

Elementary functions solutions to the Bachelier model generated by Lie point symmetries

Evangelos Melas

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Under the recent negative interest rate situation, the Bachelier model has been attracting attention and adopted for evaluating the price of interest rate options. In this paper we find the Lie point symmetries of the Bachelier partial differential equation (PDE) and use them in order to generate new classes of denumerably infinite elementary function solutions to the Bachelier model from elementary function solutions to it which we derived in a previous publication.

1 Introduction

Paul Samuelson, the first American to win the Nobel Prize in Economics, introduced Bachelier to modern financial economists in his 1972 article “Mathematics of Speculative Price,” which appeared in *Mathematical Topics in Economic Theory and Computation*. Samuelson said that Bachelier, “. . . seems to have had something of a one-track mind. But what a track!” Samuelson attributed to Bachelier the discovery of “Brownian motion” five years prior to Einstein’s famous and much lauded similar discovery of the same phenomenon. Samuelson’s encomium, most of which appears in a footnote in the article, reveals that Bachelier’s work contributed to physics and mathematics before it was picked up by economists [1].

Louis Bachelier pioneered an option pricing model in his Ph.D. thesis [2], marking the birth of mathematical finance. He offered the first analysis of the mathematical properties of Brownian motion (BM)

E. Melas
University of Athens
Department of Economics
Unit of Mathematics and Informatics
Sofokleous 1
10559 Athens
Greece
Tel.: +30-210-3689403
E-mail: emelas@econ.uoa.gr

to model the stochastic change in stock prices, and this preceded the work of Einstein [3] by five years. His analysis also precursors what is now known as the efficient market hypothesis [4]. See [5] for Bachelier's contribution to financial economics and [6] for a review of his life and achievements.

Owing to the celebrated Black–Scholes–Merton (BSM) model [7], [8], [9], [10] and the fact that the arithmetic BM allows negative asset prices, the Bachelier model has been forgotten as a part of history until recently. Ironically, the model gained attention again in the twenty–first century because it can deal with negative asset prices, which was considered its limitation. The negative interest rates observed in some developed countries after the 2008 global financial crisis forced fixed–income trading desks to reconsider their option pricing models. The spread of COVID–19 led to lockdowns worldwide and an extremely sharp drop in the global demand for oil. Consequently, in April 2020, the price of oil futures contracts became sharply negative for the first time in history. In response, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) and Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) changed their models for oil futures options from the BSM to the Bachelier model to handle the negative prices [11], [12].

In fact, the attention on the Bachelier model dates back to the pre–2008 crisis era, even when the fear of negative prices was negligible. The Bachelier model has been widely used at least in the fixed income markets–swaptions are quoted and risk–managed by Bachelier volatility. Other than in fixed income markets, the Bachelier model was naturally adopted when the underlying price can assume negative values. For example, it has been used for spread options (i.e., the option on the price difference of two assets) [13] and year–on–year inflation [14].

In [15] by studying the differential Galois group of Bachelier ODE we obtained four classes of denumerably infinite elementary function solutions to the Bachelier model which are expressed via products of polynomials and exponential functions. In this paper we find the Lie point symmetries of the Bachelier PDE and we use these symmetries in order to generate new classes of solutions from the solutions we derived in [15]. We anticipate that both the solutions we found in [15] and the solutions we find in this paper describe various types of new interesting financial instruments.

This paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we give the bare essentials of the Bachelier model and we derive the Bachelier PDE. In Section 3 we find the Lie point symmetries of the Bachelier PDE. In Section 4 we revise the elementary functions solutions to the Bachelier model we found in [15]. In Section 5 we generate new classes of elementary function solutions to the Bachelier model. In Section 6 we comment on our results and we outline prospects for future research.

2 The Bachelier model and the Bachelier PDE

Following closely [16], we give the bare essentials of the Bachelier model and the associated Bachelier PDE.

2.1 The Bachelier model

We consider an economy in which there is a stock without dividend payments, a zero coupon bond (hereinafter called “bond”) and an option whose underlying asset is this stock. The price of stock is assumed to follow the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma dW_t^P, \quad (1)$$

where μ and σ are constants and W_t^P is a Brownian motion on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}; \mathcal{F}_t)$. That is, S_t follows Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process.

