

**UNBOUNDED GENERALIZATIONS OF THE
FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM AND APPLICATIONS
TO THE COMMUTATIVITY OF SELF-ADJOINT
OPERATORS**

SOUHEYB DEHIMI, MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD* AND AHMED
BACHIR

ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove and disprove several generalizations of unbounded versions of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem. As applications, we give conditions guaranteeing the commutativity of a bounded self-adjoint operator with an unbounded closed symmetric operator.

1. ESSENTIAL BACKGROUND

All operators considered here are linear but not necessarily bounded. If an operator is bounded and everywhere defined, then it belongs to $B(H)$ which is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H (see [22] for its fundamental properties).

Most unbounded operators that we encounter are defined on a subspace (called domain) of a Hilbert space. If the domain is dense, then we say that the operator is densely defined. In such case, the adjoint exists and is unique.

Let us recall a few basic definitions about non-necessarily bounded operators. If S and T are two linear operators with domains $D(S)$ and $D(T)$ respectively, then T is said to be an extension of S , written as $S \subset T$, if $D(S) \subset D(T)$ and S and T coincide on $D(S)$.

An operator T is called closed if its graph is closed in $H \oplus H$. It is called closable if it has a closed extension. The smallest closed extension of it is called its closure and it is denoted by \overline{T} (a standard result states that a densely defined T is closable iff T^* has a dense domain,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B25. Secondary 47B15, 47A08.

Key words and phrases. Normal operator; Closed operator; Symmetric operators; Self-adjoint operators; positive operator; subnormal and hyponormal operators; Fuglede-Putnam theorem; Hilbert space; Commutativity.

* Corresponding author.

and in which case $\overline{T} = T^{**}$). If T is closable, then

$$S \subset T \Rightarrow \overline{S} \subset \overline{T}.$$

If T is densely defined, we say that T is self-adjoint when $T = T^*$; symmetric if $T \subset T^*$; normal if T is *closed* and $TT^* = T^*T$.

The product ST and the sum $S + T$ of two operators S and T are defined in the usual fashion on the natural domains:

$$D(ST) = \{x \in D(T) : Tx \in D(S)\}$$

and

$$D(S + T) = D(S) \cap D(T).$$

In the event that S , T and ST are densely defined, then

$$T^*S^* \subset (ST)^*,$$

with the equality occurring when $S \in B(H)$. If $S+T$ is densely defined, then

$$S^* + T^* \subset (S + T)^*$$

with the equality occurring when $S \in B(H)$.

Let T be a linear operator (possibly unbounded) with domain $D(T)$ and let $B \in B(H)$. Say that B commutes with T if

$$BT \subset TB.$$

In other words, this means that $D(T) \subset D(TB)$ and

$$BTx = TBx, \forall x \in D(T).$$

Let A be an injective operator (not necessarily bounded) from $D(A)$ into H . Then $A^{-1} : \text{ran}(A) \rightarrow H$ is called the inverse of A , with $D(A^{-1}) = \text{ran}(A)$.

If the inverse of an unbounded operator is bounded and everywhere defined (e.g. if $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$ is closed and bijective), then A is said to be boundedly invertible. In other words, such is the case if there is a $B \in B(H)$ such that

$$AB = I \text{ and } BA \subset I.$$

If A is boundedly invertible, then it is closed.

The resolvent set of A , denoted by $\rho(A)$, is defined by

$$\rho(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - A \text{ is bijective and } (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \in B(H)\}.$$

The complement of $\rho(A)$, denoted by $\sigma(A)$,

$$\sigma(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A)$$

is called the spectrum of A .

Recall also that the product of two closed operators need not be closed (see [24]). However, and it is known (among other results), that TS is closed if T is closed and $S \in B(H)$ or if T^{-1} is in $B(H)$ and S is closed.

If a symmetric operator T is such that $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in D(T)$, then we say that T is positive, and we write $T \geq 0$. When T is self-adjoint and $T \geq 0$, then we can define its unique positive self-adjoint square root, which we denote by \sqrt{T} .

