

BPS Invariants for a Knot in Seifert Manifolds

Hee-Joong Chung

Department of Science Education, Jeju National University, Jeju, 63243, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT: We calculate homological blocks for a knot in Seifert manifolds from the Chern-Simons partition function with a Wilson loop operator when the gauge group is $SU(N)$. From the expectation value of the Wilson loop operator, we obtain the homological blocks by analytically continuing the Chern-Simons level with a given representation. We also obtain homological blocks with the analytically continued level and representation for a knot in the Seifert integer homology spheres.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Homological blocks for $G = SU(2)$	3
2.1	The case of a single abelian flat connection	4
2.2	The case with more abelian flat connections	8
3	Higher rank case	13
A	Lens space and representations of $G = SU(2)$	20
B	Remarks on a knot complement in Seifert rational homology spheres	21

1 Introduction

Knot invariants have been studied actively both in mathematics and physics due to their importance. Knot polynomials can be understood as the Wilson loop expectation value in Chern-Simons theory and since the work of Witten [1] knot polynomials have been discussed from various physical perspectives.

Recently, there have been important developments in knot polynomials, which are about the analytic continuation of knot invariants [2, 3]. Chern-Simons partition function for a knot in non-trivial 3-manifolds in general is not a q -series with integer powers and integer coefficients. But it was conjectured in [2] that the Chern-Simons partition function for a Wilson loop operators supported on a knot K in non-trivial 3-manifold M_3 with representation \mathcal{R} of the gauge group G can be decomposed into q -series with integer powers and integer coefficients, which is called the homological blocks $\widehat{Z}(M_3, K; q, \mathcal{R})$, as in the case of closed 3-manifolds [2, 4]. This was checked for lens space in [2] when $G = SU(2)$. These homological blocks can be regarded as the invariants that provide an analytic continuation of the Chern-Simons partition function for a knot in non-trivial 3-manifolds with a given representation \mathcal{R} , which is expected to be categorified.

Meanwhile, a two-variable knot invariant or an analytic continuation of the colored Jones polynomial with both the Chern-Simons level k and the representation \mathcal{R} analytically continued, which is often denoted as $F_K(x, q)$ in literature, has been proposed in [3]. A number of aspects of $F_K(x, q)$ including calculations and generalizations have been studied, for example, in [5–11].

In the context of M-theory, a knot that gives the homological block $\widehat{Z}(M_3, K; q, \mathcal{R})$ arise from additional M2 branes

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\text{space-time} & \mathbb{R} & \times & TN \times T^*M_3 \\
\text{M5 branes} & \mathbb{R} & \times & D^2 \times M_3 \\
\text{M2 branes} & \mathbb{R} & \times & pt \times T^*K
\end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where D^2 is a disc, TN is Taub-NUT space, $D^2 \subset TN$, and pt is a point at the center of D^2 . Or a knot can also be realized from additional M5 branes that are supported on $\mathbb{R} \times L_K$ where L_K is a conormal bundle of K such that $L_K \subset T^*M_3$ and $L_K \cap M_3 = K$ and on the contangent space to D^2 at the point pt [12]. Both of them lead to a Wilson loop in Chern-Simons theory. In these systems, $U(1)_R$ symmetry and $U(1)_q$ symmetry that is given by a linear combination of the rotational symmetry on D^2 and $U(1)_R$ symmetry are preserved, and they provide two gradings in the homological invariants that lead to homological blocks. For a Seifert manifold M_3 , there is an additional $U(1)_\beta$ symmetry that arises due to the existence of semi-free $U(1)$ action on the Seifert manifold, which lead to another extra grading in the homological invariants.

A knot that leads to the homological block $F(M_3, K; x, q)$ ¹ arise from different additional M5 branes

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\text{space-time} & \mathbb{R} & \times & TN \times T^*M_3 \\
\text{M5 branes} & \mathbb{R} & \times & D^2 \times M_3 \\
\text{M5' branes} & \mathbb{R} & \times & D^2 \times L_K
\end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

Differently from the previous additional M5 branes in (1.1), M5' branes in (1.2) is supported on D^2 . In the context of the 3d-3d correspondence, this M5' branes changes the theory $T[M_3]$ to $T[M_3 \setminus K]$ while the additional M5 branes or M2 branes in (1.1) give a loop operator in $T[M_3]$.

In this paper, we calculate homological blocks $\widehat{Z}(M_3, K; q, \mathcal{R})$ and $F(M_3, K; x, q)$ for a knot in an infinite family of Seifert manifolds M_3 when the gauge group is $SU(N)$. The knot K is supported on S^1 fiber of the Seifert manifold and is called the Seifert knot. From the expression of Chern-Simons partition function with Wilson loop supported on a knot in Seifert manifold obtained in [13, 14], by analytically continuing the Chern-Simons level k only or both the level k and the representation \mathcal{R} , we obtain homological blocks. We see that the structure or decomposition of Wilson loop expectation values in terms of homological block also arise for the analytically continued k as in the case of closed 3-manifolds. However, for the case that \mathcal{R} is also analytically continued and when M_3 is a Seifert rational homology sphere, we don't obtain homological blocks while having the structure as in the case of closed 3-manifolds except for the case of a knot in Seifert integer homology spheres.

In section 2, we consider the case $G = SU(2)$, which is simpler and shows some essential features. In section 3, we consider the higher rank case of $G = SU(N)$. In appendix A,

¹In our notation, $F(S^3, K; x, q) = F_K(x, q)$.

we consider homological blocks for a knot in lens space and compare them with the results in [2]. In appendix B, we discuss a few more about $F(M_3, K; x, q)$ for rational Seifert homology spheres.

Note added: While preparing the manuscript, we found that [15] appeared, which overlaps with parts of results in this paper.

2 Homological blocks for $G = SU(2)$

We consider the Seifert manifold $X(P_1/Q_1, \dots, P_F/Q_F)$ with F singular fibers where P_j and Q_j are coprime for each $j = 1, \dots, F$ and P_i and P_j are pairwise coprime. We denote P and H by

$$P = \prod_{j=1}^F P_j, \quad H = P \sum_{j=1}^F \frac{Q_j}{P_j} = \pm |\text{Tor}(H_1(M_3, \mathbb{Z}))| \quad (2.1)$$

and in this paper we choose H to be positive.

When $G = SU(2)$, the partition function for Seifert knot is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(k, R) = & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{P}} q^{\frac{3\pi i}{4} - \frac{1}{4}(\theta_0 + (R^2 - 1)P)} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} \int_{C_t} dy e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2 - 2Kty} \chi_R(y) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{(ey - e^{-y})^{F-2}} \right. \\ & - \sum_{m=1}^{2P-1} \text{Res} \left(e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2 - 2Kty} \frac{\chi_R(y)}{1 - e^{-2ky}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{(ey - e^{-y})^{F-2}} \right) \Big|_{y=\pi im} \\ & \left. - \sum_{t=1}^{H-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{2Pt}{H} \rfloor} \text{Res} \left(e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2 - 2Kty} \chi_R(y) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{(ey - e^{-y})^{F-2}} \right) \Big|_{y=-\pi im} \right] \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi_R(x) = \frac{\sinh Rx}{\sinh x} \quad (2.3)$$

is a character of the R -dimensional representation of $G = SU(2)$ and the contour C_t is a line from $-(1+i)\infty$ to $(1+i)\infty$ in the complex y -plane [13]. θ_0 is given by

$$\theta_0 = 3 + \sum_{j=1}^F 12s(Q_j, P_j) - \frac{Q_j}{P_j} \quad (2.4)$$

where $s(Q_j, P_j)$ is the Dedekind symbol

$$s(Q, P) = \frac{1}{4P} \sum_{l=1}^{P-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi l}{P}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi Ql}{P}\right) \quad (2.5)$$

for $P > 0$.

