

A model of the transmission of SARS-Cov-2 (Covid-19) with an underlying condition of Diabetes

Samuel Okyere^{*1}, Joseph Ackora Prah¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana

Corresponding Author's Email: okyere2015@gmail.com

Abstract

It is well established that people with diabetes are more likely to have serious complications from COVID-19. Nearly 1 in 5 COVID-19 deaths in the African region are linked to diabetes. World Health Organization finds that 18.3% of COVID-19 deaths in Africa are among people with diabetes. In this paper, we propose a deterministic mathematical model to study the comorbidity of diabetes and COVID-19. We consider a population with an underlying condition of diabetes. The reproductive number (R_0) of the model has been determined. The steady state of the model and the stability analyses of the disease-free and endemic equilibrium were also established. The endemic equilibrium was found to be stable for $R_0 > 1$. The results of the numerical simulation show more COVID-19 related deaths in the population with an underlying condition of diabetes as compared to the diabetes-free population. Optimal controls were incorporated into the model to determine the effectiveness of two preventive control measures such lockdown and vaccination. Both measures were very effective in curbing the spread of the disease.

Keywords: Optimal Control, Diabetes, COVID-19, Reproductive number, Equilibrium points, Comorbidity

1 Introduction

Diabetes is one of the underlying conditions associated with a high risk of COVID-19 complications. World Health Organization finds that 18.3% of COVID-19 deaths in Africa are among people with diabetes [9]. A recent WHO analysis evaluated data from 13 countries on underlying conditions or comorbidities in Africans who tested positive for COVID-19 revealed a 10.2% case fatality rate in patients with diabetes, compared with 2.5% for COVID-19 patients overall [10].

Having heart failure, coronary artery disease, and hypertension can make you more severely ill from COVID-19. However, the case fatality rate for people with diabetes is twice as high as the fatality rate among patients suffering from any comorbidity mentioned [10]. Studies have also shown that COVID-19 does not affect all population groups equally. The risk of severe COVID-19 increases as the number of underlying medical conditions increases in a person [5-8].

COVID-19 spreads primarily from person to person through small droplets from the nose or mouth, which are expelled when a person with COVID-19 coughs, sneezes, or speaks (WHO, 2020). Symptoms of COVID – 19 include fever [13], cough, headache [12], fatigue, breathing difficulties, and loss of smell and taste [14, 15].

To defeat the global pandemic, different countries were in lockdown. Now a majority of the countries have eased restrictions and have resulted in mandatory COVID-19 vaccines. Thus far, over 286 million COVID-19 cases have been recorded with over 5.44 million deaths [16].

The transmission dynamics of COVID-19 have been studied and analyzed by researchers using mathematical models [17-19]. Authors in [19] proposed a data-driven, statistical COVID-19 model with distributed delay. The main aim of this paper is to study the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in a population with an underlying condition of diabetes. Comorbidity of Diabetes – COVID -19 has not yet been investigated according to literature.

The subsequent sections of the paper are as follows: In Sect. 2, we propose and explore a mathematical model of COVID-19 with comorbidity of diabetes. In sect. 3, we determine the qualitative features of the model. Sect. 4, analysis of the model formulated. Sect. 5, we incorporate the optimal control in the formulated model. In sect. 6, we analyzed numerically the behaviour of the formulated model using available data. Finally, in Sect. 6, we discuss and conclude the results from our proposed study.

2 Model Formulation

The population is partitioned into six (6) compartments, namely: Susceptible individuals (S), the number of people with diabetes (D), the number of people exposed to COVID-19 (E), the number of people with COVID-19 only (I), the number of people coinfecting with COVID-19 and Diabetes (C), and those individuals who have recovered from COVID-19 (R). Therefore the total population, N is $N = S + D + E + I + C + R$

Individuals are recruited into the susceptible class at the rate Ω and they become diabetic at rate λ . The Susceptibles may be infected when they interact with those in class I or C. Then the infected person becomes exposed to the disease and hence moved to class E at rate β . The proportion of the exposed that joined the I class is $\alpha\varphi E$ and the remaining join the class C at the

rate $(1-\alpha)\varphi$. The parameter μ, δ, δ_1 and δ_2 are the natural death rate, COVID-19 disease – induced death, deaths due to coinfection of COVID-19 and diabetes and deaths due to diabetes. The rate at which co-infected people recovers from COVID-19 is γ_1 and the rate at which COVID-19 only patient recovers is γ . The flowchart of the model is shown in Fig. 1.




