

Equivalent integrable metrics on the sphere with quartic invariants

A.V. Tsiganov

St.Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russia

e-mail: andrey.tsiganov@gmail.com

Abstract

We discuss a map preserving induced geodesic Hamiltonian and Poisson bracket on the cotangent bundle to the sphere. Applying this map to other geodesic flows we obtain families of equivalent metrics on the sphere. By adding various potentials to the equivalent geodesic Hamiltonians, we can construct different potential flows on the sphere with quartic invariants.

Keywords: integrable metrics, bi-Hamiltonian vector fields, two-dimensional sphere

1 Introduction

Let us consider dynamical systems on phase space T^*Q which are integrable by Abel's quadratures on algebraic curve C . For the uniform Stäckel systems separable in orthogonal curvilinear coordinates on Q this curve

$$C : \quad \mu^2 = (\lambda - a_1)(\lambda - a_2) \cdots (\lambda - a_n) (\mathcal{H}_m \lambda^m + \cdots + \mathcal{H}_0),$$

coincides with the spectral curve

$$C : \quad \det(\mu - L(\lambda)) = 0$$

of the 2×2 Lax matrices $L(\lambda)$, see [4, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 27] and references within.

Here \mathcal{H}_k are the constants of motion for the given integrable system, whereas constants a_i are parameters of the corresponding coordinate system on Q . For instance, elliptic coordinates u_1, \dots, u_n in n -dimensional Euclidean space are defined through equation

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda - u_i}{\lambda - a_i}$$

involving Cartesian coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n and parameters a_i , which define possible positions of the movable points on curve C

$$\Pi_k = \left(\lambda = u_k, \mu = \prod_{i=1}^n (u_k - a_i) p_{u_k} \right)$$

because $a_1 < u_1 < a_2 < u_2 < \cdots < a_n < u_n$, and second order torsion points on C

$$P_i = (\lambda = a_i, \mu = 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

in which tangent lines to C are vertical. Here p_{u_k} are conjugated momenta to u_k and discussion of the Abel's term "movable points" [1] can be found in [8].

Movable points Π_k form a subgroup of the generalized Jacobian variety $J(C)$. Torsion points P_i with the point at infinity P_∞ also form various so-called torsion subgroups in $J(C)$.

The natural action of a torsion subgroup on $J(C)$ acts on the subgroup of movable points and generates an integrable map on the phase space

$$\rho: T^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q \quad (1.1)$$

which preserves the Poisson structure and the form of constant of motion $\rho(\mathcal{H}_k) = \mathcal{H}_k$.

Applying this Poisson map to another integrable system on T^*Q

$$\rho: (H_0, \dots, H_m) \rightarrow (\rho(H_0), \dots, \rho(H_m)),$$

we obtain a new integrable system with integrals of motion $\rho(H_k)$, which usually makes no sense, neither physical nor mathematical.

This construction of integrable systems can be justified if the resulting integrals of motion $\rho(H_k)$ are polynomials on momenta. For instance, if ρ maps an integrable geodesic flow to another equivalent integrable geodesic flow. We constructed several such maps for Euclidean space [19, 20, 25, 26], sphere [17, 18, 21, 22] and ellipsoid [23].

In this note, we consider one of the simplest maps ρ , which was obtained in [21, 22] using natural action of a partial torsion subgroup, without any reference to its algebro-geometric background.

1.1 The Maupertuis principle

Roughly speaking, the Maupertuis principle say that any pair of suitably graded integrals of motion in the involution generates new integrable geodesic Hamiltonian on the configurational space Q with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n , see [5, 6, 14, 15] and references within.

Let us briefly discuss a main technical construction of geodesic Hamiltonians on the phase space T^*Q equipped with the canonical Poisson structure.

Proposition 1. *Let us take integrable geodesic Hamiltonian T*

$$T = \sum_{i,j} g^{ij}(x) p_i p_j,$$

and construct the Hamilton function in the normal form

$$H = T + V(x)$$

which commutes either with the sum of polynomials of odd order in momenta

$$K = K_m + K_{m-2} + K_{m-4} + \dots + K_1, \quad \text{when } m = 2k + 1,$$

or with the sum of polynomials of even order in momenta

$$K = K_m + K_{m-2} + K_{m-4} + \dots + K_0, \quad \text{when } m = 2k.$$

Here potential $V = V(x)$ depends on coordinates x and K_j is the homogeneous polynomial of j -order in momenta on T^*Q .

From $\{H, K\} = 0$ follows that geodesic Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{T} = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{g}^{ij} p_i p_j = \frac{T}{h - V}, \quad \tilde{g}(x) = \frac{g(x)}{h - V(x)}$$

where h is a constant, commutes

$$\{\tilde{T}, \tilde{K}\} = 0$$

with the sum of polynomials of odd order in momenta

$$\tilde{K} = K_m + \tilde{T}K_{m-2} + \tilde{T}^2K_{m-4} + \dots + \tilde{T}^kK_1,$$

or with the sum of polynomials of even order in momenta

$$\tilde{K} = K_m + \tilde{T}K_{m-2} + \tilde{T}^2K_{m-4} + \dots + \tilde{T}^kK_0,$$

respectively.

Using Euler's homogeneous function theorem we rewrite equation $\{H, K\} = 0$ as a set of equations

$$\{T, K_j\} + \{V, K_{j+2}\} = 0, \quad j = m, m-2, \dots, \quad K_{m+2} = K_{-1} = K_{-2} = 0.$$

Substituting these equations into

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{T}, \tilde{K}\} &= \{\tilde{T}, K_m\} + \tilde{T}\{\tilde{T}, K_{m-2}\} + \tilde{T}^2\{\tilde{T}, K_{m-4}\} + \dots \\ &= \frac{\{T, K_m\}}{h-V} + \left(\frac{T}{(h-V)^2}\{V, K_m\} + \frac{\tilde{T}}{h-V}\{T, K_{m-2}\} \right) + \dots \\ &= 0 + \frac{T}{(h-V)^2}(\{V, K_m\} + \{T, K_{m-2}\}) + \dots = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and grouping terms of the same order in momenta we directly verify this Proposition.

By adding suitable potentials to the equivalent geodesic Hamiltonians T and $\rho(T)$

$$H = T + V(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H} = \rho(T) + \hat{V}(x)$$

we obtain different integrable potential flows. These potentials can be obtained solving equation for original integrals of motion

$$\{T + V(x), K_m + K_{m-2} + \dots + K_{0,1}\} = 0$$

and equation for their images

$$\{\rho(T) + \hat{V}(x), \hat{K}_\ell + \hat{K}_{\ell-2} + \dots + \hat{K}_{0,1}\} = 0,$$

where integrals of motion $K = K_m + K_{m-2} + \dots + K_{0,1}$ and $\hat{K} = \hat{K}_\ell + \hat{K}_{\ell-2} + \dots + \hat{K}_{0,1}$ are polynomials of the different orders m and n on momenta in generic case.

