

# $n$ -BEST KERNEL APPROXIMATION IN REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACES

TAO QIAN

**ABSTRACT.** By making a seminal use of the maximum modulus principle of holomorphic functions we prove existence of  $n$ -best kernel approximation for a wide class of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit disc, and for the corresponding class of Bochner type spaces of stochastic processes. This study thus generalizes the classical result of  $n$ -best rational approximation for the Hardy space and a recent result of  $n$ -best kernel approximation for the weighted Bergman spaces of the unit disc. The type of approximations have significant applications to signal and image processing and system identification, as well as to numerical solutions of the classical and the stochastic type integral and differential equations.

*Keywords:* Weighted Bergman space, Weighted Hardy space, Maximum Modulus Principle,  $n$ -best rational approximation,  $n$ -best kernel approximation, Bochner space

MSC 2020: 41A50; 46E22; 30H10; 30H20

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A main form of application of mathematical analysis is approximation by basic functions of the underlying space. Various forms and topics of polynomial and rational approximations have been studied, including convergence models, capacity and rates, existence and uniqueness of best approximation, as well as algorithms, etc. See for instance the selected list of the literature [63, 19, 14, 54, 12, 30, 56, 28, 10, 11, 9, 18, 67, 8, 58, 55] and the references therein. The present study will concentrate in approximation of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHSs) of complex holomorphic functions, the latter being related to  $Z$ -transforms of system transfer functions. In RKHSs the most natural basic functions are the parameterized reproducing kernels. Tasks of signal and image processing are based on effective reconstruction of a given signal or image. To measure reconstruction efficiency, among the most commonly used, there are two dual models. One is, for a previously given  $\epsilon$ , to determine the smallest integer  $n$  such that the difference, measured in the underlying space norm, between the given function and some  $n$ -linear combination of the basic functions is already dominated by  $\epsilon$ . The second model is, for a given resource limitation represented by a natural integer  $n$ , to find an  $n$ -tuple of parameterized basic functions and an  $n$ -tuple of coefficients such that the  $n$ -linear combination that they compose gives rise to the best possible approximation to the given function. The second model is abbreviated as  *$n$ -best approximation* or more briefly  *$n$ -best problem*. The present paper restricts to study the second model, and only the existence part of the  $n$ -best solutions. The corresponding algorithm part for actually finding one or all  $n$ -best solutions, as a

---

Email: tqian@must.edu.mo ORCID: 0000-0002-8780-9958

Macau University of Science and Technology, (Macau Center for Mathematical Sciences), Macau, China  
Funded by The Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (File no. 0123/2018/A3).

consequence of the technical results of the existence proof, will be separately studied.

We will be based on the general concept reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS). In 1930, to study the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \alpha(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \beta(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \gamma(x, y)u = 0,$$

where  $\alpha(x, y), \beta(x, y), \gamma(x, y) \in C^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded region,  $\alpha(x, y), \beta(x, y), \gamma(x, y) \in C^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega$  are all real-analytic functions, S. Bergman proposed the reproducing kernel concept and gave the related formulas. The theoretical study of reproducing kernel may be divided into two stages. Of which the first started from J. Mercer ([36]), at the beginning of the 20th century, who in his studies of integral equations brought up the concept positive definite kernel:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n K(y_i, y_j) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0.$$

The second stage is the development by E. H. Moore ([37]), around 1930's, who proved that every positive Hermitian matrix induces a Hilbert space that has a kernel function  $K(x, y)$  enjoying the property

$$f(y) = \langle f, K(\cdot, y) \rangle.$$

The same phenomenon was observed by S. Bochner in the convolution kernel form connected to Fourier theory ([7]). Around 1940's the most popular reproducing kernels were the Bergman type ones. Bergman developed the idea of S. Zaremba ([70]) to solve boundary value problems by using reproducing kernels, showing that reproducing kernels are effective tools to solve elliptic boundary value problems.

Combined with various science and engineering objects there have developed new theories and algorithms, including signal processing ([3]), system identification ([4, 39, 40, 48]), scholastics processing ([25, 44, 51, 66]), estimation theory ([22, 42]), wavelet transform ([57]), reproducing kernel particle method ([26, 24, 23, 27, 17, 61]), the moving least-square reproducing kernel method ([32, 33]), multi-scale reproducing kernel particle methods ([31]), etc., with ample applications.

F. M. Larkin ([38]) and M. M. Chawla ([13]) studied the approximation aspect. Formats of interpolation with reproducing kernel have been used to numerical solutions of partial differential equations and integral equations. The latest reproducing kernel approximation methodology, called adaptive Fourier decomposition (AFD), uses the maximal energy extraction principle similar to what is in greedy algorithm or matching pursuit ([41, 59]). Greedy algorithms are based on general Hilbert space theory with a dictionary ([60]). The AFD methods, originated from analytic positive frequency decomposition, validate attainability of the best suited parameters. Technically, AFDs are based on delicate mathematical analysis, and, in particular, allow repeating selection of parameters through defining multiple kernels, when necessary. The technical treatment is a blend of functional analysis, complex analysis and harmonic analytic. Recent studies on approximation in Hardy spaces, including the latest  $n$ -best and stochastic AFD approximations, may be found in a sequence of articles [45, 43, 1, 2, 15, 16, 47, 62, 44, 51]. Some early studies

of adaptive Fourier decomposition in Bergman and weighted Bergman spaces are given in [49, 50]. Celebrating results for the  $n$ -best type approximation in weighted Bergman spaces are presented in [52].

Recent studies given by Ball et al.'s papers ([5, 6]) show that approximations of Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions have intimate connections with system identification and in particular with time-variant linear systems. In the Hardy space case there holds the Sz.-Nagy-Foias model theory for  $C_0$  contraction operators. The model theory combined with the Burling-Lax theorem addresses a correspondence between any two of the four kinds of objects: shift invariant subspaces, operator-valued inner functions, conservative discrete-time input/state/output linear system, and  $C_0$  Hilbert-space contraction operators. The studies of [5] and [6] extend such correspondence to weighted Bergman and weighted Hardy spaces. Under such frame work and via the  $Z$ -transform of the system,  $n$ -best approximation in each of the mentioned spaces determines the optimal shift invariant subspaces for effective and efficient system identification.

To complete the introduction for science and engineering motivations and involvements, we at last, but not least, mention that there have been forerunner but recent developments on the stochastic  $n$ -best model as cited in [44, 51, 66]. Stochastic  $n$ -best approximation of our general setting will be given in §5. Stochastic AFD offers a new approach to stochastic processes, including solutions of stochastic partial differential equations. It, in particular, stands as an alternative method to the Karhunen-Loève decomposition together with several advantages. Further developments along this direction are to be reported in separate and forthcoming papers.

Next, we introduce the related preliminary knowledge and raise the  $n$ -best problem in our general setting. The Hardy space is defined

$$\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D}) = \{f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : f \text{ is analytic in } \mathbf{D} \text{ and } \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^2 < \infty\}.$$

As a fundamental result, functions in the Hardy space have non-tangential boundary limits a.e. on the unit circle  $\partial\mathbf{D}$ . The Hardy space is isometric to the function space  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\partial\mathbf{D}}$  consisting of the non-tangential limiting functions. One of the alternative definitions of the space  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\partial\mathbf{D}}$  is

$$\mathbb{H}^2_{\partial\mathbf{D}} = \{f : \partial\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : f \in L^2(\partial\mathbf{D}), \quad f(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikt}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

$\mathbb{H}^2_{\partial\mathbf{D}}$  is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$  equipped with the inner product

$$(1.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) \overline{g(e^{it})} dt.$$

For a given positive integer  $n$ , an ordered pair of polynomials  $(p, q)$  is called an  $n$ -admissible pair if  $p$  and  $q$  are co-prime,  $q \neq 0$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ , and both the degrees of  $p$  and  $q$  do not exceed  $n$ . The famous  $n$ -best rational approximation problem in  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$  is as follows: For  $f \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$ , find an  $n$ -admissible ordered pair  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|f - \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})} = \inf\{\|f - \frac{p}{q}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})} : (p, q) \text{ is an } n\text{-admissible pair}\}.$$

Closely related to rational approximation there exist studies on what is called Takenaka-Malmquist (TM) system, or rational orthogonal system:

$$(1.3) \quad \{B_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}(z)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1-|a_n|^2}}{1-\bar{a}_n z} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{z-a_k}{1-\bar{a}_k z} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots, \in \mathbf{D},$$

where  $B_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}(z) = e_{a_n}(z) \phi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}}(z)$ , where  $e_{a_n}$  is the normalized Szegő kernel at  $a_n$ ,

$$e_{a_n}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|a_n|^2}}{1-\bar{a}_n z},$$

and the *canonical Blaschke product* with zeros  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \phi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{z-a_k}{1-\bar{a}_k z}.$$

There further holds the relation, for  $a \in \mathbf{D}$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad e_a(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|a|^2}}{1-\bar{a}z} = \frac{k_a}{\|k_a\|},$$

where

$$k_a(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{a}z} \quad \text{and} \quad \|k_a\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|a|^2}}$$

are, respectively, the reproducing kernel of  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$  and the normalizing constant making  $\|e_a\| = 1$ .