Fig.1: Flowchart of Diabetes – COVID-19 Model

The following ordinary differential equations describe the model:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dS}{dt} &= \Omega - \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) S - (\mu + \lambda) S, \\
 \frac{dD}{dt} &= \lambda S + \gamma_1 C - \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) D - (\delta_2 + \mu) D, \\
 \frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) S + \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) D - (\varphi + \mu) E, \\
 \frac{dI}{dt} &= \alpha \varphi E - (\delta + \mu + \gamma) I, \\
 \frac{dC}{dt} &= (1 - \alpha) \varphi E - (\delta_1 + \mu) C, \\
 \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

With initial conditions $S(0) = S_0, D(0) = D_0, E(0) = E_0, I(0) = I_0, C(0) = C_0, R(0) = R_0$

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Positivity and Boundedness of Solution

We present the following results which guarantee that system (1) is epidemiologically and mathematically well-posed in a feasible region Φ , given as

$$\Phi = \left[(S, D, E, I, C, R) \in R_+^6 : N \leq \frac{\Omega}{\mu} \right] \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1: There exists a domain Φ in which the solution set (S, D, E, I, C, R) is contained and bounded.

Proof:

Given the solution set (S, D, E, I, C, R) with positive initial conditions

$$S(0) = S_0, D(0) = D_0, E(0) = E_0, I(0) = I_0, C(0) = C_0, R(0) = R_0$$

We let, $N(t) = S(t) + D(t) + E(t) + I(t) + C(t) + R(t)$, then

$$N'(t) = S'(t) + D'(t) + E'(t) + I'(t) + C'(t) + R'(t)$$

It follows that $N'(t) < \Omega - \mu N$

Solving the differential inequalities yields

$$N'(t) \leq \frac{\Omega}{\mu} + N(0)e^{-\mu(t)}$$

Taking the limits as $t \rightarrow \infty$, gives $N' \leq \frac{\Omega}{\mu}$

That is, all solutions are confined in the feasible region Φ . We now show that the solutions of system (1) are nonnegative in Φ .

Theorem 2: If the initial states are nonnegative, then they remain so for all $t > 0$ in the domain Φ .

Proof:

Clearly it is easy to see $S(t) > 0$, for all $t \geq 0$. If not let there exist $t_* > 0$ such that

$S(t_*) = 0, S'(t_*) \leq 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq t_*$. Then from the first equation of system (1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(S e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) t} \right) = \Omega e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) t}$$

Integrating from 0 to t^* we obtain

$$S(t_*) e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) t} - S(0) = \int_0^{t_*} \Omega e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) \tau} d\tau$$

Multiplying through by $e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) t}$, we obtain

$$S(t_*) = S(0) e^{-\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) t} + e^{-\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) t} \left[\int_0^{t_*} \Omega e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \mu + \lambda \right) \tau} d\tau \right] > 0$$

which contradicts $S(t_*) = 0$.

