

UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLES WITH ERROR TERM IN GELFAND-SHILOV SPACES

ALEXANDER DICKE AND ALBRECHT SEELMANN

ABSTRACT. In this note, an alternative approach to establish observability for semigroups based on their smoothing properties is presented. The results discussed here reproduce some of those recently obtained in [arXiv:2112.01788], but the current proof allows to get rid of several technical assumptions by following the standard complex analytic approach established by Kovrijkine combined with an idea from [arXiv:2201.02370].

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Uncertainty principles are frequently used in control theory to prove observability for certain abstract Cauchy problems. Often this is done via the so-called Lebeau-Robbiano method, where an uncertainty principle for elements in the spectral subspace, a so-called *spectral inequality*, is combined with a *dissipation estimate*, see [25, 5, 20, 11]. The aforementioned spectral inequalities were studied for several differential operators, see, e.g., [10, 4, 9, 16, 8, 7, 19, 21, 6] and the references cited therein. Suitable dissipation estimates to treat also semigroups generated by some quadratic differential operators were provided in [5, 3, 16, 7].

A different approach was introduced in [15], based on [18]. It allows to derive observability estimates from uncertainty principles with error term established for functions in the range of the semigroup associated to the abstract Cauchy problem. In the situation of [15], these uncertainty principles are established using Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effects. By the latter we mean that for the strongly continuous contraction semigroup $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exist constants $C \geq 1$, $t_0 \in (0, 1)$, $\nu > 0$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$ with $\nu + \mu \geq 1$, and $r_1 \geq 0$, $r_2 > 0$, such that for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$(1.1) \quad \|(1 + |x|^2)^{n/2} \partial^\beta \mathcal{T}(t)g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C^{1+n+|\beta|}}{t^{r_1+r_2(n+|\beta|)}} (n!)^\nu (|\beta|!)^\mu \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $t \in (0, t_0)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

In this context we prove the following variant of [15, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies*

$$(1.2) \quad \|(1 + |x|^2)^{n/2} \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq D_1 D_2^{n+|\beta|} (n!)^\nu (|\beta|!)^\mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

with some $D_1 > 0$, $D_2 \geq 1$, $\nu \geq 0$, and $0 \leq \mu < 1$. Moreover, let $\delta \in [0, 1]$ with $s = \delta\nu + \mu < 1$, and let $\rho: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \rho(x) \leq R(1 + |x|^2)^{\delta/2} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with some $R \geq 1$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \rho(x) \leq \eta|x| \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq r_0$$

with some $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and some $r_0 \geq 1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 93B07; Secondary 93B05.

Key words and phrases. Unique continuation principle, observability, Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effects.

Then, for every measurable set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{|B(x, \rho(x)) \cap \omega|}{|B(x, \rho(x))|} \geq \gamma \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq e^{K \cdot (1 + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + D_2^{2/(1-s)})} \|f\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \varepsilon D_1^2,$$

where $K \geq 1$ is a constant depending on $\gamma, R, r_0, \eta, \nu, s$, and the dimension d .

Here, $B(x, \rho(x))$ denotes the open Euclidean ball of radius $\rho(x) > 0$ centered at x . Note that (1.4) is automatically satisfied if $\delta < 1$ with, say, $\eta = 1/2$ and $r_0 \geq (4R)^{1/(1-\delta)}$.

In [15], the same result is proved but under more technical assumptions, namely that ρ is a Lipschitz contraction with a uniform positive lower bound. On the other hand, the case $s = 1$, which also allows $\mu = 1$, is treated in [15] but is not in the scope of the method we discuss here. However, [15] does not present any application in terms of observability for this case.

Our proof, as well as the one in [15], follows the approach from [13, 12]. The main idea of the latter is to localize certain Bernstein-type inequalities on so-called *good* elements of some covering of \mathbb{R}^d . Since in the setting of Theorem 1.1 there is no Bernstein-type inequality at disposal, the definition of good elements replaces, in some sense, the missing Bernstein-type inequalities needed. The proof then reduces to a local estimate for (quasi-)analytic functions. For the latter, [15] uses an estimate for quasianalytic functions proven in [22], see also the L^2 -version in [15, Proposition 5.10], and a suitable estimate for the so-called *Bang degree*. By contrast, we rely on the more standard approach for (complex) analytic functions from [13, 12] by estimating suitable Taylor expansions. This is combined with ideas introduced in [8, 7] that incorporate the quadratic decay guaranteed by (1.2) to reduce the considerations to a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d . This allows us to obtain a more streamlined proof while getting rid of the mentioned technical assumptions in [15].

