

## EXCEPTIONAL CYCLES IN TRIANGULAR MATRIX ALGEBRAS

PENG GUO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY  
BEIJING 100084, CHINA

ABSTRACT. An exceptional cycle in a triangulated category with Serre functor is a generalization of a spherical object. Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are Gorenstein algebras, given a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle  $E_*$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  and a perfect exceptional  $m$ -cycle  $F_*$  in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ , we construct an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule  $N$ , and prove the product  $E_* \boxtimes F_*$  is an exceptional  $(n + m - 1)$ -cycle in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ , where  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ . Using this construction, one gets many new exceptional cycles which is unknown before for certain class of algebras.

Keywords: triangulated category with Serre functor, (perfect) exceptional cycle, triangular matrix algebra

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16E30, 16G20, 16G70, 18G80

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Inspired by Kontsevich's homological mirror conjecture [Ko] and the occurrence of certain braid actions in symplectic geometry, Seidel and Thomas introduced the notion of spherical objects (they are mirror-symmetric analogues of Lagrangian spheres on a symplectic manifold, hence called spherical objects), and proved that it induced an auto-equivalence of the given triangulated category (see [ST]).

Spherical objects were soon generalised to spherical functors (see [R] and [An]), and it was finally completed by Anno and Logvinenko for the dg setting [AL]. An notable fact of spherical functors is that, the twist functor of a spherical functor is an auto-equivalence; conversely, any auto-equivalence of an (enhanced) triangulated category can be realised as the twist functor of a spherical functor [Seg]. Spherical functors also appear in many areas, for example, algebraic geometry (see [Add] and [D]), mathematical physics [AA] and geometry invariant theory [HLS].

In a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  with Serre functor, Efimov [E] and Broomhead, Pauksztello, Ploog [BPP] introduced the notion of exceptional cycles (note that they were called spherical sequences in [E]). Actually, exceptional  $n$ -cycles is a special case of spherical functors with the source triangulated category  $D^b(k^n)$ . Any exceptional cycle in  $\mathcal{T}$  induces an auto-equivalence of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which is a generalization of tubular mutation [M]. Given a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ , it is always difficult to construct an auto-equivalence of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Thus it is important to determine all the exceptional cycle in  $\mathcal{T}$ . In a series of papers, all the exceptional cycles in several triangulated categories with Serre functor are classified, for example, the bounded derived category  $D^b(kQ)$  of a finite acyclic quiver  $Q$ , the bounded homotopy category  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  of a gentle algebra  $A$ , and certain triangulated categories arising from nilpotent representations (see [GZ1], [GZ2], [GZ3]).

In this paper, we focus on constructing a new exceptional cycle from the old ones. Precisely, assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are Gorenstein algebras, given two exceptional cycles  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  and  $(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m)$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  and  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$  respectively, we construct a new exceptional cycle

---

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC Grant No. 11971255).

Email: guop@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn.

in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ , where  $\Lambda$  is an upper triangular matrix algebra  $\begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  with  $N = {}_A N_B$  an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule. In other words, given two auto-equivalences in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  and  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$  respectively, we construct an auto-equivalence of  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$  (since any exceptional cycle can induce an auto-equivalent in a given triangulated category).

## 2. PRELIMINARY

**2.1. Triangular matrix algebras.** Throughout,  $k$  is an algebraically closed field,  $A$  and  $B$  are two finite dimensional  $k$ -algebras,  $N = {}_A N_B$  is an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule such that  $\begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  is a finite dimensional algebra, with addition and multiplication given by the ones of matrices. Denote by  $A\text{-mod}$  (resp.  $B\text{-mod}$ ) the category of finitely generated left  $A$ -modules (resp.  $B$ -modules). A (finitely generated) left  $\Lambda$ -module is identified with a triple  $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi$ , simply  $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$  if  $\phi$  is clear, where  $X \in A\text{-mod}$ ,  $Y \in B\text{-mod}$ , and  $\phi : N \otimes_B Y \rightarrow X$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism. A  $\Lambda$ -map  $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\phi'}$  is identified with a pair  $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $f \in \text{Hom}_A(X, X')$ ,  $g \in \text{Hom}_B(Y, Y')$ , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_B Y & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes g & & \downarrow f \\ N \otimes_B Y' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & X' \end{array}$$

commutes. A sequence of  $\Lambda$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\phi'} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} X'' \\ Y'' \end{pmatrix}_{\phi''} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if the sequence of  $A$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} X' \xrightarrow{f'} X'' \rightarrow 0$  and the sequence of  $B$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{g} Y' \xrightarrow{g'} Y'' \rightarrow 0$  are exact.