Similarly, from the remaining five (5) equations of system (1), the following results can be obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
D(t_*) &= D(0)e^{-\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \delta_2 + \mu\right)t} + e^{-\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \delta_2 + \mu\right)t} \left[\int_0^{t_*} [\lambda S + \gamma_1 C] e^{\left(\frac{\beta I + \beta C}{N} + \delta_2 + \mu\right)\tau} d\tau \right] > 0 \\
E(t_*) &= E(0)e^{-(\varphi + \mu)t} + e^{-(\varphi + \mu)t} \left[\int_0^{t_*} \beta \left(\frac{(I(\tau) + C(\tau))S(\tau) + (I(\tau) + C(\tau))D(\tau)}{N(\tau)} \right) e^{(\varphi + \mu)\tau} d\tau \right] > 0 \\
I(t_*) &= I(0)e^{-(\delta + \mu + \gamma)t} + e^{-(\delta + \mu + \gamma)t} \left[\int_0^{t_*} \alpha \varphi E(\tau) e^{(\delta + \mu + \gamma)\tau} d\tau \right] > 0 \\
C(t_*) &= C(0)e^{-(\delta_1 + \mu)t} + e^{-(\delta_1 + \mu)t} \left[\int_0^{t_*} (1 - \alpha) \varphi E(\tau) e^{(\delta_1 + \mu)\tau} d\tau \right] > 0 \\
R(t_*) &= R(0)e^{-\mu t} + e^{-\mu t} \left[\int_0^{t_*} \mathcal{M}(\tau) e^{\mu\tau} d\tau \right] > 0
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $D(t_*) = E(t_*) = I(t_*) = C(t_*) = R(t_*) = 0$. Hence this completes the proof.

4 Analysis of the model

4.1 The Steady States of the Model

The disease – free equilibrium is the steady state solution where there is no COVID-19 infection in the population. Setting $E = I = C = 0$ and the right hand side of system (1) to zero, then solving yields

$$E^0 = (S^0, D^0, E^0, I^0, C^0, R^0) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\mu + \lambda}, \frac{\Omega \lambda}{(\delta_2 + \mu)(\mu + \lambda)}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right) \quad (3)$$

The pandemic equilibrium point, $E^* = (S^*, D^*, E^*, I^*, C^*, R^*)$ is

$$\left[\begin{array}{l}
S^* = \frac{\Omega}{\beta \frac{(I^* + C^*)}{N} + (\mu + \lambda)} \\
D^* = \frac{\lambda S^* + \gamma_1 C^*}{\beta \frac{(I^* + C^*)}{N} + (\mu + \delta_2)} \\
E^* = \frac{\beta}{(\varphi + \mu)} \left[\frac{(I^* + C^*)(S^* + D^*)}{N} \right] \\
I^* = \frac{\alpha \varphi E^*}{(\delta + \mu + \gamma)} \\
C^* = \frac{(1 - \alpha) \varphi E^*}{(\delta_1 + \mu + \gamma_1)} \\
R^* = \frac{\gamma I^*}{\mu}
\end{array} \right] \quad (4)$$

4.1 Basic Reproductive Number

We now calculate the basic reproductive number (R_o) of system (1). The basic reproductive number is the number of secondary cases produced, in a susceptible population, by a single infective individual during the time span of the infection. We evaluate the basic reproductive number using the next generation operator method [3]. From system (1), E, I and C are the COVID-19 infected compartments. We decomposed the right hand side of system (1) corresponding to the COVID-19 infected compartments as $F - V$, where

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) S + \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) D \\ \alpha \varphi E \\ (1 - \alpha) \varphi E \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{pmatrix} (\varphi + \mu) E \\ (\delta + \mu + \gamma) I \\ (\delta_1 + \mu) C \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, we find the derivative of F and V evaluated at the disease-free steady state and this gives the matrices

$$F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\beta(S^0 + D^0)}{N} & \frac{\beta(S^0 + D^0)}{N} \\ \alpha\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ (1-\alpha)\varphi & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi + \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta + \mu + \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_1 + \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

Where $x_i = E, I, C$

$$FV^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\delta + \mu + \gamma)\beta\left(\frac{S^0 + D^0}{N}\right) & 0 \\ \alpha\varphi(\varphi + \mu) & 0 & 0 \\ (1-\alpha)\varphi & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The basic reproductive number is the largest positive eigenvalue of FV^{-1} and is given as

$$R_0 = \sqrt{\beta\alpha\varphi(\varphi + \mu)(\delta + \mu + \gamma)\left(\frac{\Omega(\lambda + \delta_2 + \mu)}{(\mu + \lambda)(\delta_2 + \mu)}\right) + (1-\alpha)\varphi} \quad (6)$$

4.2 Local Stability of the Equilibrium Points

The necessary condition for the local stability of both the disease –free and pandemic steady state is established in Theorem 3.

