

UNTWISTED GAIOTTO EQUIVALENCE

ROMAN TRAVKIN AND RUOTAO YANG

ABSTRACT. This is a successive paper of [BFGT]. We prove an equivalence between the category of finite-dimensional representations of degenerate supergroup $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)$ and the category of $(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N . We also prove that we can realize the category of finite-dimensional representations of degenerate supergroup $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)$ as a category of D-modules on the mirabolic subgroup $\mathrm{Mir}_L(\mathbf{F})$ with certain equivariant conditions for any L bigger than N and M .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Notations	2
1.2. Reminder on mirabolic Satake equivalence	2
1.3. Untwisted Gaiotto conjecture in the case $M = N - 1$	3
1.4. Untwisted Gaiotto conjecture in general case	3
1.5. Strategy of the proof	4
1.6. Symmetric definition of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$	5
1.7. Iwahori version of the conjecture	5
Acknowledgements	5
2. Coherent side	5
2.1. Degenerate supergroup	5
2.2. Symmetric algebra realization	6
2.3. Nilpotent support	6
2.4. Convolution	7
2.5. Endofunctor of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M N})$	8
2.6. Tensor product of categories of representations	10
3. D-module side	11
3.1. Definition of D-modules on ind-pro-schemes	11
3.2. Strong G -invariants	12
3.3. Locally compact objects and renormalization	12
3.4. Conventions	15
3.5. Convolution monoidal structure of $C_{M M}$	16
3.6. The second monoidal structure	16
3.7. Left action of $C_{M M}$	17
3.8. Right action on $C_{M-1 M}$	18
3.9. Tensor product of Gaiotto categories	18
3.10. Mirabolic equivariant category	19
3.11. Fourier transform on Gaiotto category	21
4. Compatibility of actions	23
4.1. Colimit description of monoidal structures	26
4.2. Compatibility of left actions	27
4.3. Proof of the main theorem	28
4.4. Restricted equivalence	28
5. A symmetric definition of $C_{M N}$	30
5.1. Definition	30
5.2. Examples	31

5.3. Independence of s, r	31
5.4. Independence of L	32
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Notations. We denote by $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ the field of Laurent series and by $\mathbf{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ the ring of formal power series. Given a group scheme G , we denote by $G(\mathbf{F})$ the loop group of G such that \mathbb{C} -points of $G(\mathbf{F})$ are given by $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -points of G , and denote by $G(\mathbf{O})$ the arc group of G such that \mathbb{C} -points of $G(\mathbf{O})$ are given by $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -points of G . Set $\mathbf{Gr}_G := G(\mathbf{F})/G(\mathbf{O})$ the affine Grassmannian of G . It is known that \mathbf{Gr}_G is a formally smooth ind-scheme.

Assume $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$. In this case, $\mathbf{Gr}_N := \mathbf{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}$ admits a left action of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ by multiplication. In particular, any subgroup H of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ acts on \mathbf{Gr}_N , and we can consider the corresponding derived equivariant category. Denote by $D^H(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ the DG-category of left H -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N .

1.2. Reminder on mirabolic Satake equivalence. Set $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])$ the symmetric DG-algebra generated by $\mathfrak{gl}_N := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{GL}_N)$, such that the generator is placed in degree 2 and the differential is 0. A famous result (*derived Satake equivalence*) of [BF] says that there is an equivalence between the bounded derived category of locally compact $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N and the derived category of perfect GL_N -equivariant modules over $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])$. Namely,

$$D^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N) \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])).$$

Here, *locally compact* means compact when regarded as a plain D-module on \mathbf{Gr}_N .

In [FGT] and [BFGT], the authors considered the mirabolic version of the above equivalence. On the D-module side in the mirabolic case, instead of considering $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on the affine Grassmannian \mathbf{Gr}_N , we need to consider $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on the mirabolic affine Grassmannian $\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N$. Here, the action of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$ is given by the diagonal action on $\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N$.

The definition of the mirabolic Satake category $D^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$ needs to be taken care of since any compact object (also, locally compact object) of the mirabolic Satake category is supported on an infinite-dimensional space. Indeed, any $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$ -orbit in $\mathbf{Gr}_N \times (\mathbf{F}^N - 0)$ is infinite-dimensional.

The authors of [BFGT] defined three different versions of the mirabolic Satake category: $D_{!}^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$, $D_{*}^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$, and $D_{!*}^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$, according to different renormalizations. They are equipped with monoidal structures $*$, \otimes and fusion product, respectively. Roughly speaking, $*$ is given by the convolution product and \otimes is a combination of the convolution product on \mathbf{Gr}_N and the tensor product on \mathbf{F}^N . For more details of the definitions, see Section 3.1.

In order to introduce the coherent side of the mirabolic Satake equivalence, we should introduce a notion of degenerate Lie supergroup.

Set $\mathbb{C}^{N|N}$ the super vector space with even vector space \mathbb{C}^N and odd vector space \mathbb{C}^N . We denote by $\mathfrak{gl}(N|N)$ the Lie superalgebra of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{N|N}$. One can define a degenerate version of the (super) Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{gl}(N|N)$ where the supercommutator of an even element with any element is the same as in $\mathfrak{gl}(N|N)$, but the supercommutator of any two odd elements is zero. The resulting Lie superalgebra is denoted by $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(N|N)$, and the corresponding Lie supergroup is denoted by $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N)$.

It is proved in [BFGT] that $D_{!*}^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N))$, the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional representations of $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N)$.

This equivalence is t -exact with respect to the natural t -structure of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N))$ with the heart $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N))^\heartsuit$ and the perverse t -structure of $D_{!*}^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$. Furthermore, it

is an equivalence of monoidal categories with respect to the fusion product on $D_{!*}^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$ and the tensor product on $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N))$.

Similar to the case of the classical Satake category, the category of finite-dimensional modules over the degenerate Lie supergroup admits a Koszul dual realization $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N})$, where $\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}$ is a DG-algebra on an affine space with an action of $\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N$. If we forget the degrees, $\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}$ is isomorphic to functions on $\mathfrak{gl}_N \times \mathfrak{gl}_N$. By imposing different gradings, there are three different versions of the symmetric algebras $\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{2,0}, \mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{1,1}, \mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{0,2}$.

In Section 2.4, we will recall two monoidal structures $\overset{A}{\star}$ and $\overset{B}{\star}$ of $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N})$ which are defined in [BFGT, Section 3.2].

The following Theorem is proved in [BFGT].

Theorem 1.2.1. *There are monoidal equivalences of DG-categories,*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N), \otimes) &\simeq (D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{2,0}), \overset{A}{\star}), \\ (D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N), *) &\simeq (D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{0,2}), \overset{B}{\star}). \end{aligned}$$

which commute with left and right convolutions with $\mathrm{Perv}_{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_N) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}_N)^\heartsuit$.

The above equivalences are also known as the untwisted Gaiotto conjecture in the case of $N = M$.

1.3. Untwisted Gaiotto conjecture in the case $M = N - 1$. We denote by $\mathfrak{gl}(N - 1|N)$ the Lie superalgebra of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{N-1|N}$ and by $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(N - 1|N)$ the degenerate version of it. It is proved in [BFGT, Theorem 4.1.1] that there is an equivalence between the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional representations of $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N - 1|N)$ and the category of locally compact $\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N .

This equivalence is t -exact with respect to the naive t -structure of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N - 1|N))$ with the heart $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N - 1|N))^\heartsuit$ and the perverse t -structure of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$.

Note that $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N - 1|N))$ admits a left action of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}_{N-1})$ and a right action of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}_N)$, $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ admits a left action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{N-1})$ and a right action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. It is also proved in [BFGT, Theorem 4.1.1] that the equivalence between $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N - 1|N))$ and $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ is compatible with respect to the above actions via classical Satake equivalence.

Similar to the case $N = M$, the category of finite-dimensional modules over the degenerate Lie supergroup admits a Koszul realization $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N-1|N})$. So [BFGT, Theorem 4.1.1] can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3.1. *There is an equivalence of categories,*

$$(1.2) \quad D^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N) \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N-1|N}^{2,0}).$$

This equivalence is deduced in [BFGT] from the mirabolic Satake equivalence recalled in §1.2. We can present $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N - 1|N))$ as a colimit of a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(N|N))$ with the transition functor being tensoring with the determinant module. On the D-module side, $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ admits a colimit presentation given by $D^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times (\mathbf{O}^N \setminus t\mathbf{O}^N))$ that is a full subcategory of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c.}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$. It is proved in *loc.cit.* that there exists an equivalence between these two full subcategories and the equivalence is compatible with taking colimits.

1.4. Untwisted Gaiotto conjecture in general case. In order to state the general untwisted Gaiotto conjecture, we need to introduce some notations.

Given a character $\chi : H' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, we denote by $D^{H', \chi}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ the category of $(H', \chi^!(\exp))$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N . Here, \exp is the exponential D-module on \mathbb{A}^1 .

Assuming $M < N$, we denote by $P_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ corresponding to the partition $(M + 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, and denote by $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ the unipotent radical of $P_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$.

There is a group morphism from $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ to \mathbf{F} which sends $(u_{i,j}) \in U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ to $\sum_i u_{i,i+1}$. We compose this group morphism with taking residue

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{F} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \sum a_i t^i &\mapsto a_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting morphism is a character of $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ denoted by $\chi_{M,N}$.

The conjugation action of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ on $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ preserves $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$. Furthermore, the character $\chi_{M,N}$ is stable under the conjugation action. Hence, $\chi_{M,N}$ gives a character on

$$(1.4) \quad \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}) = \left(\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) & \begin{matrix} 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 1 & * & \cdots & * \end{matrix} \\ 0 & \begin{matrix} 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 1 & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \right\} M \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \right\} N - M \end{matrix}.$$

Note that there is a forgetful functor from $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ to $D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. We denote by $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$ the full subcategory of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ generated by the preimage of compact objects of $D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$.

In this paper, we will prove the following Gaiotto conjecture:

Theorem 1.4.1. *Assume that $N > M$. Then, the category $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$ is equivalent to the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional modules over the degenerate supergroup $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)$.*

We will also establish an equivalence about the category of compact objects of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. It corresponds to a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$ with a nilpotent support condition, see Theorem 4.4.1.

1.5. Strategy of the proof. Fix N , the proof of Theorem 1.4.1 proceeds by descending induction in M . In §2.4 and §3.5, we will recall the monoidal structures of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ and of the category of modules over $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|M)$. Furthermore, we will see that these categories act on $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$ and the category of modules over $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)$ respectively.

Hence, we can divide the proof of Theorem 1.4.1 into three steps.

The first step: we present $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M-1|N))$ as a colimit of subcategories. Namely, we show that $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M-1|N))$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M-1|M))$ and $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$ by taking tensor product and colimits. It is proved in Proposition 2.6.1.

The second step: in §3, we present $(D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M-1,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}))^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$ as a colimit of subcategories. We prove an analog of Proposition 2.6.1 in D-module side. Namely, we prove that there is an equivalence between the category $(D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M-1,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}))^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$ and the tensor product of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_M})$ and $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}))^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$ over the mirabolic Satake category of rank M . This step is the key point of the proof, and we will work it out using the Fourier transform. It is proved in Theorem 3.9.1.

In §4, to finish the proof, we only need to compare two tensor products of categories. We only need to show that the equivalence [BFGT, Theorem 4.1.1] $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_M}) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M-1|M))$ is compatible with the actions of the mirabolic Satake categories (Proposition 4.0.1). To prove this statement, we will use colimit presentations of the mirabolic Satake category of rank $M-1$ and the category of finite-dimensional modules over $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M-1|M-1)$. Then the proof can be obtained from the monoidal structure of the equivalence of Theorem 1.2.1.

Using a similar method, we can prove Theorem 4.4.1.

1.6. Symmetric definition of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. In the statement of Theorem 1.4.1 and 4.4.1, we need to require $M < N$: otherwise, the subgroup $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ makes no sense.

However, the supergroup $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)$ is well-defined for any N and M . Hence, we hope to find a good definition of the corresponding category on the D-module side which does not need to require $M < N$.

We can fix this problem by choosing an integer L which is strictly bigger than N and M , and define a category of D-modules on the mirabolic subgroup $\mathrm{Mir}_L(\mathbf{F})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_L(\mathbf{F})$ with certain equivariant conditions. To be more precise, we can define $C_{M,N,L}$ to be the category of D-modules on $\mathrm{Mir}_L(K)$ that are left $(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,L}, \chi_{M,L})$ -equivariant and right $(\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{N,L}, \chi_{N,L})$ -equivariant.

In §5, we prove that the resulting category $C_{M,N,L}$ is independent of the choice of L and is equivalent to the category of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ if $M < N$ and $D^{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_N \times \mathbf{F}^N)$ if $M = N$.

1.7. Iwahori version of the conjecture. Let I_N be the Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$. In [B], the author proved that there is an equivalence between $D^{I_N}(\mathbf{Fl}_N)$ and the derived category of GL_N -equivariant D-modules on the DG version Steinberg variety. Motivated by this result, [BFGT] proposed the mirabolic version of Bezrukavnikov's equivalence, and [BFT] proposed the orthosymplectic version of it.