Using these potentials and the Maupertuis principle we can get different metrics associated with Hamiltonians

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{T}{h-V(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{T} = \frac{\rho(T)}{h-\hat{V}(x)}. \quad (1.2)$$

So, starting with equivalent integrable metrics we can get different integrable metrics. This to some extent justifies our desire to study equivalent integrable metrics.

2 Integrable map on the sphere

Let us consider Cartesian coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and the conjugated momenta p_{x_i} on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$\{x_i, x_j\}' = \{p_{x_i}, p_{x_j}\}' = 0, \quad \{x_i, p_{x_j}\}' = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The unit $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^{(n-1)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and its cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{S}^{(n-1)} \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ are defined via constraints

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1, \\ F_2 &= x_1 p_{x_1} + \dots + x_n p_{x_n} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Induced symplectic structure on $T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is given by the Dirac-Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} = \{f, g\}' - \frac{\{F_1, f\}'\{F_2, g\}' - \{F_1, g\}'\{F_2, f\}'}{\{F_1, F_2\}'}$$

which reads as

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = 0, \quad \{x_i, p_{x_j}\} = \delta_{ij} - x_i x_j, \quad \{p_{x_i}, p_{x_j}\} = x_j p_{x_i} - x_i p_{x_j}. \quad (2.2)$$

Images of these variables (x, p_x) we denote as $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ and $p_y = (p_{y_1}, p_{y_2}, p_{y_3})$

Proposition 2. Consider the following mapping of the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{S}^{(n-1)}$

$$\rho_b : (x_i, p_{x_i}) \rightarrow (y_i, p_{y_i}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

defined by equations

$$y_i = \sqrt{\frac{b_i}{\mathcal{H}}} p_{x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad x_i p_{x_i} + y_i p_{y_i} = 0, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.3)$$

This mapping preserves constraints

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1 = y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2, \\ F_2 &= x_1 p_{x_1} + \dots + x_n p_{x_n} = 0 = y_1 p_{y_1} + \dots + y_n p_{y_n}, \end{aligned}$$

the form of Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = b_1 p_{x_1}^2 + \dots + b_n p_{x_n}^2 = b_1 p_{y_1}^2 + \dots + b_n p_{y_n}^2, \quad (2.4)$$

and the form of induced Poisson brackets (2.2).

The proof is a straightforward verification of the Poisson bracket, the forms of constraints, and the form of Hamiltonian.

Proposition 3. Composition of ρ_b (2.3) and similar map ρ_c

$$\rho_c : y_i = \sqrt{\frac{c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tilde{p}_{x_i}}} \tilde{p}_{x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}_i \tilde{p}_{x_i} + y_i p_{y_i} = 0, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

is the canonical transformation

$$\sigma_{bc} : (x, p_x) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}_x)$$

depending on $2n$ parameters $b_i, c_i, i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\frac{b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_{x_i}} p_{x_i}^2 = \frac{c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tilde{p}_{x_i}} \tilde{p}_{x_i}^2, \quad x_i p_i = \tilde{x}_i \tilde{p}_{x_i}, \quad (2.5)$$

which preserves canonical Poisson brackets (2.2) and the form of Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = p_{x_1}^2 + \dots + p_{x_n}^2 = \tilde{p}_{x_1}^2 + \dots + \tilde{p}_{x_n}^2.$$

The proof is a straightforward verification.

Using these canonical transformation ρ_b and σ_{bc} we can construct a family of equivalent integrable metrics on the sphere. For instance, applying mapping (2.3) to the geodesic Hamiltonian on $T^*\mathbb{S}^{(n-1)}$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_{y_i}^2 + \mathcal{H} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i^2, \quad \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_{y_i}^2, \quad (2.6)$$

we obtain geodesic Hamiltonian of the similar form

$$\rho_b(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i c_i p_{x_i}^2 + \mathcal{H} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^{-1} x_i^2, \quad \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_{x_i}^2 \quad (2.7)$$

When $b_i = 1$, we have a simple permutation of parameters $a_i \leftrightarrow c_i$ in the original Hamiltonian (2.6).

This permutation of parameters is not as trivial as it seems. Let us take Hamiltonian T (2.6) and polynomial of the second order in momenta

$$K = \sqrt{w(y)} \mathcal{H}, \quad w(y) = \sum_{i \geq j} e_{ij} y_i^2 y_j^2, \quad (2.8)$$

where $w(y)$ is a polynomial of second order in squares y_j^2 , which is not a full square. If we substitute T (2.6) and K (2.8) into

$$\{T, K\} = 0$$

and solve the resulting system of algebraic equations for b_i, c_i and d_i , we obtain a geodesic flow with two integrals of motion which are polynomials of second order in momenta.

Mapping ρ_b (2.3) relates second order polynomial in momenta T to the second order polynomial in momenta $\rho_b(T)$ (2.7) commuting with $\rho_b(K)$

$$\{\rho_b(T), \rho_b(K)\} = 0, \quad \rho_b(K) = \rho\left(\sqrt{w(y)} \mathcal{H}\right) = \sqrt{\sum_{i \geq j} e_{ij} b_i b_j p_{x_i}^2 p_{x_j}^2} \quad (2.9)$$

and with its square $\rho^2(K)$, which is a polynomial of fourth order in momenta.

For instance, when $n=3$ and $b_i = 1$, the following Hamiltonian

$$T = a_1 p_{y_1}^2 + a_2 p_{y_2}^2 + a_3 p_{y_3}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left((a_2 + a_3) y_1^2 + (a_1 + a_3) y_2^2 + (a_1 + a_2) y_3^2 \right) (p_{y_1}^2 + p_{y_2}^2 + p_{y_3}^2) \quad (2.10)$$

commutes with the polynomial of second order in momenta

$$K = \sqrt{w(y)} (p_{y_1}^2 + p_{y_2}^2 + p_{y_3}^2),$$

where

$$w(y) = \left((a_2 - a_3) y_1^2 + (a_3 - a_1) y_2^2 - (a_1 - a_2) y_3^2 \right)^2 + 4(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_1) y_1^2 y_2^2.$$

After transformation (2.3) we obtain geodesic Hamiltonian (2.7)

$$\rho_b(T) = -\frac{1}{2} \left((a_2 + a_3) p_{x_1}^2 + (a_1 + a_3) p_{x_2}^2 + (a_1 + a_2) p_{x_3}^2 \right) + (a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + a_3 x_3^2) (p_{x_1}^2 + p_{x_2}^2 + p_{x_3}^2)$$

commuting with a square root $\rho_b(K)$ (2.9) and with its square $\rho^2(K)$

$$\rho^2(K) = \left((a_2 - a_3) p_{x_1}^2 + (a_3 - a_1) p_{x_2}^2 - (a_1 - a_2) p_{x_3}^2 \right)^2 + 4(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_1) p_{x_1}^2 p_{x_2}^2,$$

which is quartic polynomial in momenta.