The bond price is modeled by

$$\frac{dP(t, T)}{P(t, T)} = r dt, \quad P(T, T) = 1, \quad (2)$$

where $P(t, T)$ represents the value of a bond at t with maturity T and r is a continuous compound interest rate, which is assumed to be constant. At this time, when we choose $P(t, T)$ as the numeraire, the SDE of S_t under the forward measure Q_T is

$$dS_t = r S_t dt + \sigma dW_t^{Q_T}. \quad (3)$$

We consider a European call option on the stock with maturity date T and strike price K . Thus, a payoff at T is

$$\max(S_T - K) \equiv (S_T - K)^+. \quad (4)$$

There are no payments from contract point to maturity other than option premiums and maturity pay-offs. In addition, there are no transaction costs and no restrictions on short-selling, and investors can continuously restructure their portfolio.

The Bachelier PDE is derived from the Bachelier model in subsection 2.2 following an argument similar to the one followed by Black & Scholes [7], [8] and Merton [9] (see also [17]).

2.2 The Bachelier PDE

Let Y_t denote the price of a European call option with strike price K and maturity T . We assume that it can be written as a twice continuously differentiable function of stock prices S_t and time t . That is,

$$Y_t = C(S_t, t). \quad (5)$$

Applying Ito formula to Y_t , we obtain

$$dY_t = \left(r S_t \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} \right) dt + \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} \sigma dW_t^{Q_T}. \quad (6)$$

Let a_t denote the number of stocks held at time t , and let b_t denote the number of bonds held at time t . We assume that there is a self-financing trading strategy a_t, b_t that satisfies

$$a_t S_t + b_t P(t, T) = Y_t, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (7)$$

By linearity of the stochastic integral and the self-financing condition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= a_t dS_t + b_t dP(t, T) \\ &= (a_t r S_t + b_t r P(t, T)) dt + \alpha_t \sigma dW_t^{QT}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Therefore, two expressions are obtained for dY_t , and the drift and diffusion terms of (6) and (8) must be equal. First, comparing the diffusion terms, we obtain

$$a_t = \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}(S_t, t). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, from (7) we get

$$b_t = \frac{1}{P(t, T)} \left(C(S_t, t) - S_t \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}(S_t, t) \right). \quad (10)$$

Consequently, comparison of the drift terms in (6) and (8) yields the following PDE

$$rS \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - rC = 0. \quad (11)$$

This is the Bachelier PDE.

3 Lie symmetry analysis

Let

$$F = rS \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - rC. \quad (12)$$

According to the Lie symmetry theory [18], [19], [20], [21], [22] the construction of the symmetry group of (11) is equivalent to determination of its infinitesimal transformation

$$\xi = \mathcal{T}(t, S, C) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{S}(t, S, C) \frac{\partial}{\partial S} + \mathcal{C}(t, S, C) \frac{\partial}{\partial C}. \quad (13)$$

So the second prolongation $pr^2 \xi$ is

$$pr^2 \xi = \mathcal{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial S} + \mathcal{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial C} + \mathcal{C}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial C_t} + \mathcal{C}^S \frac{\partial}{\partial C_S} + \mathcal{C}^{tt} \frac{\partial}{\partial C_{tt}} + \mathcal{C}^{tS} \frac{\partial}{\partial C_{tS}} + \mathcal{C}^{SS} \frac{\partial}{\partial C_{SS}}. \quad (14)$$

The invariance condition $pr^2 \xi F|_{F=0} = 0$ reads

$$\left\{ rS C_S - rC + rC^S S + C^t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 C^{SS} \right\} \Big|_{F=0} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Expressions for the coefficients C^S , C^t , and C^{SS} can be found in many textbooks (e.g. [19] pg. 67–68). Substituting C^S , C^t , and C^{SS} into (15), eliminating the quantities u_{SS} by means of (11), and setting to zero all the coefficients of the independent terms of the polynomial of u and its partial derivatives, we obtain an overdetermined set of equations for the unknown functions \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{S} , and \mathcal{C} . Solving the determinant equations, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T &= c_1 - \frac{e^{-2rt}(c_4 - c_5 e^{4rt})}{2r}, & S &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-2rt}(2c_2 e^{3rt} + 2c_3 e^{rt} + c_4 S + c_5 S e^{4rt}), \\ C &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(e^{-2rt} \left((\sigma^2(-2c_4 + c_5 e^{4rt} + 2c_6 e^{2rt}) - 2rS(2c_3 e^{rt} + c_4 S))C + 2\sigma^2 e^{2rt} \psi(t, S) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6$ are arbitrary constants, $\psi(t, S)$ being an arbitrary solution of (11) satisfying