Resurgence

Before calculating homological blocks from the Gaussian integral part of (2.2), we check that the contributions from the abelian flat connections captures the contributions from non-abelian flat connections via resurgent analysis. Some aspects of resurgence for the case of torus knot in S^3 , which is a special case of Seifert knot, has been discussed [3, 9]. The Borel resummation of the Borel sum of the perturbative expansion around the abelian flat connection of $Z(k, R)$ is given by the Gaussian integral part of (2.2) with a contour γ that is parallel to the imaginary axis and located at ϵ on the real axis of the complex y -plane,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} \int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2 - 2Kty} \chi_R(y) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{(e^y - e^{-y})^{F-2}} \quad (2.6)$$

where R and k are analytically continued. We can see that (2.6) captures the contributions from non-abelian flat connections by considering the Stoke phenomena. For explicitness, we consider the the case of $F = 3$, and other number of singular fibers can be done similarly.

For the check, we evaluate the residue part of (2.2) and show that such residues can be recovered from the contributions from the poles that are swept by the change of integration contour from γ to C_t for each t as $k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$. The first type of residues of (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{m=1}^{2P-1} \text{Res} \left(e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2 - 2Kty} \frac{\chi_R(y)}{1 - e^{-2ky}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{(e^y - e^{-y})^{F-2}} \right) \Big|_{y=\pi im} \\ = \sum_{m=1}^{2P-1} 2iR(-1)^{Rm} H e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2} K \frac{H}{P} m^2} \frac{m}{P} \prod_{j=1}^3 \sin \frac{\pi m}{P_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Meanwhile, we take $k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ in (2.7) and change the integration cycle to C_0 accordingly, then along the way the contour picks the pole in the negative imaginary axis of y -plane. For each t , the residues for such poles are

$$- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Res} \left(e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2 - 2Kty} \frac{\chi_R(y)}{1 - e^{-2ky}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^3 e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{e^y - e^{-y}} \right) \Big|_{y=-\pi im}. \quad (2.8)$$

After the regularization, and then summing over $t = 0, \dots, H-1$, we see that this gives the same result as (2.7). Then by shifting the contour to $y = -2\pi i \frac{P}{H} t$ for each t , we obtain the rest of the residues in (2.2). Thus, the residue parts can be recovered from the contributions from the abelian flat connections to the partition function.

This resurgent analysis indicates that (2.6) would give the homological block for Seifert knot. We first consider the case of a single abelian flat connection, $H = 1$.

2.1 The case of a single abelian flat connection

We consider both cases that R is an integer and analytically continued.

Integer R

When $G = SU(2)$, the condition that R is an integer can be used from the beginning of the calculation of (2.6) by taking

$$\chi_R(y) = \frac{e^{Ry} - e^{-Ry}}{e^y - e^{-y}} = \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} e^{(R-1-2j)y}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then, when $F = 3$ and $H = 1$, (2.6) becomes

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} y^2} \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} e^{(R-1-2j)y} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{e^y - e^{-y}}. \quad (2.10)$$

We expand the rational function of sine hyperbolic functions in (2.10)

$$\frac{\sinh(P+l)y}{\sinh Py} = \sum_{m=0}^{M_l} (e^{(l-2mP)y} + e^{-(l-2mP)y}) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{2P}^{(l-2M_l P)}(n) e^{-ny} \quad (2.11)$$

for $M_l = -1, 0, 1, \dots$ such that $2M_l P < l < 2(M_l + 1)P$ where

$$\psi_{2P}^{(l)}(n) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm l \pmod{2P} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (2.12)$$

By using (2.11), (2.10) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} \sum_{s=0}^3 \sum_{m=0}^{M_{Y_s}} (q^{\frac{P}{4}((R-1-2j)+(Y_s/P+2m))^2} + q^{\frac{P}{4}((R-1-2j)-(Y_s/P+2m))^2}) \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n) q^{\frac{P}{4}((R-1-2j)-n/P)^2} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{2P}(n) := \sum_{s=0}^3 \psi_{2P}^{(Y_s)}(n) \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= P \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{P_2} + \frac{1}{P_3} \right) \right), & Y_1 &= P \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{P_1} - \frac{1}{P_2} - \frac{1}{P_3} \right) \right), \\ Y_2 &= P \left(1 - \left(-\frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{P_2} - \frac{1}{P_3} \right) \right), & Y_3 &= P \left(1 - \left(-\frac{1}{P_1} - \frac{1}{P_2} + \frac{1}{P_3} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Only when $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (2, 3, 5)$, a term in the first line of (2.13) doesn't vanish and is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{R-1} q^{\frac{30}{4}((R-1-2j)+1/30)^2} + q^{\frac{30}{4}((R-1-2j)-1/30)^2}. \quad (2.16)$$

Analytically continued R

Since the character is no longer a polynomial when R is analytically continued, for the calculation of the homological block with analytically continued $x = q^R$, we calculate the expansion of $\frac{\sinh ly}{(\sinh Py)^2}$, which is expressed as

$$P \frac{\sinh ly}{(\sinh Py)^2} = -\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{\sinh(P+l)y}{\sinh Py} \right) + l \frac{\cosh(P+l)y}{\sinh Py}. \quad (2.17)$$

In addition to (2.11), we also have

$$\frac{\cosh(P+l)y}{\sinh Py} = \sum_{m=0}^{M_l} (e^{(l-2mP)y} - e^{-(l-2mP)y}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{2P}^{(l-2M_l P)}(n) e^{-ny}, \quad (2.18)$$

for $M_l = -1, 0, 1, \dots$ such that $2M_l P < l < 2(M_l + 1)P$ where

$$\varphi_{2P}^{(l)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm l \pmod{2P} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (2.19)$$

The values that l in (2.17) can take are

$$P \left(\frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{P_2} + \frac{1}{P_3} \right), P \left(\frac{1}{P_1} - \frac{1}{P_2} - \frac{1}{P_3} \right), P \left(-\frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{P_2} - \frac{1}{P_3} \right), P \left(-\frac{1}{P_1} - \frac{1}{P_2} + \frac{1}{P_3} \right). \quad (2.20)$$

We denote them by U_s , $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively. For all possible (P_1, P_2, P_3) with the pairwise coprime condition, we see that $M_l = 0$ or -1 . Thus,

$$P \frac{\sinh ly}{(\sinh Py)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-n \psi_{2P}^{(l)}(n) + l \varphi_{2P}^{(l)}(n)) e^{-ny} =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2P}^{(l)}(n) e^{-ny}. \quad (2.21)$$

We can also check directly that

$$(e^{Py} - e^{-Py}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2P}^{(l)}(n) e^{-ny} = 2P \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{2P}^{(P-l)}(n) e^{-ny} \quad (2.22)$$

holds, which is consistent with (2.21).

From (2.6) and (2.21), we obtain the homological block $F(M_3, K; x, q)$

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi i P} \pi \left(\frac{2i}{K} P \right)^{1/2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} K P u^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x^{n/2} - x^{-n/2}) \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) q^{\frac{n^2}{4P}} \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$x := e^{2\pi i u} = q^R, \quad u := \frac{R}{K} \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) := \sum_{s=0}^3 B_{2P}^{(U_s)}(n). \quad (2.25)$$

This homological block can be understood as a contribution from the abelian flat connection to the partition function of analytically continued Chern-Simons theory on Seifert knot complement, which is realized as M5 branes in the brane realization (1.2) of Chern-Simons theory. The variable x is understood as a diagonal component of holonomy along the meridian of a knot complement.