Mimicking [BFGT, Conjecture 1.4.1], we propose the following conjecture.

For $M < N$, let V_1 be a M -dimensional vector space and V_2 be a N -dimensional vector space. Let $\mathcal{F}_i, i = 1, 2$ denote the variety of complete flags in V_i .

We let $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{Mir}, M, N}$ be the subvariety of $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)[1] \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)[1] \times \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$, cut out by the condition that $(A, B, F_1 = (F_1^{(1)} \subset F_1^{(2)} \dots \subset F_1^{(M)} = V_1), F_2 = (F_2^{(1)} \subset F_2^{(2)} \dots \subset F_2^{(N)} = V_2))$ belongs to $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{Mir}, M, N}$ if and only if $AB(F_2^{(i)}) \subset F_2^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and $BA(F_1^{(j)}) \subset F_1^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, M$.

Let $D^{I_M \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Fl}_N)$ be the derived category of $(I_M \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant sheaves on \mathbf{Fl}_N . We propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.7.1. *There is an equivalence of categories,*

$$(1.5) \quad D^{I_M \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Fl}_N) \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{Mir}, M, N}).$$

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Michael Finkelberg and Alexander Braverman for very helpful discussions and generous help in writing this paper. We also thank M. Finkelberg for constant support and encouragement during our working on this project, and A. Braverman for communicating to us the conjecture by D. Gaiotto. This paper belongs to a long series of papers about mirabolic Hecke algebras and later about their categorification, with Victor Ginzburg and Michael Finkelberg. We are grateful to them for sharing many ideas. Finally, we are thankful to Dennis Gaitsgory for teaching us a lot of techniques of the geometric Langlands theory.

2. COHERENT SIDE

2.1. Degenerate supergroup. [BFGT] introduces the notion of degenerate Lie superalgebra $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(M|N)$. By definition, the underlying super vector space of $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(M|N)$ is the same as the underlying super vector space of $\mathfrak{gl}(M|N)$, and the supercommutators of even elements with any element in $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(M|N)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(M|N)$ are same. But the supercommutators of any two odd elements in $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(M|N)$ are set to be zero.

The bounded derived category of finite-dimensional representations of the corresponding supergroup $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$.

2.2. Symmetric algebra realization. Assume that V_1 is a M -dimensional vector space with the base e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M and V_2 is a N -dimensional vector space. Set $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1} := \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)[-1]) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)[-1]) = \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(M|N)_1[-1])$.

Let us denote by $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{loc.fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$ the ind-completion of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$. More precisely, the category $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{loc.fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$ is the unbounded derived category of locally finite representations of $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(M|N)$, and any object of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{loc.fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$ is a filtered colimit of objects in $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N))$.

By the Koszul duality, we have a monoidal equivalence

$$(2.1) \quad \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)) \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1}).$$

Here $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1})$ denotes the bounded derived category of $\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}$ -invariants perfect modules over $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1}$.

Remark 2.2.1. The above equivalence induces an equivalence of their ind-completions

$$(2.2) \quad \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{loc.fin}}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(M|N)) \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1}) \simeq \mathrm{Ind}(D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1})).$$

Here $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1})$ denotes the derived category of $\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}$ -invariants modules over $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1}$.

In addition to $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1}$, we can consider the symmetric algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0} &:= \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)[-2]) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)), \\ \mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{0,2} &:= \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)[-2]). \end{aligned}$$

The categories of modules over $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}$, $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{1,1}$, and $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{0,2}$ are equivalent via the functors of changing cohomological degrees. These equivalences are compatible with $\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}$ -actions but are not monoidal with respect to the convolution products which are defined in the next section.

Remark 2.2.2. If we ignore the cohomological degree, then the categories $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{i,2-i})$ is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{IndCoh}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1))$ via identifying $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)^*$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)^*$.

Sometimes, in order to indicate the vector spaces used in the definition, we will denote $\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{i,2-i}$ by $\mathfrak{B}_{V_1|V_2}^{i,2-i}$.

Remark 2.2.3. One can show that for any other choice V'_1, V'_2 of vector spaces of the same dimensions M, N , there is a *canonical* equivalence

$$D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{V_1|V_2}^{i,2-i}) \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V'_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V'_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{V'_1|V'_2}^{i,2-i}) \quad ((i, 2-i) \in \{(0, 2), (1, 1), (2, 0)\}).$$

(Due to the $(\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2))$ -equivariance, it is independent of the choice of isomorphisms $V_1 \simeq V'_1, V_2 \simeq V'_2$.)

2.3. Nilpotent support. We introduce a nilpotent cone $\mathrm{Nilp}_{V_1|V_2}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)$. We denote by

$$(2.3) \quad q : \mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(V_2)$$

the morphism sending $A_{1,2}, A_{2,1} \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)$ to $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} \in \mathrm{End}(V_2)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Nilp}_{V_1|V_2}$ the subscheme of $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1)$ such that the image $A_{1,2}A_{2,1}$ is nilpotent (equivalently, $A_{2,1}A_{1,2} \in \mathrm{End}(V_1)$ is nilpotent).

We denote by $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{i,2-i})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$ (resp. $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{i,2-i})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$) the full subcategory of $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{i,2-i})$ (resp. $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{V_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{i,2-i})$) spanned by the objects whose cohomology set-theoretically supported on $\mathrm{Nilp}_{V_1|V_2}$.

2.4. Convolution. In this section, let us recall the definitions of the convolution products given in [BFGT, Section 3.4].

Let us denote by \mathcal{Q}_A (resp. \mathcal{Q}_B) the closed subvariety in $\mathcal{H} := \text{Hom}(W_1, W_2) \times \text{Hom}(W_2, W_1) \times \text{Hom}(W_2, W_3) \times \text{Hom}(W_3, W_2) \times \text{Hom}(W_3, W_1) \times \text{Hom}(W_1, W_3)$, such that, for any point

$$(A_{1,2}, A_{2,1}, A_{2,3}, A_{3,2}, A_{3,1}, A_{1,3}) \in \mathcal{Q}_A,$$

we have

$$(2.4) \quad A_{1,3} = A_{2,3}A_{1,2}, A_{2,1} = A_{3,1}A_{2,3}, \text{ and } A_{3,2} = A_{1,2}A_{3,1}.$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\text{resp. } A_{3,1} = A_{2,1}A_{3,2}, A_{1,2} = A_{3,3}A_{1,3}, \text{ and } A_{2,3} = A_{1,3}A_{2,1}.)$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{Q}_A & \\ p_{1,2} \swarrow & & \searrow p_{2,3} \\ \text{Hom}(W_1, W_2) \times \text{Hom}(W_2, W_1) & & \text{Hom}(W_2, W_3) \times \text{Hom}(W_3, W_2) \\ & \downarrow p_{1,3} & \\ & \text{Hom}(W_1, W_3) \times \text{Hom}(W_3, W_1) & \end{array}$$

In [BFGT], the authors set convolution products

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \star^A : D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{2,0}) \times D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0}) &\longrightarrow D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0}) \\ M_{1,2} \star^A M_{2,3} &:= p_{1,3,*}(p_{1,2}^* M_{1,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]_{2,0}^\bullet} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_A]_{2,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]_{2,0}^\bullet} p_{2,3}^* M_{2,3})^{\text{GL}_{W_2}}, \\ \star^B : D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{0,2}) \times D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{0,2}) &\longrightarrow D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{0,2}) \\ M_{1,2} \star^B M_{2,3} &:= p_{1,3,*}(p_{1,2}^* M_{1,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]_{0,2}^\bullet} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_B]_{0,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]_{0,2}^\bullet} p_{2,3}^* M_{2,3})^{\text{GL}_{W_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]_{2,0}^\bullet &= \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_1)[-2]) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_3, W_2)) \\ &\quad \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3)[-2]) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_1, W_3)) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_3, W_1)[-2]), \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]_{0,2}^\bullet &= \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2))[-2] \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_1)) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_3, W_2))[-2] \\ &\quad \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3)) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_1, W_3))[-2] \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_3, W_1)), \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_A]_{2,0} &:= \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3)[-2]) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_3, W_1)[-2]), \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_B]_{0,2} &:= \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_1)) \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_3, W_2))[-2] \otimes \text{Sym}(\text{Hom}(W_1, W_3))[-2]. \end{aligned}$$

The operation \star^A (resp. \star^B) gives $D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{2,0})$ (resp. $D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{0,2})$) a monoidal category structure if W_1 and W_2 are of the same dimensions, and the resulting monoidal category can act on $D^{\text{GL}_{W_3} \times \text{GL}_{W_1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_3|W_1}^{2,0})$ (resp. $D^{\text{GL}_{W_3} \times \text{GL}_{W_1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_3|W_1}^{0,2})$) from right, and act on $D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0})$ (resp. $D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{0,2})$) from left for any finite-dimensional vector space W_3 .

Remark 2.4.1. By construction, the convolution products induce the followings functors

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \star^A : D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{2,0}) \times D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}} &\longrightarrow D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}, \\ \star^A : D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}} \times D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0}) &\longrightarrow D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}, \\ \star^B : D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{0,2}) \times D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{0,2})_{\text{Nilp}} &\longrightarrow D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{0,2})_{\text{Nilp}}, \\ \star^B : D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{0,2})_{\text{Nilp}} \times D^{\text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{0,2}) &\longrightarrow D^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{0,2})_{\text{Nilp}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4.2. The convolution products \star^A and \star^B preserve compact objects.

2.5. Endofunctor of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N})$. From now to the end of this section, we assume that $\dim W_1 = \dim W_2 = M$ and $\dim W_3 = N$. Let us take a $\mathrm{GL}_{W_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}$ -invariant subvarieties $\mathcal{Q}_A^0 \subset \mathcal{Q}_A$ by requiring $A_{1,2}$ to be non-invertible.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{Q}_A^0 & \\
 p_{1,2}^0 \swarrow & & \searrow p_{2,3}^0 \\
 \mathrm{Hom}(W_1, W_2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(W_2, W_1) & & \mathrm{Hom}(W_2, W_3) \times \mathrm{Hom}(W_3, W_2) \\
 & \downarrow p_{1,3}^0 & \\
 & \mathrm{Hom}(W_1, W_3) \times \mathrm{Hom}(W_3, W_1). &
 \end{array}$$

We denote by $T_{M,N}$ the endofunctor

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned}
 T_{M,N} : D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0}) &\longrightarrow D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0}) \\
 M_{2,3} &\mapsto p_{1,3,*}^0(p_{2,3}^0(M_{2,3}))^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By definition, the endofunctor $T_{M,N}$ is isomorphic to the endofunctor which is given by left convolve with $\tilde{c} \in D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_2}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_2}^{2,0})$, i.e.,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned}
 T_{M,N} : D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0}) &\longrightarrow D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0}) \\
 M &\mapsto \tilde{c} \star^A M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, \tilde{c} is the structure sheaf on the locus such that $A_{1,2}$ is non-invertible.

According to Remark 2.4.1, the endofunctor $T_{M,N}$ induces an endofunctor of the subcategory of perfect complexes with nilpotent support condition,

$$(2.10) \quad T_{M,N} : D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}} \longrightarrow D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}},$$

and an endofunctor of its ind-completion

$$(2.11) \quad T_{M,N} : D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}} \longrightarrow D^{\mathrm{GL}_{W_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}.$$

By construction, $\tilde{c} \star^A \tilde{c} \simeq \tilde{c}$. That is to say, \tilde{c} is an idempotent object with respect to \star^A . In particular, the Kleisli category $D(T_{M,N})$ of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$ is the full subcategory of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$ spanned by the essential image of $T_{M,N}$. Since convolution commutes with colimits, the image of $T_{M,N}$ preserves colimits. In particular, $D(T_{M,N})$ is cocomplete.

Using the method applied in [BFGT, Section 4.3], we can prove that $D(T_{M,N})$ can also be regarded as a full cocomplete subcategory $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$ of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$ which is compactly generated by the objects $\{V_{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}^\lambda \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0} \otimes V_{\mathrm{GL}_N}^\mu \mid \lambda \text{ is a partition of } M-1, \mu \text{ is a signature of } N\}$. Here, $V_{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}^\lambda$ and $V_{\mathrm{GL}_N}^\mu$ are the irreducible representations of GL_{M-1} and GL_N .

To be self-contained, let us briefly recall the proof. Note that $D(T_{M,N})$ and $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$ are compactly generated. It is sufficient to prove that the full subcategories of their compact objects are equivalent.

Lemma 2.5.1. *For $M \leq N$, we have*

$$(2.12) \quad D_{\mathrm{perf}}(T_{M,N}) \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}).$$

Here $D_{\mathrm{perf}}(T_{M,N})$ denotes the Kleisli subcategory of $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$ associated with $T_{M,N}$.

Proof. Denote by \bar{W}_1 the subspace of W_1 spanned by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{M-1} . Let us consider the functor given by taking the convolution with $c_{\bar{1},1}$ which is the structure sheaf on $\mathrm{Hom}(W_1, \bar{W}_1) \times$

$\text{Hom}(\bar{W}_1, W_1)$.

