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. For convenience, we just say ‘cross t -intersecting’ instead of ‘2-cross t -intersecting’. The r -cross t -intersecting families $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are said to be *trivial* if $\dim(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq r} \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) \geq t$ and *non-trivial* otherwise, and said to be *maximal* if $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{F}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) for any r -cross t -intersecting families $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \mathcal{G}_r \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}$ with $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \mathcal{G}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$).

The problem of maximizing the sum or the product of sizes of cross t -intersecting families for vector spaces had been well studied so far. Wang and Zhang [23] completely determined the structure of cross t -intersecting families with maximum sum of their sizes. In the following, we focus on the cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes, and let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ be two such families. Observe that the structure of these families with $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2$ can be obtained from the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem for vector spaces. In [21], using the eigenvalue method, Tokushige described the structure of these families with $k_1 = k_2$ (see also [11, Theorem 8.3]). In [19], Suda and Tanaka determined the structure of these families with $t = 1$ and $k_1 \neq k_2$. Frankl and Tokushige [11] also proposed the following conjecture for r -cross 1-intersecting families.

Conjecture 1 *Let $(r-1)/r \geq \max\{k_1/n, \dots, k_r/n\}$. If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}$ are r -cross 1-intersecting, then $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k_i-1 \end{bmatrix}$.*

In this paper, we first study the r -cross t -intersecting families for vector spaces. The following theorem describes the structure of r -cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes, which proves Conjecture 1 with large n .

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n, r, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$ and t be positive integers with $r \geq 2$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r \geq t$ and $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 1$. If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}$ are r -cross t -intersecting families, then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_i-t \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equality holds only if $\mathcal{F}_i = \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \mid T \subseteq F \right\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) for some $T \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t \end{bmatrix}$.

We also refer the readers to [3] for the definition and the results about the r -cross t -intersecting families for finite sets.

To present our second result let us introduce the following families of subspaces of V . Let k, ℓ, s be positive integers with $\min\{k, \ell\} \geq s$. Suppose that $X \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ and $M \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell+1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $X \subseteq M$, and T is a subspace of V . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(k, s+1, X, M) &= \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap M) \geq s+1 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M) &= \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F \right\} \cup \begin{bmatrix} M \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{C}(k, T) &= \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid T \subseteq F \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D}(\ell, s, T) &= \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid \dim(F \cap T) \geq s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ be non-trivial cross t -intersecting families with $k_1 \geq k_2$. Suppose that $k_2 = t$. Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are nontrivial, we have $k_1 > t$ and $|\mathcal{F}_2| \geq 2$. Let W be the minimal subspace of V containing all elements of \mathcal{F}_2 . Then $t+1 \leq \dim(W) \leq k_1$, $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}(k_1, W)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} W \\ t \end{bmatrix}$. Observe that $\mathcal{C}(k_1, W)$ and $\begin{bmatrix} W \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ are maximal non-trivial cross t -intersecting families. Therefore, for any maximal non-trivial cross t -intersecting families $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ with $k_1 \geq k_2 = t$, there exists a subspace W such that $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{C}(k_1, W)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} W \\ t \end{bmatrix}$. The following theorem describes the structure of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 with maximum product of their sizes for $k_2 \geq t+1$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let n, k_1, k_2 and t be positive integers satisfying $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq t+1$, $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$, and $(k_1, k_2, t) \neq (2, 2, 1), (3, 2, 1)$ or $(4, 2, 1)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ are non-trivial cross t -intersecting families.*

(i) *If $k_2 \geq 2t+1$, then*

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \left(\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} - q^{(k_2+1-t)(k_1-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_2-1 \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} + q^{k_2+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

and the equality holds only if there exist a t -subspace X and a (k_2+1) -subspace M of V with $X \subseteq M$ such that one of the following holds:

- (ia) $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{A}(k_1, t+1, X, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{B}(k_2, X, M)$,
- (ib) $k_1 = k_2$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{B}(k_1, X, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{A}(k_2, t+1, X, M)$.

(ii) *If $t+1 \leq k_2 \leq 2t$, then*

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(q^{k_2-t} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

and the equality holds only if there exists a $(t+1)$ -subspace T of V such that one of the following holds:

(iia) $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{C}(k_1, T)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{D}(k_2, t, T)$,

(iib) $k_1 = k_2$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{D}(k_1, t, T)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{C}(k_2, T)$.

A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ is called *r-wise t-intersecting* if for all $F_1, \dots, F_r \in \mathcal{F}$ one has $\dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) \geq t$. Observe that the concept of ‘r-wise t-intersecting’ is a natural generalization of the classical ‘t-intersecting’, and if $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ are r-cross t-intersecting with $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is r-wise t-intersecting. Similarly, an r-wise t-intersecting family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ is called *trivial* if all its members contain a common specified t-subspace of V and *non-trivial* otherwise, and is said to be *maximal* if $\mathcal{F} \cup \{F\}$ is not r-wise t-intersecting for each $F \in \binom{V}{k} \setminus \mathcal{F}$. In [6], using the shadows in vector space, Chowdhury and Patkós determined the structure of extremal r-wise t-intersecting families of $\binom{V}{k}$ with $(r-1)n \geq rk$. From the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem for vector spaces, it is known that if $n > 2k$, each extremal r-wise t-intersecting family of $\binom{V}{k}$ is trivial. Therefore, in the third main theorem, we will describe extremal non-trivial r-wise t-intersecting family of $\binom{V}{k}$ with large n .

Let

$$h_1(d, k, n) = \binom{n-d}{k-d} - q^{(k+1-d)(k-d)} \binom{n-k-1}{k-d} + q^{k+1-d} \binom{d}{1}, \quad (1)$$

$$h_2(d, k, n) = \binom{n-d}{k-d} - q^{(k-d)^2} \binom{n-k}{k-d} + q^{k-d+1} \binom{n-k}{1} \binom{d}{1}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose that $n \geq 2k \geq 6$. From [5, Lemma 2.6], observe that $h_1(d, k, n) > h_2(d, k, n)$ if $1 \leq d < k-2$, and $h_1(d, k, n) < h_2(d, k, n)$ if $d = k-2$.

Theorem 1.3 *Let n, k, t and r be positive integers with $r \geq 3$, $t+r-2 \leq k-2$ and $2k+t+r+2 \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ be a non-trivial r-wise t-intersecting family. Then the following hold.*

(i) *Suppose that $t+r-2 \leq \frac{k}{2} - 1$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-t-r+2}{k-t-r+2} - q^{(k+3-t-r)(k+2-t-r)} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-r+2} + q^{k+3-t-r} \binom{t+r-2}{1},$$

and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}(k, t+r-1, X, M) \cup \binom{M}{k}$ for some $(t+r-2)$ -subspace X and $(k+1)$ -subspace M of V with $X \subset M$. Moreover, if $|\mathcal{F}| > h_2(t+r-2, k, n)$, then \mathcal{F} is a subfamily of $\mathcal{A}(k, t+r-1, X, M) \cup \binom{M}{k}$ for some $(t+r-2)$ -subspace X and $(k+1)$ -subspace M of V with $X \subset M$.

(ii) *Suppose that $\frac{k}{2} - 1 < t+r-2 \leq k-2$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{t+r}{1} \binom{n-t-r+1}{k-t-r+1} - q \binom{t+r-1}{1} \binom{n-t-r}{k-t-r},$$

and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}(k, t+r-1, Z)$ for some $(t+r)$ -subspace Z of V . Moreover, if $|\mathcal{F}| > h_2(t+r-2, k, n)$, or $t+r-2 = k-2$ and $|\mathcal{F}| > h_1(t+r-2, k, n)$, then \mathcal{F} is a subfamily of $\mathcal{A}(k, t+r-1, X, M) \cup \binom{M}{k}$ for some $(t+r-2)$ -subspace X and $(k+1)$ -subspace M of V with $X \subset M$, or a subfamily of $\mathcal{D}(k, t+r-1, Z)$ for some $(t+r)$ -subspace Z .

In [18], O'Neill and Verstraëte described the structure of extremal non-trivial r -wise 1-intersecting families of uniform subsets of a set, and also gave a stability theorem. When $t = 1$ in Theorem 1.3, our result can be viewed as a vector space version of the result in [18]. We also refer the readers to [1, 9, 17, 24] for the upper bounds of an r -wise \mathcal{L} -intersecting families for vector spaces, where \mathcal{L} is a set of non-negative integers.

Remark 1.4 There is a vast, excellent literature on these intersection problems for finite sets. To limit the scope of this paper, we will not introduce them here. We refer the readers to the monographs [13] and [12] for the systematic introduction. In another paper, we will study the non-trivial cross t -intersecting families and the non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting families for finite sets.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2, 3 and 4, we will prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, respectively. In Section 5, we will prove some inequalities used in this paper.

2 r -cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes

In this section, we begin with two useful lemmas which contain some inequalities about the Gaussian coefficients and a formula for counting the number of some special subspaces. Then we describe the structure of the r -cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes. The following lemma can be easily proved.

Lemma 2.1 *Let m and i be positive integers with $i \leq m$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix} + q^i \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{q^m-1}{q^i-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}$.
- (ii) $q^{m-i} < \frac{q^m-1}{q^i-1} < q^{m-i+1}$ and $q^{i-m-1} < \frac{q^i-1}{q^{m-1}} < q^{i-m}$ if $i < m$.
- (iii) $q^{i(m-i)} \leq \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} < q^{i(m-i+1)}$, and $q^{i(m-i)} < \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ if $i < m$.

Let W be an $(e + \ell)$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q , where $\ell, e \geq 1$, and let L be a fixed ℓ -subspace of W . We say that an m -subspace U is of *type* (m, h) if $\dim(U \cap L) = h$. Define $\mathcal{M}(m, h; e + \ell, e)$ to be the set of all subspaces of W with type (m, h) . Define $N'(m_1, h_1; m, h; e + \ell, e)$ to be the number of subspaces of W with type (m, h) containing a given subspace with type (m_1, h_1) .