Another way of calculation

We have calculated the homological block by directly evaluating (2.6), which contains a Gaussian factor. The integral with such a Gaussian factor can be expressed as another integral expression,

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{K}{2\pi i} p y^2} e^{-ny} = \pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4p}} z^m z^n \quad (2.26)$$

where on the right-hand side z may be interpreted as $z = e^{-y}$. With (2.26), the Gaussian integral (2.6) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{P}{2ik} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4P}} z^m \frac{z^{PR} - z^{-PR}}{z^P - z^{-P}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F z^{P/P_j} - z^{-P/P_j}}{(z^P - z^{-P})^{F-2}}. \quad (2.27)$$

When evaluating this integral, the expansion of the rational function as a series of z is understood as done in the previous calculation in terms of e^{-y} . When R is an integer, by using (2.11) and (2.9), we obtain the same result as (2.13). We can also obtain the same result by using the expansion (2.21) in (2.27).

When R is analytically continued, we can also calculate the homological block $F(M_3, K; x, q)$ in a similar way. For $H = 1$, (2.6) can be expressed as

$$\frac{P}{2\pi i} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} k u^2} \int_{\gamma} dy \left(e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} P(y - \pi i u)^2} - e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} P(y + \pi i u)^2} \right) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F e^{Py/P_j} - e^{-Py/P_j}}{(e^{Py} - e^{-Py})^{F-1}}. \quad (2.28)$$

By applying

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{K}{2\pi i} p(y \mp \pi i u)} e^{-ny} = \pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4p}} z^m x^{\mp \frac{m}{2}} z^{-n} \quad (2.29)$$

to (2.28), we obtain (2.23).²

²One may also consider yet another way of calculation from (2.6) by taking

$$e^{Ry} = \frac{\theta(e^y; q) \theta(q^R; q)}{\theta(q^R e^y; q) \theta(1; q)} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\theta(z; q) \theta(x; q)}{\theta(xz; q) \theta(1; q)} \quad (2.30)$$

where

$$\theta(x; q) = (-q^{1/2} x; q)_{\infty} (-q^{1/2} x^{-1}; q)_{\infty}. \quad (2.31)$$

However, (2.30) is a series of $q^{1/2}$, not q , so it is not appropriate.

As a remark, the resurgent analysis is more apparent in the integral expression involving a Gaussian term. Resurgent analysis is less apparent in the calculation from the contour integral involving theta-function-like term in the integrand, but shows the structure more directly, which is useful for the case of $H \geq 2$.

Calculations so far is for the loop supported on the fiber at a regular point on the orbifold. For a loop on the fiber at orbifold point of weight P_j , we take $y \rightarrow y/P_j$ in the character $\chi_R(y)$ [14].

We also note that all representations, *i.e.* both odd or even R gave non-zero results in the calculation above. However, in [2], for lens space, only odd R 's provide non-zero homological blocks. This is the case if we assume that only

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{K}{2\pi i} p y^2} e^{-2ny} = \pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4p}} z^m z^{2n} \quad (2.32)$$

can be used for the calculation and (2.26) is not allowed in the calculation. But from the perspective of analytic continuation or resurgent analysis, there is no reason to exclude the case of even R . We discuss this more in appendix A.

2.2 The case with more abelian flat connections

As in the previous section, we consider both cases that R is an integer and is analytically continued. As will be discussed below, we see a difference between them.

Integer R

From (2.6), when $F = 3$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t^2} \int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} (y+2\pi i \frac{P}{H} t)} \chi_R(y) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^3 e^{y/P_j} - e^{-y/P_j}}{e^y - e^{-y}}. \quad (2.33)$$

We first directly evaluate the integral and obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{2ik} \frac{H}{P} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n) q^{\frac{1}{4HP} (-2kPt + (R-1-2j)P - n)^2} \quad (2.34)$$

and when $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (2, 3, 5)$ there is also

$$\sum_{t=0}^{H-1} \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} \left(q^{\frac{1}{120H} (-60kt + 30(R-1-2j)+1)^2} + q^{\frac{1}{120H} (-60kt + 30(R-1-2j)-1)^2} \right). \quad (2.35)$$

Taking the limit $q \searrow e^{2\pi i/k}$ gives the Wilson loop expectation value, but the structure with the S -transform is not obvious in this calculation, so we may change the integral above to the contour integral.

By using

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i}HP(y+2\pi i\frac{t}{H})^2} e^{-ny} = \pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{HP}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4HP}} z^m e^{2\pi i\frac{tm}{H}} z^{-n} \quad (2.36)$$

(2.33) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{P}{2ik}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi ik\frac{P}{H}t^2} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4HP}} z^m e^{2\pi i\frac{tm}{H}} \frac{z^{PR} - z^{-PR}}{z^P - z^{-P}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^F z^{P/P_j} - z^{-P/P_j}}{z^P - z^{-P}}. \quad (2.37)$$

In (2.37), we may take

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} \quad (2.38)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(k, R) &= \left(\frac{P}{2ik}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi ik\frac{P}{H}t^2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i\frac{1}{H}tb} \\ &\quad \times \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} z^{(R-1-2j)P} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Or we keep $z^{PR} - z^{-PR}$ and expand $\frac{\prod_{j=1}^F z^{P/P_j} - z^{-P/P_j}}{(z^P - z^{-P})^2}$, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} Z(k, R) &= \left(\frac{P}{2ik}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi iK\frac{P}{H}t^2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i\frac{1}{H}tb} \\ &\quad \times \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l (z^{PR} - z^{-PR}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

We could also take $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/H\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in H\mathbb{Z}+b}$, which is still fine to take $e^{2\pi i\frac{1}{H}tm}$ out of the integral. But, (2.38) is a better choice, because depending on P_j 's the value of Y_j 's in (2.15) or U_j 's in (2.20), *i.e.* the values of n that give non-zero $\mathcal{A}_{2P}(n)$ or $\mathcal{B}_{2P}(n)$ are either all odd or all even. So (2.38) is a more appropriate choice.

Also (2.33) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P}{2\pi i} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi ik\frac{P}{H}t^2 + \frac{\pi i}{2k}\frac{P}{H}R^2} \int_{\gamma} dy (e^{-2\pi i\frac{P}{H}tR} e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i}HP(y+2\pi i\frac{t}{H} - \pi i\frac{R}{kH})} - e^{2\pi i\frac{P}{H}tR} e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i}HP(y+2\pi i\frac{t}{H} + \pi i\frac{R}{kH})}) \\ \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^3 e^{Py/P_j} - e^{-Py/P_j}}{(e^{Py} - e^{-Py})^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

and by using

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{K}{2\pi i} HP \left(y + 2\pi i \frac{t}{H} \pm \pi i \frac{R}{kH}\right)^2} e^{-ny} = \pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{HP}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4HP}} z^m e^{2\pi i \frac{tm}{H}} e^{\pm \pi i \frac{mR}{kH}} z^{-n}, \quad (2.42)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(k, R) = & -\left(\frac{1}{2ik} \frac{P}{H}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t^2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2k} \frac{P}{H} R^2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i \frac{tb}{H}} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \\ & \times \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{P}{H} tR} e^{\pi i \frac{tR}{kH}} - e^{-2\pi i \frac{P}{H} tR} e^{-\pi i \frac{tR}{kH}}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Though (2.39), (2.40), and (2.43) look different, they are all the same.