$$rS \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial S^2} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - r\psi = 0.$$

The presence of these arbitrary constants leads to six-dimensional Lie algebra of symmetries. A basis of this algebra is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, & \xi_2 &= e^{rt} \frac{\partial}{\partial S}, \\ \xi_3 &= e^{-rt} \frac{\partial}{\partial S} - \frac{2e^{-rt} r S C}{\sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial C}, \\ \xi_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-2rt}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2rt} S \frac{\partial}{\partial S} - \frac{e^{-2rt}(\sigma^2 + rS^2)C}{\sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial C}, \\ \xi_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2rt}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2rt} S \frac{\partial}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2rt} C \frac{\partial}{\partial C}, \\ \xi_6 &= C \frac{\partial}{\partial C}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let us now consider a point transformation $G: (t, S, C) \rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*)$ with $C^*(t^*, S^*)$ a solution of $rS^* \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial S^*} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 C^*}{\partial S^{*2}} + \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial t^*} - rC^* = 0$. By using the six generators in (17) we solve, for each one of them, the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dt^*}{d\lambda} = T(t^*, S^*, C^*), \quad \frac{dS^*}{d\lambda} = S(t^*, S^*, C^*), \quad \frac{dC^*}{d\lambda} = C(t^*, S^*, C^*), \quad (18)$$

with initial condition

$$t^*|_{\lambda=0} = t, \quad S^*|_{\lambda=0} = S, \quad C^*|_{\lambda=0} = C, \quad (19)$$

and we find that the corresponding six one-parameter Lie groups of symmetry transformations of (11) are given respectively by

$$\mathbf{G}_1 : (t, S, C) \rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*) = (G_1(t), G_1(S), G_1(C)) = (t + \varepsilon_1, S, C), \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_2 : (t, S, C) \rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*) = (G_2(t), G_2(S), G_2(C)) = (t, S + \varepsilon_2 e^{rt}, C), \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_3 : (t, S, C) \rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*) = (G_3(t), G_3(S), G_3(C)) = \left(t, S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt}, e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_3 r e^{-rt} (\varepsilon_3 e^{-rt} + 2S)}{\sigma^2}} C \right), \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_4 : (t, S, C) &\rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*) = (G_4(t), G_4(S), G_4(C)) \\ &= \left(\frac{\ln(e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4)}{2r}, \frac{e^{rt} S}{\sqrt{e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4}}, e^{-\frac{r(2\sigma^2 t (e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4) - \varepsilon_4 S^2)}{\sigma^2 (e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4)}} (e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4) C \right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_5 : (t, S, C) \rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*) = (G_5(t), G_5(S), G_5(C)) = \left(-\frac{\ln(e^{-2rt} + \varepsilon_5)}{2r}, \frac{e^{-rt} S}{\sqrt{e^{-2rt} + \varepsilon_5}}, \frac{e^{-rt} C}{\sqrt{e^{-2rt} + \varepsilon_5}} \right), \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_6 : (t, S, C) \rightarrow (t^*, S^*, C^*) = (G_6(t), G_6(S), G_6(C)) = (t, S, e^{\varepsilon_6} C). \quad (25)$$

4 Elementary function solutions to the Bachelier PDE

It is appropriate at this point to recall the following definition: The function

$$F(e, q; u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e)_k u^k}{(q)_k k!}, \quad (26)$$

where the symbol $(w)_k$, is the Pochhammer's symbol, and is defined by

$$(w)_k = w(w+1)\dots(w+k-1), \quad (27)$$

is called confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind or Kummer's function of the first kind. When $e = -m$, m being a non-negative integer, $F(e, q; u)$ is truncated and it reduces to a polynomial $P_m(u) = F(-m, q; u)$ of degree m

$$F(-m, q; u) = 1 - \frac{m}{q}u + \frac{m(m-1)}{q(q+1)} \frac{u^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^m m!}{q(q+1)\dots(q+m-1)} \frac{u^m}{m!}. \quad (28)$$

