

Radial quasilinear elliptic problems with singular or vanishing potentials

Marino Badiale^{a,b} - Michela Guida^a - Sergio Rolando^c

Abstract

In this paper we continue the work that we began in [6]. Given $1 < p < N$, two measurable functions $V(r) \geq 0$ and $K(r) > 0$, and a continuous function $A(r) > 0$ ($r > 0$), we consider the quasilinear elliptic equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(|x|)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + V(|x|)|u|^{p-2}u = K(|x|)f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where all the potentials A, V, K may be singular or vanishing, at the origin or at infinity. We find existence of nonnegative solutions by the application of variational methods, for which we need to study the compactness of the embedding of a suitable function space X into the sum of Lebesgue spaces $L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$. The nonlinearity has a double-power super p -linear behavior, as $f(t) = \min\{t^{q_1-1}, t^{q_2-1}\}$ with $q_1, q_2 > p$ (recovering the power case if $q_1 = q_2$). With respect to [6], in the present paper we assume some more hypotheses on V , and we are able to enlarge the set of values q_1, q_2 for which we get existence results.

Keywords. Weighted Sobolev spaces, compact embeddings, quasilinear elliptic PDEs, unbounded or decaying potentials

MSC (2010): Primary 46E35; Secondary 46E30, 35J92, 35J20

1 Introduction

In this paper, we pursue the work we made in [2–6, 8, 10] and, in particular, we complete the results we proved in [6]. In all these works, we have obtained embedding and compactness results for weighted Sobolev spaces, which, by variational methods, yielded existence and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N . In [6] and in the present paper, we consider quasilinear elliptic equations in presence of a radial potential on the derivatives, namely, equations of the following form:

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(|x|)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + V(|x|)|u|^{p-2}u = K(|x|)f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < p < N$, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous nonlinearity satisfying $f(0) = 0$, and $V \geq 0$ and $A, K > 0$ are given potentials, which may be unbounded or vanishing at the origin or at infinity. This equation has been recently studied by Su and Wang in [15] (see also the references therein), where the authors considered potentials $A(r)$, $V(r)$ and $K(r)$ essentially behaving as powers of r as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow +\infty$ (see the paper introduction for more precise assumptions), and investigated the effects on the solutions structure from the interplay between the quasilinearity p , the nonlinear growth of $f(u)$ and the growth or decay rates of the potentials. The aim of the present paper is to extend the results of [15] (see below for further details).

^aDipartimento di Matematica “Giuseppe Peano”, Università degli Studi di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy. e-mails: marino.badiale@unito.it, michela.guida@unito.it

^bPartially supported by the PRIN2012 grant “Aspetti variazionali e perturbativi nei problemi di renziali nonlineari”.

^cDipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Via Roberto Cozzi 53, 20125 Milano, Italy. e-mail: sergio.rolando@unito.it

We study Equation (1.1) by variational methods, so we introduce a suitable functional space X (see section 2) and we say that $u \in X$ is a *weak solution* to (1.1) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla h \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|)|u|^{p-2}uh \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(|x|)f(u)h \, dx$$

for all $h \in X$. These solutions are (at least formally) critical points of the Euler functional

$$I(u) := \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(|x|)F(u) \, dx \quad (1.2)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in X , so the problem of existence is quite easily solved by the use of standard embedding results for Sobolev spaces if A is bounded and bounded away from zero, V does not vanish at infinity and K is bounded. These results are not available anymore for potentials which may be singular or vanishing, at zero or at infinity, and new embedding theorems are needed. Much work has been done in recent years in this direction, and we refer the reader for example to the following papers and the references therein: [4, 5, 10, 13] for the case $A = 1, p = 2$ of the usual laplacian operator, [1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17] for the p -laplacian case $A = 1, 1 < p < N$ (see also [2] for equations involving the bi-laplacian operators), [8] for the case $A \neq 1, p = 2$ of the weighted laplacian, and the already mentioned paper [15], which inspired the present work, for the general case $A \neq 1, 1 < p < N$ with a potential on the derivatives.

The main novelty of our approach with respect to [15] (here and in [6]) is two-fold. First, we look for embeddings of X not into a single Lebesgue space L_K^q but into a sum of Lebesgue spaces $L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$. This allows us to study separately the behaviour of the potentials V and K at 0 and ∞ , and thus to assume independent sets of hypotheses about these behaviours, which therefore do not need to be linked to one another to get existence. Second, we assume hypotheses not on V and K separately but on their ratio, so admitting asymptotic behaviors of general kind for the two potentials (not only power-like). In particular, with respect to [6], here we assume some more specific hypotheses on the asymptotic behavior of the potential V , and this allows us to get larger intervals of exponents q_1, q_2 for which the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$ is compact, and then equation (1.1) has solutions. The main results of this paper are Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 (about compact embeddings), and Theorem 5.2 (about existence of solutions).

As a conclusion, putting together the results of [6] and the present paper, we extend the results of [15] by getting existence results for large new classes of nonlinearities and radial potentials. This is exemplified, both here and in [6], in a specific section of examples, where we show existence for some particular cases for which nothing was known in the previous literature (see Section 6 below and Section 7 in [6]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce our hypotheses on A, V, K and the function spaces X and $L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$ in which we will work. In section 3 we give a general result concerning the embedding properties of X into $L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$ (Theorem 3.1), and then we state some explicit conditions ensuring that such an embedding is compact (Theorems 3.2 and 3.4). These compactness theorems are proved in section 4. In Section 5, we apply our previous results to get existence of nonnegative solutions for (1.1). The main existence theorem of this paper is Theorem 5.2. In section 6, we give some examples of specific cases in which our results apply, in order to explain their novelties with respect to the literature. Finally, the Appendix is devoted to some detailed computations, displaced there from Section 4 for sake of clarity.

Notations. We end this introductory section by collecting some notations used in the paper.

- For every $R > 0$, we set $B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$.
- For any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote $A^c := \mathbb{R}^N \setminus A$. If A is Lebesgue measurable, $|A|$ stands for its measure.
- $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is the space of the infinitely differentiable real functions with compact support in the open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. If Ω has radial symmetry, $C_{c,r}^\infty(\Omega)$ is the subspace of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ made of radial functions.
- If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then $L^p(A)$ and $L_{loc}^p(A)$ are the usual real Lebesgue spaces (for any measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$). If $\rho : A \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function, then $L^p(A, \rho(z) dz)$ is the real Lebesgue space with respect to the measure $\rho(z) dz$ (dz stands for the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N).
- $p' := p/(p-1)$ is the Hölder-conjugate exponent of p .
- $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$.

2 Hypotheses and preliminary results

Throughout the paper we assume $N \geq 3$, $1 < p < N$ and the following set of hypotheses on A, V, K :

(A) $A : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function and there exist $a_0, a_\infty \in (p - N, p]$ and $c_0, c_\infty > 0$ such that:

$$c_0 \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{A(r)}{r^{a_0}} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{A(r)}{r^{a_0}} < +\infty,$$

$$c_\infty \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^{a_\infty}} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^{a_\infty}} < +\infty$$

(V) $V : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function such that $V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$

(K) $K : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a measurable function such that $K \in L_{loc}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for some $s > 1$.

Under assumption (A), we define

$$S_A := \left\{ u \in C_{c,r}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|) |\nabla u|^p dx < +\infty \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_A := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|) |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

and denote by D_A the completion of S_A with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_A$. The main properties of D_A are summarized in Lemma 2.1 below (see [6] for a proof), where the exponents $p_0, p_\infty \geq p$ are defined by

$$p_0 := \frac{pN}{N + a_0 - p} \quad \text{and} \quad p_\infty := \frac{pN}{N + a_\infty - p},$$

Notice that $p_0, p_\infty \geq p$ thanks to the hypothesis $a_0, a_\infty \in (p - N, p]$. The main function space we will work in is then defined by setting

$$X := D_A \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, V(|x|)dx) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\| := \left(\|u\|_A^p + \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, V(|x|)dx)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that X is nonempty by assumption (A) and it is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following properties hold.*

- (i) If $u \in D_A$, then u has weak derivatives $D_i u$ in the open set $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and $D_i u \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$.
- (ii) If $u \in D_A$ then $\|u\|_A = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|) |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$ is finite and defines a norm on D_A . With this norm, D_A is a Banach space.
- (iii) For any $R_0 > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(N, R_0, a_0, a_\infty) > 0$ such that for all $u \in D_A$ one has
- $$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N+a_0-p}{p}} \|u\|_A \quad \text{almost everywhere in } B_{R_0},$$
- $$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N+a_\infty-p}{p}} \|u\|_A \quad \text{almost everywhere in } B_{R_0}^c.$$
- (iv) For any $0 < r < R$, the embeddings $D_A \hookrightarrow L^{p_0}(B_R)$ and $D_A \hookrightarrow L^{p_\infty}(B_R^c)$ are continuous, and the embedding $D_A \hookrightarrow L^p(B_R \setminus \overline{B_r})$ is continuous and compact.

