

MULTIGRADED HILBERT SERIES OF INVARIANTS, COVARIANTS, AND SYMPLECTIC QUOTIENTS FOR SOME RANK 1 LIE GROUPS

AUSTIN BARRINGER, HANS-CHRISTIAN HERBIG, DANIEL HERDEN, SAAD KHALID, CHRISTOPHER SEATON,
AND LAWTON WALKER

ABSTRACT. We compute univariate and multigraded Hilbert series of invariants and covariants of representations of the circle and orthogonal group O_2 . The multigradings considered include the maximal grading associated to the decomposition of the representation into irreducibles as well as the bigrading associated to a cotangent-lifted representation, or equivalently, the bigrading associated to the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts of the real invariants and covariants. This bigrading induces a bigrading on the algebra of on-shell invariants of the symplectic quotient, and the corresponding Hilbert series are computed as well. We also compute the first few Laurent coefficients of the univariate Hilbert series, give sample calculations of the multigraded Laurent coefficients, and give an example to illustrate the extension of these techniques to the semidirect product of the circle by other finite groups. We describe an algorithm to compute each of the associated Hilbert series.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| Acknowledgements | 3 |
| 2. Background | 3 |
| 3. Invariants and covariants of S^1 | 4 |
| 3.1. The maximally graded Hilbert series | 4 |
| 3.2. The \mathbb{N}^2 -grading of a cotangent-lifted representation and the symplectic quotient | 8 |
| 3.3. Laurent coefficients of the univariate Hilbert series | 11 |
| 3.4. Laurent coefficients of multigraded Hilbert series | 15 |
| 4. Invariants and covariants of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ | 17 |
| 4.1. The irreducible representations of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ | 17 |
| 4.2. The Hilbert series of covariants of O_2 | 17 |
| 4.3. Symplectic quotients by O_2 | 20 |
| 4.4. The Laurent coefficients for symplectic quotients by $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ | 21 |
| 5. Other semidirect products of S^1 by finite groups | 22 |
| 6. Algorithms to compute the Hilbert series | 23 |
| References | 24 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} R_d$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded commutative algebra over a field \mathbb{k} where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. The Hilbert series of R is the generating function of the dimensions of the R_d ,

$$\text{Hilb}_R(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} t^d \dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_d.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A50; Secondary 05A15, 14L30, 53D20.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert series, multigrading, multigraded Hilbert series, circle invariants, circle representation, O_2 -invariants, O_2 -representation, symplectic reduction.

A.B., S.K., and L.W. were supported by Rhodes College Summer Research Fellowships. H.-C.H. was supported by CNPq through the *Plataforma Integrada Carlos Chagas*. C.S. was supported by the E.C. Ellett Professorship in Mathematics.

If R is finitely generated, then $\text{Hilb}_R(t)$ is the power series of a rational function with radius of convergence at least 1; see [21, Section 1.4]. More generally, if $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n} R_{\mathbf{d}}$ is \mathbb{N}^n -graded and $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n} M_{\mathbf{d}}$ is an \mathbb{N}^n -graded R -module, the *multigraded Hilbert series of M associated to the \mathbb{N}^n -grading* is given by

$$\text{Hilb}_M(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{d}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} M_{\mathbf{d}},$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and for $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$, $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{d}} = t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_n^{d_n}$; see [51, Chapter 1, Section 2]. Once again, if R is finitely generated and M is finitely generated over R , then $\text{Hilb}_M(\mathbf{t})$ is the power series of a rational function in the t_i ; see [51, Chapter 1, Theorem 2.3].

If $R = \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is the algebra of invariant polynomials of a finite-dimensional representation V of a reductive group G with the usual \mathbb{N} -grading, then $\text{Hilb}_R(t)$ can be computed using Molien's formula when G is finite or the Molien-Weyl formula in general; see [21, Theorem 3.4.2, Section 4.6.1], [52, Theorem 2.2.1], or Theorem 2.1 below. Suppose the G -module V decomposes as $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$ where we do not necessarily assume that the V_i are irreducible. Consider the \mathbb{N}^n -grading of $\mathbb{k}[V]$ and $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ given by assigning to a monomial $f_1(\mathbf{v}_1)f_2(\mathbf{v}_2)\cdots f_n(\mathbf{v}_n)$ with $f_i(\mathbf{v}_i) \in \mathbb{k}[V_i]$ the degree $(\deg f_1, \dots, \deg f_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We refer to this grading as the *grading associated to the decomposition $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$ of V* . Similarly, the multigraded Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{k}[V]^G}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is the *multigraded Hilbert series associated to the decomposition*. More generally, if W is another finite-dimensional representation of G , then the $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module of covariants $\text{Hom}(V, W)^G = (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes W)^G$ is similarly graded by assigning to the element $f(\mathbf{v}) \otimes w$, where $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ is homogeneous with respect to the grading induced by the decomposition of V and $w \in W$, the degree of $f(\mathbf{v})$. As G is reductive so that $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is a finitely-generated algebra and $\text{Hom}(V, W)^G$ is a finitely-generated $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module by [21, Theorem 4.2.10], [46, Theorem 3.24], the multigraded Hilbert series of invariants or covariants is again the power series of a rational function. If the decomposition of V is into irreducibles, we refer to the resulting grading as the *maximal grading* of V . When $G = \mathbb{S}^1$, then because a polynomial is \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant if and only if each of its monomial terms is invariant, the maximally graded Hilbert series determines the algebra of invariants or covariants; see [51, Chapter 1, Section 3] and Example 3.6 below.

An important case of the above multigrading is the *cotangent lift* $V = V_1 \oplus V_1^*$ of the representation V_1 ; we refer to the corresponding grading as the *cotangent bigrading*. If $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$, then this grading corresponds to the decomposition of the underlying real representation into holomorphic and antiholomorphic components. Specifically, we can express elements of V with coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ where the z_i are coordinates for V_1 , the w_i are dual coordinates for V_1^* , the \mathbb{N}^2 -degree of each z_i is $(1, 0)$, and the degree of w_i is $(0, 1)$. The real polynomial invariants of the real representation underlying V_1 correspond to the invariants of V with real coefficients where $w_i = \bar{z}_i$; see [48, Proposition (5.8)(I)]. The cotangent bigraded Hilbert series was defined and studied in [24].

One may also consider the case of the *on-shell invariants* of the *linear symplectic quotient* associated to a unitary representation V of a compact Lie group G ; see [36, Section 2]. Letting $J: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ denote the *moment map* associated to the G -representation, the on-shell invariants are defined to be $\mathbb{R}[V]^G/I_J^G$ where I_J is the ideal of $\mathbb{R}[V]$ generated by the components of J and $I_J^G = I_J \cap \mathbb{R}[V]^G$. Because the components of the moment map have degree $(1, 1)$ with respect to the cotangent bigrading and are not homogeneous with respect to further decompositions of V , the only reasonable gradings for the on-shell invariants are those induced by the standard \mathbb{N} -grading or the cotangent bigrading on V . In the case that I_J is a *real* ideal, the on-shell invariants coincide with the real regular functions on the corresponding symplectic quotient; see [2], [31, Section 2], [22, Section 2.1], [33, Section 4], or [35, Section 2.2]. Note that we will sometimes refer to elements of $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ as *off-shell invariants* to avoid potential confusion with the on-shell invariants.

This paper continues a program of computing Hilbert series which we began in [36, 19] for univariate Hilbert series of on- and off-shell invariants of circle representations, [20, 28] for on- and off-shell invariants associated to SL_2 - and SU_2 -modules where multigraded Hilbert series played a minor role, and [30] for the univariate Hilbert series of on-shell invariants of 2-torus modules. See also [38, 11, 42, 12, 13, 14, 15], the more recent work [4, 5, 9, 39, 40, 10], and in particular the recent work involving multigraded Hilbert series [6, 7, 8]. See also [49, 45, 22, 37, 27, 16, 35, 32, 29] for applications of Hilbert series and Laurent coefficient computations to identifying properties of and distinguishing between the corresponding singularities, [23, 26, 3] for applications in gauge theory, and [17, 18] for applications to K-stability of Sasakian manifolds.

The present paper focuses on the multigraded Hilbert series, which in particular avoids the complications introduced by degeneracies; see [36, 19]. We consider the case of the circle \mathbb{S}^1 in Section 3 as well as the

non-connected orthogonal group O_2 in Section 4. In each case, we compute the maximally graded Hilbert series of the off-shell invariants and covariants and the bigraded Hilbert series of the on-shell invariants. We also compute the maximally graded Hilbert series of invariants of the group $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ in Section 5 to indicate the extension of these techniques to other non-connected groups. Specializing to the univariate Hilbert series, we compute the first two nontrivial coefficients of the Laurent expansion of the Hilbert series of circle covariants in Section 3.3, generalizing the results of [36, 19], as well as the Laurent coefficients of covariants and on-shell invariants for O_2 in Section 4.4. Though the Laurent coefficients in the multigraded case are ambiguous and less motivated, we give some sample computations of bigraded Laurent coefficients in the case of the on-shell invariants of a circle representation in Section 3.4. In Section 6, we describe an algorithm to compute the Hilbert series in one case which can easily be extended to the other cases computed in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

H.-C.H. and C.S. would like to thank Baylor University, D.H. and C.S. would like to thank the Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), and H.-C.H. and D.H. would like to thank Rhodes College for hospitality during work contained here. This paper developed from A.B., S.K., and L.W.'s senior seminar projects in the Rhodes College Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, and the authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the department and college for these activities. A.B., S.K., and L.W. express appreciation to the Rhodes College Summer Research Fellowship program, H.-C.H. to CNPq through the *Plataforma Integrada Carlos Chagas*, and C.S. to the E.C. Ellett Professorship in Mathematics and the Rhodes College sabbatical program, for financial support.

2. BACKGROUND

In this section, we collect some background information which we will need throughout this paper. We refer the reader to [21, 46], [36, Section 2], and the explanations in the Introduction for more details.

We begin with the following, which will be our primary tool for computing Hilbert series. For univariate Hilbert series, see [21, Theorem 3.4.2] or [52, Theorem 2.2.1] for the case of invariants of a finite group, [21, Remark 3.4.1] for covariants of a finite group, and [21, Section 4.6.1] for the case of a general reductive group. See [50, Equation (13)] and [24, Section IV] for extensions to the multigraded case; the general result stated below is proven similarly.

Theorem 2.1 (Molien-Weyl Theorem). *Let G be a reductive group over \mathbb{C} and K a maximally compact subgroup. Let $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$ and W be finite-dimensional rational representations of G over \mathbb{C} . Then the multigraded Hilbert series of the module of covariants $\text{Hom}(V, W)^G$ associated to the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$ is given by*

$$\text{Hilb}_{\text{Hom}(V, W)^G}(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{z \in K} \frac{\chi_W(z^{-1}) d\mu}{\prod_{i=1}^n \det_{V_i}(1 - t_i z_i)},$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, μ is a normalized Haar measure on K , χ_W is the character of the representation W , \det_{V_i} denotes the determinant on $\text{End}(V_i)$, and z_i denotes the restriction of the action of $z \in G$ to V_i .

Of course, the invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ correspond to the case when W is the trivial 1-dimensional representation. Because the module of covariants is additive, i.e., $\text{Hom}(V, W_1 \oplus W_2)^G = \text{Hom}(V, W_1)^G \oplus \text{Hom}(V, W_2)^G$, we will often assume that W is irreducible with no loss of generality.