From (2.39), the homological block is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_b = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_b|} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \left(\sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l + \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}-b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \right) \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} z^{(R-1-2j)P} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n) z^{-n}, \quad (2.44)$$

or from (2.40)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_b = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_b|} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \left(\sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l + \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}-b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \right) (z^{PR} - z^{-PR}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \quad (2.45)$$

and $e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{H} tb}$ in (2.39) and (2.40) contributes to the S -transform. When $\chi_{R=1}(y) = 1$, we see that (2.39) or (2.40) produces the results without a knot. The label b in (2.44) and in (2.45) are not the same label used for the case without a knot. Instead, it is shifted due to the presence of a knot or the character, which we still denote as b .³

Thus, we see that the structure of Chern-Simons partition function for Seifert knots K with representation R is given by

$$Z_{SU(2)}(M_3, K; R, k) \simeq \sum_{a, b \in \text{Tor } H_1(M_3, \mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}_2} e^{2\pi i k CS_a} S_{ab} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_b(K; R, q) \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}} \quad (2.46)$$

where CS_a denotes the Chern-Simons invariant for the abelian flat connection a .

For example, when $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (2, 3, 7)$ with $H = 5$ and $R = 4$, we have

$$Z_{SU(2)}(M_3, K; 4, k) \simeq \sum_{a, b=0}^1 e^{2\pi i k CS_a} S_{ab} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_b \quad (2.47)$$

³We refer to section 3 for the expression with the shifted label.

with $(CS_0, CS_1) = (0, \frac{1}{3})$, $S_{ab} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(39)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(81)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(129)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(171)}, \quad (2.48)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = -\widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(11)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(31)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(59)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(101)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(109)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(151)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(179)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(199)}, \quad (2.49)$$

where $\widetilde{\Psi}_P^{(l)}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{2P}^{(l)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2}{4P}}$ is a false theta function. For a knot on the fiber at the orbifold point of weight P_j 's, homological blocks are given by, respectively,

$P_1 = 2$

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = 0, \quad (2.50)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = 0, \quad (2.51)$$

$P_2 = 5$

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = -\widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(39)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(81)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(171)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(291)}, \quad (2.52)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(59)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(101)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(109)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(151)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(199)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(241)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(389)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(409)}, \quad (2.53)$$

$P_3 = 7$

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = -\widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(9)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(51)} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(159)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(219)}, \quad (2.54)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = -\widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(61)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(79)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(89)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(121)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(131)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(229)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(271)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{210}^{(401)}. \quad (2.55)$$

Analytically continued R

The case with an integer R was similar to the case of 3-manifolds without a knot in a sense that Wilson loop expectation value is decomposed into homological blocks as in (2.46). However for the case of analytically continued R , we see that the decomposition as in (2.46) doesn't arise except the case of a single abelian flat connection where the S -transform is trivial.

If directly evaluating the integral with a Gaussian factor, from (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P}{2\pi i} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t^2 + \frac{\pi i}{2} k \frac{P}{H} u^2} \int_{\gamma} dy \left(e^{-2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t u} e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} H P (y + 2\pi i \frac{t}{H} - \pi i \frac{u}{H})^2} + e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t u} e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} H P (y + 2\pi i \frac{t}{H} + \pi i \frac{u}{H})^2} \right) \\ \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^3 e^{P y / P_j} - e^{-P y / P_j}}{(e^{P y} - e^{-P y})^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

and this gives

$$Z(k, R) \simeq - \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) \left(e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} (t + \frac{u}{2})^2} x^{\frac{n}{2H}} - e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} (t - \frac{u}{2})^2} x^{-\frac{n}{2H}} \right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nt}{H}} q^{\frac{n^2}{4HP}} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}} \quad (2.57)$$

up to an overall factor. When $H = 1$, we get

$$Z(k, R) \simeq -e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} k P u^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) (x^{\frac{n}{2}} - x^{-\frac{n}{2}}) q^{\frac{n^2}{4P}} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}}. \quad (2.58)$$

Therefore, when $H = 1$, the homological block is given by

$$F(M_3, K; x, q) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} k P (\log x)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) (x^{\frac{n}{2}} - x^{-\frac{n}{2}}) q^{\frac{n^2}{4P}}. \quad (2.59)$$

Or by using

$$\int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{K}{2\pi i} H P (y + 2\pi i \frac{t}{H} \pm \pi i \frac{u}{H})^2} e^{-ny} = \pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{HP} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4HP}} z^m e^{2\pi i \frac{tm}{H}} x^{\pm \frac{m}{2H}} z^{-n}, \quad (2.60)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(k, R) \simeq & - \left(\frac{1}{2ik} \frac{P}{H} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t^2 + \frac{\pi i}{2} k \frac{P}{H} u^2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i \frac{tb}{H}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \\ & \times \left(e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t u} x^{\frac{l}{2H}} - e^{-2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t u} x^{-\frac{l}{2H}} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

This agrees with (2.43) when R is taken to be an integer.

The sum of the contributions from t and $H - t$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{1}{2ik} \frac{P}{H} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t^2 + \frac{\pi i}{2} k \frac{P}{H} u^2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \\ & \times \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{tb}{H}} \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{P}{H} t R} x^{\frac{l}{2H}} - e^{-2\pi i \frac{P}{H} t R} x^{-\frac{l}{2H}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{-2\pi i \frac{tb}{H}} \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{P}{H} (H-t) R} x^{\frac{l}{2H}} - e^{-2\pi i \frac{P}{H} (H-t) R} x^{-\frac{l}{2H}} \right) \right) \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

where we have already taken k to be an integer at $e^{2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t^2}$. We see that $e^{\pm 2\pi i \frac{P}{H} t R} = e^{\pm 2\pi i k \frac{P}{H} t u}$ prevents to have a common factor $e^{2\pi i \frac{tb}{H}} + e^{-2\pi i \frac{tb}{H}}$ in (2.62), which can also be seen in the result (2.57). This coupling between u and t is always present when $H \geq 2$. So when R is analytically continued it is not clear whether it is possible to express the Chern-Simons partition function with a Wilson loop operator on the Seifert knot as a linear combination of the (x, q) -series with integer coefficients while having the structure with S -transform.⁴ It might be possible that this is due to the limitation of the approach discussed in this paper, but the integral expression with the Gaussian factor, which (2.62) is obtained from, is an appropriate quantity considering the resurgent analysis. We give a few more remarks in Appendix B.

⁴In the meantime, when $t = 0$, we have (x, q) -series with integer coefficients.

3 Higher rank case

For Seifert knots, the contributions from the abelian flat connections to the partition function has been obtained in [14] for simply-laced group G .⁵ As done in the case of Seifert manifolds without a knot [16] from the expressions of Chern-Simons partition function in [17], we can obtain the homological block for the Seifert knot from the expression in [14]. Here, we consider the case of $G = SU(N)$ and other types of simply-laced group can be done similarly.

When $G = SU(N)$, the contribution from the abelian flat connection is given by

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} \int_{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}}^{N-1}} d\beta_1 \dots d\beta_{N-1} e^{-\frac{K}{2\pi i} \frac{H}{P} (\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \beta_i^2 + \sum_{i < j}^{N-1} \beta_i \beta_j) - K(2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} t_i \beta_i + \sum_{i \neq j}^{N-1} t_i \beta_j)} \times \text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(e^\beta) \frac{\prod_{f=1}^F \prod_{i < j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2P_f} (\beta_i - \beta_j)}{\prod_{i < j}^N (2 \sinh \frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_j))^{F-2}} \quad (3.1)$$

up to the overall factor.⁶ For a knot on the fiber at the orbifold point of weight P_j , the character $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(e^\beta)$ is replaced with $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(e^{\beta/P_j})$.