We end this section by recalling some definitions and results from [7] concerning the other function space which will be relevant in the following, i.e., the sum space

$$L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2} := L_K^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L_K^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u_1 + u_2 : u_1 \in L_K^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N), u_2 \in L_K^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where we assume $1 < q_1 \leq q_2 < \infty$. Such a space can be characterized as the set of the measurable mappings $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists a measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $u \in L_K^{q_1}(E) \cap L_K^{q_2}(E^c)$ (of course $L_K^{q_1}(E) := L^{q_1}(E, K(|x|) dx)$, and so for $L_K^{q_2}(E^c)$). It is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}} := \inf_{u_1 + u_2 = u} \max \left\{ \|u_1\|_{L_K^{q_1}}, \|u_2\|_{L_K^{q_2}} \right\}$$

and the continuous embedding $L_K^q \hookrightarrow L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$ holds for all $q \in [q_1, q_2]$.

3 Compactness results

In this section we state the main compactness results of this paper. Recall that we let $N \geq 3$, $1 < p < N$ and A, V, K be as in **(A)**, **(V)**, **(K)**.

We define the following functions of $R > 0$ and $q > 1$:

$$\mathcal{S}_0(q, R) := \sup_{u \in X, \|u\|=1} \int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^q dx, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_\infty(q, R) := \sup_{u \in X, \|u\|=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} K(|x|) |u|^q dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly $\mathcal{S}_0(q, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing, $\mathcal{S}_\infty(q, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing and both of them can be infinite at some R .

Our first result (Theorem 3.1) concerns embedding properties of X into $L_K^{q_1} + L_K^{q_2}$ and relies on assumptions which are quite general, but not so easy to check. More handy conditions ensuring these general assumptions will be provided then by Theorems 3.2 and 3.4.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $q_1, q_2 > 1$.*

(i) If

$$\mathcal{S}_0(q_1, R_1) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_\infty(q_2, R_2) < \infty \quad \text{for some } R_1, R_2 > 0, \quad (\mathcal{S}'_{q_1, q_2})$$

then X is continuously embedded into $L_K^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L_K^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(ii) If

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{S}_0(q_1, R) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{S}_\infty(q_2, R) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{S}''_{q_1, q_2})$$

then X is compactly embedded into $L_K^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L_K^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 see [6]. Observe that, of course, $(\mathcal{S}''_{q_1, q_2})$ implies $(\mathcal{S}'_{q_1, q_2})$. Moreover, these assumptions can hold with $q_1 = q_2 = q$ and therefore Theorem 3.1 also concerns embedding properties of X into L_K^q , $1 < q < \infty$.

Explicit conditions implying $(\mathcal{S}'_{q_1, q_2})$ for some q_1, q_2 have been given in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 of [6]. Here we pursue this work and in Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 below we improve the results of [6] by exploiting further informations on the growth of V . More precisely, in Theorem 3.4 we will find a range of exponents q_1 such that $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{R}_0(q_1, R) = 0$, while in Theorem 3.2 we will do the same for exponents q_2 such that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{R}_\infty(q_2, R) = 0$. Condition $(\mathcal{S}''_{q_1, q_2})$ then follows by joining Theorem 3.4 with Theorem 3.2.

To state Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, we need the following functions. For any $a > p - N$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \leq 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$q_*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := p \frac{\alpha - \gamma\beta + N}{N - \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{**}(a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) := p \frac{p\alpha + (1 - p\beta)\gamma + p(N - 1) + a}{p(N - 1) - \gamma(p - 1) + a}. \quad (3.3)$$

Of course q_* and q_{**} are undefined if $\gamma = N$ and $\gamma = \frac{p(N-1)+a}{p-1}$, respectively

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that there exists $R_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r > R_2} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_\infty} V(r)^{\beta_\infty}} < +\infty \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq \beta_\infty \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_\infty \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r > R_2} r^{\gamma_\infty} V(r) > 0 \quad \text{for some } \gamma_\infty \leq p - a_\infty. \quad (3.5)$$

Then $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{S}_\infty(q_2, R) = 0$ for every $q_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$q_2 > \max\{1, p\beta_\infty, q_*, q_{**}\}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $q_* = q_*(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty)$ and $q_{**} = q_{**}(a_\infty, \alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty)$.

Remark 3.3. The proof of Theorem 3.2 does not require $\beta_\infty \geq 0$, but this condition is not a restriction of generality in stating the theorem. Indeed, under assumption (3.5), if (3.4) holds with $\beta_\infty < 0$, then it also holds with α_∞ and β_∞ replaced by $\alpha_\infty - \beta_\infty\gamma_\infty$ and 0 respectively, and this does not change the thesis (3.6), because $q_*(\alpha_\infty - \beta_\infty\gamma_\infty, 0, \gamma_\infty) = q_*(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty)$ and $q_{**}(a_\infty, \alpha_\infty - \beta_\infty\gamma_\infty, 0, \gamma_\infty) = q_{**}(a_\infty, \alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty)$.

In order to state our next result, we introduce, by the following definitions, an open region $\mathcal{A}_{a,\beta,\gamma}$ of the αq -plane, depending on $a > p - N$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma \geq p - a$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{a,\beta,\gamma} &:= \{(\alpha, q) : \max\{1, p\beta\} < q < \min\{q_*, q_{**}\}\} && \text{if } p - a \leq \gamma < N, \\ \mathcal{A}_{a,\beta,\gamma} &:= \{(\alpha, q) : \max\{1, p\beta\} < q < q_{**}, \alpha > -(1 - \beta)N\} && \text{if } \gamma = N, \\ \mathcal{A}_{a,\beta,\gamma} &:= \{(\alpha, q) : \max\{1, p\beta, q_*\} < q < q_{**}\} && \text{if } N < \gamma < \frac{p(N-1)+a}{p-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{a,\beta,\gamma} &:= \{(\alpha, q) : \max\{1, p\beta, q_*\} < q, \alpha > -(1 - \beta)\gamma\} && \text{if } \gamma = \frac{p(N-1)+a}{p-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{a,\beta,\gamma} &:= \{(\alpha, q) : \max\{1, p\beta, q_*, q_{**}\} < q\} && \text{if } \gamma > \frac{p(N-1)+a}{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that $\frac{p(N-1)+a}{p-1} > N$ because $N - p + a > 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in (0, R_1)} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_0} V(r)^{\beta_0}} < +\infty \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R_1)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r) > 0 \quad \text{for some } \gamma_0 \geq p - a_0. \quad (3.9)$$

Then $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{S}_0(q_1, R) = 0$ for every $q_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3.5. In both Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, we mean $V(r)^0 = 1$ for every r (even if $V(r) = 0$).

4 Proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, which will be achieved through several lemmas. Recall that we assume $N \geq 3$, $1 < p < N$ and let A , V and K be as in **(A)**, **(V)** and **(K)**.

