

PROBLEMS RELATED TO WARING–GOLDBACH PROBLEM INVOLVING CUBES OF PRIMES

ZHICHUN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. In this note, we try to understand the recent development on the Waring–Goldbach problem involving cubes of primes. Especially, we want to determine whether integers that are either primes, squares of primes, cubes of primes, or a cube of an even number can be written as the sum of four cubes of primes. Meanwhile, we raise some problems that may deepen our understanding of the problem about the sum of four cubes of primes. Moreover, some examples suggest that almost all the cubes of integers can be written as the sum of cubes of four integers.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Sum of Four Cubes of Primes	2
3. Sum of Four Cubes of Integers	4
References	6

1. INTRODUCTION

Waring’s problem, which is closely related to the Fermat Last Theorem [12, 13], is one of the most famous problems in number theory. It asks one to determine for each integer $k \geq 2$, the smallest positive integer $G(k)$ such that every sufficiently large positive integer is the sum of at most $G(k)$ many k -th powers of positive integers. The best known result is $G(3) \leq 7$ for the cubes, as established by Linnik [8]. While, it is conjectured that all sufficiently large integers are the sum of at most four cubes of primes, i.e.

$$N = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3,$$

that is $G(3) = 4$. Such a strong conjecture is out of reach at present. A theorem of Hua [6, 7] states that almost all positive integers satisfying some necessary congruence conditions are the sum of five cubes of primes, see e.g. Brüdern [1]. Ren [10, 11] proved that the conjecture for sum of four cubes is true for at least 1.5% of the positive integers satisfying the necessary conditions. This percentage was improved by Liu [9] to 2.911%. Recently, this percentage was proved by Ching and Tsang [3] to be at least 8.25%. Their result reads as.

Theorem 1.1. [3, Theorem 2] *Let $q_0 = 3 \prod_{p < M} p$ where $M = 1000$. Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer such that*

$$2|r, \quad r \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 3 \pmod{9} \quad \text{and} \quad r \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}.$$

Then there are at least 8.25% of the positive integers $N \equiv r \pmod{q_0}$ which are sums of 4 cubes of primes.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11P32, 11P55, 11N36.

Key words and phrases. Waring–Goldbach problems, Cubes of primes.

In this note, we would like to understand the type of integers N which can be written as the sum of four cubes of primes. It is clear that both q_0 and r are even. Thus, in [3, Theorem 2], integer N are only even. However, we firstly observe that N can not be some odd numbers such as the cube of primes. Then, we consider N that could be square of a prime or N could be a prime. Finally, we will consider the problem about the sum of four cubes of integers.

2. SUM OF FOUR CUBES OF PRIMES

There exist primes p, p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad p = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad p^2 = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3.$$

Some primes satisfying (2.1) are listed in Table 1. Some primes satisfying (2.2) are listed in Table 2.

Claim 1. *There do not exist primes p, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 satisfying the equation*

$$(2.3) \quad p^3 = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3.$$

Note that one of p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 must be the smallest prime 2. Without loss of generality, we assume $p_4 = 2$. So, if we can conclude that

$$p^3 - 2^3 = (p - 2)(p^2 + 2p + 4) = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3$$

which holds only when $p_1 = p_2 = p_3$, then $(p - 2)(p^2 + 2p + 4) = 3p_1^3$ which implies $p = 5$ and $p_1^3 = 39$ which is impossible. This would help us to prove Claim 1.

Claim 2. *Primes p, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 satisfy*

$$(2.4) \quad p^5 = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3$$

if and only if $p = p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 2$.

We may assume that $p_4 = 2$. If we can prove that $p^5 = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + 2^3$ holds only when $p = p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$, then the Claim 2 is true.

Claims 1-2 suggest that if N is sufficiently large and is a cube/fifth power of a prime number, then N can not be written as the sum of four cubes of primes. This would provide counterexamples to the conjecture $G(3) = 4$ which means that all sufficiently large integers N can be the sum of four cubes of primes.

