

Extending the Vocabulary of Fictional Languages using Neural Networks

Thomas Zacharias*

Electrical Engineering
Tel Aviv University

thomasz@mail.tau.ac.il

Ashutosh Taklikar*

Electrical Engineering
Tel Aviv University

ashutosht@mail.tau.ac.il

Raja Giryes

Electrical Engineering
Tel Aviv University

raja@tauex.tau.ac.il

Abstract

Fictional languages have become increasingly popular over the recent years appearing in novels, movies, TV shows, comics, and video games. While some of these fictional languages have a complete vocabulary, most do not. We propose a deep learning solution to the problem. Using style transfer and machine translation tools, we generate new words for a given target fictional language, while maintaining the style of its creator, hence extending this language vocabulary.

Introduction

Languages can be broadly classified into natural and constructed languages. Natural languages are languages that evolved over time within a community under some cultural framework. These are constantly evolving through use and repetition without conscious planning or premeditation. Constructed languages, in contrast, are purposefully designed and are a result of a controlled intervention and language planning. Constructed languages are used for various tasks including human communication (e.g., international auxiliary language and code), giving fiction or an associated constructed setting an added layer of realism, experimentation in the fields of linguistics or cognitive science, and artistic creation (Cheyne, 2008; Sanders, 2016).

Fictional languages are constructed languages designed for a particular fictional setting - a book, movie, television show, or video game. The only native speakers of these fictional languages are the fictional characters that have been created for the fictional setting. That said, there is a large demand for fictional languages in the entertainment industry with many of these languages being used in western blockbusters, video games, comic books, and novels.

Quenya and Sindarin in *The Lord of the Rings*, Na'vi in *Avatar*, Dothraki in *Game of Thrones*, and Klingon in *Star Trek* are a few examples of fictional languages with complete vocabulary and grammatical rules that anyone can learn.

Some of these languages, e.g., Na'vi and Dothraki, are constructed by professional linguists called conlangers. Yet, there are plenty of fictional works where the artists are unable to employ conlangers and need to design a language on their own. This is particularly true for fictional books, which are typically the creation of a single person. While there are exceptional authors like J.R.R. Tolkien who created multiple complete fictional languages such as Common Eldarin, Quenya, and Sindarin for *The Lord of the Rings*, most authors usually create a limited dictionary for their fictional language consisting of a few hundred words with their translations to English. Such languages are incomplete in two broad ways: (i) they do not have a complete vocabulary; and (ii) their grammatical structure and rules are not well defined.

Here, we propose neural network based techniques to extend the vocabulary of fictional languages, i.e., we take a limited dictionary of a few hundred words and their translations and train the networks to extrapolate the vocabulary of the language while maintaining the style of the creator. To the best of our knowledge, neural networks have not been used for such an application until now. We investigate three main architectures to address this problem: a simple Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) as a naïve solution, a Seq2Seq network, a transformer based model, and a style transfer network.

We show the validity of the proposed words by working with natural languages. We demonstrate the creation of new words in an existing natural language (English) and show that our strategy is capable of generating new words in the natural language that match preexisting words that the

*T.Z. and A.T. contributed equally to this work.

network has never seen. We also investigate the network performance on multiple natural languages, conduct a quantitative and qualitative analysis of the network performances, investigate linguistic connections, and provide examples of words generated by our network for a fictional language.

While this work focuses on extending fictional vocabularies, the same technique can be used to help low-resource and endangered natural languages whose vocabularies have been shrinking over time.

Related work

Language modeling Language modeling using deep learning is a field of extensive research. Among the different architectures in this area, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and their variants are very popular (Mikolov et al., 2011). They have successfully produced results that, at first glance, look like Shakespearean work, real baby names, or even real code (Karpathy, 2015). Language modeling is appealing as networks are forced to learn about existence of words, sentences structure, and other grammatical constructs.

We focus on techniques that work on the character level. Such methods have been used to achieve interesting results in areas of question answering (Kenter et al., 2018), sentiment analysis (Radford et al., 2017) and classification (Zhang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). The efficiency of such character-level neural networks for different text lengths has also been studied (Prusa and Khoshgoftaar, 2017). In our work, the first presented approach involves training a character level RNN model to learn the vocabulary of the fictional language and use this trained network to generate new words belonging to that fictional language.