The indecomposable projective  $\Lambda$ -modules are exactly  $\begin{pmatrix} P \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_B Q \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\text{Id}}$ , where  $P$  runs over indecomposable projective  $A$ -modules, and  $Q$  runs over indecomposable projective  $B$ -modules. The indecomposable injective  $\Lambda$ -modules are exactly  $\begin{pmatrix} I \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, I) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ J \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $I$  runs over indecomposable injective  $A$ -modules,  $J$  runs over indecomposable injective  $B$ -modules, and  $\Theta : N \otimes_B \text{Hom}_A(N, I) \rightarrow I$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism given by  $\Theta(n \otimes f) = f(n), \forall n \in N, f \in \text{Hom}_A(N, I)$ .

The following lemma follows from [ARS, p. 77].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $A, B, \Lambda$  are algebras as above,  $P$  an indecomposable projective  $A$ -module,  $Q$  an indecomposable projective  $B$ -module. Then*

$$\nu_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} P \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta, \quad \nu_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_B Q \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\text{Id}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \nu_B Q \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Theta : N \otimes_B \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P) \rightarrow \nu_A P$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism given by  $\Theta(n \otimes f) = f(n), \forall n \in N, f \in \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P)$ , and  $\nu_A, \nu_B, \nu_\Lambda$  are the Nakayama functors of algebras  $A, B, \Lambda$  respectively.

**Lemma 2.2.** [C, Theorem 3.3] *Assume that both  $A$  and  $B$  are Gorenstein algebras,  $N = {}_A N_B$  is an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule. Then  $\begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  is Gorenstein if and only if  ${}_A N$  and  $N_B$  are finitely generated,  $\text{proj. dim } {}_A N < \infty$  and  $\text{proj. dim } N_B < \infty$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** [XZ, Lemma 2.1] *Suppose that  $A, B, \Lambda$  are algebra as above,  $X, X' \in A\text{-mod}$  and  $Y, Y' \in B\text{-mod}$ . Then*

$$(1) \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n \left( \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \right) \cong \text{Ext}_A^n(X', X), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n \left( \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y' \end{pmatrix} \right) \cong \text{Ext}_B^n(Y, Y'), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

**2.2. Exceptional cycles.** Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a triangulated category with Serre functor  $S$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $k$ -linear, Hom-finite, Krull-Schmidt triangulated category, with the shift functor denoted by  $[1] : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , and  $S : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is an auto-equivalence such that for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a  $k$ -linear isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y, S(X))^*$  which is functorial in  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $(-)^*$  is the  $k$ -dual  $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ . Then  $S$  is a triangle functor ([BK], [Boc, Appendix]), and  $\mathcal{T}$  has Auslander-Reiten triangles ([Ha, p.31]), with Auslander-Reiten translation denoted by  $\tau$ . Note that  $S(X) \cong (\tau X)[1] \cong \tau(X[1])$  for  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ . See [RV, Theorem I.2.4].

Let  $d$  be an integer. A non-zero object  $E$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $d$ -Calabi-Yau object, if  $S(E) \cong E[d]$ . Note that a direct summand of a Calabi-Yau object is not necessarily Calabi-Yau (see [CZ]).

For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ , let  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(X, Y)$  denote the complex of  $k$ -spaces with  $\text{Hom}^i(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y[i])$  and zero differentials. Write  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(X, Y)$  as  $\bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y[i])[-i]$ . Put  $\dim_k \text{Hom}^\bullet(X, Y) := \sum_i \dim_k \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y[i])$ .

**Definition 2.4.** ([BPP]) *An exceptional 1-cycle in  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $d$ -Calabi-Yau object  $E$ , such that  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) \cong k \oplus k[-d]$ .*

We stress that an exceptional 1-cycle is possibly decomposable, but this occurs if and only if  $d = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E) \cong k \times k$  as algebras.

**Definition 2.5.** *A sequence  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $S$ -period with respect to  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ , if there are integers  $d_i$  such that  $S(E_i) \cong E_{i+1}[d_i]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $E_{n+1} := E_1$ .*

Note that the sequence  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  of integers in the definition is unique.