In [15] we proved the following Theorem

Theorem 1 *The Bachelier PDE*

$$rS \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - rC = 0$$

admits the following four classes of elementary function solutions

$$C_{1,n}(t, S) = S F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{nrt}, \quad (29)$$

$$C_{2,n}(t, S) = F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; -r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{(n+1)rt}, \quad (30)$$

$$C_{3,n}(t, S) = e^{-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} S F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{-(n-3)rt}, \quad (31)$$

$$C_{4,n}(t, S) = e^{-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{-(n-2)rt}, \quad (32)$$

where $n=0$, or n is any multiple of -2 .

As pointed out in [15], since the Bachelier PDE is linear an immediate cosequence of Theorem 1 is the following

Corollary 1 *The Bachelier PDE*

$$rS \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - rC = 0$$

admits the following elementary function solution

$$C(t, S) = \sum_{k=1}^q m_k C_{1,\mu_k}(t, S) + \sum_{k=1}^r z_k C_{2,\zeta_k}(t, S) + \sum_{k=1}^t w_k C_{3,\omega_k}(t, S) + \sum_{k=1}^j d_k C_{4,\delta_k}(t, S), \quad (33)$$

where q, r, t, j are any positive integers, equal to one or greater than one, m_k, z_k, w_k and d_k are arbitrary real numbers, μ_k, ζ_k, ω_k and δ_k are equal to zero or they are any multiples of -2 , and, $C_{1,\mu_k}(t, S)$, $C_{2,\zeta_k}(t, S)$, $C_{3,\omega_k}(t, S)$, $C_{4,\delta_k}(t, S)$ are defined respectively by (29), (30), (31), (32).

5 New solutions

For convenience we write

$$\Phi_{1,n}(t, S, C) = C - C_{1,n}(t, S), \quad \Phi_{2,n}(t, S, C) = C - C_{2,n}(t, S), \quad (34)$$

$$\Phi_{3,n}(t, S, C) = C - C_{3,n}(t, S), \quad \Phi_{4,n}(t, S, C) = C - C_{4,n}(t, S), \quad (35)$$

where $C_{1,n}(t, S)$, $C_{2,n}(t, S)$, $C_{3,n}(t, S)$, $C_{4,n}(t, S)$, are defined respectively by (29), (30), (31), (32).

It is well known [18], [19], [20], [21], [22] that Lie point symmetry groups of PDEs map solutions of PDEs to different, in general, solutions of PDEs. In the problem under consideration we have the following

Theorem 2 *Each class of elementary function solutions $\Phi_{q,n}(t, S, C) = 0$, $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $n = 0, -2, -4, -6, \dots$, to the Bachelier PDE (11) is mapped by the one-parameter Lie group of point symmetries G_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, of the Bachelier PDE (11), to a class of one-parameter families of elementary function solutions $\Phi_{q,n}(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0$, $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $n = 0, -2, -4, -6, \dots$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, to the Bachelier PDE (11). Thus we obtain in total twenty four classes of one-parameter families of elementary function solutions to the Bachelier PDE (11) given by*

$$\Phi_{1,n}(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G_i(C) - G_i(S) F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -r\left(\frac{G_i(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{nrG_i(t)} = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\Phi_{2,n}(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G_i(C) - F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; -r\left(\frac{G_i(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{(n+1)rG_i(t)} = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\Phi_{3,n}(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G_i(C) - e^{-r\left(\frac{G_i(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2} G_i(S) F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; r\left(\frac{G_i(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{-(n-3)rG_i(t)} = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\Phi_{4,n}(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G_i(C) - e^{-r\left(\frac{G_i(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2} F\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; r\left(\frac{G_i(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{-(n-2)rG_i(t)} = 0, \quad (39)$$

where $G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, are given by (20), (21), (22), (23), (24), (25). Each class contains denumerably infinite members enumerated by $n = 0, -2, -4, -6, \dots$. Solutions (36), (37), (38), (39) are such that can be solved explicitly with respect to C .

