

SOLUTIONS OF FERMAT-TYPE PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS IN \mathbb{C}^n

GOUTAM HALDAR

ABSTRACT. For two meromorphic functions f and g , the equation $f^m + g^m = 1$ can be regarded as Fermat-type equations. Using Nevanlinna theory for meromorphic functions in several complex variables, the main purpose of this paper is to investigate the properties of the transcendental entire solutions of Fermat-type difference and partial differential-difference equations in \mathbb{C}^n . In addition, we find the precise form of the transcendental entire solutions in \mathbb{C}^2 with finite order of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}\right)^2 + (f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - f(z_1, z_2))^2 = 1$$

and

$$f^2(z_1, z_2) + P^2(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}\right)^2 = 1,$$

where $P(z_1, z_2)$ is a polynomial in \mathbb{C}^2 . Moreover, one of the main results of the paper significantly improved the result of Xu and Cao [Mediterr. J. Math. (2018) 15:227, 1-14 and Mediterr. J. Math. (2020) 17:8, 1-4].

1. Introduction

This paper mainly deals with the existence of transcendental entire solutions with finite order of Fermat-type difference and partial differential-difference equations in several complex variables. We adopt the standard notations of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions in one variable (see [10, 15, 40]). In the past several decades, considerable attention had been paid to the existence of entire solutions for Fermat-type equation $x^n + y^m = 1$. Specially, in 1995, Wiles and Taylor [30, 32] pointed out that the Fermat-type equation $x^n + y^m = 1$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ does not admit nontrivial solution in rational numbers for $m = n \geq 3$, and does exist nontrivial solution in rational numbers for $m = n = 2$. Initially, Fermat-type functional equations were investigated by Gross [5, 6], Montel [25].

In 1970, Yang [37] considered the following functional equation

$$f^n + g^m = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

and proved the following interesting result.

Theorem A. [37] *There are no non-constant entire solutions of the functional equation (1.1) if m, n are positive integers satisfying $1/m + 1/n < 1$.*

In recent years, looking for non-constant entire as well as meromorphic solutions of Fermat-type difference as well as differential-difference equations have been

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35, 32H30, 39A14, 35A20.

Key words and phrases. Functions of Several complex variables, meromorphic functions, transcendental entire functions, Fermat-type equations, Nevanlinna theory.

studied extensively after the development of the difference analogous lemma of the logarithmic derivative by Halburd and Korhonen [7, 8], and Chiang and Feng [4], independently. As a result, successively a lot of investigations done by many researchers in this direction (see [3, 9, 13, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29, 31, 38, 41]).

In view of Theorem A, Liu *et. al.* [22] have showed that there is no finite order transcendental entire solution of Fermat-type difference equation $f^n(z) + f^m(z + c) = 1$ when $n > m > 1$ or $n = m > 2$, and for the case $n = m = 2$, $f(z)$ must be of the form $f(z) = \sin(Az + B)$, where $c(\neq 0)$, $B \in \mathbf{C}$ and $A = (4k + 1)\pi/2c$, k is an integer. Later, in 2013, Liu and Yang [19] extended this result by considering the Fermat-type difference equation $f^2(z) + P^2(z)f^2(z + c) = Q(z)$ where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are two non-zero polynomials.

In 2018, Xu and Cao [33] extended the above result to several complex variables as follows.

Theorem B. [33] *Let $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then any non-constant entire solution $f : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ with finite order of the Fermat-type difference equation $f(z)^2 + f(z + c)^2 = 1$ has the form of $f(z) = \cos(L(z) + B)$, where L is a linear function of the form $L(z) = a_1z_1 + \dots + a_nz_n$ on \mathbf{C}^n such that $L(c) = -\pi/2 - 2k\pi$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), and B is a constant on \mathbf{C} .*

It would be interesting of finding the solutions as well as the precise form of the solutions of the equation considered in Theorem B. Henceforth, motivated by the results of Xu and Cao [33], and Liu and Yang [19], in this paper, we are mainly interested to generalize Theorem B by considering the following equation

$$f^2(z) + P^2(z)f^2(z + c) = Q(z), \quad (1.2)$$

where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ (with $Q(0) \neq 0$) are two non-zero polynomials in \mathbf{C}^n . It is easy to see that (1.2) is a general setting of the equation $f(z)^2 + f(z + c)^2 = 1$. Consequently, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function defined on \mathbf{C}^n and $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$. If $f(z)$ is a solution of (1.2) such that $T(r, P) = o(T(r, f))$ and $T(r, Q) = o(T(r, f))$, then $P(z) = \pm 1$, $Q(z)$ reduces to a constant and $f(z)$ is of the form $f(z) = \cos(L(z) + B)$, where $L(z) = a_1z_1 + \dots + a_nz_n$ such that $L(c) = -\pi/2 - 2k\pi$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), and B is a constant on \mathbf{C} .*

Besides finding the solutions of Fermat-type difference equations, Fermat-type differential-difference equations are also studied by many researchers. For example, Xu and Cao [33] have investigated the entire solutions of Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}\right)^n + f^m(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = 1 \quad (1.3)$$

and obtained the following interesting result for the functions in \mathbf{C}^2 .

