

# Uniqueness of Lagrangians in $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$

Nikolaos Adaloglou\*

January 25, 2022

## Abstract

We present a new and elementary proof of the fact that any Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  is Hamiltonian isotopic to the identity. The proof mirrors the analogous result for  $T^*S^2$  found in [12], using surgery to turn Lagrangian spheres to symplectic ones. The heart of the argument is proving the folklore fact that the unit cotangent disc bundle of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  compactifies to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ .

## Introduction

The Nearby Lagrangian Conjecture, due to Arnol'd, states that, in a cotangent bundle, any exact Lagrangian is Hamiltonian Isotopic to the zero section. Even for surfaces, this conjecture is generally open, with results obtained only for  $T^*S^2$  by Hind in [7], in  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  by Hind-Pinsonnault-Wu in [9] and by Dimitroglou Rizell-Goodman-Irvii in  $T^*T^2$  in [4].

In [12], Li and Wu give an alternative proof of Hamiltonian uniqueness in  $T^*S^2$ , which uses only basic properties of  $J$ -holomorphic curves and avoids the heavy techniques of Symplectic Field Theory, which were used originally. The goal of this paper is to use the ideas of Li and Wu to give an elementary proof for Hamiltonian uniqueness in  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ . The novel contribution of this paper is a detailed proof of the folklore fact that the (unit) cotangent bundle of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  compactifies to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . For this reason, the section of the compactification brims with details, while the others contain mostly citations of the relevant proofs.

Let us give an overview of the paper. In the first section, we collect facts concerning the isotopy of symplectic spheres in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , representing the homology classes of the diagonal,  $\Delta$ , or the antidiagonal,  $\bar{\Delta}$ .

In the second section, we recall the procedure known as symplectic cut. Using symplectic cut, we can turn a Lagrangian sphere in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  to a symplectic sphere in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , thus, using results of the previous section, we prove Hamiltonian uniqueness of Lagrangians in  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . In particular, we prove uniqueness of pairs  $(L, S)$  of submanifolds of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , where  $L$  is a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  and  $S$  is a symplectic degree 2 sphere, disjoint from  $L$ .

---

\*This scientific paper was supported by the Onassis Foundation - Scholarship ID: F ZP 001-1/2019-2020

The third section is the heart of the paper, where we give a detailed proof that  $U_r^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ , the radius  $r$  cotangent disc bundle of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ , symplectically compactifies to  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, 2r\omega_{FS})$ . This allows us to show that Hamiltonian uniqueness of Lagrangians in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  implies symplectic uniqueness of Lagrangians in  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ .

In the final section we study the topology of  $\text{Symp}_c(T^*\mathbb{R}P^2)$ , the group of compactly supported symplectomorphisms of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ . We show that all its homotopy groups vanish with the exception of the 0<sup>th</sup> one, for which we have  $\pi_0(\text{Symp}_c T^*\mathbb{R}P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and, in particular, a generator of  $\pi_0$  is a Dehn-Twist along the zero section. This allows us to upgrade the symplectic uniqueness of Lagrangians to Hamiltonian uniqueness. Finally, we put everything together to prove the result.

## 1 Symplectic spheres in $S^2 \times S^2$

In his famous paper [6], Gromov computed for the first time the symplectomorphism group of a symplectic 4-manifold, namely  $(S^2 \times S^2, \sigma \times \sigma)$ . He showed that this group has the homotopy type of  $(SO(3) \times SO(3)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ . This is a central result because the unit polydisc  $D^2 \times D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  naturally compactifies to  $S^2 \times S^2$ . He also argued that when the product factors are of uneven area, the topology of the symplectomorphism group changes dramatically. For example, it becomes connected and not simply connected. These observations were further developed by many people, with M. Abreu and D. McDuff bringing some of the most central results. Among other, they computed the topology of the symplectomorphism groups of symplectically ruled surfaces and also showed that any two cohomologous forms on these surfaces are actually diffeomorphic to each other.