We note [19], p.85, that if for some pair (q, n) , $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $n = 0, -2, -4, -6, \dots$, and for some $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$,

$$\xi_i \Phi_{q,n}(t, S, C) = 0 \Big|_{\Phi_{q,n}(t, S, C) = 0}, \quad (40)$$

then the solution $\Phi_{q,n}(t, S, C) = 0$ to the Bachelier PDE (11) is not mapped by G_i , to a one-parameter family of solutions but it is mapped to itself, i.e., we have

$$\Phi_{q,n}(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0 \stackrel{(40)}{\Leftrightarrow} \Phi_{q,n}(t, S, C) = 0. \quad (41)$$

However, this is the exception rather than the rule.

Similarly, if we write

$$\Phi(t, S, C) = C - \mathcal{C}(t, S), \quad (42)$$

and,

$$\Omega_1(t, S, C) = C - C_{1, \mu_k}(t, S), \quad \Omega_2(t, S, C) = C - C_{2, \zeta_k}(t, S) \quad (43)$$

$$\Omega_3(t, S, C) = C - C_{3, \omega_k}(t, S), \quad \Omega_4(t, S, C) = C - C_{4, \delta_k}(t, S), \quad (44)$$

where $\mathcal{C}(t, S)$ is the solution of the Bachelier PDE (11) defined in (33), and, $C_{1, \mu_k}(t, S)$, $C_{2, \zeta_k}(t, S)$, $C_{3, \omega_k}(t, S)$, $C_{4, \delta_k}(t, S)$, μ_k , ζ_k , ω_k and δ_k are equal to zero or they are any multiples of -2 , are defined respectively by (29), (30), (31), (32).

Corollary 1 leads to

Corollary 2 *The solution $\Phi(t, S, C) = 0$ of the Bachelier PDE (11), when at least one of the $\xi_i \Omega_q$, $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is different from zero, is mapped by the one-parameter Lie group of point symmetries G_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, of the Bachelier PDE (11), to a one-parameter family of elementary function solutions*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(G_i(t), G_i(S), G_i(C)) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow G_i(C) = \mathcal{C}(G_i(t), G_i(S)) \Leftrightarrow \\ G_i(C) &= \sum_{k=1}^q m_k C_{1, \mu_k}(G_i(t), G_i(S)) + \sum_{k=1}^r z_k C_{2, \zeta_k}(G_i(t), G_i(S)) + \\ &\sum_{k=1}^t w_k C_{3, \omega_k}(G_i(t), G_i(S)) + \sum_{k=1}^j d_k C_{4, \delta_k}(G_i(t), G_i(S)), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where q, r, t, j are any positive integers, equal to one or greater than one, m_k, z_k, w_k and d_k are arbitrary real numbers, μ_k, ζ_k, ω_k and δ_k are equal to zero or they are any multiples of -2 , $C_{1, \mu_k}(t, S)$, $C_{2, \zeta_k}(t, S)$, $C_{3, \omega_k}(t, S)$, $C_{4, \delta_k}(t, S)$ are defined respectively by (29), (30), (31), (32), and, $G_i(t)$, $G_i(S)$, $G_i(C)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, are given by (20), (21), (22), (23), (24), (25).

5.1 Examples

5.1.1 1st example : $q = 1, n = 0, i = 4$.

We have

$$\Phi_{1,0}(t, S, C) = 0 \Leftrightarrow C = S. \quad (46)$$

(46) is a solution of (11). Choosing $i = 4$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{1,0}(G_4(t), G_4(S), G_4(C)) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow G_4(C) - G_4(S) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow e^{-\frac{r(2\sigma^2 t(e^{2rt+\varepsilon_4}) - \varepsilon_4 S^2)}{\sigma^2(e^{2rt+\varepsilon_4})}} (e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4) C - \frac{e^{rt} S}{\sqrt{e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4}} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow C = \frac{e^{\frac{r(3\sigma^2 t(e^{2rt+\varepsilon_4}) - \varepsilon_4 S^2)}{\sigma^2(e^{2rt+\varepsilon_4})}} S}{(e^{2rt} + \varepsilon_4)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

(47) is a one-parameter family of solutions of (11). Therefore solution (46) of the Bachelier PDE (11) is mapped by the one-parameter Lie group of point symmetries G_4 , equation (23), of the Bachelier PDE (11) to the one-parameter family of solutions (47) of the Bachelier PDE (11).