Theorem C. [33] *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbf{C}^2 . Then the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.3) does not have any transcendental entire solution with finite order, where m and n are two distinct positive integers.*

In 2020, Xu and Wang [35] generalized Theorem C by considering the following Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2}\right)^n + f^m(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = 1 \tag{1.4}$$

and proved the following result.

Theorem D. [35] *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbb{C}^2 and m, n be two positive integers. If the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.4) satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (i) $m > n$;
- (ii) $n > m \geq 2$,

then (1.4) does not have any finite order transcendental entire solutions.

As far as we know, there are few results about the complex difference and complex difference equations for the functions in several complex variables. Furthermore, it appears that the Fermat-type mixed partial differential-difference equations in several complex variables has not been addressed in the literature before. In order to generalize and also to establish a result which combines Theorem C and Theorem D, in our investigation, we consider the following partial differential-difference equation

$$(\partial^I f(z_1, z_2) + \partial^J f(z_1, z_2))^n + f^m(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = 1, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\partial^I f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\partial^{|I|} f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \partial z_2^{\alpha_2}} \text{ and } \partial^J f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\partial^{|J|} f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1^{\beta_1} \partial z_2^{\beta_2}}$$

with $I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $J = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ are two multi-index, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ and β_2 are non-negative integers and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote by $|I|$ to denote the length of I , that is, $|I| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Similarly, for J also. Throughout this paper, we denote $z + w = (z_1 + w_1, z_2 + w_2)$ for any $z = (z_1, z_2)$, $w = (w_1, w_2)$ and $c = (c_1, c_2)$.

As a matter of fact, we prove the next result for any order Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.5).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbb{C}^2 and m, n be two positive integers. If the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.5) satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (i) $m > n$;
- (ii) $n > m \geq 2$,

then (1.5) does not have any finite order transcendental entire solutions.

In this regard, we recall here a result of Xu and Cao [33] in which the authors have investigated the solutions of the following Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}\right)^2 + f^2(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = 1 \tag{1.6}$$

and proved that the finite order transcendental entire solutions of (1.6) must assume the form $f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(Az_1 + B)$, where $A \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $Ae^{iAc_1} = 1$, and B is a constant on \mathbb{C} (see [33, Theorem 1.2]). The authors concluded that, all entire solutions $f(z_1, z_2)$ of (1.6) must be a function of one variable z_1 .

Remark 1.1. *By the following example one can observe that the concluding part of the result of Xu and Cao is not true in general.*

Example 1.1. *Let $f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(z_1 + z_2)$ or $\cos(z_1 + z_2)$. Choose, $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $c_1 + c_2 = k\pi$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is easy to see that $f(z_1, z_2)$ is a solution of the equation (1.6) but f is not a function of z_1 only.*

In 2020, Xu and Cao [34] corrected **Theorem 1.2** of [33] and obtained the following result.

Theorem E. [34] *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then any transcendental entire solution with finite order of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.6) has the form $f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(Az_1 + Bz_2 + H(z_2))$, Where A, B are constant on \mathbb{C} satisfying $A^2 = 1$ and $Ae^{i(Ac_1 + Bc_2)} = 1$, and $H(z_2)$ is a polynomial in one variable z_2 such that $H(z_2) \equiv H(z_2 + c_2)$. In the special case whenever $c_2 \neq 0$, we have $f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(Az_1 + Bz_2 + \text{Constant})$.*

After a detailed study of the proof of result of Xu and Cao [33, Theorem 1.2] and [34, Theorem 1.1], a lacuna is found when the authors were trying to come up with a specific form of solution. For a transcendental entire function $f(z_1, z_2)$ with finite order satisfying the relation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) \right) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) \right) = 1,$$

the authors assumed that

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = e^{ip(z_1, z_2)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = e^{-ip(z_1, z_2)},$$

whereas the correct assumption will be

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)},$$

where α, β_1 and β_2 are complex constants in one variable such that $\beta_1\beta_2 = 1$, and $p(z_1, z_2)$ is a polynomial. Therefore, in order to find out the complete characterization of the solutions of (1.6) that Xu and Cao considered in their investigations, it is required to answer the following question.

Question 1.1. *What could be the precise form of solutions of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.6)?*

We prove the following result which answers Question 1.1 completely.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then any transcendental entire solution with finite order of the partial differential-difference equation (1.6) is of the*

form

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A}{2i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} \right),$$

where $A, B, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are complex constants in one variable with $A^2 = 1, \beta_1\beta_2 = 1$, and h is a polynomial in z_2 satisfying the relation $h(z_2) - h(z_2 + c_2) = \frac{i}{\alpha}Ac_1 + Bc_2 + \frac{i}{\alpha} \log A$, and $Ae^{iAc_1 + \alpha Bc_2} = 1$. In the special case whenever $c_2 \neq 0$, h reduces to a constant and the form of the solution is

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A}{2i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + \text{constant} \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + \text{constant} \right)} \right).$$

Remark 1.2. Let $A = 1, \alpha = i, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$. Choose c_1, c_2 in \mathbb{C} such that $Ae^{i(Ac_1 + Bc_2)} = 1$, and h is a polynomial in z_2 only such that $h(z_2) = h(z_2 + c_2)$. Then from Theorem 1.3, it is clear that the solution of the partial differential-difference equation (1.6) is

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(Az_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2)).$$

Hence, Theorem 1.3 is a significant improvement of the result of Xu and Cao [33, Theorem 1.2].