The first two lemmas are given in [6] and we recall them here for completeness.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $R_1 > 0$ and assume that*

$$\Lambda_1 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B_{R_1}} \frac{K(|x|)}{|x|^\alpha V(|x|)^\beta} < +\infty \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $u \in X$ and assume that there exist $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m > 0$ such that

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{m}{|x|^\nu} \quad \text{almost everywhere on } B_{R_1}.$$

Then there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(N, p, a_0, R_1, \beta) > 0$ such that $\forall R \in (0, R_1)$ and $\forall q > \max\{1, p\beta\}$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^q dx \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \Lambda_1 m^{q-1} C_1 \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q-1)}{N(p-1) + p(1-p\beta + a_0\beta) - a_0}} dx \right)^{\frac{N(p-1) + p(1-p\beta + a_0\beta) - a_0}{pN}} \|u\| & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{p} \\ \Lambda_1 m^{q-p\beta} \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta}} dx \right)^{1-\beta} \|u\|^{p\beta} & \text{if } \frac{1}{p} < \beta < 1 \\ \Lambda_1 m^{q-p} \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\alpha - \nu(q-p))} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\| & \text{if } \beta = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $R_2 > 0$ and assume that*

$$\Lambda_2 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B_{R_2}^c} \frac{K(|x|)}{|x|^\alpha V(|x|)^\beta} < +\infty \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $u \in X$ and assume that there exist $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m > 0$ such that

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{m}{|x|^\nu} \quad \text{almost everywhere on } B_{R_2}^c.$$

Then there exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(N, p, a_\infty, R_2, \beta) > 0$ such that $\forall R > R_2$ and $\forall q > \max\{1, p\beta\}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^q dx \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \Lambda_2 m^{q-1} C_2 \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{\alpha-\nu(q-1)}{N(p-1)+p(1-p\beta+a_\infty\beta)-a_\infty}} p^N dx \right)^{\frac{N(p-1)+p(1-p\beta+a_\infty\beta)-a_\infty}{pN}} \|u\| & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{p} \\ \Lambda_2 m^{q-p\beta} \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{\alpha-\nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta}} dx \right)^{1-\beta} \|u\|^{p\beta} & \text{if } \frac{1}{p} < \beta < 1 \\ \Lambda_2 m^{q-p} \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\alpha-\nu(q-p))} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\| & \text{if } \beta = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In proving Theorem 3.2, we will also need the pointwise estimate given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that there exists $R_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r > R_2} r^{\gamma_\infty} V(r) > 0 \quad \text{for some } \gamma_\infty \leq p - a_\infty.$$

Then there exists a constant $m_2 > 0$ such that

$$\forall u \in X, \quad |u(x)| \leq m_2 \|u\| |x|^{-\frac{p(N-1)-\gamma_\infty(p-1)+a_\infty}{p^2}} \quad \text{almost everywhere in } B_{R_2}^c. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Define

$$\lambda_2 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r > R_2} r^{\gamma_\infty} V(r)$$

for brevity. Let $u \in X$ and denote by C any positive constant which does not depend on u . Let $\tilde{u} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be such that $u(x) = \tilde{u}(|x|)$. Take any $0 < a < b < +\infty$, $I = (a, b)$, $I_N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| \in I\}$. Thanks to (A) we know that $|\nabla u| \in L^p(I_N)$, and thanks to the pointwise estimates of Section 2 we know that $u \in L^\infty(I_N)$. Then, using arguments similar to those of Lemma 27 of [4], it is easy to see that $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,p}(I)$. Define now

$$v(r) := r^{\frac{(N-1)p-\gamma_\infty(p-1)+a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(r)|^p,$$

and $\lambda := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} v(r)$. Of course $\lambda \geq 0$. As a first step, we want to prove that $\lambda = 0$, and we argue by contradiction. So assume $\lambda > 0$. Hence there exists $\bar{r} > R_2$ such that for all $r \geq \bar{r}$ it holds $v(r) \geq \lambda/2$ that is

$$r^{\frac{(N-1)p-\gamma_\infty(p-1)+a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(r)|^p \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R_2}^c} V(|x|) |u(x)|^p dx &\geq \lambda_2 \int_{B_{R_2}^c} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^{\gamma_\infty}} dx = C \int_{R_2}^{+\infty} \frac{|\tilde{u}(r)|^p}{r^{\gamma_\infty}} r^{N-1} dr \\ &\geq \int_{\bar{r}}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda}{2r^{\frac{\gamma_\infty + a_\infty}{p}}} dr = +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $\gamma_\infty + a_\infty \leq p$ by hypothesis. This contradiction proves that $\lambda = 0$. Hence there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}_n$ such that $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $v(r_n) \rightarrow 0$. Now let us fix $r > R_2$ and $r_n > r$, and consider the interval $I = (r, r_n)$. We know that $\tilde{u} \in D^{1,p}(I) \cap L^\infty(I)$, from which we get that $|\tilde{u}|^p$ has a weak derivative in I given by

$$\frac{d}{dr} |\tilde{u}(r)|^p = p |\tilde{u}(r)|^{p-2} \tilde{u}(r) \tilde{u}'(r).$$

Furthermore, the function $r \rightarrow r^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}}$ is a bounded smooth function in I with bounded derivative, from which we derive that the weak derivatives of v can be computed with the usual Leibniz rule, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} v'(s) &= \frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p} s^{(N-2-\gamma_\infty \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{a_\infty}{p})} |\tilde{u}(s)|^p \\ &\quad + p s^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{u}(s) \tilde{u}'(s). \end{aligned}$$

From this it is easy to derive that $v' \in L^p(I)$, so that $v \in W^{1,p}(I)$. From the hypotheses $\gamma_\infty \leq p - a_\infty$ and $a_\infty > p - N$, we deduce that $\gamma_\infty(p-1) - a_\infty \leq (N-1)p$ and hence

$$v'(s) \geq p s^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{u}(s) \tilde{u}'(s) \geq -p s^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-1} |\tilde{u}'(s)|.$$

As $v \in W^{1,p}(I)$, we can apply the fundamental theorem of Calculus to get

$$v(r_n) - v(r) = \int_r^{r_n} v'(s) ds \geq -p \int_r^{r_n} s^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-1} |\tilde{u}'(s)| ds,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) - v(r_n) &\leq p \int_r^{r_n} s^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-1} |\tilde{u}'(s)| ds \\ &= p \int_r^{r_n} s^{(N-1) \frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-1}}{s^{\gamma_\infty \frac{p-1}{p}}} s^{\frac{N-1}{p}} s^{\frac{a_\infty}{p}} |u'(s)| ds \\ &\leq p \left(\int_r^{r_n} s^{N-1} \frac{|\tilde{u}(s)|^p}{s^{\gamma_\infty}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_r^{r_n} s^{N-1} s^{a_\infty} |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq p \left(\int_{R_2}^{+\infty} s^{N-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2} V(s) |\tilde{u}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(C \int_{R_2}^{+\infty} s^{N-1} A(s) |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$= C \left(\int_{B_{R_2}^c} V(|x|) |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{R_2}^c} A(|x|) |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|u\|^p.$$

As C does not depend on n , we can pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and get $v(r) \leq C \|u\|^p$, that is

$$r^{\frac{(N-1)p - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p}} |\tilde{u}(r)|^p \leq C \|u\|^p.$$

This gives the thesis. □

For future reference, we define three functions $\alpha_1(\beta, \gamma)$, $\alpha_2(\beta)$ and $\alpha_3(\beta, \gamma)$ by setting

$$\alpha_1(\beta, \gamma) := -(1-\beta)\gamma, \quad \alpha_2(\beta) := -(1-\beta)N, \quad \alpha_3(\beta, \gamma) := -\frac{(p-1)N + (1-p\beta)\gamma}{p}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Assume the hypotheses of the theorem and denote

$$\Lambda_2 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r>R_2} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_\infty} V(r)^{\beta_\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r>R_2} r^{\gamma_\infty} V(r).$$

Observe that $\forall \xi \geq 0$ one has

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r>R_2} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty} V(r)^{\beta_\infty + \xi}} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r>R_2} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_\infty} V(r)^{\beta_\infty} (r^{\gamma_\infty} V(r))^\xi} \leq \frac{\Lambda_2}{\lambda_2^\xi} < +\infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $u \in X$ be such that $\|u\| = 1$ and let $R \geq R_2$. We will denote by C any positive constant which does not depend on u or R (such as Λ_2/λ_2^ξ if ξ does not depend on u or R). We will distinguish several cases, in each of which we will choose a suitable $\xi \geq 0$ and, thanks to (4.3) and (4.1), we will apply Lemma 4.2 with $\alpha = \alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty$, $\beta = \beta_\infty + \xi$ (whence Λ_2 will be given by the left hand side of (4.3)), $m = m_2 \|u\| = m_2$ and $\nu = \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p^2}$. Recall that we are assuming $\gamma_\infty \leq p - a_\infty$ and $a_\infty > p - N$, so that $\nu > 0$.

We will obtain that

$$\int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^{q_2} dx \leq CR^\delta$$

for some $\delta < 0$, not dependent on R , so that the result follows.

We set $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty)$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\beta_\infty)$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_3(\beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty)$.