If T is densely defined and closed, then T^*T (and TT^*) is self-adjoint and positive (a celebrated result due to von-Neumann, see e.g. [30]). So, when T is closed then T^*T is self-adjoint and positive whereby it is legitimate to define its square root. The unique positive self-adjoint square root of T^*T is denoted by $|T|$. It is customary to call it the absolute value or modulus of T . If T is closed, then (see e.g. Lemma 7.1 in [30])

$$D(T) = D(|T|) \text{ and } \|Tx\| = \||T|x\|, \forall x \in D(T).$$

Next, we recall some definitions of unbounded non-normal operators. A densely defined operator A with domain $D(A)$ is called hyponormal if

$$D(A) \subset D(A^*) \text{ and } \|A^*x\| \leq \|Ax\|, \forall x \in D(A).$$

A densely defined linear operator A with domain $D(A) \subset H$, is said to be subnormal when there are a Hilbert space K with $H \subset K$, and a normal operator N with $D(N) \subset K$ such that

$$D(A) \subset D(N) \text{ and } Ax = Nx \text{ for all } x \in D(A).$$

In the end, we recall some basic facts about matrices of non-necessarily bounded operators. Let H and K be two Hilbert spaces and let $A : H \oplus K \rightarrow H \oplus K$ (we may also use $H \times K$ instead of $H \oplus K$) be defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_{11} \in L(H)$, $A_{12} \in L(K, H)$, $A_{21} \in L(H, K)$ and $A_{22} \in L(K)$ are not necessarily bounded operators. If A_{ij} has a domain $D(A_{ij})$ with $i, j = 1, 2$, then

$$D(A) = (D(A_{11}) \cap D(A_{21})) \times (D(A_{12}) \cap D(A_{22}))$$

is the natural domain of A . So if $(x_1, x_2) \in D(A)$, then

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 \\ A_{21}x_1 + A_{22}x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, recall that the adjoint of $\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is not always $\begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^* & A_{21}^* \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22}^* \end{pmatrix}$ (even when all domains are dense including the main domain $D(A)$) as known counterexamples show. Nonetheless, e.g.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} A^* & 0 \\ 0 & B^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^* \\ C^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if A , B , C and D are all densely defined.

2. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is twofold. In the first part, we obtain some generalizations of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem involving unbounded operators. In the second part, we apply the Fuglede-Putnam theorem to obtain conditions guaranteeing the commutativity of self-adjoint operators, one of them is bounded.

Recall that the original version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem reads:

Theorem 2.1. ([10], [28]) *If $A \in B(H)$ and if M and N are normal (non necessarily bounded) operators, then*

$$AN \subset MA \implies AN^* \subset M^*A.$$

There have been many generalizations of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem since Fuglede's paper. However, most generalizations were devoted to relaxing the normality assumption. Apparently, the first generalization of the Fuglede theorem to an unbounded A was established in [27]. Then the first generalization involving unbounded operators of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem is:

Theorem 2.2. *If A is a closed and symmetric operator and if N is an unbounded normal operator, then*

$$AN \subset N^*A \implies AN^* \subset NA$$

whenever $D(N) \subset D(A)$.

In fact, the previous result was established in [18] under the assumption of the self-adjointness of A . However, and by scrutinizing the proof in [18] or [19], it is seen that only the closedness and the symmetricity of A were needed. Other unbounded generalizations may be consulted in [21] and [3] and some of the references therein. In the end, readers may wish to consult the survey [25] exclusively devoted to the Fuglede-Putnam theorem and its applications.

In the second part of this manuscript, we continue the investigations initiated in the thesis [19], and then in [14] inter alia. More precisely, we show that if $B \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint and A is densely defined,

closed and symmetric, then $BA \subset AB$ given that AB or BA is e.g. normal.

3. GENERALIZATIONS OF THE FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM

If a densely defined operator N is normal, then so is its adjoint. However, if N^* is normal, then N^{**} does not have to be normal (unless N itself is closed). A simple counterexample is to take the identity operator I_D restricted to some unclosed dense domain $D \subset H$. Then I_D cannot be normal for it is not closed. But, $(I_D)^* = I$ which is the full identity on the entire H , is obviously normal. Notice in the end that if N is a densely defined closable operator, then N^* is normal if and only if \overline{N} is.

The first improvement is that in the very first version by B. Fuglede, the normality of the operator is not needed as only the normality of its closure will do. This observation has already appeared in [5], but we reproduce the proof here.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $B \in B(H)$ and let A be a densely defined and closable operator such that \overline{A} is normal. If $BA \subset AB$, then*

$$BA^* \subset A^*B.$$

Proof. Since \overline{A} is normal, $\overline{A}^* = A^*$ remains normal. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} BA \subset AB &\implies B^*A^* \subset A^*B^* \text{ (by taking adjoints)} \\ &\implies B^*\overline{A} \subset \overline{A}B^* \text{ (by using the classical Fuglede theorem)} \\ &\implies BA^* \subset A^*B \text{ (by taking adjoints again),} \end{aligned}$$

establishing the result. \square

Remark. Notice that $BA^* \subset A^*B$ does not yield $BA \subset AB$ even in the event of the normality of A^* (see [24]).