Theorem 3: The disease-free equilibrium, if it exist, is locally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$ and unstable when $R_0 > 1$.

Proof:

The Jacobian matrix of system (1) is given as

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta S}{N} & -\frac{\beta S}{N} & 0 \\ \lambda & J_{22} & 0 & -\frac{\beta D}{N} & -\frac{\beta D}{N} & 0 \\ \beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right) & \beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right) & -(\varphi+\mu) & \frac{\beta S}{N} + \frac{\beta D}{N} & \frac{\beta S}{N} + \frac{\beta D}{N} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha\varphi & -(\gamma+\mu+\delta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-\alpha)\varphi & 0 & -(\delta_1+\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 & -\mu \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Where

$$J_{11} = -\beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right) - (\lambda + \mu), J_{22} = -\beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right) - (\delta_2 + \mu)$$

The Jacobian matrix evaluated at the disease-free equilibrium point is

$$J_{E^0} = \begin{bmatrix} -(\lambda + \mu) & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta S^0}{N} & -\frac{\beta S^0}{N} & 0 \\ \lambda & -(\delta_2 + \mu) & 0 & -\frac{\beta D^0}{N} & -\frac{\beta D^0}{N} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\varphi + \mu) & \frac{\beta S^0}{N} + \frac{\beta D^0}{N} & \frac{\beta S^0}{N} + \frac{\beta D^0}{N} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha\varphi & -(\gamma + \mu + \delta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-\alpha)\varphi & 0 & -(\delta_1 + \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 & -\mu \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

The first three (3) eigenvalues are $-(\lambda + \mu)$, $-(\delta_2 + \mu)$ and $-\mu$. The remaining ones can be obtained by deleting the first, second, and sixth row and columns of system (8).

$$J_{E^0} = \begin{bmatrix} -\varphi - \mu & A & A \\ \alpha\varphi & -(\delta + \mu + \gamma) & 0 \\ (1 - \alpha)\varphi & 0 & -(\delta_1 + \mu) \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Where

$$A = \beta \left(\frac{S^0 + D^0}{N} \right)$$

The characteristic equation of system (9) is

$$\Phi(\omega) = \omega^3 + A_1\omega^2 + A_2\omega + A_3 = 0 \quad (10)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -(\delta + \mu + \gamma)(\delta_1 + \mu)(\varphi + \mu) \\ A_2 &= (\varphi + \mu)[(\delta + \mu + \gamma) + (\delta_1 + \mu) - A\varphi + (\delta + \mu + \gamma)(\delta_1 + \mu)] \\ A_3 &= -A((\delta + \mu + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\varphi - \alpha\varphi(\delta_1 + \mu)) + (\delta + \mu + \gamma)(\delta_1 + \mu)(\varphi + \mu) \end{aligned}$$

Let $R_0 > 0$, then $A_2 < 0$ and $A_3 < 0$. This shows that the system (1) has a unique endemic steady state which is stable. In addition from Routh – Hurwitz stability criterion, if the conditions $A_1 > 0, A_3 > 0$ and $A_1A_2 - A_3 > 0$ are true, then all the roots of the characteristic equation (10) have a negative real part which means stable equilibrium but clearly, $A_1 < 0$ and this makes the disease-free steady state unstable since we already have an underlying condition of diabetes in the population.

5 Optimal Control Model

In this section, we look at two optimal control measures i.e. control (u_1) which represents the lockdown and control (u_2) which represents vaccination of individuals. We include the time-dependent controls into system (1) and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dS}{dt} &= \Omega - (1 - u_1)\beta\left(\frac{I + C}{N}\right)S - (\mu + \lambda)S - u_2S, \\
 \frac{dD}{dt} &= \lambda S + \gamma_1 C - (1 - u_1)\beta\left(\frac{I + C}{N}\right)D - (\delta_2 + \mu)D - u_2D, \\
 \frac{dE}{dt} &= (1 - u_1)\beta\left(\frac{I + C}{N}\right)S + (1 - u_1)\beta\left(\frac{I + C}{N}\right)D - (\varphi + \mu)E, \\
 \frac{dI}{dt} &= \alpha\varphi E - (\delta + \mu + \gamma)I, \\
 \frac{dC}{dt} &= (1 - \alpha)\varphi E - (\delta_1 + \mu)C, \\
 \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