The above formulated  $n$ -best rational approximation problem (1.2) is, in essence, equivalent to the following  *$n$ -best Blaschke form approximation* problem ([46, 47]): Let  $n$  be a given positive integer. If  $f$  itself is not an  $m$ -Blaschke form for some  $m < n$ , find a set of  $n$  parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , all in  $\mathbf{D}$ , such that

$$\|f - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, B_{a_1 \dots a_k} \rangle B_{a_1 \dots a_k}\| = \inf \left\{ \|f - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, B_{b_1 \dots b_k} \rangle B_{b_1 \dots b_k}\| : b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{D} \right\}.$$

The formulation of the problem allows multiplicity of the zeros  $a_k$ 's. A solution of (1.6) will be referred as an “ $n$ -best Blaschke form approximation” ([47, 46]). Regardless unimodular constants (see Lemma 3.2 below), an  $n$ -TM system is the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of a set of  $n$  Szegő kernels, or multiple kernels (formulated in (2.11) below) when the parameters are with multiplicities. See explanations in §2). The  $n$ -best Blaschke form approximation is, again, equivalent with the  *$n$ -best kernel approximation* formulated as: Find  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n$  and  $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad \|f - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{k}_{a_j}\| = \inf \left\{ \|f - \sum_{j=1}^n c'_j k_{a'_j}\| : a'_1, \dots, a'_n \in \mathbf{D} \right. \\ \left. \text{are distinct, and } c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathbf{C} \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{k}_{a_j}$  are multiple kernels (see (2.11)).

Considerable amount of studies have been devoted to the above problem with the three equivalent forms. See [63, 64, 11, 9, 47, 46, 39, 52]. Amongst, researchers have obtained

several new proofs for existence of a solution to (1.2). The motivation of exploring new proofs of the existence, including that of the author himself's, would be at least two-fold: (i) The known existence proofs for the Hardy space case do not seem to be adaptable to prove existence of an  $n$ -best approximation in any non-Hardy spaces, including weighted Bergman spaces and weighted Hardy spaces. In fact, before the work [52] whether there is a solution to the problem in any non-Hardy space was unknown; and (ii) On top of the existence, an ultimate algorithm of finding even one  $n$ -best solution of (1.2) has yet to be sought: The commonly adopted empirical algorithms are all local that cannot theoretically avoid the possibility of sinking into a local minimum. See [9, 11, 45, 43, 49, 53] and the references therein. As an extension of the traditional Fourier method, both the  $n$ -best and the repeated one-by-one types have been found to have effective applications in signal and image processing and system identification ([48, 35, 39]).

The question for  $n$ -best kernel approximation can be raised in general RKHSs, or even in Hilbert spaces with a dictionary. The recently published new proof of existence of  $n$ -best approximation in the Hardy space case ([65]) uses the maximum modulus principle of holomorphic functions as a crucial technical trick. Inspired by this complex analysis method, through proving some necessary new pointwise estimations of the kernels of the involved zero- and Blaschke-weighted spaces, the study [52] was able to prove existence of the  $n$ -best kernel approximation of all the weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ .

The study in the present paper is directly motivated by the recent new proofs of the existence on the Hardy space ([62]) and one on the weighted Bergman spaces ([52]). We achieve a clever and concise proof for the existence of the  $n$ -best kernel approximation for a large class of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, that is in particular strictly larger than that of the Bergman ones, enclosing all the weighted Hardy spaces.

Based on further analysis of the orthogonalization projection operator  $Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$  and factorization of higher order generalized backward shift operators  $Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} / \phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}$  (see §3), the present paper is able to avoid use of the pointwise estimations of the reproducing kernels of the involved zero spaces and the Blaschke weighted spaces. For RKHSs more general than the Bergman ones such kernel estimations may be impossible. As a result, we are able to assert existence of the  $n$ -best problem for a class of RKHSs more general than the weighted Hardy spaces. Precisely, we can declare existence of solutions of the  $n$ -best kernel approximation for all RKHSs of holomorphic functions in  $\mathbf{D}$  that satisfy the following three conditions (see Theorem 2.1):

- (i) The reproducing kernel  $K(z, w)$  enjoys *the analyticity condition*: When  $w \in \mathbf{D}$  is fixed,  $K(z, w)$  is analytic for  $z$  in a neighbourhood of the closed unit disc  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ , and, when  $z \in \mathbf{D}$  is fixed,  $K(z, w)$  is anti-analytic for  $w$  in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ ;
- (ii) The kernel  $K(z, w)$  satisfies *the infinite-norm-property at the boundary*, that is,

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{w \rightarrow \partial \mathbf{D}} \|K_w\| = \infty;$$

and,

(iii)  $K(z, w)$  satisfies *the uniformly boundedness condition*

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{|K_w(z)|}{\|K_w\|^2} \leq C_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad w, z \in \mathbf{D},$$

where  $C_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a constant depending on the space.

There is an ordered sub-family,  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ , called the Hardy-Sobolev spaces, within the family of weighted Hardy spaces ([5, 6], also see §4). The index range  $\beta < 0$  corresponds to the weighted Bergman spaces including the standard Bergman space case for  $\beta = -1$  whose  $n$ -best existence results are proved in [52]. The  $\beta = 0$  case corresponds to the Hardy space [65]. The  $n$ -best existence results for the Hardy-Sobolev spaces for  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  ( $\beta = 1$  corresponds to the Dirichlet space) are obtained as a consequence of the main result of this paper (see §4). The Hardy-Sobolev spaces for  $\beta > 1$  do not fall into the category of the RKHSs considered in the main theorem of this paper, but we show that they are governed by the Sobolev Embedding Theorem (also see [50]).

The writing plan of the paper is as follows. In §2 we discuss in detail the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of reproducing kernels that induces the concept multiple kernels. It is in terms of the multiple kernel concept that the  $n$ -best problem is precisely formulated. In §3 our main result, Theorem 2.1, on existence of the  $n$ -best approximation of a wide class of RKHSs is proved through a number of technical lemmas in relation to orthogonal projections and analysis of the involved reproducing kernels. In §4, as examples of using the main result Theorem 2.1, we give re-proofs of the Hardy and the weighted Bergman space results of [65] and [52], and to prove, using the unified method, the  $n$ -best existence result for the range  $-\infty < \beta \leq 1$  of the Hardy-Sobolev spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$ , in which the results for the range  $(-\infty, 1]$  are known to be equivalent to the weighted Bergman and the Hardy space cases. The results for the range  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  are new as applications of Theorem 2.1. We include a remark in §4 concerning the range  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  through invoking the Sobolev Embedding theorem that provides complete understanding to the  $n$ -best issue for the whole range  $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ . In §5 we extend Theorem 2.1 to the stochastic signal case based on the Bochner type Hilbert space setting. For the existing related studies in the stochastic signal direction we refer to [44] and [51]. To the end of §5 we include a remark for the impact of this study on obtaining algorithms in finding the  $n$ -best solutions.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a RKHS of holomorphic functions in  $\mathbf{D}$  with reproducing kernel  $K_w, w \in \mathbf{D}$ , satisfying the conditions (i),(ii),(iii) set in §1. Throughout the paper  $n$  is a fixed positive integer. Let  $Z_k = (a_1, \dots, a_k), 1 \leq k \leq n$ , be an ordered  $k$ -tuple of complex numbers in  $\mathbf{D}$  allowing multiplicity.