Theorem 2.1 can be applied to the covariants of a compact Lie group K by letting G denote the complexification of K , and to real invariants through the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}[V]^K \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}[V]^K = \mathbb{C}[V]^G$ given in [48, Proposition (5.8)(I)]. Note that V and W will always admit Hermitian scalar products with respect to which the representations of K are unitary. Because of these observations, we will state our results for invariants and covariants of unitary representations of compact Lie groups, though the results extend directly to invariants and covariants of rational representations of the complexifications of these groups.

The Laurent coefficients at $t = 1$ of the univariate Hilbert series considered here are most easily described using Schur polynomials and variations thereof, so let us briefly recall these definitions and fix notation. Suppose $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a set of indeterminates. A *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ of length n is a set of integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$. The *alternant* $\text{Alt}_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ associated to λ in \mathbf{x} is the determinant $\text{Alt}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) =$

$|x_j^{\lambda_i}|$; it is an alternating polynomial in the x_i . Letting $\delta_n = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Alt}_{\delta_n}(\mathbf{x})$ denote the Vandermonde determinant, any alternant is divisible by $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})$, and the quotient is a symmetric polynomial. The *Schur polynomial associated to* λ is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{Alt}_{\lambda+\delta_n}(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})}.$$

For more details and for a combinatorial description of $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$, see [43, Section I.3] and [47, Sections 4.4–6].

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ are two sets of indeterminates, $n = k + m$, and $u \leq n - 2$ is an integer, then the *partial Laurent-Schur polynomial* $sp_u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad sp_u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y})} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^u & \cdots & x_k^u & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_1^{n-2} & \cdots & x_k^{n-2} & y_1^{n-2} & \cdots & y_m^{n-2} \\ x_1^{n-3} & \cdots & x_k^{n-3} & y_1^{n-3} & \cdots & y_m^{n-3} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_k & y_1 & \cdots & y_m \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

The partial Laurent-Schur polynomial $sp_u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is a polynomial if $u \geq 0$ and a Laurent polynomial if $u < 0$; it is symmetric separately in the \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . See [19, Section 5] for more details, an expression of $sp_u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in terms of Schur polynomials in \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , and a combinatorial description.

3. INVARIANTS AND COVARIANTS OF \mathbb{S}^1

Let us begin by briefly recalling the irreducible representations of \mathbb{S}^1 ; as explained in Section 2, the results of this section apply as well to the complexification \mathbb{C}^\times of \mathbb{S}^1 . Because \mathbb{S}^1 is abelian, its irreducible unitary representations are all 1-dimensional. For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a unique irreducible representation $\epsilon_a: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_1$ given by $\epsilon_a(z) = z^a$ acting as multiplication. Hence, a finite-dimensional unitary representation of \mathbb{S}^1 can be described by a *weight vector* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, indicating the representation $V = V_{\mathbf{a}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{a_i}$. We will often use the notation $\alpha_i = |a_i|$ to indicate the absolute values of the weights.

3.1. The maximally graded Hilbert series. In this section, we compute the maximally graded Hilbert series of the covariants of unitary \mathbb{S}^1 -modules.

Remark 3.1. Assume the representation $V_{\mathbf{a}}$ is not faithful, i.e., $g := \gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > 1$. Then a monomial $f = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$ in $\text{Hom}(V_{\mathbf{a}}, W_{-b})$ is covariant if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i = -b$. If g does not divide b , then there are no solutions to this Diophantine equation and hence no covariants so that the Hilbert series is 0. If g divides b , then clearly f is a covariant if and only if it is a covariant for the representations $V_{\mathbf{a}/g}$ with $\mathbf{a}/g = (a_1/g, \dots, a_n/g)$ and $W_{-b/g}$, where $V_{\mathbf{a}/g}$ is now faithful. Hence, we may assume that V is faithful with no loss of generality. In addition, the existence of a trivial subrepresentation ϵ_{a_i} with $a_i = 0$ has the trivial effect of multiplying the Hilbert series by $1/(1-t_i)$, and we may assume with no loss of generality that 0 does not appear as a weight.

Theorem 3.2 (Maximally graded Hilbert series of \mathbb{S}^1 -covariants). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where $n \geq 1$ and each $\alpha_i > 0$, and let $W \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the irreducible representation of the circle with weight $-b$. Let $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, and let $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a},b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t})$ denote the Hilbert series for the maximal \mathbb{N}^n -grading of the covariants $\text{Hom}(V, W)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = (\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes W)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a},b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^k \frac{\zeta^b t_i^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t_j t_i^{-a_j/\alpha_i})} + (-1)^k \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b}} \prod_{i=1}^k t_i^{-y_i-1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t_i^{y_i},$$

where the sum $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^k$ is shorthand for $\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}$, summing over $i = 1, \dots, k$ and all α_i th roots of unity ζ , and

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b} = \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \alpha_j = -b - \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \right\},$$

which is empty if $b + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j > 0$.

Proof. Using the Molien-Weyl formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_{\mathfrak{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{z^b dz}{z \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - t_j z^{-\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{z^{b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j} dz}{\prod_{j=1}^k (z^{\alpha_j} - t_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j})} \end{aligned}$$

for $|t_i| < 1$. If $b - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \geq 0$, i.e., $-b - \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j < 0$, then the integrand is holomorphic at $z = 0$; note that in this case $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{a},b} = \emptyset$. If $b - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j < 0$, then there is a pole at $z = 0$. In this case, we compute the residue at $z = 0$ as follows. We consider the integrand as the product of factors of the form $z^{b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j}$,

$$\frac{1}{z^{\alpha_j} - t_j} = -\frac{1}{t_j} - \frac{z^{\alpha_j}}{t_j^2} - \frac{z^{2\alpha_j}}{t_j^3} - \dots = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} -t_j^{-d-1} z^{d\alpha_j},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j}} = 1 + t_j z^{\alpha_j} + t_j^2 z^{2\alpha_j} + \dots = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} t_j^d z^{d\alpha_j}.$$

Via the Cauchy product formula, for every collection of nonnegative integers $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ such that $y_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + y_n \alpha_n + (b - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j) = -1$, i.e., $y_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + y_n \alpha_n = -b - \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j$, there is a corresponding term contributing to the z^{-1} term of the product series given by

$$(-1)^k \prod_{i=1}^k t_i^{-y_i-1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t_i^{y_i}.$$

Summing over all such \mathbf{y} , the residue at $z = 0$ is given by

$$(-1)^k \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{a},b}} \prod_{i=1}^k t_i^{-y_i-1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t_i^{y_i}.$$

The other poles (and the only poles when $b - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \geq 0$) occur when $z^{\alpha_i} = t_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, i.e., $z = \zeta t_i^{1/\alpha_i}$ where ζ is an α_i th root of unity and the t_i^{1/α_i} are defined with respect to a fixed branch of the logarithm. Note that we can choose this branch of log so as to contain all t_i in its domain. Moreover, each of these poles is simple for generic choice of t_i , e.g., by assuming that the moduli of the t_i are distinct.

Fixing a specific $i \leq k$ and α_i th root ζ_0 , we express the integrand as

$$\frac{z^{b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j}}{(z^{\alpha_i} - t_i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (z^{\alpha_j} - t_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j})} = \frac{z^{b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j}}{(z - \zeta_0 t_i^{1/\alpha_i}) \prod_{\substack{\zeta^{\alpha_i}=1 \\ \zeta \neq \zeta_0}} (z - \zeta t_i^{1/\alpha_i}) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (z^{\alpha_j} - t_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j})}.$$

Then the residue at $\zeta_0 t_i^{1/\alpha_i}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\zeta_0 t_i^{1/\alpha_i})^{b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j}}{\prod_{\substack{\zeta^{\alpha_i}=1 \\ \zeta \neq \zeta_0}} (\zeta_0 t_i^{1/\alpha_i} - \zeta t_i^{1/\alpha_i}) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k ((\zeta_0 t_i^{1/\alpha_i})^{\alpha_j} - t_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - t_j (\zeta_0 t_i^{1/\alpha_i})^{\alpha_j})} \\
&= \frac{\zeta_0^{b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j} t_i^{(b-1+\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j)/\alpha_i}}{\zeta_0^{\alpha_i-1} t_i^{(\alpha_i-1)/\alpha_i} \prod_{\substack{\zeta^{\alpha_i}=1 \\ \zeta \neq 1}} (1 - \zeta) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (\zeta_0^{\alpha_j} t_i^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i} - t_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - t_j \zeta_0^{\alpha_j} t_i^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i})} \\
(3.3) \quad &= \frac{\zeta_0^b t_i^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k (1 - \zeta_0^{-\alpha_j} t_j t_i^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_i}) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - \zeta_0^{\alpha_j} t_j t_i^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over all $i \leq k$ and ζ completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. Note that Theorem 3.2 applies in the somewhat trivial cases where the weights of \mathbf{a} all have the same sign. In this case, a monomial $x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$ is a covariant if and only if the p_i are solutions to $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \alpha_i = \pm b$. As there are clearly only finitely many solutions, the covariants are always a finite-dimensional vector space, which may be 0 or only contain the constants.

If all weights are positive, then the first sum is empty and the Hilbert series is given by the second sum. If $b = 0$, the second sum is 1, as $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},0}$ contains only the zero solution, yielding a Hilbert series of 1. If $b > 0$, the second sum is 0 as $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b} = \emptyset$, yielding a Hilbert series of 0. If $b < 0$, then the second sum is (usually) nontrivial but will yield a polynomial with no constant term, as the constants are clearly not covariant.

Now assume all weights are negative. If $b > -\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b} = \emptyset$ so the Hilbert series is given by the first sum. If $b = 0$, then the first sum is nontrivial but will always equal 1, as only the constants are invariant. If $b > 0$, then the first sum is nontrivial and will yield a polynomial. If $b < 0$, then one easily checks from the definition that there are no covariants; if $-\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i < b < 0$, then the first sum is nontrivial but will always yield 0, and if $b \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, then both sums can be nontrivial but the resulting Hilbert series is 0.

Remark 3.4. Note that $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t}) = \text{Hilb}_{-\mathbf{a};-b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t})$ up to permuting the t_i to maintain the convention that negative weights appear first in \mathbf{a} . Hence, in applications of Theorem 3.2, one may always reduce to a case where $b \geq 0$ (and, if $b = 0$ and all weights have the same sign, all weights are negative) so that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b} = \emptyset$.

Remark 3.5. The Hilbert series of covariants can be computed in terms of the Hilbert series of invariants by observing that the covariants $\text{Hom}(V_{\mathbf{a}}, W_{-b})^{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are precisely the invariants of the representation with weight vector (\mathbf{a}, b) that are linear in the variable corresponding to the weight b . Hence, up to permuting the t_i to maintain our convention about ordering the weights, $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t})$ is the degree 1 coefficient of the series expansion of $\text{Hilb}_{(\mathbf{a},b);0}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{t})$ at $t_{n+1} = 0$ where t_{n+1} is the variable associated to the last weight b . In practice, adding the additional weight b increases the computation time so that applying Theorem 3.2 directly is faster.