So, on the two dimensional sphere we have at least one non-trivial example of equivalent geodesic flows with quadratic and quartic polynomial invariants T, K and $\rho_b(T), \rho^2(K)$, respectively. An application of the Maupertuis principle to construction of the corresponding non equivalent metrics (1.2) is discussed in the Section 3.

In the next subsection we rewrite canonical transformations ρ_b (2.3) and σ_{bc} (2.5) in other variables on cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$ to the two-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 and study properties of these transformations. It allows us to construct other examples of equivalent metrics and to understand how to construct similar equivalent metrics on the $n - 1$ -dimensional sphere.

For brevity, below we will drop ρ_b and σ_{bc} which do not affect understanding, and simply write H instead of $\rho_b(H)$ or $\sigma_{bc}(H)$.

2.1 Euler flow on two-dimensional sphere

The three-dimensional Euler top on the phase space $so(3)$ is defined by Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_e = a_1 M_1^2 + a_2 M_2^2 + a_3 M_3^2 \quad (2.11)$$

commuting with any component M_1, M_2 and M_3 of the angular momentum vector

$$M = (M_1, M_2, M_3) \in so(3).$$

There are many implicit and explicit maps preserving this Hamiltonian, see [24] and references within.

We consider another Hamiltonian system defined by the same Hamiltonian (2.11) but on the six-dimensional phase space $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$, when vector $M = x \times p_x$ is a cross product of two vectors x and p_x so that

$$M_1 = x_3 p_{y_2} - x_2 p_{y_3}, \quad M_2 = x_1 p_{y_3} - x_3 p_{y_2}, \quad M_3 = x_2 p_1 - x_1 p_{y_2}.$$

We denote similar cross product of the vectors y and p_y from (2.3) as $L = y \times p_y$.

By definition

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 &= 1, & y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 &= 1, \\ x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2 + x_3 M_3 &= 0, & y_1 L_1 + y_2 L_2 + y_3 L_3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

and symplectic structure on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$ is given by the bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{M_i, M_j\} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} M_k, & \{M_i, x_j\} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} x_k, & \{x_i, x_j\} &= 0, \\ \{L_i, L_j\} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} L_k, & \{L_i, y_j\} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} y_k, & \{y_i, y_j\} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where ε_{ijk} is the skew-symmetric tensor.

Let us rewrite integrable map (2.3) in these variables on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$.

Proposition 4. *When $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1$, map ρ_b (2.3) on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$ has the following form*

$$L_k = M_k, \quad \text{and} \quad y_k^2 + x_k^2 + \frac{M_k^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2} = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.14)$$

This map preserves the angular momentum vector and the Poisson brackets (2.13).

Proof: From (2.3) and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(x_3 M_2 - x_2 M_3) + y_1(y_3 L_2 - y_2 L_3) &= 0, \\ x_2(x_1 M_3 - x_3 M_1) + y_2(y_1 L_3 - y_3 L_1) &= 0, \\ x_3(x_2 M_1 - x_1 M_2) + y_3(y_2 L_1 - y_1 L_2) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{(y_i L_j - y_j L_i)^2}{L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2} = 1 - y_k^2 - \frac{L_k^2}{L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2}, \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i.$$

Solving these equations for x_i and M_i we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{(y_2 L_3 - y_3 L_2)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}}, & M_1 &= \frac{x_2 y_3 (y_1 L_2 - y_2 L_1)}{x_3} - \frac{x_3 y_2 (y_3 L_1 - y_1 L_3)}{x_2}, \\ x_2 &= \frac{(y_3 L_1 - y_1 L_3)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}}, & M_2 &= \frac{x_3 y_1 (y_2 L_3 - y_3 L_2)}{x_1} - \frac{x_1 y_3 (y_1 L_2 - y_2 L_1)}{x_3}, \\ x_3 &= \frac{(y_1 L_2 - y_2 L_1)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}}, & M_3 &= \frac{x_1 y_2 (y_3 L_1 - y_1 L_3)}{x_2} - \frac{x_2 y_1 (y_2 L_3 - y_3 L_2)}{x_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2 = M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2$$

is the square of the angular momentum vector. After that, we can directly verify that

$$L_k - M_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

when constraints (2.12) hold. Using (2.15) we can also directly check the Poisson brackets (2.13).

2.2 Magnetic flow on the sphere

Let us take geodesic Hamiltonian on the sphere (2.4)

$$\mathcal{H} = a_1 p_{x_1}^2 + a_2 p_{x_2}^2 + a_3 p_{x_3}^2 .$$

which in (x, M) coordinates reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= a_1(x_2 M_3 - x_3 M_2)^2 + a_2(x_1 M_3 - x_3 M_1)^2 + a_3(x_2 M_1 - x_1 M_2)^2 , \\ &= a_1 M_1^2 + a_2 M_2^2 + a_3 M_3^2 + (a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + a_3 x_3^2 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)(M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2) . \end{aligned}$$

After the shift of momenta

$$p_x \rightarrow p_x + \beta x , \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R} ,$$

we obtain magnetic flow on the sphere defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = a_1(p_{x_1} + \beta x_1)^2 + a_2(p_{x_2} + \beta x_2)^2 + a_3(p_{x_3} + \beta x_3)^2 . \quad (2.16)$$

with linear terms in momenta [3, 7, 11].

Integrable map preserving this flow is given by mapping (2.15) after the shift of momenta.

Proposition 5. *Let us consider mapping on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$*

$$\rho_\beta : (y, L) \rightarrow (x, M)$$

defined as

$$x_1 = \frac{(y_2 L_3 - y_3 L_2 + \beta y_1)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}} , \quad x_2 = \frac{(y_3 L_1 - y_1 L_3 + \beta y_3)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}} , \quad x_3 = \frac{(y_1 L_2 - y_2 L_1 + \beta y_3)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}} ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \frac{x_2 y_3 (y_1 L_2 - y_2 L_1 + \beta y_3)}{x_3} - \frac{x_3 y_2 (y_3 L_1 - y_1 L_3 + \beta y_2)}{x_2} , \\ M_2 &= \frac{x_3 y_1 (y_2 L_3 - y_3 L_2 + \beta y_1)}{x_1} - \frac{x_1 y_3 (y_1 L_2 - y_2 L_1 + \beta y_3)}{x_3} , \\ M_3 &= \frac{x_1 y_2 (y_3 L_1 - y_1 L_3 + \beta y_2)}{x_2} - \frac{x_2 y_1 (y_2 L_3 - y_3 L_2 + \beta y_1)}{x_1} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

This mapping preserves the form of Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} (2.16), Poisson bracket (2.13) and values of its Casimir functions (2.12).