Denote by  $l(a_k)$  the multiple of  $a_k$  in the  $k$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_k), k \leq n$ . Denote

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{K}_{a_k}(z) = \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\bar{w}} \right)^{l(a_k)-1} K_w(z) \right]_{w=a_k}.$$

We will call  $\tilde{K}_{a_k}$  the *multiple reproducing kernel corresponding to*  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . It is easy to show, for  $f$  being in the holomorphic function space, there holds

$$(2.10) \quad \langle f, \tilde{K}_{a_k} \rangle = f^{(l(a_k)-1)}(a_k).$$

The consecutive derivatives of the kernel function correspond to repeating use of kernel parameters.

In the Hardy space case, for instance,  $k_a(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{a}z}$ , and

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{k}_{a_k}(z) = \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\bar{w}} \right)^{l(a_k)-1} k_w(z) \right]_{w=a_k} = \frac{l!\bar{a}^l}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{l+1}}.$$

In general cases, let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be any  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Denote by  $(E_{a_1}, \dots, E_{a_1 \dots a_m})$  the Grand-Schmidt orthonormalization of  $(\tilde{K}_{a_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{a_m})$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, n$ , given by

$$(2.12) \quad E_{a_1 \dots a_m}(z) = \frac{\tilde{K}_{a_m}(z) - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \langle \tilde{K}_{a_m}, E_{a_1 \dots a_l} \rangle E_{a_1 \dots a_l}(z)}{\sqrt{\|\tilde{K}_{a_m}\|^2 - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} |\langle \tilde{K}_{a_m}, E_{a_1 \dots a_l} \rangle|^2}}.$$

We will denote the orthogonal projection of  $f$  into the linear subspace  $X$  by  $P_X(f)$ . The projection into the orthogonal complement of  $X$  is denoted  $Q_X = I - P_X$ . In particular, denote by  $P_{a_1 \dots a_m}$  the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\text{span}\{\tilde{K}_{a_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{a_m}\}$ , and by  $Q_{a_1 \dots a_m} = I - P_{a_1 \dots a_m}$ , the projection into the orthogonal complement subspace of  $\text{span}\{\tilde{K}_{a_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{a_m}\}$ . It is recognized that  $Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$  corresponds to the Gram-Schmidt process, precisely,

$$(2.13) \quad E_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = \frac{Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}}(\tilde{K}_{a_k})}{\|Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}}(\tilde{K}_{a_k})\|}.$$

We have been using the notation  $\{B_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for the TM system in the Hardy space case. Now we use  $\{E_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of the multiple reproducing kernels  $\{\tilde{K}_{a_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  given by (2.12) and (2.13). In the classical Hardy space case they are essentially the same, as, in fact,  $E_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = c_k B_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$ , where  $c_k$  are unimodular constants,  $k = 1, \dots$ , (see Lemma 3.2 and the relevant references). None of the  $E_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$  of any holomorphic Hilbert spaces other than the Hardy space seem to have such nice construction: the orthonormalization of the Szegő kernel  $k_{a_k}$  with respect to the span of  $\{B_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{j-1}}\}_{j=1}^{k-1}$ , which is  $B_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$ , is just the product of the added normalized Szegő kernel  $e_{a_k}$  with the canonical Blaschke  $\phi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}}$ . This extraordinary property, together with the complex unimodular property of Blaschke products on the circle, as well as the equivalent norm property restricted to the circle, offer decisive conveniences in developing the Hardy space theory in contrast with that of the non-Hardy space cases. The  $n$ -best kernel approximation problem in the general context is formulated as follows. Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Whether there exist, and if yes, how to find computationally  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , all in  $\mathbf{D}$ , such that

$$(2.14) \quad d_f = d_f(n) \triangleq \|f - P_{a_1 \dots a_n} f\| = \inf\{\|f - P_{b_1 \dots b_n} f\| : (b_1 \dots b_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n\}?$$

We will prove the following

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a RKHS of holomorphic functions satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii), and  $n$  is a positive integer. Then there must hold one of the following two*

cases: (1)  $f$  is a linear combination of  $\tilde{K}_{b_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{b_{m_1}}$  for some  $m_1$ -tuple  $(b_1, \dots, b_{m_1}) \in \mathbf{D}^{m_1}, m_1 \leq n$ ; or (2) there exists an  $n$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n$  such that (2.14) holds for a positive infimum  $d_f > 0$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} d_f &= \|f - \sum_{l=1}^n \langle f, E_{a_1 \dots a_l} \rangle E_{a_1 \dots a_l}\| \\ (2.15) \quad &= \inf \left\{ \|f - \sum_{l=1}^n \langle f, E_{b_1 \dots b_l} \rangle E_{b_1 \dots b_l}\| : (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n$  gives rise to equality (2.15) if and only if

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{l=1}^n |\langle f, E_{a_1 \dots a_l} \rangle|^2 = \sup \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^n |\langle f, E_{b_1 \dots b_l} \rangle|^2, (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n \right\}.$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We will use the notation  $f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} f$ , where  $a_j, j = 1, \dots, k$ , are allowed to repeat.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $a_j$  is among  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , then  $f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}(a_j) = 0$ , including the multiplicity.*

**Proof.** The proof is straightforward if  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are all different, that is  $l(a_j) = 1, j = 1, \dots, k$ . In the case, owing to the self-adjoint property of the projection operators and the orthogonality gained from G-S process, for  $a_j$  being among  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}(a_j) &= \langle Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} f, K_{a_j} \rangle \\ &= \langle f, Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} K_{a_j} \rangle \\ &= \langle f, (I - P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}) K_{a_j} \rangle \\ &= \langle f, 0 \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_j$  have multiplicity  $l(a_j) > 1$ , and  $a_{s_1} = a_{s_2} = \dots = a_{s_{l(a_j)}} = a_j$ . For  $m = 1, \dots, l(a_j)$ , in view of (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{m-1} [f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}](a_j) &= \left\langle \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{m-1} Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} f, K_{a_j} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f, Q_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} \left( \frac{d}{d\bar{w}} \right)^{m-1} K_w(z)|_{w=a_j} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f, (I - P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}) \tilde{K}_{a_j} \right\rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$  has  $l(a_j)$ -multiple zero at  $a_j$ . □

The new crucial concepts of this methodology include *higher order generalized backward shift operator*

$$\frac{Q_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(f)(z)}{\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(z)}$$

and its factorization (see Lemma 3.2 below). The order-1 generalized backward shift operator  $Q_a/[1-\bar{a}z]$  applied to a test function  $f$  gives rise to what we call reduced remainder ([45]) playing a central role in the formulation of AFD.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  be complex numbers in  $\mathbf{D}$  allowing multiplicity. Then*

(1) *In the general  $\mathcal{H}$  space setting the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization*

$$(3.17) E_{a_1 \dots a_m}(z) = \frac{Q_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(K_{a_m})(z)}{\|Q_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(K_{a_m})\|} = \frac{\tilde{K}_{a_m}(z) - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \langle \tilde{K}_{a_m}, E_{a_1 \dots a_l} \rangle E_{a_1 \dots a_l}(z)}{\|\tilde{K}_{a_m} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \langle \tilde{K}_{a_m}, E_{a_1 \dots a_l} \rangle E_{a_1 \dots a_l}\|}.$$