We give the following examples to illustrate Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.6. Consider the invariants (i.e., $b = 0$) of the representation $V_{\mathbf{a}}$ with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-1, -2, 1, 2)$. Applying Theorem 3.2 via the algorithm described in Section 6, the Hilbert series is given by

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Hilb}_{(-1,-2,1,2),0}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{1 - t_1^2 t_2 t_3^2 t_4}{(1 - t_1 t_3)(1 - t_2 t_3^2)(1 - t_1^2 t_4)(1 - t_2 t_4)}.$$

Listing all terms with exponents ≤ 5 in colexicographic order, the Taylor expansion at $\mathbf{t} = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ begins

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 + t_1 t_3 + t_1^2 t_3^2 + t_2 t_3^2 + t_1^3 t_3^3 + t_1 t_2 t_3^3 + t_1^4 t_3^4 + t_1^2 t_2 t_3^4 + t_2^2 t_3^4 + t_1^5 t_3^5 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^5 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^5 + t_1^2 t_4 + t_2 t_4 + t_1^3 t_3 t_4 \\
& + t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 + t_1^4 t_3^2 t_4 + t_1^2 t_2 t_3^2 t_4 + t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4 + t_1^5 t_3^3 t_4 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^3 t_4 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4 + t_2^3 t_4^2 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^5 t_4 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^5 t_4 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^5 t_4 + t_1^4 t_4^2 + t_1^2 t_2 t_4^2 + t_2^2 t_4^2 + t_1^5 t_3 t_4^2 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3 t_4^2 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3 t_4^2 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^2 \\
& + t_2^3 t_4^2 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^2 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^2 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4^2 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^2 + t_2^3 t_4^2 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^5 t_4^2 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^5 t_4^2 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^5 t_4^2 \\
& + t_1^4 t_2 t_4^3 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_4^3 + t_2^3 t_4^3 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3 t_4^3 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3 t_4^3 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3 t_4^3 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^3 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^3 + t_2^3 t_4^3 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^3 \\
& + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3 t_4^4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3 t_4^4 + t_2^3 t_4^4 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^4 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^4 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^2 t_4^4 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^4 + t_2^3 t_4^4 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^4 \\
& + t_1^4 t_2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3 t_4^5 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^5 \\
& + t_1^4 t_2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 \\
& + t_1^4 t_2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, each coefficient is either 0 or 1, and each nonzero term can be thought of as an invariant in the variables (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) . Via this interpretation, the above expansion yields a complete list of the invariants of \mathbb{N}^4 -degree (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) with each $d_i \leq 5$. That is, the Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{(-1, -2, 1, 2), 0}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ completely determines the algebra of invariants.

Note that in this case, the structure of the algebra of invariants is clear from Equation (3.4). In particular, the algebra of invariants in this case is generated by the monomials $t_1 t_3$, $t_2 t_3^2$, $t_1^2 t_4$, and $t_2 t_4$ with the single relation $(t_1 t_3)^2 (t_2 t_4) - (t_2 t_3^2)(t_1^2 t_4)$, see [51, Chapter I, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 3.8].

Letting $V_{\mathbf{a}}$ be as above and $b = 2$, Theorem 3.2 and Section 6 yield

$$\text{Hilb}_{(-1, -2, 1, 2), 2}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{t_1^2 + t_2 - t_1^2 t_2 t_3^2 - t_1^2 t_2 t_4}{(1 - t_1 t_3)(1 - t_2 t_3^2)(1 - t_1^2 t_4)(1 - t_2 t_4)},$$

whose expansion begins

$$\begin{aligned}
& t_1^2 + t_2 + t_1^3 t_3 + t_1 t_2 t_3 + t_1^4 t_3^2 + t_1^2 t_2 t_3^2 + t_2^2 t_3^2 + t_1^5 t_3^3 + t_1 t_2 t_3^3 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^3 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 + t_2^3 t_3^4 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^5 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^5 \\
& + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^5 + t_1^4 t_4 + t_1^2 t_2 t_4 + t_2^2 t_4 + t_1^5 t_3 t_4 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3 t_4 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3 t_4 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4 + t_2^3 t_3^2 t_4 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4 \\
& + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4 + t_1^4 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4 + t_2^3 t_4^2 + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^5 t_4 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^5 t_4 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^5 t_4 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^2 + t_2^3 t_4^2 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^2 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^2 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4^2 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^2 + t_2^3 t_4^2 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^5 t_4^2 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^5 t_4^2 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^5 t_4^2 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^3 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^3 + t_2^3 t_4^3 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^3 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^3 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4^3 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_2^3 t_4^3 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^3 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^4 + t_2^3 t_4^4 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^4 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^4 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4^4 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_2^3 t_4^4 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^4 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^3 t_3^3 t_4^5 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 + t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^3 t_2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3^4 t_4^5 + t_1^4 t_2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^2 t_4^5 + t_2^3 t_4^5 \\
& + t_1^5 t_2 t_3^3 t_4^5 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.7. To illustrate Remark 3.4, consider the representation $V_{\mathbf{a}}$ with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-2, 2, 5)$ and $b = -4$. Applying Theorem 3.2 directly, the first sum in Equation (3.1) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{t_1^2(1 - t_1 t_2)(1 - t_1^5 t_3^2)},$$

the set $\mathcal{S}_{(-2, 2, 5), -4} = \{(1, 0, 0); (0, 1, 0)\}$, and so the second sum is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{t_1^2} - \frac{t_2}{t_1},$$

yielding a Hilbert series of

$$\text{Hilb}_{(-2, 2, 5), -4}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \frac{t_2^2 + t_1^3 t_3^2 - t_1^5 t_2^2 t_3^2}{(1 - t_1 t_2)(1 - t_1^5 t_3^2)}.$$

As noted in Remark 3.4, consideration of $\mathcal{S}_{(-2, 2, 5), -4}$ can be avoided by considering instead $-\mathbf{a}$ and $-b$ (permuting coordinates to conform to our sign conventions). In this case, the second sum in Equation (3.1) is empty and the first yields

$$\text{Hilb}_{(-2, -5, 2), 4}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \frac{t_1^2 + t_2^2 t_3^3 - t_1^2 t_2^2 t_3^5}{(1 - t_1 t_3)(1 - t_2^2 t_3^5)},$$

which yields the same result up to permuting variables.

Example 3.8. To illustrate Remark 3.5, let $\mathbf{a} = (-1, 2, 3)$ and $b = 3$. The second sum in Equation (3.1) is empty, and the first yields

$$\text{Hilb}_{(-1,2,3),3}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \frac{t_1^3}{(1 - t_1^2 t_2)(1 - t_1^3 t_3)}.$$

Alternatively, one can compute the Hilbert series of the invariants of the representation with weight matrix $(-1, 2, 3, 3)$, yielding

$$\text{Hilb}_{(-1,2,3,3),0}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{1}{(1 - t_1^2 t_2)(1 - t_1^3 t_3)(1 - t_1^3 t_4)}.$$

Expanding at $t_4 = 0$ and extracting the coefficient of t_4 recovers $\text{Hilb}_{(-1,2,3),3}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$.

Substituting $t_i = t$ in the expression in Theorem 3.2 and applying the analytic continuation argument of [30, Section 3.3] in the case when the α_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are not distinct yields the univariate Hilbert series of the covariants. In particular, setting $b = 0$, this recovers the expression for the Hilbert series of the invariants in [19, Theorem 3.3].

Corollary 3.9 (Univariate Hilbert series of \mathbb{S}^1 -covariants). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where each $\alpha_i > 0$, and let $W \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the irreducible representation of the circle with weight $-b$. The univariate Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a},b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ of the module of covariants $\text{Hom}(V, W)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = (\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes W)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$ is given by*

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a},b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^k \frac{\zeta^b t^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t^{(c_i - c_j)/c_i})} + (-1)^k \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b}} t^{-\sum_{i=1}^k (y_i + 1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n y_i},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},b}$ is as in Theorem 3.2.

3.2. The \mathbb{N}^2 -grading of a cotangent-lifted representation and the symplectic quotient. Suppose $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is a cotangent-lifted representation of \mathbb{S}^1 , meaning that the weight vector is of the form $\mathbf{a}^c = (\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{a})$ for a weight vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In this case, we use the notation

$$\mathbf{a}^c = (a_1, \dots, a_n, -a_1, \dots, -a_n) = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, -\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, -\alpha_n),$$

continuing the convention that each $\alpha_j = |a_j|$. We again assume that no weight is zero, i.e., that V has no trivial factors, to avoid trivialities.

We consider the decomposition $V_{\mathbf{a}^c} = V_1 \oplus V_2$ where V_1 has weight vector \mathbf{a} and V_2 has weight vector $-\mathbf{a}$ and let (d_1, d_2) denote the corresponding grading with formal variables (s, t) . Then we have the following.

Corollary 3.10 (Bivariate Hilbert series of \mathbb{S}^1 -covariants of a cotangent-lifted representation). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where each $\alpha_i > 0$, let $\mathbf{a}^c = (\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{a})$ denote the weight vector of the cotangent lift of V , and let $W \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the irreducible representation of the circle with weight $-b$. Let $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c,b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(s, t)$ denote the bivariate Hilbert series of the covariants $\text{Hom}(V \oplus V^*, W)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = (\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \otimes W)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c,b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(s, t) = \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \frac{1}{1 - st} \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^k \frac{\zeta^b s^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{(c_i - c_j)/c_i})(1 - \zeta^{-a_j} s^{c_j/c_i} t)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{i=k+1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \frac{\zeta^b t^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s t^{c_j/c_i})(1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(c_i - c_j)/c_i})} \right) + (-1)^n \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c,b}} \prod_{i=1}^k s^{-y_i - 1} t^{y'_i} \prod_{i=k+1}^n s^{y_i} t^{-y'_i - 1},$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b} = \left\{ (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathbb{N}^{2n} : \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j + y'_j) \alpha_j = -b - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right\},$$

which is empty if $b + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j > 0$. If the a_i are distinct, then the limit is unnecessary and Equation (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(s, t) &= \frac{1}{1-st} \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^k \frac{\zeta^b s^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{(a_i - a_j)/a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} s^{a_j/a_i} t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{i=k+1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \frac{\zeta^b t^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s t^{a_j/a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(a_i - a_j)/a_i})} \right) + (-1)^n \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b}} \prod_{i=1}^k s^{-y_i - 1} t^{y'_i} \prod_{i=k+1}^n s^{y_i} t^{-y'_i - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the weight vector \mathbf{a}^c and substituting $t_i = s$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $t_i = t$ for $n+1 \leq i \leq 2n$, the first sum in Equation (3.1) becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^k \frac{(1-st)^{-1} \zeta^b s^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{1-a_j/a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} s^{a_j/a_i} t)} + \sum_{\substack{i=k+1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \frac{(1-st)^{-1} \zeta^b t^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s t^{a_j/a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{1-a_j/a_i})}.$$

Note that if the a_i are not distinct, then the factors of the form $(1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{1-a_j/a_i})$ introduce singularities when $a_i = a_j$; in this case, we apply the analytic continuation argument of [30, Section 3.3] and take the limit as parameters c_i approach the a_i . If $b + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \leq 0$, then we specialize Equation (3.2) to the case at hand as Equation (3.7), and the second sum in Equation (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^n \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b}} \prod_{i=1}^k s^{-y_i - 1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t^{-y'_i - 1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n s^{y_i} \prod_{i=1}^k t^{y'_i} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b}} \prod_{i=1}^k s^{-y_i - 1} t^{y'_i} \prod_{i=k+1}^n s^{y_i} t^{-y'_i - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Remark 3.11. Using the observation of Remark 3.4 and the fact that $\mathbf{a}^c = -\mathbf{a}^c$ up to permuting weights, we have $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(s, t) = \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; -b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t, s)$. Hence, applications of Corollary 3.10 can always be reduced to a case where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b} = \emptyset$.