The first result we need was shown by Abreu in [1]. It states that symplectic spheres in the homology class of the antidiagonal of  $S^2 \times S^2$   $\bar{\Delta}$  are unique up to isotopy. Thus, in particular, we have:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $S^2 \times S^2$  be equipped with the unevenly weighted product symplectic form  $(1 + \lambda)\omega \oplus \omega, \lambda > 0$ . Then, any two embedded symplectic spheres in the homology class of the antidiagonal may be mapped to each other by a symplectomorphism.*

**Proof** See Lemma 3.5 in [1].  $\square$

The second theorem we need, proved by Hind and Irvii in [8] can be seen as a generalization of the above theorem, as it establishes uniqueness up to symplectic isotopy for symplectic spheres in the homology class not only of  $\bar{\Delta}$  but also of  $\Delta$ , when  $S^2 \times S^2$  is equipped with a symplectic form that turns it in a symplectically ruled surface.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $S_-, S_+$  be disjoint, embedded symplectic spheres in the homology class of  $\bar{\Delta}$  and  $\Delta$  respectively. Then, any third embedded symplectic sphere in the homology class of  $S_{\pm}$  and disjoint from  $S_{\mp}$  is symplectically isotopic to  $S_{\pm}$ , through an isotopy that stays away from  $S_{\mp}$ .*

**Proof** See Theorem 8.1 in [8]. $\square$

Even though the second theorem might seem stronger at first sight (since it establishes uniqueness for both homology classes) to use it we have to establish that the symplectic sphere we want to isotope must be disjoint from another symplectic sphere in the “opposite” homology class, whose existence also has to be ensured. No such requirement is needed for Theorem 1.1 and this is precisely why both of them are useful to us.

## 2 Symplectic cut and Lagrangian spheres in $\mathbb{C}P^2$

### 2.1 Surgery via Symplectic Cut

Now, we will transform the above facts about the symplectic topology of  $S^2 \times S^2$  in facts about surfaces of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Our main tool for this will be a kind of symplectic surgery called *symplectic cut*.

Let us recall what symplectic cut is and explain how we will use it. Suppose we have a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  and a Hamiltonian action induced by  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (we will only care about  $S^1$ -actions). Then, for any regular value  $s$  of  $H$ , we consider the decomposition of  $M$  in the sub/super-level sets  $M_{\leq s}$  and  $M_{\geq s}$ . One may perform symplectic reduction at the level set of  $s$  to get a reduced manifold  $\widetilde{M} = H^{-1}(s)/\sim$ . The key point is that one can actually perform symplectic cut on the whole sub/super level sets in such a way that the strict sub/super level sets stay intact but the level set gets reduced. This is achieved by cleverly performing symplectic reduction on  $M \times \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, one gets a new symplectic manifold  $\widetilde{M}_{\leq, \geq s}$  having  $\widetilde{M}$  as a symplectic hypersurface, such that we have a symplectic map of pairs

$$(M_{\leq, \geq s}, H^{-1}(s)) \xrightarrow{\psi} (\widetilde{M}_{\leq, \geq s}, \widetilde{M})$$

where  $\psi$ , when restricted to  $H^{-1}(s)$  is the symplectic reduction and when restricted to  $M_{\leq, \geq s}$  is the identity. It is easy to see that the normal bundle of  $\widetilde{M}$  inherits opposite orientation when seen as a subset of either  $\widetilde{M}_{\leq s}$  or  $\widetilde{M}_{\geq s}$ . Thus, by performing symplectic sum *à la Gompf* ([5]) along the different  $\widetilde{M}$  we can recover the original symplectic manifold  $M$ . Symplectic cut and symplectic sum are, in this way, opposite operations. Symplectic cut was first defined by Lerman in [11] and we refer the reader to this paper for more details.

We will use symplectic cut to turn a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in a symplectic sphere in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Let us briefly explain how this works. By the Weinstein Lagrangian Neighborhood Theorem, a neighborhood of a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is symplectomorphic to a radius  $r$  cotangent disc bundle of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ , denoted by  $U_r^* \mathbb{R}P^2$ . Since all geodesics of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  are periodic with the same period, the normalized (co)-geodesic flow induces a Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -action on the cotangent bundle. The boundary of the cotangent discs may be symplectically cut, or in other words, reduced, to a symplectic sphere. Thus, by using symplectic cut, we are able to

replace a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  with a symplectic (+4)-sphere. In the case that the Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  lies in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  this process transforms  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  to  $S^2 \times S^2$ . This is the content of

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $(\widetilde{M}_1, \omega_1)$  and  $(\widetilde{M}_2, \omega_2)$  be two symplectic manifolds that are obtained from surgery on Lagrangians  $L_1, L_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , disjoint from a symplectic (+4)-sphere  $S$ . Then  $(\widetilde{M}_1, \omega_1)$  is symplectomorphic to  $(\widetilde{M}_2, \omega_2)$ . In particular, both are symplectomorphic to  $(S^2 \times S^2, (1 + \lambda)\sigma \oplus \sigma)$  with  $\lambda > 0$ .*

**Proof** The manifolds  $(\widetilde{M}_i, \omega_i)$  carry no  $(-1)$ -symplectic sphere and therefore, by a theorem of McDuff (see Theorem 9.4.1 in [13]), both are symplectomorphic to a symplectically ruled manifold. Since both contain the same (+4)-symplectic sphere (coming from  $S$ ) and since we can perform the surgery on disc subbundles of the normal bundle of the  $L_i$ 's with the same radius  $r$ , we see that the  $\omega_i$  represent the same cohomology class. Another theorem of McDuff (for example, Theorem 2.3 in [10]) states that any two cohomologous forms on a symplectically ruled surface are diffeomorphic. Thus, the  $(\widetilde{M}_i, \omega_i)$  are symplectomorphic.