Example 1.2. Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \alpha = 1, A = B = 1$ and $h(z_2) = 7$, where h is defined in Theorem 1.3. Choose $c = (c_1, c_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{ic_1 + c_2} = 1, c_2 \neq 0$. Then it can be easily verified that

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -i \sinh(iz_1 + z_2 + 7)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.6).

Example 1.3. Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \alpha = 1, A = 1, B = 3$ and $h(z_2) = z_2^3 + z_2^2 + 13$, where h is defined in Theorem 1.3. Choose $c = (c_1, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{ic_1} = 1$. Then it can be easily verified that

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -i \sinh(iz_1 + 3z_2 + z_2^3 + z_2^2 + 13)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.6).

Next, we are interested to find solutions of Fermat-type partial differential-difference equations. Henceforth, we consider the following equations

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right)^2 + (f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - f(z_1, z_2))^2 = 1 \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$f^2(z_1, z_2) + P^2(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right)^2 = 1, \quad (1.8)$$

where $P(z_1, z_2)$ is a non-zero polynomial in \mathbb{C}^2 . For solutions of the system of Fermat-type partial differential-difference equations analogue to (1.7), we refer to the article [36] and references therein.

We prove the following result finding the precise form of the solutions of (1.7).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then any transcendental entire solution with finite order of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.7) is of the form*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{4i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} \right),$$

where $B, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are complex constants in one variable with $\beta_1\beta_2 = 1$, and h is a polynomial in z_2 only satisfying the relation

$$h(z_2) - h(z_2 + c_2) = -\frac{2ic_1}{\alpha} + Bc_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(-1).$$

In the special case when $c_2 \neq 0$, h must be constant and the solution is of the form

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{4i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + C \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + C \right)} \right),$$

where B and C are complex constant such that $e^{2ic_1 - \alpha Bc_2} = -1$.

Next, we list some examples to exhibit the existence of solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.7).

Example 1.4. *Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \alpha = i, B = 3$. Choose $c = (c_1, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{2ic_1} = -1$. Then from Theorem 1.4, it is clear that*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sin(-2z_1 + 3z_2 + z_2^5 + z_2^3 + 1)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.7).

Example 1.5. *Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \alpha = i, B = 5$. Choose $c = (c_1, c_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{2ic_1 - 5c_2} = -1, c_2 \neq 0$. Then from Theorem 1.4, it is clear that*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sin(-2z_1 + 5z_2 + 11)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.7).

Example 1.6. *Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \alpha = 1, B = 1$ and $h(z_2) = 10$, where h is defined in Theorem 1.4. Choose $c = (c_1, c_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{-(2ic_1 + c_2)} = -1, c_2 \neq 0$. Then one can easily verify that*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{2i} \sinh(-2iz_1 + z_2 + 10)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.7).

Example 1.7. *Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \alpha = 1, B = 15$ and $h(z_2) = z_2^6 + z_2^4 + z_2^2 + 1$, where h is defined in Theorem 1.4. Choose $c = (c_1, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{2ic_1} = -1$. Then one can easily verify that*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{2i} \sinh(-2iz_1 + 15z_2 + z_2^6 + z_2^4 + z_2^2 + 1)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.7).

We prove the next result finding the precise form of the solutions of (1.8).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$ be a constant in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then any transcendental entire solution with finite order of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.8) is of the form*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha(Az_1 + Bz_2 + C)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha(Az_1 + Bz_2 + C)} \right),$$

where A, B, C and α are complex constants in one variable such that $e^{\alpha(Ac_1 + Bc_2)} = -1$, and $P(z_1, z_2)$ reduces to a constant $-1/2i\alpha A$.

Next, we list some examples to exhibit the existence of solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.8).

Example 1.8. *Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$, $\alpha = i$, $A = 2$, $B = 5$, $C = 12$ and $P(z_1, z_2) = 1/4$. Choose $c = (c_1, c_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{i(2c_1 + 5c_2)} = -1$. Then from Theorem 1.5, it is clear that*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \cos(2z_1 + 5z_2 + 12)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.8).

Example 1.9. *Let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $A = B = C = 1$ and $P(z_1, z_2) = -1/2i$. Choose $c = (c_1, c_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $e^{c_1 + c_2} = -1$. Then from Theorem 1.5, it is clear that*

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \cosh(z_1 + z_2 + 1)$$

is a solution of the Fermat-type partial differential-difference equation (1.8).

2. Key Lemmas

In this section, we present some necessary lemmas which will play key role to prove the main results of this paper.