Lemma 2.2 ([22]) $N'(m_1, h_1; m, h; e + \ell, e) \neq 0$ if and only if $0 \leq h_1 \leq h \leq \ell$ and $0 \leq m_1 - h_1 \leq m - h \leq e$. Moreover, if $N'(m_1, h_1; m, h; e + \ell, e) \neq 0$, then

$$N'(m_1, h_1; m, h; e + \ell, e) = q^{(\ell-h)(m-h-m_1+h_1)} \begin{bmatrix} e - (m_1 - h_1) \\ (m - h) - (m_1 - h_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - h_1 \\ h - h_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that

$$|\mathcal{M}(m, h; e + \ell, e)| = N'(0, 0; m, h; e + \ell, e) = q^{(\ell-h)(m-h)} \begin{bmatrix} e \\ m - h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell \\ h \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let a, b and c be three non-negative integers with $a \leq b \leq c$, and let A and C be two spaces with $\dim(A) = a$, $\dim(C) = c$ and $A \subseteq C$. From [4, Lemma 9.3.2], we have

$$\left| \left\{ B \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ b \end{bmatrix} \mid A \subseteq B \right\} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} c-a \\ b-a \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Set

$$f_1(k, \ell, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$f_2(m, k, \ell, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{m-t-2} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-m \\ \ell-m \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2.3 *Let n, k, ℓ, t, m and s be non-negative integers with $t+2 \leq m \leq \ell$ and $s+t \leq k$.*

- (i) *If $n \geq k + \ell + 2$, we have $f_1(k, \ell, n, t) < \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix}$.*
- (ii) *If $n \geq k + \ell + t + 1$, the function $f_2(m, k, \ell, n, t)$ is decreasing as $m \in \{t+2, t+3, \dots, \ell\}$ increases, and $f_2(m, k, \ell, n, t) < f_1(k, \ell, n, t)$.*
- (iii) *If $n \geq k + \ell$, the function $\begin{bmatrix} \ell-r \\ t-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s-t+r \\ k-s-t+r \end{bmatrix}$ is increasing as $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$ increases.*

Proof. (i) Since $n \geq k + \ell + 2$, observe that

$$f_1(k, \ell, n, t) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{\ell-t} - 1}{q^{n-t} - 1} < q^{(t+1)+(k-t+1)+(\ell-n)} \leq 1$$

from Lemma 2.1 (ii) and (iii). Then (i) holds.

(ii) For each $m \in \{t+2, t+3, \dots, \ell-1\}$, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\frac{f_2(m+1, k, \ell, n, t)}{f_2(m, k, \ell, n, t)} = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^{\ell-m} - 1)}{(q^{m-t+1} - 1)(q^{n-m} - 1)} < q^{k+(t+1)+(\ell-n)} \leq 1.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and (iii), we have

$$\frac{f_1(k, \ell, n, t)}{f_2(t+2, k, \ell, n, t)} = \frac{(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)}{(q^{t+2} - 1)(q^{k-t+1} - 1)(q^{\ell-t-1} - 1)} > q^{(-t-1)-(k-t+1)+(n-\ell)} \geq 1,$$

implying that (ii) holds.

(iii) Let

$$g(r) = \begin{bmatrix} \ell-r \\ t-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s-t+r \\ k-s-t+r \end{bmatrix}$$

for $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, t-1\}$. Since $n \geq k + \ell$, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\frac{g(r+1)}{g(r)} = \frac{(q^{t-r} - 1)(q^{n-s-t+r+1} - 1)}{(q^{\ell-r} - 1)(q^{k-s-t+r+1} - 1)} > q^{(t-\ell-1)+(n-k)} > 1$$

for each $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-2\}$. That is, the function $g(r)$ is increasing as $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$ increases. \square

For two subspaces A and B , recall that the set $\{a+b \in V \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a subspace of V , and is usually denoted by $A+B$. For a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and a subspace S of V , let \mathcal{F}_S denote the set of k -subspaces in \mathcal{F} which contain S .

Lemma 2.4 Let n, k, ℓ, t and s be non-negative integers with $n \geq k + \ell$, and $G \in \binom{V}{\ell}$ and $S \in \binom{V}{s}$ be two subspaces of V with $\dim(G \cap S) = r < t$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ be a family satisfying $\dim(G \cap F) \geq t$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - r\}$, there exists an $(s + i)$ -subspace U_i with $S \subseteq U_i$ such that $|\mathcal{F}_S| \leq \binom{\ell - r}{i} |\mathcal{F}_{U_i}|$. Furthermore, we have $|\mathcal{F}_S| \leq \binom{\ell - r}{t - r} \binom{n - s - t + r}{k - s - t + r}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}_S = \emptyset$, the required result is clear. Now suppose that $\mathcal{F}_S \neq \emptyset$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - r\}$, write

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \left\{ H \in \binom{G + S}{s + i} \mid S \subseteq H \right\}.$$

Observe that $\dim(G + S) = \ell + s - r$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}_S$, since $\dim(F \cap G) \geq t$, we have $\dim(F + S + G) = \dim(F + G) = \dim(F) + \dim(G) - \dim(F \cap G) \leq k + \ell - t$, implying that $\dim(F \cap (S + G)) = \dim(F) + \dim(S + G) - \dim(F + S + G) \geq s + t - r$. It follows that there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $H \subseteq F$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_S = \cup_{H \in \mathcal{H}_i} \mathcal{F}_H$. Let U_i be an element in \mathcal{H}_i such that $|\mathcal{F}_{U_i}| = \max\{|\mathcal{F}_H| \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_i\}$. By (3), we have $|\mathcal{H}_i| = \binom{\ell - r}{i}$, and then the former part holds. Setting $i = t - r$, then $\dim(U_i) = s + t - r$, and the latter part holds due to $|\mathcal{F}_{U_{t-r}}| \leq \binom{n - s - t + r}{k - s - t + r}$. \square

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \binom{V}{\ell}$ be cross t -intersecting families. Obviously, any element in \mathcal{G} is a t -cover of \mathcal{F} , and any element in \mathcal{F} is a t -cover of \mathcal{G} . Then $t \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{F}) \leq \ell$ and $t \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{G}) \leq k$.

Lemma 2.5 Let n, k, ℓ and t be non-negative integers with $n \geq k + \ell$, and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \binom{V}{\ell}$ be maximal cross t -intersecting families. Assume that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = m_k$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) = m_\ell$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{m_k}{t} \binom{n - t}{k - t}.$$

Moreover, the following hold.

(i) If $m_\ell = t + 1$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{m_k}{t} \binom{\ell - t + 1}{1} \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1}.$$

(ii) If $m_\ell \geq t + 2$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{m_k}{t} \binom{\ell}{1}^{m_\ell - t - 2} \binom{\ell - t + 1}{1}^2 \binom{n - m_\ell}{k - m_\ell}.$$

Proof. Let T be a t -cover of \mathcal{F} with $\dim(T) = m_k$. Then for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\dim(F \cap T) \geq t$ and there exists $H \in \binom{T}{t}$ such that $H \subseteq F$, implying that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup_{H \in \binom{T}{t}} \mathcal{F}_H$. Let H_1 be a t -subspace of T such that $|\mathcal{F}_{H_1}| = \max\{|\mathcal{F}_H| \mid H \in \binom{T}{t}\}$. We have

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{m_k}{t} |\mathcal{F}_{H_1}|. \quad (6)$$

Since $|\mathcal{F}_{H_1}| \leq \binom{n - t}{k - t}$, we have $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{m_k}{t} \binom{n - t}{k - t}$ by (6).

(i) Suppose that $m_\ell = t + 1$. It follows from $\dim(H_1) = t$ that there exists $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\dim(G_1 \cap H_1) = r < t$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3 (iii), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_{H_1}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - r \\ t - r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - 2t + r \\ k - 2t + r \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

implying that (i) holds from (6).

(ii) Suppose that $m_\ell \geq t + 2$. Firstly we claim that there exists $H' \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ m_\ell - 2 \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m_k \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{m_\ell - t - 2} |\mathcal{F}_{H'}|. \quad (7)$$

If $m_\ell = t + 2$, it is clear that (7) holds by setting $H' = H_1$. If $m_\ell \geq t + 3$, using Lemma 2.4 repeatedly, then there exist

$$H_2 \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t + 1 \end{bmatrix}, H_3 \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t + 2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, H_{m_\ell - t - 1} \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ m_\ell - 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $H_i \subseteq H_{i+1}$ and $|\mathcal{F}_{H_i}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{F}_{H_{i+1}}|$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_\ell - t - 2\}$, which implies that (7) holds by setting $H' = H_{m_\ell - t - 1}$. Therefore, the claim holds.

Since $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) > m_\ell - 2$, there exists $G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\dim(H' \cap G_2) < t$. If $\dim(H' \cap G_2) = r \leq t - 2$, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3 (iii), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_{H'}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - r \\ t - r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell + 2 - t + r \\ k - m_\ell + 2 - t + r \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell \\ k - m_\ell \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Suppose that $\dim(H' \cap G_2) = t - 1$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an $(m_\ell - 1)$ -subspace H'' such that $|\mathcal{F}_{H'}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{F}_{H''}|$. Since $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) > m_\ell - 1$, there exists $G_3 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\dim(H' \cap G_3) < t$. If $\dim(H' \cap G_3) \leq t - 2$, by Lemma 2.4 again, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_{H'}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{F}_{H''}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell - 1 \\ k - m_\ell - 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

If $\dim(H' \cap G_3) = t - 1$, by Lemma 2.4, then

$$|\mathcal{F}_{H'}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{F}_{H''}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell \\ k - m_\ell \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and $n \geq k + \ell$, we have $q^{\ell - t + 1} > \frac{q^{\ell - t + 2} - 1}{q + 1}$ and

$$\frac{q^{n - m_\ell} - 1}{q^{k - m_\ell} - 1} > q^{n - k} \geq q^\ell > q^{\ell - t + 1} > \frac{q^{\ell - t + 2} - 1}{q^2 - 1},$$

implying that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell \\ k - m_\ell \end{bmatrix} \geq \max \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell \\ k - m_\ell \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - m_\ell - 1 \\ k - m_\ell - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

This together with (7), (8), (9) and (10) yields (ii) holds. \square

Corollary 2.6 Let n, k, ℓ and t be non-negative integers with $n \geq k + \ell + t + 1$, and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \binom{V}{\ell}$ be maximal cross t -intersecting families. Assume that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = m_k$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) = m_\ell$ with $m_k \leq m_\ell$.