Case $\alpha_\infty \geq \alpha_1$.

We take $\xi = 1 - \beta_\infty$ and apply Lemma 4.2 with $\beta = \beta_\infty + \xi = 1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty = \alpha_\infty + (1 - \beta_\infty)\gamma_\infty$.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^{q_2} dx &\leq C \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p))} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left(R^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p))} \int_{B_R^c} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq CR^{\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p)} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p) < 0$. Indeed

$$\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p) = \alpha_\infty + \gamma_\infty(1 - \beta_\infty) - \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p^2}(q_2 - p)$$

$$= \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p^2} \left(\frac{p^2(\alpha_\infty + \gamma_\infty(1 - \beta_\infty))}{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty} - q_2 + p \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p^2(\alpha_\infty + \gamma_\infty(1 - \beta_\infty))}{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty} + p \\ &= \frac{p}{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty} (p\alpha_\infty + p\gamma_\infty - p\gamma_\infty\beta_\infty + p(N-1) - p\gamma_\infty + \gamma_\infty + a_\infty) \\ &= q_{**}(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty, a_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p) = \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty}{p^2} (q_{**} - q_2) < 0,$$

because $q_2 > q_{**}$ and $p(N-1) - \gamma_\infty(p-1) + a_\infty > 0$ by hypothesis.

Case $\max\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\} < \alpha_\infty < \alpha_1$.

Take $\xi = \frac{\alpha_\infty + (1 - \beta_\infty)N}{N - \gamma_\infty} > 0$ and apply Lemma 4.2 with $\beta = \beta_\infty + \xi$ and $\alpha = \alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty$. For doing this, observe that $\alpha_3 < \alpha_\infty < \alpha_1$ implies

$$\beta = \beta_\infty + \xi = \frac{\alpha_\infty - \gamma_\infty\beta_\infty + N}{N - \gamma_\infty} = q_*(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty) \in \left(\frac{1}{p}, 1\right).$$

Notice that $\alpha + N(1 - \beta) = 0$. Indeed

$$1 - \beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha_\infty - \beta_\infty\gamma_\infty + N}{N - \gamma_\infty} = \frac{\beta_\infty\gamma_\infty - \gamma_\infty - \alpha_\infty}{N - \gamma_\infty}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty &= \alpha_\infty + \gamma_\infty \frac{\alpha_\infty + (1 - \beta_\infty)N}{N - \gamma_\infty} = \frac{N\alpha_\infty - \alpha_\infty\gamma_\infty + \alpha_\infty\gamma_\infty + N\gamma_\infty - N\gamma_\infty\beta_\infty}{N - \gamma_\infty} \\ &= \frac{N}{N - \gamma_\infty} (-\beta_\infty\gamma_\infty + \gamma_\infty + \alpha_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\alpha + N(1 - \beta) = 0$ easily follows. Now from Lemma 4.2 we get

$$\int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^{q_2} dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p\beta)}{1 - \beta}} dx \right)^{1 - \beta} \leq C \left(R^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p\beta)}{1 - \beta} + N} \right)^{1 - \beta},$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p\beta)}{1 - \beta} + N &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} (\alpha - \nu(q_2 - p\beta) + N(1 - \beta)) = \frac{\nu}{1 - \beta} (p\beta - q_2) \\ &= \frac{\nu}{1 - \beta} (q_*(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty) - q_2) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis $q_2 > q_*$.

Case $\beta_\infty = 1$ and $\alpha_\infty \leq 0 = \alpha_2 (= \max\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\})$.

Take $\xi = 0$ and apply Lemma 4.2 with $\beta = \beta_\infty + \xi = 1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty = \alpha_\infty$. We get

$$\int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^{q_2} dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - p))} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq CR^{\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - p)},$$

since $\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - p) \leq -\nu(q_2 - p) < 0$.

Case $\frac{1}{p} < \beta_\infty < 1$ and $\alpha_\infty \leq \alpha_2 (= \max\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\})$.

Take $\xi = 0$ again and apply Lemma 4.2 with $\beta = \beta_\infty \in (\frac{1}{p}, 1)$ and $\alpha = \alpha_\infty$. We get

$$\int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^{q_2} dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - p\beta_\infty)}{1 - \beta_\infty}} dx \right)^{1 - \beta_\infty} \leq C \left(R^{\frac{\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - p\beta_\infty)}{1 - \beta_\infty} + N} \right)^{1 - \beta_\infty},$$

since

$$\frac{\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - p\beta_\infty)}{1 - \beta_\infty} + N = \frac{\alpha_\infty - \alpha_2 - \nu(q_2 - p\beta_\infty)}{1 - \beta_\infty} < 0.$$

Case $\beta_\infty \leq \frac{1}{p}$ and $\alpha_\infty \leq \alpha_3 (= \max\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\})$.

Take $\xi = \frac{1 - p\beta_\infty}{p} \geq 0$, we can apply Lemma 4.2 with $\beta = \beta_\infty + \xi = \frac{1}{p}$ and $\alpha = \alpha_\infty + \xi\gamma_\infty$. We get

$$\int_{B_R^c} K(|x|) |u|^{q_2} dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_R^c} |x|^{\frac{(\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - 1))p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq CR^{\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - 1) + \frac{N(p-1)}{p}},$$

since

$$\alpha_\infty - \nu(q_2 - 1) + \frac{N(p-1)}{p} = \alpha_\infty + \frac{1 - p\beta_\infty}{p} \gamma_\infty + \frac{N(p-1)}{p} - \nu(q_2 - 1) = \alpha_\infty - \alpha_3 - \nu(q_2 - 1) < 0.$$

□

The proof of Theorem 3.4 will be achieved by the following further lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R_1)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r) > 0 \quad \text{for some } \gamma_0 \geq p - a_0.$$

Then there exists a constant $m_1 > 0$ such that $\forall u \in X$ one has

$$|u(x)| \leq m_1 \|u\| |x|^{-\frac{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p^2}} \quad \text{almost everywhere in } B_{R_1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. We argue as in Lemma 4.3. Define

$$\lambda_1 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R_1)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 := \sup_{r \in (0, R_1)} \frac{r^{a_0}}{A(r)}$$

for brevity. Let $u \in X$ and denote by C any positive constant which does not depend on u . Let $\tilde{u} : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $u(x) = \tilde{u}(|x|)$. As before, for any $0 < a < b < +\infty$, if I and I_N are as in Lemma 4.3 we obtain $u \in W^{1,p}(I_N)$ and $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,p}(I)$. Define now

$$v(r) = r^{N-1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0 + \frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(r)|^p, \quad l_0 = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} v(r).$$

Of course $l_0 \geq 0$. We want to prove $l_0 = 0$, and we argue by contradiction. So assume $l_0 > 0$. Then there exists $\bar{r} < R_1$ such that, for all $0 < r < \bar{r}$, one has

$$|\tilde{u}(r)|^p r^{N-1-\gamma_0} \geq \frac{l_0}{2} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{\gamma_0 + a_0}{p}}}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R_1}} V(|x|)|u(x)|^p dx &\geq \lambda_1 \int_{B_{R_1}} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^{\gamma_0}} dx = C \int_0^{R_1} |\tilde{u}(r)|^p r^{N-1-\gamma_0} dr \\ &\geq C \frac{l_0}{2} \int_0^{\bar{r}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0}{p}}} dr = +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $\gamma_0 + a_0 \geq p$ by hypothesis. This contradiction proves that $l_0 = 0$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}_n$ such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$ and $v(r_n) \rightarrow 0$. Now fix $r < R_1$ and $r_n < r$, and set $I = (r_n, r)$. Arguing as in Lemma 4.3, we have that $v \in W^{1,p}(I)$ and the derivative of v is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v'(s) &= \left(N-1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0 + \frac{a_0}{p} \right) s^{N-2-\frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0+\frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^p + \\ &\quad + p s^{N-1-\frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0+\frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{u}(s) \tilde{u}'(s). \end{aligned}$$