Lemma 2.1. [12] *Let $f_j \not\equiv 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $m \geq 3$) be meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n such that f_1, \dots, f_{m-1} are not constants, $f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_m = 1$ and such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ N_{n-1} \left(r, \frac{1}{f_j} \right) + (m-1) \overline{N}(r, f_j) \right\} < \lambda T(r, f_j) + O(\log^+ T(r, f_j))$$

holds for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ and all r outside possibly a set with finite logarithmic measure, where $\lambda < 1$ is a positive number. Then $f_m = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. [16, 27, 28] *For an entire function F on \mathbb{C}^n , $F(0) \not\equiv 0$ and put $\rho(n_F) = \rho < \infty$. Then there exist a canonical function f_F and a function $g_F \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $F(z) = f_F(z)e^{g_F(z)}$. For the special case $n = 1$, f_F is the canonical product of Weierstrass.*

Lemma 2.3. [26] *If g and h are entire functions on the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $g(h)$ is an entire function of finite order, then there are only two possible cases: either*

- (i) *the internal function h is a polynomial and the external function g is of finite order; or else*
- (ii) *the internal function h is not a polynomial but a function of finite order, and the external function g is of zero order.*

Lemma 2.4. [1, 39] *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n and let $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be a multi-index with length $|I| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$. Assume that $T(r_0, f) \geq e$ for some r_0 . Then*

$$m\left(r, \frac{\partial^I f}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$$

holds for all $r \geq r_0$ outside a set $E \subset (0, +\infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure, $\int_E \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$, where $\partial^I f = \frac{\partial^{|I|} f}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_n^{\alpha_n}}$.

Lemma 2.5. [2, 14] *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function with finite order on \mathbb{C}^n such that $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right) = S(r, f)$$

holds for all $r \geq r_0$ outside a set $E \subset (0, +\infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure, $\int_E \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $P(z) = \sum_{|I|=0}^p a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $Q(z) = \sum_{|J|=0}^q a_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} z_1^{\beta_1} \dots z_n^{\beta_n}$ be two polynomials in \mathbb{C}^n , where $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $J = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ be two multi-index with $|I| = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j$ and $|J| = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j$, and α_j, β_j are non-negative integers.

Suppose f is a finite order transcendental entire solution of (1.2). We write (1.2) as

$$h_1(z)h_2(z) = Q(z), \quad (3.1)$$

where $h_1(z) = f(z) + iP(z)f(z+c)$ and $h_2(z) = f(z) - iP(z)f(z+c)$.

Since $Q(0) \neq 0$, hence we must have $h_1(0) \neq 0$ and $h_2(0) \neq 0$. Now in view of (3.1) and Lemma 2.2, it is easy to see that

$$f(z) + iP(z)f(z+c) = \chi_1(z)e^{\chi_2(z)}; \quad (3.2)$$

$$f(z) - iP(z)f(z+c) = \chi_3(z)e^{-\chi_2(z)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\chi_1(z), \chi_3(z)$ are canonical functions of h_1 and h_2 , respectively and $\chi_2(z)$ is entire on \mathbb{C}^n such that $\chi_1(z)\chi_3(z) = Q(z)$.

Since f is a transcendental entire function of finite order, it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that $e^{\chi_2(z)}$ is of finite order, and hence by Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that $\chi_2(z)$ is a polynomial in \mathbb{C}^n .

Solving (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_1(z)e^{\chi_2(z)} + \chi_3(z)e^{-\chi_2(z)} \right); \quad (3.4)$$

$$f(z+c) = \frac{1}{2iP(z)} \left(\chi_1(z)e^{\chi_2(z)} - \chi_3(z)e^{-\chi_2(z)} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z+c) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_1(z+c)e^{\chi_2(z+c)} + \chi_3(z+c)e^{-\chi_2(z+c)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2iP(z)} \left(\chi_1(z)e^{\chi_2(z)} - \chi_3(z)e^{-\chi_2(z)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{iP(z)\chi_1(z+c)e^{\chi_2(z+c)+\chi_2(z)}}{-\chi_3(z)} + \frac{iP(z)\chi_1(z+c)e^{\chi_2(z)-\chi_2(z+c)}}{-\chi_3(z)} \\ + \frac{\chi_1(z)e^{2\chi_2(z)}}{\chi_3(z)} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now $\chi_2(z)$ being a non-constant polynomial, it is easy to see that both

$$\frac{iP(z)\chi_1(z+c)e^{\chi_2(z+c)+\chi_2(z)}}{-\chi_3(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\chi_1(z)e^{2\chi_2(z)}}{\chi_3(z)}$$

are non-constants.

Since $T(r, P) = o(T(r, f))$ and $T(r, Q) = o(T(r, f))$, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$iP(z)\chi_3(z+c)e^{\chi_2(z)-\chi_2(z+c)} = -\chi_3(z). \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, we may write $\chi_2(z) = L(z) + b$, where $L(z) = a_1z_1 + a_2z_2 + \cdots + a_nz_n$, $a_i, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, (3.7) reduces to the following form

$$iP(z)\chi_3(z+c)e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i c_i} = -\chi_3(z). \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, from (3.6), we easily obtain

$$iP(z)\chi_1(z+c)e^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i c_i} = \chi_1(z). \quad (3.9)$$

Combining 3.8 and (3.9) yields

$$P^2(z)\chi_1(z+c)\chi_3(z+c) = \chi_1(z)\chi_3(z)$$

which can be written as

$$P^2(z)Q(z+c) = Q(z).$$

Since $Q(z)$ is a non-zero polynomial, we must have $P^2(z) = 1$ and hence $P(z) = \pm 1$. Consequently, $Q(z)$ reduces to a constant q , say. Hence, (1.2) takes the form $g^2(z) + g^2(z+c) = 1$, where $g(z) = f(z)/\sqrt{q}$. Therefore, with the help of [33, Theorem 1.4], we easily obtain conclusion of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We discuss the whole proof into the following two cases.