(i) If $m_k = t$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \begin{cases} \binom{n-t}{\ell-t} f_1(\ell, k, n, t), & \text{if } m_\ell = t + 1, \\ \binom{n-t}{\ell-t} f_2(m_\ell, \ell, k, n, t), & \text{if } m_\ell \geq t + 2. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $m_k \geq t + 1$, then $|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| < f_1(k, \ell, n, t) f_1(\ell, k, n, t)$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.5 to \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} respectively, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \begin{cases} \binom{m_k}{t} \binom{\ell-t+1}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}, & \text{if } m_\ell = t + 1, \\ \binom{m_k}{t} \binom{\ell}{1}^{m_\ell-t-2} \binom{\ell-t+1}{1}^2 \binom{n-m_\ell}{k-m_\ell}, & \text{if } m_\ell \geq t + 2, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{G}| \leq \begin{cases} \binom{m_\ell}{t} \binom{n-t}{\ell-t}, & \text{if } m_k = t, \\ \binom{m_\ell}{t} \binom{k-t+1}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{\ell-t-1}, & \text{if } m_k = t + 1, \\ \binom{m_\ell}{t} \binom{k}{1}^{m_k-t-2} \binom{k-t+1}{1}^2 \binom{n-m_k}{\ell-m_k}, & \text{if } m_k \geq t + 2. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

(i) It is straightforward to verify that (i) holds from (11) and (12).

(ii) By (11) and (12) again, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \begin{cases} f_1(k, \ell, n, t) f_1(\ell, k, n, t), & \text{if } m_k = t + 1, m_\ell = t + 1, \\ f_1(k, \ell, n, t) f_2(m_\ell, \ell, k, n, t), & \text{if } m_k = t + 1, m_\ell \geq t + 2, \\ f_2(m_k, k, \ell, n, t) f_2(m_\ell, \ell, k, n, t), & \text{if } m_\ell \geq m_k \geq t + 2. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 2.3 (ii), we have that $|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| < f_1(k, \ell, n, t) f_1(\ell, k, n, t)$ holds. \square

Lemma 2.7 Let n, k_1, k_2 and t be positive integers with $n \geq k_1 + k_2$, and $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \binom{V}{k_1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \binom{V}{k_2}$ be maximal cross t -intersecting families. For $i = 1, 2$, suppose that \mathcal{T}_i is the set of the t -covers of \mathcal{F}_i with dimensional $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i)$. Then \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 are cross t -intersecting families.

Proof. It follows from the maximality of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 that, for each $T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$, \mathcal{F}_2 contains all k_2 -subspaces of V containing T_1 ; and for each $T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$, \mathcal{F}_1 contains all k_1 -subspaces of V containing T_2 . If there exist $A_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$ such that $\dim(A_1 \cap A_2) < t$, by $n \geq k_1 + k_2$, then there must exist $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $A_1 \subseteq F_1$, $A_2 \subseteq F_2$ and $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2) < t$. This is impossible because \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are cross t -intersecting families. Hence, \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 are cross t -intersecting families. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove that the theorem holds for $r = 2$. It is clear that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \binom{n-t}{k_1-t} \binom{n-t}{k_2-t}$ if \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are trivial cross t -intersecting families, and equality holds only if $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F \in \binom{V}{k_i} \mid T \subseteq F\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) for some t -subspace T of V . Then, it suffices to prove that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < \binom{n-t}{k_1-t} \binom{n-t}{k_2-t}$ if \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are non-trivial cross t -intersecting families. Without loss of generality, assume that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are maximal non-trivial cross

t -intersecting families with $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)$. Set $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i) = m_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $m_2 = t$, then $m_1 = t$, and $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2 \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.7, implying that there exists a t -subspace T of V such that T is contained in each member of $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$. That is impossible because \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are non-trivial cross t -intersecting. If $m_2 \geq t + 1$, by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.3 (i) and (ii), we obtain $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < \binom{n-t}{k_1-t} \binom{n-t}{k_2-t}$ as required.

Now suppose that $r \geq 3$. For $u, v \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ with $u < v$, it follows from \mathcal{F}_u and \mathcal{F}_v are cross t -intersecting family that

$$|\mathcal{F}_u||\mathcal{F}_v| \leq \binom{n-t}{k_u-t} \binom{n-t}{k_v-t}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i| \right)^{r-1} = \prod_{1 \leq u < v \leq r} |\mathcal{F}_u||\mathcal{F}_v| \leq \prod_{1 \leq u < v \leq r} \binom{n-t}{k_u-t} \binom{n-t}{k_v-t} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \binom{n-t}{k_i-t} \right)^{r-1}, \quad (13)$$

which implies that

$$\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{n-t}{k_i-t}.$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are r -cross t -intersecting families satisfying $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i| = \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{n-t}{k_i-t}$. For each $u, v \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ with $u < v$, note that $|\mathcal{F}_u||\mathcal{F}_v| = \binom{n-t}{k_u-t} \binom{n-t}{k_v-t}$ by (13), and so $\mathcal{F}_h = \{F \in \binom{V}{k_h} \mid T_{u,v} \subseteq F\}$ ($h \in \{u, v\}$) for some t -subspace $T_{u,v}$ of V due to the theorem holds for $r = 2$. From the structure of \mathcal{F}_i ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$), observe that there exists a t -subspace T of V such that $T_{u,v} = T$ for all $u, v \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ with $u < v$, hence the desired result follows. \square

3 Non-trivial cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes

In this section, we describe the structure of the non-trivial r -cross t -intersecting families with maximum product of their sizes. Write

$$g_1(k, \ell, n, t) = \binom{n-t}{k-t} - q^{(\ell+1-t)(k-t)} \binom{n-\ell-1}{k-t}, \quad (14)$$

$$g_2(\ell, n, t) = \binom{n-t}{\ell-t} + q^{\ell+1-t} \binom{t}{1}, \quad (15)$$

$$g_3(k, \ell, n, t) = \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \left(q^{\ell-t} \binom{t+1}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{\ell-t} + \binom{n-t-1}{\ell-t-1} \right), \quad (16)$$

$$f_3(k, \ell, n, t) = \binom{\ell-t}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + q^{2(\ell-t)} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}, \quad (17)$$

$$f_4(k, \ell, n, t) = \binom{n-t}{\ell-t} + q^{\ell-t+1} \binom{t}{1} \binom{k-t}{1} \binom{n-t-2}{\ell-t-1}. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let n, k, ℓ and t be non-negative integers with $n \geq k + \ell + t + 2$, and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \binom{V}{\ell}$ be maximal cross t -intersecting families with $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = t$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) = t + 1$. Let X be a t -cover of \mathcal{F} with $\dim(X) = t$, and T a t -cover of \mathcal{G} with $\dim(T) = t + 1$.*

(i) For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_X$, we have $X \subseteq F$, $\dim(G \cap X) = t - 1$ and $\dim(F \cap (G + X)) \geq t + 1$.

(ii) If there exists $(\ell + 1)$ -subspace M of V such that $G + X = M$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_X$, then

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}(k, t + 1, X, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M).$$

(iii) If $T \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(k, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T).$$

(iv) If there exist $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_X$ such that $G_1 + X \neq G_2 + X$, and there exists $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T \not\subseteq F'$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq f_3(k, \ell, n, t)f_4(k, \ell, n, t).$$

Proof. (i) Since X is a t -cover of \mathcal{F} with $\dim(X) = t$, it is clear that $X \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 2.7, observe that $X \subseteq T$ and $\dim(T) - \dim(X) = 1$. For each $G \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_X$, since $\dim(G \cap T) \geq t$, $X \subseteq T$ and $X \not\subseteq G$, we have $t = \dim(T \cap G) \leq \dim(X \cap G) + 1$ and $\dim(X \cap G) \leq t - 1$, implying that $\dim(X \cap G) = t - 1$. It follows from $(F \cap G) + (F \cap X) \subseteq F \cap (G + X)$ that

$$\dim(F \cap (G + X)) \geq \dim(F \cap G) + \dim(F \cap X) - \dim(F \cap G \cap X) \geq t + 1.$$

(ii) It is routine to check that $\mathcal{A}(k, t + 1, X, M)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)$ are cross t -intersecting with $\tau_t(\mathcal{A}(k, t + 1, X, M)) = t$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)) = t + 1$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, by (i), $F \in \mathcal{A}(k, t + 1, X, M)$ holds. For each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, if $X \subseteq G$, then $G \in \mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)$; if $X \not\subseteq G$, then $G + X = M$, implying that $G \in \mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)$. By the maximality, we have that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}(k, t + 1, X, M)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)$.

(iii) It is routine to check that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(k, T)$, $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T)$, and $\mathcal{C}(k, T)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T)$ are cross t -intersecting. Hence, we have that (iii) holds.