Let us now turn to the extension of the Fuglede-Putnam version. A similar argument to the above one could be applied.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $B \in B(H)$ and let N, M be densely defined closable operators such that \overline{N} and \overline{M} are normal. If $BN \subset MB$, then*

$$BN^* \subset M^*B.$$

Proof. Since $BN \subset MB$, it ensues that $B^*M^* \subset N^*B^*$. Taking adjoints again gives $B\overline{N} \subset \overline{M}B$. Now, apply the Fuglede-Putnam theorem to the normal \overline{N} and \overline{M} to get the desired conclusion

$$BN^* \subset M^*B.$$

\square

Jabłoński et al. obtained in [15] the following version.

Theorem 3.3. *If N is a normal (bounded) operator and if A is a closed densely defined operator with $\sigma(A) \neq \mathbb{C}$, then:*

$$NA \subset AN \implies g(N)A \subset Ag(N)$$

for any bounded complex Borel function g on $\sigma(N)$. In particular, we have $N^*A \subset AN^*$.

Remark. It is worth noticing that B. Fuglede obtained, long ago, in [11] a unitary $U \in B(H)$ and a closed and symmetric T with domain $D(T) \subset H$ such that $UT \subset TU$ but $U^*T \not\subset TU^*$.

Next, we give a generalization of Theorem 3.3 to an unbounded N , and as above, only the normality of \overline{N} is needed.

Theorem 3.4. *Let p be a one variable complex polynomial. If N is a densely defined closable operator such that \overline{N} is normal and if A is a densely defined operator with $\sigma[p(A)] \neq \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$NA \subset AN \implies N^*A \subset AN^*$$

whenever $D(A) \subset D(N)$.

Remark. This is indeed a generalization of the bounded version of the Fuglede theorem. Observe that when $A, N \in B(H)$, then $\overline{N} = N$, $D(A) = D(N) = H$, and $\sigma[p(A)]$ is a compact set.

Proof. First, we claim that $\sigma(A) \neq \mathbb{C}$, whereby A is closed. Let λ be in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma[p(A)]$. Then, and as in [8], we obtain

$$p(A) - \lambda I = (A - \mu_1 I)(A - \mu_2 I) \cdots (A - \mu_n I)$$

for some complex numbers $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$. By consulting again [8], readers see that $\sigma(A) \neq \mathbb{C}$.

Now, let $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. Then

$$NA \subset AN \implies NA - \lambda N \subset AN - \lambda N = (A - \lambda I)N.$$

Since $D(A) \subset D(N)$, it is seen that $NA - \lambda N = N(A - \lambda I)$. So

$$N(A - \lambda I) \subset (A - \lambda I)N \implies (A - \lambda I)^{-1}N \subset N(A - \lambda I)^{-1}.$$

Since \overline{N} is normal, we may now apply Theorem 3.1 to get

$$(A - \lambda I)^{-1}N^* \subset N^*(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$$

because $(A - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B(H)$. Hence

$$N^*A - \lambda N^* \subset N^*(A - \lambda I) \subset (A - \lambda I)N^* = AN^* - \lambda N^*.$$

But

$$D(AN^*) \subset D(N^*) \text{ and } D(N^*A) \subset D(A) \subset D(N) \subset D(\overline{N}) = D(N^*).$$

Thus, $D(N^*A) \subset D(AN^*)$, and so

$$N^*A \subset AN^*,$$

as needed. \square

Now, we present a few consequences of the preceding result. The first one is given without proof.

Corollary 3.5. *If N is a densely defined closable operator such that \overline{N} is normal and if A is an unbounded self-adjoint operator with $D(A) \subset D(N)$, then*

$$NA \subset AN \implies N^*A \subset AN^*.$$

Corollary 3.6. *If N is a densely defined closable operator such that \overline{N} is normal and if A is a boundedly invertible operator, then*

$$NA \subset AN \implies N^*A \subset AN^*.$$

Proof. We may write

$$NA \subset AN \implies NAA^{-1} \subset ANA^{-1} \implies A^{-1}N \subset NA^{-1}.$$

Since $A^{-1} \in B(H)$ and \overline{N} is normal, Theorem 3.1 gives

$$A^{-1}N^* \subset N^*A^{-1} \text{ and so } N^*A \subset AN^*,$$

as needed. \square

A Putnam's version seems impossible to obtain unless strong conditions are imposed. However, the following special case of a possible Putnam's version is worth stating and proving. Besides, it is somewhat linked to the important notion of anti-commutativity (cf. [32]).

Proposition 3.7. *If N is a densely defined closable operator such that \overline{N} is normal and if A is a densely defined operator with $\sigma(A) \neq \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$NA \subset -AN \implies N^*A \subset -AN^*$$

whenever $D(A) \subset D(N)$.