The last statement of the theorem follows by the classification of symplectic forms on  $S^2 \times S^2$  as done in [1].  $\square$

## 2.2 Lagrangian $\mathbb{R}P^2$ and symplectic spheres in $\mathbb{C}P^2$

We will now prove two “dual” results about  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . The first claims that two symplectic spheres in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , disjoint from a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ , may be symplectically isotoped while leaving the Lagrangian fixed. The second result makes the “opposite” claim, namely that two Lagrangians disjoint from a symplectic sphere can be symplectically isotoped to each other leaving the sphere fixed.

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $S_1, S_2$  be (+4)-symplectic spheres in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , disjoint from  $L$ , a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . Then, there exists a symplectomorphism  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  mapping  $S_1$  to  $S_2$  and fixing  $L$  pointwise.*

**Proof** Since the symplectic spheres are disjoint from  $L$ , there exists a sufficiently small  $r$  such that the symplectic tubular neighborhood of  $L$  of radius  $r$  is again disjoint from the  $S_i$ . Performing a symplectic cut with radius  $r$  as described in the previous section, we end up with an  $S^2 \times S^2$ , where  $L$  is now an embedded symplectic sphere  $\widetilde{S}$  (in  $S^2 \times S^2$ ). Using Proposition 1.2 we construct a symplectic isotopy between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  seen as submanifolds of  $S^2 \times S^2$  but, since the isotopy stays away from a neighborhood of  $\widetilde{S}$ , it can (and will) be seen as an isotopy  $S_t$  between  $S_1, S_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , staying away from  $L$ . We can extend this isotopy to a neighborhood of  $S_t$  and then also trivially extend it to a neighborhood of  $L$ , which stays fixed as  $t$  varies. Then using Banyaga’s Extension Theorem, we can find symplectomorphisms  $\phi_t$  that extend the isotopy  $S_t$ . Therefore  $\phi_1$  is the desired map.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two Lagrangian embeddings of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  and let  $S$  be a degree 2 symplectic sphere, disjoint from the  $L_i$ . Then, there exists*

a symplectomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  such that  $\psi(L_1) = L_2$  and  $\psi = id$  in a neighborhood of  $S$ .

**Proof** First, let us show that we can map  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ . Consider each  $L_i$  sitting in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . There exists a sufficiently small  $r > 0$  such that the radius  $r$  tubular neighborhood of  $L_i$ , denoted by  $\nu L_i$ , is disjoint from  $S$ . By removing the  $\nu L_i$  from  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , and then reducing with the relevant  $S^1$ -action the boundary of both the tube and the remainder of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , for each  $L_i$  we get two new symplectic manifolds, one coming from the reduced tube, which we denote by  $\tilde{N}_i$  and the other from the reduced  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , which we denote by  $\tilde{M}_i$ . As explained in the beginning of this section, both carry a symplectic sphere  $\tilde{S}_i$  coming from  $L_i$ , but with opposite oriented normal bundles. Now, we use Theorem 2.1 to find a symplectomorphism  $\psi : \tilde{M}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{M}_2$ . Using Theorem 1.1 we find a symplectomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\tilde{M}_2$  such that  $\phi(\psi(\tilde{S}_1)) = \tilde{S}_2$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \psi$  is a symplectomorphism  $\tilde{M}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{M}_2$  mapping  $\tilde{S}_1$  to  $\tilde{S}_2$ . Symplectically summing, again *à la Gompf*,  $(\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{S}_i)$  with  $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{S}_i)$  we get a map  $\rho : \mathbb{C}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  such that  $\rho(L_1) = L_2$ .

We now have to correct the map  $\rho$  so it also fixes  $S$ . Since the  $L_i$  are disjoint from  $S$ ,  $\rho(S)$  is also disjoint from  $L_2$ . Thus, we can apply Proposition 2.2 to those manifolds to get a new map  $\xi$  that maps  $\rho(S)$  to  $S$  and preserves  $L_2$ . Therefore the map  $\xi \circ \rho$  is -almost- the desired symplectomorphism, since  $\xi(\rho(L_1)) = \xi(L_2) = L_2$  and  $\xi(\rho(S)) = S$ .