Case 1: Let $m > n$. Since f is entire, using Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f(z_1, z_2)) &= m(r, z_1, z_2) \\ &\leq m \left(r, \frac{f(z_1, z_2)}{f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) + m(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + \log 2 \\ &\leq m(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

By the Mohon'ko theorem for functions in \mathbb{C}^2 (see [11]), an easy and straight forward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
mT(r, f(z_1, z_2)) &\leq mT(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f) \\
&= T(r, f^m(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq T(r, (\partial^I f + \partial^J f)^n - 1) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq nT(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) + S(r, f) \\
&= nm(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq nm \left(r, \frac{\partial^I f + \partial^J f}{f} \right) + nm(r, f(z_1, z_2)) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq nm \left(r, \frac{\partial^I f}{f} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{\partial^J f}{f} \right) + nm(r, f(z_1, z_2)) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq nT(r, f(z_1, z_2)) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we must have

$$(n - m)T(r, f(z_1, z_2)) \leq S(r, f),$$

which contradicts to the fact that f is transcendental and also $m > n$.

Case 2: Suppose $n > m \geq 2$. In this case, it is easy to see that $1/m + 1/n < 1$ and $m > n/(n - 1)$. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n are the roots of the equation $w^n = 1$. Then by the Second Fundamental Theorem of Nevalinna, and the equation (1.5), a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(n - 1)T(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) + \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{\partial^I f + \partial^J f - d_j} \right) \\
&\quad + S(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) \\
&\leq \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{(\partial^I f + \partial^J f)^n - 1} \right) + S(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) \\
&\leq \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) + S(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) \\
&\leq T(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Mohon'ko theorem for the functions in \mathbb{C}^2 [11] and (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
mT(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) &= T(r, f^m(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f) \\
&= T(r, (\partial^I f + \partial^J f)^n - 1) + S(r, f) \\
&= nT(r, \partial^I f + \partial^J f) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$mT(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) \leq \frac{n}{n - 1}T(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) + S(r, f)$$

and this can be written as

$$\left(m - \frac{n}{n - 1} \right) T(r, f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)) \leq S(r, f),$$

which is not possible since f is transcendental and $m > n/(n - 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First we assume that f is a finite order transcendental entire solution of (1.6). Rewriting equation (1.6), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) \right) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) \right) = 1.$$

From this equation, it is easy to see that both $\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)$ and $\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)$ do not have any zeros in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Hence, in view of Lemma 2.2, we may assume

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - if(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)},$$

where $p(z_1, z_2)$ is a non-constant entire function in \mathbb{C}^2 , α, β_1, β_2 are complex constants such that $\beta_1 \beta_2 = 1$.

From these last two equations, a simple computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}}{2} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}}{2i}. \quad (3.11)$$

Using Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that $p(z_1, z_2)$ must be a non-constant polynomial in \mathbb{C}^2 . Hence, it follows from (3.11) that $p(z_1, z_2)$ is a non-constant polynomial in \mathbb{C}^2 .

From (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} &= \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}}{2} \\ &= \alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}}{2i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, an elementary computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_1 \alpha}{i \beta_2} \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + ip(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} &+ \frac{\alpha}{i} \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - ip(z_1, z_2)} \\ &- \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} e^{2\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

From the above equation, we see that $\frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}$ is non-zero polynomial. For otherwise, it follows from (3.12) that $e^{2\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = -\beta_2^2 = \text{constant}$, which implies that $p(z_1, z_2)$ is constant, a contradiction. Hence, both $e^{2\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ and $\frac{\beta_1 \alpha}{i \beta_2} \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ are non-constants.

Also notice that

$$N(r, e^{\alpha 2p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\alpha 2p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}}\right) = S(r, f),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(r, \frac{\beta_1 \alpha}{i\beta_2} \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}\right) \\ &= N\left(r, \frac{i\beta_2}{\frac{\beta_1 \alpha \partial p(z)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}}\right) = S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$-i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - \alpha p(z_1, z_2)} = 1,$$

which implies that

$$-i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) - \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}. \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), it is easy to obtain

$$-i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - \alpha p(z_1, z_2)}. \quad (3.14)$$

We observe that L.H.S. and R.H.S. of (3.13) are respectively, polynomial (non-transcendental) and transcendental entire function. Therefore, the only possibility is that $\alpha p(z_1, z_2) - \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)$ and hence $e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) - \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ is a constant. Assume that

$$-i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) - \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = A, \quad (3.15)$$

where A is a non-zero complex constant. Then from (3.14), we obtain

$$-i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - \alpha p(z_1, z_2)} = \frac{1}{A}. \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), it can be easily seen that $A^2 = 1$. Again in view of (3.15), we may assume that $p(z_1, z_2) = iAz_1/\alpha + g(z_2)$, where $g(z_2)$ is a polynomial in z_2 only.