5.1.2 2nd example : $q = 4, n = -2, i = 5$.

We have

$$\Phi_{4,-2}(t, S, C) = 0 \Leftrightarrow C = e^{4rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left(1 - 2r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right). \quad (48)$$

(48) is a solution of (11). Choosing $i = 5$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{4,-2}(G_5(t), G_5(S), G_5(C)) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow G_5(C) - e^{4rG_5(t)-r\left(\frac{G_5(S)}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left(1 - 2r\left(\frac{G_5(C)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow C = \frac{e^{r\left(5t - \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2(1+e^{2rt}\varepsilon_5)}\right)} \sqrt{e^{-2rt} + \varepsilon_5} (-2rS^2 + \sigma^2(1 + e^{2rt}\varepsilon_5))}{\sigma^2(1 + e^{2rt}\varepsilon_5)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

(49) is a one-parameter family of solutions of (11). Therefore solution (48) of the Bachelier PDE (11) is mapped by the one-parameter Lie group of point symmetries G_5 , equation (24), of the Bachelier PDE (11) to the one-parameter family of solutions (49) of the Bachelier PDE (11).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_4 \Phi_{1,0}(t, S, C) &= -\frac{2e^{-2rt}(\sigma^2 + rS^2)C + \sigma^2 e^{-2rt}S}{2\sigma^2} \Big|_{C=S} \neq 0, \quad (50) \\ \xi_5 \Phi_{4,-2}(t, S, C) &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4 r} \left(e^{2rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left(14\sigma^2 e^{4rt} r^2 S^2 - 4e^{4rt} r^3 S^4 + \sigma^4 \left(-4e^{4rt} r + e^{r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} rC \right) \right) \right) \Big|_{C=e^{4rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left(1-2r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)} \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

As a result of (50) and (51), G_4 and G_5 map solutions (46) and (48) to new different solutions, namely to solutions (47) and (49) respectively.

5.1.3 3rd example : $q = r = t = j = 2, m_1 = 2, m_2 = 5, \mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 = -2, z_1 = 1, z_2 = 3, \zeta_1 = 0, \zeta_2 = -2, w_1 = 4, w_2 = 6, \omega_1 = 0, \omega_2 = -2, d_1 = 7, d_2 = 9, \delta_1 = 0, \delta_2 = -2$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t, S, C) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow C = 2C_{1,0}(t, S) + 5C_{1,-2}(t, S) + C_{2,0}(t, S) + 3C_{2,-2}(t, S) + 4C_{3,0}(t, S) + 6C_{3,-2}(t, S) \\ &\quad + 7C_{4,0}(t, S) + 9C_{4,-2}(t, S) \\ &\Leftrightarrow C = 2S + 5S \left(1 + \frac{2r}{3} \left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{-2rt} + e^{rt} + 3 \left(1 + 2r \left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) e^{-rt} + 4S e^{3rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} \\ &\quad + 6S e^{5rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2r}{3} \left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) + 7e^{2rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} + 9e^{4rt-r\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left(1 - 2r \left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

(52) is a solution of (11). Choosing $i = 3$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(G_3(t), G_3(S), G_3(C)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G_3(C) = & 2G_3(S) + 5G_3(S) \left(1 + \frac{2r}{3} \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) e^{-2rG_3(t)} + e^{rG_3(t)} \\ & + 3 \left(1 + 2r \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) e^{-rG_3(t)} + 4G_3(S) e^{3rG_3(t) - r \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2} \\ & + 6G_3(S) e^{5rG_3(t) - r \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2r}{3} \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) + 7e^{2rG_3(t) - r \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2} \\ & + 9e^{4rG_3(t) - r \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2} \left(1 - 2r \left(\frac{G_3(S)}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Equation (53) gives