In contrast with the previous transformation (2.14), this transformation changes the angular momentum vector, so that $L_i \neq M_i$.

Thus, we rewrite map ρ_b (2.3) using entries of the angular momentum vector (2.15) and construct its trivial generalisation ρ_β (2.17). Below we apply these maps to construct equivalent geodesic and non-equivalent potential flows on the two-dimensional sphere.

3 Main example of equivalent integrable metrics on the sphere

Elliptic coordinate system u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} on the sphere with parameters $a_1 < \dots < a_n$ is defined through equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda - u_k)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - a_i)} , \quad (3.1)$$

that implies $\sum x_i^2 = 1$. Elliptic coordinates are orthogonal and locally defined, they take values in the intervals

$$a_1 < u_1 < a_2 < u_2 < \cdots < u_{n-1} < a_n.$$

The Poisson bracket between elliptic coordinates u_k and their conjugated momenta p_{u_k} is canonical Poisson bracket

$$\{u_i, u_j\} = 0, \quad \{p_{u_i}, p_{u_j}\} = 0, \quad \{u_i, p_{u_j}\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

When $n = 3$ six variables x_i and M_i are expressed via four variables $u_{1,2}$ and $p_{u_{1,2}}$ in the following way

$$x_i = \sqrt{\frac{(u_1 - a_i)(u_2 - a_i)}{(a_j - a_i)(a_k - a_i)}}, \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i, \quad (3.2)$$

$$M_i = \frac{2\varepsilon_{ijk}x_jx_k(a_j - a_k)}{u_1 - u_2} \left((a_i - u_1)p_{u_1} - (a_i - u_2)p_{u_2} \right).$$

Similar second pair of elliptic coordinates $v_{1,2}$ on the sphere together with the conjugated momenta $p_{v_{1,2}}$

$$\{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1, p_{v_2}\} = \{v_2, p_{v_1}\} = \{p_{v_1}, p_{v_2}\} = 0, \quad \{v_1, p_{v_1}\} = \{v_2, p_{v_2}\} = 1,$$

determine the second set of variables on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$

$$y_i = \sqrt{\frac{(v_1 - a_i)(v_2 - a_i)}{(a_j - a_i)(a_k - a_i)}}, \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i, \quad (3.3)$$

$$L_i = \frac{2\varepsilon_{ijk}y_jy_k(a_j - a_k)}{v_1 - v_2} \left((a_i - v_1)p_{v_1} - (a_i - v_2)p_{v_2} \right).$$

In elliptic coordinates square of the angular momentum is equal to

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{4(a_1 - u_1)(a_2 - u_1)(a_3 - u_1)p_{u_1}^2}{u_1 - u_2} + \frac{4(a_1 - u_2)(a_2 - u_2)(a_3 - u_3)p_{u_2}^2}{u_2 - u_1} \quad (3.4)$$

and mapping (2.15)

$$\rho_b : (u, p_u) \rightarrow (v, p_v)$$

can be rewritten using pair of the so-called Abel polynomials on auxiliary variable z defining intersection divisor on the hyperelliptic curve C [21, 22]:

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = \frac{(z - v_2)\varphi(v_1)p_{v_1}}{v_1 - v_2} + \frac{(z - v_1)\varphi(v_2)p_{v_2}}{v_2 - v_1} = -\frac{(z - u_2)\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}}{u_1 - u_2} - \frac{(z - u_1)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}}{u_2 - u_1} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\psi(z) = \mathcal{H}(z - v_1)(z - v_2)(z - u_1)(z - u_2) = \varphi(z)(z\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}_e) - \mathcal{P}(z)^2, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\varphi(z) = -(a_1 - z)(a_2 - z)(a_3 - z)$, \mathcal{H} is the square of the angular momentum vector (3.4) and \mathcal{H}_e is the Hamiltonian of the Euler top (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_e &= a_1M_1^2 + a_2M_2^2 + a_3M_3^2 = \frac{4u_2\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2}{u_1 - u_2} + \frac{4u_1\varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2}{u_2 - u_1} \\ &= a_1L_1^2 + a_2L_2^2 + a_3L_3^2 = \frac{4v_2\varphi(v_1)p_{v_1}^2}{v_1 - v_2} + \frac{4v_1\varphi(v_2)p_{v_2}^2}{v_2 - v_1}. \end{aligned}$$

These equations (3.5) and (3.6) should be interpreted as an identity for z and each set of elliptic variables u, p_u and v, p_v .

Transformation ρ_b (2.14) is a partial case of the integrable maps associated with the non-holonomic Chaplygin and Veselova systems on the sphere [21, 22].

3.1 Integration of the original integrable flow

Let us come back to the geodesic Hamiltonian (2.10), which becomes additive separable Hamiltonian in elliptic variables

$$H = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2, \quad \mathcal{S}_k = -(a_1 - v_k)(a_2 - v_k)(a_3 - v_k)p_{v_k}^2, \quad (3.7)$$

commuting with linear integrals of motion

$$I_1 = \sqrt{(a_1 - v_1)(a_2 - v_1)(a_3 - v_1)}p_{v_1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \sqrt{(a_1 - v_2)(a_2 - v_2)(a_3 - v_2)}p_{v_2},$$

quadratic integral of motion $J = \mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_2$ (2.8) and any other functions $f(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$ on $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.

The corresponding diagonal metric

$$g(v_1, v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(v_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi(z) = -(a_1 - z)(a_2 - z)(a_3 - z)$$

has a non-trivial isometry group. Integrals of motion $f(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$ are in involution with respect to the Poisson brackets associated with canonical Poisson bivector P

$$P = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{v_1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{v_2}} \quad (3.8)$$

and second compatible Poisson bivector

$$P' = \mathcal{S}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{v_1}} + \mathcal{S}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{v_2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

This pair of compatible Poisson bivectors determines the bi-Hamiltonian vector field

$$X = P'dH = PdH', \quad \text{where} \quad H' = \frac{\mathcal{S}_1^2 + \mathcal{S}_2^2}{2}.$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation $H = E$ (3.7) admits additive separation

$$H = E_1 + E_2, \quad \mathcal{S}_1(v_1, p_{v_1}) = E_1 \quad \mathcal{S}_2(v_2, p_{v_2}) = E_2.$$

Because

$$\frac{dv_k}{dt} = \{H, v_k\} = 2(a_1 - v_k)(a_2 - v_k)(a_3 - v_k)p_{v_k}, \quad k = 1, 2 \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$p_{v_k}^2 = -\frac{E_k}{(a_1 - v_k)(a_2 - v_k)(a_3 - v_k)}, \quad (3.11)$$

we have the following separated equations

$$\left(\frac{dv_k}{dt}\right)^2 + 4(a_1 - v_k)(a_2 - v_k)(a_3 - v_k)E_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (3.12)$$