Machine Translation Machine translation tools use RNNs (Rumelhart et al., 1985), LSTMs (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), Seq2Seq (Sutskever et al., 2014) methods, and attention based transformer models (Vaswani et al., 2017) to translate languages. We propose using machine translation networks as vocabulary extension tools and demonstrate the same using a Seq2Seq model.

Style transfer For style transfer in computer vision (Liu and Tuzel, 2016; Luan et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2017), the neural network is trained to extract content and style features from two images and then

synthesize a new image by combining the content features of one image with the style of the other (Gatys et al., 2016).

Style transfer in text (Shen et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018) is based on a similar idea: A particular text is given as an input to a trained network and the network tries to generate new text with a different style. Even though the fundamental principle is the same, progress of style transfer in language has lagged behind computer vision mainly because of lack of parallel data and reliable evaluation metrics. While there are works implementing style transfer using parallel data (Jhamtani et al., 2017; Carlson et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019), the small size of the available parallel corpora has made the non-parallel data approach more attractive. Language style transfer methods based on non-parallel data have been used to successfully demonstrate controlled generation of text, sentiment modification, word substitution decipherment, word order recovery, sentence rewriting, etc. (Hu et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018).

This work proposes learning the style of the limited fictional vocabulary which would allow us to generate new content (vocabulary) following the same style used by its creator. To the best of our knowledge, language style transfer has only been used on the sentence level and not for novel words creation.

Methods

Using RNN, Seq2Seq, and style transfer techniques we demonstrate the successful extrapolation of fictional language vocabularies. The first is a naïve approach that trains a character level RNN to learn the probability distribution of the embeddings of the characters in the fictional language and use this to generate new fictional words. The RNN model acts as a baseline and mainly emphasizes the need for a more sophisticated approach. The second approach involves using Seq2Seq networks which trains a network on parallel word translations where sequence of characters are fed as an input to the model. The final method uses style transfer methodology that trains a network to learn the underlying style of the fictional language and then use cross-alignment to generate new fictional words (Shen et al., 2017).

Word generator using an RNN baseline. We trained a character level RNN and analyzed its outputs. The network was trained on all the words




Figure 1: (Left) **RNN workflow**. The mean of the source language word embeddings is taken and the closest match in the target language domain is found. This embedding is then passed as the initial character to the RNN model. (Right) **Cross-alignment** (Shen et al., 2017). A representation of the cross-alignment method. SL and TL are two language domains with different styles y_1 and y_2 . Encoder E maps words to its content representations in the shared latent content space Z . Generator G generates the same words when using the original style. When using a different style it proposes new words by aligning SL' with TL' and vice versa at the distributional level thus realizing style transfer via cross-alignment.

available in the target language. The trained RNN was then given the first character of the desired new target word and was required to generate an output. For making a dictionary-like model an input source word must be mapped to a character in the target language. This initial character of the target language was based on a combination of the characters of the source word to be translated (see illustration in Fig. 1). We used the mean of the character embeddings of the source word, but any other indicator could be used.

We note a few disadvantages of the above method: (i) Indicator selection: By using the mean of the characters embeddings as an indicator, there is a high chance that multiple source words would have the same embedding resulting in the same words in the target language for different source words, which is clearly undesirable. (ii) Limited dataset: Since our end goal is to extrapolate fictional languages, we have to work with just hundreds of words. Most character level language models, however, work with more examples (on the order of magnitudes higher). These constraints demonstrate the limitations of using a simple RNN.

Word generator using Seq2Seq. We use Seq2Seq models for the novel problem of vocabulary generation. The model was trained on a pair of languages using using parallel word translations. We use an encoder-decoder architecture implemented using GRUs (Cho et al., 2014). The input is given as a sequence of characters to the encoder that assigns attention based weight to each character using the attention

model in (Bahdanau et al., 2014). This results in the encoder output and hidden state which are inputted to the decoder along with the decoder start token. The decoder then returns a prediction along with the decoder hidden state which is passed back to the model. We use teacher-forcing, where the target character is passed iteratively to the decoder, to make it converge faster and train better.

Word generator using transformers. Transformer based language models were also investigated but these performed poorly due to the limited size of the dataset and hence their results have been omitted.