Integer \mathcal{R}

The representation \mathcal{R} is labelled by Young tableaux and is a set of integers, and the character is a polynomial. As done previously, we consider an expansion of $\prod_{f=1}^F \prod_{i < j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2P_f} (\beta_i - \beta_j) / \prod_{i < j}^N (2 \sinh \frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_j))^{F-2}$. For concreteness, we consider the case of $F = 3$ and other cases can be done similarly.

For i and j with $i < j$ and $j \neq N$, we have

$$\frac{\prod_{f=1}^3 \left(e^{\frac{1}{P_f} \frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_j)} - e^{-\frac{1}{P_f} \frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_j)} \right)}{e^{\frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_j)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_j)}} = \sum_{n_{i,j}=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) e^{\frac{1}{2P} n_{i,j} (\beta_i - \beta_j)}, \quad (3.3)$$

and for $j = N$,

$$\frac{\prod_{f=1}^3 \left(e^{\frac{1}{P_f} \frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_N)} - e^{-\frac{1}{P_f} \frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_N)} \right)}{e^{\frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_N)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\beta_i - \beta_N)}} = \sum_{n_{i,N}=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,N}) e^{-\frac{1}{2P} n_{i,N} (\beta_i + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \beta_l)} \quad (3.4)$$

⁵The contribution from the trivial flat connection has been obtained in [13] for compact, connected, simply-connected, and simple gauge group G .

⁶ When $G = SU(N)$, the overall factor of the integral is

$$\frac{(-1)^{(N-1)N/2}}{(2\pi i)^{N-1} N!} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\text{sign}(P)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}}{|P|^{\frac{N-1}{2}}} e^{\frac{\pi i (N^2-1)}{4} \text{sign}(H/P) - \frac{\pi i}{12K} (N^2-1) N \phi_F} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\phi_F = 3 \text{sign} \left(\frac{H}{P} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^F \left(12s(Q_j, P_j) - \frac{Q_j}{P_j} \right)$

where we chose $0 < \text{Re } \beta_1 < \text{Re } \beta_2 < \dots < \text{Re } \beta_{N-1}$ and $P > 0$ for convergence. With these expansions, the integral (3.1) becomes

$$\sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} \int_{\Gamma^{N-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} d\beta_j e^{-\frac{K}{4\pi i} \frac{H}{P} \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j^2 - K \sum_{i=1}^N t_j \beta_j} \text{ch}_R(e^\beta) \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \right) e^{\frac{1}{2P} \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \beta_j} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\beta_N = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \beta_j$, $t_N = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} t_j$, and

$$c_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_{j,i} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} n_{i,j} - n_{i,N}, \quad c_N = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} n_{j,N}. \quad (3.6)$$

They satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^N c_j = 0$. We also see that $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_N) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-1} n_{i,j} e_{i,j} - \sum_{i=1}^N n_{i,N} e_{i,N}$ where $e_{i,j}$ is a N -component vector with $+1$ and -1 at the i -th and j -th component, respectively, and zero for the rest of components. Thus, \vec{c} is an element in the root lattice Λ_{rt} .⁷

We can directly evaluate (3.5), or we can also use

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} d\beta_j e^{-\frac{k}{\pi i} H P \sum_{j=1}^N (\beta_j + \pi i \frac{t_j}{H})^2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N e^{d_j \beta_j} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{N-1}}{\sqrt{N}} (iHPk)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \oint_{|z|=1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N m_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N m_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{m_j} \\ & \quad \times e^{\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j m_j} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{d_j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $z_N = (\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} z_j)^{-1}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^N m_j = -\sum_{j=1}^N d_j$. For the trivial representation, *i.e.* without a knot, (3.5) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N m_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N m_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-m_j} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j m_j} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we have taken $\vec{m} \rightarrow -\vec{m}$ in the sum. Since \vec{m} takes values of \vec{c} in the integral above, \vec{m} is also in Λ_{rt} . As \vec{t} and \vec{m} are in Λ_{rt} , we have $\vec{t} \cdot \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, in general, we may take

$$\sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \rightarrow \sum_{\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} \sum_{\vec{l} \in 2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}} + \vec{b}} \quad (3.9)$$

⁷Or the coroot lattice Λ_{cort} as for $G = SU(N)$, $\Lambda_{\text{rt}} = \Lambda_{\text{cort}}$.

and further express (3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2} \sum_{\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j b_j} \\
& \times \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{l} \in 2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}} + \vec{b}} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N l_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N l_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-l_j} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $e^{-\pi i \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{t_j b_j}{H}}$ contributes to the S -transform.

In (3.10), $\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}$ but \vec{b} 's, which are supposed to label the homological blocks and isomorphic to \mathbf{t} , are in $\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}$. But we see that they are indeed isomorphic. From the conditions that P_j and Q_j , $j = 1, \dots, F$, are coprime and P_j 's are pairwise coprime, H and P are coprime. Meanwhile, $\mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j})$ take non-zero values when $n_{i,j}$'s take values in (2.15). Therefore, when P is odd such $n_{i,j}$'s are all even, and when P is even such $n_{i,j}$'s are all odd.

In (3.8), terms with $\vec{l} = \vec{c}$ survive where each c_j is given by a linear combination of $N - 1$ $n_{i,j}$'s from (3.6). So when $n_{i,j}$'s are all even, regardless of N , all components of \vec{c} are even, so are \vec{l} . This is also the case when $n_{i,j}$'s are all odd and N is odd. Therefore, in this case, all components of \vec{b} should also be even. Such $\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}$ is obtained from multiplying $\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}$ by 2.

If P is even and H is odd, all the components of $n_{i,j}$'s are all odd. When N is even, all components of \vec{c} are odd, so are \vec{l} . Therefore, all components of \vec{b} should also be odd. We can see that such $\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}$ can be obtained by $2\mathbf{t} + H \sum_{j=1}^{N/2} \alpha_{2j-1,2j}$ where $\alpha_{i,j}$ denotes the root of $G = SU(N)$ where i -th component is 1 and j -th component is -1 in the orthonormal basis.

From the discussion above, we see that the homological block $\widehat{Z}_{W_{\vec{b}}}(q)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Z}_{W_{\vec{b}}}(q) &= \frac{1}{|W_{\vec{b}}|} \sum_{\vec{b} \in W_{\vec{b}}} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{l} \in 2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}} + \vec{b}} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N l_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N l_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-l_j} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $W_{\vec{b}}$ denotes the Weyl orbit of \vec{b} that satisfies condition discussed above.

When considering a knot with a representation \mathcal{R} , by using (3.7), (3.5) can be expressed

as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N m_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N m_j)^2)} \text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(z^{2P}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-m_j} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j m_j} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In this case, (3.9) is not directly applied to (3.12) due to the presence of the character \vec{b} cannot take the value in the root space in general. Instead, given a knot with a representation \mathcal{R} , we consider monomials in $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(z^{2P}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}$, which can be expressed as $h_{\vec{f}} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{d_j}$ with $d_j = c_j + 2P f_j$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ where a monomial in $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(z^{2P})$ is denoted by $\prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{2P f_j}$ and $h_{\vec{f}}$ is an integer. Therefore, (3.5) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2} \sum_{\vec{f}} h_{\vec{f}} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N m_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N m_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-m_j} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j m_j} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{d_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\sum_{\vec{f}}$ denotes the sum over $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$ of the monomials. This is also expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2} \sum_{\vec{f}} h_{\vec{f}} \sum_{\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j (b_j + 2P f_j)} \\
& \quad \times \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{l} \in 2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}} + \vec{b}} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N (l_j + 2P f_j)^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N 2P f_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-l_j} \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Comparing (3.14) with (3.10) for the case without a knot, we see that $\vec{b} + 2P\vec{f}$ serves an appropriate label for the homological block, which we denote as $[\vec{b} + 2P\vec{f}]$. Certainly, there are more numbers of $\vec{b} + 2P\vec{f}$ than the number of abelian flat connections, but we see from examples that sets of $\vec{b} + 2P\vec{f}$ appropriately label the homological blocks. Thus, in the presence of knot, the homological block can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Z}_{[\vec{b} + 2P\vec{f}]}(K; q, R) &= \sum'_{\vec{f}} h_{\vec{f}} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum'_{\vec{b} \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} \sum_{\vec{l} \in 2H\Lambda_{\text{rt}} + \vec{b}} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N (l_j + 2P f_j)^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N 2P f_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-l_j} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{A}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Here, the primed sums are the sum over \vec{f} and \vec{b} such that they are in the same class $[\vec{b} + 2P\vec{f}]$ and this can be seen by checking the exponents of q .