Standard substitution

$$v_k = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{3} + \frac{w}{E_k}, \quad \frac{dv_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{E_k} \frac{dw}{dt}, \quad (3.13)$$

reduces equations (3.10) to equations for the elliptic Weierstrass function

$$\left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right)^2 = 4w^3 - g_2w + g_3,$$

where

$$g_2 = \frac{4(a_1^2 - a_1a_2 - a_1a_3 + a_2^2 - a_2a_3 + a_3^2)E_k^2}{3},$$

$$g_3 = \frac{4(2a_1 - a_2 - a_3)(a_1 - 2a_2 + a_3)(a_1 + a_2 - 2a_3)E_k^3}{27}.$$

Thus, we can express variables v_1, v_2 (3.13) and p_{v_1}, p_{v_2} (3.11) via two elliptic \wp -functions on time.

Below we apply transformation ρ_b (2.14) to this simple geodesic flow (3.12).

3.2 Properties of the equivalent integrable metric

Let us introduce diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its spectral characteristics

$$b = a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \quad c = a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3, \quad d = a_1a_2a_3. \quad (3.14)$$

It allows us to rewrite Hamiltonian H (3.7) using the angular momentum vector

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(L, \mathbf{A}L) + \frac{(x, \mathbf{A}x) - b}{4}(L, L).$$

After transformation ρ_b (2.14) this Hamiltonian has the following form

$$H = \frac{1}{4}(M, \mathbf{A}M) - \frac{1}{4}(x, \mathbf{A}x)(M, M), \quad (3.15)$$

so in elliptic coordinates (3.2) we have

$$H = g_{11}p_{u_1}^2 + g_{22}p_{u_2}^2 = \frac{(u_1 + 2u_2 - b)\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2}{u_1 - u_2} + \frac{(2u_1 + u_2 - b)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2}{u_2 - u_1}, \quad (3.16)$$

which allows us to calculate the corresponding diagonal metric on \mathbb{S}^2

$$g(u_1, u_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u_1 + 2u_2 - b)\varphi(u_1)}{u_1 - u_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(2u_1 + u_2 - b)\varphi(u_2)}{u_2 - u_1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

For metric space (\mathbb{S}^2, g) we can define vector space of symmetric $(m, 0)$ Killing tensors K , which are solutions of the Killing equations

$$[[g, K]] = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

where $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$ is a Schouten bracket.

When $m = 1$, solutions K are said to be infinitesimal isometries which form an isometry group. According to [2]: "everybody knows that isometry group $Isom(M, g) = Id$ for generic Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metrics g for $\dim M \geq 2$ ". Our metric is no exception.

Proposition 6. *Metric g (3.17) on two-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 has the trivial isometry group and trivial vector spaces of Killing tensors of valency two and three when $m = 2, 3$.*

Proof is a straightforward solution of the Killing equation (3.18) at $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Transformation ρ_b (2.14) maps integral of motion

$$K = \mathcal{S}_1 \mathcal{S}_2$$

to the following polynomial of fourth order in momenta

$$K = K_1^2 \cdot K_2 = \frac{1}{16}(M, \mathbf{A}x)^2(M, M).$$

In elliptic coordinates (3.2) these factors are equal to

$$K_1 = \frac{p_{u_1} - p_{u_2}}{u_1 - u_2}, \quad K_2 = \frac{\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)(\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2 - \varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2)}{u_1 - u_2}, \quad (3.19)$$

which allows us to determine the Killing tensor K of valency $m = 4$ on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , which satisfies the Killing equation (3.18).

Transformation ρ_b (2.14) preserves the form of the canonical Poisson bivector P (3.8)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.20)$$

and changes the form of the second Poisson bivector P' (3.9)

$$P' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ -A_1 & 0 & A_4 & A_5 \\ -A_2 & -A_4 & 0 & A_6 \\ -A_3 & -A_5 & -A_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2(p_{u_1}-p_{u_2})}{(u_1-u_2)^2}, \quad A_2 = -g_{11}p_{u_1}^2 - \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2(p_{u_1}-p_{u_2})^2}{(u_1-u_2)^3}, \quad A_5 = -g_{22}p_{u_2}^2 + \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2(p_{u_1}-p_{u_2})^2}{(u_1-u_2)^3},$$

$$A_3 = \frac{\varphi_1^2(p_{u_1}-p_{u_2})^2}{(u_1-u_2)^3} - g_{11}p_{u_2}(2p_{u_1} - p_{u_2}), \quad A_4 = -\frac{\varphi_2^2(p_{u_1}-p_{u_2})^2}{(u_1-u_2)^3} + g_{22}p_{u_1}(p_{u_1} - 2p_{u_2})$$

$$A_6 = -\frac{(p_{u_1}-p_{u_2})p_{u_1}p_{u_2}}{(u_1-u_2)^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{(u_1-u_2)^3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} \left(g_{22} - \frac{\varphi_2^2}{(u_1-u_2)^3} \right) \right),$$

and $\varphi_k = \varphi(u_k)$ for brevity. Structure of this Poisson bivector P' is completely different from the structure of the so-called natural Poisson bivectors on the sphere [16, 28].

Both bivectors P (3.8) and P' (3.21) are invertible, which allows us to introduce a hereditary or recursion operator defined as

$$N = P'P^{-1}.$$

The spectral curve of N has the form

$$\det(N - \lambda Id) = (\lambda^2 + H\lambda - K)^2,$$

where H and K are given by (3.16-3.19). Thus, the following equation holds

$$P'dH = PdJ, \quad J = \frac{H^2}{2} - K = \frac{\mathcal{S}_1^2 + \mathcal{S}_2^2}{2}. \quad (3.22)$$

where P and P' are given by (3.20) and (3.21) and

$$dH = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_1} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_2} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{u_1}} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{u_2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad dH' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H'}{\partial u_1} \\ \frac{\partial H'}{\partial u_2} \\ \frac{\partial H'}{\partial p_{u_1}} \\ \frac{\partial H'}{\partial p_{u_2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, we have a geodesic flow on the bi-Hamiltonian manifold $(T^*\mathbb{S}^2, P, P')$ defined by the coefficients of the Casimir functions of the Poisson pencil $P_\lambda = P + \lambda P'$.

Proposition 7. *On the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$ Hamiltonian H (3.16)*

$$H = \frac{1}{4}(M, \mathbf{A}M) - \frac{1}{4}(x, \mathbf{A}x)(M, M) = \frac{(u_1 + 2u_2 - b)\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2}{u_1 - u_2} + \frac{(2u_1 + u_2 - b)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2}{u_2 - u_1}$$

yields bi-Hamiltonian vector field

$$X = PdH = \left(N^{-1}P \right) dJ, \quad N = P'P^{-1}$$

where P and P' are given by (3.20) and (3.21).