Taking the limit as $s \rightarrow t$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, relabeling ζ as ζ^{-1} to permute terms and express them uniformly, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.12 (Univariate Hilbert series of \mathbb{S}^1 -covariants of a cotangent-lifted representation). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where each $\alpha_i > 0$, let $\mathbf{a}^c = (\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{a})$ denote the weight vector of the cotangent lift of V , and let $W \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the irreducible representation of the circle with weight $-b$. Let $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ denote the univariate Hilbert series of the covariants*

$\text{Hom}(V \oplus V^*, W)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = (\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \otimes W)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$. Then

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \frac{1}{1-t^2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i=1}}}^n \frac{\zeta^{a_i b / \alpha_i} t^{b / \alpha_i}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(c_i - c_j) / c_i}) (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t^{(c_i + c_j) / c_i})} \\ + (-1)^n \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b}} \prod_{i=1}^k t^{y'_i - y_i - 1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t^{y_i - y'_i - 1},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b}$ is as defined in Equation (3.7). If the α_i are distinct, then the limit is unnecessary and the right-hand side of Equation (3.8) becomes

$$\frac{1}{1-t^2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i=1}}}^n \frac{\zeta^{a_i b / \alpha_i} t^{b / \alpha_i}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(a_i - a_j) / a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t^{(a_i + a_j) / a_i})} + (-1)^n \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}^c, b}} \prod_{i=1}^k t^{y'_i - y_i - 1} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t^{y_i - y'_i - 1}.$$

In the case of the on-shell invariants associated to the symplectic quotient, the moment map associated to \mathbf{a} in complex coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for V is given by

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \bar{x}_i$$

and hence has degree $(1, 1)$. Then $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}}(s, t) = (1-st) \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{off}}(s, t)$, where $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{off}}(s, t) = \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; 0}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(s, t)$ denotes the corresponding Hilbert series of off-shell invariants; see [36, Proposition 2.1]. We therefore have the following.

Corollary 3.13 (Bivariate Hilbert series of the on-shell invariants of an \mathbb{S}^1 -symplectic quotient). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where each $\alpha_i > 0$. Let $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}}(s, t)$ denote the bivariate Hilbert series of the on-shell invariants of the corresponding symplectic quotient. Then*

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}}(s, t) = \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i=1}}}^k \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{(c_i - c_j) / c_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} s^{c_j / c_i} t)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i=1}}}^n \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s t^{c_j / c_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(c_i - c_j) / c_i})} \right).$$

If the a_i are distinct, then $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}}(s, t)$ is given by

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i=1}}}^k \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{(a_i - a_j) / a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} s^{a_j / a_i} t)} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i=1}}}^n \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s t^{a_j / a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(a_i - a_j) / a_i})}.$$

Taking the limit as $s \rightarrow t$ and again relabeling ζ as ζ^{-1} for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we recover the univariate Hilbert series of [36, Theorem 3.1].

3.3. Laurent coefficients of the univariate Hilbert series. Let $V = V_{\mathbf{a}}$ be a representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $W = W_{-b}$ be an irreducible representation of \mathbb{S}^1 , and let $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ denote the univariate Hilbert series as computed in Corollary 3.9. We consider the Laurent expansion at $t = 1$ of the form

$$\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)(1-t)^{m-n+1}.$$

We will use the simplified notation $\gamma_m = \gamma_m^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ when the representations are clear from the context. Note that we index the γ_m so that γ_0 is the coefficient of degree $1-n$; the pole order of $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ is at most $n-1$ and usually obtains this value, but it is possible that $\gamma_0 = 0$, e.g., if all weights have the same sign. Our goal in this section is to compute γ_0 and γ_1 in general as well as when V is a cotangent lift. When $b = 0$, these coefficients along with γ_2 and γ_3 were computed in [36, Section 5] and [19, Section 6]; as we will see, the coefficients in the case of $b \neq 0$ are not substantially different.

It is difficult to uniformly treat the cases where all weights have the same sign, so let us first consider these cases separately; see Remark 3.3. If all weights have the same sign and $b = 0$, then $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = 1$. Hence $\gamma_{n-1} = 1$ and all other $\gamma_m = 0$. If all weights are positive and $b > 0$, then $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = 0$, so all γ_m vanish. If all weights are positive and $b < 0$, then $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ is a polynomial. First assume $n = 1$. By Remark 3.1, $\text{Hilb}_{(a_1);b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = 0$ if the single weight a_1 does not divide b and otherwise $\text{Hilb}_{(a_1);b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = \text{Hilb}_{(1);b/a_1}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = t^{-b/a_1}$. In the former case, all γ_m vanish, while in the latter case, $\gamma_0 = 1$ and $\gamma_1 = b/a_1$. If $n = 2$, then $\gamma_0 = 0$ and γ_1 is a nonnegative integer that counts the number of solutions to $y_1\alpha_1 + y_2\alpha_2 = -b$. If $n > 2$, then $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = 0$. Cases with all weights negative can be reduced to those above using the fact that $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) = \text{Hilb}_{-\mathbf{a};-b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$.

We now assume that \mathbf{a} contains at least one weight of each sign so that $n \geq 2$. We assume without loss of generality that V is faithful so that $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, see Remark 3.1, and $b \geq 0$, see Remark 3.4.

By $\mathbf{sp}_u(\mathbf{a})$, we mean the partial Schur-Laurent polynomial, see Equation (2.2), where the first set of variables is the set of negative weights and the second is the set of positive weights. We let $\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ denote the degree 1 elementary symmetric polynomial in the weights.

Theorem 3.14 (γ_0 and γ_1 for \mathbb{S}^1 -covariants). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a faithful representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where each $\alpha_i > 0$, $n \geq 2$, and $1 \leq k < n$, and let $W \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the irreducible representation of the circle with weight $-b$ where $b \geq 0$. Then the first two Laurent coefficients $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ and $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ of the Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a};b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ of covariants $\text{Hom}(V, W)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = (\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes W)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b) &= \frac{-\mathbf{sp}_{n-2}(\mathbf{a})}{\prod_{p=1}^k \prod_{q=k+1}^n (a_p - a_q)}, \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b) &= \frac{(\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{a}) - 2b)\mathbf{sp}_{n-3}(\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{sp}_{n-2}(\mathbf{a})}{2 \prod_{p=1}^k \prod_{q=k+1}^n (a_p - a_q)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1} b|_{g_j - g_j - 1}}{2} \right) \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}_j; b), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_j = \gcd\{a_i : i \neq j\}$, $\mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ is the weight vector formed by removing a_j from \mathbf{a} , $[r]_s^{-1}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $r \bmod s$, and $|r|_s$ is the representative of the equivalence class of $r \bmod s$ such that

$1 \leq |r|_s \leq s$. When the negative weights in \mathbf{a} are distinct, the coefficients can be expressed as

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{-a_i^{n-2}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)}, \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^{n-3} \left(-2b + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_j \right)}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left(\frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1} b|_{g_j} - g_j - 1}{2} \right) \frac{-a_i^{n-3}}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b) = \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; 0)$, where $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; 0)$ was computed in [19, Theorems 6.2 and 6.3].

Proof. The hypotheses ensure that the second sum in Equation (3.5) is empty, so we consider the Laurent expansion in the first sum. The maximal pole order of a term is $n - 1$ and occurs when $\zeta^{a_j} = 1$ for each j ; as $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, this implies $\zeta = 1$. Such a term is of the form

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{t^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (1 - t^{(c_i - c_\ell)/c_i})},$$

and because the Laurent expansion of t^{b/α_i} begins

$$(3.13) \quad t^{b/\alpha_i} = 1 - \frac{b}{\alpha_i}(1-t) + \frac{b(b-\alpha_i)}{2\alpha_i^2}(1-t)^2 \pm \dots,$$

applying the Cauchy product formula, the degree $1 - n$ Laurent coefficient of the term in Equation (3.12) is that of

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (1 - t^{(c_i - c_\ell)/c_i})}.$$

In particular, this implies $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b) = \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; 0)$, where the latter was computed in [19, Theorem 6.2].

The computation of $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ is similar to [19, Theorem 6.3]. We first consider the contribution of terms with $\zeta = 1$ of the form given in Equation (3.12). For simplicity, assume the negative weights of \mathbf{a} are distinct and let $c_i = a_i$. Using the Cauchy product formula, the degree $2 - n$ Laurent coefficient of such a term is

$$\frac{a_i^{n-3} \left(-2b + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_j \right)}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)}.$$

The only other contributions to $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ are from terms where $\zeta \neq 1$ is an α_i th root of unity such that $\zeta^{\alpha_\ell} = 1$ for all ℓ except for one, say $\zeta^{\alpha_j} \neq 1$. Such a term is then of the form

$$\frac{\zeta^b t^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t^{(a_i - a_j)/\alpha_i}) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (1 - t^{(a_i - a_\ell)/\alpha_i})}$$

and occurs for each g_j th root of unity $\zeta \neq 1$. The degree $2 - n$ coefficient of such a term is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{\zeta^{g_j}=1 \\ \zeta \neq 1}} \frac{\zeta^b a_i^{n-2}}{\alpha_i (1 - \zeta^{a_j}) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} = \frac{-a_i^{n-3}}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} \sum_{\substack{\zeta^{g_j}=1 \\ \zeta \neq 1}} \frac{\zeta^b}{1 - \zeta^{a_j}}.$$

Noting that g_j and a_j are relatively prime by construction, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\zeta^{g_j}=1 \\ \zeta \neq 1}} \frac{\zeta^b}{1 - \zeta^{a_j}} = \sum_{\substack{\zeta^{g_j}=1 \\ \zeta \neq 1}} \frac{\zeta^{[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1}b}}{1 - \zeta} = \frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1}b|_{g_j} - g_j - 1}{2},$$

where the last equation follows from [25, Corollary 3.2]. Hence the resulting contribution to $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ is

$$\frac{-a_i^{n-3}}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} \left(\frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1}b|_{g_j} - g_j - 1}{2} \right).$$

Summing over i and j yields Equation (3.11).

To express $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; b)$ in terms of partial Schur-Laurent polynomials, first note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^u}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} = \frac{\mathbf{sp}_u(\mathbf{a})}{\prod_{p=1}^k \prod_{q=k+1}^n (a_p - a_q)},$$

see [19, Equation (5.2)]. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^{n-3} \left(-2b + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_j \right)}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left(\frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1}b|_{g_j} - g_j - 1}{2} \right) \frac{-a_i^{n-3}}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{-2ba_i^{n-3} - a_i^{n-2} + a_i^{n-3} \mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{a})}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1}b|_{g_j} - g_j - 1}{2} \right) \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}_j; b) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{a}) - 2b) \mathbf{sp}_{n-3}(\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{sp}_{n-2}(\mathbf{a})}{2 \prod_{p=1}^k \prod_{q=k+1}^n (a_p - a_q)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2|[a_j]_{g_j}^{-1}b|_{g_j} - g_j - 1}{2} \right) \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}_j; b). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case of a cotangent-lifted representation and compute $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$ and $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$ where $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$ occurs in degree $2n - 1$. In this case, we do not need to restrict n nor the signs of the weights. We continue to assume without loss of generality that $b \geq 0$ by Remark 3.4.