(iv) Assume that $G_1 + X = M_1$, $G_2 + X = M_2$, $M' = M_1 \cap M_2$ and $\dim(M') = m$. Observe that $t \leq m \leq \ell$. Since $X \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $F' \cap T = X$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}' &= \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap M_1) \geq t + 1, \dim(F \cap M_2) \geq t + 1 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{G}' &= \left\{ G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid X \not\subseteq G, \dim(G \cap T) = t, \dim(G \cap F') \geq t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (i) and the assumption, we have that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \{G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq G\} \cup \mathcal{G}'$. It is clear that $|\{G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq G\}| = \binom{n-t}{\ell-t}$. In order to prove that (iv) holds, it suffices to give the upper bounds of $|\mathcal{F}'|$ and $|\mathcal{G}'|$.

Step 1. Show that $|\mathcal{F}'| \leq f_3(k, \ell, n, t)$.

Set

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ (W_1, W_2) \in \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} M_2 \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq W_1 \not\subseteq M', X \subseteq W_2 \not\subseteq M' \right\}.$$

If there exists $(W_1, W_2) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_1 = W_2$, then $W_1 = W_2 \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2 = M'$, a contradiction. Hence, $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = t$ and $\dim(W_1 + W_2) = t + 2$ for each $(W_1, W_2) \in \mathcal{W}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}'$, if $\dim(F \cap M') \geq t + 1$, then there exists $H \in \binom{M'}{t+1}$ such that $H \subseteq F$; if $\dim(F \cap M') = t$, from $\dim(F \cap M_1) \geq t + 1$ and $\dim(F \cap M_2) \geq t + 1$, then there exist $(W_1, W_2) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_1 \subseteq F$ and $W_2 \subseteq F$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{H \in \binom{M'}{t+1}, X \subseteq H} \mathcal{F}'_H \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{(W_1, W_2) \in \mathcal{W}} \mathcal{F}'_{W_1+W_2} \right).$$

Observe that $|\mathcal{F}'_H| \leq \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}$ for each $H \in \binom{M'}{t+1}$ with $X \subseteq H$, $|\mathcal{W}| = \left(\binom{\ell+1-t}{1} - \binom{m-t}{1} \right)^2$ and $|\mathcal{F}'_{W_1+W_2}| \leq \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}$ for each $(W_1, W_2) \in \mathcal{W}$. For each $m \in \{t, t+1, \dots, \ell\}$, write

$$f'(n, k, \ell, m, t) = \binom{m-t}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \left(\binom{\ell+1-t}{1} - \binom{m-t}{1} \right)^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

Then $|\mathcal{F}'| \leq f'(n, k, \ell, m, t)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(n, k, \ell, m, t) &= \binom{m-t}{1} \left(\binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} - 2 \binom{\ell+1-t}{1} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\binom{\ell+1-t}{1}^2 + \binom{m-t}{1}^2 \right) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq k + \ell + t + 1$, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} - 2 \binom{\ell+1-t}{1} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \geq q^{n-k} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} - 2q^{\ell+1-t} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} > 0$$

implying that $f'(n, k, \ell, m, t)$ increase as $m \in \{t, t+1, \dots, \ell\}$ increases. Hence $|\mathcal{F}'| \leq f'(n, k, \ell, \ell, t) = f_3(k, \ell, n, t)$ as required.

Step 2. Show that $|\mathcal{G}'| \leq q^{\ell-t+1} \binom{t}{1} \binom{k-t}{1} \binom{n-t-2}{\ell-t-1}$.

Set

$$\mathcal{W}' = \left\{ W \in \binom{T+F'}{t+1} \mid \dim(W \cap T) = t, W \cap T \neq X \right\}.$$

For $G \in \mathcal{G}'$, since $X \not\subseteq G$, $\dim(G \cap T) = t$ and $\dim(G \cap F') \geq t$, we have $X \not\subseteq G \cap (T + F')$, and

$$\dim(G \cap (T + F')) \geq \dim((G \cap T) + (G \cap F')) = \dim(G \cap T) + \dim(G \cap F') - \dim(G \cap T \cap F') \geq t+1,$$

due to $\dim(G \cap T \cap F') = \dim(G \cap X) \leq t-1$, implying that there exist $W \in \mathcal{W}'$ such that $W \subseteq G$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}'} \mathcal{G}'_W \subseteq \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}'} \left\{ G \in \binom{V}{\ell} \mid W \subseteq G, T \not\subseteq G \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{W}' = \left\{ W \in \binom{T+F'}{t+1} \mid \dim(W \cap T) = t \right\} \setminus \left\{ W \in \binom{T+F'}{t+1} \mid W \cap T = X \right\},$$

and so

$$|\mathcal{W}'| = N'(0, 0; t+1, t; k+1, k-t) - N'(t, t; t+1, t; k+1, k-t) = q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $W \in \mathcal{W}'$, since

$$\left\{ G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid W \subseteq G, T \not\subseteq G \right\} = \left\{ G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid W \subseteq G \right\} \setminus \left\{ G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid W \subseteq G, T \subseteq G \right\},$$

we have

$$\left| \left\{ G \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid W \subseteq G, T \not\subseteq G \right\} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ \ell-t-2 \end{bmatrix} = q^{\ell-t-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we have that

$$|\mathcal{G}'| \leq q^{\ell-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let k, ℓ and t be positive integers with $\min\{k, \ell\} \geq t+1$, and $X \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t \end{bmatrix}$, $M \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell+1 \end{bmatrix}$, $T \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $X \subseteq M$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) $|\mathcal{A}(k, t+1, X, M)| = g_1(k, \ell, n, t)$ and $|\mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)| = g_2(\ell, n, t)$.
- (ii) $|\mathcal{C}(k, T)| = \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $|\mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T)| = q^{\ell-t} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, we have $|\mathcal{C}(k, T)| |\mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T)| = g_3(k, \ell, n, t)$.

Proof. (i) Since

$$\mathcal{A}(k, t+1, X, M) = \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F \right\} \setminus \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap M) = t \right\},$$

we have $|\mathcal{A}(k, t+1, X, M)| = \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix} - N'(t, t; k, t; n, n-\ell-1)$ by (3) and the required result follows by Lemma 2.2. Observe that

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} M \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \setminus \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F \right\} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} \ell+1 \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \ell+1-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix} = q^{\ell+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then $|\mathcal{B}(\ell, X, M)| = g_2(\ell, n, t)$ holds from $\left| \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F \right\} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix}$.

(ii) It is clear that $|\mathcal{C}(k, T)| = \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$ holds from the construction of $\mathcal{C}(k, T)$ and (3). Since

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T) = \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid \dim(F \cap T) = t \right\} \cup \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} \mid T \subseteq F \right\},$$

we have $|\mathcal{D}(\ell, t, T)| = N'(0, 0; \ell, t; n, n-t-1) + \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$, and the required result follows by Lemma 2.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4, we first have

$$g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) \begin{cases} > g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t), & \text{if } k_2 \geq 2t + 1, \\ < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t), & \text{if } k_2 \leq 2t. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

From Lemma 3.2, observe that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$ if \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are a pair of families given in (ia) or (ib), and $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ if \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are a pair of families given in (iia) or (iib). Now suppose that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are maximal cross t -intersecting families, which are neither a pair of families given in (ia) and (ib), nor a pair of families given in (iia) and (iib). To prove the theorem, we only need to show that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < \begin{cases} g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t), & \text{if } k_2 \geq 2t + 1, \\ g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t), & \text{if } k_2 \leq 2t. \end{cases}$$

By (19), it suffices to prove that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) \quad \text{or} \quad |\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t). \quad (20)$$

We divide our proof into the following five cases.

Case 1. $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t$.

Assume that X_1 and X_2 are t -covers of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 with dimensional t , respectively. By Lemma 2.7, we have $X_1 = X_2$, implying that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are trivial cross t -intersecting families, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t + 1$.

Assume that X is a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_1 with dimensional t , and T is a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_2 with dimensional $t + 1$.

Suppose that there exists a $(k_2 + 1)$ -subspace M of V such that $F_2 + X = M$ for each $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_2)_X$. Then $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{A}(k_1, t + 1, X, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{B}(k_2, X, M)$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii), and $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$ by Lemma 3.2. Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are not a pair of families given in (ia) or (ib), we have $k_2 \leq 2t$. If $t + 2 \leq k_2 \leq 2t$, by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, then $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$. If $k_2 = t + 1$, by Lemma 5.4, then $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$. Therefore, (20) holds.

Suppose that $T \subseteq F_1$ for each $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Then $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{C}(k_1, T)$, $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{D}(k_2, t, T)$ and $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ by Lemmas 3.1 (iii) and 3.2 (ii). Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are not a pair of families given in (iia) or (iib), we have $k_2 \geq 2t + 1$. It follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$, and so (20) holds.

Suppose that there exist $F_{2,1}, F_{2,2} \in \mathcal{F}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_2)_X$ such that $F_{2,1} + X \neq F_{2,2} + X$, and there exists $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $T \not\subseteq F_1$. It follows from Lemmas 3.1 (iv), 5.1 and 5.5 that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq f_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t),$$

and so (20) holds.

Case 3. $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t + 1$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t$.

Assume that T is a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_1 with dimensional $t + 1$, and X is a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_2 with dimensional t .

Suppose that there exists a $(k_1 + 1)$ -subspace M of V such that $F_1 + X = M$ for each $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_X$. Then $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{B}(k_1, X, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{A}(k_2, t + 1, X, M)$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii), and $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_2(k_1, n, t)g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t)$ by Lemma 3.2 (i). If $k_1 > k_2$, then $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$ from Lemma 5.6. Now assume that $k_1 = k_2$. Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are not

a pair of families given in (ib), we have $k_2 \leq 2t$, implying that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_2(k_1, n, t)g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t) = g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ from (19). Therefore (20) holds.