5.2 Analysis of the Optimal Control Model

We analyze the behaviour of system (11). The objective function for fixed time t_f is given by

$$J(u_1, u_2) = \int_0^{t_f} [f_1 S(t) + f_2 E(t) + f_3 I(t) + f_4 C(t) + f_5 D(t) + \frac{1}{2}(T_1 u_1^2 + T_2 u_2^2)] dt \tag{12}$$

Where f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 and f_5 are the relative weights and T_1 and T_2 are the relative cost associated with the controls u_1 and u_2 . The final time of the control is t_f . The aim of the control is to minimize the cost function.

$$J(u_1^*, u_2^*) = \min_{u_1, u_2 \in U} J(u_1, u_2) \tag{13}$$

Subject to system (11), where $U = (u_1, u_2)$ is such that $0 \leq (u_1, u_2) \leq 1$ and $t \in (0, t_f)$. In order to derive the necessary condition for the optimal control, Pontryagin maximum principle given in [4] was used. This principle converts system (11) - (13) into a problem of minimizing a Hamiltonian H, defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & f_1 S(t) + f_2 D(t) + f_3 E(t) + f_4 I(t) + f_5 C(t) + \frac{1}{2}(T_1 u_1^2 + T_2 u_2^2) \\
& + \Lambda_S \{ (\Omega - (1 - u_1) \beta \left(\frac{I + C}{N} \right) S - (\mu + \lambda) S - u_2 S \} \\
& + \Lambda_D \{ \lambda S + \gamma_1 C - (1 - u_1) \beta \left(\frac{I + C}{N} \right) D - (\delta_2 + \mu) D - u_2 D \} \\
& + \Lambda_E \{ (1 - u_1) \beta \left(\frac{I + C}{N} \right) S + (1 - u_1) \beta \left(\frac{I + C}{N} \right) D - (\varphi + \mu) E \} \\
& + \Lambda_I \{ \alpha \varphi E - (\delta + \mu + \gamma) I \} \\
& + \Lambda_C \{ (1 - \alpha) \varphi E - (\delta_1 + \mu) C \} \\
& + \Lambda_R \{ \gamma I - \mu R \}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Where $\Lambda_S, \Lambda_D, \Lambda_E, \Lambda_I, \Lambda_C$, and Λ_R represents the adjoint variables or costate variables. The system of equations is derived by taking into account the correct partial derivatives of system (14) with respect to the associated state variables.

Theorem 4: Given an optimal control $(u_1^*, u_2^*) \in U$ and corresponding solution $S^*, D^*, E^*, I^*, C^*, R^*$ that minimizes $J(u_1, u_2)$ over U. Furthermore, there exist adjoint variables $\Lambda_S, \Lambda_D, \Lambda_E, \Lambda_I, \Lambda_C, \Lambda_R$, satisfying

$$-\frac{d\Lambda_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial i} \tag{15}$$

Where $i = \Lambda_S, \Lambda_E, \Lambda_A, \Lambda_Q, \Lambda_V, \Lambda_R$, with the transversality conditions

$$\Lambda_S(t_f) = \Lambda_D(t_f) = \Lambda_E(t_f) = \Lambda_I(t_f) = \Lambda_C(t_f) = \Lambda_R(t_f) = 0$$

Proof: The differential equations characterized by the adjoint variables are obtained by considering the right-hand side differentiation of system (14) determined by the optimal control.