Word generator using style transfer We propose using style transfer to let a network learn the underlying style of the languages and act as a vocabulary generating tool. Our training data is not necessarily parallel as the number of words in the English vocabulary is much larger than the few hundred words available in the fictional language. In this case, the network also learns from English words that have no parallel in the fictional language. The arbitrary nature of meaning-to-form mapping (De Saussure, 2011) supports this approach. We use an encoder-generator model following Shen et al. (2017), who proposed achieving style transfer through cross alignment. The encoder takes a word from the source language and encodes it to a style-independent content representation. The generator takes this representation, applies the style of the target language, and then outputs a proposed word belonging to the target language. If the generator

uses the same style as the encoder, then the system would act as a variational autoencoder outputting words with the same style as the source language. However, if the generator uses the style of the target language, the system would act as a transfer model generating words similar to those belonging to the target language. The generative part of this network is a GRU. The alignment of the generated latent variables is strengthened by using a cross-aligned structure (Shen et al., 2017). The latent variables are then inputted to a discriminator implemented using a convolutional neural network. The training objective is composed of the reconstruction loss of the generator and the adversarial loss of the discriminator.

Analysis measures We propose methods to quantitatively and qualitatively analyze the output words enabling us to gauge network performance.

1. *Qualitative analysis measure.* We would like the words to (i) be on average, around the same length as preexisting words of the target language; and (ii) have the right distribution of vowels so they can be pronounced. A proposed word would be considered successful if it “sounds/feels like it belongs to the language”. This requires human evaluation. Note that a complete quantitative analysis (without human intervention) was not possible also for Shen et al. (2017) who used style transfer for sentiment classification.

2. *Quantitative analysis measure.* We propose the following strategy to quantitatively analyze the viability of the output words: instead of training the network on the vocabulary of English and the fictional language, we shall train it on a subset of vocabularies of two ‘unrelated’ natural languages (e.g., English and Hebrew), where one of them will act as our “fictional language”. If the network is able to generate pre-existing Hebrew/English words that it was not exposed to during training, it means that the network has succeeded in extrapolating the language. If the same observation occurs over multiple natural language pairs, we can conclude that the network should also be capable of extending a fictional language. This means that given English and a fictional language, the network will be able to produce new words that the author would have created if they had extended the fictional vocabulary.

Experiments

We elaborate on the implementation of our proposed networks including the datasets created and used for training. By using natural languages, we are able to test the viability of our proposed networks. We also quantitatively and qualitatively analyze the results.

To perform vocabulary generation using the Seq2Seq and style transfer networks, datasets with words written in the two different languages are required. During training, the two networks were fed with a pair of language datasets so that they could learn the underlying content representations. Once the networks were trained, we tested each network by inputting words in the source language that the network never saw and asking the network to “translate” the words to the target language.

To measure network performance, we first tested the networks on natural languages. We used multiple language pairs. In this section, we focus on the results for the English-Hebrew pair. We selected the 850 most common English words spoken in the United States (Norman, 2019) and their translations in Hebrew. The networks were then trained over the English-Hebrew language pair. At inference, we simply provided an English word to obtain a “Hebrew translation”, and vice versa. By limiting the dataset to hundreds of words, we simulate the approximate size of a fictional language vocabulary.

We provided the trained networks with 100 Hebrew words that were not seen during training and asked the networks to propose English translations. Among the 100 English words proposed by each network, Seq2Seq generated 19 unique words that are part of the English vocabulary (but were not seen during training), while the style transfer network generated 20 such words. The Seq2Seq network also proposed 65 unique words that do not currently belong to the English dictionary, while the style transfer proposed 67. In total, when translating 100 words, the Seq2Seq network proposed 84 new unique English words, while the style transfer network generated 87. These results show that quantitatively, the network performances appear comparable.