Proof. Consider

$$\tilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & -N \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D(\tilde{N}) = D(N) \oplus D(N)$ and $D(\tilde{A}) = D(A) \oplus D(A)$. Then $\overline{\tilde{N}}$ is normal and \tilde{A} is closed. Besides $\sigma(\tilde{A}) \neq \mathbb{C}$. Now

$$\tilde{N}\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & NA \\ -NA & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subset \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -AN \\ AN & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{A}\tilde{N}$$

for $NA \subset -AN$. Since $D(\tilde{A}) \subset D(\tilde{N})$, Theorem 3.4 applies, i.e. it gives $\tilde{N}^*\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}\tilde{N}^*$ which, upon examining their entries, yields the required result. \square

We finish this section by giving counterexamples to some "generalizations".

Example 3.8. ([21]) Consider the unbounded linear operators A and N which are defined by

$$Af(x) = (1 + |x|)f(x) \text{ and } Nf(x) = -i(1 + |x|)f'(x)$$

(with $i^2 = -1$) on the domains

$$D(A) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : (1 + |x|)f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

and

$$D(N) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : (1 + |x|)f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

respectively, and where the derivative is taken in the distributional sense. Then A is a boundedly invertible, positive, self-adjoint unbounded operator. As for N , it is an unbounded normal operator N (details may be consulted in [21]). It was shown that such that

$$AN^* = NA \text{ but } AN \not\subset N^*A \text{ and } N^*A \not\subset AN$$

(in fact $ANf \neq N^*Af$ for all $f \neq 0$).

So, what this example is telling us is that $NA = AN^*$ (and not just an "inclusion"), that N and N^* are both normal, $\sigma(A) \neq \mathbb{C}$ (as A is self-adjoint), but $NA \not\subset AN^*$.

This example can further be beefed up to refute certain possible generalizations.

Example 3.9. (Cf. [26]) There exist a closed operator T and a normal M such that $TM \subset MT$ but $TM^* \not\subset M^*T$ and $M^*T \not\subset TM^*$. Indeed, consider

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} N^* & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where N is normal with domain $D(N)$ and A is closed with domain $D(A)$ and such that $AN^* = NA$ but $AN \not\subset N^*A$ and $N^*A \not\subset AN$ (as defined above). Clearly, M is normal and T is closed. Observe that $D(M) = D(N^*) \oplus D(N)$ and $D(T) = D(A) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Now,

$$TM = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N^* & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{D(N^*)} & 0_{D(N)} \\ AN^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{D(N)} \\ AN^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where e.g. $0_{D(N)}$ is the zero operator restricted to $D(N)$. Likewise

$$MT = \begin{pmatrix} N^* & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ NA & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $D(TM) = D(AN^*) \oplus D(N) \subset D(NA) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) = D(MT)$, it ensues that $TM \subset MT$. Now, it is seen that

$$TM^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{D(N^*)} \\ AN & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$M^*T = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ N^*A & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $ANf \neq N^*Af$ for any $f \neq 0$, we infer that $TM^* \not\subset M^*T$ and $M^*T \not\subset TM^*$.

4. SOME APPLICATIONS TO THE COMMUTATIVITY OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

In [1], [7], [13], [14], [16], [18], [19], [20], [25], and [29], the question of the self-adjointness of the normal product of two self-adjoint operators was tackled in different settings (cf. [2]). In all cases, the commutativity of the operators was reached.

Here, we deal with the similar question where the unbounded (operator) factor is closed and symmetric which, and it is known, differs from self-adjointness (the two classes can behave quite differently, cf. [24]).

First, we give a perhaps known lemma (cf. Lemmata 2.1 & 2.2 in [12]). See also [17] for the case of normality.

Lemma 4.1. ([16]) *Let A and B be self-adjoint operators. Assume that $B \in B(H)$ and $BA \subseteq AB$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) AB is a self-adjoint operator and $AB = \overline{BA}$,
- (ii) if A and B are positive so is AB .

We shall also have need for the following result:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $B \in B(H)$ be self-adjoint. If $BA \subset AB$ where A is closed, then $f(B)A \subset Af(B)$ for any continuous function f on $\sigma(B)$. In particular, and if B is positive, then $\sqrt{B}A \subset A\sqrt{B}$.*

Remark. In fact, the previous lemma was shown in ([18], Proposition 1) under the assumption " A being unbounded and self-adjoint", but by looking closely at its proof, we see that only the closedness of A was needed (cf. [4] and [15]).

We are now ready to state and prove the first result of this section.