We then apply the fundamental theorem of Calculus to get

$$v(r) - v(r_n) = \int_{r_n}^r v'(s) ds.$$

We notice now that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-2-\frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0+\frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^p ds &= \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-1} s^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0-p}{p}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(s)|^p}{s^{\gamma_0}} ds \leq r^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0-p}{p}} \int_0^{R_1} \frac{|\tilde{u}(s)|^p}{s^{\gamma_0}} s^{N-1} ds \\ &\leq r^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0-p}{p}} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_0^{R_1} V(s) |\tilde{u}(s)|^p s^{N-1} ds = r^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0-p}{p}} C \int_{B_{R_1}} V(|x|)|u(x)|^p dx \leq C R_1^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0-p}{p}} \|u\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-1-\frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0+\frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{u}(s) \tilde{u}'(s) ds &\leq \int_{r_n}^r s^{(N-1-\gamma_0)\frac{p-1}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-1} s^{(N-1+a_0)\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{u}'(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_{r_n}^r s^{N-1} \frac{|\tilde{u}(s)|^p}{s^{\gamma_0}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{r_n}^r s^{a_0} |\tilde{u}'(s)|^p s^{N-1} ds \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-1} V(s) |\tilde{u}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{r_n}^r \mu_1 A(s) |\tilde{u}'(s)|^p s^{N-1} ds \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{R_1}} V(|x|)|u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{R_1}} A(|x|)|\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|u\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

We also notice that from the hypothesis $a_0 + \gamma_0 \geq p$ one deduces $N-1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0 + \frac{a_0}{p} \leq N-p + a_0$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) - v(r_n) &= \int_{r_n}^r v'(s) ds \\ &= \left(N-1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0 + \frac{a_0}{p} \right) \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-2-\frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0+\frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^p ds + \\ &\quad + p \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-1-\frac{p-1}{p}\gamma_0+\frac{a_0}{p}} |\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{u}(s) \tilde{u}'(s) ds \\ &\leq (N-p+a_0) \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-2-\frac{\gamma_0}{p}+\frac{a_0}{p}} s^{-\gamma_0} |\tilde{u}(s)|^p ds + C \|u\|^p \\ &\leq (N-p+a_0) R_1^{\frac{\gamma_0+a_0-p}{p}} \int_{r_n}^r s^{N-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} V(s) |\tilde{u}(s)|^p ds + C \|u\|^p \leq C \|u\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all n large enough (i.e. such that $r_n < r$), so we can pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and get $v(r) \leq C \|u\|^p$, which yields the thesis. \square

Lemma 4.5. Assume that there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in (0, R_1)} \frac{K(r)}{r^\alpha V(r)^\beta} < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \frac{1}{p} \leq \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R_1)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r) > 0 \quad \text{for some } \gamma_0 > p - a_0.$$

Assume also that there exists $q > p\beta$ such that

$$(p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0)q < p^2(\alpha + N) - p\beta((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0).$$

Then there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_1 > 0$ such that $\forall R \in (0, R_1)$ and $\forall u \in X$ one has

$$\int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^q dx \leq \tilde{C}_1 R^{\frac{p^2(\alpha+N) - p\beta((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0) - (p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0)q}{p^2}} \|u\|^q.$$

Proof. Let $u \in X$ and $R \in (0, r_1)$. Denote by C any positive constant which does not depend on u or R . By assumption (4.5) and Lemma 4.4, we can apply Lemma 4.1 with $\nu = \frac{(N-1)p - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p^2}$ and $m = m_1 \|u\|$. If $\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta < 1$, we get

$$\int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^q dx \leq C m^{q-p\beta} \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta}} dx \right)^{1-\beta} \|u\|^{p\beta} = C \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta}} dx \right)^{1-\beta} \|u\|^q.$$

Notice now that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha - \nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta} &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\alpha - \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0}{p^2} (q-p\beta) \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha p^2 + p\beta(p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0) - q(p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0)}{p^2(1-\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{\alpha - \nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta} + N = \frac{p^2(\alpha + N) - p\beta(\gamma_0(p-1) + p - a_0) - q(p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0)}{p^2(1-\beta)} > 0$$

by hypothesis. Hence we get

$$\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{\alpha - \nu(q-p\beta)}{1-\beta}} dx = CR^{\frac{p^2(\alpha+N) - p\beta(\gamma_0(p-1) + p - a_0) - q(p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0)}{p^2(1-\beta)}},$$

and from this we get the thesis. If instead we have $\beta = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^q dx \\ &\leq \Lambda m^{q-p} \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\alpha - \nu(q-p))} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\| \\ &= C \left(\int_{B_R} |x|^{\frac{p^2\alpha - (p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0)(q-p)}{p(p-1)}} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\|^{q-p} \|u\| \\ &\leq C \left(R^{\frac{p^2\alpha - (p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0)(q-p)}{p(p-1)}} \int_{B_R} V(|x|) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\|^{q-p} \|u\| \\ &\leq CR^{\frac{p^2(\alpha+N) - p(p+(p-1)\gamma_0 - a_0) - q(p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0)}{p^2}} \|u\|^q, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - \nu(q-p) &= \alpha - \frac{(N-1)p - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p^2}(q-p) \\ &= \frac{p^2(\alpha + N) - p(p + (p-1)\gamma_0 - a_0) - q(p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0)}{p^2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

from the hypotheses. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Assume the hypotheses of the theorem and denote

$$\Lambda_0 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in (0, R_1)} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_0} V(r)^{\beta_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_0 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R_1)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r).$$

If $\gamma_0 = p - a_0$ the thesis of the theorem is true by Theorem 3.2 of [6]. Indeed in this case $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\max\{1, p\beta_0\} < q_1 < \min\{q_*, q_{**}\}$$

and it is easy to verify that

$$q_* = q_{**} = p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0(p - a_0) + N}{N - p + a_0}$$

This value coincides with $q^*(a_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ of Theorem 3.2 of [6], so we have

$$q^*(a_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) > \max\{1, p\beta_0\}$$

and Theorem 3.2 of [6] gives the result. Hence, we can assume $\gamma_0 > p - a_0$ without restriction. We claim that for every $0 < R \leq R_1$ there exists $b(R) > 0$ such that $b(R) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow 0^+$ and

$$\int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^{q_1} dx \leq b(R) \|u\|^{q_1}, \quad \forall u \in X,$$

which clearly gives the result. In order to prove this claim, let $0 < R \leq R_1$. Then one has

$$\lambda(R) := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r) \geq \lambda_0 > 0 \quad (4.6)$$

and for every $\xi \geq 0$ we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in (0, R)} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_0 + \xi} V(r)^{\beta_0 + \xi}} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in (0, R_1)} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_0} V(r)^{\beta_0} (r^{\gamma_0} V(r))^\xi} \leq \frac{\Lambda_0}{\lambda_0^\xi} < +\infty. \quad (4.7)$$

Denoting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\beta_0, \gamma_0)$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\beta_0)$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_3(\beta_0, \gamma_0)$ as defined in (4.2), we will now distinguish five cases, which reflect the five definitions (3.7) of the set $\mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$. For the sake of clarity, some computations will be displaced in the Appendix.

Case $p - a_0 < \gamma_0 < N$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\max\{1, p\beta_0\} < q_1 < \min\left\{p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}, p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1 - p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}\right\}.$$

Notice that from the hypotheses we have $N - \gamma_0 > 0$ and also $\frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1} > N > \gamma_0$, so that $p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0 > 0$. The inequalities above imply that we can fix $\xi \geq 0$, independent of R , in such a way that $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0$ and $\beta = \beta_0 + \xi$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p\beta < q_1 < \frac{p^2(\alpha + N) - p\beta((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \quad (4.8)$$

(see Appendix). Hence, by (4.7) and (4.6), we can apply Lemma 4.5 (with $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0$, $\beta = \beta_0 + \xi$ and $q = q_1$), so that $\forall u \in X$ we get

$$\int_{B_R} K(|x|) |u|^{q_1} dx \leq \tilde{C}_1 R^{\frac{p^2(\alpha+N) - p\beta((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0) - (p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0)q_1}{p^2}} \|u\|^{q_1}.$$

This gives the result, since $R^{p^2(\alpha+N) - p((p-1)\gamma_0 + p)\beta - (p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0)q_1} \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow 0^+$.

Case $\gamma_0 = N$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\max\{1, p\beta_0\} < q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1 - p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}$$

and these conditions still ensure that we can fix $\xi \geq 0$ in such a way that $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0$ and $\beta = \beta_0 + \xi$ satisfy (4.8) (see Appendix), so that the result ensues again by Lemma 4.5.

Case $N < \gamma_0 < \frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1}$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \max\left\{1, p\beta_0, p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}\right\} < q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1 - p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}$$

and the conclusion then follows as in the former cases (see Appendix).