Suppose that $T \subseteq F_2$ for each $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$. Then $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{D}(k_1, t, T)$, $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{C}(k_2, T)$ and $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_3(k_2, k_1, n, t)$ by Lemmas 3.1 (iii) and 3.2 (ii). If $k_1 > k_2$, then $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ from Lemma 5.7. Now assume that $k_1 = k_2$. Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are not a pair of families given in (iib), we have $k_2 \geq 2t + 1$, implying that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| = g_3(k_2, k_1, n, t) = g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$ by (19). Therefore (20) holds.

Suppose that there exist $F_{1,1}, F_{1,2} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_X$ such that $F_{1,1} + X \neq F_{1,2} + X$, and there exists $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $T \not\subseteq F_2$. It follows from Lemmas 3.1 (iv), 5.1 and 5.5 that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq f_3(k_2, k_1, n, t)f_4(k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t),$$

and hence (20) holds.

Case 4. $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) \geq t + 2$, or $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \geq t + 2$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t$.

Assume that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) \geq t + 2$. By Corollary 2.6 (i), Lemmas 5.1 and 5.8, we have that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \binom{n-t}{k_2-t} f_2(t+2, k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t),$$

and (20) holds.

Assume that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \geq t + 2$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t$. By Corollary 2.6 (i), we have that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \binom{n-t}{k_1-t} f_2(t+2, k_1, k_2, n, t),$$

implying that (20) holds from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.9.

Case 5. $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \geq t + 1$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) \geq t + 1$.

Assume that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = m_1$ and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = m_2$. From Corollary 2.6 (ii), Lemmas 5.1 and 5.10, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < f_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_1(k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t),$$

and (20) holds. □

4 Non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting families for vector spaces

In this section, we determine the structure of the non-trivial maximal r -wise t -intersecting families for subspaces of V , and give a stability result about these families.

4.1 Some properties

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ be a non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting family. Suppose that S is a subspace of V such that $\dim(S \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \cdots \cap F_{r-1}) \geq t$ for all $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{r-1} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *We have $\dim(S) \geq t + r - 1$.*

(ii) Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m are elements in \mathcal{F} with $m \leq r - 1$. Then $\dim(S \cap A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_m) \geq t + r - m - 1$.

Proof. (i) Since \mathcal{F} is non-trivial, for each subspace T of V with $\dim(T) \geq t$, there exists an element $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\dim(T \cap A) \leq \dim(T) - 1$. It is clear that $\dim(S) \geq t$. Then, there exists $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $t \leq \dim(S \cap A_1) \leq \dim(S) - 1$, and (i) holds for $r = 2$. Now suppose that $r \geq 3$, by induction, there exist $A_2, \dots, A_{r-1} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $t \leq \dim(S \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_i) \leq \dim(S \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{i-1}) - 1$ for each $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r-1\}$ due to $\dim(S \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1}) \geq t$ for all $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{r-1} \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore

$$\dim(S) \geq \dim(S \cap A_1) + 1 \geq \dots \geq \dim(S \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{r-1}) + r - 1 \geq t + r - 1$$

as required.

(ii) Observe that it is clear if $m = r - 1$. Suppose that $m < r - 1$ in the following. Since $\dim((S \cap A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_m) \cap F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1-m}) \geq t$ for all $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{r-1-m} \in \mathcal{F}$, and \mathcal{F} is a non-trivial $(r - m)$ -wise t -intersecting family, by (i), we have $\dim(S \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m) \geq t + r - m - 1$ as required. \square

Corollary 4.2 *If $r > k - t + 1$, then there does not exist a non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting family of k -subspaces of V .*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting family \mathcal{F} . Then $\dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) \geq t$ for all $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 4.1 (i), we have $k = \dim(F_1) \geq t + r - 1$, a contradiction. Hence, the desired result holds. \square

Corollary 4.3 *Suppose that B_1, B_2, \dots, B_d are elements in the non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ with $d \leq r$. Then $\dim(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_d) \geq t + r - d$. Moreover, \mathcal{F} is a non-trivial $(t + r - 2)$ -intersecting family.*

Proof. Set $S = B_1$ in Lemma 4.1 (ii), and then the former part of this lemma holds. In particular, set $d = 2$, and then we have \mathcal{F} is $(t + r - 2)$ -intersecting. \square

4.2 The proof of Theorem 1.3

Let d, k and n be positive integers with $n \geq 2k$. Let X, M and C be subspaces of V such that $X \subseteq M \subseteq C$, $\dim(X) = d$, $\dim(M) = k$ and $\dim(C) = c$, where $c \in \{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k - d, n\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C) = \mathcal{E}_1(X, M) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C) \cup \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C), \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(X, M) &= \left\{ F \in \binom{V}{k} \mid X \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap M) \geq d + 1 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C) &= \left\{ F \in \binom{V}{k} \mid F \cap M = X, \dim(F \cap C) = c - k + d \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C) &= \left\{ F \in \binom{C}{k} \mid \dim(F \cap X) = d - 1, \dim(F \cap M) = k - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From [5, Remark 1 and Lemma 2.5], the following hold.

Observation 4.4 Let $h_1(d, k, n)$ and $h_2(d, k, n)$ be as in (1) and (2).

- (i) $\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C) = \mathcal{A}(k, d+1, X, C) \cup \begin{bmatrix} C \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and $|\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C)| = h_1(d, k, n)$ if C satisfies $\dim(C) = k+1$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, V) = \mathcal{E}_1(X, M) \cup \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, V)$ and $|\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, V)| = h_2(d, k, n)$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, V) = \mathcal{D}(k, d+1, M)$ if d and k satisfy $d = k-2$.

The following two theorems given in [5] are essential to prove Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 4.5 ([5, Theorem 1.1]) Let n, k and t be positive integers with $t \leq k-2$ and $2k+t+\min\{4, 2t\} \leq n$. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is a maximal non-trivial t -intersecting family and

$$|\mathcal{F}| \geq \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then one of the following holds:

- (i) $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C)$ for some t -subspace X , k -subspace M and c -subspace C of V with $X \subseteq M \subseteq C$ and $c \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k-t, n\}$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}(k, t+1, Z)$ for some $(t+2)$ -subspace Z of V , and $\frac{k}{2} - 1 \leq t \leq k-2$.

Theorem 4.6 ([5, Theorem 1.2]) Let n, k and t be positive integers with $t \leq k-2$ and $2k+t+\min\{4, 2t\} \leq n$, and let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ be a non-trivial t -intersecting family. Then the following hold.

- (i) If $1 \leq t \leq \frac{k}{2} - 1$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix} - q^{(k+1-t)(k-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k-t \end{bmatrix} + q^{k+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}(k, t+1, X, M) \cup \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ for some t -subspace X and $(k+1)$ -subspace M of V with $X \subset M$.

- (ii) If $\frac{k}{2} - 1 < t \leq k-2$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}(k, t+1, Z)$ for some $(t+2)$ -subspace Z of V , or $(t, k) = (1, 3)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}(k, t+1, X, M) \cup \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ for some 1-subspace X and 4-subspace M of V with $X \subset M$.

Lemma 4.7 Let $X \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+r-2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $M \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $X \subset M$, and $Z \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+r \end{bmatrix}$. Then both $\mathcal{A}(k, t+r-1, X, M) \cup \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{D}(k, t+r-1, Z)$ are non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting families.

Proof. Firstly, we claim that for positive integers ℓ and m with $m \leq \ell$, if E_1, \dots, E_m are ℓ -subspaces of a space E with $\dim(E) = \ell + 1$, then $\dim(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_m) \geq \ell - m + 1$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_m) &\geq \dim(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_{m-1}) + \dim(E_m) - \dim(E) \\ &= \dim(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_{m-1}) - 1 \\ &\geq \dim(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_{m-2}) - 2 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\geq \dim(E_1) - (m - 1) \\ &= \ell - m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r \in \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, M) \cup \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}$. If $F_i \in \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, then $\dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) \geq t$ due to the claim above and $r \leq k - t + 1$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, suppose that there exists $h \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that

$$F_i \in \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}, & i \leq h, \\ \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, M) \setminus \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, if $h = r - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) &\geq \dim((F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1}) \cap (F_r \cap M)) \\ &\geq \dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1}) + \dim(F_r \cap M) - \dim(M) \\ &\geq (k - r + 2) + (t + r - 1) - (k + 1) \\ &\geq t; \end{aligned}$$

if $h \leq r - 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) &\geq \dim((F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_h) \cap (F_{h+1} \cap \dots \cap F_r \cap X)) \\ &\geq \dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_h) + \dim(F_{h+1} \cap \dots \cap F_r \cap X) - \dim(M) \\ &\geq (k - h + 1) + (t + r - 2) - (k + 1) \\ &\geq t. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r \in \mathcal{D}(k, t + r - 1, Z)$. If $Z \subseteq F_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, then $\dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) \geq t$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, suppose that there exists $h \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that

$$\dim(F_i \cap Z) = \begin{cases} t + r - 1, & i \leq h, \\ t + r, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by the claim above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_r) &\geq \dim((F_1 \cap Z) \cap \dots \cap (F_r \cap Z)) \\ &= \dim((F_1 \cap Z) \cap \dots \cap (F_h \cap Z)) \\ &\geq t + r - 1 - h + 1 \\ &\geq t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, both $\mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, M) \cup \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{D}(k, t + r - 1, Z)$ are r -wise t -intersecting. It is clear that these two families are non-trivial, and therefore the lemma holds. \square

Lemma 4.8 *Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ is a maximal non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting family with $t + r = k + 1$ and $k + 1 \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \binom{M}{k}$ for some $(k + 1)$ -subspace M .*

Proof. It is known that \mathcal{F} is $(k - 1)$ -intersecting by Corollary 4.3. By [4, Remark (ii) in Section 9.3], we have $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{M}{k}$ for some $(k + 1)$ -subspace M . Observe that $\binom{M}{k}$ is r -wise t -intersecting due to $t + r = k + 1$ and the claim in the proof of Lemma 4.7. Then we obtain $\mathcal{F} = \binom{M}{k}$ due to the maximality of \mathcal{F} . \square

Lemma 4.9 *Let n, k, t and r be positive integers with $r \geq 3, t + r - 2 \leq k - 2$ and $2k + t + r + 2 \leq n$. Let \mathcal{F} be a non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting subfamily of $\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C)$, where $X \subseteq M \subseteq C, \dim(X) = t + r - 2, \dim(M) = k$ and $\dim(C) = c \in \{k + 2, k + 3, \dots, 2k - t - r + 2\}$. If $|\mathcal{F}| > h_2(t + r - 2, k, n)$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, M') \cup \binom{M'}{k}$ for some $(k + 1)$ -subspace M' of C with $M \subseteq M'$.*

Proof. Suppose for the contrary that $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, M') \cup \binom{M'}{k}$ for each $(k + 1)$ -subspace M' of C with $M \subseteq M'$. Let $\mathcal{E}_1(X, M), \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)$ and $\mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ be as in (21). Observe that all the subspaces in $\mathcal{E}_1(X, M)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)$ are containing X . Since \mathcal{F} is non-trivial, we have $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C) \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1. For each subspace S of X with $\dim(S) \geq t$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ such that $\dim(S \cap F \cap X) = \dim(S) - 1$.