Finally, since  $\xi \circ \rho$  fixes  $S$ , a further isotopy can be made to fix a small neighborhood of it. To see this, notice that the symplectomorphism group of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is connected and since  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is simply connected, we have that the map  $\xi \circ \rho$  can be joined to the identity via Hamiltonian maps given by the functions  $H_t$ . We then localize  $H_t$  around  $S$  and thus the time 1 map of the corresponding Hamiltonian flow is a symplectomorphism fixing pointwise a neighborhood of  $S$ .

Combining the two results, we get the general

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $(L, S)$  be a disjoint pair consisting of a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  and a degree 2 symplectic sphere, both inside  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Given any other such pair  $(L', S')$ , there exists a symplectomorphism  $\psi$  mapping the first pair to the second, i.e.  $\psi(L) = L'$  and  $\psi(S) = S'$ .*

**Proof** By Proposition 2.3, there exists a map  $\psi'$  such that  $\psi'(L) = L'$ . Since  $L$  and  $S$  are disjoint  $\psi'(S)$  and  $S'$  are disjoint from  $L'$  and therefore Proposition 2.2 implies that there exists a symplectomorphism  $\phi$  fixing a neighborhood of  $L'$  and joining  $\psi'(S)$  and  $S'$ . Thus, the map  $\psi = \phi \circ \psi'$  is the desired symplectomorphism between the pairs, since  $\psi(S) = \phi(\psi'(S)) = S'$  and  $\psi(L) = \phi(L') = L'$ .  $\square$

### 3 Compactification of the cotangent disc bundle

To make Proposition 2.3 a statement about  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  we will argue as follows: First, we prove a general compactification procedure that works in all dimensions. The problem with this compactification is that even though it identifies the radius  $r$  cotangent disc bundle of the projective space (denoted by  $U_r^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ ) with a complement of the Fermat quadric  $Q^{n-1} = [\sum z_i^2 = 0]$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , in order to make this work  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is endowed with a form that is **not** the Fubini Study form. Nevertheless, we will show that, in dimension 4, this form is indeed diffeomorphic to the Fubini Study form, appropriately scaled. In its most general form, our compactification theorem identifies any Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  with the zero section of the cotangent bundle and the rest of  $U_r^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  with the complement of any symplectic sphere of degree 2 disjoint from the Lagrangian.

Let us first establish some conventions. We will identify  $T^*S^n$  with the subset of  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \omega_{std})$  defined as

$$\{(p, q) \mid |p| = 1, \langle p, q \rangle = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

As we mentioned above, the compactification will involve the  $2n$ -dimensional quadric  $Q^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ . In  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  we will denote by  $H_i$  the hyperplane defined by the vanishing of the  $i$ -th coordinate. Notice that the hyperplane  $H_i \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$  intersects  $Q^n$  in a quadric which may (and will) be identified with  $Q^{n-1}$ .

The results of this sections were inspired by Audin's paper [2], section 4. We adopt a different method of proof to show the compactification, which might be less natural but we feel is more precise and straightforward and, at the same time, makes explicit how the symplectic form on the compactification changes as we alter the radius of the disc bundle that we care to compactify.

#### 3.1 The general construction

The idea for the general compactification is simple. First, recall that the ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  may be symplectically identified with the complement of a hyperplane  $H_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . We will place  $U_1^*S^n$  inside a ball of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  such that the boundary of  $U_1^*S^n$  will be a subset of the boundary of the ball. Thus, placing the ball inside  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  will identify  $U_1^*S^n$  with the quadric  $Q^n$  and the boundary of  $U_1^*S^n$  will be mapped to  $Q^{n-1} \subset Q^n$ , thus realizing the aforementioned diffeomorphism in a symplectic manner.