(2) *In the Hardy space case, the last function (3.17) is equal to  $e^{ic}e_{a_m}(z)\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(z) = e^{ic}B_{a_1 \dots a_m}(z)$ , where*

$$e^{ic} = \frac{\overline{\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(a_m)}}{|\overline{\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(a_m)}|},$$

and  $e_{a_m}, \phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}$  and  $B_{a_1 \dots a_m}$  are respectively defined in (1.5), (1.4) and (1.3). Moreover,

$$(3.18) \quad \langle f, E_{a_1 \dots a_m} \rangle E_{a_1 \dots a_m} = \langle f, B_{a_1 \dots a_m} \rangle B_{a_1 \dots a_m},$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \langle f, E_{a_1 \dots a_m} \rangle = \frac{Q_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(f)(a_m)}{\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(a_m)} \sqrt{1 - |a_m|^2}.$$

(3) *In the general  $\mathcal{H}$  space setting, the higher order generalized shift operators (the reduced remainders) may be factorized, that is*

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{Q_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(f)(z)}{\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}}(z)} = \left( \frac{Q_{a_{m-1}}}{\phi_{a_{m-1}}} \circ \dots \circ \frac{Q_{a_1}}{\phi_{a_1}} \right) (f)(z).$$

**Proof.** For proofs of (1) and (2) we refer to [44]. We now prove (3). For  $k > 1$ , denote by  $g_k$  the  $k$ -reduced remainder ([45])

$$g_{k+1}(z) = \frac{g_k(z) - \langle g_k, E_{a_k} \rangle E_{a_k}(z)}{\phi_{a_k}(z)} = \left( \frac{Q_{a_k}}{\phi_{a_k}} \right) (g_k)(z),$$

where  $g_1 = f$ . Inductively there holds

$$g_{k+1}(z) = \left( \frac{Q_{a_k}}{\phi_{a_k}} \circ \frac{Q_{a_{k-1}}}{\phi_{a_{k-1}}} \right) (g_{k-1})(z) = \left( \frac{Q_{a_k}}{\phi_{a_k}} \circ \frac{Q_{a_{k-1}}}{\phi_{a_{k-1}}} \circ \dots \circ \frac{Q_{a_1}}{\phi_{a_1}} \right) (f)(z).$$

On the other hand, the AFD formulation given in [45] implies

$$Q_{a_1 \dots a_k} f = g_{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^k \phi_{a_j}.$$

We thus have

$$g_{k+1} = \frac{Q_{a_1 \dots a_k} f}{\prod_{j=1}^k \phi_{a_j}} = \left( \frac{Q_{a_k}}{\phi_{a_k}} \circ \frac{Q_{a_{k-1}}}{\phi_{a_{k-1}}} \circ \dots \circ \frac{Q_{a_1}}{\phi_{a_1}} \right) (f).$$

□

**Remark 3.3.** It is recognized that the operator  $\frac{Q_a}{\phi_a}$  is the generalized backward shift operator defined in [45]. Repeating use of the operator yields the reduced remainders  $\frac{Q_{a_1 \dots a_k} f}{\prod_{j=1}^k \phi_{a_j}}$ . The following lemma shows that the reduced remainders of a function bounded by  $M$  are still bounded with explicit bounds in terms of  $M$  and the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  involved. The result plays a crucial role in the proof of the main result of the paper.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $f$  be an analytic function in an open neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$  and*

$$|f(z)| \leq M$$

for some  $M > 0$  on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . Then for any sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbf{D}$  the reduced remainder functions

$$\frac{f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}(z)}{\phi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}(z)}$$

are analytic in an open neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$  with the bounds over  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ :

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \frac{f_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}(z)}{\phi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}(z)} \right| \leq M(1 + C_{\mathcal{H}})^k, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbf{D}},$$

where  $C_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the constant in (1.8).

**Proof.** For  $a_1 \in \mathbf{D}$  and  $z \in \partial\mathbf{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{a_1}(z)| &= |f(z) - \langle f, E_{a_1} \rangle E_{a_1}(z)| \\ &\leq |f(z)| + |f(a_1)| \frac{|K_{a_1}(z)|}{\|K_{a_1}\|^2} \\ &\leq |f(z)| + |f(a_1)| C_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq M(1 + C_{\mathcal{H}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the zero of  $\phi_{a_1}$  is a zero of  $Q_{a_1} f$ ,  $\frac{Q_{a_1} f}{\phi_{a_1}}$  is a holomorphic function in an open neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . The maximum modulus principle over  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$  gives

$$\left| \frac{Q_{a_1} f(w)}{\phi_{a_1}(w)} \right| \leq \max\{|f_{a_1}(z)| : z \in \partial\mathbf{D}\} \leq M(1 + C_{\mathcal{H}})$$

for all  $w \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . By invoking the result of (iii), Lemma 3.2, and repeating  $k$  times the above estimation for  $\frac{Q_{a_1} f}{\phi_{a_1}}$ , we obtain the bounds claimed by the lemma.  $\square$

We first prove Theorem 2.1 for  $n = 1$ . The goal of 1-best approximation amounts to finding  $a_1 \in \mathbf{D}$  such that

$$|\langle f, E_{a_1} \rangle| = \frac{|f(a_1)|}{\|K_{a_1}\|}$$

attains its global maximum over all possible choices of the parameter in  $\mathbf{D}$ . This is what we called Maximal Selection Principle (MSP) in the previous related studies ([45, 49, 50]). The proof is divided into two steps:

**Step 1:** We show that Boundary Vanishing Condition (BVC) holds in the case, that is,

$$(3.22) \quad \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} |\langle f, E_a \rangle| = 0.$$

BVC is proved here by using a density argument: We note that the given function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  may be approximated within an error  $\epsilon > 0$  by a bounded holomorphic function  $g$  as a linear combination of some parameterized reproducing kernels. Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\langle f, E_a \rangle| \leq |\langle f - g, E_a \rangle| + |\langle g, E_a \rangle| \leq \|f - g\| + \frac{|g(a)|}{\|K_a\|} \leq \epsilon + \frac{|g(a)|}{\|K_a\|}.$$

As a consequence of (1.7) and boundedness of  $g$ , the BVC (3.22) is concluded.

**Step 2:** If  $f$  is not identical with the zero function there exists  $b \in \mathbf{D}$  such that  $|\langle f, E_b \rangle| > 0$ . Denote  $|\langle f, E_b \rangle| = \delta$ . The argument in Step 1 amounts that there exists  $0 < r_1 < 1$  such that  $|a| > r_1$  implies  $|\langle f, E_a \rangle| < \delta/2$ . Therefore,

$$(3.23) \quad \max\{|\langle f, E_a \rangle| : |a| \leq r_1\} = \sup\{|\langle f, E_a \rangle| : a \in \mathbf{D}\}.$$

By invoking the theorem of global maximum attainability of a continuous function on a compact set, (3.23) shows that the global maximum of  $|\langle f, E_a \rangle|$  is attainable inside  $\mathbf{D}$ .

Now we turn directly to the general  $n > 1$  case. To begin with the proof we assume that  $f$  itself is not expressible as a linear combination of  $m_1$  reproducing kernels for  $m_1 < n$ . Based on the definition of supreme, one can find a sequence of  $n$ -tuples with mutually distinct and non-zero components (these can always be done owing to continuity of the inner product, and can at least simplify the notation),  $(a_1^{(l)}, \dots, a_n^{(l)})$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , that corresponds to a sequence of  $n$ -tuples of reproducing kernels  $(K_{a_1^{(l)}}, \dots, K_{a_n^{(l)}})$ , such that the norms of the projections  $P_{a_1^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}}(f)$  tends to the supreme (2.16). Since  $(a_1^{(l)}, \dots, a_n^{(l)}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}^n$ , through a Bolzano-Weierstrass compact argument, we may assume, without loss of generality, that the sequence of the  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1^{(l)}, \dots, a_n^{(l)})$  itself converges to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}^n$ . If we have  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  all in  $\mathbf{D}$ , then we are done due to continuity of the inner product, although may involve multiple kernels when multiplicities occur. This gives rise to the case (1) of the Theorem 2.1 for  $m_1 = n$  when  $d_f = 0$ ; and the case (2) when  $d_f > 0$ .