We also investigated a simple RNN model which serves as a baseline comparison. While treating English as our target language, the RNN was trained on the 850 Hebrew words that were produced from the (Norman, 2019) dataset. We tested the RNN by giving it 100 Hebrew words and asking it to propose

English word	Proposed Hebrew translations			Hebrew word	Proposed English translations		
	RNN	Seq2Seq	S.T.		RNN	Seq2Seq	S.T.
silver	ליפורצ	להתמודד	להכות	להתמודד	hour	ale	seaply
thank	טור	משחות	לאין	לשחות	ing	starv	wole
branch	זור	צמות	סרגמה	טווח	jout	poper	strice
match	חור	מול	משלה	מול	jon	ap	seppare
suffix	להיל	חשה	לשתתת	אשה	keat	the	merd
especially	ילהת	לא	קעלאוון	נעל	got	gurn	fillice
fig	זור	כתף	מי	כתף	joun	wn	rore
afraid	וליל	התפוכית	אתרנה	התפשטות	ing	seve	persice
huge	טורה	ססר	לשר	לסדר	ing	treat	beat
sister	לה	מפשר	ללמתח	מחנה	goter	ent	moun
steel	ךיר	להמצה	לעות	להמציא	hour	mridy	are
discuss	כול	כותנה	התלאל	כותנה	ing	put	tear
forward	ךיר	נפור	תתפרה	נולד	hour	bubline	rotunt
similar	ךיר	תיי	לשמות	לקבוע	keat	wet	beet
guide	טור	רבעגורורורור	מפרה	רבע גלון	gat	win	wilk
experience	ילה	תחוע	קערורה	תשע	got	tet	st
score	ךירה	משומיות	לעור	משאית	hour	twice	at
apple	טיר	רעש	אוור	רעש	fine	dide	brant

Table 1: **Proposed translations using RNN, Seq2Seq, and Style Tranfer (S.T.) methods.** (Column I) English words we want translated. Proposed Hebrew translations using (column II) RNN; (column III) Seq2Seq network; (column IV) Style Transfer network. (Column V) Hebrew words we want translated. Proposed English translations using (column VI) RNN; (column VII) Seq2Seq network; (column VIII) Style Transfer network. Words marked in green are preexisting English words that were generated but the network saw during training. Words marked in blue are preexisting English words that were generated but the network never saw. Words marked in red are generated words that occur more than once in the column.

English translations. The dictionary mapping that enables this translation mechanism is described in the previous section. An analysis of the output showed that out of the 100 proposed English words, the network was able to generate only 12 words that already belong to the English language (but were not seen during training). The network also produced only 31 new unique words that currently do not exist in the English language. This shows that the RNN performance is quite limited when compared to the style transfer and Seq2Seq networks.

Table 1 displays English words with the proposed Hebrew translations and Hebrew words with their proposed English translations using the three networks. By analyzing the words generated, one can note that the Style Transfer and Seq2Seq networks display a wide range in character usage, word length, and have no repetitions. The RNN however performs relatively poorly demonstrating the need for the more advanced solutions we use. Notice that the RNN proposed a few English words that exist in the language, however, the network had already seen these words during training so

these cannot be considered as novel generated words. Such words, that exist in the language, but the networks already saw, are marked in green in Table 1. Red color marks repetitions of words that have already occurred in the table and blue color marks preexisting English words that were generated but the network never saw. The existence of blue words show that the networks were able to extrapolate the English vocabulary from a limited subset. Also note that most of the words marked in black, which are proposed words that do not currently exist in the English vocabulary can be pronounced and do sound and feel like they could belong to the English language. A qualitative analysis to study the same is performed through a user study described in the next section. It is also interesting to note that all networks generated words that are short and have vowels - qualities that are both desirable.

Discussion

Following the evaluation of our proposed strategy above, we make further in-depth investigations into the results produced by our network. We do this