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0}) &\longrightarrow D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{\bar{W}_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\bar{W}_1|W_3}^{2,0}) \\ M_{1,3} &\mapsto c_{1,1}^A \star M_{1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by

$$(2.14) \quad \Psi : D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N}) \longrightarrow D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{\bar{W}_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\bar{W}_1|W_3}^{2,0})$$

the restriction of (2.13) to $D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})$. We claim that Ψ is an equivalence between $D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})$ and $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$.

For essential surjectivity, we only need to notice that the image of $V_{\text{GL}_M}^\lambda \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{W_1|W_3}^{2,0} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^\mu$ is isomorphic to $V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^{\bar{\lambda}} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\bar{W}_1|W_3}^{2,0} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_M}^\mu$. Here, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{M-1})$ if $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{M-1} \geq 0)$, and $V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^{\bar{\lambda}} = 0$ otherwise.

The full faithfulness follows from the isomorphism

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})}(V_{\text{GL}_M}^\lambda \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^\mu, V_{\text{GL}_M}^{\lambda'} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{W_2|W_3}^{2,0} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^{\mu'}) \\ &\simeq (V_{\text{GL}_{W_2}}^{*,\lambda} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_{W_1}}^{\lambda'} \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_A]) \otimes V_{\text{GL}_{W_3}}^{\mu'} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_{W_3}}^{*,\mu})^{\text{GL}_{W_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2} \times \text{GL}_{W_3}} \\ &\simeq (V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^{*,\bar{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^{\bar{\lambda}'} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_M}^{\mu'} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_M}^{*,\mu})^{\text{GL}_{\bar{W}_1} \times \text{GL}_{W_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism follows from [BFGT, Lemma 3.13] \square

As a corollary, we have

Corollary 2.5.2. *For $M \leq N$, we have*

$$(2.16) \quad D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}} \simeq D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}.$$

Here $D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}}$ denotes the Kleisli category of $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$ associated with $T_{M,N}$, and $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$ denotes the full subcategory of $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$ with nilpotent support condition.

Proof. We only need to show the restriction of Ψ of (2.14) to $D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}}$ is essential surjective.

Note that pullback along q in (2.3) gives rise to a homomorphism,

$$\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2]) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}$$

So $V_{\text{GL}_M}^\lambda \otimes (\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0} \otimes_{\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])^{\text{GL}_N}} \mathbb{C}) \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^\mu$ goes to $V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^{\bar{\lambda}} \otimes (\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0} \otimes_{\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])^{\text{GL}_N}} \mathbb{C}) \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^\mu$ under Ψ .

We have

$$\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0} \otimes_{\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])^{\text{GL}_N}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\text{Nilp}_{M|N}},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0} \otimes_{\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_N[-2])^{\text{GL}_N}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\text{Nilp}_{M-1|N}}.$$

In particular, the image of (2.16) contains $\{V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Nilp}_{M-1|N}} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^\mu \mid \lambda \text{ is a partition of } M-1, \mu \text{ is a signature of } N\}$. Now the claim follows from the fact that the category $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$ is generated by $\{V_{\text{GL}_{M-1}}^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Nilp}_{M-1|N}} \otimes V_{\text{GL}_N}^\mu \mid \lambda \text{ is a partition of } M-1, \mu \text{ is a signature of } N\}$. \square

Let $D(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}}$ be the Kleisli subcategory of $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$ associated with the idempotent monad $T_{M,N}$, and let $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$ be the ind-completion of $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$.

Corollary 2.5.3. *For $M \leq N$, we have*

$$(2.17) \quad D(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}} \simeq D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}.$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that $D(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}}$ is equivalent to the ind-completion of $c_{1,1} \star D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N})_{\text{Nilp}}^{2,0} \simeq D_{\text{perf}}(T_{M,N})_{\text{Nilp}}$. \square

2.6. Tensor product of categories of representations. Given a stable cocomplete monoidal category \mathcal{A} and a right stable cocomplete \mathcal{A} -module category \mathcal{M}_1 and a left stable cocomplete \mathcal{A} -module category \mathcal{M}_2 . We let the relative tensor product $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}_2$ be the geometric realization of $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$,

$$\cdots \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2.$$

Proposition 2.6.1. *For any $M \leq N$, there is an equivalence*

$$(2.18) \quad D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}) \otimes_{D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})} D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}) \simeq D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}).$$

Similar claims hold for D_{perf} , D_{perf} with nilpotent support, and D with nilpotent support.

Proof. In [BFGT, Section 4], the authors constructed an invertible endofunctor η of $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$ which corresponds to tensoring with the determinant module up to a cohomological shift. This functor restricts to an endofunctor of $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$.

Given a stable category \mathcal{C} and an endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we let $\text{colim}'_F \mathcal{C}$ denote the colimit of the sequence $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \cdots$ in the $(\infty, 2)$ -category of stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. Similarly, given a stable cocomplete category \mathcal{C} and a continuous endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we let $\text{colim}_F \mathcal{C}$ denote the colimit of the sequence $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \cdots$ in the $(\infty, 2)$ -category of stable cocomplete $(\infty, 1)$ -categories with continuous functors. It is well-known that taking ind-completion preserves colimits.

For any object $M \in D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$, $\eta^n(M) \in D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$ for sufficient large n . Hence, the fully faithful embedding: $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}) \rightarrow D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0})$ induces an equivalence

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{colim}'_{\eta} D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}) &\simeq \text{colim}'_{\eta} D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}) \\ &\simeq D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\text{colim}'_{\eta} D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}) \simeq D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0})$.

By taking ind-completion, we obtain

$$\text{colim}_{\eta} D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}) \simeq D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}),$$

$$\text{colim}_{\eta} D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}) \simeq D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}).$$

To prove (2.18), it suffices to prove the following equivalence:

$$(2.20) \quad D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}) \otimes_{D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})} D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}) \simeq D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}).$$

Then, the equivalence (2.18) follows by taking colimits in the category of $(\infty, 1)$ -stable cocomplete categories with continuous functors on both sides.

To prove the equivalence (2.20), it suffices to use the convolution product defined in §2.4 and Lemma 2.5.1.

Indeed, by Corollary 2.5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LHS of (2.20)} &\simeq D(T_{M,M}) \otimes_{D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})} D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}) \\
 &\simeq \tilde{c}^A \star D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) \otimes_{D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})} D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}) \\
 &\simeq \tilde{c}^A \star D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}) \\
 &\simeq D(T_{M,N}) \\
 &\simeq D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_N, \geq 0}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|N}^{2,0}) \\
 &\simeq \text{RHS of (2.20)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof for other sheaf categories follows from the same proof and Lemma 2.5.1 and Corollary 2.5.3. \square

3. D-MODULE SIDE

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3.9.1, which is the D-module side analog of Proposition 2.6.1.

3.1. Definition of D-modules on ind-pro-schemes. Since we need to handle with schemes of ind-pro-finite type, we need to take care when we define $D^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$, $D^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$, etc. In this section, let us recall the definition of the category of D-modules on ind-pro-schemes.

Assume $Y = \text{colim } Y_i$ is an ind-pro-scheme, and schemes Y_i are of pro-finite type. Let us denote the transition map by

$$\iota_{i,i'} : Y_i \hookrightarrow Y_{i'}.$$

Since each scheme Y_i is of pro-finite type, we can write Y_i as a limit

$$Y_i = \lim Y_{i,j}$$

such that each $Y_{i,j}$ is of finite type and the transition functors

$$\pi_{i,j,j'} : Y_{i,j} \twoheadrightarrow Y_{i,j'}$$

are smooth projections.

Let us define

$$D_!(Y) := \lim_{\iota} \text{colim}_{\pi} D(Y_{i,j}),$$

and

$$D_*(Y) := \text{colim}_{\iota_*} \lim_{\pi_*} D(Y_{i,j}).$$

There is a canonical equivalence

$$(D_!(Y))^\vee = D_*(Y).$$

Furthermore, choosing a dimension theory of Y (see [Ber, Section 3.3.7]) gives rise to an equivalence between $D_!(Y)$ and $D_*(Y)$. In particular, if Y is an ind-scheme, there is a canonical identification of $D_!(Y)$ and $D_*(Y)$, we denote it by $D(Y)$ for short.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Assume G is an ind-pro-scheme, we denote by*

$$m : G \times G \longrightarrow G$$

the multiplication map, and by

$$\Delta : G \longrightarrow G \times G$$

the diagonal embedding.

Then $D_(G)$ is a Hopf algebra with the product m_* and the coproduct Δ_* . Similarly, $D_!(G)$ is a Hopf algebra with the product $\Delta^!$ and the coproduct $m^!$.*

3.2. Strong G -invariants. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a category with an action of $D_*(G)$, we define the category of G -invariants of \mathcal{C} as

$$\mathcal{C}^G := \text{Hom}_{D_*(G)}(\text{Vect}, \mathcal{C}).$$

In other words, it is the totalization of

$$\mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \text{Hom}(D_*(G), \mathcal{C}) \rightrightarrows \text{Hom}(D_*(G) \otimes D_*(G), \mathcal{C}) \cdots$$

If $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is an additive character, we denote by $\mu^!(\text{exp})$ the character D -module on G corresponding to μ . Let us denote by Vect_μ the $D_*(G)$ -module category with the underlying category Vect and the coaction corresponding to $\mu^!(\text{exp})$.

We define the category of G -invariants against μ of \mathcal{C} as

$$\mathcal{C}^{G,\mu} := \text{Hom}_{D_*(G)}(\text{Vect}_\mu, \mathcal{C}).$$

3.3. Locally compact objects and renormalization. Given a category \mathcal{C} with an action of G , there is a natural forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{loc.c}}$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}^G generated by the preimage of \mathcal{C}^c . We denote its ind-completion by $\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{ren}}$.

Now we introduce some basic properties of $\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{ren}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{loc.c}}$.

Proposition 3.3.1. *If U is pro-unipotent, U acts on \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{C}^U is compactly generated, then*

$$\mathcal{C}^{U,\text{ren}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^U.$$

Proof. Since both $\mathcal{C}^{U,\text{ren}}$ and \mathcal{C}^U are compactly generated, we only need to verify the equivalence at compact objects level. Namely, we need to show that $c \in \mathcal{C}^U$ is compact in \mathcal{C} if and only if c is compact in \mathcal{C}^U .

Assume $c \in \mathcal{C}^U$ is compact in \mathcal{C} , i.e.,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{oblv}(c), \text{colim } d_i) \simeq \text{colim } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{oblv}(c), d_i)$$

for any filtered colimit of $d_i \in \mathcal{C}$. By adjointness,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^U}(c, \text{Av}_*^U(\text{colim } d_i)) \simeq \text{colim } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \text{Av}_*^U(d_i)).$$

By the assumption on U , Av_*^U is a continuous idempotent monad, so there is

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^U}(c, \text{colim } \text{Av}_*^U(d_i)) \simeq \text{colim } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \text{Av}_*^U(d_i)).$$

For any object $d' \in \mathcal{C}^U$, we have $\text{Av}_*^U \circ \text{oblv}(d') \simeq d'$. Combined with the above formula,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^U}(c, \text{colim } d'_i) \simeq \text{colim } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d'_i)$$

for any $d'_i \in \mathcal{C}^U$.

On the other hand, let c be compact in \mathcal{C}^U . Then c is compact in \mathcal{C} , since the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a continuous right adjoint functor Av_*^U . \square

Remark 3.3.2. The above proposition is false if U is not of pro-finite type. For example, if U is the loop group of a unipotent group, then the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ does not preserve compact objects.

The above proposition is also false for non-unipotent group (even in finite type case). For example, it is false in the case $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vect}$ and $U = \mathbb{G}_m$. In this case, the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is conservative, so $\text{Vect}^{\mathbb{G}_m,\text{loc.c}}$ is generated by the essential image of the left adjoint functor $\text{Av}_!^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ of the forgetful functor. The constant sheaf \mathbb{C} is not in $\text{Vect}^{\mathbb{G}_m,c}$, but in $\text{Vect}^{\mathbb{G}_m,\text{loc.c}}$.

Recall the following lemma about invariants.

Lemma 3.3.3. *Assume $G := H \times S$ is an ind-pro-group scheme. Let us take a character μ_1 of S and a character μ_2 of H . We assume μ_1 is stable under the conjugation action of G on S , then μ_1 and μ_2 give rise to a character μ of G .*

Let \mathcal{C} be a category admitting an action of G , then the category \mathcal{C}^{S, μ_1} admits an action of H and

$$(3.1) \quad (\mathcal{C}^{S, \mu_1})^{H, \mu_2} \simeq (\mathcal{C})^{G, \mu}.$$

Proof. It follows from [Ber, Lemma 6.5.4, Remark 6.5.6] that for any category \mathcal{C}' admitting an action of G , we have

$$(3.2) \quad ((\mathcal{C}')^S)^H \simeq (\mathcal{C}')^G.$$

The lemma follows from the above equivalence immediately by letting $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Vect}_\mu$. \square

Proposition 3.3.4. *In the above lemma, if we assume S is pro-unipotent, then*

$$\mathcal{C}^{G, \mu, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}^{S, \mu_1})^{H, \mu_2, \text{ren}}.$$

Proof. With loss of generality, we only need to show the case without characters. The category $(\mathcal{C}^S)^{H, \text{ren}}$ is the ind-completion of $(\mathcal{C}^S)^{H, \text{loc.c}}$. By Proposition 3.3.1, an object in \mathcal{C}^S is compact if and only if it is compact when regarded as an object in \mathcal{C} . So, both $(\mathcal{C}^S)^{H, \text{loc.c}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{G, \text{loc.c}}$ are full subcategories of \mathcal{C}^G generated by the objects which are compact in \mathcal{C} . \square

Now, we consider categories over quotient stacks (ref. [Ber, Section 6.1]). Let X be a scheme and G be an algebraic group (or: a group scheme) which acts on X . By definition, a category \mathcal{C} is over X/G if it admits an action of the monoidal category $D_!(X) \times D_*(G)$.