If $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effects (1.1), then $f = \mathcal{T}(t)g$ with $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \in (0, t_0)$ satisfies (1.2) with

$$D_1 = \frac{C}{t^{r_1}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \frac{C}{t^{r_2}}.$$

Thus, choosing the constant ε in Theorem 1.1 appropriately, we are able to apply [18, Lemma 2.1] in literally the same way as in the proof of [15, Theorem 2.11] and thereby obtain the following observability result, which reproduces [15, Theorem 2.11]. We omit the proof for brevity.

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup satisfying (1.1) with some constants $C \geq 1, \nu \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu < 1, r_1 \geq 0, r_2 > 0$, and let $\delta \in [0, 1]$ and $\rho: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(T)g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq N \exp\left(\frac{N}{T^{\frac{2r_2}{1-s}}}\right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{T}(t)g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 dt, \quad g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad T > 0,$$

for every measurable set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (1.5) with some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Here, $N \geq 1$ is a constant depending on $\gamma, R, r_0, \eta, \nu, s, C, r_2$, and the dimension d .

As shown in [2, Corollary 2.2] and [15, Lemma 5.2], the semigroup generated by the fractional (an-)isotropic Shubin operator $((-\Delta)^m + |x|^{2k})^\theta$ with $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$\theta > 1/(2m)$ satisfies (1.1) with

$$\nu = \max\left\{\frac{1}{2k\theta}, \frac{m}{k+m}\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \max\left\{\frac{1}{2m\theta}, \frac{k}{k+m}\right\}.$$

Hence, Corollary 1.2 can be applied, which reproduces [15, Corollary 2.12]. It should however be mentioned that in the general case $\nu = \mu = 1/2$ of Corollary 1.2 (a particular instance being a Shubin operator with $k = m$ and $\theta = 1$) stronger results than Corollary 1.2 in terms of the conditions on ω are available, see [7, Theorem 3.5]. More precisely, in this case the density γ is allowed to be variable and exhibit a certain subexponential decay, so that ω may even have finite measure. Note that semigroups satisfying (1.1) can also be studied using forced symmetrization (of μ and ν), see [2], but by this approach one in any case loses special characteristics of the particular operator at hand. In the present setting, we are able to obtain a variant of Theorem 1.1 where the density γ is allowed to exhibit a polynomial decay, but the result seems not to be sufficient to give observability as in Corollary 1.2, see Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.6 below. If even $k = m = 1$, the Shubin operator corresponds to the harmonic oscillator, for which also a sharper observability constant can be obtained. Indeed, [7, Theorem 6.1] shows that the observability constant can then be chosen to vanish as $T \rightarrow \infty$. We expect that such results hold also for the general Shubin operators. However, this would require setting up a spectral inequality for these operators, which seems out of reach at the moment

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Ivan Veselić for introducing them to this field of research and to Christopher Strothmann who referred to the reference [24] for the asymptotics of the series used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Both authors have been partially supported by the DFG grant VE 253/10-1 entitled *Quantitative unique continuation properties of elliptic PDEs with variable 2nd order coefficients and applications in control theory, Anderson localization, and photonics*.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, and choose

$$(2.1) \quad r := \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon/2}} \geq 1,$$

so that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, r)} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D_2^2}.$$

Then, (1.2) with $n = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ implies that

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, r))}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \frac{\|(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2}{D_2^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon D_1^2}{2}.$$

We abbreviate $w(x) = w_\delta(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\delta/2}$. If $\delta < 1$, we infer from [15, Lemma 5.3] that (1.2) implies

$$(2.3) \quad \|w^n \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq D_1 \tilde{D}_2^{n+|\beta|} (n!)^{\delta\nu} (|\beta|!)^\mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

with $\tilde{D}_2 = 8^\nu e^\nu D_2 \geq 1$. If $\delta = 1$, then (2.3) agrees with (1.2) with $\tilde{D}_2 = D_2 \geq 1$. We therefore just work with (2.3) for the remaining part.