Theorem 3.15 (γ_0 and γ_1 for \mathbb{S}^1 -covariants of a cotangent-lifted representation). *Let $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a faithful representation of \mathbb{S}^1 with weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where each $\alpha_i > 0$, let $\mathbf{a}^c = (\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{a})$ denote the weight vector of the cotangent lift of V , let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and let $W \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the irreducible representation of the circle with weight $-b$ where $b \geq 0$. Then the first two Laurent coefficients $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$ and $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$ of the Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}^c; b}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t)$ of covariants $\text{Hom}(V \oplus V^*, W)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = (\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \otimes W)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are given by*

$$(3.14) \quad \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b) = \frac{\mathbf{s}_{(n-2, n-2, n-3, \dots, 1, 0)}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{2\mathbf{s}_{(n-1, n-2, n-3, \dots, 1, 0)}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b) = \frac{\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)}{2}.$$

When the α_i are distinct, $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$ can be expressed as

$$\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i^{2n-3}}{2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)}.$$

In particular, $\gamma_m^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b) = \gamma_m^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; 0)$ for $m = 1, 2$, where the $\gamma_m^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; 0)$ were computed in [36, Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.3].

Proof. We consider the Laurent expansion of Equation (3.8), where our hypotheses imply that the second sum vanishes. The maximum pole order of a term

$$\frac{\zeta^{a_i b / \alpha_i} t^{b / \alpha_i}}{(1-t^2) \alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(c_i - c_j) / c_i}) (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t^{(c_i + c_j) / c_i})}$$

is $2n - 1$ and occurs when each $\zeta^{a_j} = 1$; this implies by the faithfulness of V that $\zeta = 1$. Note that if $\zeta \neq 1$, then the pole order is at most $2n - 3$, so the only terms that contribute to γ_0 and γ_1 are of the form

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{t^{b / \alpha_i}}{(1-t^2) \alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - t^{(c_i - c_j) / c_i}) (1 - t^{(c_i + c_j) / c_i})}.$$

Applying the Cauchy product formula to such a term and summing over i , we obtain

$$\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b) = \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i^{2n-2}}{2 \alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)} = \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|c_i|^{2n-3}}{2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)},$$

which is shown to be equal to the expression in Equation (3.14) in [36, Section 5.2].

To compute $\gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)$, we apply the Cauchy product formula to the term in Equation (3.16) for each i , yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b) &= \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{c_i^{2n-4}}{2 \alpha_i \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (c_i^2 - c_\ell^2)} \left(\frac{c_i c_j - c_i c_j}{2(c_i^2 - c_j^2)} \right) + \frac{c_i^{2n-2}}{4 \alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)} - \frac{b c_i^{2n-2}}{2 \alpha_i^2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|c_i|^{2n-3}}{4 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)} - \frac{b}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i^{2n-4}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first of the resulting sums is equal to $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}^c; b)/2$. To see that the second sum vanishes, rewrite

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i^{2n-4}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (c_i^2 - c_j^2)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} c_i^{2n-4} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq n \\ j, k \neq i}} (c_j^2 - c_k^2)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (c_j^2 - c_k^2)}$$

and observe that the numerator is the cofactor expansion along the first row of a matrix whose first two rows are identical, both listing powers c_i^{2n-4} . \square

3.4. Laurent coefficients of multigraded Hilbert series. Using the approach of Section 3.3, we can also consider the Laurent coefficients of the multigraded Hilbert series. There are several approaches to Laurent expansions of multivariate functions, see [1] for a careful exposition, and the meaning of the coefficients is in this context less clear. Hence, we give some sample calculations of the first few iterated Laurent series coefficients of $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathcal{S}^1, \text{on}}(s, t)$ computed in Corollary 3.13. For simplicity, we assume that $n \geq 3$ and the a_i are distinct and hence consider Equation (3.10); we furthermore assume that the weights are pairwise relatively prime, i.e., $\gcd(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$, which in particular implies that V is faithful. We let $\gamma_{i,j}^{\mathcal{S}^1, \text{on}; s, t}(\mathbf{a})$ denote the j th coefficient of the expansion at $t = 1$ of the i th coefficient of the expansion at $s = 1$, where $i = 0$ and $j = 0$ indicate the first nonzero coefficient of the corresponding expansion. Note that $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathcal{S}^1, \text{on}}(s, t) = \text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathcal{S}^1, \text{on}}(t, s)$ by Remark 3.11 so that in this instance, $\gamma_{i,j}^{\mathcal{S}^1, \text{on}; s, t}(\mathbf{a}) = \gamma_{i,j}^{\mathcal{S}^1, \text{on}; t, s}(\mathbf{a})$ for all i, j . However, changing the expansion order yields alternate formulas for these coefficients.

We first expand in $s = 1$ and then $t = 1$. Choose $i \leq k$, and hence consider a term in the first sum of Equation (3.10). Such a term has a pole of order at most $n - 1$, with this pole order obtained if and only if $\zeta^{a_j} = 1$ for each j . As V is faithful, this implies that $\zeta = 1$. Note that if $\zeta \neq 1$, then there is no pole at $s = 1$ so that, as $n \geq 3$, the corresponding term will not contribute to the first two Laurent coefficients at $s = 1$.

Hence we consider a term of the form

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - s^{(a_i - a_j)/\alpha_i})(1 - s^{a_j/\alpha_i} t)}.$$

By the Cauchy product formula, the expansion at $s = 1$ of such a term begins

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_i^{n-1}}{\alpha_i (1-t)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)} (1-s)^{1-n} \\ & + \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-2} a_j}{2\alpha_i (a_i - a_j) (1-t)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-2} a_j t}{\alpha_i (1-t)^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} \right) (1-s)^{2-n} + \dots \\ & = \frac{-a_i^{n-2}}{(1-t)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)} (1-s)^{1-n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{a_i^{n-3} a_j (1+t)}{2(1-t)^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} (1-s)^{2-n} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Summing over the corresponding i and expanding at $t = 1$ yields for the first term

$$(1-s)^{1-n} (1-t)^{1-n} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{-a_i^{n-2}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)},$$

and for the second term

$$(1-s)^{2-n} (1-t)^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{a_i^{n-3} a_j}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} + (1-s)^{2-n} (1-t)^{1-n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-3} a_j}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)}.$$

When $i > k$, the corresponding term is of the form

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s t^{a_j/\alpha_i})(1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t^{(a_i - a_j)/\alpha_i})}.$$

Such a term does not have a pole at $s = 1$ regardless of the value of ζ so, as $n \geq 3$, will not contribute to the first two Laurent coefficients at $s = 1$. Hence, we have

$$\gamma_{0,0}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}; s, t}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{-a_i^{n-2}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)},$$

which is equal to $\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbf{a}; 0)$; see Theorem 3.14. Similarly,

$$\gamma_{1,0}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}; s, t}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{a_i^{n-3} a_j}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{1,1}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}; s, t}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-3} a_j}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)}.$$

Expanding at $t = 1$ and then $s = 1$ is similar. If $i \leq k$, then we have a term of the form

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} s^{(a_i - a_j)/a_i}) (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} s^{a_j/a_i} t)}$$

which does not have a pole at $t = 1$ and hence, as $n \geq 3$, will not contribute to the first two Laurent coefficients at $t = 1$. If $i \geq k + 1$, we again have a pole at $t = 1$ only if $\zeta = 1$, in which case we have a term of the form

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - s t^{a_j/a_i}) (1 - t^{(a_i - a_j)/a_i})}.$$

This term has a pole of order $n - 1$ at $t = 1$, and the expansion at $t = 1$ begins

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_i^{n-1}}{\alpha_i (1-s)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)} (1-t)^{1-n} \\ & + \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-2} a_j}{2 \alpha_i (1-s)^{n-1} (a_i - a_j) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i, j}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-2} a_j s}{\alpha_i (1-s)^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} \right) (1-t)^{2-n} + \dots \\ & = \frac{a_i^{n-2}}{(1-s)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)} (1-t)^{1-n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-3} a_j (1+s)}{2 (1-s)^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)} (1-t)^{2-n} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $i \geq k + 1$ and expanding at $s = 1$, we obtain

$$\gamma_{0,0}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}; t, s}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{a_i^{n-2}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_j)},$$

$$\gamma_{1,0}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}; t, s}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{-a_i^{n-3} a_j}{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{1,1}^{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{on}; t, s}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{a_i^{n-3} a_j}{2 \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^n (a_i - a_\ell)}.$$

4. INVARIANTS AND COVARIANTS OF $O_2(\mathbb{R})$

In this section, we consider the Hilbert series of invariants and covariants of representations of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$. We consider $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ as the set of 2×2 real matrices of the form

$$r_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad s_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.1. The irreducible representations of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$. Here, we briefly recall the representation theory of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ and refer the reader to [44, Theorem 7.2.1] or [41, Section 11.2] for more details.

For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\tau_a: O_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U_2$ denote the representation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_a: \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e^{a\theta\sqrt{-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-a\theta\sqrt{-1}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{a\theta\sqrt{-1}} \\ e^{-a\theta\sqrt{-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Identifying \mathbb{S}^1 with $SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \leq O_2(\mathbb{R})$ as above τ_a is the representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ induced by ϵ_a . The representation τ_0 splits into a 1-dimensional trivial representation and the 1-dimensional representation \det , and for $a > 0$, τ_a is equivalent to τ_{-a} . Hence, every non-trivial finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to \det or τ_a for an integer $a > 0$. Note that \det has kernel $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$, and the kernel of τ_a for $a \geq 1$ is the set of a th roots of unity in $\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq SO_2(\mathbb{R})$. In the latter case, the quotient of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ by the finite group of a th roots of unity is isomorphic to $O_2(\mathbb{R})$, and the resulting faithful representation is τ_1 .

4.2. The Hilbert series of covariants of O_2 . Let V be a finite-dimensional unitary representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$. As in Remark 3.1, we assume that V has no trivial subrepresentations. Then there are integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, d$ with $n \geq 0$ and each $\alpha_i > 0$ such that V is of the form

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \tau_{\alpha_i} \right) \oplus d \det.$$

We will refer to this representation as $V_{\alpha,d}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Note that the corresponding weight vector for the action of the torus $SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \leq O_2(\mathbb{R})$ is α^c concatenated with d zero entries. If $n = 0$, then V is simply copies of \det and $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts trivially. If $n \geq 1$, then the kernel of the action is the set of $\gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ th roots of unity. As in Remark 3.1, we will assume that V is faithful, i.e., $n \geq 1$ and $\gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 1$.