Example 3.3.5. *Given a group G and a subgroup $S \subset G$. Then for any category \mathcal{C} admitting an action of S , the category $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(G)$ is a category over X/G . Here $X := G/S$ is the quotient scheme.*

The following lemma is from [Ber, Theorem 6.4.2].

Lemma 3.3.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category over X/G . Assume X is a pro-scheme and G is a pro-group scheme which acts transitively on X . Then*

$$\mathcal{C}^G \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^S.$$

Here $\mathcal{C}_x := \mathcal{C} \otimes_{D_!(X)} \text{Vect}$ denotes cofiber of \mathcal{C} at $x \in X$, and $S = \text{Stab}_G(x)$ is the stabilizer of x .

Proposition 3.3.7. *In the above situation, assume $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(G)$. Then there is*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{C}^{G, \text{loc.c}} \subset (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S, \text{loc.c}}.$$

Proof. We need to prove that if

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{oblv}^G(c), \text{colim } d) \simeq \text{colim } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{oblv}^G(c), d)$$

for any $d \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_x}(i_x^! \text{oblv}^G(c), \text{colim } d') \simeq \text{colim } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_x}(i_x^! \text{oblv}^G(c), d')$$

for any $d' \in \mathcal{C}_x$. Here $i_x^! : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$ denotes the pullback of categories associated with $x \rightarrow X$.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^G & \xrightarrow{(i_x^!)^G} & (\mathcal{C}_x)^S \\ \downarrow \text{oblv}^G & & \downarrow \text{oblv}^S \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{i_x^!} & \mathcal{C}_x, \end{array}$$

so that we have

$$(3.7) \quad i_x^! \text{oblv}^G \simeq \text{oblv}^S(i_x^!)^G.$$

Note that by Lemma 3.3.6, $(i_x^!)^G$ is an equivalence, and therefore its inverse is its continuous right adjoint, denoted $(i_x^!)^{G, R}$.

Since G and S are of pro-finite type, oblv^G and oblv^S admit continuous right adjoint functors Av_*^G and Av_*^S . So (3.4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, \text{colim Av}_*^G(d)) \simeq \text{colim Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, \text{Av}_*^G(d)),$$

and similarly, (3.5) becomes

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Hom}_{(\mathcal{C}_x)^S}((i_x^!)^G(c), \text{colim Av}_*^S(d')) \simeq \text{colim Hom}_{(\mathcal{C}_x)^S}((i_x^!)^G(c), \text{Av}_*^S(d')).$$

By passing to the right adjoint of (3.7), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Av}_*^G(i_x^!)^R \simeq (i_x^!)^{G,R} \text{Av}_*^S.$$

Let $d = (i_x^!)^R(d')$, the left-hand side of (3.8) is

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, \text{colim Av}_*^G(i_x^!)^R d') &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, \text{colim (i}_x^!)^{G,R} \text{Av}_*^S(d')) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, (i_x^!)^{G,R} \text{colim Av}_*^S(d')), \end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side of (3.8) is

$$(3.12) \quad \text{colim Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, \text{Av}_*^G(i_x^!)^R d') \simeq \text{colim Hom}_{\mathcal{C}G}(c, (i_x^!)^{G,R} \text{Av}_*^S(d')).$$

By adjointness, it implies

$$(3.13) \quad \text{Hom}_{(\mathcal{C}_x)^S}((i_x^!)^G(c), \text{colim Av}_*^S(d')) \simeq \text{colim Hom}_{(\mathcal{C}_x)^S}((i_x^!)^G(c), \text{Av}_*^S(d')).$$

□

Proposition 3.3.8. *In the above assumption, if G and S are of finite type, then $\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{loc.c}} \supset (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S,\text{loc.c}}$. In particular, we have*

$$\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{loc.c}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S,\text{loc.c}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^{G,\text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S,\text{ren}}.$$

Proof. We only need to show that if the restriction of a G -equivariant object c of $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(G)$ to $\mathcal{C}_x \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(S)$ is compact, then c is compact.

Assume $U' := \coprod U'_i$ is an étale cover of G , such that each U'_i is isomorphic to $S \times U_i$, the map $j_{U' \rightarrow G}: U' = S \times U := S \times \coprod U_i \rightarrow G$ is S -invariant and $S \times U_i$ is finite on its image. We claim that the restriction of c to $\mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(S \times U)$ is compact.

Indeed, since the S -invariant map $U' \xrightarrow{j_{U' \rightarrow G}} G \xrightarrow{p_G} \text{pt}$ factors through the S -invariant map $U' \xrightarrow{p_{U' \rightarrow S}} S \xrightarrow{p_S} \text{pt}$, the restriction of c to $\mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(U')$ is isomorphic to the pullback of $c' \in \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} \text{Vect}$ along

$$\mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} \text{Vect} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_x \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(U').$$

Here c' is descended from c , i.e., $p_G^!(c') \simeq c$.

By our assumption, $p_S^!(c')$ is compact in \mathcal{C}_x . Furthermore, since $p_{U' \rightarrow S}$ is smooth, $S \times U_i$ and S are of finite type, the $*$ -pullback functor $p_{U' \rightarrow S}^*$ is well-defined and equals $p_{U' \rightarrow S}^!$ up to a cohomology shift. In particular, $p_{U' \rightarrow S}^!$ preserves compactness. So the restriction of c to $\mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(U')$ is compact.

Consider the Čech complex associated with $\{U'_i\}$ removing diagonals in the relative Cartesian products. Since finite limit commutes with filtered colimit, compactness of $j_{U' \rightarrow G}^!(c)$ implies the compactness of c .

□

As a corollary of Proposition 3.3.8, we have

Corollary 3.3.9. *If X and G are of pro-finite type, and $G = G_r \times G_u$, $S = S_r \times S_u$. Here $S_r \subset G_r$ are reductive and $S_u \subset G_u$ are pro-unipotent. Assume $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(G)$. Then there is*

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{C}^{G,\text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S,\text{ren}}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.4, we have

$$(3.15) \quad (\mathcal{C})^{G, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}^{G_u})^{G_r, \text{ren}},$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}^{S_u})^{S_r, \text{ren}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D_*(G)$, there is

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{C}^{G_u} \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S)} D(G/G_u) \simeq \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S_r) \otimes D_*(S_u)} D_*(G_r).$$

Since $S_u \subset G_u$ and G_u is a normal subgroup of G , the action of S_u on G/G_u is trivial. In particular, $D_*(S_u)$ acts on $D_*(G_r)$ trivially. There is

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{C}_x \otimes_{D_*(S_r) \otimes D_*(S_u)} D_*(G_r) \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S_u} \otimes_{D_*(S_r)} D_*(G_r).$$

Note that $(\mathcal{C}_x)^{S_u} \otimes_{D_*(S_r)} D_*(G_r)$ is a category over X_r/G_r . Here $X_r := G_r/S_r$. By Proposition 3.3.8, we have

$$(3.19) \quad ((\mathcal{C}_x)^{S_u} \otimes_{D_*(S_r)} D_*(G_r))^{G_r, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S_r, \text{ren}}.$$

Apply Proposition 3.3.4 again, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{C}_x)^{S_r, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S, \text{ren}}.$$

Now, by (3.17) (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$\mathcal{C}^{G, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S_r, \text{ren}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_x)^{S, \text{ren}}.$$

□

3.4. Conventions. Similar to the coherent side, there are four categories on the D-module side corresponding to D_{perf} , D , D_{perf} with nilpotent condition, and D with nilpotent condition.

In order to simplify the notation, let us make the following conventions.

If $M < N$, we denote by $C_{M|N}$ the DG category of $(\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N ; if $M > N$, we denote by $C_{M|N}$ the DG category of right $(\text{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{N,M}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{N,M})$ -equivariant D-modules on $\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$; and we denote by $C_{M|M}$ the DG category of $\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on $\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$.¹ The categories of their compacts are denoted by $C_{M|N}^c$, $C_{M|M}^c$, and $C_{M|M,*}^c$, respectively.²

If $M < N$, there is a forgetful functor from $C_{M|N}$ to the category of $(U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N . We denote by $C_{M|N}^{\text{loc.c}}$ the full subcategory of $C_{M|N}$ spanned by the preimage of compact $(U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant D-modules. Furthermore, we denote by $C_{M|N}^{\text{ren}}$ the ind-completion of $C_{M|N}^{\text{loc.c}}$. Similarly for $M > N$ and $M = N$.

In this paper, we will prove that

- (1) $C_{M|N}^{\text{ren}}$ is equivalent to $D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$,
- (2) $C_{M|N}^{\text{loc.c}}$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$,
- (3) $C_{M|N}$ is equivalent to $D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$,
- (4) $C_{M|N}^c$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{perf}}^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\text{Nilp}}$.

The following easy lemma establishes an equivalence between $C_{M|N}$ and $C_{N|M}$ (similarly for C^c , $C^{\text{loc.c}}$ and C^{ren}).

Lemma 3.4.1. *Pushforward along the map $g \in \text{GL}_N(\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow g^{-1} \in \text{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ induces an equivalence functor $C_{M|N} \simeq C_{N|M}$.*³

²It does not matter if we let $C_{M|M}$ be $D_{!}^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ or $D_*^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$, since there is a (monoidal) equivalence between them.

³Replace $\chi_{N,M}$ by $-\chi_{N,M}$ in the definition of $C_{N|M}$.

Proof. Pushforward along the map $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow g^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ sends left $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant sheaves to right $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant sheaves, and sends left $(U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant sheaves to right $(U_{N,M}(\mathbf{F}), -\chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant sheaves. It induces a functor $C_{M|N} \rightarrow C_{N|M}$. Similarly, pushforward along the map $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow g^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ induces a functor $C_{N|M} \rightarrow C_{M|N}$. One checks easily that these two functors are inverse to each other. \square

3.5. Convolution monoidal structure of $C_{M|M}$. If $M = N$, we can define convolution monoidal structures for any sheaf theory of $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$, $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}}$, $C_{M|M}$ and $C_{M|M}^c$. For simplicity, we only use the language of $C_{M|M}$ in Section 3.5-3.8. In these sections, let us recall the definitions of the monoidal category structures of $C_{M|M}$, and the actions of $C_{M|M}$ on $C_{M|N}$ (from left) and $C_{M-1|M}$ (from right).

The monoidal category structures of $C_{M|M}$ are defined in [BFGT, Section 3.2]. Actually, there are two different monoidal category structures on $C_{M|M}$, which are related by the Fourier transform.

The first one is the naïve convolution product induced by the multiplication. For groups $G \subset H$, there is a convolution product functor

$$(3.20) \quad D(G \backslash H/G) \times D(G \backslash H/G) \rightarrow D(G \backslash H \overset{G}{\times} H/G) \rightarrow D(G \backslash H/G).$$

Let H be the transpose of the mirabolic subgroup $\mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) & * \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{M+1}(\mathbf{F})$, and G be $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We obtain the convolution product for $C_{M|M} = D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) = D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) = D_*(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})).$

To be more precise, consider the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \times \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \overset{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}{\times} \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \\ \downarrow p \times id & & \downarrow m \\ \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) & & \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \end{array}$$

where p, q denote the projection maps, m denotes the multiplication map.

Given $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ (here $? = !, *$), the pullback of $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2$ along $p \times id$ descends to a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2$ on $\mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \overset{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}{\times} \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$. We set $\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2 := m_*(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2)$.

Remark 3.5.1. We can also use the mirabolic subgroup $\mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{F}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) & \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to define the above monoidal structure. Indeed, we only need to notice that the composition of taking transpose and taking inverse induces a monoidal equivalences between $D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}))$ and $D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}))$.

3.6. The second monoidal structure. There is another monoidal category structure on $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ defined in [BFGT].