Claims 1-2 also suggest that if N is m -power of a prime number when $m \in \{6, 7, 8, \dots\}$ then N can not be written as the sum of four cubes of primes. Thus, we have the following claim.

Claim 3. *There are no primes p, p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 satisfy*

$$(2.5) \quad p^m = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3.$$

with integer $m \geq 6$.

p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	p	p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	p	p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	p
2	3	3	3	89	2	2	2	5	149	2	2	2	7	367
2	5	5	5	383	2	3	5	7	503	2	5	5	7	601
2	3	7	11	1709	2	2	2	13	2221	2	3	5	13	2357
2	11	11	11	4001	2	2	2	17	4937	2	5	5	17	5171
2	13	13	13	6599	2	2	2	19	6883	2	3	5	19	7019
2	3	7	19	7237	2	5	13	17	7243	2	11	11	17	7583
2	3	13	19	9091	2	7	17	17	10177	2	13	13	19	11261
2	3	17	19	11807	2	17	17	17	14747	2	13	19	19	16693
2	7	17	23	17431	2	2	2	29	24413	2	3	7	29	24767
2	11	23	23	25673	2	11	11	29	27059	2	3	7	31	30169
2	11	19	29	32587	2	3	17	31	34739	2	19	19	31	43517
2	23	23	29	48731	2	3	7	37	51031	2	3	7	37	51347
2	7	13	37	53201	2	11	11	37	53323	2	17	29	29	53699
2	23	23	31	54133	2	3	31	31	59617	2	23	29	29	60953
2	19	31	31	66449	2	17	23	37	67741	2	3	13	41	71153
2	5	19	41	76039	2	29	29	31	78577	2	2	2	43	79531
2	17	19	41	80701	2	7	23	41	81439	2	7	23	41	81439
2	31	31	31	89381	2	5	23	43	91807	2	23	23	41	93263
2	11	29	41	94649	2	29	29	37	99439	2	3	37	37	101341
2	13	37	37	103511	2	5	17	47	113657	2	17	17	47	108869
2	17	17	47	115603	2	7	23	47	116341	2	11	23	47	117329

TABLE 1. Examples of prime solutions of (2.1) with $b \leq 120000$

p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	p	p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	p	p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	p
2	29	47	109	1193	2	13	107	137	1949	2	79	107	311	5639
2	11	313	317	7907	2	173	233	379	85012	2	79	197	461	10301
2	317	547	593	20101	2	107	239	751	20939	2	439	617	809	29137
2	23	107	967	30091	2	107	499	1019	34403	2	239	977	1489	65173
2	317	743	1621	68567	2	373	1319	1373	70249	2	317	701	1663	70537
2	919	1187	1511	76801	2	491	1481	1549	84163	2	317	1213	2111	105943
2	227	1481	2053	109147	2	547	743	2351	116483	2	1481	2081	2239	153247
2	499	569	2957	161753	2	83	1787	2851	169943	2	599	977	3049	171733
2	1123	1493	2969	180563	2	71	1871	3331	208589	2	1657	2003	3221	214483
2	1499	2579	3067	222197	2	1367	2621	3109	224969	2	449	631	3881	242483
2	2137	2699	3389	261427	2	2203	3203	3803	313933	2	1123	1493	2969	175829
2	1471	1481	2969	180563	2	71	1871	3331	208589	2	1657	2003	3221	214483
2	1499	2579	3067	222197	2	1367	2621	3109	224969	2	449	631	3881	242483
2	2137	2699	3389	261427	2	509	1877	4159	280507	2	2203	3203	3803	313933
2	1627	2153	4421	317327	2	947	3719	4231	357809	2	317	653	5167	371831
2	2927	4283	4327	429719	2	653	4127	5101	450887	2	2903	3851	5527	500413
2	3167	4337	5471	526459	2	281	4463	5857	538367	2	2633	5167	5417	561389
2	2087	5171	5623	570217										

TABLE 2. Examples of prime solutions of (2.2) with $p_i \leq 6000, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Our next question is stated as follows.

Problem 2.1. Among all N that can be written as the sum of four cubes of primes in Theorem 1.1, how many of them are cubes of an integer?