Theorem 4.1 (Maximally graded Hilbert series of O_2 -covariants). *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where $n \geq 1$, each $\alpha_i > 0$, and $d \geq 0$; let $V_{\alpha,d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ be the corresponding faithful representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$; and let W be an irreducible representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ with character $\chi = \chi_W$. Then either $W = \tau_\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$ or W is the trivial representation or \det , where in the latter two cases we set $\beta = 0$. Let $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n+d})$, and let $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d;W}^{O_2}(\mathbf{t})$ denote the Hilbert series for the maximal \mathbb{N}^n -grading of the covariants $\text{Hom}(V, W)^{O_2(\mathbb{R})} = (\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes W)^{O_2(\mathbb{R})}$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d;W}^{O_2}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{C_1}{2 \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - t_{n+j})} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\zeta^\beta t_i^{\beta/\alpha_i}}{\zeta^{\alpha_i} (1 - t_i^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} t_j t_i^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_i}) (1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} t_j t_i^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i})} + \frac{C_2}{2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + t_{n+j})},$$

where

$$C_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } W = \det \text{ or the trivial representation,} \\ 2, & \text{if } W = \tau_\beta \text{ for } \beta > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$C_2 = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } W = \det, \\ 0, & \text{if } W = \tau_\beta \text{ for } \beta > 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } W \text{ is the trivial representation.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Because $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components, the Molien-Weyl Theorem yields an integral over each connected component with a prefactor of $1/2$ for each; see [26, Section 4.1]. We first consider the case of a rotation $z \in O_2(\mathbb{R})^\circ = SO_2(\mathbb{R})$, which we consider as an element of $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ as above. If $W = \tau_\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$, then $\chi(z) = z^\beta + z^{-\beta}$; if W is trivial or \det , then $\chi(z) = 1$ is constant. Hence, the integral (with prefactor $1/2$) over $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{\chi(z) dz}{z \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j})(1 - t_j z^{-\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - t_{n+j})} \\ = \frac{1}{2 \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - t_{n+j})} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{\chi(z) dz}{z \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j z^{\alpha_j})(1 - t_j z^{-\alpha_j})} \right).$$

On the connected component of reflections, $\chi(z)$ is constant; specifically, $\chi(z) = 0$ if $W = \tau_\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$, $\chi(z) = -1$ if $W = \det$, and $\chi(z) = 1$ if W is the trivial representation. Hence, denoting the constant $\chi(z)$ simply as χ , the integral (with prefactor $1/2$) is

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{\chi dz}{z \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + t_{n+j})} = \frac{\chi}{4\pi\sqrt{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + t_{n+j})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{dz}{z} \\ = \frac{\chi}{2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + t_{n+j})}.$$

The integral in the second line of Equation (4.2) can be interpreted as a specialization of that of Theorem 3.2 with the weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and the substitution $t_{n+i} := t_i$. When $W = \tau_\beta$, we apply the theorem with $b = \pm\beta$ and sum the results; when W is the trivial representation or \det , we use $b = 0$.

When $b \geq 0$, the assumption that each $\alpha_i > 0$ implies $b + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > 0$ so that the integral (along with the prefactor in parentheses) is

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{\zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}^n \frac{\zeta^b t_i^{b/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i (1 - t_i^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} t_j t_i^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_i})(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} t_j t_i^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i})}.$$

When $b < 0$, we can use the fact that $-\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$, up to permuting the weights; see Remarks 3.4 and 3.11. Then as the permutation of weights corresponds to transposing t_i with t_{n+i} for $1 \leq i \leq n$, after applying the substitution $t_{n+i} := t_i$, we obtain Equation (4.4) again. Combining Equations (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) completes the proof. \square

Substituting $t_i = t$ in $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha, d; W}^{O_2}(\mathbf{t})$ and, in the case that the α_i are not distinct, applying the analytic continuation argument of [30, Section 3.3], we obtain the univariate Hilbert series for the covariants of a unitary representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 4.2 (Univariate Hilbert series of O_2 -covariants). *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where $n \geq 1$, each $\alpha_i > 0$, and $d \geq 0$, let $V_{\alpha, d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ be the corresponding faithful representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$, and let W be an irreducible representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$. The univariate Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha, d; W}^{O_2}(t)$ of the algebra of covariants*

$\text{Hom}(V, W)^{\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})} = (\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes W)^{\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})}$ is given by

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \alpha} \frac{C_1}{2(1-t)^d} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \frac{\zeta^\beta t^{\beta/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i(1-t^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} t^{(c_i - c_j)/c_i})(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} t^{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)/\alpha_i})} + \frac{C_2}{2(1-t^2)^n(1+t)^d},$$

where β , C_1 , and C_2 are as in Theorem 4.1. If the α_i are distinct, then Equation (4.5) simplifies to

$$\frac{C_1}{2(1-t)^d} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \frac{\zeta^\beta t^{\beta/\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i(1-t^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} t^{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)/\alpha_i})(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} t^{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)/\alpha_i})} + \frac{C_2}{2(1-t^2)^n(1+t)^d}.$$

Remark 4.3. Note that we can express $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha, d; W}^{\text{O}_2}$ in terms of Hilbert series associated to the restricted \mathbb{S}^1 -representation as follows. That is, Equation (4.1) can be written

$$(4.6) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\alpha, d; W}^{\text{O}_2}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{C_1}{2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_{n+j})} \text{Hilb}_{(-\alpha, \alpha); \beta}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) + \frac{C_2}{2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + t_{n+j})},$$

where $\beta = 0$ when W is det or the trivial representation. In particular, let us temporarily relax the hypothesis that V is faithful for this remark. If V contains at least one τ_{α_i} summand, $W = \tau_\beta$, and $g := \gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > 1$ does not divide β , then $\text{Hilb}_{(-\alpha, \alpha); \beta}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) = C_2 = 0$ so that the Hilbert series is 0 and there are no covariants; see Remark 3.1. If g divides β , we can without loss of generality take the quotient of O_2 by the kernel of the action on V , resulting in the faithful representation $V_{\alpha/g, d}$ and $W = \tau_{\beta/g}$.

Similarly, Equation (4.5) can be written

$$(4.7) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\alpha, d; W}^{\text{O}_2}(t) = \frac{C_1}{2(1-t)^d} \text{Hilb}_{(-\alpha, \alpha); \beta}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(t) + \frac{C_2}{2(1-t^2)^n(1+t)^d}.$$

In addition, we will need the \mathbb{N}^2 -graded Hilbert series of the covariants of a cotangent-lifted representation in the next section. A representation $V = V_{\alpha, d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ is self-dual so that the cotangent lift of V is isomorphic to $2V$, i.e., $V_{2\alpha, 2d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{4n+2d}$ where 2α indicates α concatenated with itself. As in Section 3.2, we use the grading (d_1, d_2) induced by the decomposition $2V$ with formal variables (s, t) . Adapting Theorem 4.1 to the representation $V_{2\alpha, 2d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{4n+2d}$, applying the substitutions $t_i = s$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $t_i = t$ for $n < i \leq 2n$ to compute as in the proof of Corollary 3.10, and using analytic continuation [30, Section 3.3] when the α_i are not distinct, we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.4 (Bivariate Hilbert series of cotangent-lifted O_2 -covariants). *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where $n \geq 1$, each $\alpha_i > 0$, and $d \geq 0$, let $V = V_{\alpha, d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ be the corresponding faithful representation of $\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and let W be an irreducible representation of $\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The \mathbb{N}^2 -graded Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha, d; W}^{\text{O}_2, \text{off}}(s, t)$ associated to the cotangent lift of V is given by*

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_{2n}) \rightarrow 2\alpha} \frac{C_1}{2(1-s)^d(1-t)^d(1-st)} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \left(\frac{\zeta^\beta s^{\beta/\alpha_i} (1 - s^{-c_{n+i}/c_i} t)^{-1}}{\alpha_i(1-s^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n F_1(\zeta, i, j)} + \frac{\zeta^\beta t^{\beta/\alpha_i} (1 - st^{-c_i/c_{n+i}})^{-1}}{\alpha_i(1-t^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n F_2(\zeta, i, j)} \right) + \frac{C_2}{2(1-s^2)^n(1-t^2)^n(1+s)^d(1+t)^d},$$

where β , C_1 , and C_2 are as in Theorem 4.1,

$$F_1(\zeta, i, j) = (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} s^{(c_i - c_j)/c_i})(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} s^{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)/\alpha_i})(1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} s^{-c_{n+j}/c_i} t)(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} s^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i} t)$$

and

$$F_2(\zeta, i, j) = (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} st^{-c_j/c_{n+i}})(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} st^{\alpha_j/\alpha_i})(1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} t^{(c_{n+i} - c_{n+j})/c_{n+i}})(1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} t^{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)/\alpha_i}).$$

If the α_i are distinct, then the limit is unnecessary and we may substitute $c_i = \alpha_i$ and $c_{n+i} = \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

4.3. Symplectic quotients by O_2 . Let $V = V_{\alpha,d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ be a representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ as in the previous section. We consider coordinates $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := (x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{n,2}, y_1, \dots, y_d)$ for V where $(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2})$ are coordinates for τ_{α_i} and the y_i are coordinates for each copy of \det . As the moment map $J: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ depends only on the connected component of the identity of the group, it coincides with the moment map of the underlying circle action,

$$J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (x_{i,1} \overline{x_{i,1}} - x_{i,2} \overline{x_{i,2}}).$$

It follows from this expression that $J(r_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for any $r_\theta \in \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., J is invariant under the action of $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \leq O_2(\mathbb{R})$. However, if $s_\theta \in O_2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$, then s_θ can be expressed as an element of $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ followed by swapping each $x_{i,1}$ with $x_{i,2}$, and therefore we have $J(s_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = -J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Hence, the moment map is never an $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant, and the relationship between the on- and off-shell invariants is not as simple as in the case of the circle.

However, the Hilbert series of the on-shell invariants of the symplectic quotient can be computed using the techniques of [35, Section 6.3] as applied to the case SU_2 in [28, Proposition 2.1]. Note that the shell only depends on the action of the Lie algebra of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ and hence on the corresponding action of $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, if $V = d \det$, then the shell is trivially equal to V . Otherwise, V has at least one τ_α summand with $\alpha > 0$. As a representation of $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$, τ_α has weight vector $(-\alpha, \alpha)$ which by [33, Theorem 3.2] is 1-large; see that reference for the definition. It follows that V is 1-large by [33, Theorem 3.1] so that the complexification of the shell is a reduced, irreducible complete intersection.

Let $\mu = J \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ denote the complexification of J . The Koszul complex of μ is a free resolution of $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]/(\mu)$ yielding an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \otimes \mathfrak{o}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]/(\mu) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{o}_2 \simeq \det$ as an $O_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module and the elements of \mathfrak{o}_2 are in degree $(1, 1)$ with respect to the bigrading. Taking invariants and using the fact that $(\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \otimes \det)^{O_2(\mathbb{C})}$ is the module of covariants with $W = \det$, it follows that the Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2, \text{on}}(s, t)$ of the on-shell invariants of the symplectic quotient associated to V is

$$\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2, \text{on}}(s, t) = \text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2, \text{off}}(s, t) - st \text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d; \det}^{\text{O}_2, \text{off}}(s, t).$$

Combining this with Corollary 4.4 yields the following.