$$\begin{aligned} C = & e^{\frac{\varepsilon_3 r e^{-rt} (\varepsilon_3 e^{-rt} + 2S)}{\sigma^2}} \left(2 \left(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt} \right) + \frac{5e^{-5rt} (\varepsilon_3 + S e^{rt}) (e^{2rt} (2rS^2 + 3\sigma^2) + 2\varepsilon_3 r (\varepsilon_3 + 2e^{rt} S))}{3\sigma^2} \right) \\ & + e^{\frac{\varepsilon_3 r e^{-rt} (\varepsilon_3 e^{-rt} + 2S)}{\sigma^2}} \left(e^{rt} + \frac{3e^{-3rt} (e^{2rt} (2rS^2 + \sigma^2) + 2\varepsilon_3 r (\varepsilon_3 + 2e^{rt} S))}{\sigma^2} \right) \\ & + e^{\frac{\varepsilon_3 r e^{-rt} (\varepsilon_3 e^{-rt} + 2S)}{\sigma^2}} \left(4e^{2rt - \frac{r(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt})^2}{\sigma^2}} (\varepsilon_3 + e^{rt} S) + 6e^{5rt - \frac{r(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt})^2}{\sigma^2}} (S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt}) \left(1 - \frac{2r(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt})^2}{3\sigma^2} \right) \right) \\ & + e^{\frac{\varepsilon_3 r e^{-rt} (\varepsilon_3 e^{-rt} + 2S)}{\sigma^2}} \left(7e^{2rt - \frac{r(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt})^2}{\sigma^2}} + 9e^{4rt - \frac{r(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt})^2}{\sigma^2}} \left(1 - \frac{2r(S + \varepsilon_3 e^{-rt})^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

(54) is a one-parameter family of solutions of (11). Therefore solution (52) of the Bachelier PDE (11) is mapped by the one-parameter Lie group of point symmetries G_3 , equation (22), of the Bachelier PDE (11) to the one-parameter family of solutions (54) of the Bachelier PDE (11). We note that $\xi_3 C_{q,0} \neq 0$, $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$, on the corresponding solution surface.

6 Conclusion and Future Development

Analytical tractability of any financial model is an important feature. Existence of a closed-form solution definitely helps in pricing financial instruments and calibrating the model to market data. It also helps to verify the model assumptions, check its asymptotic behavior and explain causality. In fact, in mathematical finance many models were proposed, first based on their tractability, and only then by making another argument.

Our new classes of elementary function solutions to the Bachelier model allow fast and accurate calculation of prices of various financial instruments under the Bachelier process. Moreover they will

facilitate further the use of the Bachelier model as a benchmark for the pricing of various types of financial instruments.

The study of the financial instruments which these elementary function solutions describe will be useful for trading by using the Bachelier model in all cases.

Our new classes of elementary function solutions to the Bachelier model have been derived with a two-stage Research Program: First, in [15], we obtained Liouvillian solutions to the Bachelier model by studying its differential Galois Group. Then, in this paper, we use the Lie point symmetries of the Bachelier model in order to generate new solutions from the solutions which were derived at the first stage of the Program.

We aim to apply the same two-stage Research Program in order to explore the solution space of various models in Financial Mathematics such as the Heston Model [23] and its subsequent generalizations (the Merton–Garman model [9], [24] the Chen model [25], the Garch model [26] to name but only a few), the Longstaff–Schwartz model [27], the Vasicek model [28], the Cox–Ingersoll–Ross model [29], the Heath–Platin–Schweizer model [30], e.t.c..