Case $\gamma_0 = \frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1}$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \max\left\{1, p\beta_0, p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}\right\} < q_1$$

and these conditions ensure that we can fix $\xi \geq 0$ in such a way that $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0$ and $\beta = \beta_0 + \xi$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta \leq 1, \quad q_1 > p\beta \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < p^2(\alpha + N) - p\beta((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)$$

(see Appendix). The result then follows again from Lemma 4.5.

Case $\gamma_0 > \frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1}$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$q_1 > \max\left\{1, p\beta_0, p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}, p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1 - p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}\right\}$$

and this condition ensures that we can fix $\xi \geq 0$ in such a way that $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0$ and $\beta = \beta_0 + \xi$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 > \max\left\{p\beta, p \frac{p(\alpha + N) - \beta((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}\right\}$$

(see Appendix). The result still follows from Lemma 4.5. \square

5 Existence results

Let $N \geq 3$ and $1 < p < N$. In this section we deduce our existence results about radial weak solutions to the equation

$$-\operatorname{div} (A(|x|) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + V(|x|) |u|^{p-2} u = K(|x|) f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (5.1)$$

i.e. functions $u \in X$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla h \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|) |u|^{p-2} u h \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(|x|) f(u) h \, dx, \quad \forall h \in X, \quad (5.2)$$

where A , V and K are potentials satisfying **(A)**, **(V)** and **(K)**, and X is the Banach spaces defined in Section 2.

As concerns the nonlinearity f , we set $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$ and we will use the following assumptions:

(f_0) $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous functions such that $f(0) = 0$.

(f_1) $\exists \theta > p$ such that $\theta F(t) \leq f(t)t$ for all $t \geq 0$;

(f_2) $\exists t_0 > 0$ such that $F(t_0) > 0$.

(f_{q_1, q_2}) $\exists q_1, q_2 > p$ and $M > 0$ such that $0 \leq f(t) \leq M \min \{t^{q_1-1}, t^{q_2-1}\}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Observe that, if $q_1 \neq q_2$, the double-power growth condition (f_{q_1, q_2}) is more stringent than the more usual single-power one, since it implies $\sup_{t>0} |f(t)|/t^{q-1} < +\infty$ for $q = q_1, q = q_2$ and every q in between. On the other hand, we will never require $q_1 \neq q_2$ in (f_{q_1, q_2}), so that our results will also concern single-power nonlinearities as long as we can take $q_1 = q_2$. The simplest $f \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ such that (f_{q_1, q_2}) holds is

$$f(t) = \min \left\{ |t|^{q_1-2} t, |t|^{q_2-2} t \right\},$$

which also ensures (f_1) if $q_1, q_2 > p$ (with $\theta = \min \{q_1, q_2\}$). Another model example is

$$f(t) = \frac{|t|^{q_2-2} t}{1 + |t|^{q_2-q_1}} \quad \text{with } 1 < q_1 \leq q_2,$$

which ensures (f_1) if $q_1 > p$ (with $\theta = q_1$). Note that, in both these cases, also (f_2) holds true. Moreover, both of these functions f become $f(t) = |t|^{q-2} t$ if $q_1 = q_2 = q$.

Now we set

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &:= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(|x|) F(u) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|) |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|) |u|^p \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(|x|) F(u) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the continuous embedding result of Theorem 3.1 and the results of [7] about Nemytskiĭ operators on the sum of Lebesgue spaces, we have that I is a C^1 functional on X provided that there exist $q_1, q_2 > 1$ such that (f_{q_1, q_2}) and (S'_{q_1, q_2}) hold. In this case, the Fréchet derivative of I at any $u \in X$ is given by

$$I'(u)h = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|x|) (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla h + V(|x|) |u|^{p-2} u h) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|x|, u) h \, dx \quad (5.3)$$

for all $h \in X$, and therefore the critical points of $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (5.2) for all $h \in X$. The following theorem has been proved in [6].

Theorem 5.1. *Assume hypotheses (f_0) , (f_1) , (f_2) and that there exist $q_1, q_2 > p$ such that (f_{q_1, q_2}) and (S''_{q_1, q_2}) hold. Assume also (\mathbf{A}) , (\mathbf{V}) , (\mathbf{K}) . Then the functional $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a nonnegative critical point $u \neq 0$.*

Such existence result relies on assumption (S''_{q_1, q_2}) , which is quite abstract and difficult to check. However it can be granted in concrete cases through Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, which ensure condition (S''_{q_1, q_2}) for suitable ranges of exponents q_1 and q_2 by explicit conditions on the potentials. Thanks to this, we get the following theorem, which is the main existence theorem of this paper. The notations for $\mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$, q_* and q_{**} are those of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $N \geq 3$ and $1 < p < N$. Assume hypotheses (f_0) , (f_1) , (f_2) , (\mathbf{A}) , (\mathbf{V}) , (\mathbf{K}) . Assume that there exist $R_1, R_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in (0, R_1)} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_0} V(r)^{\beta_0}} < +\infty & \text{ for some } 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r \in (0, R_1)} r^{\gamma_0} V(r) > 0 & \text{ for some } \gamma_0 \geq p - a_0, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r > R_2} \frac{K(r)}{r^{\alpha_\infty} V(r)^{\beta_\infty}} < +\infty & \text{ for some } 0 \leq \beta_\infty \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_\infty \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{r > R_2} r^{\gamma_\infty} V(r) > 0 & \text{ for some } \gamma_\infty \leq p - a_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Assume furthermore that there exist $q_1, q_2 > p$ such that

- $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$.
- $q_2 > \max\{1, p\beta_\infty, q_*, q_{**}\}$.
- (f_{q_1, q_2}) holds.

Then equation (5.1) has a nonnegative nontrivial solution $u \in X$.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 derives from the compactness result of the previous sections.

6 Examples

In this section we give some examples that might help to understand what is new in our results. In particular, the following examples are not included in the results of [15], which inspired and motivated our work. We recall that in that paper the authors prove some compactness theorems for potentials which behave as a power both at the origin and at infinity, which yield existence results for equation (1.1) in which $f(u)$ is a power or a sum of powers. In all our examples, we consider for simplicity a nonlinearity defined by $f(t) = \min\{t^{q_1-1}, t^{q_2-1}\}$, and we will see how to choose $p < q_1 \leq q_2$ in such a way to get existence results for problem (1.1).

Example 6.1. Assume $N \geq 3$ as always, and $1 < p < N - 1$. We take $A(r) = 1/r$ and V, K as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &= e^{1/r} \quad \text{if } r \in (0, 1), & V(r) &= r^{-p-1} \quad \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ K(r) &= e^{1/r} \quad \text{if } r \in (0, 1), & K(r) &= 1 \quad \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The results of [15] do not apply because K does not have a power growth near the origin. To apply our results, we first notice that $a_0 = a_\infty = -1$, so the hypothesis $a_0, a_\infty \in (p - N, p]$ is satisfied. In order to apply Theorem 5.2 we set $\alpha_\infty = \beta_\infty = 0$ and $\gamma_\infty = p + 1 = p - a_\infty$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_*(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty) &= p \frac{N}{N - \gamma_\infty} = p \frac{N}{N - p - 1}, \\ q_{**}(a_\infty, \alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty) &= p \frac{p + 1 + p(N - 1) - 1}{p(N - 1) - (p + 1)(p - 1) - 1} = p \frac{N}{N - p - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus we can pick $q_2 > p \frac{N}{N - p - 1} > p$. Then we take $\alpha_0 = 0, \beta_0 = 1$ and pick any $\gamma_0 > \frac{p(N-1)-1}{p-1}$, which is possible thanks to the assumptions on V . So we can choose $q_1 > \max\{1, p\beta_0, q_*, q_{**}\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} q_* &= q_*(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0) = p \frac{-\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0} = p, \\ q_{**} &= q_{**}(a_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0) = p \frac{(1 - p)\gamma_0 + p(N - 1) - 1}{p(N - 1) - \gamma_0(p - 1) - 1} = p, \end{aligned}$$

which means $q_1 > p$. As a conclusion, we can choose any $q = q_1 = q_2 > p \frac{N}{N - p - 1}$, and we get a nonnegative radial solution to the equation

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{|x|} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) + V(|x|) u^{p-1} = K(|x|) u^{q-1}.$$

Example 6.2. Assume $N \geq 4$ and $1 < p < N - 2$. Notice that $p + 1 < \frac{p(N-1)-1}{p-1}$ and let $\gamma_0 \in (p + 1, \frac{p(N-1)-1}{p-1})$. We consider $d > 1/2$ large enough (see below) and we take A, V, K as follows:

$$A(r) = \min \{r^{-2}, r^{-1}\}, \quad V(r) = \max \{r^{-\gamma_0}, r^{1/2}\}, \quad K(r) = \max \{r^d, r^{1/2}\}.$$

By a careful analysis of the hypotheses therein, one can see that the results of [15] do not apply, at least for large d 's.