We note that $\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M = \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) \overset{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}{\times} \mathbf{F}^M$. For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}), v \in \mathbf{F}^M$, we denote by $[g, v]$ the corresponding point in $\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$. For $h \in \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}), h \cdot [g, v] = [h \cdot g, v]$. Under the isomorphism $\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M = \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$, $[g, v]$ is the image of (g, v) under the map $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) \times \mathbf{F}^M = \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) \times (\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) \overset{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}{\times} \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow m \\ (\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \times (\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) & & \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M. \end{array}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{p}(g_1, [g_2, v]) &= ([g_1, g_2v], [g_2, v]), \\ \mathfrak{q}(g_1, [g_2, v]) &= [g_1, [g_2, v]], \\ \mathfrak{m}([g_1, [g_2, v]]) &= [g_1g_2, v].\end{aligned}$$

Given $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in D_?^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$, the pullback of $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2$ along \mathfrak{p} descends to a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2$ on $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) \times^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$. We set $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 := \mathfrak{m}_!(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2) = \mathfrak{m}_*(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2)$.

Remark 3.6.1. Up to formal issues related to infinite-dimensionality, the \otimes monoidal structure is a particular case of the monoidal structure on $D(H \backslash (G \times X) / H)$ where X is a variety acted on by an algebraic group G with a subgroup $H \subset G$, the right H -action on $G \times X$ is along the G factor, while the left H -action is diagonal. (This is applied to $G = \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$, $H = \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$.)

Remark 3.6.2. On the subcategory of D-modules supported on $\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \{0\} \subset \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$, the functors

$$\otimes, *: D_?^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \times D_?^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \longrightarrow D_?^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$$

restrict to the usual convolution product of the spherical Hecke category.

On the subcategory of constructible sheaves supported on $\{1\} \times \mathbf{F}^M \subset \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$ (i.e., require $g = 1$), the functor $\otimes: D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \times D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \longrightarrow D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ restricts to the tensor product of $D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)$, and the functor $*$: $D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \times D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \longrightarrow D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ restricts to the convolution product of $D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)$.

Remark 3.6.3. $\otimes, *$ are related by the Fourier transform. That is to say, the Fourier transform functor

$$(3.21) \quad FT: D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \longrightarrow D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times (\mathbf{F}^M)^\vee)$$

intertwines \otimes and $*$.

By a choice of basis, we can identify \mathbf{F}^M with $(\mathbf{F}^M)^\vee$. Accordingly, the action of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ becomes the original action composed with $g \rightarrow (g^t)^{-1}$. For more details, see [BFGT, Section 3.15].

Regarding Theorem 1.1, later on, when we use the monoidal structure \otimes , we identify $C_{M|M}$ as $D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$, and when we use $*$, we identify $C_{M|M}$ as $D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$.

3.7. Left action of $C_{M|M}$. In this section, we will define the left action of $C_{M|M}$ on $C_{M|N}$. Since FT is an equivalence of monoidal categories, the category of the categories admitting an action of $(D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M), *)$ is equivalent to the category of the categories admitting an action of $(D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M), \otimes)$. Hence, we only need to construct such an action for $(C_{M|M}, *)$.

Assume $N > M$, let us consider the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \times \mathbf{Gr}_N & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \times^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \mathbf{Gr}_N \\ \downarrow p \times id & & \downarrow m_N \\ \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times \mathbf{Gr}_N & & \mathbf{Gr}_N. \end{array}$$

Given $\mathcal{F}_1 \in D_*^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \in D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$, the pullback of $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2$ along $p \times id$ descends to a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2$ on $\mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) \times^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \mathbf{Gr}_N$. We define $\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2 := m_{N,*}(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2)$.

Since $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ and $\chi_{M,N}$ is stable under the conjugation action of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$, the resulting sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2$ is still $(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant. We get an action of $C_{M|M}$ on $C_{M|N}$.

3.8. Right action on $C_{M-1|M}$. In this section, we will construct a right action of $C_{M|M}$ on $C_{M-1|M}$.

Let us denote by $j_* \in D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ the $*$ -extension of the constant sheaf on $1 \times (\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M)$ along the locally closed embedding

$$j: 1 \times (\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M,$$

then taking the convolution with j_* gives an endofunctor of $C_{M|M}$,

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} j_* \otimes -: C_{M|M} &\longrightarrow C_{M|M} \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto j_* \otimes \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that j_* is an idempotent element of $(C_{M|M}, \otimes)$, i.e., $j_* \otimes j_* \simeq j_*$. In particular, the Kleisli category $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$ is a full subcategory of $C_{M|M}$.

By definition, $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$ is equivalent to $D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M)$. Indeed, since $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(e_M) = \mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})$, we have

$$(3.23) \quad D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \simeq D_!^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times e_M) \simeq D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times (\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M)).$$

The second isomorphism is by [Ber, Corollary 6.2.5].

Let ξ_M be the automorphism of \mathbf{Gr}_M which is induced by sending e_M to e_M and e_i to te_i for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, M-1$. Pushforward along ξ_M induces an endofunctor of $D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M)$.

By [BFGT, Section 4], we have

$$(3.24) \quad C_{M-1|M} \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\xi_{M,*}} D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M).$$

We denote by

$$(3.25) \quad \zeta_M: j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \longrightarrow j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$$

the corresponding transition functor corresponding to $\xi_{M,*}$ under the equivalence $D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \simeq j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$.

Note that the transition functor $\xi_{M,*}$ is given by left multiplication with a diagonal element $D_M = \mathrm{diag}(t, t, \dots, t, 1)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$, hence the right action of $C_{M|M}$ on $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$ (resp. $D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M)$) is compatible with respect to the transition functor ζ_M (resp. $\xi_{M,*}$). By taking the colimit of the action of $C_{M|M}$ on $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$, we obtain an action of $C_{M|M}$ on $\mathrm{colim} j_* \otimes C_{M|M} = C_{M-1|M}$.

Remark 3.8.1. We note that we can also describe $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$ as a $\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant category instead of a $\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant category. Namely, by $g \longrightarrow g^{t,-1}$, there is an equivalence $D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \simeq D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \simeq j_* \otimes C_{M|M}$.

If we use the identification $j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \simeq D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M)$, we need to replace the transition functor $\xi_{M,*}$ by $\xi_{M,*}^{-1}$ in (3.24), i.e.,

$$(3.26) \quad C_{M-1|M} \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\xi_{M,*}^{-1}} D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M).$$

3.9. Tensor product of Gaiotto categories. Now we are going to prove the D-module side analog of (2.18).

Theorem 3.9.1. *For $M \leq N$, we have*

$$(3.27) \quad C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}} C_{M|N}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq C_{M-1|N}^{\mathrm{ren}}.$$

Similar claim holds for other sheaf theories, i.e.,

$$(3.28) \quad C_{M-1|M} \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M|N} \simeq C_{M-1|N}.$$

3.10. Mirabolic equivariant category. To prove Theorem 3.9.1, we need to consider the mirabolic equivariant category. Let us denote by $D^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ the derived category of left $(\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N . This category is well-defined because $\chi_{M,N}$ is stable under the conjugation action of $\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})$. Similarly, we can define the renormalized mirabolic equivariant category. Namely, we denote by $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}}$ the ind-completion of $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c.}}$. Here $(D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c.}}$ is the category of locally compact left $(\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N})$ -equivariant D-modules on \mathbf{Gr}_N .

Since $\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})$, there is a forgetful functor

$$(3.29) \quad \text{Res}_{M,N}: (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \longrightarrow (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Denote by $\xi_{M,N}$ the automorphism of \mathbf{Gr}_N which sends e_i to $t \cdot e_i$, if $1 \leq i \leq M$, and e_i to e_i , if $M+1 \leq i \leq N$. The action of $\xi_{M,N}$ on \mathbf{Gr}_N is given by left multiplication with the $N \times N$ diagonal matrix $D_{M,N} = (t, t, \dots, t, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. By a simple calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}) &= D_{M,N}^{-1}(\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}))D_{M,N} \\ (\text{resp. } \text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}) &\subset D_{M,N}^{-1}(\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}))D_{M,N}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the character $\chi_{M,N}$ of $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ is stable under the conjugation by $D_{M,N}^{-1}$, hence the functor $\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}$ induces an auto-equivalence (resp. endofunctor) of $D^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ (resp. $D^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$).

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_{M,N,*}^{-1} &: (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \longrightarrow (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}, \\ \xi_{M,N,*}^{-1} &: (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \longrightarrow (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove the following Proposition after a short preparation.

Proposition 3.10.1. *Taking colimit of $\text{Res}_{M,N}$ with respect to the transition functor $\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}$ induces an equivalence of categories. That is to say, the functor*

$$\text{colim}_{\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}} (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \longrightarrow \text{colim}_{\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}} (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$$

is an equivalence. In particular, we have

$$(3.31) \quad \text{colim}_{\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}} (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \simeq (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Note that $\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})$ is a pro-group scheme, we denote by $D_*(\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}))$ the category of D-modules defined in §3.1. It is a monoidal category with the convolution monoidal structure.

Conjugating by D_{M+1} induces a monoidal functor

$$(3.32) \quad D_*(\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})) \longrightarrow D_*(\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}))$$

which factors through $D_*(\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t\mathbf{O}^M)$.

In particular, it induces a functor

$$(3.33) \quad F_{M+1}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})}$$

for any category \mathcal{C} with an action of $\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})$. It factors through $\mathcal{C}^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t\mathbf{O}^M}$.

Lemma 3.10.2. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$(3.34) \quad \text{colim}_{F_{M+1}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Proof. Note that there is a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} & \xrightarrow{F_{M+1}} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{C}^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^i \mathbf{O}^M(\mathbf{O})} & \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^{i+1} \mathbf{O}^M(\mathbf{O})}. \end{array}$$

So, we have

$$(3.35) \quad \operatorname{colim}_{F_{M+1}} \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O})} \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{oblv}} \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^i \mathbf{O}^M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Here, the transition functors of the righ-hand side are forgetful functors.

By Proposition 3.3.4, we have an equivalence

$$(3.36) \quad \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^i \mathbf{O}^M(\mathbf{O})} \simeq (\mathcal{C}^{t^i \mathbf{O}^M})^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Since $\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ is a pro-group scheme, taking $\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -invariants commutes with colimit. So we have

$$(\operatorname{colim} \mathcal{C}^{t^i \mathbf{O}^M})^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \simeq \operatorname{colim} (\mathcal{C}^{t^i \mathbf{O}^M})^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Now the claim follows from [Ber, Proposition 4.3.8]

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{oblv}} \mathcal{C}^{t^i \mathbf{O}^M} \simeq \mathcal{C}.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.10.1. We let $\mathcal{C} := D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ in the above lemma, now it is sufficient to notice that F^{M+1} coincides with $\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}$. □

3.10.1. By definition, $\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{O})}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$. The endofunctor F_{M+1} of $\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{O})}$ comes from the restriction of the auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$ induced by conjugating with D_{M+1} . In particular, F_{M+1} preserves locally compactness of $\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{O})}$. Hence F_{M+1} induces a functor

$$F_{M+1}^{\operatorname{ren}} : \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}}.$$

It is expected that there is an equivalence

$$(3.37) \quad \operatorname{colim}_{F_{M+1}^{\operatorname{ren}}} \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}}.$$

In other words, there is an equivalence

$$(3.38) \quad \operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{oblv}} \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^i \mathbf{O}^M, \operatorname{ren}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}}.$$

Since taking ind-completion commutes with taking colimit, we only need to show

$$(3.39) \quad \operatorname{colim}'_{\operatorname{oblv}} \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^i \mathbf{O}^M, \operatorname{loc.c}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{loc.c}}.$$

It is not known if (3.39) is true for general \mathcal{C} . However, it is true in the following case.

Corollary 3.10.3. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$(3.40) \quad \operatorname{colim}'_{\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}} (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{loc.c}} \simeq (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{loc.c}}.$$

In particular,

$$(3.41) \quad \operatorname{colim}_{\xi_{M,N,*}^{-1}} (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\operatorname{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}} \simeq (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \operatorname{ren}}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that any $\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ -orbit \mathbb{O} of \mathbf{Gr}_N is $(\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^n \mathbf{O}^M) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ -invariant for sufficient large n . Note that $(\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times t^n \mathbf{O}^M) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ is generated by $(\operatorname{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}))$ and $t^n \mathbf{O}^M$, so It is sufficient to prove that \mathbb{O} is $t^n \mathbf{O}^M$ -invariant for sufficient large n . Here $t^n \mathbf{O}^M$ is regarded as a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ as follows,

$$t^n \mathbf{O}^M = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \} M \\ \\ \\ \} N-M \end{array} \right\} \subset \operatorname{GL}_N(\mathbf{F}).$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_M \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{N-M}$

Fix $g \in \operatorname{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$. We assume that entries of both g and g^{-1} belongs to $t^{-m} \mathbf{O}$. We claim that the orbit passing through g is $t^{2m} \mathbf{O}^M$ -invariant.

Indeed, we have

$$\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}) \cdot g \cdot \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) = \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}) \cdot g \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) g^{-1} \cdot g \cdot \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}),$$

and

$$t^{2m} \mathbf{O}^M \subset g \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) g^{-1}.$$

□

3.11. Fourier transform on Gaiotto category. By Proposition 3.10.1, $C_{M-1|N}$ can be written as a colimit of $(D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M-1,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}$ with the transition functor $\xi_{M-1,N,*}^{-1}$.