Theorem 4.3. *Let A be an unbounded closed and symmetric operator with domain $D(A)$, and let $B \in B(H)$ be positive. If AB is normal, then $BA \subset AB$, and so AB is self-adjoint. Also, \overline{BA} is self-adjoint.*

Besides, $B|A| \subset |A|B$, and so $|A|B$ is self-adjoint and positive. Moreover, $|A|B = \overline{B|A|}$.

Proof. Since $B \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint, we have $(BA)^* = A^*B$ and $BA^* \subset (AB)^*$. Now, write

$$B(AB) = BAB \subset BA^*B \subset (AB)^*B.$$

Since AB and $(AB)^*$ are both normal, the Fuglede-Putnam theorem applies and gives

$$B(AB)^* \subset (AB)^{**}B = \overline{ABB} = AB^2,$$

i.e.

$$B^2A \subset B^2A^* \subset B(AB)^* \subset AB^2.$$

Since A is closed and $B \in B(H)$ is positive, Lemma 4.2 gives

$$BA \subset AB.$$

To show that AB is self-adjoint, we proceed as follows: Observe that

$$BA \subset BA^* \subset (AB)^*.$$

Since we also have $BA \subset AB$, we now know that

$$BAx = ABx = (AB)^*x$$

for all $x \in D(A)$. This says that AB and $(AB)^*$ coincide on $D(A)$. Denoting the restrictions of the latter operators to $D(A)$ by T and S respectively, it is seen that

$$T - S \subset 0, \quad T \subset AB, \quad \text{and} \quad S \subset (AB)^*.$$

Hence

$$(AB)^* - AB \subset T^* - S^* \subset (T - S)^* = 0.$$

Since $D(AB) = D[(AB)^*]$ thanks to the normality of AB , it ensues that $AB = (AB)^*$, that is, AB is self-adjoint.

Now, we show that \overline{BA} is self-adjoint. First, we show that \overline{BA} is normal. Clearly $BA^* \subset A^*B$ for we already know that $BA \subset AB$. Hence

$$BA^*A \subset A^*BA \subset A^*AB.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{BA}(\overline{BA})^* = ABA^*B \subset AA^*B^2$$

and

$$(\overline{BA})^*\overline{BA} = A^*BAB \subset A^*AB^2.$$

By Lemma 4.1, it is seen that both of AA^*B^2 and AA^*B^2 are self-adjoint. By the maximality of self-adjoint operators, it ensues that

$$\overline{BA}(\overline{BA})^* = AA^*B^2 \text{ and } (\overline{BA})^*\overline{BA} = A^*AB^2.$$

Since AB is self-adjoint, $(AB)^2$ is self-adjoint. But

$$(AB)^2 = ABAB \subset AA^*B^2$$

and so $(AB)^2 = AA^*B^2$. Similarly,

$$ABAB \subset A^*BAB \subset A^*AB^2$$

or $(AB)^2 = A^*AB^2$. Therefore, we have shown that

$$(\overline{BA})^*\overline{BA} = \overline{BA}(\overline{BA})^*.$$

In other words, \overline{BA} is normal.

To infer that \overline{BA} is self-adjoint, observe that $BA \subset AB$ gives $\overline{BA} \subset \overline{AB}$, but because normal operators are maximally normal, we obtain $\overline{BA} = \overline{AB}$, from which we derive the self-adjointness of \overline{BA} .

To show the last claim of the theorem, consider again $BA^*A \subset A^*AB$. So, $B|A| \subset |A|B$ by the spectral theorem say. Since $B \geq 0$, Lemma 4.1 gives the self-adjointness and the positivity of $|A|B$, as well as $|A|B = \overline{B|A|}$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark. Under the assumptions of the preceding theorem (by consulting [5]), we have:

$$|AB| = |\overline{BA}| = |A|B = \overline{B|A|}.$$

Corollary 4.4. *Let A be an unbounded closed and symmetric operator and let $B \in B(H)$ be positive. Suppose that AB is normal. Then*

$$BA \text{ is closed} \implies A \text{ is self-adjoint.}$$

In particular, if B is invertible, then A is self-adjoint.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, \overline{BA} is self-adjoint and $\overline{BA} = AB$. Hence

$$BA^* \subset (AB)^* = (\overline{BA})^* = \overline{BA}.$$

So, when BA is closed, $BA^* \subset BA$. Therefore, $D(A^*) \subset D(A)$, and so $D(A) = D(A^*)$. Thus, A is self-adjoint, as required. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let A be an unbounded closed and symmetric operator with domain $D(A)$, and let $B \in B(H)$ be positive. If BA^* is normal, then $BA \subset AB$, and so BA^* is self-adjoint.*

Proof. Since BA^* is normal, so is $(BA^*)^* = AB$. To obtain the desired conclusion, one just need to apply Theorem 4.3. \square

The case of the normality of BA was unexpectedly trickier. After a few attempts, we have been able to show the result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be an unbounded closed and symmetric operator with domain $D(A)$, and let $B \in B(H)$ be self-adjoint. Assume BA is normal. Then A is necessarily self-adjoint.*

If we further assume that B is positive, then BA becomes self-adjoint and $BA = AB$.