The proof of the theorem now follows the following lines: Inspired by [9], the estimates (2.3) imply, in particular, that f is analytic, see Lemma A.1. Moreover, by (2.2) the contribution of f outside of the ball $B(0, r)$ can be subsumed into the error term. The ball $B(0, r)$ is then covered with balls of the form $B(x, \rho(x))$ by Besicovitch covering theorem. Based on (2.3) and following [13, 12] and [15], these balls are classified into good and bad ones, where on good balls local Bernstein-type

estimates are available and the contribution of bad balls can again be subsumed into the error term, see Lemma 2.1. Following again [13, 12], on good balls the local Bernstein-type estimates allow to bound suitable Taylor expansions of f , which by analyticity of f lead to local estimates of the desired form, see Lemmas 2.2–2.4. Summing over all good balls finally concludes the proof.

We consider balls $B(x, \rho(x))$ with $B(x, \rho(x)) \cap B(0, r) \neq \emptyset$. The latter requires that $|x| - \rho(x) < r$ and, thus, $|x| < r_0$ or $(1 - \eta)|x| \leq |x| - \rho(x) < r$, that is, $|x| < r/(1 - \eta)$. By Besicovitch covering theorem, see, e.g., [17, Theorem 2.7], there is $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathbb{N}$ and a collection of points $(y_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}_0}$ with $|y_k| < \max\{r_0, r/(1 - \eta)\}$ such that the family of balls $Q_k = B(y_k, \rho(y_k))$, $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$, gives an essential covering of $B(0, \max\{r_0, r/(1 - \eta)\})$ with overlap at most $\kappa \geq 1$. Here, the proof in [17, Theorem 2.7] and a simple calculation shows that κ can be chosen as $\kappa = K_{\text{Bes}}^d$ with a universal constant $K_{\text{Bes}} \geq 1$. With $Q_0 := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}_0} Q_k$ and $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_0 \cup \{0\}$, the family $(Q_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ thus gives an essential covering of \mathbb{R}^d with overlap at most $\kappa = K_{\text{Bes}}^d \geq 1$.

2.1. Good and bad balls. Similarly as in [15], we now define the so-called good elements of the covering. We do this in such a way that we have some localized Bernstein-type inequality on all good elements. More precisely, we say that Q_k , $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$, is *good* with respect to f if for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$\sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|w^m \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 \leq \frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{2^{m+1} d^m q_m^2}{m!} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2,$$

where

$$q_m = \tilde{D}_2^{2m} (m!)^{\delta\nu+\mu} = \tilde{D}_2^{2m} (m!)^s.$$

We call Q_k , $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$, *bad* if it is not good.

Although we can not show that the mass of f on the good balls covers some fixed fraction of the mass of f on the whole of \mathbb{R}^d , inequality (2.3) nevertheless implies that the mass of f on the bad balls is bounded by $\varepsilon D_1^2/2$. Hence, the contribution of the bad elements can likewise be subsumed into the error term. This is summarized in the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\bigcup_{k: Q_k \text{ good}} Q_k)}^2 + \varepsilon D_1^2.$$

Proof. Since

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\bigcup_{k: Q_k \text{ good}} Q_k)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(\bigcup_{k: Q_k \text{ bad}} Q_k)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(Q_0)}^2,$$

it suffices to show that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k: Q_k \text{ bad}} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(Q_0)}^2 \leq \varepsilon D_1^2.$$

To this end, we first note that $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, r)$ and, thus, $\|f\|_{L^2(Q_0)}^2 \leq \varepsilon D_1^2/2$ by estimate (2.2). Let now Q_k , $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$, be bad, that is, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\kappa} \cdot \frac{m!}{2^{m+1} d^m} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left(\frac{\|w^m \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}}{q_m} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\kappa} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{2^{m+1} d^m} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left(\frac{\|w^m \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}}{q_m} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all bad Q_k with $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and using (2.3) then gives

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{K}_0 \\ Q_k \text{ bad}}} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot D_1^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{2^{m+1} d^m} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot D_1^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} D_1^2,$$

where we used that $\sum_{|\beta|=m} 1/\beta! = d^m/m!$. This proves (2.4). \square

Now, as in [9], see also [13, 12, 10], we use the definition of good elements to extract a pointwise estimate for the derivatives of f .