As a result, we can write both sides of (3.27) as colimits. Namely,

$$(3.42) \quad \text{LHS of (3.27)} \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\xi_{M,*}^{-1}} D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M|N},$$

and

$$(3.43) \quad \text{RHS of (3.27)} \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\xi_{M-1,N,*}^{-1}} (D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Similarly for (3.28). To prove Theorem 3.9.1, it suffices to show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11.1. *For $M \leq N$, we have*

a).

$$(3.44) \quad D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}} C_{M|N}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq (D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}}.$$

b).

$$(3.45) \quad D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M|N} \simeq (D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Let us first prove (3.45).

Recall the definition of $(C_{M|M}, \otimes)$. The pushforward along the closed embedding

$$1 \times \mathbf{F}^M \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$$

gives a monoidal fully faithful embedding

$$(3.46) \quad D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M) \longrightarrow C_{M|M}.$$

Lemma 3.11.2. *For any category \mathcal{D} admitting a left action of $(C_{M|M}, \otimes)$, we have*

$$(3.47) \quad (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}) \otimes_{C_{M|M}} \mathcal{D} \simeq D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. It follows from the fact:

$$\begin{aligned} (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}) \otimes_{C_{M|M}} \mathcal{D} &\simeq (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}) \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M|M} \otimes_{C_{M|M}} \mathcal{D} \\ &\simeq D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)} C_{M|M} \otimes_{C_{M|M}} \mathcal{D} \\ &\simeq D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.11.3. Both sides of (3.47) are equivalent to the image of the action functor of j_* on \mathcal{D} .

Furthermore, if we assume that $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$ and the action of $D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)$ on $(\mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$ is given by the action of $D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)$ on \mathcal{D}' , we have

$$(3.48) \quad D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{F}^M)} (\mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \simeq (D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Now by Lemma 3.3.6, there is an equivalence

$$(3.49) \quad (D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} \simeq (\mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

Here, $D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)$ acts on Vect by pulling back along $e_M \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^M$.

Apply the isomorphism (3.49) to $\mathcal{D} = C_{M|N}$ and $\mathcal{D}' = D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. We have

$$(3.50) \quad (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}) \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M|N} \simeq (\mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}.$$

To prove (3.45), we have to prove:

$$(3.51) \quad (\mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})} \simeq (D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})}.$$

3.11.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.11.1 b). By the Fourier equivalence of [Ber, Theorem 5.2.11], we have

$$(3.52) \quad \mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N) \simeq (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathbf{F}^M, \chi_{e_M}}.$$

Here, χ_{e_M} denotes the character of taking residue of the coefficients of e_M , and \mathbf{F}^M acts on \mathbf{Gr}_N via

$$\mathbf{F}^M = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & & * & & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & \\ & & 1 & * & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \vphantom{\left(\right.} \right\} M \\ \vphantom{\left(\right.} \right\} N - M \end{array} \right) \subset \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F}).$$

We have $U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F}^M \ltimes U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$, and the conjugation action of \mathbf{F}^M on $U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F})$ preserves $\chi_{M,N}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3.3,

$$(3.53) \quad (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathbf{F}^M, \chi_{e_M}} \simeq D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M-1,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N).$$

Combined (3.52) and (3.53), we have

$$(3.54) \quad \mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N) \simeq D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M-1,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N).$$

Since (3.54) is induced by Fourier transform, we see that the action of the group $\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})$ on $\mathrm{Vect}_{e_M} \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ becomes the action of $(\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O}))^{t,-1} = \mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})$. \square

3.11.2. Then, we prove (3.44). Let $\mathcal{D}' = D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. To prove the renormalized version (3.44), we only need to notice that

$$D_*(G) \otimes_{D_*(S)} (\mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes \mathcal{D}') \simeq D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}',$$

and $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) = \mathrm{GL}_M \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_{M,1}(\mathbf{O})$, $\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O}) = \mathrm{Mir}_M \ltimes \mathrm{Mir}_{M,1}(\mathbf{O})$. Here $\mathrm{GL}_{M,1}(\mathbf{O})$ and $\mathrm{Mir}_{M,1}(\mathbf{O})$ denote the corresponding congruence subgroups.

Apply Proposition 3.3.9, we obtain that there is an equivalence

$$(3.55) \quad (D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}} \simeq (\mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}}.$$

To prove (3.44), we only need to prove:

$$(3.56) \quad (\mathrm{Vect}_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \otimes D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}} \simeq (D^{U_{M-1,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}},$$

which follows exactly from the same argument of §3.11.1.

Remark 3.11.4. In this special case, we have a more explicit description of the equivalence (3.55). Namely, the full subcategory

$$(3.57) \quad (D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}} \subset (D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$$

equals $j_* \otimes (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$, and the full subcategory

$$(3.58) \quad (\mathrm{Vect} \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}} \subset (\mathrm{Vect} \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{Mir}_M(\mathbf{O})}$$

equals $i_{e_M, *} \otimes (D^{U_{M,N}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,N}}(\mathbf{Gr}_N))^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$. Here $i_{e_M, *}$ denotes the skyscraper sheaf at e_M .

In particular, we have

$$(3.59) \quad (D_!(\mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M) \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}} \simeq (\mathrm{Vect} \otimes_{D_!(\mathbf{F}^M)} \mathcal{D}')^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$$

4. COMPATIBILITY OF ACTIONS

Theorem 1.3.1 implies that there is an equivalence of categories for any M ,

$$(4.1) \quad C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}).$$

By §3.7, we have a left action of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ on $C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ and a right action of $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ on $C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$. Similarly, by §2.4, we have a left action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0})$ and a right action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$ on $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0})$.

In this section, we will prove that the equivalence (4.1) is not only an equivalence of categories, but also an equivalence of module categories.

Proposition 4.0.1. a) *The equivalence (4.1) is compatible with the left action of*

$$C_{M-1|M-1}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0}).$$

b) *The equivalence (4.1) is compatible with the right action of*

$$C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.0.1 b). Let us first check the compatibility of the right actions.

Since the equivalence $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$ comes from the ind-completion of a monoidal equivalence functor $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$, it is monoidal. The right convolution always commutes with left convolution, so the equivalence $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$ is compatible with the right action of (1.1).

Furthermore, note that the transition functor $\xi_{M,*}$ is given by left multiplication with a diagonal element $\mathrm{diag}(t, t, \dots, t, 1)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$. In particular, the transition functor commutes with right action of $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$. Hence, the action of $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ on

$$(4.2) \quad C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\xi_{M,*}^{-1}} D^{\mathrm{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\zeta_M} j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$$

is given by taking colimit of the action of $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ on $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$.

Similarly, the action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$ on $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0})$ is given by taking the colimit of the action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$ on $\tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$. Now Proposition 4.0.1 a) follows from the fact that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_M} & j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) \end{array}$$

commutes. □

In order to check that (4.1) is compatible with left actions of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \simeq D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0})$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.0.2. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$(4.3) \quad C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \simeq C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4.1, $g \rightarrow g^{-1}$ induces an equivalence $C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \simeq C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}}$. Furthermore, taking inverse induces a monoidal self-anti-equivalence of $C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}$,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota : C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} &\longrightarrow C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \iota(\mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

such that,

$$(4.5) \quad \iota(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \iota(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \iota(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

The right action of $C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}$ on $C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}}$ induces a left action $C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}$ on $C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$.

In particular, $C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \simeq \text{colim}_{\xi'_{M,*}} D(\mathbf{Gr}_M)^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}} \simeq \text{colim}_{\zeta'_M} C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*$. Here $D(\mathbf{Gr}_M)^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}}$ denotes the ind-completion of the category of locally compact *right* $\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on $\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$, the transition functors $\xi'_{M,*}$ is given by taking pushforward along the map of right multiplication with the diagonal element $D_M = \text{diag}(t, t, \dots, t, 1)$, and ζ'_M is the corresponding transition functor under the equivalence $D(\mathbf{Gr}_M)^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}} \simeq C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*$.

Applying the above formula to the left-hand side of (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \\ &\simeq C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} \text{colim}_{\zeta'_M} C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_* \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{\zeta'_M} C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_* \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{\zeta'_M} C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*. \end{aligned}$$

By the following Lemma 4.0.3, we have

$$\text{colim}_{\zeta'_M} C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_* \simeq \text{colim}_{\xi'_{M,*}} D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}}.$$

Here $D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}}$ denotes the ind-completion of the category of locally compact *right* $\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on $\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) \simeq \mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1}$.

Now it is sufficient to prove that

$$(4.7) \quad \text{colim}_{\xi'_{M,*}} D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}} \simeq D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \text{ren}}.$$

Here $D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{GL}_{M-1}, \text{ren}}$ denotes the ind-completion of the category of locally compact *right* GL_{M-1} -equivariant D-modules on $\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) \simeq \mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1}$.

We only need to show that

$$(4.8) \quad \text{colim}'_{\xi'_{M,*}} D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c}} \simeq D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c}}.$$

It is equivalent to

$$(4.9) \quad \text{colim}'_{\text{oblv}} D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times t^i \mathbf{O}^{M-1}, \text{loc.c}} \simeq D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c}}.$$

By a left-right symmetry, we should prove the equivalence for the corresponding categories of *left* equivariant D-modules,

$$(4.10) \quad \text{colim}'_{\text{oblv}} D_!^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times t^i \mathbf{O}^{M-1}, \text{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1}) \simeq D_!^{\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1}).$$

By the construction of [BFGT, Section 3.2], $D_!^{\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}), \text{loc.c}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})$ is generated by IC-sheaves on GL_{M-1} -orbits in $\text{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F})/\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$. Here $\text{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F}) := \{(h, v) \in \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) | v \neq 0\}$.

So we only need to prove that any $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$ -orbit \mathcal{O} in $\mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F})/\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$ is $t^n \mathbf{O}^{M-1}$ -invariant for sufficient large n . Assume $\mathcal{O} = \{[hg, v] \in \mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times (\mathbf{F}^{M-1} - 0) \mid g \in \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})\}$ (see §3.6 for the definition of notation). Let m be a positive integer such that

$$(4.11) \quad \mathrm{GL}_{M-1,m}(\mathbf{O}) \subset \bigcap_{h \in \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})} hg\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})g^{-1}h^{-1}.$$

Here $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1,m}(\mathbf{O}) := 1 + t^m \mathrm{End}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$ denotes the m -th congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$. It acts trivially on the affine Grassmannian part of $[hg, v]$.

This positive integer m exists. Assume $g, g^{-1} \in t^{-l} \mathrm{End}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$ some $l > 0$, then for any $m \geq 2l$, we have (4.11) holds.

For any point $[hg, v] \in \mathcal{O}$, as $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1,m}(\mathbf{O}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$, we have $[\mathrm{GL}_{M-1,m}(\mathbf{O})hg, v] \subset \mathcal{O}$. We only need to prove that there exists a positive integer $m_{[g,v]}$ which does not depend on h , such that

$$(4.12) \quad \mathrm{GL}_{M-1,m}(\mathbf{O})hgv \supset hgv + t^n \mathbf{O}^{M-1}, \text{ for any } n \geq m_{[g,v]},$$

i.e., $\mathrm{End}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})hgv \supset t^n \mathbf{O}^{M-1}$, for any $n \geq m_{[h,v]}$.

We can choose $h' \in \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})$, such that $h'hgv = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} t^{m_i} e_i$. Assume m_i is the smallest number among $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{M-1}\}$, it is independent of h and h' . We have $\mathrm{End}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})hgv \supset t^{m_i} \mathbf{O}^{M-1}$. We only need to take $m_{[g,v]} = m_i$. \square

Lemma 4.0.3. *There is an equivalence*

$$(4.13) \quad C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \otimes j_* \simeq D(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{ren}}.$$

Proof. By construction, we have

$$C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \otimes j_* \simeq \mathrm{Ind}(C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \otimes j_*).$$

Hence, it is sufficient to prove that

$$C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \otimes j_* \simeq D(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}.$$

Note that there is an equivalence

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{M-1|M} \otimes j_* &\simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) \otimes j_* \\ &\simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H' / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) \\ &\simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O})). \end{aligned}$$

Here H' denotes the subscheme of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$ consisting of $g \in \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{F})$ such that $g^t \cdot e_M \in \mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M$. We should prove that two full subcategories

$$C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \otimes j_* \subset C_{M-1|M} \otimes j_*$$

and

$$D(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}} \subset D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O}))$$

coincide.

The category $D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H' / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}))$ can be regarded as the category of right $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H'$. The category $C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \otimes j_*$ is generated by the IC (intersection cohomology) D-modules on $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -orbits of $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H'$. Under the equivalence $D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H' / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) \simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O}))$, $C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \otimes j_*$ corresponds to the full subcategory generated by the IC D-modules on $\mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O})$ -orbits of $\mathrm{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{F})$. It is exactly the category $D(\mathbf{Gr}_{M-1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M-1})^{\mathrm{Mir}'_M(\mathbf{O}), \mathrm{loc.c}}$. \square

4.1. **Colimit description of monoidal structures.** Note that Lemma 4.0.2 presents $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$ as a colimit of monoidal categories. Namely,

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \\ & \simeq C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \\ & \simeq (\text{colim}_{\zeta_M} j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}) \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}} (\text{colim}_{\zeta'_M} C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*) \\ & \simeq \text{colim}_{\zeta_M, \zeta'_M} j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\tilde{\zeta}_M$ the composition of ζ_M and ζ'_M . Since $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, x), x \in \mathbb{N}$ are cofinal as index sets, we have

$$\text{colim}_{\zeta_M, \zeta'_M} j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_* \simeq \text{colim}_{\tilde{\zeta}_M} j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*.$$

In this section, we will describe the monoidal structure $*$ (equivalently, \otimes) of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$ as a colimit of the restricted monoidal structure of $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*$.