The adjoint equations derived are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Lambda_S}{dt} &= -f_1 + (1-u_1)\beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right)[\Lambda_S - \Lambda_E] + (\mu+u_2)\Lambda_S + \lambda[\Lambda_S - \Lambda_D], \\ \frac{d\Lambda_D}{dt} &= -f_2 + (1-u_1)\beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right)[\Lambda_D - \Lambda_E] + (\delta_2 + \mu + u_2)\Lambda_D, \\ \frac{d\Lambda_E}{dt} &= -f_3 + (\varphi + \mu)\Lambda_E + \alpha\varphi[\Lambda_C - \Lambda_I] - \varphi\Lambda_C, \\ \frac{d\Lambda_I}{dt} &= -f_4 + (1-u_1)\frac{\beta S}{N}[\Lambda_S - \Lambda_E] + (1-u_1)\beta\frac{D}{N}[\Lambda_D - \Lambda_E] + (\gamma + \mu + \delta)\Lambda_I - \gamma\Lambda_R, \\ \frac{d\Lambda_C}{dt} &= -f_5 + (1-u_1)\frac{\beta S}{N}[\Lambda_S - \Lambda_E] + (1-u_1)\beta\frac{D}{N}[\Lambda_D - \Lambda_E] - \gamma\Lambda_D + (\mu + \delta_1)\Lambda_C, \\ \frac{d\Lambda_R}{dt} &= \mu\Lambda_R \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By obtaining the solution for u_1^* and u_2^* subject to the constraints, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_1} = -T_1 u_1 + \beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right)S[\Lambda_E - \Lambda_S] + \beta\left(\frac{I+C}{N}\right)D[\Lambda_E - \Lambda_D] \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_2} = -T_2 u_2 + S\Lambda_S + D\Lambda_D \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1^* &= \min \left(1, \max \left(0, \frac{\beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) S[\Lambda_E - \Lambda_S] + \beta \left(\frac{I+C}{N} \right) D[\Lambda_E - \Lambda_D]}{T_1} \right) \right) \\
u_2^* &= \min \left(1, \max \left(\frac{S\Lambda_S + D\Lambda_D}{T_2} \right) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

6 Numerical Analysis of the Model

In this section, we analyze numerically the behaviour of system (1) and (11) using the parameter values given in Table 1. To parameterize our model, we used reasonable epidemiological parameters based on our current understanding of COVID-19 and diabetes. We also use demographic data of Ghana.

Table 1: Parameter values and description

Parameter	Description	Value	Source
Ω	Recruitment rate	28.452	[2]
β	Covid-19 transmission rate	0.9	Assumed
δ	Covid-19 disease induced death	0.0016728	[1]
λ	Incidence of diabetes mellitus	0.2	[21]
γ	The recovery rate of covid-19 only patients	1/14	Estimated
μ	Natural death rate	0.4252912×10^{-4}	Estimated
φ	The rate at which exposed individuals become infectious	0.021199	Assumed
γ_1	The rate at which Diabetic patients recover	0.0000152	Assumed

	from Covid-19		
δ_1	Diabetes-Covid-19 disease induced death	0.01	Assumed
δ_2	Diabetes disease-induced death	0.05	[20]
α	Proportion of the exposed that joins the covid-19 only class	0.7	Assumed

Using the initial conditions

$S(0) = 31000000, D(0) = 1000, E(0) = 100, I(0) = 10, C(0) = 0, R(0) = 0$ and the parameter values given in Table 1, Figures 2 – 7 depicts the behaviour of the susceptible diabetic exposed to Covid-19, Covid-19 infected without the underlying condition, COVID-19 infected with the underlying condition of diabetes and individuals removed from COVID-19.




Fig. 2: Behaviour of susceptible individuals




Fig. 3: Behaviour of the individual exposed to COVID-19




Fig. 4: Behaviour of individuals with COVID-19 without the underlying condition




Fig. 5: Behaviour of the Diabetic individuals




Fig. 6: Behaviour of the COVID-19 patients with underlying condition of Diabetics




Fig. 7: Behaviour of the recovered individuals from COVID-19




Fig. 8: Deaths due to COVID-19 of those with underlying conditions and those without

Fig. 2 depicts the behaviour of the susceptible individuals; Fig. 3 depicts the behaviour of individuals exposed to covid-19. Fig. 4 depicts the behaviour of individuals with covid-19 without the underlying conditions. Fig. 5 depicts the behaviour of individuals with diabetes . Fig. 6 depicts the behaviour of COVID-19 patients with the underlying condition of diabetes. Fig. 7 depicts the behaviour of individuals removed from COVID-19. Fig. 8 compares the COVID-19 related deaths of individuals with the underlying condition of diabetes and individuals without underlying conditions. It can be seen that more people die when they have underlying conditions compared to those without underlying conditions. We now focused our attention on the optimal controls using the parameter values given in Table 1 and the same initial conditions given above.