References

1. R. C. Merton, *PAUL SAMUELSON AND FINANCIAL ECONOMICS* The American Economist **50** 2 9–31 (2006)
2. L. Bachelier, *Theorie de la Speculation*, Annales de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure **17** (3), No. 1018 (Paris, Gauthier–Villars). Thesis at Academy of Paris, March 29. Translated by J. Boness in P. Cootner ed., 1964, *The Random Character of Stock Market Prices* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA) (1964) Paris (1900) See also: <http://www.numdam.org/en/>
3. A. Einstein, *Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen* Annalen der Physik **4** 549–560 (1905)
4. W. Schachermayer and J. Teichmann, *How Close Are the Option Pricing Formulas of Bachelier and Black–Merton–Scholes?* Mathematical Finance **18** 155–170 doi:10.1111/j.1467-9965.2007.00326.x (2008)
5. E. J. Sullivan and T. M. Weathers, *Louis Bachelier: The Father of Modern Option Pricing Theory* The Journal of Economic Education **22** 165–171 doi:10.2307/1182421 (1991)
6. J. M. Courtault, Y. Kabanov, B. Bru, P. Crépel, I. Lebon, and A. L. Marchand, *Louis Bachelier on the Centenary of Théorie de la Spéculation* Mathematical Finance **10** 339–353 doi:10.1111/1467-9965.00098 (2000)
7. F. Black and M. Scholes, *The valuation of option contracts and a test of market efficiency* Journal of Finance **27** 399–417 (1972)
8. F. Black and M. Scholes, *The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities* Journal of Political Economy **81** 637–654 (1973)
9. R. C. Merton, *Theory of Rational Option Pricing* The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science **4** 141–183 (1973)
10. R. C. Merton, *Continuous Time Finance* Blackwell (1990)
11. CME group, *Switch to Bachelier Options Pricing Model – Effective April 22, 2020 – CME Group* (2020)
12. ICE, *Negative Pricing and Option Model Transition. Circular 20/057* (2020)
13. G. Poitras, *Spread options, exchange options, and arithmetic Brownian motion* Journal of Futures Markets **18** 487–517 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199808)18:5<487::AID-FUT1>3.0.CO;2-Z (1998)
14. C. Kenyon, *Inflation is normal* Risk 54–60. URL: <https://www.risk.net/derivatives/inflation-derivatives/1500273/inflation-normal> (2008)
15. E. Melas, *Classes of elementary function solutions to the CEV model I* Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **360** 62–77 (2019)
16. S. Terakado, *On the Option Pricing Formula Based on the Bachelier Model* KPMG Azsa LLC Electronic copy available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3428994>
17. R. Brooks and J. A. Brooks, *AN OPTION VALUATION FRAMEWORK BASED ON ARITHMETIC BROWNIAN MOTION: JUSTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES* The Journal of Financial Research **XL** 3 401–427 (2017)
18. P. J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations* Springer (1993)
19. G. W. Bluman and S. C. Anco, *Symmetry and Integration Methods for Differential Equations* Springer (2002)

20. D. J. Arrigo, *Symmetry Analysis of Differential Equations An Introduction* John Wiley & Sons (2015)
21. B. J. Cantwell, *Introduction to Symmetry Analysis* Cambridge University Press (2002)
22. P. E. Hydon, *Symmetry Methods for Differential Equations A Beginner's Guide* Cambridge University Press (2000)
23. S. L. Heston, *A Closed-Form Solution for Options with Stochastic Volatility with Applications to Bond and Currency Options* *The Review of Financial Studies* **6** 2 327–343 (1993)
24. M. B. Garman, *A general theory of asset valuation under diffusion state processes* Tech. rep. University of California at Berkeley (1976)
25. L. Chen, *Stochastic Mean and Stochastic Volatility - A Three-Factor Model of the Term Structure of Interest Rates and its Applications to the Pricing of Interest Rate Derivatives* *Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments* **5** 1–88 (1996)
26. R. F. Engle, *Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation* *Econometrica* **50** 4 987–1007 (1982)
27. F. A. Longstaff and E. Schwartz, *Interest Rate Volatility and the Term Structure: A Two-Factor General Equilibrium Model* *THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE* **XLVII** 4 1259–1282 (1992)
28. O. Vasicek, *An equilibrium characterization of the term structure* *Journal of Financial Economics* **5** 2 177–188
29. J. C. Cox, J. E. Ingersoll and S. A. Ross, *A Theory of the Term Structure of Interest Rates* *Econometrica* **53** 2 385–407 (1985)
30. D. Heath, E. Platin and M. Schweizer, *Numerical comparison of local risk-minimisation and mean-variance hedging, in Option Pricing, Interest Rates and Risk Management*, eds. E. Jouini, J. Cvitanic and M. Musiela CUP Cambridge 509–537 (2001)