Lemma 2.2. *Let Q_k be good. Then there is $x_k \in Q_k$ such that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $|\beta| = m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have*

$$(2.5) \quad |\partial^\beta f(x_k)| \leq \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} \cdot 2^{m+1} d^{m/2} \cdot C(k, m)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}}{\sqrt{|Q_k|}}$$

with

$$(2.6) \quad C(k, m) = q_m^2 \sup_{x \in Q_k} w(x)^{-2m}.$$

Proof. Assume that for all $x \in Q_k$ there is $m = m(x) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} |\partial^\beta f(x)|^2 > \frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{4^{m+1} d^m}{m!} \cdot C(k, m) \cdot \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2}{|Q_k|}.$$

Reordering the terms and summing over all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in order to get rid of the x -dependence, we then obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2}{|Q_k|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\kappa} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{4^{m+1} d^m C(k, m)} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} |\partial^\beta f(x)|^2$$

for all $x \in Q_k$. We observe that

$$\|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 = \|w(\cdot)^{-m} w^m \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 \leq \sup_{x \in Q_k} w(x)^{-2m} \cdot \|w^m \partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2.$$

Thus, integrating (2.7) over $x \in Q_k$ and using that Q_k is good gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2\kappa} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{4^{m+1} d^m C(k, m)} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-m-1} = \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

leading to a contradiction. Hence, there is $x_k \in Q_k$ such that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $|\beta| = m$ we have

$$|\partial^\beta f(x_k)|^2 \leq \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{m!}{\beta!} |\partial^\beta f(x_k)|^2 \leq \frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \cdot 4^{m+1} d^m \cdot C(k, m) \cdot \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2}{|Q_k|},$$

and taking square roots proves the claim. \square

2.2. The local estimate. In order to estimate f on each (good) Q_k , $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$, we use a complex analytic local estimate that goes back to [23, 13, 12]. It has later been used in [9] and implicitly also in [10, 26, 4, 16]. We rely here on a particular case of the formulation in [9].

Lemma 2.3 (see [9, Lemma 3.5]). *Let $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$, and suppose that the function $f|_{Q_k}: Q_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has an analytic extension $F: Q_k + D_{8\rho(x_k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with bounded modulus, where $D_{8\rho(x_k)} = D(0, 8\rho(x_k)) \times \cdots \times D(0, 8\rho(x_k)) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is the complex polydisc of radius $8\rho(x_k)$.*

Then, for every measurable set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|Q_k \cap \omega| > 0$ we have

$$\left(24d2^d \cdot \frac{|Q_k|}{|Q_k \cap \omega|}\right)^{1+4 \log M_k / \log 2} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k \cap \omega)}^2 \geq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2$$

with

$$M_k := \frac{\sqrt{|Q_k|}}{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}} \cdot \sup_{z \in Q_k + D_{8\rho(x_k)}} |F(z)| \geq 1.$$

On good Q_k , the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are indeed satisfied, and the pointwise estimates (2.5) can be used to obtain a suitable upper bound for the quantity M_k .

Lemma 2.4. *Let Q_k be good. Then, the restriction $f|_{Q_k}$ has an analytic extension $F_k: Q_k + D_{8\rho(x_k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and M_k as in Lemma 2.3 satisfies*

$$\log M_k \leq \log(2K') + \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right) + D^{1/(1-s)},$$

where $K' \geq 1$ is a constant depending only on s and

$$D = 40d^{3/2} \tilde{D}_2^2 R \max\{r_0, (1-\eta)^{-1}\}.$$

Proof. Let $x_k \in Q_k$ be a point as in Lemma 2.2. For every $z \in x_k + D_{10\rho(x_k)}$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{|\partial^\beta f(x_k)|}{\beta!} |(z - x_k)^\beta| \\ & \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} 2^{m+1} d^{m/2} C(k, m)^{1/2} (10\rho(x_k))^{|\beta|} \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}}{\sqrt{|Q_k|}} \\ & = 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}}{\sqrt{|Q_k|}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} C(k, m)^{1/2} \frac{(20d^{3/2}\rho(x_k))^m}{m!}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we again used that $\sum_{|\beta|=m} 1/\beta! = d^m/m!$. Taking into account that $Q_k + D_{8\rho(x_k)} \subset x_k + D_{10\rho(x_k)}$ and that f is analytic by Lemma A.1, this shows that the Taylor expansion of f around x_k defines an analytic extension $F_k: Q_k + D_{8\rho(x_k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of f with bounded modulus and that

$$(2.8) \quad M_k \leq 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C(k, m)^{1/2} \frac{(20d^{3/2}\rho(x_k))^m}{m!}.$$