Indeed, since \mathcal{F} is non-trivial and $\dim(S) \geq t$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ such that $S \not\subseteq F$. From $\dim(F \cap X) = \dim(X) - 1$, observe that $S + (F \cap X) = X$ and

$$\dim(S \cap F \cap X) = \dim(S) + \dim(F \cap X) - \dim(S + (F \cap X)) = \dim(S) - 1.$$

Hence, Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2. There exist $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ satisfying $F_1 \cap X \neq F_2 \cap X$ and $F_2 \not\subseteq F_1 + M$.

Choose $G_1 \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$. We have $G_1 + M \subseteq C$, and $\dim(G_1 + M) = k + 1$ from $\dim(G_1 \cap M) = k - 1$. By the construction of $\mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)$, observe that $\mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, G_1 + M)$, implying that

$$\mathcal{E}_1(X, M) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1(X, M) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, G_1 + M) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, G_1 + M).$$

Then $\mathcal{F} \cap (\mathcal{E}_1(X, M) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, G_1 + M)$. Since $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, t + r - 1, X, G_1 + M) \cup \binom{G_1 + M}{k}$, we have $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C) \not\subseteq \binom{G_1 + M}{k}$, implying that there exists $G_2 \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ such that $G_2 \not\subseteq G_1 + M$.

If $G_2 \cap X \neq G_1 \cap X$, setting $F_1 = G_1$ and $F_2 = G_2$, then F_1 and F_2 are the required subspace.

Now assume that $G_2 \cap X = G_1 \cap X$ for each $G_2 \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ with $G_2 \not\subseteq G_1 + M$. Observe that $X \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap (\mathcal{E}_1(X, M) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C))$, and $\dim(F \cap X) = t + r - 3 \geq t$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$. Since \mathcal{F} is non-trivial, there exists $G_4 \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ such that $G_4 \cap X \neq G_1 \cap X = G_2 \cap X$. It follows from the assumption that $G_4 \in \binom{G_1 + M}{k}$, and so $G_4 + M = G_1 + M$ due to $\dim(G_4 \cap M) = k - 1$ and $\dim(G_1 + M) = k + 1$. Set $F_1 = G_4$ and $F_2 = G_2$. We have that F_1 and F_2 are the required subspace, and Claim 2 holds.

By Claim 2, let F_1 and F_2 be the subspace in $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ with $F_1 \cap X \neq F_2 \cap X$ and $F_2 \not\subseteq F_1 + M$. Then $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2 \cap X) = \dim(F_1 \cap X) + \dim(F_2 \cap X) - \dim((F_1 \cap M) + (F_2 \cap X)) =$

$t+r-4$. Using Claim 1 repeatedly, we can get $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{r-1} \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)$ satisfying $F_1 \cap X \neq F_2 \cap X$, $F_2 \not\subseteq F_1 + M$ and $\dim(X \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1}) = t-1$.

Since $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C)$ and $|\mathcal{F}| > h_2(t+r-2, k, n)$, by Observation 4.4 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)| &\geq |\mathcal{F}| - |\mathcal{E}_1(X, M)| - |\mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)| \\ &> |\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, V)| - |\mathcal{E}_1(X, M)| - |\mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)| \\ &= |\mathcal{E}_3(X, M, V)| - |\mathcal{E}_3(X, M, C)| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)$. Then $\dim(F \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1}) \geq t$ because \mathcal{F} is r -wise t -intersecting. Since $\dim(X \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_{r-1}) = t-1$, we have $F \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \neq X \cap F_1 \cap F_2$. It is clear that $F \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \supseteq X \cap F_1 \cap F_2$. Then there exists $y \in (F \cap F_1 \cap F_2) \setminus (X \cap F_1 \cap F_2)$. By the construction of $\mathcal{E}_2(X, M, C)$, note that $F \cap M = X$. It follows that $y \notin M \cap F_1$ and $y \notin M \cap F_2$. Hence $F_1 = (M \cap F_1) + \langle y \rangle$ and $F_2 = (M \cap F_2) + \langle y \rangle$, implying that $F_2 \subseteq M + \langle y \rangle = F_1 + M$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Since $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[V]}{k}$ is a non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting family, by Corollary 4.3, \mathcal{F} is a non-trivial $(t+r-2)$ -intersecting family. It follows from $r \geq 3$, $t+r-2 \leq k-2$ and $2k+t+r+3 \leq n$ that $2k+(t+r-2) + \min\{4, 2t+2r-4\} \leq n$ and $(t+r-2, k) \neq (1, 3)$. Then by Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we have that each former part of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.3 holds.

Suppose that $|\mathcal{F}| > h_2(t+r-2, k, n)$ if $t+r-2 < k-2$, and $|\mathcal{F}| > h_1(t+r-2, k, n)$ if $t+r-2 = k-2$. From [5, Lemmas 2.6 (i) and 2.7 (i)], we have that

$$|\mathcal{F}| > \binom{k-t-r+2}{1} \binom{n-t-r+1}{k-t-r+1} - q \binom{k-t-r+2}{2} \binom{n-t-r}{k-t-r}.$$

Then by Theorem 4.5, one of the following holds:

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2(X, M, C)$ for some $X \in \binom{[V]}{t+r-2}$, $M \in \binom{[V]}{k}$ and $C \in \binom{[V]}{c}$ with $X \subseteq M \subseteq C$ and $c \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k-t-r+2, n\}$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(k, t+r-1, Z)$ for some $Z \in \binom{[V]}{t+r}$, and $\frac{k}{2} - 1 \leq t+r-2 \leq k-2$.

For $t+r-2 = k-2$, recall that $h_2(t+r-2, k, n) > h_1(t+r-2, k, n)$ due to [5, Lemma 2.6(iii)], and $\mathcal{H}_2(X, M, V) = \mathcal{D}(k, k-1, M)$ by Observation 4.4 (iii). Hence, by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.9, the latter parts of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.3 hold. \square

5 Some inequalities

In this section, we prove some inequalities used in this paper. In the following lemmas, we always assume that n, k_1, k_2 and t are positive integers with $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$ and $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq t + 1$, and let $f_j(k, \ell, n, t)$ and $g_i(k, \ell, n, t)$ be as in (4)–(5), (14)–(18). For convenience, we set $\prod_{i=a}^{a-1} b_i = 1$ in the following. Write

$$g_4(k, \ell, n, t) = \binom{\ell-t+1}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} - q \binom{\ell-t+1}{2} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}, \quad (22)$$

$$g_5(k, \ell, n, t) = \binom{\ell-t+1}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} - q^{(\ell-t-1)(k-t-2)+1} \binom{n-\ell-1}{k-t-2} \binom{\ell+1-t}{2}. \quad (23)$$

Lemma 5.1 *If $\min\{k, \ell\} \geq t + 1$, then $g_4(k, \ell, n, t) \leq g_1(k, \ell, n, t) \leq g_5(k, \ell, n, t)$.*

Proof. If $k = t + 1$, then $g_1(k, \ell, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - q^{(\ell+1-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-\ell-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell+1-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. It is routine to check that the result holds for this case. Now suppose that $k \geq t + 2$. Let M be a $(\ell + 1)$ -subspace of V , and X a t -subspace of M . For each $i \in \{t, t + 1, \dots, \ell + 1\}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i(X, M) &= \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap M) = i \right\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_i(X, M) &= \left\{ (I, F) \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} \mid X \subseteq I \subseteq M, I \subseteq F \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{j=t+1}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| = |\mathcal{A}(k, t+1, X, M)| = g_1(k, \ell, n, t)$$

from Lemma 3.2 (i). By double counting $|\mathcal{L}_i(X, M)|$, we have that

$$|\mathcal{L}_i(X, M)| = \sum_{j=i}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| \begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ i-t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell+1-t \\ i-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ k-i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_{t+1}(X, M)| - q|\mathcal{L}_{t+2}(X, M)| &= \begin{bmatrix} \ell-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} \ell-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=t+1}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| + \sum_{j=t+2}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| \left(\begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 - q \begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=t+1}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| = g_1(k, \ell, n, t) \end{aligned}$$

from $\begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 - q \begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = q \begin{bmatrix} j-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$, and $g_1(k, \ell, n, t) \geq g_4(k, \ell, n, t)$ holds.