Now we show that if not all the limiting points  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are located within  $\mathbf{D}$ , then we have the case (1) for some  $m_1 < n$ , being contrary with our priori assumption. Assume that at least one of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are on the boundary  $\partial\mathbf{D}$ . Since the projections  $P_{a_1^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}}(f)$  and  $Q_{a_1^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}}(f)$  are irrelevant with the order, by re-ordering, when necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that  $a_1, \dots, a_{m_1}$  are in  $\mathbf{D}$ , and  $a_{m_1+1}, \dots, a_n$  are on  $\partial\mathbf{D}$ , where  $m_1 < n$ , and in particular,  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |a_n^{(l)}| = 1$ . In the case we will show

$$(3.24) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |\langle f, E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} \rangle| = 0,$$

regardless the locations of  $a_k^{(l)}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . If (3.24) can be proved, by repeating the same argument  $n - m_1$  times we result in that the latter  $n - m_1$  terms of the  $l$ -sequence of the  $n$ -tuples all have no contribution. We claim that  $d_f > 0$  cannot hold. If it were  $d_f > 0$ , then it could be further reduced, contrary with  $d_f$  being infimum. But,  $d_f$  cannot be zero either, for in such case we got that  $f$  is a linear combination of  $m_1 < n$  multiple reproducing kernels, contrary with our assumption. Thus, all that remain to be proved is (3.24). By using the same density argument as we prove the case  $n = 1$  we may assume that  $f$  itself is an analytic function in a neighbourhood of the closed unit disc  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$  with a bound  $M$ .

For any zero set  $Z$  possibly with multiplicities we use the general notation  $K_Z(z, a)$  for the reproducing kernel at  $a$  of the zero space  $\mathcal{H}_Z$ , where

$$\mathcal{H}_Z = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f \text{ vanishes at points in } Z \text{ including multiplicities}\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{H}_Z$  uses the same inner product as  $\mathcal{H}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$  the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z} = \{f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : f \text{ is analytic, } \|f\phi_Z\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty\},$$

where  $\phi_Z$  is the canonical Blaschke product generated by the elements of  $Z$  including multiplicities. The inner product of  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$  is denoted as  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}}$ . The reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$  is denoted  $K_{\phi_Z}$ . In this paper we only need to treat zero sets  $Z$  with finite points. Note that  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$ , and  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

The next two lemmas follow similar idea in [29, 68, 69, 20, 52].

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any finite zero set  $Z$ , by denoting  $K_Z(z, w)$  the reproducing kernel of the zero space  $\mathcal{H}_Z$ , there holds*

$$(3.25) \quad K_Z(z, w) = \phi_Z(z)K_{\phi_Z}(z, w)\overline{\phi_Z(w)}$$

and

$$(3.26) \quad \|K_Z(\cdot, w)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|K_{\phi_Z}(\cdot, w)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}},$$

where  $\phi_Z$  is the canonical Blaschke product defined by  $Z$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$  is the  $|\phi_Z|^2$ -weighted  $\mathcal{H}$  space,  $K_{\phi_Z}$  is its reproducing kernel. As a consequence, the normalized reproducing kernel is

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{K_Z(z, w)}{\|K_Z(\cdot, w)\|} = \frac{\overline{\phi_Z(w)} \phi_Z(z)K_{\phi_Z}(z, w)}{|\phi_Z(w)| K_{\phi_Z}^{1/2}(w, w)}.$$

**Proof.** We note that  $K_Z(z, w)$  has zero set  $Z$  for the variable  $z$  when  $w$  is fixed in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ ; and zero set  $Z$  for the variable  $w$  when  $z$  is fixed in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . Therefore,  $\phi_Z^{-1}(z)K_Z(z, w)\overline{\phi_Z^{-1}(w)}$  is analytic for  $z$  in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ , and anti-analytic for  $w$  in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$ . In the case  $f\phi_Z \in \mathcal{H}_Z$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \phi_Z^{-1}(\cdot)K_Z(\cdot, w)\overline{\phi_Z^{-1}(w)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}} &= \phi_Z^{-1}(w)\langle f\phi_Z, K_Z(\cdot, w) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Z} \\ &= \phi_Z^{-1}(w)\langle f\phi_Z, K_Z(\cdot, w) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Z} \\ &= \phi_Z^{-1}(w)f(w)\phi_Z(w) \\ &= f(w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$  is a RKHS. Due to uniqueness of reproducing kernel, its kernel  $K_{\phi_Z}(z, w)$  satisfies the relation (3.25). To prove (3.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_Z(\cdot, w)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= K_Z(w, w) \\ &= \phi_Z(w)K_{\phi_Z}(w, w)\overline{\phi_Z(w)} \\ &= K_{\phi_Z}(w, w)|\phi_Z(w)|^2 \\ &\leq K_{\phi_Z}(w, w) \\ &= \|K_{\phi_Z}(\cdot, w)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The relation (3.27) is just by dividing  $K_Z(z, w)$  with  $\|K_Z(\cdot, w)\| = \sqrt{K_Z(w, w)}$  and invoking (3.25).

□

**Lemma 3.6.** *For any Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with reproducing kernel  $K$  and any  $a \in \mathbf{D}$  there hold*

$$(3.28) \quad K(a, a) = \sup\{|f(a)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

and

$$(3.29) \quad K(a, a) \leq K_{\phi_Z}(a, a).$$

**Proof.** Recall that  $E_a(z) = K(z, a)/\|K_a\|$ . On one hand,  $\|E_a\| = 1$ . On the other hand, for any  $f$  satisfying  $\|f\| = 1$ , using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|f(a)|^2 = |\langle f, K_a \rangle|^2 \leq \|K_a\|^2 = K(a, a).$$

So,  $E_a$  is a solution for the extremal problem. Using this argument also to  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}$  and  $K_{\phi_Z}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(a, a) &= \sup\{|f(a)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|f(a)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_Z}} \leq 1\} \\ &= K_{\phi_Z}(a, a), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

□

Now we proceed with the main technical step of the proof. Denote by  $Z_{n-1}^{(l)}$  the  $l$ -level zero set  $(a_1^{(l)}, a_2^{(l)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(l)})$ . With the above preparations we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f, E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \langle f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}, E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \left( E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} = \frac{Q_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(K_{a_n^{(l)}})}{\|Q_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(K_{a_n^{(l)}})\|} = \frac{Q_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}^2(K_{a_n^{(l)}})}{\|Q_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(K_{a_n^{(l)}})\|} \right) \\
&= \left\langle f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}, \frac{K_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(\cdot, a_n^{(l)})}{\|K_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(\cdot, a_n^{(l)})\|} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \left( Q_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(K_{a_n^{(l)}}) = K_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(\cdot, a_n^{(l)}) \right) \\
&= \frac{\overline{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}}{|\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})|} \langle f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}, K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(a_n^{(l)}, a_n^{(l)})^{-1/2} \phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}} K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(\cdot, a_n^{(l)}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (\text{Lemma 3.5}) \\
&= \frac{\overline{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}}{|\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})|} \left\langle \frac{f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}}{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}, |\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}|^2 K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(\cdot, a_n^{(l)}) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(a_n^{(l)}, a_n^{(l)})}} \quad (\text{Lemma 3.5}) \\
&= \frac{\overline{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}}{|\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})|} \left\langle \frac{f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}}{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}, K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(\cdot, a_n^{(l)}) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(a_n^{(l)}, a_n^{(l)})}} \\
&= \frac{\overline{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}}{|\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})|} \frac{f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(a_n^{(l)}, a_n^{(l)})}} \quad (\text{Lemma 3.5 and reproducing kernel property}).
\end{aligned}$$

To conclude the theorem it is sufficient to show that the above quantity tends to zero along with  $\mathbf{D} \ni a_n^{(l)} \rightarrow a_n \in \partial \mathbf{D}$  uniformly in  $a_1^{(l)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(l)} \in \mathbf{D}$  for  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . It then suffices to prove

1 $^\circ$ .

$$\frac{f_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(a_n^{(l)})}$$

is bounded uniformly in  $a_1^{(l)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(l)}$  and  $a_n^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \dots$ ; and

2 $^\circ$ .