To apply our results, we set $\beta_0 = \beta_\infty = 0, \alpha_0 = 1/2, \alpha_\infty = d, a_0 = -1, a_\infty = -2$ and $\gamma_\infty = -1/2$, and let γ_0 as before. Notice that $a_0, a_\infty \in (p - N, p], \gamma_\infty \leq p - a_\infty$ and $\gamma_0 \geq p - a_0$. We first apply Theorem 3.2 to get that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{S}_\infty(q_2, R) = 0$. For this we need $q_2 > \max\{1, p\beta_\infty, q_*, q_{**}\}$, where

$$q_*(\alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty) = 2p \frac{N + d}{2N + 1}, \quad q_{**}(a_\infty, \alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty, \gamma_\infty) = p \frac{2p(d + N - 1) - 5}{p(2N - 1) - 5}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of d , it is easy to see that for large d 's it holds $q_{**} > q_*$, so we obtain $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{R}_\infty(q_2, R) = 0$ for every $q_2 > p \frac{2p(d+N-1)-5}{p(2N-1)-5}$. To get compactness, and then existence of solutions, we now need to choose q_1 such that $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{S}_0(q_1, R) = 0$. To this end, according to Theorem 3.4, we distinguish several subcases.

Subcase I: $p + 1 < \gamma_0 < N$. We have to choose $p < q_1 < \min\{q_*(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0), q_{**}(a_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)\}$, where

$$q_*(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0) = p \frac{2N + 1}{2N - 2\gamma_0}, \quad q_{**}(a_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0) = p \frac{\frac{p}{2} + \gamma_0 + p(N - 1) - 1}{p(N - 1) - \gamma_0(p - 1) - 1}.$$

Setting $\delta = \min\{q_*(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0), q_{**}(a_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)\}$, it easy to check that $p < \delta$, and of course for large d 's we have $\delta < p^{\frac{2p(d+N-1)-3}{p(2N-1)-5}}$. As a conclusion, we have compactness and thus existence of solutions for q_1, q_2 such that

$$p < q_1 < \delta < p^{\frac{2p(d+N-1)-3}{p(2N-1)-5}} < q_2.$$

Subcase II: $\gamma_0 = N$. In this case hypothesis $\alpha_0 > -(1 - \beta_0)N$ is satisfied, and similar computations as above show that we can apply Theorem 5.2 by choosing d large enough and q_1, q_2 such that

$$p < q_1 < p^{\frac{\frac{p}{2} + (N-1)(p+1)}{N-p-1}} < p^{\frac{2p(b+N-1)-5}{p(2N-1)-5}} < q_2.$$

Subcase III: $N < \gamma_0 < \frac{p(N-1)-1}{p-1}$. In this case we have $q_*(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0) < 0$, and we get the result by choosing

$$p < q_1 < p^{\frac{\frac{p}{2} + \gamma_0 + p(N-1) - 1}{p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) - 1}} < p^{\frac{2p(d+N-1)-5}{p(2N-1)-5}} < q_2.$$

7 Appendix

This Appendix is devoted to complete the computations of the proof of Theorem 3.4. We still distinguish the same cases considered there.

Case $p - a_0 < \gamma_0 < N$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\max\{1, p\beta_0\} < q_1 < \min\left\{p^{\frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}}, p^{\frac{p\alpha_0 + (1 - p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}}\right\}.$$

This ensures that we can find $\xi \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta_0 + \xi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p(\beta_0 + \xi) < q_1 < \frac{p^2(\alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0) + p^2N - p(\beta_0 + \xi)((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}.$$

Indeed, this amounts to find ξ such that

$$\begin{cases} \max\left\{0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p}\right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ \xi < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p(\alpha_0 + N) - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{\gamma_0 - p + a_0} < \xi, \end{cases}$$

Since $\frac{1}{p} - \beta_0 < 1 - \beta_0$ is obvious (recall that $p > 1$) and $1 - \beta_0 \geq 0$ holds by assumption, such a system has a solution ξ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p(\alpha_0 + N) - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{\gamma_0 - p + a_0} < 1 - \beta_0 \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p(\alpha_0 + N) - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{\gamma_0 - p + a_0} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} > 0, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} 1 < q_1 \\ q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + p(N-1) + (1-p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} = q_{**} \\ q_1 < p \frac{\alpha_0 + N - \gamma_0\beta_0}{N - \gamma_0} = q_* \\ q_1 > p\beta_0. \end{cases}$$

Case $\gamma_0 = N$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 (= \alpha_2 = \alpha_3)$ and

$$\max\{1, p\beta_0\} < q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1-p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} = p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (p+1)N - p\beta_0N - p + a_0}{N - p + a_0}$$

and this ensures that we can find $\xi \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta_0 + \xi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p(\beta_0 + \xi) < q_1 < \frac{p^2(\alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0) + p^2N - p((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)(\beta_0 + \xi)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}.$$

This amounts to find ξ such that

$$\begin{cases} \max\left\{0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p}\right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ \frac{q_1}{p} - \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - (N(p-1) + p - a_0)\beta_0}{N - p + a_0} < \xi < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p}, \end{cases}$$

which has a solution ξ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ \frac{q_1}{p} - \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - (N(p-1) + p - a_0)\beta_0}{N - p + a_0} < 1 - \beta_0 \\ \frac{q_1}{p} - \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - (N(p-1) + p - a_0)\beta_0}{N - p + a_0} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ 0 < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p}. \end{cases}$$

These conditions are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} 1 < q_1 \\ q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (p+1)N - p(\beta_0N + 1) + a_0}{N - p + a_0} = q_{**} \\ 0 < \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - (N(p-1) + p - a_0)\beta_0}{N - p + a_0} - \beta_0 = p \frac{\alpha_0 + N(1 - \beta_0)}{N - p + a_0} = p \frac{\alpha_0 - \alpha_1}{N - p + a_0} \\ p\beta_0 < q_1. \end{cases}$$

Case $N < \gamma_0 < \frac{p(N-1) + a_0}{p-1}$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\max\left\{1, p\beta_0, p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0\gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}\right\} < q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1-p\beta_0)\gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}$$

and these conditions ensure that we can find $\xi \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta_0 + \xi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p(\beta_0 + \xi) < q_1 < \frac{p^2(\alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0) + p^2N - p((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)(\beta_0 + \xi)}{(N-1)p - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}.$$

Indeed, this is equivalent to find ξ such that

$$\begin{cases} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ \xi < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - \gamma_0(p-1) + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{\gamma_0 - p + a_0} < \xi, \end{cases}$$

Such a system has a solution ξ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - ((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)\beta_0}{\gamma_0 - p + a_0} < 1 - \beta_0 \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - ((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)\beta_0}{\gamma_0 - p + a_0} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p}, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} q_1 > p\beta_0 \\ q_1 > 1 \\ q_1 < p \frac{p\alpha_0 + p(N-1) + \gamma_0(1-p\beta_0) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} = q_{**} \\ q_1 > p \frac{\alpha_0 + N - \beta_0\gamma_0}{N - \gamma_0} = q_* \end{cases}$$

Case $\gamma_0 = \frac{p(N-1) + a_0}{p-1}$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 > \max \left\{ 1, p\beta_0, -p \frac{(\alpha_0 + N)(p-1) - \beta_0(p(N-1) + a_0)}{N - p + a_0} \right\}.$$

This ensures that we can find $\xi \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta_0 + \xi \leq 1, \quad q_1 > p(\beta_0 + \xi)$$

and

$$p(\alpha_0 + \xi\gamma_0) + pN - ((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)(\beta_0 + \xi) > 0,$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{p} - \beta_0 \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0, \quad q_1 > p\beta_0 + p\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p}{p-1} (N - p + a_0)\xi + p(\alpha_0 + N(1 - \beta_0)) > 0.$$

Indeed, this amounts to find ξ such that

$$\begin{cases} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ -\frac{(p-1)(\alpha_0 + N(1-\beta_0))}{N - p + a_0} < \xi < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \end{cases}$$

and such a system has a solution ξ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ -\frac{(p-1)(\alpha_0 + N(1-\beta_0))}{N - p + a_0} < 1 - \beta_0 \\ -\frac{(p-1)(\alpha_0 + N(1-\beta_0))}{N - p + a_0} < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p} \\ 0 < \frac{q_1 - p\beta_0}{p}, \end{cases}$$

which means

$$\begin{cases} 1 < q_1 \\ \alpha_0 > -(1 - \beta_0) \frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1} = -(1 - \beta_0) \gamma_0 = \alpha_1 \\ q_1 > p \left(\beta_0 - \frac{(p-1)(\alpha_0+N(1-\beta_0))}{N-p+a_0} \right) = -p \frac{(p-1)\alpha_0 - \beta_0 p(N-1) - \beta_0 a_0 + N(p-1)}{N-p+a_0} = q_* \\ p\beta_0 < q_1. \end{cases}$$

Case $\gamma_0 > \frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1}$.