Example

When $G = SU(3)$, $H = 2$, $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (3, 5, 7)$, there are 5 Weyl orbits in $\Lambda_{\text{rt}}/4\Lambda_{\text{rt}}$, but only three Weyl orbits contribute

$$\begin{aligned} W_t & \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/4\Lambda_{\text{rt}} \\ W_0 & \{0\} \\ W_1 & \{2\alpha_{12}, 2\alpha_{23}, 2(\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{23})\} \\ W_2 & \{4\alpha_{12}, 4\alpha_{23}, 4(\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{23})\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{12} = (1, -1, 0)$, $\alpha_{13} = (1, 0, -1)$, and $\alpha_{23} = (0, 1, -1)$. The Chern-Simons partition function with a knot is given by

$$\sum_{a,b=0}^1 e^{2\pi i k CS_a} S_{ab} \widehat{Z}_b(M_3, K; R, q) \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}} \quad (3.16)$$

where $(CS_0, CS_1) = (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.17)$$

and, for example, for a totally symmetric representation \mathcal{S}^2 ,

$$\widehat{Z}_0(K; \mathcal{S}^2; q) = q^{43 + \frac{53}{105}} (-3 - 3q^2 - 3q^8 + 3q^{22} - 6q^{32} + 6q^{34} + 9q^{46} - 3q^{48} + \dots) \quad (3.18)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1(K; \mathcal{S}^2; q) = q^{40 + \frac{1}{210}} (3 - 3q^{11} + 3q^{12} + 3q^{15} - 3q^{16} + 6q^{18} + 6q^{20} + 6q^{24} + \dots). \quad (3.19)$$

When a knot is supported on the fiber at the orbifold point of weight P_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, we take $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(e^{y/P_j})$ and obtain homological blocks, respectively,

$$P_1 = 3$$

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = q^{1 + \frac{299}{315}} (2 - 2q^4 - 2q^6 - 2q^8 + q^{12} - q^{14} + q^{20} + 3q^{24} + q^{32} - q^{38} - q^{40} + \dots), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = -q^{283/630} (2 - 2q^2 - 2q^4 + 2q^5 + q^7 - q^8 - q^9 - 2q^{11} - 2q^{14} + q^{15} + \dots), \quad (3.21)$$

$$P_2 = 5$$

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = q^{1 + \frac{53}{105}} (1 - q^8 - q^{16} + q^{20} - q^{24} - 2q^{28} - 2q^{32} - 2q^{44} + q^{50} + q^{52} - q^{56} + \dots), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = -q^{1 + \frac{1}{210}} (1 + q^3 - q^4 - q^6 - q^7 - q^9 + 2q^{10} + q^{11} - q^{15} + q^{16} - q^{17} + \dots), \quad (3.23)$$

$$P_3 = 7$$

$$\widehat{Z}_0 = q^{2 + \frac{8}{105}} (1 + q^{12} - q^{14} - q^{20} - q^{24} - 2q^{28} - 2q^{30} - 2q^{36} - q^{40} + 2q^{56} + \dots), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1 = -q^{4 + \frac{121}{210}} (1 + q - q^2 + q^3 + q^4 - q^5 - q^6 - q^8 + q^{10} - q^{11} - q^{12} + q^{13} + \dots). \quad (3.25)$$

Analytically continued \mathcal{R}

For an analytically continued \mathcal{R} , the character $\text{ch}_R(e^\beta)$ is not a polynomial, so we consider an expansion of $\prod_{f=1}^F \prod_{i<j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2P_f}(\beta_i - \beta_j) / \prod_{i<j}^N (2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j))^{F-1}$ in (3.1) where the denominator of the character is taken into account.

After similar calculations as in the case of an integer \mathcal{R} , the integral (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \Lambda_{\text{rt}}/H\Lambda_{\text{rt}}} \int_{\Gamma^{N-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} d\beta_j e^{-\frac{K}{4\pi i} \frac{H}{P} \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j^2 - K \sum_{i=1}^N t_j \beta_j} \left(\text{ch}_R(e^\beta) \prod_{i<j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j) \right) \\ \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \right) e^{\frac{1}{2P} \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \beta_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Suppose that we consider the representation \mathcal{R} given by partitions $\{R_1; \dots; R_{N-1}\}$. These R_i 's appear in the exponent of e^{β_j} 's, and we denote monomials in $\text{ch}_R(e^\beta) \prod_{i<j}^N \prod_{i<j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j)$ ⁸ as $h_{\vec{v}} \prod_{j=1}^N e^{\beta_j(L_j + v_j)}$ where L_j is given by one of R_i 's. Also, v_j 's are integers or half integers and $h_{\vec{v}}$ is ± 1 . Then, we analytically continue R_j and denote

$$u_j := \frac{R_j}{k}, \quad (3.27)$$

where we have $u_N = 0$. As before, we can directly evaluate (3.26). Or we can use equalities like (2.60) and (3.7) for the monomial of a type $\prod_{j=1}^N e^{\beta_j(L_j + v_j)}$ in $\text{ch}_R(e^\beta) \prod_{i<j}^N \prod_{i<j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j)$, and have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2 + u_j^2 - 2t_j u_j'} \int_{\Gamma} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} d\beta_j e^{-\frac{k}{\pi i} H P \sum_{j=1}^N (\beta_j + \pi i \frac{t_j}{H} - \pi i \frac{u_j'}{H})^2} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^N e^{(c_j + 2P v_j) \beta_j} \right) \\ \simeq e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2 + u_j^2 - 2t_j u_j'} \oint_{|z|=1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} q^{\frac{1}{8NHP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N m_j^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N m_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-m_j} \\ \times e^{\frac{\pi i}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N (u_j' - t_j) m_j} \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{c_j + 2P v_j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

up to an overall factor, where $z_N = (\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} z_j)^{-1}$ and $u_j' := \frac{L_j}{k}$, which is given by one of u_i 's. As in the case of $G = SU(2)$, there are similar couplings between t and u , and this prevents to have the usual structure with the S -transform when $H \geq 2$. But when $H = 1$,

⁸For example, for a partition $\{R_1; R_2\}$ for $G = SU(3)$, $\text{ch}_R(e^{2P\beta}) \prod_{i<j}^N \prod_{i<j}^N 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j)$ is $-e^{R_2\beta_1 + (1+R_1)\beta_2 - \beta_3} + e^{(1+R_1)\beta_1 + R_2\beta_2 - \beta_3} + e^{R_2\beta_1 - \beta_2 + (1+R_1)\beta_3} - e^{-\beta_1 + R_2\beta_2 + (1+R_1)\beta_3} - e^{(1+R_1)\beta_1 - \beta_2 + R_2\beta_3} + e^{-\beta_1 + (1+R_1)\beta_2 + R_2\beta_3}$.

the S -transform is trivial and we obtain a (x, q) -series with integer coefficients,

$$\sum_{\text{monomials}} h_{\vec{v}} e^{\pi i k \frac{P}{H} \sum_{j=1}^N u_j^2} \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq N}}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) \right) q^{\frac{1}{8NP} (N \sum_{j=1}^N (c_j + 2Pv_j)^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N 2Pv_j)^2)} \prod_{j=1}^N x_j^{\frac{1}{2}(c_j + 2Pv_j)} \quad (3.29)$$

where $x_j = e^{2\pi i u_j}$ with $x_N = 1$ and $x'_j = e^{2\pi i u'_j}$ is given by one of x_j 's depending on the monomial. We provide examples for the totally symmetric representation.