English word we want translated	Proposed translations				
	Arabic	Hindi	Spanish	Amharic	Russian
silver	شرة	विड़का	silverian	ሆንባኑ	пресносать
thank	الصادة	पाडी	thanak	ረሩ	строл
branch	ذرس	पिरत्ध	brícanca	ተነገሮ	попрастить
match	قائرة	पूला	macta	ቅር	прость
suffix	متطفة	प्रसिजक	sufficar	መለሰለም	рестивиться
especially	عيدية	कालापनीकीजिये	sespriclama	እንተለቀል	ностроммемнать
fig	موق	सिक	figa	አባ	меть
afraid	ماوق	प्रत्र	faricadiar	ተገሰኝ	постедиться
huge	جل	प्या	guego	ደጠኝ	вель
sister	حر	हिलाना	sistrecian	እንፈ.ዳም	ресносться
steel	قطيدة	हाज़ी	sentelo	ንገል	норани
discuss	تعرد	मेप्रनाने	discursina	እለረን	перерурустый
forward	كجمعة	सेतरत	forricadar	እንገኑ	поставиться
similar	مواع	नाल्की	similarian	እንገድል	престостени
guide	عيم	मुक्य	guedido	አዋታ	дежить
experience	بصار	काप्ताकिनिके	experciende	እያንተለ	ностороменный
score	المن	खिबा	bisación	እንገኑ	проза
apple	المينة	पूरका	sprepadía	ተቀሳል	порона

Table 2: **Proposed translations.** English words and their proposed translations to Arabic, Hindi, Spanish, Amharic, and Russian. Note that a different trained network was used for each target language.

Network	Dataset E-H words	Quantitative analysis			Qualitative analysis	
		EWNS	PNW	TUW	Mean	Median
Seq2Seq	200-200	145	668	813	2.6	3
Style transfer	200-8000	184	842	1026	3.1	3
Seq2Seq	850-850	217	740	957	3.4	4
Style transfer	850-8000	191	895	1086	3.3	3

Table 3: **Quantitative and Qualitative analysis.** (Column I) Networks used for word generation. (Column II) The English-Hebrew dataset that the network was trained on. (Column III) EWNS - Generated preexisting English Words that were Not Seen during training. (Column IV) PNW - Proposed Novel Words currently not in the English vocabulary. (Column V) TUW - Total Unique Words generated. (Column VI) Mean score (out of 5) from user study. (Column VII) Median score (out of 5) from user study.

by conducting a user study, looking for linguistic connections between words and their proposed translations, and by using the network to extend the vocabulary of the fictional “Ancient Language”.

User Study. For the user study, we generated words from the Seq2Seq and style transfer networks trained on different datasets (see table 3) and asked judges to rate them from 0 (cannot be an English word) to 5 (definitely an English word). Each network trained on the different datasets as seen in table 3 was asked to generate 1300 new words. We conducted a quantitative analysis on the generated words by analyzing the number of preexisting English words and the total number

of unique words that the network could generate. The results for the same can be viewed in table 3. It can be seen that the style transfer network for both datasets is able to generate more unique words, however the Seq2Seq network trained on the 850 word language pair performs slightly better while generating preexisting English words.

To analyze the quality of the output words, 48 judges took part in the user study and a total of 2400 words were rated- 600 each corresponding to the rows in table 3. Qualitatively, the Seq2Seq performs comparably well to the style transfer network when trained on the dataset with 850 English words. However, both quantitatively and qualitatively, style transfer outperforms Seq2Seq when it comes to the

English word we want translated	Proposed Hebrew translation
block	סוין
blockade	סובינון
throw	להיפור
threw	להיפר
throwing	להינור
like	נובה
likely	נסובה
hear	לקרון
heard	להראון
hearing	להרגמי
task	מולה
tasking	למימובי
wise	נפר
wisdom	נופה
imagine	מאפרים
imagined	מהגאית
imagination	מהלאימון

Table 4: **Linguistic connections.** English words originating from the same root and their proposed Hebrew translations.

smaller dataset containing 200 English words. This is because the style transfer network is able to take advantage of the unpaired style of training unlike the Seq2Seq network. Since the size of fictional datasets are largely limited, using the style transfer network should be preferable.

Testing Style Transfer network on multiple languages. In addition to the in-depth analysis for the Hebrew-English pair, we also conducted multiple experiments with our preferred style transfer network for different language pairs. For the convenience of readers around the world, we provide in Table 2 English words along with our network’s proposed novel generated words (proposed translations) in Arabic, Hindi, Spanish, Amharic, and Russian. In the two languages that we understand in the Table, we observe the same resemblance to the target language that we had with the generation of words in English and Hebrew. We believe that the readers who understand the languages will observe the same when going through the results in Table 2. All these results demonstrate the capability of our proposed strategy in creating novel words in a given language that contains only a small number of existing words.