First, let us explain how to symplectically embed a ball in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *The open ball of radius  $r$ ,  $(B(r), \omega_{std}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , is symplectomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} - H_{n+1}$  with the form  $r^2\omega_{FS}$ .*

**Proof** Consider the map  $\phi : B^{2n+2}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+1} - H_{n+1}$  given by

$$\phi(z_0, \dots, z_n) = [z_0 : \dots : z_n : i\sqrt{r^2 - \sum |z_i|^2}].$$

The map  $\phi$  can be factorized through maps  $\bar{\phi} : B^{2n+2}(r) \hookrightarrow S^{2n+3}(r)$  and  $\pi_r : S^{2n+3}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$  where  $\bar{\phi}$  is just the map

$$\bar{\phi}(z_0, \dots, z_n) = (z_0, \dots, z_n, i\sqrt{r^2 - \sum |z_i|^2})$$

and  $\pi_r$  the standard quotient by the scalar action. We also have the natural inclusion,  $i_r : S^{2n+3} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , which satisfies

$$r^2 \pi_r^* \omega_{FS} = i_r^* \omega_{std}.$$

With all this setup, we readily compute

$$\phi^* \omega_{FS} = \bar{\phi}^* \pi_r^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{r^2} \bar{\phi}^* i_r^* \omega_{std} = \frac{1}{r^2} \omega_{std}.$$

To see why the last equality holds, just put coordinates  $z_k = x_k + iy_k$  and notice that  $x_{n+1} \circ (i_r \circ \bar{\phi}) = 0$ .  $\square$

Now, notice that  $U_1^* S^n \subset B^{2(n+1)}(\sqrt{2}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Therefore using the above map we can prove

**Lemma 3.2** *( $U_1^* S^n, \omega_{std}$ ) is symplectomorphic to  $Q^n - Q^{n-1}$  with the restriction of the form  $2\omega_{FS}$ .*

**Proof** All we have to show is that the map  $\phi(z_0, \dots, z_n) = [z_0 : \dots : z_n : i\sqrt{2 - \sum |z_i|^2}]$  maps  $U^* S^n$  to  $Q^n - Q^{n-1}$ . For  $[z_0 : \dots : z_n : z_{n+1}] \in \mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus H_{n+1}$  we then have

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^2 + \dots + z_n^2 + z_{n+1}^2 &= z_0^2 + \dots + z_n^2 - 2 + \sum_0^n |z_k|^2 \\ &= \sum_0^n (x_k^2 - y_k^2) + 2i \sum_0^n x_k y_k - 2 + \sum_0^n (x_k^2 + y_k^2) \\ &= 2(\sum_0^n x_k^2 - 1) + 2i \sum_0^n x_k y_k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, elements of  $Q^n - Q^{n-1}$  are the  $\phi$ -images of the elements  $(x, y)$  of  $B(\sqrt{2})$  that satisfy  $|x| = 1$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$  which is exactly  $U^* T S^n$ .  $\square$

We have proved that the *interior* of the cotangent disc bundle is symplectomorphic to the complement of  $Q^{n-1}$  in  $Q^n$ . Now, we would like to show that symplectically cutting along the boundary of the disc bundle (which in our language means ‘‘compactifying’’) actually gives us  $Q^n$ . Therefore, we have

**Proposition 3.3** *The symplectic cut of  $T^* S^n$  along  $H^{-1}(1)$ , where the Hamiltonian  $H : T^* S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is given by  $H(u, v) = |v|$ , is symplectomorphic to  $(Q^n, 2\omega_{FS})$ .*

**Proof** Using the map  $\phi$  of Lemma 3.2 we see that the open unit cotangent bundle is symplectomorphic to  $Q^n - Q^{n-1}$  inside  $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$  with the form  $2\omega_{FS}$ .

Now, notice that the unit co-sphere bundle  $S_1^*S^n$  is mapped to  $Q^{n-1} \subset Q^n$ . Indeed, the map  $\phi$  extended to  $S_1^*S^n$  gives the map

$$(z_0, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow [z_0 : \dots : z_n : 0].$$

The condition that the projective coordinates satisfy  $\sum z_i^2 = 0$  is equivalent to the affine coordinates  $z_k = x_k + iy_k$  satisfying  $|x|^2 = |y|^2$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ . Therefore  $S^*S^n$  is mapped to  $Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ .

So far we have constructed a map from the (closed) unit disc bundle to  $Q^n$ . To conclude that the symplectic cut is actually symplectomorphic to  $Q^n$ , we have to show that after the cut the reduced unit circle bundle is symplectomorphic to  $Q^{n-1}$ . This follows because the Hamiltonian action induced by  $H$  on  $S^*(S^n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  agrees with the Hamiltonian action by scalar multiplication on  $S(\sqrt{2})$ . Indeed, the trajectory of a point  $(p, q) \in S^*S^n$  under the the action of  $H$  is

$$\sigma_t(p, q) = (\cos(t)u + \sin(t)v, \cos(t)v - \sin(t)u) = e^{-it} \cdot (p, q).$$

□

So far we have only concerned ourselves with  $T^*S^n$ . We would like to use the compactification of  $T^*S^n$  and the fact that  $T^*S^n$  double covers  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^n$  to derive a compactification for  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ . This is the content of:

**Corollary 3.4** *By performing symplectic cut on  $U_1^*\mathbb{R}P^n$  we get a manifold diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . In particular, the symplectic double cover  $U_1^*S^n \xrightarrow{p} U_1^*\mathbb{R}P^n$  extends to a branched double cover  $Q^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}P^n$ , branched along the quadric  $Q^{n-1}$ .*

**Proof** First, let us examine the branched covering. We can define the map  $\pi : \mathbb{C}P^{n+1} - [0 : \dots : 0 : 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  simply by

$$[z_0 : \dots : z_n : z_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{\pi} [z_0 : \dots : z_n].$$

When restricted to  $Q^n$  this map gives a double cover  $Q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ , branched along  $Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ . The deck transformation of the cover is given simply by

$$\delta : [z_0 : \dots : z_{n+1}] \rightarrow [z_0 : \dots : -z_{n+1}].$$

The inclusion  $U^*S^n \xrightarrow{i} Q^n - Q^{n-1}$  commutes with  $\delta$  and with the antipodal action, i.e.  $\delta \circ i(p, q) = i(-p, -q)$ , therefore we can push down the symplectic form on  $Q^n - Q^{n-1}$  to  $\mathbb{C}P^n - Q^{n-1}$ . Analogously to the inclusion  $U_1^*S^n \xrightarrow{i} Q^n - Q^{n-1}$ , the inclusion  $U_1^*\mathbb{R}P^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  extends to a diffeomorphism from the symplectically cut cotangent disc bundle to  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . □

**Remark** The geometry of the the map  $\pi$  forbids it from being a symplectic map. To see this, let us first identify  $H_{n+1}$  with  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\omega_{FS}|_{H_{n+1}}$  with the



Fubini-Study form of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and let  $\alpha$  be the inclusion  $Q^n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ . The claim that  $\pi : Q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  is a symplectic map is equivalent to saying that  $\omega_{FS}|_{Q^n} = \pi^*(\omega_{FS}|_{H_{n+1}})|_{Q^n}$ . This is easily verified to be false, for example on the points of the intersection  $H_{n+1} \cap Q^n = Q^{n+1}$ , since the equality would imply that, at these points,  $Q^n$  is tangent to  $H_{n+1}$  which is absurd.

### 3.2 Dimension 4

Let us not forget that we are actually interested in understanding the geometry of symplectic cuts in dimension 4.

**Proposition 3.5**  $(Q^2, 2\omega_{FS})$  is symplectomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, 2\omega_{FS} \oplus 2\omega_{FS})$ .

**Proof** Consider the map  $\phi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow Q^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^3$  given by

$$([x : y], [a : b]) \xrightarrow{\phi} [xa + yb : i(xa - yb) : i(xb + ya) : xb - ya].$$

This is a symplectomorphism because it is the composition of the Segre embedding

$$([x : y], [a : b]) \rightarrow [xa : xb : ya : yb]$$

with the projective map given by the unitary (up to scaling) matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ i & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix is unitary, it defines a symplectomorphism and it is well known that the Segre embedding pulls back the Fubini-Study form of  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  to the product of the Fubini-Study forms on  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ .  $\square$

**Remark** Let  $\iota$  be the swapping of factors in  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ . The map  $\phi$ , of the above proof, respects the involutions, namely,  $\phi \circ \delta = \iota \circ \phi$ . Straightforward calculations show that the map  $\pi \circ \phi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  ( $\pi$  being the branched covering we defined in Corollary 3.4) symplectomorphically maps the diagonal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$  to the quadric  $Q^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ , while the antidiagonal  $\bar{\Delta}$  covers the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ .

An important matter is still open, and it is that the form  $\omega_1$  we get on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is not the Fubini-Study form. This will be resolved using

**Proposition 3.6** The form  $\omega_1$  is symplectomorphic to  $2\omega_{FS}$ .

**Proof** It is immediate that  $\omega_1$  and  $2\omega_{FS}$  are cohomologous thus diffeomorphic by a celebrated theorem of Taubes, which relies on the use of Seiberg-Witten invariants.

Alternatively, notice that our  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \omega_1)$  contains a symplectic sphere with positive self intersection, namely the quadric  $Q^1$ . Thus, one can use the much more elementary theorem of McDuff (Theorem 9.4.1 in [14]) to deduce that the two forms are diffeomorphic.  $\square$

**Remark** We think that it is very likely that the above situation holds in every dimension, i.e.  $\omega_1$  is always symplectomorphic to  $2\omega_{FS}$ . Yet we were not able to prove this.