Now, suppose first that $|x_k| \leq r_0$ with $r_0 \geq 1$ as in (1.4). Then,

$$\rho(x_k) \leq R(1+r_0^2)^{\delta/2} \leq R(1+r_0^2)^{1/2} \leq 2Rr_0.$$

Using (2.8), (2.6), and the definition of q_m , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_k & \leq 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q_m \cdot \sup_{x \in Q_k} \frac{1}{w(x)^m} \cdot \frac{(40d^{3/2}Rr_0)^m}{m!} \\ & \leq 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(40d^{3/2} \tilde{D}_2^2 Rr_0)^m}{(m!)^{1-s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have taken into account that $w(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

On the other hand, if $|x_k| \geq r_0$, then for all $x \in Q_k$ we have by (1.4) the lower bound $|x| \geq |x_k| - \rho(x_k) \geq (1 - \eta)|x_k| > 0$ and, thus,

$$\frac{\rho(x_k)}{w(x)} \leq \frac{Rw(x_k)}{w(x)} \leq 2R \left(\frac{|x_k|}{|x|} \right)^\delta \leq \frac{2R}{(1 - \eta)^\delta} \leq \frac{2R}{1 - \eta}.$$

Using again (2.8) and (2.6) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &\leq 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q_m \cdot \sup_{x \in Q_k} \frac{\rho(x_k)^m}{w(x)^m} \cdot \frac{(20d^{3/2})^m}{m!} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(40d^{3/2} \tilde{D}_2^2 R / (1 - \eta))^m}{(m!)^{1-s}}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for both cases $|x_k| \leq r_0$ and $|x_k| \geq r_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &\leq 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(40d^{3/2} \tilde{D}_2^2 R \max\{r_0, (1 - \eta)^{-1}\})^m}{(m!)^{1-s}} \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^m}{(m!)^{1-s}}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the series using the asymptotics

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{(m!)^p} = \frac{e^{px^{1/p}}}{p^{1/2} (2\pi x^{1/p})^{(p-1)/2}} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{1/p}}\right) \right\} \quad (p \in (0, 4], x \rightarrow \infty)$$

derived in [24, Eq. (8.07)]. Thereby,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^m}{(m!)^{1-s}} \leq K' e^{D^{1/(1-s)}},$$

where K' is a constant depending only on s . Hence,

$$M_k \leq 2K' \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} e^{D^{1/(1-s)}}$$

and therefore

$$\log M_k \leq \log(2K') + \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}\right) + D^{1/(1-s)}. \quad \square$$

We are now in position to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By hypothesis we have $|Q_k|/|Q_k \cap \omega| \leq 1/\gamma$. Combining this with Lemma 2.3 and the estimate for $\log M_k$ derived in Lemma 2.4, we obtain for all good Q_k that

$$\left(\frac{24d \cdot 2^d}{\gamma} \right)^{5 + (4 \log(K') + 2 \log(\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}) + 4D^{1/(1-s)}) / \log 2} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k \cap \omega)}^2 \geq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2.$$

In particular,

$$(2.9) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \right)^{K'' \cdot (1 + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + D_2^{2/(1-s)})} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k \cap \omega)}^2 \geq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2,$$

where $K'' \geq 1$ is constant depending on R, r_0, η, ν, s , and the dimension d . Summing over all good Q_k gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k: Q_k \text{ good}} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \right)^{K'' \cdot (1 + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + D_2^{2/(1-s)})} \sum_{k: Q_k \text{ good}} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_k \cap \omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \kappa \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \right)^{K'' \cdot (1 + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + D_2^{2/(1-s)})} \|f\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 2.1 this proves the theorem with $K \leq K'' \log(1/\gamma) + \log \kappa$. \square

A slight adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1.1 allows us to consider a variable density $\gamma = \gamma(x)$ with a polynomial decay.