In this case, $(\alpha_0, q_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{a_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ means

$$q_1 > \max \left\{ 1, p\beta_0, p \frac{\alpha_0 - \beta_0 \gamma_0 + N}{N - \gamma_0}, p \frac{p\alpha_0 + (1 - p\beta_0) \gamma_0 + p(N-1) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \right\}$$

and this condition ensures that we can find $\xi \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \beta_0 + \xi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 > p \max \left\{ \beta_0 + \xi, \frac{p(\alpha_0 + \xi \gamma_0) + pN - ((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)(\beta_0 + \xi)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \right\},$$

which amounts to find ξ such that

$$\begin{cases} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ \frac{q_1}{p} > \max \left\{ \beta_0 + \xi, \frac{\gamma_0 - p + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \xi + \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \right\}. \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

In order to check this, we take into account that $\gamma_0 > \frac{p(N-1)+a_0}{p-1}$ and $a_0 > p - N$ imply $\gamma_0 > N$, and observe that

$$\beta_0 + \xi = \frac{\gamma_0 - p + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \xi + \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \iff \xi = \frac{\alpha_0 + (1 - \beta_0)N}{N - \gamma_0}.$$

Accordingly, we distinguish three subcases:

$$(I) \frac{\alpha_0 + (1 - \beta_0)N}{N - \gamma_0} \geq 1 - \beta_0, \text{ i.e., } \alpha_0 \leq -\gamma_0(1 - \beta_0) = \alpha_1;$$

$$(II) \frac{\alpha_0 + (1 - \beta_0)N}{N - \gamma_0} \leq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1 - p\beta_0}{p} \right\}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\alpha_0 + (1 - \beta_0)N \geq (N - \gamma_0) \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1 - p\beta_0}{p} \right\} = \min \left\{ 0, (N - \gamma_0) \frac{1 - p\beta_0}{p} \right\},$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha_0 \geq \min \left\{ 0, (N - \gamma_0) \frac{1 - p\beta_0}{p} \right\} - (1 - \beta_0)N = \min \{ \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \};$$

$$(III) \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1 - p\beta_0}{p} \right\} < \frac{\alpha_0 + (1 - \beta_0)N}{N - \gamma_0} < 1 - \beta_0, \text{ i.e., } \alpha_1 < \alpha_0 < \min \{ \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \}.$$

Subcase (I).

Since $\xi \leq 1 - \beta_0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \beta_0 + \xi, \frac{\gamma_0 - p + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \xi + \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_0 - p + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \xi + \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}, \end{aligned}$$

the inequalities (7.1) become

$$\begin{cases} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ q_1 > \frac{\gamma_0 - p + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \xi + \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p^2\alpha_0 + p^2N - p\beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} < \xi, \end{cases}$$

which, since $\max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq 1 - \beta_0$ is clearly true, has a solution ξ if and only if

$$q_1 \frac{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} - \frac{p^2\alpha_0 + p^2N - p\beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(\gamma_0 - p + a_0)} < 1 - \beta_0,$$

i.e.,

$$q_1 > p \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - p + \gamma_0(1 - p\beta_0) + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} = q_{**}.$$

Subcase (II).

Since $\xi \geq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\}$ implies $\max \left\{ \beta_0 + \xi, \frac{\gamma_0 - p + a_0}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \xi + \frac{p\alpha_0 + pN - \beta_0((p-1)\gamma_0 + p - a_0)}{p(N-1) - (p-1)\gamma_0 + a_0} \right\} = \beta_0 + \xi$, the inequalities (7.1) become

$$\begin{cases} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \xi \leq 1 - \beta_0 \\ \xi < \frac{q_1}{p} - \beta_0, \end{cases}$$

which has a solution ξ if and only if $\max \left\{ 0, \frac{1-p\beta_0}{p} \right\} \leq \frac{q_1}{p} - \beta_0$, i.e., $q_1 > \max \{1, p\beta_0\}$.

Subcase (III).

We take $\xi = \frac{\alpha_0 + (1-\beta_0)N}{N-\gamma_0}$ and thus the inequalities (7.1) are equivalent just to

$$\frac{q_1}{p} > \beta_0 + \frac{\alpha_0 + (1-\beta_0)N}{N-\gamma_0} = \frac{\alpha_0 + N - \gamma_0\beta_0}{N-\gamma_0},$$

that is $q_1 > q_*$.

References

- [1] Anoop, T. V., Drábek, P., Sasi, S.: Weighted quasilinear eigenvalue problems in exterior domains. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **53**, 961-975 (2015)
- [2] Badiale, M., Greco, S., Rolando, S.: Radial solutions of a biharmonic equation with vanishing or singular radial potential. *Nonlinear Analysis* **185**, 97-122 (2019)
- [3] Badiale, M., Guida, M., Rolando, S.: Compactness and existence results for the p -Laplace equation. *J.Math.Anal.Appl.* **451**, 345-370 (2017)
- [4] Badiale, M., Guida, M., Rolando, S.: Compactness and existence results in weighted Sobolev spaces of radial functions. Part II: Existence. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **23**:67 (2016)

- [5] Badiale, M., Guida, M., Rolando, S.: Compactness and existence results in weighted Sobolev spaces of radial functions. Part I: Compactness. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **54**, 1061-1090 (2015)
- [6] Badiale, M., Guida, M., Rolando, S.: Compactness and existence results for quasilinear elliptic problems with singular or vanishing potentials. *Anal. Appl.* **19**, 751-777 (2021)
- [7] Badiale, M., Pisani, L., Rolando, S.: Sum of weighted Lebesgue spaces and nonlinear elliptic equations. *NoDEA, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **18**, 369-405 (2011)
- [8] Badiale, M., Zaccagni, F.: Radial nonlinear elliptic problems with singular or vanishing potentials. *Adv.Nonlinear Stud.* **18**, 409-428 (2018)
- [9] Cai, H., Su, J., Sun, Y.: Sobolev type embeddings and an inhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^N with singular weights. *Nonlinear Anal.* **96**, 59-67 (2014)
- [10] Guida, M., Rolando, S.: Nonlinear Schrödinger equations without compatibility conditions on the potentials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **439**, 347-363 (2016)
- [11] Su, J.: Quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^N with singular potentials and bounded nonlinearity. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **63**, 51-62 (2012)
- [12] Su, J., Tian, R.: Weighted Sobolev type embeddings and coercive quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^N . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**, 891-903 (2012)
- [13] Su, J., Wang, Z.-Q., Willem, M.: Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded and decaying radial potentials. *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **9**, 571-583 (2007)
- [14] Su, J., Wang, Z.-Q., Willem, M.: Weighted Sobolev embedding with unbounded and decaying radial potentials. *J. Differential Equations* **238**, 201-219 (2007)
- [15] Su, J., Wang, Z.-Q.: Sobolev type embedding and quasilinear elliptic equations with radial potentials. *J. Differential Equations* **250**, 223-242 (2011)
- [16] Yang, Y., Zhang, J.: A note on the existence of solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2012**, 2012:136, 7 pages
- [17] Zhang, G.: Weighted Sobolev spaces and ground state solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems with unbounded and decaying potentials. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013**, 2013:189, 15 pages