From (3.15), we get

$$p(z_1, z_2) - p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log A.$$

This implies that

$$g(z_2) - g(z_2 + c_2) = \frac{i}{\alpha} Ac_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log A.$$

We may write $g(z_2) = Bz_2 + h(z_2)$, where B is a complex constant in one variable and h is a polynomial in one variable z_2 of degree greater than one. Then we easily obtain

$$h(z_2) - h(z_2 + c_2) = \frac{i}{\alpha} Ac_1 + Bc_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log A. \quad (3.17)$$

Now if $c_2 = 0$, then from (3.17), we obtain $Ae^{iAc_1} = 1$. If $c_2 \neq 0$, then from (3.17), it is clear that $h(z_2)$ must be constant, and in that case we obtain $Ae^{iAc_1 + \alpha Bc_2} = 1$. Since $A^2 = 1$, we have $iAc_1 + \alpha Bc_2 = k\pi$, where k is an integer.

Hence, keeping in view of (3.11), (3.17), we get after simple calculation

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A}{2i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} \right).$$

In the special case whenever $c_2 \neq 0$, h reduces to a constant and the form of the solution is

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A}{2i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + \text{constant} \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{i}{\alpha} Az_1 + Bz_2 + \text{constant} \right)} \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assume that f is a finite order transcendental entire solution of (1.7). Let us denote $f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - f(z_1, z_2)$ by $\Delta_c f(z_1, z_2)$. Rewriting equation (1.7) we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + i \Delta_c f(z_1, z_2) \right) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} - i \Delta_c f(z_1, z_2) \right) = 1.$$

Then by the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we see that

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}}{2} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}}{2i}. \quad (3.19)$$

Differentiating (3.19) partially with respect to z_1 , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} = \left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2)}}{2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Now keeping in view of (3.18) and (3.20), we obtain after simple calculation that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \\ & + \left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{-\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \\ & - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} e^{2\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

From the above equation, we see that $\left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right)$ is non-zero polynomial. For otherwise, it follows from (3.21) that $e^{2\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = -\beta_2^2 = \text{constant}$, which implies that $p(z_1, z_2)$ is constant, a contradiction. Hence, both $-\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} e^{2\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ are non-constants.

We also observe that

$$N \left(r, e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) = N \left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}} \right) = S(r, f),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & N \left(r, \left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{\alpha ip(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) \\ &= N \left(r, \frac{1}{\left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{\alpha ip(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}} \right) = S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & N \left(r, \left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{-\alpha ip(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) \\ &= N \left(r, \frac{1}{\left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{-\alpha ip(z_1, z_2) + \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}} \right) = S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we easily obtain

$$\left(1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) e^{ip(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - ip(z_1, z_2)} = 1, \quad (3.22)$$

which implies that

$$1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{ip(z_1, z_2) - ip(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}. \quad (3.23)$$

Again, using (3.21) and (3.22), we obtain

$$1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - ip(z_1, z_2)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now we observe that L.H.S. of (3.23) is a polynomial, whereas R.H.S. of it is transcendental. Therefore, we conclude that $\alpha p(z_1, z_2) - \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)$, and thus $e^{\alpha p(z_1, z_2) - \alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ must be constant.

Let

$$1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{ip(z_1, z_2) - ip(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = A, \quad (3.25)$$

where A is a non-zero complex constant in one variable.

Then from (3.24), we get

$$1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = e^{\alpha p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - ip(z_1, z_2)} = \frac{1}{A}. \quad (3.26)$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.25) and (3.26) that $A^2 = 1$.

Again, since $1 - i\alpha \frac{\partial p(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = A$, we may assume that

$$p(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A-1}{\alpha} iz_1 + g(z_2),$$

where g is a polynomial in one variable z_2 only.

From (3.25), it follows that $p(z_1, z_2) - p(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log A$. This implies that

$$g(z_2) - g(z_2 + c_2) = \frac{(A-1)i}{\alpha} c_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log A.$$

Let $g(z_2) = Bz_2 + h(z_2)$, where h is a polynomial in z_2 only with degree greater than 1 or constant and B is a complex number in one variable.

Then

$$p(z_1, z_2) = \frac{(A-1)i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$h(z_2) - h(z_2 + c_2) = \frac{(A-1)i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log A. \quad (3.27)$$

If $c_2 = 0$, then from (3.27), it follows that $Ae^{i(A-1)} = 1$. If $c \neq 0$, then by (3.27), we conclude that h must be constant and $Ae^{i(A-1)c_1 + \alpha Bc_2} = 1$.

From (3.18), we obtain

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2i(A-1)} \left(\beta_1 e^{i(A-1)z_1 + \alpha Bz_2 + \alpha h(z_2)} - \beta_2 e^{-i(A-1)z_1 + \alpha Bz_2 + \alpha h(z_2)} \right),$$

where $A \neq 1$.