The proof is a straightforward calculation.

3.3 Potential motion

Let us discuss potentials $V(x)$ which can be added to the geodesic Hamiltonian H (3.15). For instance, starting with the following separable Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 + \beta \frac{v_1 \mathcal{S}_1 - v_2 \mathcal{S}_2}{\mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_2}$$

so that

$$H_1 = E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{S}_1^2 + \beta v_1 \mathcal{S}_1 - E \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2^2 + \beta v_2 \mathcal{S}_2 - E \mathcal{S}_2,$$

we obtain Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = (M, \mathbf{A}M) - (x, \mathbf{A}x)(M, M) + V(x), \quad V(x) = \beta(\mathbf{A}x, x) = \beta(a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + a_3 x_3^2),$$

commuting with

$$H_2 = (M, \mathbf{A}x)^2 \left((M, M) - \beta \right)$$

Other potentials may be obtained using the following substitution

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= (M, \mathbf{A}M) - (x, \mathbf{A}x)(M, M) + V(x), \\ H_2 &= \left((M, \mathbf{A}x)^2 + (M, \mathbf{A}x)U_1(x) + U_2(x) \right) \left((M, M) + U_3(x) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Equation $\{H_1, H_2\} = 0$ has several solutions from which we single out the following polynomial "cubic" potential

$$V(x) = \alpha \left((x, \mathbf{A}x) - \frac{b}{3} \right)^3, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $b = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x) &= 0, \quad U_2(x) = 0, \quad U_3(x) = -\alpha W(x), \\ W(x) &= (a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_2)x_1^4 + (a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)x_2^4 + (a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)x_3^4 \\ &\quad - (a_1^2 + 2a_2a_3)x_1^2 - (a_2^2 + 2a_1a_3)x_2^2 - (a_3^2 + 2a_1a_2)x_3^2 + \frac{b^2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case polynomial H_1 (3.23) becomes a rational function in v -variables

$$H_1 = (\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2) + \alpha \left(\frac{(3v_1 - b)\mathcal{S}_1 - (3v_2 - b)\mathcal{S}_2}{\mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_2} \right)^3$$

and we know nothing about the separation of variables and bi-Hamiltonian structure of the corresponding vector field when $\alpha \neq 0$.

Following [5, 14, 15], we can use the Maupertuis principle to construct a new metric on the sphere associated with the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{g_{11}p_{u_1}^2 + g_{22}p_{u_2}^2}{E - V(x)}.$$

The corresponding additional integral of motion is the polynomial of fourth order in momenta.

4 Family of equivalent integrable metrics

As an example, we take another separable Hamiltonian

$$H = \hat{\lambda}_1 + \hat{\lambda}_2, \quad \hat{\lambda}_k = v_k \mathcal{S}_k = -v_k(a_1 - v_k)(a_2 - v_k)(a_3 - v_k)p_{v_k}^2,$$

which in (y, L) variables reads as

$$H = a_1(y_2L_3 - y_3L_2)^2 + a_2(y_1L_3 - y_3L_1)^2 + a_3(y_2L_1 - y_1L_2)^2,$$

up to the factor $1/4$. In Cartesian coordinates, it has the form

$$\hat{H} = a_1p_{y_1}^2 + a_2p_{y_2}^2 + a_3p_{y_3}^2.$$

Below we apply transformations ρ_b (2.3) with different values of b_i to this Hamiltonian and present equivalent metrics on the sphere related by canonical transformation σ (2.5).

Case 1: Using transformation ρ_b (2.3) with

$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1,$$

we obtain the following integrals of motion

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(1)} &= \left(\frac{2\varphi(u_1)}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} - u_1 + \frac{(b^2 - bu_2 - 2c)}{u_1 - u_2} \right) \varphi_1 p_{u_1}^2 - \frac{4\varphi_1\varphi_2 p_{u_1} p_{u_2}}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\varphi(u_2)}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} - u_2 + \frac{b^2 - bu_1 - 2c}{u_2 - u_1} \right) \varphi_2 p_{u_2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$K^{(1)} = \varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2) K_1^2 K_2, \quad \text{where } K_1 = \frac{p_1 - p_{y_2}}{u_1 - u_2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \left(\frac{\varphi(u_2)}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} - u_2 - \frac{u_2(b-2u_1)}{u_1 - u_2} \right) \varphi(u_1) p_{u_1}^2 - \frac{2\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2) p_{u_1} p_{u_2}}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\varphi(u_1)}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} - u_1 - \frac{u_1(b-2u_2)}{u_2 - u_1} \right) \varphi(u_2) p_{u_2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Using transformation ρ_b (2.3) with

$$b_1 = a_1, \quad b_2 = a_2, \quad b_3 = a_3,$$

we obtain the following integrals of motion

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(2)} &= \frac{(d - cu_1 + (u_1 - 2u_2 + b)u_1u_2)\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} + \frac{2\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_1}p_{u_2}}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{(d - cu_2 + (u_2 - 2u_1 + b)u_1u_2)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$K^{(2)} = K_1^2 K_2 = \left(\frac{u_1 p_{u_1} - u_2 p_{u_2}}{u_1 - u_2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)(\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2 - \varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2)}{u_1 u_2 (u_1 - u_2)}.$$

Case 3: Using transformation ρ_b (2.3) with

$$b_1 = a_1^2, \quad b_2 = a_2^2, \quad b_3 = a_3^2,$$

we obtain the following integrals of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{(3)} &= \frac{u_1(2u_1 + u_2 - \tilde{b}u_1u_2)\varphi(u_1)p_1^2}{u_1 - u_2} + \frac{u_2(u_1 + 2u_2 - \tilde{b}u_1u_2)\varphi(u_2)p_2^2}{u_2 - u_1} \\
K^{(3)} &= K_1^2 K_2 = \left(\frac{u_1^2 p_1 - u_2^2 p_2}{u_1 - u_2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)(u_1\varphi(u_1)p_1^2 - u_2\varphi(u_2)p_2^2)}{u_1 - u_2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\tilde{b} = a_1^{-1} + a_2^{-1} + a_3^{-1}$.

Proposition 8. *Geodesic Hamiltonians $H^{(1)}$ (4.1), $H^{(2)}$ (4.2) and $H^{(3)}$ (4.3) are related to each other by canonical transformations σ (2.5) with the suitable set of parameters.*

Thus, we have three equivalent metrics on the two-dimensional sphere.