6.1 Optimal Control (u_1) of the Model

Setting control $u_1 = 0.05$ and using the same initial conditions and parameter values in Table 1, the results of the simulation are displayed in Figs. 9 – 12 which depicts the behaviour of all the compartments.




Fig. 9: Behaviour of the susceptible population with and without control




Fig. 10: Behaviour of the exposed individuals with and without control




Fig. 11: Behaviour of COVID-19 infected individuals with no diabetes with and without control




Fig. 12: Behaviour of infected individuals with underlying condition of diabetes with and without control




Fig. 13: Behaviour of the diabetic-only individuals with and without control




Fig. 14: Behaviour of the recovery class with and without control

Figs. 9-14 depicts the behaviour of the susceptibles without diabetes, Individuals exposed to COVID-19, Individuals with diabetes, COVID-19 infected Individuals without underlying conditions, COVID-19 infected people with diabetes and individuals removed from COVID-19 with and without optimal control. The Susceptible population remains constant when there is a lockdown (see Fig. 9 and Fig. 13). There is a decline in the total number of people in the remaining compartments.

6.2 Control (u_2) of COVID-19

We now focus our attention on the vaccination control (u_2). The goal is to reduce the number of people who contract COVID-19. By setting $u_2 = 0.05$ and using the same initial conditions and parameter values given in Table 1, the results are displayed in Figs. 15 – 20.




Fig. 15: Behaviour of the susceptible population with and without control




Fig. 16: Behaviour of the exposed individuals with and without control




Fig. 17: Behaviour of the infected individuals without diabetes with and without control




Fig. 18: Behaviour of infected individuals with underlying condition of Diabetes with and without control




Fig. 19: Behaviour of susceptible individuals with diabetes, with and without control




Fig. 20: Behaviour of the recovery class with and without control

Fig. 15-20 depicts the behaviour of the susceptible without Diabetes, Individuals exposed to COVID-19, COVID-19 infected Individuals without underlying conditions, COVID-19 infected individuals with underlying condition of diabetes, susceptible individuals with underlying condition of diabetes and individuals removed from COVID-19 with and without optimal control. The vaccination has no effect on the recovery class (see Fig. 20), however, there is a decline in the number of susceptibles, exposed and infected individuals.

7 Conclusion

We have proposed a mathematical model to study the comorbidity of COVID-19 and Diabetes Mellitus. The model was validated using demographical data of Ghana and current COVID-19 and Diabetic Mellitus parameter estimates in the literatures. The basic reproductive number of

the model was determined. The basic properties of the model were examined. The model was locally stable at the endemic equilibrium point. Optimal controls were incorporated into the model to determine the effectiveness of two preventive control measures which are lockdown and vaccination. Both measures were very effective in curtailing the spread of the disease.