This problem asks for the number of integers b such that

$$(2.6) \quad b^3 = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3$$

holds for primes p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 . Some solutions of equation (2.6) with positive integer b and primes $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 3000$ are listed in Table (3). Table (3) suggests that among all N that can be written as the sum of four cubes of primes, only a very small portion of them are the cube of primes.

In [2], the set \mathcal{N} was defined as the set of all natural numbers n satisfying

$$2|n, \quad n \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 3 \pmod{9} \quad \text{and} \quad r \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}.$$

Note that if a number $n \notin \mathcal{N}$ can be written as a sum of four cubes of primes, then one of the cubes in the representation must be that of 2, 3 or 7.

Claim 4. *If n is can be written as a sum of four cubes of primes with one prime 2, 3, or 7, then $n \notin \mathcal{N}$.*

The examples in Table 3 suggest that Claim 4 is true. But, we do not have a rigorous proof.

p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	b	p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	b
3	3	7	11	12	3	317	379	509	602
5	67	191	313	336	7	29	967	1013	1248
7	43	47	47	66	47	281	283	541	588
53	307	389	1627	1638	59	179	421	1069	1092
107	347	349	349	504	181	463	491	593	756
337	1061	1063	1571	1848	53	307	389	1627	1638
353	617	1123	2011	2142	661	887	1033	2027	2184
2	433	797	2251	2289	101	281	397	2389	2394
43	857	1861	2423	2772					

TABLE 3. Solutions to $b^3 = p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3$ with positive integers b and primes less than 3000.

3. SUM OF FOUR CUBES OF INTEGERS

Hardy and Littlewood in [5] proved that almost positive integers are representable as the sum of five positive integral cubes. Davenport in [4] proved that not more than $0.134 \cdots$ of N positive integers less than N are representable as the sum of three positive integral cubes. Instead, we consider how many cubes can be written as the sum of four cubes of integers.

Problem 3.1. Among all integers that can be written as the sum of four cubes of positive integers, how many of them are the cube of an integer?

This problem asks for the number of integers b such that

$$(3.1) \quad b^3 = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3$$

holds for integers b, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . Some solutions of equation (3.1) with positive integers $b, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \leq 1000$ are listed in the following table.

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	b	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	b	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	b
1	1	5	6	7	3	3	7	11	12	1	5	7	12	13
5	7	9	10	13	2	2	10	12	14	1	7	614	14	18
4	7	8	17	18	11	12	13	14	20	3	3	15	18	21
6	14	16	19	24	7	9	9	24	26	2	10	14	22	26
3	15	17	21	26	10	14	18	20	26	1	10	15	26	28
2	4	13	27	28	4	4	20	24	28	4	6	16	26	28
9	13	19	23	28	13	15	21	23	30	9	13	17	28	31
7	8	17	30	32	3	14	15	31	33	11	13	24	28	34
5	5	25	30	35	8	14	16	34	36	9	9	21	33	36
6	16	28	29	37	3	5	28	32	38	2	7	16	38	39
3	15	21	36	39	7	9	15	38	39	15	21	27	30	39
22	24	26	28	40	4	12	15	41	42	6	6	30	36	42
6	9	24	39	42	6	15	25	38	42	17	21	21	37	942
15	28	29	31	43	16	24	29	35	44	10	13	14	44	45
12	28	30	36	46	7	17	20	46	48	10	28	32	38	48
13	22	35	38	48	20	21	24	47	49	1	1	24	47	49
2	13	33	43	49	7	7	25	42	49	19	23	34	39	49
14	18	18	48	50	14	30	33	39	50	7	16	29	47	51
18	27	28	44	51	4	20	28	48	52	6	30	34	42	52
10	22	38	42	52	19	21	38	42	52	20	28	36	40	52
3	35	36	39	53	27	30	35	39	53	3	21	42	42	54
7	17	38	46	54	12	21	24	51	54	21	24	38	43	54
23	24	24	49	54	27	29	34	42	54	7	12	34	50	55
7	24	38	46	55	7	19	28	51	55	2	20	30	52	56
4	8	26	54	56	8	8	40	48	56	8	9	20	55	56
8	12	32	52	56	10	26	29	51	56	18	26	38	46	56
20	35	37	42	56	1	8	42	48	57	13	23	28	53	57
13	21	41	50	59	14	19	44	48	59	21	23	26	55	59
10	23	44	49	60	15	15	35	55	60	26	30	42	46	60
33	36	39	42	60	5	6	35	58	62	18	26	34	56	62
2	15	27	61	63	3	28	35	57	63	5	9	45	54	63
9	9	45	54	63	12	39	40	50	63	13	23	27	60	63
31	33	39	50	63	1	16	20	63	64	8	15	49	52	64
14	16	34	60	64	21	32	43	56	65	1	24	44	56	65
4	5	29	63	65	5	25	35	60	65	8	17	42	58	65
11	21	41	58	65	25	35	45	50	65	4	26	35	61	66
6	23	49	54	66	6	28	30	62	66	7	43	47	47	66
8	10	33	63	66	13	16	35	62	66	13	18	42	59	66
20	24	25	63	66	31	38	44	49	66	3	22	42	60	67
7	17	43	60	67	22	22	42	59	68	13	32	42	59	68
19	35	39	59	68	22	26	48	56	68	26	30	35	61	68
6	28	53	54	69	11	41	49	52	69	18	42	45	54	69
20	35	37	62	69	26	28	37	62	69	10	10	50	60	70
10	15	50	65	70	11	16	48	65	70	13	19	39	65	70
15	24	52	57	70	16	22	24	68	70	19	36	52	53	70
25	39	48	54	70	3	18	56	56	72	4	28	56	56	72