4.1.1. *Colimit description of $*$.* We note that j_* is an idempotent object of $C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}$, so the monoidal structure on $C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}$ induces a monoidal structure of $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*$,

$$\otimes : (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*) \otimes (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*) \rightarrow j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*.$$

It is obtained from the ind-completion of

$$\otimes : (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{loc.c}} \otimes j_*) \otimes (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{loc.c}} \otimes j_*) \rightarrow j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{loc.c}} \otimes j_*,$$

which is the restriction of

$$\otimes : (j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \otimes j_*) \otimes (j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \otimes j_*) \rightarrow j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \otimes j_*.$$

Note that there is an equivalence

$$(4.16) \quad j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \otimes j_* \simeq D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})).$$

Indeed, by the definition of \otimes , we have

$$(4.17) \quad (j_* \otimes C_{M|M}) \otimes j_* \simeq j_* \otimes D(\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) \otimes j_* \simeq D(\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H / \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}))$$

Here, $H := \{(g, v) \in \text{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) = \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{F}) \ltimes \mathbf{F}^M \mid v \in \mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M, gv \in \mathbf{O}^M \setminus t\mathbf{O}^M\}$

By a direct calculation,

$$(4.18) \quad \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H / \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) = \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}).$$

In particular, $D(\text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash H / \text{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) \simeq D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}))$.

By the Fourier transform, under the equivalence (4.16), the monoidal structure \otimes of $j_* \otimes C_{M|M} \otimes j_*$ is equivalent to the convolution monoidal structure

$$* : D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) \otimes D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) \rightarrow D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})).$$

Here $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) := \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})$ and $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) := \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F})$.

The transition functor of $D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}))$ corresponding to $\tilde{\zeta}_M$ is given by pushforward along

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi}_M : \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) &\longrightarrow \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) \\ g &\mapsto D_M^{-1} g D_M. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(4.20) \quad \text{colim}_{\tilde{\xi}_M, *} D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})) \simeq D(\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O})).$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\xi}_{M,*}$ is an auto-equivalence of monoidal category. That is to say, the monoidal structure on $D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O})))$ commutes with the transition functors, i.e., the

following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) \otimes D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) & \longrightarrow & D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) \\
 \downarrow \tilde{\xi}_{M,*} \otimes \tilde{\xi}_{M,*} & & \downarrow \tilde{\xi}_{M,*} \\
 D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) \otimes D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})) & \longrightarrow & D(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O})).
 \end{array}$$

In particular, the convolution monoidal structure of $D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}))$ gives rise to a monoidal structure $*'$ on $C_{M-1|M-1} \simeq \text{colim}_{\tilde{\xi}_{M,*}} D(\text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{Mir}_M^t(\mathbf{O}))$.

Its restriction to $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{loc.c}}$ induces a monoidal structure $*'$ on $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{loc.c}}$. Taking its ind-completion, we extend $*'$ to $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$.

Similarly, taking colimit of the action of $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_*$ on $j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}}$ gives rise to an action of $(C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}, *')$ on $C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}}$,

$$(4.21) \quad C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \otimes C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}} \rightarrow C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}}$$

Now we claim

Proposition 4.1.1. *The monoidal structure $*$ of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$ is isomorphic to $*'$. Furthermore, the action (4.21) of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$ on $C_{M-1|M}^{\text{ren}}$ is isomorphic to the action in §3.7.*

Proof. We only prove the first claim, the second claim follows from the same argument.

Note that $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}}$ is the ind-completion of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{loc.c}}$ and both monoidal structures $*$ and $*'$ are induced from $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{loc.c}}$, so we only need to compare the monoidal structures of $C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{loc.c}}$, which are obtained from the restriction from monoidal structures of $C_{M-1|M-1}$.

As same as the proof of Lemma 3.10.2, we can rewrite $C_{M-1|M-1}$ as the colimit of $D(\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes t^i \mathbf{O}^{M-1} \backslash \text{Mir}_M(\mathbf{F}) / \text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes t^i \mathbf{O}^{M-1})$, and the transition functors are forgetful functors. Now the claim follows from the fact that the restriction of both $*'$ and $*$ to $D(\text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes t^i \mathbf{O}^{M-1} \backslash \text{Mir}_M(\mathbf{F}) / \text{GL}_{M-1}(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes t^i \mathbf{O}^{M-1})$ are the convolution. \square

4.2. Compatibility of left actions. Now let us go back to the proof of Proposition 4.0.1 a).

Proof of Proposition 4.0.1 a). Note that the equivalence of Theorem 1.2.1 is monoidal, and j_* goes to \tilde{c} under the equivalence. So there is an equivalence of monoidal categories

$$(4.22) \quad j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_* \simeq \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) \star^A \tilde{c}.$$

By the same reason, we have an equivalence

$$(4.23) \quad j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \simeq \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}),$$

which is compatible with the action of (4.22).

Note that

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0}) &\simeq C_{M-1|M-1}^{\text{ren}} \simeq \text{colim } j_* \otimes C_{M|M}^{\text{ren}} \otimes j_* \\ &\simeq \text{colim } \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) \star^A \tilde{c}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.25) \quad D^{\text{GL}_{M-1} \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}) \simeq \text{colim } \tilde{c} \star^A D^{\text{GL}_M \times \text{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}).$$

So the monoidal structure of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0})$ also comes from the colimit of $\tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) \star^A \tilde{c}$, and the action of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0})$ on $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0})$ comes from the colimit of action of $\tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}) \star^A \tilde{c}$ on $\tilde{c} \star^A D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$.

Now the claim follows from the fact that the equivalence (4.22) is monoidal. \square

4.3. Proof of the main theorem. Finally, we can give a proof of Theorem 1.4.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4.1. We will prove a stronger theorem: for $N \geq M$, we have a left action of $C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ and a right action of $C_{N|N}^{\mathrm{ren}}$ on $C_{M|N}^{\mathrm{ren}}$, such that there is an equivalence:

$$(4.26) \quad C_{M|N}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0}),$$

which is compatible with the left action of

$$C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0}),$$

and the right action of

$$C_{N|N}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{2,0}).$$

Fix $N \geq 1$, let us prove the theorem using the descending induction on M .

If $M = N$ or $M = N - 1$, the theorem has already been proved in [BFGT] and Proposition 4.0.1.

We assume that the theorem holds for M . We take the tensor product of (4.1) and (4.26) over (1.1). By Proposition 4.0.1, we obtain an equivalence

$$(4.27) \quad C_{M-1|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \otimes_{C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}}} C_{M|N}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0}) \otimes_{D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})} D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$$

which is compatible with the left action of

$$C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$$

and the right action of

$$C_{N|N}^{\mathrm{ren}} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{2,0}).$$

By Proposition 2.6.1 and Theorem 3.9.1, the left-hand side of (4.27) is equivalent to $C_{M-1|N}^{\mathrm{ren}}$, and the right-hand side is equivalent to $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1,N}^{2,0})$. Hence, we obtain the claim for $M - 1$.

Taking subcategories of compact objects, we obtain Theorem 1.4.1. \square

4.4. Restricted equivalence. In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4.1. *For $M \leq N$, there is an equivalence*

$$(4.28) \quad C_{M|N} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}.$$

Before we prove the above theorem, let first check the above theorem in the case $M = N - 1$ or $M = N$.

Proposition 4.4.2. *There are equivalences of categories*

$$(4.29) \quad C_{M|M} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}},$$

and

$$(4.30) \quad C_{M-1|M} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}.$$

Proof. We only prove the statement

$$(4.31) \quad C_{M|M}^c \simeq D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}},$$

then (4.29) follows from taking ind-completion and (4.30) follows from a similar argument.

Let $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})-\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ be the full subcategory of $D_!(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ generated by locally compact $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ -equivariant D-modules on $\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M$. Since objects in $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})-\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$ are ind-holonomic, the left adjoint functor

$$\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})} : D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})-\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M) \longrightarrow C_{M|M}$$

of the forgetful functor is well-defined. In addition, since the forgetful functor

$$C_{M|M} \longrightarrow D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})-\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M)$$

is conservative, the essential image of compact objects of $(D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})-\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbf{Gr}_M \times \mathbf{F}^M))^c$ under $\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}$ generates $C_{M|M}^c$ ([GR, Lemma 5.4.3]).

Let $\mathrm{IC}_{0,0} \in C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}}$ be the IC-extension of the perverse constant sheaf on $1 \times \mathbf{O}^M$. It is known from the construction in [BFGT] that $F_{M,M}(\mathrm{IC}_{0,0}) = \mathfrak{B}_{M,M}^{2,0}$. Here $F_{M,M} : C_{M|M}^{\mathrm{loc.c}} \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})$ denotes the equivalence functor in Theorem 1.2.1. Note that $\mathrm{IC}_{0,0}$ is the pullback of \mathbb{C} along $1 \times \mathbf{O}^M \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}$. By [AG, Lemma 12.6.5] and the fact that !-averaging functor commutes with pullback, there is

$$F_{M,M}(\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathrm{IC}_{0,0})) \simeq F_{M,M}(\mathrm{IC}_{0,0}) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_M[-2])^{\mathrm{GL}_M}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Since

$$\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0} \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_M[-2])^{\mathrm{GL}_M}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Nilp}_{M|M}},$$

there is

$$F_{M,M}(\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathrm{IC}_{0,0})) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Nilp}_{M|M}}$$

under the equivalence of Theorem 1.2.1.

The equivalence in Theorem 1.2.1 commutes with the convolution with $\mathrm{Perv}_{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_M) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathrm{GL}_M)$, so $\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathrm{IC}_\lambda \star \mathrm{IC}_{0,0} \star \mathrm{IC}_\mu)$ corresponds to $V_{\mathrm{GL}_M}^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Nilp}_{M|M}} \otimes V_{\mathrm{GL}_M}^\mu$ under the equivalence $F_{M,M}$. Now the claim follows from the fact that the objects $V_{\mathrm{GL}_M}^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Nilp}_{M|M}} \otimes V_{\mathrm{GL}_M}^\mu$ generate $D_{\mathrm{perf}}^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$. \square

The equivalence (4.29) is an ind-completion of a monoidal equivalence functor, so it is monoidal. In addition, the equivalence (4.30) is compatible with the left action and right action of (4.29).

Corollary 4.4.3. a) *The equivalence (4.30) is compatible with the left action of*

$$C_{M-1|M-1} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{M-1}}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M-1}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}.$$

b) *The equivalence is compatible with the right action of*

$$C_{M|M} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}.$$

Now let us repeat the argument of the proof of Theorem 1.4.1 to prove Theorem 4.4.1.

Proof. (of Theorem 4.4.1.)

By Proposition 4.4.2, Theorem 4.4.1 holds in the case $M = N$ or $M = N - 1$.

Fix $N \geq 1$, assume that we have already proved Theorem 4.4.1 for M . We take the tensor product of (4.30) and

$$C_{M|N} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$$

over (4.29). By Proposition 4.4.2, Corollary 4.4.3, and our assumption, we obtain an equivalence

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_{M-1|M} \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M|N} \\ & \simeq \\ & D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}} \otimes_{D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}} D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}} \end{aligned}$$

which is compatible with the left action of

$$C_{M|M} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_M}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|M}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$$

and the right action of

$$C_{N|N} \simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{N|N}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}.$$

By Proposition 2.6.1 and Theorem 3.9.1, the left-hand side of (4.32) is equivalent to $C_{M-1|N}$, and the right-hand side is equivalent to $D^{\mathrm{GL}_{M-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M-1,N}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$. Hence, we obtain the claim for $M-1$. \square

Remark 4.4.4. Using the equivalence interchanging left and right actions, we can also prove Theorem 1.4.1 and Theorem 4.4.1 for $N \leq M$.

5. A SYMMETRIC DEFINITION OF $C_{M|N}$

In the definition of $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})$ and $D^{\mathrm{GL}_M \times \mathrm{GL}_N}(\mathfrak{B}_{M|N}^{2,0})_{\mathrm{Nilp}}$, we do not need to require $N \leq M$ or $M \leq N$. But in the definition of $C_{M|N}$, we need to require $M \leq N$ or $N \leq M$, or the definition does not make sense. Although there is a canonical equivalence between $C_{N|M} \simeq C_{M|N}$, we want to introduce a more 'symmetric' definition of $C_{M|N}$ for any M, N .

Furthermore, in (1.4), it seems unreasonable to require that the embedding of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ into $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F})$ concentrates in the left top part. Indeed, in this section we will also study the corresponding equivariant category if we move $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ to other columns and rows, and we will see that the resulting category does not depend on where we put $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$. It is expected that the choice of columns and rows corresponds to the choice of a Borel subgroup of the supergroup.