Case $H = 1$, and totally symmetric representation

For $G = SU(3)$, the character of the totally symmetric representation \mathcal{S}^r is given by

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(e^y) = \frac{e^{(r+1)y_1 - y_3} - e^{-y_1 + (r+1)y_3} + e^{-y_1 + (r+1)y_2} - e^{(r+1)y_1 - y_2} + e^{-y_2 + (r+1)y_3} - e^{(r+1)y_2 - y_3}}{(e^{(y_1 - y_2)/2} - e^{-(y_1 - y_2)/2})(e^{(y_1 - y_3)/2} - e^{-(y_1 - y_3)/2})(e^{(y_2 - y_3)/2} - e^{-(y_2 - y_3)/2})} \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore, for Seifert integer homology sphere, $H = 1$, from (3.29) we obtain the homological block

$$F(M_3, K; x, q) = (xq)^P e^{\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{P}{3} (\log x)^2} \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq 3}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) q^{\frac{1}{8P} \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j^2} \\ \times \left(x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_3)} - x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_3)} + x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2)} - x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2)} + x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}(c_2 - c_3)} - x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_2 - c_3)} \right) \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$c_1 = n_{1,2} - n_{1,3}, \quad c_2 = -n_{1,2} - n_{2,3}, \quad c_3 = n_{1,3} + n_{2,3}. \quad (3.32)$$

When $G = SU(4)$, the character of the totally symmetric representation \mathcal{S}^r is

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{R}}(e^y) = \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_1 + y_2 - y_3 - 3y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_2 + y_1 - y_3 - 3y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_1 - y_2 + y_3 - 3y_4)} \right. \\ \left. + e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_2 - y_1 + y_3 - 3y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_3 + y_1 - y_2 + 3y_3 - 3y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_3 - y_1 + y_2 + 3y_3 - 3y_4)} \right. \\ \left. - e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_1 + y_2 - 3y_3 - y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_2 + y_1 - 3y_3 - y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_1 - y_2 - 3y_3 + y_4)} \right. \\ \left. - e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_2 - y_1 - 3y_3 + y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_4 + y_1 - y_2 - 3y_3 + 3y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_4 - y_1 + y_2 - 3y_3 + 3y_4)} \right. \\ \left. + e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_1 - 3y_2 + y_3 - y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_3 + y_1 - 3y_2 + 3y_3 - y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_1 - 3y_2 - y_3 + y_4)} \right. \\ \left. + e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_3 - y_1 - 3y_2 + 3y_3 + y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_4 + y_1 - 3y_2 - y_3 + 3y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_4 - y_1 - 3y_2 + y_3 + 3y_4)} \right. \\ \left. - e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_2 - 3y_1 + y_3 - y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_3 - 3y_1 + y_2 + 3y_3 - y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}((2r+3)y_2 - 3y_1 - y_3 + y_4)} \right. \\ \left. - e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_3 - 3y_1 - y_2 + 3y_3 + y_4)} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_4 - 3y_1 + y_2 - y_3 + 3y_4)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}(2ry_4 - 3y_1 - y_2 + y_3 + 3y_4)} \right) / \\ \left((e^{(y_1 - y_2)/2} - e^{-(y_1 - y_2)/2})(e^{(y_1 - y_3)/2} - e^{-(y_1 - y_3)/2})(e^{(y_1 - y_4)/2} - e^{-(y_1 - y_4)/2}) \right. \\ \left. (e^{(y_2 - y_3)/2} - e^{-(y_2 - y_3)/2})(e^{(y_2 - y_4)/2} - e^{-(y_2 - y_4)/2})(e^{(y_3 - y_4)/2} - e^{-(y_3 - y_4)/2}) \right) \quad (3.33)$$

and the homological block is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
F(M_3, K; x, q) &= (x^{\frac{3}{2}} q^{\frac{5}{2}})^P e^{\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{3P}{8H} (\log x)^2} \sum_{\substack{n_{i,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq 4}}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n_{i,j}) q^{\frac{1}{8P} \sum_{j=1}^4 c_j^2} \\
&\times \left(x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (3c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - 3c_4) - x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (c_1 + 3c_2 - c_3 - 3c_4) - x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (3c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - 3c_4) \right. \\
&+ x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-c_1 + 3c_2 + c_3 - 3c_4) + x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (c_1 - c_2 + 3c_3 - 3c_4) - x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-c_1 + c_2 + 3c_3 - 3c_4) \\
&- x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (3c_1 + c_2 - 3c_3 - c_4) + x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (c_1 + 3c_2 - 3c_3 - c_4) + x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (3c_1 - c_2 - 3c_3 + c_4) \\
&- x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-c_1 + 3c_2 - 3c_3 + c_4) - x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (c_1 - c_2 - 3c_3 + 3c_4) + x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-c_1 + c_2 - 3c_3 + 3c_4) \\
&+ x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (3c_1 - 3c_2 + c_3 - c_4) - x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (c_1 - 3c_2 + 3c_3 - c_4) - x^{\frac{c_1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (3c_1 - 3c_2 - c_3 + c_4) \\
&+ x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-c_1 - 3c_2 + 3c_3 + c_4) + x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (c_1 - 3c_2 - c_3 + 3c_4) - x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-c_1 - 3c_2 + c_3 + 3c_4) \\
&- x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-3c_1 + 3c_2 + c_3 - c_4) + x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-3c_1 + c_2 + 3c_3 - c_4) + x^{\frac{c_2}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-3c_1 + 3c_2 - c_3 + c_4) \\
&\left. - x^{\frac{c_3}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-3c_1 - c_2 + 3c_3 + c_4) - x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-3c_1 + c_2 - c_3 + 3c_4) + x^{\frac{c_4}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (-3c_1 - c_2 + c_3 + 3c_4) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

where

$$c_1 = n_{1,2} + n_{1,3} - n_{1,4}, \quad c_2 = -n_{1,2} + n_{2,3} - n_{2,4}, \quad c_3 = -n_{1,3} - n_{2,3} - n_{3,4}, \quad c_4 = n_{1,4} + n_{2,4} + n_{3,4}. \tag{3.35}$$

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS) for hospitality at the final stage of this work.

Appendix A Lens space and representations of $G = SU(2)$

In this appendix, we discuss the calculation in section 2 for the case of lens space when $G = SU(2)$ and the result of [2].