Corollary 4.5 (Bivariate Hilbert series of on-shell invariants of an O_2 -symplectic quotient). *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where $n \geq 1$, each $\alpha_i > 0$, and $d \geq 0$, and let $V = V_{\alpha,d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ be the corresponding faithful representation of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the bivariate Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2, \text{on}}(s, t)$ of the real on-shell invariants of the corresponding symplectic quotient is given by*

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_{2n}) \rightarrow 2\alpha} \frac{1}{2(1-s)^d(1-t)^d} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^n \left(\frac{(1-s^{-c_{n+i}/c_i}t)^{-1}}{\alpha_i(1-s^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n F_1(\zeta, i, j)} + \frac{(1-st^{-c_i/c_{n+i}})^{-1}}{\alpha_i(1-t^2) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n F_2(\zeta, i, j)} \right) + \frac{1+st}{2(1-s^2)^n(1-t^2)^n(1+s)^d(1+t)^d},$$

where $F_1(\zeta, i, j)$ and $F_2(\zeta, i, j)$ are as defined in Corollary 4.4.

Adopting the convention that $\alpha_{n+i} := \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the univariate Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2, \text{on}}(t)$ of the real on-shell invariants of the symplectic quotient is given by

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{(c_1, \dots, c_{2n}) \rightarrow 2\alpha} \frac{1}{2(1-t)^{2d}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \zeta^{\alpha_i}=1}}^{2n} \frac{1}{\alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{2n} (1 - \zeta^{-\alpha_j} t^{(c_i - c_j)/c_i}) (1 - \zeta^{\alpha_j} t^{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)/\alpha_i})} + \frac{1+t^2}{2(1-t^2)^{2n}(1+t)^{2d}}.$$

The univariate Hilbert series of on-shell invariants can be obtained from the bivariate Hilbert series by taking the limit as $s \rightarrow t$. In particular, the singularities at $s = t$ are removable.

Remark 4.6. It will be helpful in Section 4.4 to observe the following. Using Equation (4.6) in Remark 4.3, Equation (4.9) can also be written

$$(4.11) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2,\text{on}}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2(1-s)^d(1-t)^d} \text{Hilb}_{(-\alpha,\alpha)}^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}(s,t) + \frac{1+st}{2(1-s^2)^n(1-t^2)^n(1+s)^d(1+t)^d},$$

where $\text{Hilb}_{(-\alpha,\alpha)}^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}(s,t)$ is the Hilbert series of the on-shell invariants of the symplectic quotient with weight vector $(-\alpha, \alpha)$ as in Corollary 3.13. Similarly, Equation (4.10) can be written

$$(4.12) \quad \text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d}^{\text{O}_2,\text{on}}(t) = \frac{1}{2(1-t)^{2d}} \text{Hilb}_{(-\alpha,\alpha)}^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}(t) + \frac{1+t^2}{2(1-t^2)^{2n}(1+t)^{2d}}.$$

4.4. The Laurent coefficients for symplectic quotients by $\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $V = V_{\alpha,d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ and W be representations of $\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with W irreducible as above. As in Section 3.3, we consider the Laurent coefficients $\gamma_m^{\text{O}_2}(\alpha, d; W)$ of $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d;W}^{\text{O}_2}(t)$ at $t = 1$ where $\gamma_0^{\text{O}_2}(\alpha, d; W)$ occurs in degree $1 - 2n - d$. If V contains no τ_{α_i} summands, i.e., α is empty, then $V = d \det$. Following the proof of Theorem 4.1, Equations (4.2) and (4.3), the Hilbert series is simply

$$\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d;W}^{\text{O}_2}(t) = \frac{2 - C_1}{2(1-t)^d} + \frac{C_2}{2(1+t)^d}$$

with C_1 and C_2 as defined in Theorem 4.1, and the Laurent expansion is given by

$$\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d;W}^{\text{O}_2}(t) = \frac{2 - C_1}{2(1-t)^d} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_2}{2^{d+m}} \binom{d+m-1}{m} (1-t)^m.$$

Hence, we will hereafter ignore this case and assume that V contains at least one τ_{α_i} summand, in which case we can assume by Remark 4.3 that V is faithful.

Using Equation (4.7) and the fact that the second term has pole order n , only the first term contributes to the first two Laurent coefficients of $\text{Hilb}_{\alpha,d;W}^{\text{O}_2}(t)$ except for small values of n . Considering these values by inspection, we have the following.

Corollary 4.7 (γ_0 and γ_1 for O_2 -covariants). *Let $V = V_{\alpha,d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ and W be representations of $\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with V faithful, $n \geq 1$, and W irreducible. Let $\beta = 0$ if W is \det or the trivial representation and otherwise let $W = \tau_{\beta}$. Then*

$$\gamma_0^{\text{O}_2}(\alpha, d; W) = \begin{cases} \frac{C_1 \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}((-\alpha, \alpha); \beta)}{2} + \frac{C_2}{4}, & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } d = 0, \\ \frac{C_1 \gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1}((-\alpha, \alpha); \beta)}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_1^{\text{O}_2}(\alpha, d; W) = \begin{cases} \frac{C_1 \gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}((-\alpha, \alpha); \beta)}{2} + \frac{C_2}{8}, & \text{if } n + d \leq 2, \\ \frac{C_1 \gamma_1^{\mathbb{S}^1}((-\alpha, \alpha); \beta)}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are as defined in Theorem 4.1.

In the same way, the following is a consequence of Equation (4.12) and inspection of low-dimensional cases.

Corollary 4.8 ($\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$, and γ_3 for on-shell invariants of O_2 -symplectic quotients). *Let $V = V_{\alpha,d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n+d}$ be a faithful representation of $\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$\gamma_0^{\text{O}_2,\text{on}}(\alpha, d) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}((-\alpha, \alpha))}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } d = 0, \\ \frac{\gamma_0^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}((-\alpha, \alpha))}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_1^{\text{O}_2,\text{on}}(\alpha, d) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\gamma_2^{\text{O}_2,\text{on}}(\alpha, d) = \gamma_3^{\text{O}_2,\text{on}}(\alpha, d) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_2^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}((-\alpha, \alpha))}{2} + \frac{1}{16}, & \text{if } n + d \leq 2, \\ \frac{\gamma_2^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}((-\alpha, \alpha))}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $m \leq 3$, the coefficients $\gamma_m^{\mathbb{S}^1,\text{on}}((-\alpha, \alpha))$ for \mathbb{S}^1 -symplectic quotients were computed in [34, Theorem 5.1].

5. OTHER SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS OF \mathbb{S}^1 BY FINITE GROUPS

The approach of Section 4 can be applied to other extensions of the circle by a finite group. As an example, consider the extension $\mathbb{S}^1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ where the elements of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ of order 4 act on \mathbb{S}^1 via $t \mapsto t^{-1}$ and the other elements act trivially. That is, if γ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, then the multiplication of $\mathbb{S}^1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$(z_1, \gamma^j)(z_2, \gamma^k) = (z_1 z_2^{(-1)^j}, \gamma^{j+k})$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The representation of $\mathbb{S}^1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ induced by the representation ϵ_a of the normal subgroup \mathbb{S}^1 is denoted $\nu_a: \mathbb{S}^1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow U_4$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned} (z, 1) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z^{-a} \end{pmatrix}, & (z, \gamma) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z^a \\ z^{-a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (z, \gamma^2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & z^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z^{-a} \\ z^a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-a} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & (z, \gamma^3) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & z^a \\ z^{-a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

For simplicity, we consider a representation of the form $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \nu_{a_i}$ where each $a_i > 0$. The maximally graded Hilbert series of the invariants can be computed using the same methods as in Theorem 4.1. Specifically, for the connected components corresponding to elements of the form (z, γ) and (z, γ^3) , each integral in the Molien-Weyl Theorem is simply

$$\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{dz}{z \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i^4)} = \frac{1}{4 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i^4)}.$$

For the connected component associated to elements of the form $(z, 1)$, the integral is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{dz}{z \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i z^{a_i})^2 (1 - t_i z^{-a_i})^2}.$$

Choosing an i and an a_i th root of unity ζ_0 and rewriting the integrand as

$$\frac{z^{2a_i-1}}{(1 - t_i z^{a_i})^2 (z - \zeta_0 t_i^{1/a_i})^2 \prod_{\substack{\zeta^{a_i}=1 \\ \zeta \neq \zeta_0}} (z - \zeta t_i^{1/a_i})^2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - t_j z^{a_j})^2 (1 - t_j z^{-a_j})^2},$$

the residue at $z = \zeta_0 t_i^{1/a_i}$ is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{z^{2a_i-1}}{(1 - t_i z^{a_i})^2 \prod_{\substack{\zeta^{a_i}=1 \\ \zeta \neq \zeta_0}} (z - \zeta t_i^{1/a_i})^2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - t_j z^{a_j})^2 (1 - t_j z^{-a_j})^2} \right) \right|_{z=\zeta_0 t_i^{1/a_i}}.$$

For the connected component associated to elements of the form (z, γ^2) , the integral is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{dz}{z \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i z^{-a_i})(1 + t_i z^{-a_i})(1 - t_i z^{a_i})(1 + t_i z^{a_i})}.$$

Rewriting the integrand as

$$\frac{z^{2a_i-1}}{(z^{a_i} - t_i)(z^{a_i} + t_i)(1 - t_i z^{a_i})(1 + t_i z^{a_i}) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - t_j z^{-a_j})(1 + t_j z^{-a_j})(1 - t_j z^{a_j})(1 + t_j z^{a_j})}$$

and choosing an a_i th root of unity ζ_0 , the residue at a pole of the form $z = \zeta_0 t_i^{1/a_i}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2a_i(1 - t_i^4) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta_0^{-a_j} t_i^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta_0^{-a_j} t_i^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 - \zeta_0^{a_j} t_i^{a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta_0^{a_j} t_i^{a_j/a_i} t_j)},$$

and the residue at a pole of the form $z = \zeta_0(-t_i)^{1/a_i}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2a_i(1 - t_i^4) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta_0^{-a_j} (-t_i)^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta_0^{-a_j} (-t_i)^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 - \zeta_0^{a_j} (-t_i)^{a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta_0^{a_j} (-t_i)^{a_j/a_i} t_j)}.$$

Hence the maximally graded Hilbert series of the invariants of the representation $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \nu_{a_i}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i^4)} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i^4 (1 - t_i^2)^4} \sum_{\zeta^{a_i}=1} \frac{(2a_i - 1)D_i - (\zeta t_i^{1/a_i})^{3-2a_i} D'_i}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t_i^{a_j/a_i} t_j)^4 (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t_i^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)^4} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{8a_i(1 - t_i^4)} \sum_{\zeta^{a_i}=1} \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t_i^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta^{-a_j} t_i^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 - \zeta^{a_j} t_i^{a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta^{a_j} t_i^{a_j/a_i} t_j)} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} (-t_i)^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta^{-a_j} (-t_i)^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 - \zeta^{a_j} (-t_i)^{a_j/a_i} t_j)(1 + \zeta^{a_j} (-t_i)^{a_j/a_i} t_j)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_i = a_i^2 (1 - t_i^2)^2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - \zeta^{a_j} t_i^{a_j/a_i} t_j)^2 (1 - \zeta^{-a_j} t_i^{-a_j/a_i} t_j)^2,$$

and

$$D'_i = \frac{d}{dz} \left((1 - t_i z^{a_i})^2 \prod_{\substack{\eta^{a_i}=1 \\ \eta \neq \zeta}} (z - \eta t_i^{1/a_i})^2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - t_j z^{a_j})^2 (1 - t_j z^{-a_j})^2 \right) \Big|_{z=\zeta t_i^{1/a_i}}.$$

One could also compute the first few Laurent coefficients of the corresponding univariate Hilbert series using the techniques of Section 3.3 and 4.4, though it is clear that the level of complexity increases considerably.