**Definition 2.6.** ([BPP]) *A sequence  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $n \geq 2$  is an exceptional  $n$ -cycle with respect to a sequence of integers  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ , if the conditions (E1), (E2) and (E3) are satisfied:*

$$(E1) \quad \text{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_i) \cong k \text{ for all } i;$$

$$(E2) \quad \text{it is } S\text{-period with respect to } (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n);$$

$$(E3) \quad \text{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_j) = 0, \text{ unless } j = i \text{ or } j = i + 1. \text{ (This condition vanishes if } n = 2.)$$

In this case,  $n$  is called the length of exceptional cycle  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$ . Note that each object in an exceptional  $n$ -cycle with  $n \geq 2$  is indecomposable.

Sometimes it is easier to use the equivalent definition:

**Definition 2.6'** *A sequence  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $n \geq 2$  is an exceptional  $n$ -cycle in  $\mathcal{T}$ , if the conditions (E1'), (E2), and (E3') are satisfied:*

- (E1')  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E_1, E_1) \cong k$ ;  
 (E2) it is  $S$ -period with respect to  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ ;  
 (E3')  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E_1, E_j) = 0$  for  $j \geq 3$ . (This condition vanishes if  $n = 2$ .)

**Theorem 2.7.** [Ha, Lemma 1.5, Theorem 3.4] *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional algebra. Then  $A$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $K^b(A\text{-proj}) = K^b(A\text{-inj})$  in  $D^b(A)$ . In this case,  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  has Serre functor  $S$  which is induced by the Nakayama functor  $\nu_A$ .*

### 3. MAIN RESULT

#### 3.1. Perfect exceptional cycles.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional algebra, a sequence  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  of objects of  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  with  $n \geq 1$  is called perfect if each  $E_i$  is an  $A$ -module for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

**Example 3.2.** (1) *If  $A = kQ$  with  $Q$  an acyclic finite quiver, then after adjusting shift in each position, every exceptional cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  can become a perfect exceptional cycle.*

(2) *If  $A = kQ/I$  is a finite dimensional gentle algebra with  $A \neq k$ , where  $Q$  is a finite connected quiver such that the underlying graph of  $Q$  is not of type  $\mathbb{A}_3$ , then after adjusting shift in each position, every exceptional cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  can be a perfect exceptional cycle. Actually, according to [GZ2, Theorem 1.4], each object in an exceptional cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  is a string complex at the mouth. By [Bob, Lemma 2.4], every string complex at the mouth is a shift of an  $A$ -module.*

(3) *If  $A = k(1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3)/\langle \beta\alpha \rangle$ , then check that  $(P(2) \rightarrow P(1), P(3) \rightarrow P(2))$  is an exceptional 2-cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ , but no matter how to adjust shift in each position, it would not become a perfect exceptional cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Gorenstein algebra, a  $d$ -Calabi-Yau object  $E$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  is a perfect exceptional 1-cycle if and only if  $E$  is an  $A$ -module and*

$$\text{when } d \neq 0, \text{Ext}_A^t(E, E) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } t = d; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (i)$$

and

$$\text{when } d = 0, \text{Ext}_A^t(E, E) \cong \begin{cases} k^2, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (ii)$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $E$  is an  $A$ -module and satisfies (i) and (ii), then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) &= \bigoplus_t \text{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E, E[t][-t]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_t \text{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(E, E[t][-t]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_t \text{Ext}_A^t(E, E)[-t] \\ &\cong k \oplus k[-d]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last equation holds whether  $d = 0$  or not, thus  $E$  is a perfect exceptional 1-cycle.

On the other hand, if  $E$  is a perfect exceptional 1-cycle, then  $E$  is an  $A$ -module and  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) = k \oplus k[-d]$ .

When  $d = 0$ , it follows that  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) \cong k^2 \cong \bigoplus_t \text{Ext}_A^t(E, E)[-t]$ . Thus one has

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(E, E) \cong \begin{cases} k^2, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When  $d \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) \cong k \oplus k[-d] \cong \bigoplus_t \text{Ext}_A^t(E, E)[-t]$ . Hence