We are now ready to show Theorem 4.6.

Proof. First, recall that since BA is normal, BA is closed and $D(BA) = D[(BA)^*]$.

Write

$$A(BA) \subset A^*BA = (BA)^*A.$$

Since BA is normal and $D(BA) = D(A)$, Theorem 2.2 is applicable and it gives

$$A(BA)^* \subset (BA)^{**}A = \overline{BAA} = BA^2,$$

i.e. $AA^*B \subset BA^2$. Since A is symmetric, we may push the previous inclusion to further obtain $AA^*B \subset BAA^*$, that is, $|A^*|^2B \subset B|A^*|^2$.

Next, we claim that $B|A^*|$ is closed too. To see that, observe that as $B \in B(H)$, then $(BA)^* = A^*B$. Hence $\overline{BAA} = (A^*B)^*$ or $BA = (A^*B)^*$ because BA is already closed. By Lemma 11 in [6], the last equation is equivalent to $(|A^*|B)^* = B|A^*|$ which gives the closedness of $B|A^*|$ as needed.

Now, we have

$$B|A^*|(B|A^*|)^* = B|A^*|^2B \subset B^2|A^*|^2.$$

It then follows by Corollary 1 in [9] that

$$B|A^*|(B|A^*|)^* = B^2|A^*|^2$$

for $B|A^*|(B|A^*|)^*$, B^2 , and $|A^*|^2$ are all self-adjoint. The self-adjointness of $B|A^*|(B|A^*|)^*$ also implies that $B^2|A^*|^2$ is self-adjoint as well, i.e.

$$B^2|A^*|^2 = (B^2|A^*|^2)^* = |A^*|^2B^2.$$

In particular, $B^2|A^*|^2$ is closed. So, Proposition 3.7 in [7] implies that $B|A^*|^2$ is closed.

The next step is to show that $B|A^*|^2$ is normal. As $|A^*|^2B \subset B|A^*|^2$, it ensues that

$$B|A^*|^2(B|A^*|^2)^* = B|A^*|^4B \subset B^2|A^*|^4$$

and

$$(B|A^*|^2)^*B|A^*|^2 = |A^*|^2B^2|A^*|^2 \subset B^2|A^*|^4.$$

Since $B|A^*|^2(B|A^*|^2)^*$, $(B|A^*|^2)^*B|A^*|^2$, B^2 , and $|A^*|^2$ are all self-adjoint, Corollary 1 in [9] yields

$$B|A^*|^2(B|A^*|^2)^* = (B|A^*|^2)^*B|A^*|^2 (= B^2|A^*|^4).$$

Therefore, $B|A^*|^2$ is normal. So, since $B \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint and $|A^*|^2$ is self-adjoint and positive, it follows by Theorem 1.1 in [14] that $B|A^*|^2$ is self-adjoint and $B|A^*|^2 = |A^*|^2B$.

By applying Theorem 10 in [4], it is seen that

$$B|A^*| = |A^*|B$$

due to the self-adjointness and the positivity of $|A^*|$.

We now have all the necessary tools to establish the self-adjointness of A . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} D(A^*) &= D(|A^*|) = D(B|A^*|) = D(|A^*|B) \\ &= D(A^*B) = D[(BA)^*] = D(BA) = D(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, A is self-adjoint as it is already symmetric.

Finally, when $B \in B(H)$ is positive and since A is self-adjoint, $(BA)^* = AB$ is normal. By Theorem 4.3, AB is self-adjoint or $(BA)^*$ is self-adjoint. In other words,

$$BA = (BA)^* = AB,$$

and this marks the end of the proof. \square

Generalizations to weaker classes than normality vary. Notice in passing that in [7], the self-adjointness of BA was established for a positive $B \in B(H)$ and an unbounded self-adjoint A such that BA is hyponormal and $\sigma(BA) \neq \mathbb{C}$. The next result is of the same kind.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $B \in B(H)$ be positive and let A be a densely defined closed symmetric operator. If $(AB)^*$ is subnormal or if BA^* is closed and subnormal, then $BA \subset AB$.*

Moreover, if A is self-adjoint, then AB is self-adjoint. Besides, $AB = \overline{BA}$.