6. ALGORITHMS TO COMPUTE THE HILBERT SERIES

The formulas given in Theorems 3.2 and 4.1 and Corollaries 3.9, 3.10, 3.12, 3.13, 4.2, 4.4, and 4.5 each indicate an algorithm for the computation of the corresponding Hilbert series very similar to the algorithms described in [36, Section 4] and [19, Section 4]; see also [20, Section 6] and [30, Section 3.3]. We give a brief description of this algorithm for the case of Theorem 3.2, as the others are the same with minor modifications, and refer the reader to the above references for more details.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a > 0$. For a formal power series $F(\mathbf{t})$ in the variables $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, let $U_{a,i}$ denote the operator

$$U_{a,i}F(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\zeta^a=1} F(t_1, \dots, \zeta t_i^{1/a}, \dots, t_n).$$

Let $\mathbf{t}_i = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$, and note that if $F(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} c_d(\mathbf{t}_i) t_i^d$, then

$$(6.1) \quad U_{a,i}F(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} c_{ad}(\mathbf{t}_i) t_i^d.$$

Using this operator, the first sum in Equation (3.1) can be rewritten as $\sum_{i=1}^k U_{\alpha_i, i} \Phi_i(\mathbf{t})$ where

$$\Phi_i(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{t_i^b}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - t_j t_i^{\alpha_j})}.$$

The function $\Phi_i(\mathbf{t})$ can be written as $P(\mathbf{t})/Q(\mathbf{t})$ where $P(\mathbf{t})$ is a Laurent monomial in \mathbf{t} and $Q(\mathbf{t})$ is a product of factors of the form $(1 - t_j^p t_i^q)$ with $q > 0$. Then $U_{\alpha_i, i}(P(\mathbf{t})/Q(\mathbf{t}))$ is a rational function with denominator given by the replacement rule

$$(1 - t_j^p t_i^q) \mapsto (1 - t_j^{\alpha_i p / \gcd(\alpha_i, q)} t_i^{q / \gcd(\alpha_i, q)})^{\gcd(\alpha_i, q)}$$

applied to $Q(\mathbf{t})$. The degrees of the numerator and denominator of $U_{\alpha_i, i}(P(\mathbf{t})/Q(\mathbf{t}))$ can be computed using [30, Equation (16)], so that knowing the denominator and the degree of the numerator, the numerator of $U_{\alpha_i, i}(P(\mathbf{t})/Q(\mathbf{t}))$ can be computed using Equation (6.1). This yields a computation of the first sum in Equation (3.1), and the second sum can be computed directly by searching through the finite set of possible elements of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, b}$ as defined in Equation (3.2), e.g., by using the `FrobeniusSolve` function on *Mathematica* [53]. However, as noted in Remark 3.4, one can always reduce to a case where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, b}$ is empty so that the second sum vanishes.

These algorithms have been implemented on *Mathematica* and are available from the authors upon request.

REFERENCES

1. Ainhoa Aparicio Monforte and Manuel Kauers, *Formal Laurent series in several variables*, Expo. Math. **31** (2013), no. 4, 350–367.
2. Judith M. Arms, Mark J. Gotay, and George Jennings, *Geometric and algebraic reduction for singular momentum maps*, Adv. Math. **79** (1990), no. 1, 43–103.
3. Jiakang Bao, Amihay Hanany, Yang-Hui He, and Edward Hirst, *Some open questions in quiver gauge theory*, Proyecciones (Antofagasta, On line) **41** (2022), no. 2, 355–386.
4. Leonid Bedratyuk, *The Poincaré series for the algebra of covariants of a binary form*, Int. J. Algebra **4** (2010), no. 25, 1201–1207.
5. ———, *Weitzenböck derivations and classical invariant theory: I. Poincaré series*, Serdica Math. J. **36** (2010), no. 2, 99–120.
6. ———, *Bivariate Poincaré series for the algebra of covariants of a binary form*, ISRN Algebra (2011), Art. ID 312789, 11.
7. ———, *Poincaré series of the multigraded algebras of SL_2 -invariants*, Ukrainian Math. J. **63** (2011), no. 6, 880–890.
8. Leonid Bedratyuk and Lyubomyr Bedratyuk, *Multivariate Poincaré series for algebras of SL_2 -invariants*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **64** (2011), no. 6, 807–814.
9. Leonid Bedratyuk and Nadia Ilash, *The degree of the algebra of covariants of a binary form*, J. Commut. Algebra **7** (2015), no. 4, 459–472.
10. Leonid Bedratyuk and Guoce Xin, *MacMahon partition analysis and the Poincaré series of the algebras of invariants of ternary and quaternary forms*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **59** (2011), no. 7, 789–799.
11. Michel Brion, *Invariants de plusieurs formes binaires*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** (1982), no. 4, 429–445.
12. Bram Broer, *On the generating functions associated to a system of binary forms*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **1** (1990), no. 1, 15–25.
13. ———, *Classification of Cohen-Macaulay modules of covariants for systems of binary forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), no. 1, 37–45.
14. ———, *Hilbert series for modules of covariants*, Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Classical Methods (University Park, PA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 321–331.
15. ———, *A new method for calculating Hilbert series*, J. Algebra **168** (1994), no. 1, 43–70.
16. Joshua Cape, Hans-Christian Herbig, and Christopher Seaton, *Symplectic reduction at zero angular momentum*, J. Geom. Mech. **8** (2016), no. 1, 13–34.

17. Tristan C. Collins and Gábor Székelyhidi, *K-semistability for irregular Sasakian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **109** (2018), no. 1, 81–109.
18. ———, *Sasaki-Einstein metrics and K-stability*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), no. 3, 1339–1413.
19. L. Emily Cowie, Hans-Christian Herbig, Daniel Herden, and Christopher Seaton, *The Hilbert series and a-invariant of circle invariants*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **223** (2019), 395–421.
20. Pedro de Carvalho Cayres Pinto, Hans-Christian Herbig, Daniel Herden, and Christopher Seaton, *The Hilbert series of class SL_2 -invariants*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **22** (2020), no. 7, 1950017, 38.
21. Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper, *Computational invariant theory*, enlarged ed., Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 130, Springer, Heidelberg, 2015, With two appendices by Vladimir L. Popov, and an addendum by Norbert A’Campo and Popov, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VIII.
22. Carla Farsi, Hans-Christian Herbig, and Christopher Seaton, *On orbifold criteria for symplectic toric quotients*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **9** (2013), Paper 032, 33 pp.
23. Bo Feng, Amihay Hanany, and Yang-Hui He, *Counting gauge invariants: the plethystic program*, J. High Energy Phys. (2007), no. 3, 090, 42.
24. Michael Forger, *Invariant polynomials and Molien functions*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), no. 2, 1107–1141.
25. Ira M. Gessel, *Generating functions and generalized Dedekind sums*, Electron. J. Combin. **4** (1997), Paper #R11, 17 pp., The Wilf Festschrift (Philadelphia, PA, 1996).
26. Amihay Hanany, Noppadol Mekareeya, and Shlomo S. Razamat, *Hilbert series for moduli spaces of two instantons*, J. High Energy Phys. (2013), no. 1, 070, front matter + 48.
27. Hans-Christian Herbig, Daniel Herden, and Christopher Seaton, *On compositions with $x^2/(1-x)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), 4583–4596.
28. ———, *Hilbert series associated to symplectic quotients by SU_2* , Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **30** (2020), no. 7, 1323–1357.
29. ———, *The Laurent coefficients of the Hilbert series of a Gorenstein algebra*, Exp. Math. **30** (2021), no. 1, 56–75.
30. ———, *Hilbert series of symplectic quotients by the 2-torus*, to appear in Collect. Math. (2022).
31. Hans-Christian Herbig, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Markus J. Pflaum, *On the existence of star products on quotient spaces of linear Hamiltonian torus actions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **89** (2009), 101–113.
32. Hans-Christian Herbig, Ethan Lawler, and Christopher Seaton, *Constructing symplectomorphisms between symplectic torus quotients*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **61** (2020), no. 4, 581–604.
33. Hans-Christian Herbig and Gerald W. Schwarz, *The Koszul complex of a moment map*, J. Symplectic Geom. **11** (2013), 497–508.
34. Hans-Christian Herbig, Gerald W. Schwarz, and Christopher Seaton, *When is a symplectic quotient an orbifold?*, Adv. Math. **280** (2015), 208–224.
35. ———, *Symplectic quotients have symplectic singularities*, Compos. Math. **156** (2020), no. 3, 613–646.
36. Hans-Christian Herbig and Christopher Seaton, *The Hilbert series of a linear symplectic circle quotient*, Exp. Math. **23** (2014), 46–65.
37. ———, *An impossibility theorem for linear symplectic circle quotients*, Rep. Math. Phys. **75** (2015), 303–331.
38. David Hilbert, *Ueber die vollen Invariantensysteme*, Math. Ann. **42** (1893), no. 3, 313–373.
39. Nadia Iash, *The Poincaré series for the algebras of joint invariants and covariants of n linear forms*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **68** (2015), no. 6, 715–724.
40. ———, *Poincaré series for the algebras of joint invariants and covariants of n quadratic forms*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **9** (2017), no. 1, 57–62.
41. Andrew Knightly and Charles Li, *Traces of Hecke operators*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 133, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
42. P. Littelmann and C. Procesi, *On the Poincaré series of the invariants of binary forms*, J. Algebra **133** (1990), no. 2, 490–499.
43. Ian G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences, with contribution by A. V. Zelevinsky and a foreword by Richard Stanley, reprint of the 2008 paperback edition.
44. Marc R. Palm, *Explicit $gl(2)$ trace formulas and uniform, mixed Weyl laws*, 2012, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Georg-August-Universi” at Göttingen.
45. V. L. Popov, *Groups, generators, syzygies, and orbits in invariant theory*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992, Translated from the Russian by A. Martsinkovsky.
46. V. L. Popov and È. B. Vinberg, *Invariant theory*, Algebraic Geometry IV, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 123–278.
47. Bruce E. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 203, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
48. Gerald W. Schwarz, *Lifting smooth homotopies of orbit spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1980), no. 51, 37–135.
49. Richard P. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Advances in Math. **28** (1978), no. 1, 57–83.
50. ———, *Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1979), no. 3, 475–511.
51. ———, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 41, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
52. Bernd Sturmfels, *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*, Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1993.
53. Wolfram Research, Inc., MATHEMATICA Edition: Version 12.1, (2020), <http://www.wolfram.com/mathematica/>.

1090 VERMONT AVE NW SUITE 900, WASHINGTON, DC, 20005, USA

Email address: austin.barringer@yahoo.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, AV. ATHOS DA SILVEIRA RAMOS 149, CENTRO DE TECNOLOGIA - BLOCO C, CEP: 21941-909 - RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL

Email address: herbigc@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, SID RICHARDSON BUILDING, 1410 S.4TH STREET, WACO, TX 76706, USA

Email address: daniel.herden@baylor.edu

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, 191 W WOODRUFF AVE, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA

Email address: khalid.42@buckeyemail.osu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RHODES COLLEGE, 2000 N. PARKWAY, MEMPHIS, TN 38112, USA

Email address: seatonc@rhodes.edu

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTH AVE NW, ATLANTA, GA 30332

Email address: jlwalker708@gmail.com