5.1. Definition. Assume $L > N$, M and $r + s = L - M - 1$, we denote by $U_{M,L}^{r,s} = \begin{pmatrix} U_r & * & * \\ & 1_{M+1} & * \\ & & U_s \end{pmatrix}$, the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup $P_{r,s}(\mathbf{F})$

corresponding to the partition $(1, 1, \dots, 1, M+1, 1, \dots, 1)$. We denote by $\chi_{M,L}^{r,s,(k)}$ the character

$$(5.1) \quad (u_{ij}) \in U_{M,L}^{r,s} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} u_{i,i+1} + u_{r,k} + u_{k,N-s-1} + \sum_{i=N-s+1}^{N-1} u_{i,i+1} \right)$$

for any choice of $k \in \{r+1, \dots, N-s\}$. If $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, one of $u_{r,k}$ and $u_{k,N-s-1}$ should be omitted.

We embed $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ into $P_{r,s}(\mathbf{F})$ along the columns and rows $\{r+1, r+2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, N-s\}$. Since $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ belongs to the normalizer group of $U_{M,L}^{r,s}$, we can form the semidirect product $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,L}^{r,s}$. Furthermore, the conjugation action of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ on $U_{M,L}^{r,s}$ preserves $\chi_{M,L}^{r,s,(k)}$. In particular, $(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,L}^{r,s}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s,(k)})$ -invariants are well-defined.

Remark 5.1.1. Assume $k_1, k_2 \in \{r+1, r+2, \dots, N-s\}$. Let $s_{k_1, k_2} \in \mathrm{GL}_L(\mathbf{F})$ be the permutation matrix which permutes k_1 and k_2 . Left multiplication with s_{k_1, k_2} gives an equivalence:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,L}^{r,s}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s,(k_1)}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,L}^{r,s}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s,(k_2)}}$$

for any category \mathcal{C} which admits an action of $\mathrm{GL}_L(\mathbf{F})$. Hence, we may omit k in the definition of $\chi_{M,L}^{r,s,(k)}$.

Definition 5.1.2. $C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L} := D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{M,L}^{r,s}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s} \setminus \mathrm{Mir}_L^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) \ltimes U_{N,L}^{r',s'}, \chi_{N,L}^{r',s'})$.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1.3. *The category $C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L}$ only depends on M, N . That is to say, for any*

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 + s_1 &= L_1 - M - 1, & r_2 + s_2 &= L_2 - M - 1, \\ r'_1 + s'_1 &= L_1 - N - 1, & r'_2 + s'_2 &= L_2 - N - 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(5.2) \quad C_{M,N,r_1,s_1,r'_1,s'_1,L_1} \simeq C_{M,N,r_2,s_2,r'_2,s'_2,L_2}.$$

In particular, $C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L} \simeq C_{M|N}$.

5.2. Examples.

- (1) If $M = N = L - 1$, $r = r' = 0$, $s = s' = 0$, then $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{M,L}^{r,s} = \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{M,L}^{r',s'} = \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})$ and the characters are trivial. Hence, $C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L} \simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{M+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})) \simeq C_{M|M}$.
- (2) If $M = L - 2$, $N = L - 1$, $r = r' = 0$, $s = 1$, and $s' = 0$. Then, $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{M,L}^{r,s} = \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes \mathbf{F}^{L-1}$, and $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes U_{M,L}^{r',s'} = \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})$. Here, $\chi_{M,L}^{r,s}$ equals taking residue of coefficients of e_{M+1} , while $\chi_{N,L}^{r',s'}$ is trivial. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L} &\simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes \mathbf{F}^{M+1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s} \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_L(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O})) \\ &\simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes \mathbf{F}^{M+1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s}}(\mathbf{Gr}_{M+1} \times \mathbf{F}^{M+1}) \\ &\simeq D^{\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_{M+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equivalence is given by Fourier transform.

- (3) If $M = 0$ and $L = N + 1$, in this case, $C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L} \simeq \mathrm{Whit}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$. Here, $\mathrm{Whit}(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$ denotes the Whittaker model of $D(\mathbf{Gr}_N)$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of (5.2).

5.3. Independence of s, r . In this section, we will prove if $L_1 = L_2$, then (5.2) holds. By induction, we only need to show,

$$(5.3) \quad C_{M,N,r,s,r',s',L} \simeq C_{M,N,r+1,s-1,r',s',L}.$$

It is easy to see that the above statement follows from the following lemma,

Lemma 5.3.1. *For any category \mathcal{C} admitting an action of $\mathrm{GL}_L(\mathbf{F})$. we have*

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{C}^{U_{M,L}^{r,s}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{U_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}}$$

Proof. Consider the following groups,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U_{M,L}^{r,s} & \\ & \subset & \subset \\ U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1} & & U_{M-1,L}^{r,s+1} \\ & \subset & \subset \\ & U_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1} & \end{array}$$

By definition and Lemma 3.3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{U_{M,L}^{r,s}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s}} &= (\mathcal{C}^{U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s}}|_{U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}})_{U_{M,L}^{r,s} / U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s}}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{U_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}} &= (\mathcal{C}^{U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}}|_{U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}})_{U_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1} / U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\chi_{M,L}^{r,s}|_{U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}} = \chi_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}|_{U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}}$, we should prove that for any category \mathcal{C}' admitting an action of $U_{M-1,L}^{r,s+1} / U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad (\mathcal{C}')^{U_{M,L}^{r,s} / U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r,s}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}')^{U_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1} / U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}, \chi_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}}.$$

We can identify $U_{M,L}^{r+1,s-1}/U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}$ with $V \oplus \mathbf{F}$ for a vector space V , identify $U_{M,L}^{r,s}/U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}$ as $V^* \oplus \mathbf{F}$, and identify $U_{M-1,L}^{r,s+1}/U_{M+1,L}^{r,s-1}$ with the Heisenberg group $\text{Heis} := V \oplus V^* \oplus \mathbf{F}$. The group structure of Heis is given by

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Heis} \times \text{Heis} &\longrightarrow \text{Heis} \\ (v, v^*, c), (v', v^{*\prime}, c') &\mapsto (v + v', v^* + v^{*\prime}, c + c' + \langle v, v^{*\prime} \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Taking restriction along $V^* \hookrightarrow \text{Heis}$ (resp. $V \hookrightarrow \text{Heis}$) defines an equivalence

$$(5.7) \quad D(\text{Heis}/V \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi) \simeq D(V^*).$$

$$(5.8) \quad (\text{resp. } D(\text{Heis}/V^* \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi) \simeq D(V)).$$

The action of V on $D(V)$ is given by shifts and the action of $v^* \in V^*$ on V is given by tensoring with the local system e^{v^*} on V . In the $D(V^*)$ realization, it is the other way around, and the Fourier transform intertwines these two actions.

Hence, we obtain an equivalence of Heis -module categories:

$$(5.9) \quad D(\text{Heis}/V \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi) \simeq D(\text{Heis}/V^* \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi).$$

Now the equivalence (5.5) follows from the facts:

$$(5.10) \quad \text{Funct}_{D(\text{Heis})}(D(\text{Heis}/V \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi), \mathcal{C}') \simeq (\mathcal{C}')^{V \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad \text{Funct}_{D(\text{Heis})}(D(\text{Heis}/V^* \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi), \mathcal{C}') \simeq (\mathcal{C}')^{V^* \oplus \mathbf{F}, \chi}.$$

□

5.4. Independence of L . In this section, we will show that the definition of $C_{M,N,L,r,s,r',s'}$ is independent of the choice of L .

Remark 5.4.1. Since we have already seen that $C_{M,N,L,r,s,r',s'}$ is independent of the choice of r, s, r', s' , we could just denote it by $C_{M,N,L}$. We denote by $\chi_{M,L}$ the character $\chi_{M,L}^{r,s}$ for any choice of r, s .

We are going to prove the following lemma first,

Lemma 5.4.2. *We have $C_{L,L,L+1} \simeq C_{L,L,L+2}$, i.e.,*

$$(5.12) \quad D(\text{GL}_L(\mathbf{O}) \backslash \text{Mir}_{L+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{GL}_L(\mathbf{O})) \simeq D(\text{GL}_L(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes \mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{L,L+2}) \backslash \text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \text{GL}_L(\mathbf{O}) \rtimes \mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{L,L+2}).$$

Proof. It suffices to show

$$(5.13) \quad D(\text{Mir}_{L+1}(\mathbf{F})) \simeq D(\text{Mir}_{L+1}^t(\mathbf{F})) \simeq D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{L,L+2} \backslash \text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{L,L+2}).$$

By the Fourier transform,

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{L,L+2} \backslash \text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{L,L+2}) \\ &\simeq \text{Vect} \otimes_{D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1})} D(\text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F})) \otimes_{D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1})} \text{Vect}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, both functors $D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ are given by taking restriction at $e_{L+1} \in \mathbf{O}^{L+1} \setminus t\mathbf{O}^{L+1}$. The left (resp. right) action of $D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1})$ on $D(\text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}))$ is given by the pullback along

$$(5.15) \quad \text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}) \simeq \mathbf{F}^{L+1} \rtimes \text{GL}_{L+1}(\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}^{L+1}$$

$$(5.16) \quad (\text{resp. } \text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}) \simeq \text{GL}_{L+1}(\mathbf{F}) \rtimes \mathbf{F}^{L+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}^{L+1}).$$

Hence,

$$(5.17) \quad \text{Vect} \otimes_{D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1})} D(\text{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F})) \otimes_{D(\mathbf{F}^{L+1})} \text{Vect} \simeq D(H''),$$

where $H'' := \{(g, v) \in \mathrm{GL}_{L+1}(\mathbf{F}) \times \mathbf{F}^{L+1} \mid v = e_{L+1}, gv = e_{L+1}\} \simeq \mathrm{Mir}_{L+1}(\mathbf{F})$. We proved the lemma. \square

Now let us take $(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,L+1}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,L+1})$ -invariants on the left and $(\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{N,L+1}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{N,L+1})$ -invariants on the right. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.18) \quad & C_{M,N,L+1} \\
 & \simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,L+1}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,L+1} \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{L+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{N,L+1}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{N,L+1}) \\
 & \simeq D((\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,L+1}(\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{M,L+2} \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{L+1}^t(\mathbf{F}) / (\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{N,L+1}(\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{F}^{L+1}, \chi_{N,L+2}) \\
 & \simeq D(\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{M,L+2}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{M,L+2} \backslash \mathrm{Mir}_{L+2}^t(\mathbf{F}) / \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbf{O}) \times U_{N,L+2}(\mathbf{F}), \chi_{N,L+2}) \\
 & \simeq C_{M,N,L+2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.4.3. From the construction of the equivalence $C_{M,N,L} \simeq C_{M,N,L+1}$, the equivalence is compatible with the left action of $C_{N|N}$ and the right action of $C_{M|M}$.

As a corollary,

Corollary 5.4.4. *If $M' \leq M$ and $N' \leq N$, we have*

$$(5.19) \quad C_{M'|M} \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{M,N,L} \otimes_{C_{M|M}} C_{N|N'} \simeq C_{M',N',L}.$$

which is compatible with the left action of $C_{M'|M'}$ and the right action of $C_{N'|N'}$.

Corollary 5.4.5. *The left action of $C_{M|M}$ on $C_{M|N}$ is equivalent to the left action of $C_{M,M,L} \simeq C_{M|M}$ on $C_{M,N,L} \simeq C_{M|N}$.*

Similarly for the right action.

REFERENCES

- [AG] Dima Arinkin, Dennis Gaitsgory, *Singular support of coherent sheaves, and the geometric Langlands conjecture*, [arXiv:1201.6343](#) [math.AG]
- [B] Roman Bezrukavnikov *On two geometric realizations of an affine Hecke algebra*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 123 (2016), 1–67.
- [Ber] Dario Beraldo, *Loop Group Actions on Categories and Whittaker Invariants*, [arXiv:1310.5127](#) [math.RT]
- [BF] Roman Bezrukavnikov, Michael Finkelberg, *Equivariant Satake category and Kostant Whittaker reduction*, Moscow Math. Journal 8 (2008), no. 1, 39–72.
- [BFGT] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, Victor Ginzburg, Roman Travkin, *Mirabolic Satake equivalence and supergroups*, Compositio Mathematica, 157(8), 1724–1765. doi:10.1112/S0010437X21007387
- [BFT] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, Roman Travkin, *Orthosymplectic Satake equivalence*, [arXiv:1912.01930](#) [math.RT]
- [FGT] Michael Finkelberg, Victor Ginzburg, Roman Travkin, *Mirabolic affine Grassmannian and character sheaves*, Selecta Mathematica volume 14, pages 607–628 (2009)
- [GR] Dennis Gaitsgory, Nick Rozenblyum, *A study in derived algebraic geometry Volume I: Correspondences and duality*, <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/GL/Vol1.pdf>

SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA
 Email address: roman.travkin2012@gmail.com

SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA
 Email address: yruotao@gmail.com