English word we want translated	Proposed Ancient language translation
understand	dunendarvald
understood	duendorva
understanding	dunendanvaldrina
fiction	fitingoting
fictional	fitingatilona
revolt	relthilg
revolution	reluthingo
revolutionary	eruthilnadorin
frown	frongh
frowned	ffrendhr
frowning	fronnghing
imagine	minandae
imagined	mindanaedí
imagination	mingainatoind
generate	engaerth
generated	geengardethin
generation	gengarinona
generating	gengarnathin

Table 5: **Linguistic connections.** English words originating from the same root and their proposed Ancient language translations.

Linguistic connections. We also investigated linguistic connections between the translations of words from the same root. We selected English words originating from the same root and asked the trained style transfer network to propose Hebrew translations. Table 4 shows English words and their proposed translations. As can be seen in the table, the proposed Hebrew translations also share common word roots which strengthens our proposition of using style transfer for novel word generation. It is very interesting that the network was able to achieve this despite the non-parallel nature of training and the small number of words used from target language.

We also investigated linguistic connections between the proposed translations of words from the same root. Table 5 shows a few examples of English words belonging to the same root along with the proposed translations. Notice how the proposed translations also have common word roots, which corroborates our hypothesis.

Ancient Language. Additional examples of words generated for the Ancient Language by the style transfer network can be viewed at table 6. These examples were generated by training on a dataset of 400 Ancient Language words and 8000

Christopher Paolini’s definitions		Our network’s proposals			
English	Ancient Language	English	Ancient Language	English	Ancient Language
create	aldanarí	artisan	rathans	torch	throch
elf	älfa	historian	istharina	constellation	nesthalona
halt	blöthr	modest	medts	racism	varsia
reduce	brakka	pony	ponn	forbid	frodhi
honor	celöbra	grimace	gramia	feign	feing
sage	chetowä	redundancy	renduvandí	slant	skant
brother	darmthrell	decisive	desviverna	ambition	maithinor
mists	datia	abundant	bandanthar	coerce	ceror
grow	eldhrimner	teenager	ternanga	aisle	iales
invoke	ethgrí	harsh	harsh	patience	vaethinva
marked	fódhr	fragment	franthandí	fisherman	frishvandr
sing	fyrn	pigeon	gieno	bathhtub	bathhr
chant	gala	soprano	sorana	lend	lend
luck	guliä	freckle	frelka	rubbish	brisha
height	haedh	curl	völr	shareholder	sharelhra
whale	hwal	continuation	onvithanda	decay	deyja
my	iet	housewife	shvaedhrin	deter	deetr
truth	ilumëo	stir	stirr	feminine	ferininve

Table 6: **Ancient language.** English words and their translation into the Ancient language. Left: Words that Christopher Paolini defined. Middle&Right: Our network’s proposals for words that were never defined.

English words. We could use more English words since we could exploit the nature of non-parallel dataset of the style-transfer network to further improve the results.

Using non-parallel data. It is worth noting that by using the non-parallel training approach, we are not giving up linguistic information provided by parallel links. As shown by the linguist [De Saussure \(2011\)](#), meaning-to-form mapping is almost entirely arbitrary and there is no simple or logical relation between a certain word and its translation (for languages that are not phonologically related). We can also use this property (deferred to future work) to increase the size of the fictional vocabulary by using examples from the language that the fictional language is based on. For example, the ‘Ancient Language’ from the Inheritance Cycle is based on ancient Norse and Celtic. By incorporating words from these languages, the number of examples that the network sees during training can be increased significantly. The only constraints at play are the phonological and morphological rules of a language. By using style transfer, the aim is for the network to learn these phonological and morphological rules (the underlying style) which we believe that the network was able to achieve.

Conclusion

We proposed a deep learning based approach to enable the completion of fictional language vocabularies. We showed how style transfer can be used as a vocabulary generation tool; proposed ways to analyze the outputs; and successfully demonstrated the extension of a fictional vocabulary.

We investigated RNN, Seq2Seq, style transfer, and transformer models and quantitatively and qualitatively analyzed their results. We found that the style transfer network had the best performance because of the limited nature of the training dataset. While we used the style transfer technique from [Shen et al. \(2017\)](#) to perform the novel words generation, one may use other textual style transfer frameworks in a similar way ([Zhao et al., 2017](#); [Zhang et al., 2018](#); [Li et al., 2019](#)).