TABLE 4. Positive integers solutions of equation (3.1) with $b \leq 72$

We checked all $b \leq 1000$, we observe that when b takes the following values

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19, 22, 27, 29, 47, 58, 61, 71,

then b^3 can not be represented as the sum of four cubes of positive integers. Table 4 lists solutions to (3.1) with $b \leq 72$. We propose the following claim.

Claim 5. *For almost all sufficiently large number b , b^3 can be represented as the sum of four cubes of positive integers. The representation is not unique.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brüdern, A sieve approach to the Waring–Goldbach problem (I): sums of four cubes, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 28 (1995) 461–476.
- [2] J. Brüdern, and K. Kawada. On the Waring–Goldbach problem for cubes. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal* 51.3 (2009): 703-712.
- [3] T. W. Ching, and K. M. Tsang, Waring–Goldbach problem involving cubes of primes. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 297.3 (2021): 1105-1117.
- [4] H. Davenport, On Waring’s problem for cubes, *Acta Math.* 71 (1939) 123–143.
- [5] G H Hardy and J E Littlewood, Some problems of ‘Partitio numerorum’ (VI): Further researches in Waring’s Problem, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 23 (1925), 1-37.
- [6] L.K. Hua, Some results in additive prime number theory, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* 9 (1938)68–80.
- [7] L.K. Hua, *Additive Theory of Prime Numbers*, Science Press, Beijing, 1957 (in Chinese); English version, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1965
- [8] Y. Linnik, On the representation of large numbers as sums of seven cubes. *Mat. Sb.* 12, 218–224 (1943)
- [9] Z. Liu, Density of the sums of four cubes of primes. *Journal of Number Theory* 132.4 (2012): 735-747.
- [10] X.M. Ren, Density of integers that are the sum of four cubes of primes, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 22 (2001) 233–242.
- [11] X.M. Ren, Sums of four cubes of primes, *J. Number Theory* 98 (2003) 156–171.
- [12] R. Taylor, and A. Wiles, Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras. *Annals of Mathematics*, (1995). 553-572.
- [13] A. Wiles, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem. *Annals of mathematics* 141.3 (1995): 443-551.

(Z. Zhai) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MACEWAN UNIVERSITY, EDMONTON, ALBERTA T5J2P2 CANADA
Email address: zhai2@macewan.ca