When $G = SU(2)$, the contribution from abelian flat connections for a knot in the lens space is given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} kt^2} \int_{\gamma} dy e^{-\frac{k}{2\pi i} p \left(y + \frac{2\pi i}{p} t \right)^2} \chi_R(y) (e^y - e^{-y})^2. \tag{A.1}$$

By using (2.26), (A.1) can be expressed as

$$\pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} kt^2} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{m^2}{4p}} z^m e^{2\pi i \frac{tm}{p}} \chi_R(z) (z - z^{-1})^2. \tag{A.2}$$

We can express the sum $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in 2p\mathbb{Z}+b}$, then (A.2) can be put in the form of

$$\pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} kt^2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} tb} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \chi_R(z) (z - z^{-1})^2 \sum_{l \in 2p\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4p}} z^l. \tag{A.3}$$

We may instead use $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in p\mathbb{Z}+b}$. However, since the denominator of $q^{\frac{l^2}{4p}}$ is $4p$ and exponents of z in $\chi_R(z)(z - z^{-1})^2$ are all odd or all even depending on R , it is more natural to have an expression as in (A.3). From (A.3), we see that the homological block is given by

$$\widehat{Z}_b(M_3, K; R, q) = \frac{1}{|W_b|} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \chi_R(z)(z - z^{-1})^2 \left(\sum_{l \in 2p\mathbb{Z}+b} + \sum_{l \in 2p\mathbb{Z}-b} \right) q^{\frac{l^2}{4p}} z^l \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Suppose that R is odd, then exponents of z in $\chi_R(z)(z - z^{-1})^2$ are all even, so b should also be even for non-zero results. We denote such b as $b = 2\mathbf{b}$, then (A.3) is given by

$$\pi \left(\frac{2i}{k} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} kt^2} \sum_{\mathbf{b}=0}^{p-1} e^{\frac{4\pi i}{p} t\mathbf{b}} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \chi_R(z)(z - z^{-1})^2 \sum_{l \in p\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{p}} z^{2l} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This is the expression that is obtained in [2].

Meanwhile, (A.3) gives non-zero homological blocks also when R is even. For this case, exponents of z in $\chi_R(z)(z - z^{-1})^2$ are all odd, we have non-zero results when b is odd. For odd p , we obtain the homological block (A.4) with a usual S -transform.⁹ However, for even p , we can have homological blocks but we don't have the S -transform whose square is an identity matrix.¹⁰ This unusual “ S -transform” when p is even can be seen from that there is no b that can play a role of the label “0” of \widehat{Z}_0 in $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} tb} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{p} tb}$.

We also note that existence of homological blocks for odd b is consistent with the calculation of the index of $T[M_3]$ on $S^2 \times S^1$ in [2]. This is because for lens space it was checked that the index with a loop located at a pole of S^2 can be expressed as factorization where one of the factors is the homological block without a knot and another is the homological block for a knot. When there is no knot, b is even, so product with the homological blocks for a knot that is labelled by odd b gives the zero index automatically, which is indeed the case for the index when R is even.

Appendix B Remarks on a knot complement in Seifert rational homology spheres

We saw in section 2.2 that for a knot complement in Seifert rational homology spheres with the analytically continued \mathcal{R} the usual structure that has been obtained for Seifert knots with integer R or Seifert manifolds without a knot doesn't arise. At the level of equations, this is due to the factor $e^{\pm 2\pi i \frac{P}{H} tR}$ in (2.61), *i.e.* the coupling between u and t . This term also arises when R is an integer as seen in (2.43). However, we can see that (2.43) can be arranged to be equal to (2.40) when R is an integer.

In section 2.2, we have set R to be analytically continued on the right-hand side of (2.61) and then took the limit $q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$ and $x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}$. In this equation, one may just take

⁹In this case, $b = p$ plays a role of the label “0” of \widehat{Z}_0 .

¹⁰This is not like the case, for example, when M_3 is a Seifert manifold with three singular fibers with H being 2 mod 4 where the “ S -transform” can be expressed as a tensor product of matrices that contains the S -transform.

R to be an integer only at $e^{2\pi i \frac{P}{H} t R}$, but still analytically continued at x which is eventually taken to the limit $x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}$ in order to produce the Wilson loop expectation value with integer k and R . This leads, for example when H is odd, to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} (e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b+PR)} + e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b+PR)}) \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} x^{\frac{l}{2H}} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}} \\ & - \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/2H\mathbb{Z}} (e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b-PR)} + e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b-PR)}) \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} x^{-\frac{l}{2H}} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

up to an overall factor. This can be further organized as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b=\{1,3,\dots,H\}} (e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b+PR)} + e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b+PR)}) \\ & \times \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \left(\sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l x^{\frac{l}{2H}} - \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}-b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l x^{-\frac{l}{2H}} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}} \\ & + \sum_{b=\{1,3,\dots,H\}} (e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b-PR)} + e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{H}(b-PR)}) \\ & \times \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \left(\sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}-b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l x^{\frac{l}{2H}} - \sum_{l \in 2H\mathbb{Z}+b} q^{\frac{l^2}{4HP}} z^l x^{-\frac{l}{2H}} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{2P}(n) z^{-n} \Big|_{q \searrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{R}{k}}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Each integral in the expression (B.2) gives (x, q) -series with integer coefficients, but there are two “ S -transforms” that depends on $\pm R$. So, it is unlikely that two (x, q) -series that arise from two integrals in (B.2) would be appropriate homological blocks.

A different approach might give an answer to the issue discussed here and it would be interesting to understand this issue better.

References

- [1] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the jones polynomial*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351–399.
- [2] S. Gukov, D. Pei, P. Putrov and C. Vafa, *BPS spectra and 3-manifold invariants*, *J. Knot Theor. Ramifications* **29** (2020) 2040003, [1701.06567].
- [3] S. Gukov and C. Manolescu, *A two-variable series for knot complements*, 1904.06057.
- [4] S. Gukov, P. Putrov and C. Vafa, *Fivebranes and 3-manifold homology*, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 071, [1602.05302].
- [5] S. Park, *Higher rank \hat{Z} and F_K* , *SIGMA* **16** (2020) 044, [1909.13002].
- [6] S. Park, *Large color R -matrix for knot complements and strange identities*, *J. Knot Theor. Ramifications* **29** (2020) 2050097, [2004.02087].
- [7] T. Ekhholm, A. Gruen, S. Gukov, P. Kucharski, S. Park and P. Sułkowski, *\hat{Z} at large N : from curve counts to quantum modularity*, 2005.13349.
- [8] P. Kucharski, *Quivers for 3-manifolds: the correspondence, BPS states, and $3d \mathcal{N} = 2$ theories*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 075, [2005.13394].
- [9] H.-J. Chung, *Resurgent Analysis for Some 3-manifold Invariants*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 106, [2008.02786].
- [10] S. Park, *Inverted state sums, inverted Habiro series, and indefinite theta functions*, 2106.03942.
- [11] T. Ekhholm, A. Gruen, S. Gukov, P. Kucharski, S. Park, M. Stošić et al., *Branches, quivers, and ideals for knot complements*, 2110.13768.
- [12] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Knot invariants and topological strings*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B5777** (Jan, 2000) 419–438, [hep-th/9912123v3].
- [13] C. Beasley, *Localization for Wilson Loops in Chern-Simons Theory*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **17** (2013) 1–240, [0911.2687].
- [14] M. Blau and G. Thompson, *Chern-Simons Theory on Seifert 3-Manifolds*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 033, [1306.3381].
- [15] M. C. N. Cheng, S. Chun, B. Feigin, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, S. M. Harrison et al., *3-Manifolds and VOA Characters*, 2201.04640.
- [16] H.-J. Chung, *BPS Invariants for Seifert Manifolds*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 113, [1811.08863].
- [17] M. Marino, *Chern-Simons theory, matrix integrals, and perturbative three manifold invariants*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2004) 25–49, [hep-th/0207096].