Proof. The proof relies on a version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem obtained by J. Stochel in [31]. Write

$$B[(AB)^*]^* = B(AB)^{**} = BAB \subset BA^*B \subset (AB)^*B.$$

Since $(AB)^*$ is subnormal, Theorem 4.2 in [31] yields

$$B^2A \subset B^2A^* \subset B(AB)^* \subset (AB)^{**}B = AB^2.$$

The same inclusion is obtained in the event of the subnormality of BA^* . Indeed, write

$$B(BA^*)^* = BAB \subset BA^*B.$$

Applying again Theorem 4.2 in [31] gives

$$B(BA^*) \subset (BA^*)^*B = AB^2.$$

Therefore, and as above, we obtain $B^2A \subset AB^2$.

Now, since $B \geq 0$ and A is closed, it follows that $BA \subset AB$.

Finally, when A is self-adjoint, Lemma 4.1 implies that AB is self-adjoint and $AB = \overline{BA}$, as needed. \square

There are still more cases to investigate. As is known, if $N \in B(H)$ is such that N^2 is normal, then N need not be normal (cf. [23]). The same applies for the class of self-adjoint operators.

The first attempted generalization is the following: Let A, B be two self-adjoint operators, where B is positive, and such that $(AB)^n$ is normal for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 2$. Does it follow that AB is self-adjoint?

The answer is negative even when A and B are 2×2 matrices. This is seen next:

Example 4.8. Take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then A is self-adjoint and B is positive (it is even an orthogonal projection). Also,

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ whilst } (AB)^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $n \geq 2$. In other words, AB is not self-adjoint while all $(AB)^n$, $n \geq 2$, are patently self-adjoint.

Let us pass to other possible generalizations.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $B \in B(H)$ be positive and let A be a closed and symmetric operator. Assume AB^n is normal for a certain positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (1) $BA \subset AB$ (hence BA is symmetric).
- (2) If it is further assumed that B is invertible, then A is self-adjoint. Besides, all of $AB^{1/n}$ and $B^{1/n}A$ are self-adjoint for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof.

- (1) Since B^n is positive for all n and AB^n is normal, it follows by Theorem 4.3 that AB^n is self-adjoint and $B^n A \subset AB^n$. By Lemma 4.2, it is seen that $BA \subset AB$.
- (2) Since AB^n is normal and $B^n A$ is closed (as B^n is invertible), Corollary 4.4 yields the self-adjointness of A .

Finally, since $BA \subset AB$ and B is positive, it follows that $B^{1/n}A \subset AB^{1/n}$, from which we derive the self-adjointness of $AB^{1/n}$ and $\overline{B^{1/n}A} = B^{1/n}A$, as suggested. \square

Similarly, we have:

Proposition 4.10. *Let $B \in B(H)$ be positive and let A be a closed and symmetric operator. Assume that $B^n A$ is normal for some positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then A and BA are self-adjoint, and $BA = AB$.*

One of the tools to prove this result is:

Lemma 4.11. *(Cf. Proposition 3.7 in [7]) Let $B \in B(H)$ and let A be an arbitrary operator such that $B^n A$ is closed for some integer $n \geq 2$. Suppose further that BA is closable. Then BA is closed.*

Proof. Let (x_p) be in $D(B^n A)$ and such that $x_p \rightarrow x$ and $B A x_p \rightarrow y$. Since $B^{n-1} \in B(H)$, $B^n A x_p \rightarrow B^{n-1} y$. Since $B^n A$ is closed, we obtain $x \in D(B^n A) = D(A)$. Since $BA \subset \overline{BA}$ and $x \in D(BA)$, we have

$$BAx = \overline{BA}x = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} B A x_p = y$$

by the definition of the closure of an operator. We have therefore shown that BA is closed, as wished. \square

Now, we show Proposition 4.10.

Proof. Since B^n is positive, Theorem 4.6 gives both the self-adjointness of A and $B^n A$. Moreover, $B^n A = AB^n$. Using Lemma 4.2 or else, we get $BA \subset AB$ (only the inclusion suffices to finish the proof). The equation $B^n A = AB^n$ contains the closedness of $B^n A$ which, by a glance at Lemma 4.11, yields $BA = AB$ by consulting Lemma 4.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Albrecht, P. G. Spain. When products of self-adjoints are normal, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128/8** (2000) 2509-2511.
- [2] A. Benali, M. H. Mortad. Generalizations of Kaplansky's theorem involving unbounded linear operators, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.*, **62/2** (2014) 181-186.
- [3] I. F. Z. Bensaid, S. Dehimi, B. Fuglede, M. H. Mortad. The Fuglede theorem and some intertwining relations, *Adv. Oper. Theory*, **6/1** (2021) Paper No. 9, 8 pp.