4.1 Potential motion

We can try to destroy this equivalence of the geodesic flows by adding potentials to the geodesic Hamiltonian, for instance changing Hamiltonians in the following way.

$$H_1 = H + V \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = K_1^2(K_2 + U).$$

Let us present some potentials explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{b - u_1 - u_2} \left(\alpha \left(b - \frac{c}{b - u_1 - u_2} - \frac{d}{(b - u_1 - u_2)^2} \right) + \beta \right), \\
U^{(1)} &= \frac{\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)}{b - u_1 - u_2} \left(\alpha \frac{u_2\varphi(u_1) - u_1\varphi(u_2)}{(b - u_1 - u_2)^2(u_1 - u_2)} + \beta \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{(2)} &= u_1u_2 \left(\alpha \left(u_1^2u_2^2 - cu_1u_2 + bd \right) + \beta \right), \\
U^{(2)} &= \varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2) \left(\alpha \left(u_1^2u_2^2 - cu_1u_2 + d(u_1 + u_2) \right) + \beta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In the third case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{(3)} &= \alpha \frac{(u_1 + u_2)(u_1 + u_2 + \tilde{b}u_1u_2)^2}{u_1^3u_2^3} + \beta \frac{u_1 + u_2}{u_1u_2}, \\
U^{(3)} &= \frac{\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)}{4u_1u_2(u_1 - u_2)^2} \left(\alpha \frac{d(u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2) - cu_1u_2(u_1 + u_2)}{u_1^2u_2^2} + \beta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using relations

$$u_1 + u_2 = a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_3^2 - b, \quad u_1u_2 = a_2a_3x_1^2 + a_1a_3x_2^2 + a_1a_2x_3^2$$

we can rewrite these potentials in terms of Cartesian coordinates.

As above, the Maupertuis principle allows constructing a new metric on the sphere associated with the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{g_{11}p_{u_1}^2 + g_{22}p_{u_2}^2}{E - V}.$$

where g and V are metrics and potentials associated with $H^{(1)}$ (4.1), $H^{(2)}$ (4.2) and $H^{(3)}$ (4.3).

4.2 Hamiltonians with linear in momenta terms

Let us come back to the separable Hamiltonian (3.7)

$$H = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 = \varphi(v_1)p_{v_1}^2 + \varphi(v_2)p_{v_2}^2.$$

Using transformation ρ_b (2.3) with

$$b_1 = a_1, \quad b_2 = a_2, \quad b_3 = a_3,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \left(2a_1 - \frac{a_2 + a_3}{a_2 a_3} w\right) (x_2 M_3 - x_3 M_2)^2 + \left(2a_2 - \frac{a_1 + a_3}{a_1 a_3} w\right) (x_1 M_3 - x_3 M_1)^2 \\ &+ \left(2a_3 - \frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_1 a_2} w\right) (x_2 M_1 - x_1 M_2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w = u_1 u_2 = a_2 a_3 x_1^2 + a_1 a_3 x_2^2 + a_1 a_2 x_3^2.$$

In elliptic coordinates, this Hamiltonian has the following form

$$H = g_{11}p_{u_1}^2 + 2g_{12}p_{u_1}p_{u_2} + g_{22}p_{u_2}^2, \quad (4.4)$$

where the metric is

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d(2u_1 - u_2) - u_1^2 u_2^2 + b u_1 u_2^2 - c u_1 u_2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} & \frac{u_1 u_2 \varphi(u_1) \varphi(u_2)}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \\ \frac{u_1 u_2 \varphi(u_1) \varphi(u_2)}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} & \frac{d(u_1 - 2u_2) - u_1^2 u_2^2 + b u_1^2 u_2 - c u_1 u_2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and b, c and d are combinations of a_1, a_2 and a_3 (3.14). The corresponding quartic invariant is a product of two polynomials in momenta

$$K = \left(\frac{u_1 p_{u_1} - u_2 p_{u_2}}{u_1 - u_2}\right)^2 \frac{\varphi(u_1) \varphi(u_2) (u_1 \varphi(u_1) p_{u_1}^2 - u_2 \varphi(u_2) p_{u_2})}{u_1 - u_2}. \quad (4.5)$$

These integrals of motion H and K coincide with integrals H (3.16) and K (3.19) after canonical transformation σ_{bc} (2.5) depending on parameters $b_i = 1$ and $c_k = a_k$.

The main difference is that canonical transformation $p_k \rightarrow p_k + \beta_k$ acts trivially when $b_i = 1$

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum (p_k + \beta x_k)^2 = \sum p_k^2 + 2\beta \sum x_k p_k + \beta^2 \sum x_k^2 = \sum p_k^2 + \beta^2$$

according to constraints (2.1). When $b_i = a_i$, this transformation adds nontrivial term to the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} (2.4), which is linear polynomial in momenta

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum a_k (p_k + \beta x_k)^2 = \sum a_k p_k^2 + 2\beta \sum a_k x_k p_k + \beta^2 \sum a_k x_k^2.$$

As a result, applying a transformation (2.17) to the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k = \mathcal{S}_k + \beta^2 v_k = \varphi(v_k) p_{v_k}^2 + \beta^2 v_k,$$

we obtain Hamiltonian on the $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$

$$\tilde{H} = H - \frac{2\beta u_1 u_2 (u_2 \varphi(u_1) p_{u_1} - u_1 \varphi(u_2) p_{u_2})}{u_1 - u_2} - \beta^2 \left(\frac{u_2^2 \varphi(u_1) - u_1^2 \varphi(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} + d(u_1 + u_2) \right)$$

involving linear terms in velocity. Here H is given by (4.4) and the corresponding second integral of motion is equal to

$$\tilde{K} = K + \beta^4 B_4 + \beta_3 B_3 + \beta_2 B_2 + \beta B_1$$

where K is given by (4.5) and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &= -bu_1^2u_2^2 - d(u_1^2 + 2u_1u_2 + u_2^2) + cu_1u_2(u_1 + u_2), \\
B_3 &= -\frac{2u_1u_2(u_2^2\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1} - u_1^2\varphi(u_1)p_{u_2})}{u_1 - u_2}, \\
B_1 &= -\frac{2u_1u_2\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_1}p_{u_2}(u_1p_{u_1} - u_2p_{u_2})}{u_1 - u_2}, \\
B_2 &= \frac{(d(2u_1^2 + u_1u_2 - u_2^2) - 2cu_2u_1^2 + 2bu_1^2u_2^2 + u_1u_2^3(u_2 - 3u_1))u_1\varphi(u_1)p_{u_1}^2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \\
&+ \frac{2u_1u_2(u_1 + u_2)\varphi(u_1)\varphi(u_2)p_{u_1}p_{u_2}}{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \\
&+ \frac{(d(2u_2^2 + u_1u_2 - u_1^2) - 2cu_1u_2^2 + 2bu_1^2u_2^2 + u_2u_1^3(u_1 - 3u_2))u_2\varphi(u_2)p_{u_2}^2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

According [3, 7, 11] this Hamiltonian defines magnetic flow on the sphere.