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(E, E) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } t = d; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a Gorenstein algebra, the sequence  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  is  $S$ -period with respect to  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  is a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle;
- (ii) Each  $E_i$  is an  $A$ -module for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(E_i, E_j) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } j = i, t = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } j = i + 1, t = d_i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (iii) Each  $E_i$  is an  $A$ -module for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(E_1, E_j) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } j = 1, t = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } j = 2, t = d_1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) According to the definition of a perfect exceptional cycle, then each  $E_i$  is an  $A$ -module for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_i) = k$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\text{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_j) = 0$ , unless  $j = i$  or  $j = i + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} k \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_i) &= \bigoplus_t \text{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E_i, E_i[t])[-t] \\ &\cong \bigoplus_t \text{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(E_i, E_i[t])[-t] \\ &\cong \bigoplus_t \text{Ext}_A^t(E_i, E_i)[-t]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(E_i, E_i) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}_A^t(E_i, E_{i+1}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E_i, E_{i+1}[t]) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E_i, S(E_i)[t - d_i]) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E_i, S(E_i[t - d_i])) \\
&\cong D \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E_i[t - d_i], E_i) \\
&\cong D \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b(A\text{-proj})}(E_i, E_i[d_i - t]) \\
&\cong D \mathrm{Ext}_A^{d_i - t}(E_i, E_i) \\
&\cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } t = d_i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If  $j \neq i$  and  $j \neq i + 1$ , then  $0 = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_j) = \bigoplus_t \mathrm{Ext}_A^t(E_i, E_j)[-t]$ . All together, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^t(E_i, E_j) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } j = i, t = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } j = i + 1, t = d_i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) It is clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If each  $E_i$  is an  $A$ -module for  $i \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_1, E_j) &\cong \bigoplus_t \mathrm{Ext}_A^t(E_1, E_j)[-t] \\
&\cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } j = 1; \\ k[-d_1], & \text{if } j = 2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.6',  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  is a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle.  $\square$

**3.2. Serre functor for triangular matrix algebras.** Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are two finite dimensional Gorenstein algebras,  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $N$  is an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule. The Serre functor in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  (resp.  $K^b(B\text{-proj}), K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ ) is denoted by  $S_A$  (resp.  $S_B, S_\Lambda$ ), and the Nakayama functor is denote by  $\nu_A$  (resp.  $\nu_B, \nu_\Lambda$ ).

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Gorenstein algebras,  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m)$  a perfect exceptional  $m$ -cycle in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ . Then  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & E_n \otimes_k D(F_1) \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  is Gorenstein. Consequently,  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$  has Serre functor.*

*Proof.* Since  $E_n \in K^b(A\text{-proj})$ , we have  $\mathrm{proj. dim}_A(E_n) < \infty$ . It follows from  $F_1 \in K^b(A\text{-proj}) = K^b(A\text{-inj})$  that  $\mathrm{inj. dim}_B(F_1) < \infty$ . Hence  $\mathrm{proj. dim}_B(D(F_1)) < \infty$ . Then one has

$$\mathrm{proj. dim}_A(E_n \otimes_k D(F_1)) = \mathrm{proj. dim}_A(E_n) < \infty$$

and

$$\mathrm{proj. dim}_B(E_n \otimes_k D(F_1))_B = \mathrm{proj. dim}_B(D(F_1))_B < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $\Lambda$  is Gorenstein.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a Gorenstein algebra,  $X, Y \in A\text{-mod}$  such that  $S_A(X) \cong Y[d]$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ , where  $S_A$  is the Serre functor in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ . Then  $\mathrm{proj. dim} X = d = \mathrm{inj. dim} Y$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{proj. dim } X = n$ . Take a minimal projective resolution of  $X$

$$P_\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\alpha_n$  is not a splitting monomorphism. Applying the Nakayama functor  $\nu_A$  to  $P_\bullet$ , one has

$$\nu_A P_\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \nu_A P_n \xrightarrow{\nu_A \alpha_n} \nu_A P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \nu_A P_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_A \alpha_1} \nu_A P_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $S_A(X) \cong S_A(P_\bullet) \cong \nu_A P_\bullet \cong Y[d]$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  and  $Y[d]$  is a stalk complex, hence

$$H_i(\nu_A P_\bullet) \cong H_i(Y[d]) \cong \begin{cases} Y, & \text{if } i = -d; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $d = n$ . Otherwise,  $H_n(\nu_A P_\bullet) = 0$ . It follows that  $\nu \alpha_n$  is a monomorphism. Since  $\nu_A P_n$  and  $\nu_A P_{n-1}$  are injective modules, thus  $\nu_A \alpha_n$  splits. So  $\alpha_n = \nu_A^{-1} \nu_A \alpha_n$  splits. It's a contradiction. Then  $\nu_A P_\bullet$  is an injective resolution of  $Y$ . We claim that  $\nu_A \alpha_1$  is not a splitting epimorphism (otherwise,  $\alpha_1$  is an splitting epimorphism, it's a contradiction). Hence,  $\nu_A P_\bullet$  is a minimal injective resolution of  $Y$ , it follows that  $\text{inj. dim } Y = d = n = \text{proj. dim } X$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** *If  $(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  is a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle with respect to  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ , then by above lemma, the sequence of integers  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$  has an interpretation of representation theory: each integer  $c_i$  equals to the projective dimension of  $E_i$  (or the injective dimension of  $E_{i+1}$ ), hence non-negative.*