Since $A^2 = 1$, it must be $A = -1$. Therefore, the form of the function is

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{4i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + h(z_2) \right)} \right),$$

where h satisfies the relation

$$h(z_2) - h(z_2 + c_2) = -\frac{2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(-1).$$

In the special case whenever $c_2 \neq 0$, h is constant by (3.27) and the form of the function is of the form

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{4i} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + C \right)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{-2i}{\alpha} z_1 + Bz_2 + C \right)} \right),$$

where B, C are complex constants such that $e^{2iz_1 - Bz_2} = -1$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. First we assume that f is a finite order transcendental entire solution of (1.8). Let us rewrite (1.8) as

$$h_1(z_1, z_2)h_2(z_1, z_2) = 1, \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} h_1(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1, z_2) + iP(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) \\ h_2(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1, z_2) - iP(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right). \end{cases}$$

In view of (3.28) and Lemma 2.2, we assume that

$$f(z_1, z_2) + iP(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) = \beta_1 e^{\alpha H(z)} \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$f(z_1, z_2) - iP(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) = \beta_2 e^{-\alpha H(z)}, \quad (3.30)$$

where $H(z)$ is an entire functions in \mathbb{C}^2 and $\alpha(\neq 0)$, β_1, β_2 are complex constants such that $\beta_1\beta_2 = 1$.

Solving (3.29) and (3.30), we obtain

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2)}}{2} \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$P(z_1, z_2) \left(\frac{\partial f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2)}}{2i} \quad (3.32)$$

Since $f(z_1, z_2)$ is a transcendental entire function of finite order, in view of (3.31), it is easy to see that $H(z_1, z_2)$ is a polynomial in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Differentiating (3.31) partially with respect to z_1 , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2)} - \beta_2 e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2)} \right). \quad (3.33)$$

From (3.32) and (3.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right) \beta_1 \frac{e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2) + \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}}{-\alpha \beta_2 \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} \\ & + \left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right) \frac{e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2) + \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}}{\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} \\ & + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} e^{2\alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Since $H(z_1, z_2)$ is a non-constant polynomial, it follows from (3.34) that $\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)}$ can not be zero. For otherwise, from (3.34), we obtain $e^{2\alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = -\beta_1^2 = \text{constant}$, which implies that H is constant, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, both

$$\frac{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right) \beta_1}{-\alpha \beta_2 \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2) + \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} e^{\alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$$

are not constants.

Also in view of Section 3.11 (page no. 301) of [12], a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & N \left(r, \frac{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right) \beta_1}{-\alpha \beta_2 \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2) + \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) \\ & = N \left(r, \frac{-\alpha \beta_2 \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}}{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right) \beta_1} e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2) - \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) = S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & N \left(r, \frac{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right)}{\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2) + \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) \\ &= N \left(r, \frac{\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}}{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right)} e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2) - \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) = S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N \left(r, e^{2\alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) = N \left(r, e^{-2\alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} \right) = S(r, f).$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\frac{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right)}{\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} e^{-\alpha H(z_1, z_2) + \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)} = 1, \quad (3.35)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right)}{\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} = e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2) - \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}. \quad (3.36)$$

Next, using (3.34) and (3.35), we obtain

$$\frac{\left(\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{iP(z_1, z_2)} \right)}{\alpha \frac{\partial H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}{\partial z_1}} = e^{\alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2) - \alpha H(z_1, z_2)}. \quad (3.37)$$

Observe that L.H.S. of (3.36) is rational, whereas R.H.S. of the same is transcendental entire. Therefore, $\alpha H(z_1, z_2) - \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)$ and hence $e^{\alpha H(z_1, z_2) - \alpha H(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)}$ must be constant.

Hence, we may assume that

$$H(z) = Az_1 + Bz_2 + C, \quad (3.38)$$

where A, B, C are complex constants.

Using (3.35), (3.37) and (3.38), we obtain

$$(\alpha AP(z_1, z_2))^2 = (\alpha AP(z_1, z_2) - i)^2,$$

which implies that $P(z_1, z_2) = -1/2i\alpha A = \text{constant}$.

Also, from (3.35) and (3.38), we obtain that $e^{\alpha(Ac_1 + Bc_2)} = -1$. This implies that $\alpha(Ac_1 + Bc_2) = (2k + 1)\pi i$, where k is an integer.