Theorem 2.5. *Let D_1, D_2, μ, ν , and δ be as in Theorem 1.1 above, and suppose that f satisfies (1.2). Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be any measurable set satisfying*

$$\frac{|B(x, \rho(x)) \cap \omega|}{|B(x, \rho(x))|} \geq \frac{\gamma_0}{1 + |x|^a} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with some $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$ and some $a > 0$.

Then

$$(2.10) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq e^{K \cdot (1 + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + D_2^{2/(1-s)})^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \varepsilon D_1^2,$$

where $K \geq 1$ is a constant depending on $\gamma_0, R, r_0, \eta, \nu, s, a$, and the dimension d .

Proof. We have $|Q_k|/|Q_k \cap \omega| \leq (1 + |y_k|^a)/\gamma_0$, and it is easily checked that

$$1 + |y_k|^a \leq \frac{2^{1+a} r_0^a}{(1-\eta)^a} r^a$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Since r is as in (2.1), this shows

$$\log(1 + |y_k|^a) \leq \tilde{K} \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log D_2 \right),$$

where $\tilde{K} \geq 1$ is a constant depending on r_0, η , and a . In light of the inequality $\log D_2 \leq D_2^{2/(1-s)}$, the theorem now follows in the same way as Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 2.6. The exponent in (2.10) depends on ε essentially by $(\log(1/\varepsilon))^2$, as compared to just $\log(1/\varepsilon)$ in (1.6) of Theorem 1.1. To the best of our knowledge, the proof of the observability estimate from [15, 18] does not work with the kind of dependence in (2.10).

APPENDIX A. ANALYTICITY

The following result establishes that Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effects as in (1.1) guarantee analyticity of the functions in the range of the semigroup. This is required in order to follow the complex analytic approach discussed in the main text.

Lemma A.1. *Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that*

$$\|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_1 C_2^{|\beta|} \beta! \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$$

with some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Then, f is analytic in \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. Choose $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ with $2C_2\sigma < 1$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $B = B(y, \tau)$ be an open ball around y with $\tau < \sigma/d$. We show that the Taylor series of f around y converges in B and agrees with f there. To this end, it suffices to establish

$$(A.1) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(B)}}{\alpha!} \tau^{|\alpha|} < \infty;$$

cf. [14, Theorem 2.2.5 and Proposition 2.2.10].

We proceed similarly as in the proof of [9, Lemma 3.2]: Since B satisfies the cone condition, by Sobolev embedding there exists a constant $c > 0$, depending only on

τ and the dimension, such that $\|g\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq c\|g\|_{W^{d,2}(B)}$ for all $g \in W^{d,2}(B)$, see, e.g., [1, Theorem 4.12]. Applying this to $g = \partial^\alpha f|_B$ with $|\alpha| = m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(B)}^2 &\leq c^2 \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{W^{d,2}(B)}^2 \leq c^2 \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{W^{d,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &= c^2 \sum_{|\beta| \leq d} \|\partial^{\beta+\alpha} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq c^2 \sum_{k=m}^{m+d} \sum_{|\beta|=k} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the square root and using the hypothesis gives

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq c \sum_{k=m}^{m+d} \sum_{|\beta|=k} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq cC_1 \sum_{k=m}^{m+d} C_2^k \sum_{|\beta|=k} \beta!.$$

We clearly have

$$\sum_{|\beta|=k} \beta! \leq k! \cdot \#\{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \mid |\beta| = k\} \leq k! 2^{k+d-1}.$$

In view of the choice of σ , we thus further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(B)} &\leq 2^{d-1} cC_1 \sum_{k=m}^{m+d} (2C_2)^k k! \leq 2^{d-1} cC_1 \frac{(m+d)!}{\sigma^{m+d}} \sum_{k=m}^{m+d} (2C_2\sigma)^k \\ &\leq \frac{2^{d-1} cC_1}{\sigma^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2C_2\sigma)^k \cdot \frac{(m+d)!}{\sigma^m} =: C_0 \cdot \frac{(m+d)!}{\sigma^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(B)}}{\alpha!} &\leq C_0 \frac{(m+d)!}{\sigma^m} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} = C_0 \left(\frac{d}{\sigma}\right)^m \frac{(m+d)!}{m!} \\ &\leq C_0 \left(\frac{d}{\sigma}\right)^m (m+d)^d, \end{aligned}$$