Let us conclude by mentioning that the above construction also works when one wants to compactify the radius  $r$  cotangent disc bundles of either  $U_r^*S^n$  of  $U_r^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ . The only slight modification that one has to do is to first pass from  $U_r^*(S^n(1))$  to  $U_{\sqrt{r}}^*(S^n(\sqrt{r}))$  and then proceed exactly as above. The reason for this is that we need an “evened” disc bundle in order to identify the action induced by co-geodesic flow on the boundary of  $U_{\sqrt{r}}^*S^n(\sqrt{r})$  with the scalar action on  $S^{2n+1}(\sqrt{2r})$ . Having that in mind, one obtains:

**Proposition 3.7** *Symplectic cut along  $(U_r^*(S^n(1)), \omega_{std})$  is symplectomorphic to  $(Q^n, 2r\omega_{FS})$ . In addition, symplectic cut along  $(U_r^*\mathbb{R}P^n, \omega_{std})$  gives rise to the (symplectic) branched cover  $(Q^n, 2r\omega_{FS}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_r)$ . In the case  $n = 2$ , the form  $\omega_r$  is symplectomorphic to  $2r\omega_{FS}$ .*

### 3.3 A general compactification statement

Proposition 3.7 is not of much use by itself, since we do not know how the symplectomorphism that maps  $\omega_r$  to  $2r\omega_{FS}$  behaves. Yet, due to Corollary 2.4, this is not a real problem, since we can correct this symplectomorphism even further.

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $L$  be a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  and  $S$  a degree 2 symplectic sphere such that  $L \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $(U_r^*\mathbb{R}P^2, \omega_{std})$  is symplectomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - S, 2r\omega_{FS})$  where  $S$  is any degree 2 symplectic sphere in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . The symplectomorphism may be chosen to map the zero section to  $L$ . In particular,  $S$  can be taken to be  $Q^1$  and  $L$  to be the standard real projective plane.*

**Proof** With all the machinery we have developed so far, the proof becomes trivial. Proposition 3.7 shows that the radius  $r$  cotangent disc bundle can be compactified to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  such that the zero section  $0_s$  is mapped to the standard real projective plane and the boundary of the disc bundle is mapped to  $Q^1$ . Denote by  $\psi$  a symplectomorphism such that  $\psi^*(2r\omega_{FS}) = \omega_r$ , which exists by Proposition 3.7. Since  $Q^1$  and the standard  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  are disjoint, so are  $S' = \psi(Q^1)$  and  $L' = \psi(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ . Therefore, using Corollary 2.4,  $\psi$  can be further corrected to map the pair  $(S', L')$  to any other disjoint pair  $(S'', L'')$ . In particular  $(S'', L'')$  can be taken to be the standard pair  $Q^1$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ .  $\square$ .

## 4 Topology of $\text{Symp}_c(T^*\mathbb{R}P^2)$ and proof of the main theorem

The final ingredient we need is about the homotopy type of the compactly supported symplectomorphism group of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ , denoted by  $\text{Symp}_c(T^*\mathbb{R}P^2)$ . The

relevant result is proved by Evans in [3], using equivariant versions of arguments of Seidel, who showed the analogous result for  $T^*S^2$  in [15].

To state these results, one has to introduce the notion of a *Dehn-Twist* along a Lagrangian sphere. Roughly speaking, one can define a map  $\tau : T^*S^n \rightarrow T^*S^n$  that is equivariant with respect to the antipodal action, it restricts to the antipodal map on the zero section and away from the zero section is the identity. This generalizes the usual Dehn Twist that one encounters in 2-dimensional topology, since  $T^*S^1$  is the cylinder. Of course, since  $\tau$  is antipodal-equivariant, it descends on a diffeomorphism  $\bar{\tau}$  of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ .

Even though it is somewhat painful to give an explicit formula for  $\tau$  and for  $\bar{\tau}$ , they can be constructed to give symplectomorphisms of  $T^*S^n$  and of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^n$  respectively. In particular, since  $\tau, \bar{\tau}$  can be made to have support arbitrarily close to the zero section, by Weinstein's Lagrangian neighborhood Theorem, one can perform a Dehn-twist along any Lagrangian  $S^n$  or  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . Lagrangian Dehn Twists along  $S^n$  were first explored by Seidel to give examples of symplectomorphisms, namely squares of Dehn-Twists, that are smoothly but not symplectically isotopic to the identity.