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} K_{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}}(a_n^{(l)}, a_n^{(l)}) = \infty$$

uniformly in  $a_1^{(l)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \dots$

Now we show assertion 1 $^\circ$ . First by Lemma 3.1 the function

$$g_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(z) = \frac{f_{a_1^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(z)}{\phi_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(z)}$$

is analytic in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . By invoking the maximum modulus principle for one complex variable in  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ , Lemma 3.4, as well as the fact that all finite Blaschke products are of modulus 1 on the boundary  $\partial\mathbf{D}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{|g_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(z)| : z \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}\} &= \max\{|g_{Z_{n-1}^{(l)}}(\zeta)| : \zeta \in \partial\mathbf{D}\} \\ &= \max\{|f_{a_1^{(l)} \dots a_{n-1}^{(l)}}(e^{it})| : t \in \partial\mathbf{D}\} \\ &\leq M(1 + C_{\mathcal{H}})^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the uniform boundedness claimed of 1<sup>o</sup>. The assertion 2<sup>o</sup> is a consequence of the condition (1.7) and Lemma 3.6.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

**4.1. The classical Hardy space.** By taking  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$ , we are with the inner product (1.1), and the reproducing kernel  $K(z, w) = k_w(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{w}z}$ . Since

$$K(a, a) = \frac{1}{1-|a|^2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } |a| \rightarrow 1, \text{ and } \frac{|K_a(z)|}{K(a, a)} = \frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\bar{a}z|} \leq 2,$$

the conditions (1.7) and (1.8) are satisfied. We hence have existence of the  $n$ -best approximation.

**4.2. The Bergman spaces.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the weighted Bergman spaces with the definition and notation

$$\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D}) = \{f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbf{D}, \text{ and } \|f\|_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_{\alpha} < \infty\},$$

where  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$ ,  $dA_{\alpha} = (1 + \alpha)(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} dA(z)$ , and  $dA = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}$ ,  $z = x + iy$ , is the normalized area measure of the unit disc. The inner product of  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})$  is defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dA_{\alpha}.$$

In the sequel we sometimes write  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})}$  briefly as  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})$  as  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ .

$\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$  is a RKHS with reproducing kernel

$$k_a^{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2+\alpha}}.$$

By invoking the reproducing kernel property we have

$$(4.30) \quad \|k_a^{\alpha}\|^2 = k_a^{\alpha}(a) = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{2+\alpha}}.$$

This shows that the condition (1.7) holds for all  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ . A simple computation gives

$$\frac{k_a^{\alpha}(z)}{k_a^{\alpha}(a)} = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{2+\alpha}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2+\alpha}} \leq 2^{2+\alpha}.$$

Hence, the reproducing kernel satisfies the condition (1.8). Therefore, an  $n$ -best approximation exists in all the weighted Bergman spaces. This is a re-proof of the main result of [52].

**4.3. The weighted Hardy spaces.** Let  $W(k)$  be a sequence of non-negative numbers satisfying  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} W(k)^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq 1$  ([34]). Denote by  $\mathbb{H}_W(\mathbf{D})$  the  $W$ -weighted Hardy  $\mathbb{H}^2$ -space defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_W(\mathbf{D}) = \{f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k, z \in \mathbf{D}, \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_W} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W(k) |c_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

We will be considering an ordered sequence of  $W$ -weighted Hardy spaces defined by the weights  $W_\beta(k) = (1+k)^\beta$ ,  $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ . This class of function spaces is a generalization of the Hardy and the weighted Bergman spaces. In fact,  $\mathbb{H}_{W_0}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$ , and  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbb{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $\alpha = -\beta - 1$ ,  $\beta < 0$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ),  $\mathbb{H}_{W_{-1}}(\mathbf{D})$  is the standard Bergman, and  $\mathbb{H}_{W_1}(\mathbf{D})$  is the Dirichlet space in  $\mathbf{D}$ . The spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$  are, as a matter of fact, equivalent with the Hardy-Sobolev spaces  $W^{\frac{\beta}{2}, 2}$ . From the last two subsections we know that the spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $\beta \leq 0$ , have  $n$ -best approximation. We now extend the result to  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . The inner product of  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ , is

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^\beta c_k \bar{d}_k,$$

where  $c_k$  and  $d_k$  are, respectively, the coefficients of the Taylor expansions of  $f$  and  $g$ . From this it can be directly verified that the reproducing kernel of  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$  is

$$k_a^\beta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z\bar{a})^k}{(k+1)^\beta}.$$

The function

$$(4.31) \quad k_a^\beta(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a|^{2k}}{(k+1)^\beta}$$

is an increasing function in  $|a|$ , and for any large  $N$ ,

$$\underline{\lim}_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} k_a^\beta(a) \geq \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{|a|^{2k}}{(k+1)^\beta} = \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{1}{(k+1)^\beta}.$$

Therefore, for all  $\beta \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} k_a^\beta(a) = \infty,$$

verifying (1.7). Next we show that the weighted Hardy spaces kernels  $k_a^\beta$  satisfy the condition (1.8). This requires to prove that the function  $\frac{|k_a^\beta(z)|}{k_a^\beta(a)}$  is uniformly bounded in  $a, z \in \mathbf{D}$ . The following estimation uses the well know technique for summing up series of positive decreasing entries: If  $f$  is a positive decreasing function integrable over  $(0, \infty)$ , then

$$\int_1^\infty f(t) dt \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) \leq \int_0^\infty f(t) dt.$$

The estimation amounts to numerically comparing some elementary integrals. Denote by  $|a| = r < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|k_a^\beta(z)|}{k_a^\beta(a)} &= \frac{|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{a}z)^k}{(1+k)^\beta}|}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a|^{2k}}{(1+k)^\beta}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{(1+k)^\beta}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2k}}{(1+k)^\beta}} \\
&\leq \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{r^x}{(1+x)^\beta} dx}{\int_1^\infty \frac{r^{2x}}{(1+x)^\beta} dx} \\
&= \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{r^x}{(1+x)^\beta} dx}{2^{\beta-1} \int_2^\infty \frac{r^x}{(2+x)^\beta} dx} \quad (\text{change of variable}) \\
&= \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{r^x}{(1+x)^\beta} dx}{2^{\beta-1} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{r^x}{(2+x)^\beta} dx - \int_0^2 \frac{r^x}{(2+x)^\beta} dx \right)} \quad \left( \frac{\infty}{\infty} \text{ type when } r \rightarrow 1- \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{r^x}{(1+x)^\beta} dx}{2^{\beta-2} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^x}{(1+x)^\beta} \frac{(1+x)^\beta}{(2+x)^\beta} dx} \quad (\text{if } r \geq \text{some } r_0 \in (0, 1)) \\
&\leq 4. \quad (\text{mean - value theorem of integration})
\end{aligned}$$

For  $r \leq r_0$  the estimated quantity also has a uniform bound. Hence, for  $\beta \leq 1$ , the spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D})$  satisfy the three conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). By invoking Theorem 2.1 the  $n$ -best approximation problems have solutions in those spaces.

**Remark 4.1.** (For the spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D}), \beta > 1$ ) The spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D}), \beta > 1$ , do not fall into the category governed by Theorem 2.1, as, owing to (4.31), the condition (1.7) is not satisfied. Recall the Sobolev Embedding theorem asserting that  $W^{k,p} \subset C^{r,\alpha}$  if  $m < pk, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{m} = -\frac{r+\alpha}{m}$ ,  $m$  is the dimension. In our case  $m = 1, p = 2, k = \frac{\beta}{2}$ , and, in particular,  $\beta = pk > 1$ . It hence concludes that the functions in the spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D}), \beta > 1$ , are all continuously extendable to the closed unit disc, and the norm square  $\|k_a^\beta\|^2 = k_a^\beta(a)$  given by (4.31) does not have singularity for  $|a| = 1$ . Based on these, as well as continuity of the inner product, we conclude existence of  $n$ -best approximations of the spaces for  $\beta > 1$ .