Since

$$|\mathcal{L}_{t+1}(X, M)| = \sum_{j=t+1}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| + \sum_{j=t+2}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \right),$$

and $|\mathcal{A}_{t+2}(X, M)| = N'(t, t; k, t+2; n, n-\ell-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(k, \ell, n, t) &= |\mathcal{L}_{t+1}(X, M)| - \sum_{j=t+2}^{\min\{k, \ell+1\}} |\mathcal{A}_j(X, M)| \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq |\mathcal{L}_{t+1}(X, M)| - |\mathcal{A}_{t+2}(X, M)| \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \ell-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - q^{(\ell-t-1)(k-t-2)+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-\ell-1 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell+1-t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and $g_1(k, \ell, n, t) \leq g_5(k, \ell, n, t)$ holds. \square

Lemma 5.2 *If $k_2 \geq 2t + 1$, then $g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) > g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 (i) and (ii), observe that

$$g_2(k_2, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} + q^{k_2+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} > \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) &= \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{q^{k_2-t+1}-1}{q^{k_2-t}-1} - \frac{q(q^{k_2-t+1}-1)}{q^2-1} \cdot \frac{q^{k_1-t-1}-1}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \right) \\ &> \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(q - q^{1+(k_2-t)+k_1-n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) &= \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(q^{k_2-t} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &< \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$ and $k_2 \geq 2t + 1$, we have $q - q^{1+(k_2-t)+k_1-n} > 1$ and $\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then the desired result follows. \square

Lemma 5.3 *If $t + 2 \leq k_2 \leq 2t$, then $g_5(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. Since $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$ and $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq t + 2$, we have $(k_2 - t - 1)(n - k_2) \geq (k_2 - t - 1)(k_1 + t + 1) + (k_2 - t - 1) \cdot 2 \geq 2t + 3 + 2(k_2 - t - 1) \geq 2k_2 + 1 \geq 2k_2 - t + 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{k_2+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &> \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(q^{(k_2-t-1)(n-k_2)} - q^{2(k_2-t+1)+t} \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1(iii). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) - g_5(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_1, k_2, n, t) \\ &> \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{k_2-t} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + q^{(k_2-t-1)(k_1-t-2)+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_2-1 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_2+1-t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

When $t + 2 \leq k_2 \leq 2t - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{k_2-t} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{(q^{t+1}-1)(q^{n-t}-q^{k_2-t})}{(q^{k_2-t+1}-1)(q^{n-t}-1)} \geq \frac{q^{t+1}-1}{q^t-1} \cdot \frac{q^{n-t}-q^{t-1}}{q^{n-t}-1} \\ &> \left(q - \frac{q-1}{q^t-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{q^{t-1}-1}{q^{n-t}-1} \right) > q - \frac{q-1}{q^t-1} - \frac{q(q^{t-1}-1)}{q^{n-t}-1} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

from $\frac{q(q^{t-1}-1)}{q^{n-t}-1} \leq \frac{q(q^{t-1}-1)}{q^t-1} = 1 - \frac{q-1}{q^t-1}$. Thus $g_5(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ by (24).

Assume that $k_2 = 2t$. Since

$$q^{t(k_1-t-2)} \begin{bmatrix} n-2t-1 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{k_1-t-3} \frac{q^t(q^{n-2t-1-i}-1)}{q^{n-t-2-i}-1} \geq 1$$

and

$$\frac{q^{n-t}-1}{q^2-1} \cdot \frac{q^{k_1-t-1}-1}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \cdot q^{-k_1+t+3} \geq q^{n-t-2} \cdot q^{k_1-n-1} \cdot q^{-k_1+t+3} = 1,$$

by (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) - g_5(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_1, k_2, n, t)) \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ t \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ & > \frac{q^t(q^{n-2t}-1)}{q^{n-t}-1} - 1 + q^{(t-1)(k_1-t-2)+1} \cdot \frac{q^t-1}{q^2-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-2t-1 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ & = -\frac{q^t-1}{q^{n-t}-1} + \frac{q^t-1}{q^2-1} \cdot \frac{q^{k_1-t-1}-1}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \cdot q^{-k_1+t+3} \cdot q^{t(k_1-t-2)} \begin{bmatrix} n-2t-1 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ & \geq \frac{q^t-1}{q^{n-t}-1} \left(-1 + \frac{q^{n-t}-1}{q^2-1} \cdot \frac{q^{k_1-t-1}-1}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \cdot q^{-k_1+t+3} \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that $g_5(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ holds.

Therefore, the required result follows. \square

Lemma 5.4 *If $k_2 = t + 1$, and $(k_1, k_2) \neq (2, 2)$, $(3, 2)$ or $(4, 2)$, then $g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} - q^{2(k_1-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{k_1-t} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{q^{n-t}-q^{k_1-t}}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \right)$$

and $\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1$, implying that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} - q^{2(k_1-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{q^{n-t}-q^{k_1-t}}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \right) \left(q \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \left(q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \right).$$

Set

$$g'(k_1, k_2, n, t) = (g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) - g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)) \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'(k_1, k_2, n, t) &= q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 - \left(1 + \frac{q^{n-t}-q^{k_1-t}}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \right) \left(q \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= q^4 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^3 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - q^{k_1-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{q^{n-t}-q^{k_1-t}}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \cdot \left(1 + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

due to

$$\begin{aligned}
& q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 - \left(q \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= q \left(q \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \right) \left(q \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \right) + 1 - \left(q^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q + 1 + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= q^3 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q^4 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^3 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $k_1 \geq 5$ if $t = 1$. Since $\begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \geq q^{t-1}$ and $\frac{q^{n-t}-q^{k_1-t}}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \leq q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(k_1, k_2, n, t) &\geq q^{4+(t-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - q^{k_1-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - q \\
&= q(q-1)^{-1} (q^{n-1} - q^{n-t} - q^{t+2} + q^{k_1-t} - q + 1) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the desired result follows. \square

Lemma 5.5 *We have*

$$\max\{f_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_4(k_1, k_2, n, t), f_3(k_2, k_1, n, t)f_4(k_2, k_1, n, t)\} < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t).$$

Proof. Assume that $(k, \ell) \in \{(k_1, k_2), (k_2, k_1)\}$. Since $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3 = k + \ell + t + 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{\ell-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ \ell-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix}^{-1} &= q^{\ell-t+1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{(q^{\ell-t}-1)(q^{n-\ell}-1)}{(q^{n-t}-1)(q^{n-t-1}-1)} \\
&\leq q^2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{\ell-t}-1}{q^{n-t}-1} \cdot \frac{q^{n-t-1}-q^{\ell-t-1}}{q^{n-t-1}-1} \\
&\leq q^{2+t+k-t+\ell-n} \leq q^{-1-t} \leq q^{-2},
\end{aligned}$$

implying that $f_4(k, \ell, n, t) \leq (1+q^{-2}) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix}$. From $g_2(k_2, n, t) \geq \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) - f_3(k, \ell, n, t)f_4(k, \ell, n, t) \\
&> g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} - f_3(k, \ell, n, t) \cdot (1+q^{-2}) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ \ell-t \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

When $(k, \ell) = (k_1, k_2)$, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (1+q^{-2}) \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q^{k_2-t} - q^{-2} \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \geq q^{k_2-t} - q^{-2+k_2-t} > q^{k_2-t-1},$$

by (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) - f_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)) \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\
&> \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{n-t-1}-1}{q^{k_1-t-1}-1} - q \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \left(\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{n-t-1}-1}{q^{k_1-t-1}-1} + q^{2(k_2-t)} \right) \cdot (1+q^{-2}) \\
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (1+q^{-2}) \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{q^{n-t-1}-1}{q^{k_1-t-1}-1} - q \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - (1+q^{-2})q^{2(k_2-t)} \\
&> q^{k_2-t-1} \cdot q^{n-k_1} - q^{2(k_2-t)+1} - (1+q^{-2})q^{2(k_2-t)} \\
&> q^{2k_2+2} - 2q^{2(k_2-t)+1} \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

implying that $f_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$ holds. When $(k, \ell) = (k_2, k_1)$, by (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) - f_3(k_2, k_1, n, t)f_4(k_2, k_1, n, t)) \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\
& > \frac{q^{k_2-t+1} - 1}{q^{k_2-t} - 1} - \frac{q(q^{k_2-t+1} - 1)(q^{k_1-t-1} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)} - (1 + q^{-2}) \left(1 + \frac{q^{2(k_1-t)}(q-1)(q^{k_2-t-1} - 1)}{(q^{k_1-t} - 1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)} \right) \\
& > q - (1 + q^{-2}) - q^{1+(k_2-t)+(k_1-n)} - (1 + q^{-2})q^{2(k_1-t)+(1-k_1+t)+(k_2-n)} \\
& \geq q - 1 - q^{-2} - (2 + q^{-2}) \cdot q^{-2t-2} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and $f_3(k_2, k_1, n, t)f_4(k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$ holds. \square