5 Conclusion

We discuss a relatively simple map ρ_b (2.3) preserving the form of Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = b_1p_{x_1}^2 + \dots + b_np_{x_n}^2, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the Dirac-Poisson bracket (2.2) on cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{S}^{(n-1)}$ to the sphere.

Applying this map to the following Hamiltonian, which in terms of elliptic coordinates (3.1) has the form

$$T = \mathcal{S}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{S}_{n-1}, \quad \mathcal{S}_k = u_k^m \prod_{i=1}^n (u_k - a_i)p_{u_k}^2, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

we obtain polynomials of the second order in momenta at $b_i = a_i^\ell$, $\ell = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\rho_b(T) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_b^{ij}(x) p_{x_i} p_{x_j}.$$

Because these polynomials commute with n independent non-polynomial functions $\rho_b(\mathcal{S}_k)$, they determine a set of equivalent integrable metrics $g_b(x)$ on the sphere. By adding various potentials V_b to these equivalent geodesic Hamiltonians $\rho_b(T)$ we can construct different integrable flows and different metrics (1.2) on the sphere.

The main problem is how to get a set of functions on $\rho_b(\mathcal{S}_k)$ which are polynomials in momenta. In this note we study two dimensional sphere when $n = 3$ and prove that second order polynomial $\rho_b(\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2)$ commutes with polynomial of fourth order in momenta $\rho_b(\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_2)$. In further publications, we will present a similar result for integrable equivalent metrics on the three-dimensional 3D sphere.

Another interesting problem is to consider canonical transformations preserving Hamiltonian of the form

$$\mathcal{H} = b_1p_{x_1}^2 + \dots + b_np_{x_n}^2 + V(x), \quad b_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

which were obtained for different partial cases in [17, 18, 21, 22, 23].

The work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project 21-11-00141).

References

- [1] Abel N. H., *Mémoire sur une propriété générale d'une classe très étendue de fonctions transcendentes*, Oeuvres complètes, Tome I, Grondahl Son, Christiania, pages 145-211, 1881.
- [2] D'Ambra G., Gromov M., *Lectures on transformation groups: geometry and dynamics*, Surveys in Differential Geometry (supplement to the Journal of Differential Geometry), v.1, pp.19-111, 1999.
- [3] Bialy M., Mironov A.E., *New semi-Hamiltonian hierarchy related to integrable magnetic flows on surfaces*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., v.10, n.5, pp.1596-1604, 2012.
- [4] Błaszak M., Domański Z., *Lax representations for separable systems from Benenti class*, SIGMA, v. 15, 045, 18 pages, 2019.
- [5] Bolsinov, A. V., Kozlov, V. V., Fomenko, A. T., *The Maupertuis principle and geodesic flow on the sphere arising from integrable cases in the dynamic of a rigid body*, Russian Math. Surv., v. 50, no. 3, pp.473-501, 1995.
- [6] Bolsinov A.V., Fomenko A.T., *Integrable geodesic flows on two-dimensional surfaces*, CRC Press, 2004.
- [7] Dorizzi B., Grammaticos B., Ramani A., Winternitz P., *Integrable Hamiltonian systems with velocity-dependent potentials*, J. Math. Phys., v.26, pp.3070-3079., 1985.
- [8] Edwards H.M., *A normal form for elliptic curves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., v.44:3, pp. 393-422, 2007.
- [9] Eilbeck J.C., Enol'skii V.Z., Kuznetsov V.B., Tsiganov A.V., *Linear r-matrix algebra for classical separable systems*, J. Phys. A: Mathematical and General, v.27, n.2, pp. 567-578, 1994.
- [10] Harnad J., Winternitz P., *Harmonics on hyperspheres, separation of variables and the Bethe ansatz*, Letters in Math. Phys., v.33, n.1, pp.61-74, 1995.
- [11] McSween E., Winternitz P., *Integrable and superintegrable Hamiltonian systems in magnetic fields*, J. Math. Phys., v. 41, pp. 2957-2967, 2000.
- [12] Kuznetsov V.B., *Quadrics on real Riemannian spaces of constant curvature: Separation of variables and connection with Gaudin magnet*, J. Math. Phys., v.33, 3240-3250,1992.
- [13] Tsiganov A.V., *The Stäckel systems and algebraic curves*, J. Math. Phys., v.40, n. 1, pp.279-298, 1999.
- [14] Tsiganov A.V., *Duality between integrable Stäckel systems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., v.32, pp.7965-7982, 1999.
- [15] Tsiganov A.V., *The Maupertuis principle and canonical transformation of the extended phase space*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., v. 8, 157-182, 2001.
- [16] Tsiganov A. V., *On natural Poisson bivectors on the sphere*, J. Phys. A: Math.Theor., v. 44, 105203, 2011.
- [17] Tsiganov A.V., *On the Chaplygin system on the sphere with velocity dependent potential*, J. Geom. Phys., v.92, pp.94-99, 2015.
- [18] Tsiganov A.V., *Simultaneous separation for the Neumann and Chaplygin systems*, Regu. Chaotic Dyn., v.20, n.1, pp.74-93, 2015.

- [19] Tsiganov A.V., *On auto and hetero Backlund transformations for the Henon-Heiles systems*, Phys. Letters A, v.379, pp. 2903-2907, 2015.
- [20] Tsiganov A. V., *New bi-Hamiltonian systems on the plane*, J. Math. Phys., v.58, 062901, 2017.
- [21] Tsiganov A.V., *Bäcklund transformations for the nonholonomic Veselova system*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn., v.22, no. 2, pp.163-179, 2017.
- [22] Tsiganov A.V., *Integrable discretization and deformation of the nonholonomic Chaplygin ball*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn., v.22, no.4, pp. 353-367, 2017.
- [23] Tsiganov A.V., *Bäcklund transformations for the Jacobi system on an ellipsoid*, Theoret. and Math. Phys., v.192, n., 1204-1218, 2017.
- [24] Tsiganov A.V., *On discretization of the Euler top*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn., v.23. n.6, pp.785-796, 2018.
- [25] Tsiganov A.V., *Discretization of Hamiltonian systems and intersection theory* Theor. Math. Phys., v.197, n.3, pp. 1806-1822, 2018.
- [26] Tsiganov A.V., *On exact discretization of cubic-quintic Duffing oscillator*, J. Math. Phys., v.59, 072703, 2018.
- [27] Vanhaecke P., *Integrable systems in the realm of algebraic geometry*, 2nd ed., Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1638, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [28] Vershilov A.V., Tsiganov A.V., *On bi-Hamiltonian geometry of some integrable systems on the sphere with cubic integral of motion*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., v.42, 105203, 2009.