The results of this section are summarized as

**Theorem 4.2.** *For all Hardy-Sobolev spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{W_\beta}(\mathbf{D}), -\infty < \beta < \infty$ , there exist solutions to the  $n$ -best kernel approximation problem.*

## 5. STOCHASTIC $n$ -BEST APPROXIMATION

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, d\mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, and, as in the previous sections,  $\mathcal{H}$  be a RKHS of analytic functions in  $\mathbf{D}$  with reproducing kernel  $K_w, w \in \mathbf{D}$ . We will be studying stochastic signals  $f(z, \xi)$ , where  $\xi \in \Omega$  and  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ : We assume that for a.s.  $\xi \in \Omega, f(\cdot, \xi)$  is a function in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; and, for a.e.  $z \in \mathbf{D}, f(z, \cdot)$  is a random variable. We will use the notation  $f_\xi(z) = f(z, \xi)$ . Associated with the probability space and the RKHS we define a Bochner type space ([21])

$$(5.32) \quad L^2(\mathcal{H}, \Omega) = \{f : \mathbf{D} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}, \Omega)}^2 = E_\xi \|f_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 < \infty\},$$

where  $E_\xi$  denotes the expectation, and precisely,

$$E_\xi \|f_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|f_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d\mathbb{P}(\xi).$$

We often use the simplified notation  $\mathcal{N} = L^2(\mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ .

The following theorem generalizes the existence result for stochastic  $n$ -best approximation for the Hardy space (see [51]) to the RKHSs satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) as assumed in Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a RKHS of analytic functions in the unit disc satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in §1, and  $\Omega$  a probability space. Let  $\mathcal{N} = L^2(\mathcal{H}, \Omega)$  be the associated Bochner type space as above defined. Let  $f$  be any non-zero random signal. Then for any positive integer  $n$ , either of the following two cases holds: (1) For some  $1 \leq m_1 \leq n$ , there exists an  $m_1$ -tuple of constant parameters  $(a_1, \dots, a_{m_1}) \in \mathbf{D}^{m_1}$  such that  $f$  is identical with the orthogonal expansion*

$$(5.33) \quad f(z, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} \langle f_\xi, E_k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} E_k(z);$$

or (2) There exists an  $n$ -tuple of constant parameters  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n$  such that

$$(5.34) \quad \|f - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f_\xi, E_k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} E_k\|_{\mathcal{N}}$$

attains its positive infimum over all possible  $n$ -orthonormal systems  $\{E_k\}$ , where in both cases,  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^m$  is the orthonormal system generated by  $(\tilde{K}_{a_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{a_m})$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 of [51] for the stochastic Hardy space case cannot be directly adopted, for, in the present case no density argument based on the boundary value of the given function on  $\partial\mathbf{D}$  is available. In §3 we established, by using a new technical method, the pointwise convergence result (3.24) which is crucial to in proving Theorem 5.1.

**Proof.** In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we already show that, for each  $\xi$  outside an event in  $\Omega$  of probability zero, there holds uniformly in  $a_1^{(l)}, a_2^{(l)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \dots$ , that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |\langle f_\xi, E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 = 0.$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the space  $\mathcal{H}$  we have a dominating function of the function sequence on the left hand side:

$$|\langle f_\xi, E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 \leq \|f_\xi\|^2 \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem be invoked to conclude

$$(5.35) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} E_\xi |\langle f_\xi, E_{a_1^{(l)} a_2^{(l)} \dots a_n^{(l)}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 = 0$$

uniformly in  $a_1^{(l)}, a_2^{(l)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \dots$ . Based on this the contradiction argument used in proving Theorem 2.1 of [51] may be adopted to conclude the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** (Impact to Algorithm) This present paper only treats the existence aspect of the  $n$ -best problem. Based on the obtained estimates, however, a mathematical algorithm to actually get a solution is now on its way. We now cite the crucial step to reduce the problem to a global optimization one of a differential function defined in a compact set. Separate studies will be devoted to the computation aspect. To have an  $n$ -best approximation algorithm we are under the assumption that the given function  $f$  is not expressible by any  $m$ -linear combination of multiple kernels for  $m \leq n - 1$ . This implies that  $d_f(n - 1) > 0$ , and there exists an  $n$ -tuple  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{D}^n$  such that for some  $\epsilon > 0$

$$(5.36) \quad \|f - P_{b_1 \dots b_n} f\| = d_f(n - 1) - \epsilon.$$

By using (3.24) one can find  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|a_n| > 1 - \delta$ , then

$$\|f - P_{a_1 \dots a_{n-1} a_n} f\| > d_f(n - 1) - \epsilon$$

for any  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Since  $P_{a_1 \dots a_{n-1} a_n} f$  is symmetric in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , we conclude

$$\|f - P_{a_1 \dots a_{n-1} a_n} f\| > d_f(n - 1) - \epsilon$$

whenever  $|a_k| > 1 - \delta$  for some  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Owing to the observation (5.36) we have  $d_f(n) \leq d_f(n - 1) - \epsilon$ . When the infimum attains at  $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{n-1}, \tilde{a}_n)$ , that is,

$$\|f - P_{\tilde{a}_1 \dots \tilde{a}_{n-1} \tilde{a}_n} f\| = d_f(n),$$

there holds  $|\tilde{a}_k| \leq 1 - \delta$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . This concludes that the global minimum value  $d_f(n)$  is only attainable in the compact set  $\overline{(1 - \delta)\mathbf{D}^n}$ . In view of the relation (5.35) the same conclusion holds for the stochastic case.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Y.B. Wang, K.H. Zhu and W. Qu for the previous works done with the author, as well as for their inspiring discussions on this and related subjects.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, T. Qian, I. Sabadini, *Adaptive orthonormal systems for matrix-valued functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2017, 145(5): 2089-2106.
- [2] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, T. Qian, and I. Sabadini, *Adaptive Decomposition: The Case of the Drury-Arveson Space*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 2017, 23(6): 1426-1444.
- [3] D. Alpay, H. Dym, *On a new class of realization formulas and their application*, Linear algebra and its applications, 1996, 241: 3-84.
- [4] H. Akcay, B. Ninness, *Orthonormal basis functions for modelling continuous-time system*, Signal Processing, 1999, 77(3): 261-274.
- [5] J. A. Ball, V. Bplotnikov, *Weighted Bergman spaces: shift invariant subspaces and input/state/output linear systems*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 2013, 76(3): 301-356.
- [6] J. A. Ball, V. Bplotnikov, *Weighted Hardy spaces: shift invariant and coinvariant subspaces, linear systems and operator model theory*, arXiv:1405.2974 [math.CA].
- [7] S. Bochner, *Vorlesungen Ueber Fouriersche Integrale*, Leipzig, 1932.
- [8] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, D.R. Luke, *Finding best approximation pairs relative to two closed convex sets in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Approximation theory, 2004, 127(2): 178-192.
- [9] L. Baratchart, M. Cardelli, M. Olivi, *Identification and rational  $L^2$  approximation-a gradient algorithm*, Automatica, 1991, 27(2): 413-417.
- [10] D.W. Byun, S. Saitoh, *Best Approximation in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces*, Second International Colloquium on Numerical Analysis, 1994: 55.