Apart from the obvious applications in the entertainment industry, our proposed methodology could also be relevant for translating modern words such as internet, modem, etc, to existing languages in an automated way that takes into account the style of the target language. It could also be interesting to use the network to help revive low-resource and endangered natural languages.

References

- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473*.
- Keith Carlson, Allen Riddell, and Daniel Rockmore. 2018. [Evaluating prose style transfer with the bible](#). *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(10):171920.
- Ria Cheyne. 2008. Created languages in science fiction. *Science Fiction Studies*, pages 386–403.
- Kyunghyun Cho, Bart Van Merriënboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078*.
- Ferdinand De Saussure. 2011. *Course in general linguistics*. Columbia University Press.
- Leon A Gatys, Alexander S Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. 2016. Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2414–2423.
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780.
- Zhiting Hu, Zichao Yang, Xiaodan Liang, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Eric P. Xing. 2017. [Toward controlled generation of text](#).
- Harsh Jhamtani, Varun Gangal, Eduard Hovy, and Eric Nyberg. 2017. [Shakespeareizing modern language using copy-enriched sequence to sequence models](#). *Proceedings of the Workshop on Stylistic Variation*.
- Andrej Karpathy. 2015. The unreasonable effectiveness of recurrent neural networks, <http://karpathy.github.io/2015/05/21/rnn-effectiveness/>.
- Tom Kenter, Llion Jones, and Daniel Hewlett. 2018. Byte-level machine reading across morphologically varied languages.
- Dianqi Li, Yizhe Zhang, Zhe Gan, Yu Cheng, Chris Brockett, Bill Dolan, and Ming-Ting Sun. 2019. [Domain adaptive text style transfer](#). *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*.
- J. Liu, F. Meng, Y. Zhou, and B. Liu. 2017. Character-level neural networks for short text classification. In *2017 International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*, pages 1–7.
- Ming-Yu Liu and Oncl Tuzel. 2016. Coupled generative adversarial networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 469–477.
- Fujun Luan, Sylvain Paris, Eli Shechtman, and Kavita Bala. 2017. Deep photo style transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4990–4998.
- Tomáš Mikolov, Stefan Kombrink, Lukáš Burget, Jan Černocký, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. 2011. Extensions of recurrent neural network language model. In *2011 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5528–5531. IEEE.
- David Norman. 2019. 1000 most common english words spoken in united states of america, <https://gist.github.com/deekayen/4148741>.
- Joseph D. Prusa and Taghi M. Khoshgoftaar. 2017. Deep neural network architecture for character-level learning on short text. In *FLAIRS Conference*.
- Alec Radford, Rafal Józefowicz, and Ilya Sutskever. 2017. [Learning to generate reviews and discovering sentiment](#). *CoRR*, abs/1704.01444.
- David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, and Ronald J Williams. 1985. Learning internal representations by error propagation. Technical report, California Univ San Diego La Jolla Inst for Cognitive Science.
- Nathan Sanders. 2016. Constructed languages in the classroom. *Language*, 92(3):e192–e204.
- Tianxiao Shen, Tao Lei, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. 2017. Style transfer from non-parallel text by cross-alignment. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 6830–6841.
- Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V Le. 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.3215*.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762*.
- Yunli Wang, Yu Wu, Lili Mou, Zhoujun Li, and Wenhan Chao. 2019. [Harnessing pre-trained neural networks with rules for formality style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3573–3578, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiang Zhang, Junbo Zhao, and Yann LeCun. 2015. [Character-level convolutional networks for text classification](#).
- Yi Zhang, Jingjing Xu, Pengcheng Yang, and Xu Sun. 2018. [Learning sentiment memories for sentiment modification without parallel data](#). *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- Jake Zhao, Yoon Kim, Kelly Zhang, Alexander M. Rush, and Yann LeCun. 2017. [Adversarially regularized autoencoders](#).

Yanpeng Zhao, Wei Bi, Deng Cai, Xiaojiang Liu, Kewei Tu, and Shuming Shi. 2018. Language style transfer from sentences with arbitrary unknown styles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.04071*.

Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A Efros. 2017. Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2223–2232.