and since τ is chosen such that $d\tau/\sigma < 1$, this shows (A.1) and, hence, completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, New York, NY: Academic Press, 2003.
- [2] P. Alphonse, *Null-controllability of evolution equations associated with fractional Shubin operators through quantitative Agmon estimates*, Preprint: [arxiv.org/2012.04374](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04374).
- [3] ———, *Quadratic differential equations: Partial Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effect and null-controllability*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu (2020), 1–53.
- [4] K. Beauchard, P. Jaming, and K. Pravda-Starov, *Spectral estimates for finite combinations of Hermite functions and null-controllability of hypoelliptic quadratic equations*, Studia Math. **260** (2021), no. 1, 1–43.
- [5] K. Beauchard and K. Pravda-Starov, *Null-controllability of hypoelliptic quadratic differential equations*, J. Éc. polytech. Math. **5** (2018), 1–43.
- [6] A. Dicke, C. Rose, A. Seelmann, and M. Tautenhahn, *Quantitative unique continuation for spectral subspaces of Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.01801>.
- [7] A. Dicke, A. Seelmann, and I. Veselić, *Control problem for quadratic parabolic differential equations with sensor sets of finite volume or anisotropically decaying density*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.02370>.
- [8] ———, *Uncertainty principle for Hermite functions and null-controllability with sensor sets of decaying density*, submitted.
- [9] M. Egidi and A. Seelmann, *An abstract Logvinenko-Sereda type theorem for spectral subspaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **500** (2021), no. 1, 125149.
- [10] M. Egidi and I. Veselić, *Scale-free unique continuation estimates and Logvinenko-Sereda theorems on the torus*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **21** (2020), no. 12, 3757–3790.
- [11] D. Gallaun, C. Seifert, and M. Tautenhahn, *Sufficient criteria and sharp geometric conditions for observability in Banach spaces*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **58** (2020), no. 4, 2639–2657.

- [12] O. Kovrijkine, *Some estimates of Fourier transforms*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2000, Thesis (Ph.D.)—California Institute of Technology.
- [13] ———, *Some results related to the Logvinenko-Sereda theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 10, 3037–3047.
- [14] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *A Primer of Real Analytic Functions.*, Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [15] J. Martin, *Uncertainty principles in Gelfand-Shilov spaces and null-controllability*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.01788>.
- [16] J. Martin and K. Pravda-Starov, *Spectral inequalities for combinations of Hermite functions and null-controllability for evolution equations enjoying Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effects*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.08169v2>.
- [17] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces. Fractals and Rectifiability. 1st paperback ed*, 1st paperback ed. ed., vol. 44, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [18] L. Miller, *A direct Lebeau-Robbiano strategy for the observability of heat-like semigroups*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - B **14** (2010), no. 4, 1465–1485.
- [19] I. Moyano and G. Lebeau, *Spectral Inequalities for the Schrödinger operator*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.03513>.
- [20] I. Nakić, M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, and I. Veselić, *Sharp estimates and homogenization of the control cost of the heat equation on large domains*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **26** (2020), no. 54, 26 pages.
- [21] I. Nakić, M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, and I. Veselić, *Unique continuation and lifting of spectral band edges of Schrödinger operators on unbounded domains*, Journal of Spectral Theory **10** (2020), no. 3, 843–885, With an Appendix by Albrecht Seelmann.
- [22] F. Nazarov, M. Sodin, and A. Volberg, *Lower bounds for quasianalytic functions. I: How to control smooth functions*, Math. Scand. **95** (2004), no. 1, 59–79.
- [23] F. L. Nazarov, *Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type*, Algebra i Analiz **5** (1993), no. 4, 3–66.
- [24] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Wellesley, MA: A K Peters, reprint edition, 1997.
- [25] G. Tenenbaum and M. Tucsnak, *On the null-controllability of diffusion equations*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **17** (2011), no. 4, 1088–1100.
- [26] G. Wang, M. Wang, C. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, *Observable set, observability, interpolation inequality and spectral inequality for the heat equation in \mathbb{R}^n* , J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **126** (2019), 144–194.

(A.D., A.S.) TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND, GERMANY

Email address: {alexander.dicke,albrecht.seelmann}@mathematik.tu-dortmund.de