- [4] S. J. Bernau. The square root of a positive self-adjoint operator, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1968) 17-36.
- [5] I. Boucif, S. Dehimi and M. H. Mortad. On the absolute value of unbounded operators, *J. Operator Theory*, **82/2** (2019) 285-306.
- [6] J. A. W. van Casteren, S. Goldberg. The Conjugate of the product of operators, *Studia Math.*, **38** (1970) 125-130.
- [7] S. Dehimi, M. H. Mortad. Right (or left) invertibility of bounded and unbounded operators and applications to the spectrum of products, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **12/3** (2018) 589-597.
- [8] S. Dehimi, M. H. Mortad. Unbounded operators having self-adjoint, subnormal or hyponormal powers, *Math. Nachr.*, (to appear).
- [9] A. Devinatz, A. E. Nussbaum, J. von Neumann. On the permutability of self-adjoint operators, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **62** (1955) 199-203.
- [10] B. Fuglede. A Commutativity theorem for normal operators, *roc. Nati. Acad. Sci.*, **36** (1950) 35-40.
- [11] B. Fuglede. Solution to Problem 3, *Math. Scand.*, **2** (1954) 346-347.
- [12] K. Gustafson. Positive (noncommuting) operator products and semi-groups, *Math. Z.*, **105** (1968) 160-172.
- [13] K. Gustafson, M. H. Mortad. Unbounded products of operators and connections to Dirac-type operators, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **138/5** (2014), 626-642.
- [14] K. Gustafson, M. H. Mortad. Conditions implying commutativity of unbounded self-adjoint operators and related topics, *J. Operator Theory*, **76/1**, (2016) 159-169.
- [15] Z. J. Jabłoński, Il B. Jung, J. Stochel. Unbounded quasinormal operators revisited, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **79/1** (2014) 135-149.
- [16] Il B. Jung, M. H. Mortad, J. Stochel. On normal products of selfadjoint operators, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **57** (2017) 457-471.
- [17] M. Mezzanese, M. H. Mortad. Maximality of linear operators, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser II.*, **68/3** (2019) 441-451.
- [18] M. H. Mortad. An application of the Putnam-Fuglede theorem to normal products of self-adjoint operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131/10**, (2003) 3135-3141.
- [19] M. H. Mortad. *Normal products of self-adjoint operators and self-adjointness of the perturbed wave operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$* . Thesis (Ph.D.)-The University of Edinburgh (United Kingdom). ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2003.
- [20] M. H. Mortad. On some product of two unbounded self-adjoint operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **64/3** (2009) 399-408.
- [21] M. H. Mortad. An all-unbounded-operator version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **6/6** (2012) 1269-1273.
- [22] M. H. Mortad. *An operator theory problem book*, World Scientific Publishing Co., (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1142/10884>. ISBN: 978-981-3236-25-7 (hardcover).
- [23] M. H. Mortad. On the existence of normal square and nth roots of operators, *J. Anal.*, **28/3** (2020) 695-703.
- [24] M. H. Mortad. *Counterexamples in operator theory*, book, (to appear). Birkhäuser/Springer.
- [25] M. H. Mortad. *The Fuglede-Putnam theory* (a submitted monograph).

- [26] M. H. Mortad. Yet another generalization of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem to unbounded operators. arXiv:2003.00339
- [27] A. E. Nussbaum. A commutativity theorem for unbounded operators in Hilbert space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **140** (1969) 485-491.
- [28] C. R. Putnam. On Normal Operators in Hilbert Space, *Amer. J. Math.*, **73** (1951) 357-362.
- [29] W. Rehder. On the product of self-adjoint operators, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **5/4** (1982) 813-816.
- [30] K. Schmüdgen. *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Springer. GTM **265** (2012).
- [31] J. Stochel. An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for unbounded operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129/8** (2001) 2261-2271.
- [32] F.-H. Vasilescu. Anticommuting self-adjoint operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **28/1** (1983) 76-91.

(THE FIRST AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MOHAMED EL BACHIR EL IBRAHIMI, BORDJ BOU ARRÉRIDJ, EL-ANASSER 34030, ALGERIA.

Email address: souheyb.dehimi@univ-bba.dz, sohayb20091@gmail.com

(THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ORAN 1, AHMED BEN BELLA, B.P. 1524, EL MENOVAR, ORAN 31000, ALGERIA.

Email address: mhmortad@gmail.com, mortad.hichem@univ-oran1.dz.

(THE THIRD AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING KHALID UNIVERSITY, ABHA, SAUDI ARABIA.

Email address: abishr@kku.edu.sa, bachir_ahmed@hotmail.com