The analogous result of Evans for  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is:

**Theorem 4.1** *The Dehn-Twist  $\bar{\tau}$  along the zero section of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  generates  $\pi_0(\text{Symp}_c(T^*\mathbb{R}P^2))$ . In other words, any compactly supported symplectomorphism  $\phi$  can be written as*

$$\phi = \bar{\tau}^n \circ \eta$$

where  $\bar{\tau}$  is the Dehn-Twist and  $\eta$  is a symplectomorphism symplectically isotopic to the identity.

**Proof** See section 3 in [3].  $\square$

**Remark** Although it is not mentioned in [3], the computations there make it apparent that, like in the case of  $T^*S^2$ ,  $\bar{\tau}^2$  is isotopic to the identity but not symplectically so. However, the case of  $\bar{\tau}$  is more interesting since, in contrast with a Dehn-Twist along the zero section of  $T^*S^2$ , it acts trivially on homology, therefore, even though it is **not** symplectically isotopic to the identity, it is not clear to us if it smoothly isotopic to it or not.

Finally, we can prove the our central result.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be embedded Lagrangian submanifolds of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  which are diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . There exists a compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy  $H_t$  such that  $H_1(L_1) = L_2$ .*

**Proof** Since the Lagrangians are compact, they live inside some cotangent disc bundle of radius  $r$ . We will compactify this disc bundle to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , where the boundary of the bundle is mapped to a degree 2 symplectic sphere  $S$  (Theorem 3.8). Thus, we consider the Lagrangians  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  as living in the complement of  $S$ . Now, we can find a symplectomorphism  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  such that  $\psi(L_1) =$

$L_2$  and  $\psi = id$  in a neighborhood of  $S$  (Theorem 2.4). Therefore  $\psi$  gives a compactly supported symplectomorphism of  $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$  mapping  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ .

The above symplectomorphism group has the homotopy type of the discrete group  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\pi_0$  is generated by a Dehn Twist  $\bar{\tau}$  along the zero section  $0_s$  (Corollary 4.1). In particular,  $\bar{\tau}(0_s) = 0_s$ . Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $L_2 = 0_s$ . Thus,  $\psi$  can be written as  $\psi = \bar{\tau} \circ \eta_1$  where  $\eta_t$  is a smooth path joining  $\eta_1$  and the identity. Since  $\bar{\tau}(0_s) = 0_s$ , we have that  $\eta_1(L_1) = 0_s$  therefore  $\eta_t$  is the desired Hamiltonian isotopy mapping  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Abreu. “Topology of symplectomorphism groups of  $S^2 \times S^2$ ”. In: *Invent. math.* 131 (1998), pp. 1–23.
- [2] M. Audin. “Lagrangian Skeletons, Periodic Geodesic Flows and Symplectic Cuttings”. In: *manuscripta mathematica* 124 (2007), pp. 533–550.
- [3] J. Evans. “Symplectic mapping class groups of some Stein and rational surfaces”. In: *J. Symplectic Geom* 9 (2011), pp. 45–82.
- [4] E. Goodman G. Dimitroglou Rizell and A. Ivrii. “Lagrangian Tori in  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ”. In: *Geometric and Functional Analysis* 26 (2016), pp. 1297–1358.
- [5] R. Gompf. “A New Construction of Symplectic Manifolds”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 142 (1995), pp. 527–595.
- [6] M. Gromov. “Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 82 (1985), pp. 307–347.
- [7] R. Hind. “Lagrangian isotopies in Stein manifolds”. In: *Arxiv: 0311093* ().
- [8] R. Hind and A. Ivrii. “Ruled 4-manifolds and isotopies of symplectic surfaces”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 265 (2010), pp. 639–652.
- [9] R. Hind, M. Pinsonnault, and W. Wu. “Symplectomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds, orbifold balls, and a space of Lagrangians”. In: *Arxiv: 1305.7291* ().
- [10] F. Lalonde and D. McDuff. *The geometry of symplectic energy*. Proceedings of the 1994 Symplectic Topology program at the Newton Institute, ed S. Donaldson and C. Thomas. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [11] E. Lerman. “Symplectic Cuts”. In: *Mathematical Research Letters* 2 (1995), pp. 247–258.
- [12] T. J. Li and W. Wu. “Lagrangian spheres, symplectic surfaces and the symplectic mapping class group”. In: *Arxiv: 1012.4146* ().
- [13] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*. Oxford mathematical monographs. Oxford Science Publications, 2017. ISBN: 0198504519.

- [14] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*. Colloquium Publications. Amer. Math. Soc., 2012. ISBN: 978-0-8218-8746-2.
- [15] P. Seidel. “Symplectic automorphisms of  $T^*S^2$ .” In: *Arxiv: 9803084* ().