Hence from (3.31), it is easy to see that

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_1 e^{\alpha(Az_1 + Bz_2 + C)} + \beta_2 e^{-\alpha(Az_1 + Bz_2 + C)} \right).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank the referee(s) for the helpful suggestions and comments to improve the exposition of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Biancofiore, A., Stoll, W.: Another proof of the lemma of the logarithmic derivative in several complex variables. In: Fornæss, J. (ed.) *Recent developments in several complex variables*, pp. 29–45. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1981)
- [2] Cao, T.B., Korhonen, R.J.: A new version of the second main theorem for meromorphic mappings intersecting hyperplanes in several complex variables. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **444**(2), 1114–1132 (2016)
- [3] Chen, M.F., Gao, Z.S.: Entire solutions of differential-difference equation and Fermat type q -difference-differential equations. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **30**(4), 447–456 (2015)
- [4] Chiang, Y.M., Feng, S.J.: On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane. *Ramanujan J.* **16**, 105–129 (2008)
- [5] Gross, F.: On the equation $f^n(z) + g^n(z) = 1$. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**, 86–88 (1966)
- [6] Gross, F.: On the equation $f^n(z) + g^n(z) = h^n(z)$. *Amer. Math. Monthly.* **73**, 1093–1096 (1966)
- [7] Halburd, R.G., Korhonen, R.J.: Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **314**, 477–487 (2006)
- [8] Halburd, R.G., Korhonen, R. J.: Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **31**, 463–478 (2006)
- [9] Halburd, R.G., Korhonen, R.J.: Finite-order meromorphic solutions and the discrete Painlevé equations. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **94**(2), 443–474 (2007)
- [10] Hayman, W.K.: *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964)
- [11] Hu, P.C.: Malmquist type theorem and factorization of meromorphic solutions of partial differential equations. *Complex Var.* **27**, 269–285 (1995)
- [12] Hu, P.C., Li, P., Yang, C.C.: *Unicity of Meromorphic Mappings, Advances in Complex Analysis and its Applications*, vol. 1. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London (2003)
- [13] Hu, P.C., Yang, C.C.: The Tumura-Clunie theorem in several complex variables. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **90**, 444–456 (2014)
- [14] Korhonen, R.J.: A difference Picard theorem for meromorphic functions of several variables. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **12**(1), 343–361 (2012)
- [15] Laine, I.: *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*. Walter de Gruyter Berlin/Newyork (1993)
- [16] Lelong, P.: *Fonctionnelles Analytiques et Fonctions Entières (n variables)*. Presses de L’Université de Montréal (1968)
- [17] Li, B.Q.: On reduction of functional-differential equations. *Complex Var.* **31**, 311–324 (1996)
- [18] Li, B.Q.: On certain non-linear differential equations in complex domains. *Arch. Math.* **91**, 344–353 (2008)
- [19] Liu, K., Yang, L.Z.: On Entire Solutions of Some Differential-Difference Equations. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **13**, 433–447 (2013)
- [20] Liu, K.: Meromorphic functions sharing a set with applications to difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **359**, 384–393 (2009)
- [21] Liu, K., Cao, T.B.: Entire solutions of Fermat type q -difference-differential equations. *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.* **59**, 1–10 (2013)
- [22] Liu, K., Cao, T.B., Cao, H.Z.: Entire solutions of Fermat type differential-difference equations. *Arch. Math.* **99**, 147–155 (2012)
- [23] Liu, K., Yang, L.Z.: A note on meromorphic solutions of Fermat types equations. *An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Mat. (N. S.)*, **1**, 317–325 (2016)
- [24] Lu, J.: Theorems of Picard Type For Entire Functions of several complex variables. *Kodai Math. J.* **26**, 221–229 (2003)
- [25] Montel, P.: *Lecons sur les familles de nomales fonctions analytiques et leurs applications*. Gauthier-Viuars Paris, 135–136 (1927)
- [26] Pólya, G.: On an integral function of an integral function. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1**, 12–15 (1926)
- [27] Ronkin, L.I.: *Introduction to the Theory of Entire Functions of Several Variables*, Moscow: Nauka 1971 (Russian). American Mathematical Society, Providence (1974)
- [28] Stoll, W.: *Holomorphic Functions of Finite Order in Several Complex Variables*. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1974)

- [29] Tang, J.F., Liao, L.W.: The transcendental meromorphic solutions of a certain type of non-linear differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **334**, 517–527 (2007)
- [30] Taylor, R., Wiles, A.: Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebra. *Ann. Math.* **141**, 553–572 (1995)
- [31] Wang, H., Xu, H.Y., Tu, J.: The existence and forms of solutions for some Fermat-type differential-difference equations. *AIMS Mathematics.* **5**(1), 685–700 (2019)
- [32] Wiles, A.: Modular elliptic curves and Fermats last theorem. *Ann. Math.* **141**, 443–551 (1995)
- [33] Xu, L., Cao, T.B.: Solutions of complex Fermat-type partial difference and differential-difference equations. *Mediterr. J.Math.* **15**, 1–14 (2018)
- [34] Xu, L., Cao, T.B.: Correction to: Solutions of Complex Fermat-Type Partial Difference and Differential-Difference Equations. *Mediterr. J.Math.* **17**, 1–4 (2020)
- [35] Xu, H.Y., Wang, H.: Notes on the Existence of Entire Solutions for Several Partial Differential-Difference Equations. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **47**, 1477–1489 (2021)
- [36] Xu, H.Y., Liu, S.Y., Li, Q.P.: Entire solutions for several systems of nonlinear difference and partial differential-difference equations of Fermat-type. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **483**, 123-641 (2020)
- [37] Yang, C.C.: A generalization of a theorem of P.Montel on entire functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26**, 332–334 (1970)
- [38] Yang, C.C., Li, P.: On the transcendental solutions of a certain type of non-linear differential equations,. *Arch. Math.* **82**, 442–448 (2004)
- [39] Ye, Z.: On Nevanlinna’s second main theorem in projective space. *Invent. Math.* **122**, 475–507 (1995)
- [40] Yi, H.X., Yang, C.C.: Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions. Science Press, Beijing (1995)
- [41] Zhang, X., Liao, L.W.: On a certain type of non-linear differential equations admitting transcendental meromorphic solutions. *Science China.* **56**(10), 2025–2034 (2013)

GOUTAM HALDAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MALDA COLLEGE, MALDA - 732101, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

Email address: goutamiit1986@gmail.com, goutamiitm@gmail.com