Declaration of interest

None

Funding

None

1. I. Ahmed, G. U. Modu, A. Yusuf, P. Kumam and I. Yusuf, A mathematical model of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) containing asymptomatic and symptomatic classes, Elsevier public health emergency collection, Results Phys. 2021; 21:103776, doi: 10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103776
2. Ghana Birth rate; 2021, www.indexmundi.com retrieved on 11th December, 2021
3. Van den Driessche P, Watmough J. Reproduction numbers and sub – threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. Math. Bios. 2002; 180: 29 – 48. doi: 10.1016/s0025-5564(02)00108-6.
4. L.S. Pontryagin, V.G. Boltyanskii, R.V. Gamkrelidze and E.F. Mishchenko, The mathematical theory of optimal processes 1963, VIII +360S. New York/London. Wiley and sons. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/zamm.19630431023>
5. A. De Giorgi, F. Fabbian, S. Greco, E. Di Simone, R. De Giorgio, A. Passaro, G. Zuliani, R. Manfredini, “Prediction of in-hospital mortality of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection by comorbidity indexes: an Italian internal medicine single center study”, *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2020, 24(19), 10258-10266. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202010_23250
6. L. Dominguez-Ramirez, F. Rodriguez-Perez, F. Sosa-Jurado, G. Santos-Lopez, P. Cortes-Hernandez, “The role of metabolic comorbidity in COVID-19 mortality of middle-aged adults. The case of Mexico”, *medRxiv* 2020.12.15.20244160; doi: 10.1101/2020.12.15.20244160
7. N. Rosenthal, Z. Cao, J. Gundrum, J. Sianis, S. Safo, “Risk Factors Associated With In-Hospital Mortality in a US National Sample of Patients With COVID-19”. *JAMA Network Open*.2020;3(12):e2029058. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.29058
8. Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. Underlying Medical Conditions Associated with Higher Risk for Severe COVID-19: Information for Healthcare Providers. Updated Oct. 14, 2021, https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/underlyingconditions.html#ref_9

9. World Health Organization (WHO), Fact sheet, Diabetes, 2021. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes>
10. World Health Organization (WHO), COVID-19 more deadly in Africans with diabetes. 11 November 2021 <https://www.afro.who.int/news/covid-19-more-deadly-africans-diabetes>
11. WHO, 2020. Q \and A on coronaviruses (COVID-19) <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/q-a-coronaviruses>
12. M. A. Islam, “Prevalence of headache in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Systematic review and meta-analysis of 14275 patients”, *Frontiers in Neurology*, 2020; 11:562634, doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.562634
13. M. A. Islam, “Prevalence and characteristic of fever in adult and paediatric patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Systematic review and meta-analysis of 17515 patients”, *PLOS ONE*, 2021; 16:4, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0240634
14. A.A. Agyemang K.L. Chin, C.B. Landersdorfer, D. Liew, R. Ofori-Asenso, “Smell and taste Dysfunction in Patients with COVID-19: A systematic Review and Meta - analysis”, *Mayo Clin. Proc.*, 2020; 95(8):1621-1631. Doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.05.030
15. J. Saniasiaya and M.A. Islam, “Prevalence and characteristics of taste disorders in Cases of COVID-19: Systematic review and meta-analysis of 29349 patients”, *Otolaryngology – Head and neck Surgery*. 165(1): 33-42. doi:10.1177/0194599820981018.
16. Worldometers, “COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic”, 2021, <http://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus>
17. A.M Ajbar, E. Ali, A. Ajbar, “Modeling the evolution of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Saudi Arabia”, *J infect Dev Ctries*, 2021; 15(7):918-924, doi:10.3855/jidc.13568.PMID:34343116
18. I. Appiah-Otoo, M.B. Kursah, “Modelling spatial variations of novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19): evidence from a global perspective”, *GeoJournal*, Vol. 24: 1-15;2021, doi:10.1007/s10708-021-10427-0
19. X. Liu, X. Zheng, B. Balachandran, “Covid-19: data-driven dynamics, statistical and distributed delay models, and observations”, *Nonlinear Dyn.* 2020;101(3):1527–1543. doi: 10.1007/s11071-020-05863-5
20. A. Boutayeb, E.H. Twizell, K. Achouayb and A. Chetouani, “A mathematical model for the burden of diabetes and its complications”, *BioMed Eng OnLine* **3**, 20 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-925X-3-20>
21. A. Kouidere, A. Labzai, H. Ferjouchia, O. Balatif, M. Rachik, "A New Mathematical Modeling with Optimal Control Strategy for the Dynamics of Population of Diabetics and Its Complications with Effect of Behavioral Factors", *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2020; vol. 2020, Article ID 1943410, 12 pages, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1943410>