Lemma 5.6 *If $k_1 > k_2$, then $g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t)g_2(k_1, n, t) < g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 5.1, we have $g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) \leq g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)$ and $g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t) \leq g_5(k_2, k_1, n, t) \leq \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) - g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t)g_2(k_1, n, t) \\
& = \left(g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} - g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
& \quad + \left(g_1(k_1, k_2, n, t) \cdot q^{k_2+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - g_1(k_2, k_1, n, t) \cdot q^{k_1+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
& \geq \left(q^{(k_1+1-t)(k_2-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_1-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} - q^{(k_2+1-t)(k_1-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_2-1 \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
& \quad + q^{k_2+1-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) - \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{k_1-k_2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q^{(k_1+1-t)(k_2-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_1-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix}}{q^{(k_2+1-t)(k_1-t)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k_2-1 \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k_2-t-1} (q^{n-k_1-1-i} - 1) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k_1-t-1} (q^{n-t-j} - 1)}{q^{k_1-k_2} \prod_{i=0}^{k_1-t-1} (q^{n-k_2-1-i} - 1) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k_2-t-1} (q^{n-t-j} - 1)} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{j=k_2-t}^{k_1-t-1} (q^{n-t-j} - 1)}{\prod_{i=0}^{k_1-k_2-1} (q^{n-k_2-i} - q)} > 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) - \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{k_1-k_2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\
& = \frac{q^{n-t-1} - 1}{q^{k_1-t-1} - 1} - \frac{q(q^{k_2-t} - 1)}{q^2 - 1} - \frac{q^{k_1-k_2}(q^{k_1-t+1} - 1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)}{(q^{k_2-t+1} - 1)(q^{n-k_2} - 1)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k_1-k_2-2} \frac{q^{k_1-t-2-j} - 1}{q^{n-k_2-1-j} - 1} \\
& \geq q^{n-k_1} - q^{k_2-t} - q^{(k_1-k_2)+(k_1-k_2+1)+(k_2-t)+(k_1-k_2-1)(k_1+k_2-t-1-n)} \\
& \geq q^{k_2+t+3} - q^{k_2-t} - q^{2k_1-k_2+1-t+(k_1-k_2-1)(-2t-4)} \\
& = q^{k_2+t+3} - q^{k_2-t} - q^{k_2-t+3+(k_1-k_2-1)(-2t-2)} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the required result follows. \square

Lemma 5.7 *If $k_1 > k_2$, then $g_3(k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t) - g_3(k_2, k_1, n, t)}{\begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} &= \frac{q^{n-t} - q^{k_2-t}}{q^{k_2-t} - 1} - \frac{q^{n-t} - q^{k_1-t}}{q^{k_1-t} - 1} \\ &= \frac{(q^{n-t} - 1)(q^{k_1-t} - q^{k_2-t})}{(q^{k_2-t} - 1)(q^{k_1-t} - 1)} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the required result follows. \square

Lemma 5.8 *We have $\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} f_2(t+2, k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $f_2(t+2, k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)$. Since $\frac{1}{q+1} + \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} < 1 + \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \leq q^{2(t+1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) - f_2(t+2, k_2, k_1, n, t)) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{q^{n-t-1} - 1}{q^{k_1-t-1} - 1} - \frac{q(q^{k_2-t} - 1)}{(q+1)(q-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &> \frac{q^{n-t-1} - 1}{q^{k_1-t-1} - 1} - \frac{q^{k_2-t+1}}{q+1} - q^{k_2-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &> q^{n-k_1} - q^{2(t+1)+k_2-t+1} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$. Therefore the desired result follows. \square

Lemma 5.9 *The following hold.*

- (i) *If $k_2 \leq 2t$, then $\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} f_2(t+2, k_1, k_2, n, t) < g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)$.*
- (ii) *If $k_2 \geq 2t+1$, then $\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} f_2(t+2, k_1, k_2, n, t) < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. (i) Since

$$\begin{aligned} &(q^{n-t} - q^{k_2-t})(q^2 - 1) - (q^{n-t} - 1)(q^{t+2} - 1)q^{-t-1} \\ &= q^{n-t+2} - q^{n-t} - q^{k_2-t+2} + q^{k_2-t} - q^{n-t+1} + q^{n-2t-1} + q - q^{-t-1} \\ &= q^{n-t+2} - q^{n-t+1} - q^{n-t} + q^{k_2-t} + q^{n-2t-1} - q^{k_2-t+2} + q - q^{-t-1} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\frac{q^{k_2-t}(q^{n-k_2}-1)(q^2-1)}{(q^{n-t}-1)(q^{t+2}-1)} > q^{-t-1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_3(k_1, k_2, n, t)}{\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} f_2(t+2, k_1, k_2, n, t)} &> \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{k_2-t} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_2-t-2 \end{bmatrix}} \\ &= \frac{(q^{k_1-t} - 1)q^{k_2-t}(q^2 - 1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)(q^{n-k_2} - 1)}{(q^{n-t} - 1)(q^{t+2} - 1)(q^{k_2-t} - 1)(q^{k_2-t-1} - 1)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-2} \\ &> \frac{q^{k_1-t} - 1}{q^{k_2-t} - 1} \cdot \frac{q^{n-t-1} - 1}{q^{k_2-t-1} - 1} \cdot q^{-t-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-2} \\ &> q^{(k_1-k_2)+(n-k_2)+(-t-1)-2(k_1-t+1)} = q^{n-2k_2-k_1+t-3} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

due to $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$ and $k_2 \leq 2t$, and (i) holds.

(ii) By $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 2t + 1$ and Lemma 2.1 (ii) and (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_2-t-2 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}} &= \frac{q^{t+2} - 1}{q^{k_2-t+1} - 1} \cdot \frac{q^{t+1} - 1}{q^{k_1-t} - 1} \cdot \frac{q^{k_2-t-1} - 1}{q^{k_1-t-1} - 1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \\ &< q^{(2t-k_2+1)+(2t-k_1+1)+(k_2-k_1)+2(k_1-t+1)} = q^{2t+4} \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} f_2(t+2, k_1, k_2, n, t) &= \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_2-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &< q^{2t+4} \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t) \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_1-t \end{bmatrix} f_2(t+2, k_1, k_2, n, t)}{\begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k_1-t-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}} &> \frac{(q+1)(q-1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)}{(q^{k_2-t} - 1)(q^{k_1-t-1} - 1)} - q - q^{2t+4} \\ &> (q+1)q^{(-k_2+t)+(n-k_1)} - q - q^{2t+4} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

from $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$, and (ii) holds. \square

Lemma 5.10 *We have $f_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_1(k_2, k_1, n, t) < g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t)$.*

Proof. Since $g_2(k_2, n, t) > \begin{bmatrix} n-t \\ k_2-t \end{bmatrix}$, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{g_4(k_1, k_2, n, t)g_2(k_2, n, t) - f_1(k_1, k_2, n, t)f_1(k_2, k_1, n, t)}{\begin{bmatrix} k_1-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_2-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_1-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k_2-t-1 \end{bmatrix}} \\ &> \frac{(q^{n-t} - 1)(q-1)}{(q^{k_2-t} - 1)(q^{k_1-t+1} - 1)} - \frac{q(q^{n-t} - 1)(q^{k_1-t-1} - 1)}{(q+1)(q^{n-t-1} - 1)(q^{k_1-t+1} - 1)} - \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \\ &\geq q^{n-k_2-k_1+t-1} - 1 - \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \\ &= (q-1)^{-2}((q^{n-k_2-k_1+t-1} - 1)(q^2 - 2q) + q^{n-k_2-k_1+t-1} - 1 - q^{2t+2} + 2q^{t+1} - 1) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to $n \geq k_1 + k_2 + t + 3$ and $t \geq 1$. Therefore, the required result follows. \square

Acknowledgement

M. Lu is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12171272), B. Lv is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071039, 12131011), K. Wang is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2020YFA0712900) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071039, 12131011).

References

- [1] N. Alon, L. Babai and H. Suzuki, Multilinear polynomials and Frankl-Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson type intersection theorems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 58 (1991) 165–180.

- [2] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, A. Chowdhury, P. Frankl, T. Musselsche, B. Patkós and T. Szőnyi, A Hilton-Milner theorem for vector spaces, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (2010) #R71.
- [3] P. Borg, The maximum product of weights of cross-intersecting families, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 94 (2016) 993–1018.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989.
- [5] M. Cao, B. Lv, K. Wang and S. Zhou, Non-trivial t -intersecting families for vector spaces, arXiv:2007.11767.
- [6] A. Chowdhury and B. Patkós, Shadows and intersections in vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 117 (2010) 1095–1106.
- [7] M. Deza and P. Frankl, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem—22 years later, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* 4 (1983) 419–431.
- [8] J. D’haeseleer, Hilton-Milner results in projective and affine spaces, arXiv:2007.15851.
- [9] P. Frankl and R. Graham, Intersection theorems for vector spaces, *European J. Combin.* 6 (1985) 183–187.
- [10] P. Frankl and R. Wilson, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 43(2) (1986) 228–236.
- [11] P. Frankl and N. Tokushige, Invitation to intersection problems for finite sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 144 (2016) 157–211.
- [12] P. Frankl and N. Tokushige, Extremal Problems for Finite Sets, American Mathematical Soc., 2018.
- [13] C. Godsil and K. Meagher, Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorems: Algebraic Approaches, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [14] W.N. Hsieh, Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces, *Discrete Math.* 12(1) (1975) 1–16.
- [15] W.N. Hsieh, Families of intersecting finite vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 18 (1975) 252–261.
- [16] X. Kong, Y. Xi, B. Qian and G. Ge, Inverse problems of the Erdős-Ko-Rado type theorems for families of vector spaces and permutations, *Sci. China Math.* (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-020-1829-6>.
- [17] H. Lefmann, On families in finite lattices, *European J. Combin.* 11 (1990) 165–179.
- [18] J. O’Neill and J. Verstraëte, Non-trivial d -wise intersecting family, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 178 (2021) 105369.
- [19] S. Suda and H. Tanaka, A cross-intersection theorem for vector spaces based on semidefinite programming, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 46 (2014) 342–348.

- [20] H. Tanaka, Classification of subsets with minimal width and dual width in Grassmann, bilinear forms and dual polar graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 113(5) (2006) 903–910.
- [21] N. Tokushige, The eigenvalue method for cross t -intersecting families, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 38 (2013) 653–662.
- [22] K. Wang, J. Guo and F. Li, Singular linear space and its applications, *Finite Fields Appl.* 17 (2011) 395–406.
- [23] J. Wang, H. Zhang, Nontrivial independent sets of bipartite graphs and cross-intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 120 (2013) 129–141.
- [24] J. Xiao, J. Liu and S. Zhang, Families of vector spaces with r -wise \mathcal{L} -intersections, *Discrete Math.* 341 (2018) 1041–1054.