- [11] L. Baratchart, E.B. Staff, F. Wielonsky, *A criterion for uniqueness of a critical point in  $H^2$  rational approximation*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, 1996, 70(1): 225-266.
- [12] N.I. Chernykh, *Best approximation of periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials in  $L^2$* , Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1967, 2(5): 803-808.
- [13] M.M. Chawla, V. Kaul, *Optimal rules with polynomial precision for Hilbert spaces possessing reproducing kernel functions*, Numerische Mathematik, 1974, 22(3): 207-218.
- [14] E.W. Cheney, H.L. Loeb, *Generalized Rational Approximation*, Journal of the Society for Industrial & Applied Mathematics, 1964, 1(1): 11-25.
- [15] R. Coifman, S. Steinerberger, *Nonlinear phase unwinding of functions*, J Fourier Anal Appl., 2017, 23(4): 778-809.
- [16] R. Coifman, J. Peyrière, *Phase unwinding, or invariant subspace decompositions of Hardy spaces*, J Fourier Anal Appl., 2019, 25: 684-695.
- [17] J.S. Chen, S. Yoon, H.P. Wang, W.K. Liu, *An improved reproducing kernel particle method for nearly incompressible finite elasticity*, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 2000, 181(1-3): 117-145.
- [18] F. Deutsch, *Best approximation in inner product spaces*, Springer, 2001.
- [19] J. Descloux, *Approximations in  $L^p$  and Chebyshev approximations*, Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1963, 11(4): 1017-1026.
- [20] P. Duren, A. Schuster, S. Alexander, *Bergman spaces*, American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [21] L.C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Society, 1998, 19(4): 7.
- [22] C. Gu, *Penalized likelihood estimation: convergence under incorrect model*, Statistics and probability letters, 1998, 36(4): 359-364.
- [23] F. Gunther, W.K. Liu, *Implementation of boundary conditions for meshless methods*, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 1998, 163(1-4): 205-230.
- [24] F. Gunther, W.K. Liu, D. Diachin, M.A. Christon, *Multi-scale meshfree parallel computations for viscous, compressible flows*, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 2000, 190(3-4): 279-303.
- [25] H. Hult, *Approximating some Volterra type stochastic integrals with applications to parameter estimation*, Stochastic processes and their applications, 2003, 105(1): 1-32.
- [26] S. Hao, W.K. Liu, C.T. Chang, *Computer implementation of damage models by finite element and meshfree methods*, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 2000, 187(3-4): 401-340.
- [27] W. Han, X. Meng, *Error analysis of the reproducing kernel particle method*, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 2001, 190(46-47): 6157-6181.
- [28] R. Khalil, W. Deeb, *Best approximation in  $L^p(\mu, X)$ , II*, Journal of approximation theory, 1989, 59(3): 296-299.
- [29] B. Korenblum, H. Hedenmalm, K.H. Zhu, D. Békollé, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, The Mathematical Intelligencer, 2005, 27(1): 85-86.
- [30] F.M. Larkin, *Optimal approximation in Hilbert spaces with reproducing kernel functions*, Mathematics of Computation, 1970, 24(112): 911-921.
- [31] W.K. Liu, Y. Chen, R.A. Uras, C.T. Chang, *Generalized multiple scale reproducing kernel particle methods*, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 1996, 139(1-4): 91-157.
- [32] S. Li, W.K. Liu, *Moving least-square reproducing kernel method Part II: Fourier analysis*, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 1996, 139(1-4): 159-193.
- [33] S. Li, W.K. Liu, T. Belytschko, *Moving least-square reproducing kernel methods (I) methodology and convergence*, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 1997, 143(1-2): 113-154.
- [34] B.D. MacCluer, *Elementary functional analysis*, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [35] Y.T. Li, L.M. Zhang, T. Qian, *2D partial unwinding—a novel non-linear phase decomposition of images*, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2019, 28(10): 4762-4773.
- [36] J. Mercer, *Function of positive and negative and their connection with the theory of integral equation*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A., 1909, 209: 415-446.
- [37] E. H. Moore, R. W. Barnard, *General Analysis I*, the American Philosophical Society, 1935.
- [38] F.M. Larkin, *Optimal approximation in Hilbert spaces with reproducing kernel functions*, mathematics of computation, 1970, 24(112): 911-921.

- [39] W. Mi, T. Qian, *Frequency domain identification: an algorithm based on adaptive rational orthogonal system*, Automatica, 2012, 48(6): 1154-1162.
- [40] W. Mi, T. Qian, *On backward shift algorithm for estimating poles of systems*, Automatica, 2014, 50(4): 1603-1610.
- [41] S. Mallat, Z. Zhang, *Matching pursuit with time-frequency dictionaries*, IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, 1993, 41: 3397-3315.
- [42] B.U. Park, W.C. Kim, M.C. Jones, *On identity reproducing nonparametric regression estimators*, Statistics and probability letters, 1997, 32(3): 279-290.
- [43] T. Qian, *Two-dimensional adaptive Fourier decomposition*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2016, 39(10): 2431-2448.
- [44] T. Qian, *Sparse representation of stochastic signals*, accepted by Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2021.
- [45] T. Qian, Y.B. Wang, *Adaptive Fourier series—a variation of greedy algorithm*, Advances in Computational Mathematics, 2011, 34(3): 279-293.
- [46] T. Qian, E. Wegert, *Optimal Approximation by Blaschke Forms*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 2013, 58(1): 123-133.
- [47] T. Qian, J.Z. Wang, W.X. Mai, *An enhancement algorithm for cyclic adaptive Fourier decomposition*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 2019, 47(2): 516-525.
- [48] T. Qian, X.Y. Wang, L.M. Zhang, *MIMO frequency domain system identification using matrix-valued orthonormal functions*, Automatica, 2021, 133: 109882.
- [49] W. Qu, P. Dang, *Rational approximation in a class of weighted Hardy spaces*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 2019, 13(4): 1827-1852.
- [50] W. Qu, P. Dang, *Reproducing kernel approximation in weighted Bergman spaces: algorithm and applications*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2019, 42(12): 4292-4304.
- [51] W. Qu, T. Qian, G.T. Deng, *A stochastic sparse representation:  $n$ -best approximation to random signals and computation*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 2021, 55(1): 185-198.
- [52] W. Qu, T. Qian, H.C. Li, K.H. Zhu, *Best kernel approximation in Bergman spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2021.
- [53] W. Qu, C.K. Chui, G.T. Deng, T. Qian, *Sparse approximation to the Dirac- $\delta$  distribution*, Analysis and Applications, 2021.
- [54] I. Singer, *The theory of best approximation and functional analysis*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1974: 259-269.
- [55] K. Seip, *Interpolation and sampling in spaces of analytic functions*, American Mathematical Soc., 2004.
- [56] S.A. Sahab, M.S. Khan, S. Sessa, *A result in best approximation theory*, Journal of approximation theory, 1988, 55(3): 349-351.
- [57] D.J. Strauss, G. Steidl, *Hybrid wavelet-support vector classification of waveforms*, Journal of computational and applied mathematics, 2002, 148(2): 375-400.
- [58] L.N. Trefethen, *Approximation Theory and Approximation Practice*, Extended Edition, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2019.
- [59] V.N. Temlyakov, *Greedy algorithm and  $m$ -term trigonometric approximation*, Constructive Approximation, 2009, 107: 971-980.
- [60] V.N. Temlyakov, *Greedy approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [61] T.E. Voth, M.A. Christon, *Discretization errors associated with reproducing kernel methods: one-dimensional domains*, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 2001, 190(18-19): 2429-2446.
- [62] Y.B. Wang, T. Qian, *Pseudohyperbolic distance and  $n$ -best rational approximation*, Mathematical Methods for Applied Sciences, 2021.
- [63] J.L. Walsh, *The existence of rational functions of best approximation*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1931, 33(3): 668-689.
- [64] J.L. Walsh, *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex plane*, AMS, 1965.
- [65] Y.B. Wang, T. Qian, *Pseudo-hyperbolic distance and  $n$ -best rational approximation in  $H^2$  space*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2021, 44(11): 8497-8504.

- [66] F. Yang, M. Chen, J.C. Chen, Y. Zhang, T. Qian, *Sparse representations of solutions to a class of random boundary value problems*, arXiv:submit/4064143 [math.GM].
- [67] D. X. Zhou, *Capacity of reproducing kernel spaces in learning theory*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 2003, 49(7): 1743-1752.
- [68] K.H. Zhu, *Interpolating and recapturing in reproducing Hilbert spaces*, Bull. Hong Kong Mathematical Society, 1997, 1: 21-33.
- [69] K.H. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, American Mathematical Society, 2007, 138.
- [70] S. Zaremba, *Sur le calcul numerique des fonctions demandees dans le probleme de Dirichlet et le probleme hydrodynamique*, Bulletin International de I Academic des Sciences de Cracovie, 1908: 125-195.