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that  $A, B, \Lambda$  are as above,  $X, Y \in A\text{-mod}$  such that  $S_A(X) \cong Y[c]$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $N$  is an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule satisfying  $\text{Ext}_A^t(N, Y) = 0$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Then*

$$S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta [c],$$

where  $\Theta : N \otimes_B \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \longrightarrow Y$  is given by  $\Theta(n \otimes f) = f(n), \forall n \in N, f \in \text{Hom}_A(N, Y)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.6,  $\text{proj. dim } X = c$ , take a (minimal) projective resolution of  $X$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow P_c \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.6 that

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\beta} \nu_A P_c \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \nu_A P_1 \longrightarrow \nu_A P_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a (minimal) injective resolution of  $Y$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_A(N, -)$  to above injective resolution of  $Y$ , one gets

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Actually, the  $t$ -th cohomology group is  $\text{Ext}_A^t(N, Y)$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_A^t(N, Y) = 0$  for  $t \geq 1$ , then

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \xrightarrow{\beta \circ (-)} \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Then we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \beta \circ (-) \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P_c \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P_0 \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Theta : N \otimes_B \text{Hom}_A(N, Z) \longrightarrow Z$  is given by  $\Theta(n \otimes f) = f(n), \forall n \in N, f \in \text{Hom}_A(N, Z), Z \in \{Y, \nu_A P_c, \dots, \nu_A P_0\}$ .

That is,  $P^\bullet = \left( 0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \right)$  is a projective resolution of  $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $I^\bullet = \left( 0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P_c \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P_0 \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta \rightarrow 0 \right)$  is an injective resolution of  $\begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta$ .

By Lemma 2.1,  $\nu_\Lambda P^\bullet \cong I^\bullet$ , so  $S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong S_\Lambda(P^\bullet) \cong \nu_\Lambda P^\bullet \cong I^\bullet \cong \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \end{pmatrix}_\Theta [c]$ .  $\square$

The following Lemma can be proved by the same argument as Lemma 3.8, we omit the proof.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Assume that  $A, B, \Lambda$  are as above,  $V, W \in B\text{-mod}$  such that  $S_B(V) \cong W[d]$  in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ ,  $N$  is an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule satisfying  $\text{Tor}_t^B(N, V) = 0$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Then*

$$S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_B V \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\text{Id}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ W \end{pmatrix} [d].$$

**Lemma 3.10.** *Assume that  $A, B, \Lambda$  are as above,  $X, Y \in A\text{-mod}$  such that  $S_A(X) \cong Y[c]$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $V, W \in B\text{-mod}$  such that  $S_B(V) \cong W[d]$  in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ ,  $N$  is an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule*

*satisfying  $\text{Ext}_A^t(N, Y) = \begin{cases} W, & \text{if } t = c; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  and  $\text{Tor}_t^B(N, V) = \begin{cases} X, & \text{if } t = d; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . Then*

$$S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ V \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [c + d + 1].$$

*Proof.* Take a projective resolution of  $X$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow P_c \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} X \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $S_A(X) \cong Y[c]$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \nu_A P_c \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \nu_A P_1 \rightarrow \nu_A P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is an injective resolution of  $Y$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_A(N, -)$  to above injective resolution of  $Y$ , one gets

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

The  $t$ -th cohomology group is  $\text{Ext}_A^t(N, Y)$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_A^t(N, Y) = \begin{cases} W, & \text{if } t = c; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} W \rightarrow 0.$$

Take a projective resolution of  $V$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow Q_d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} V \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $S_B(V) \cong W[d]$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \nu_B Q_d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \nu_B Q_1 \rightarrow \nu_B Q_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is an injective resolution of  $W$ . Applying  $N \otimes_B -$  to above projective resolution of  $V$ , we get

$$0 \rightarrow N \otimes_B Q_d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N \otimes_B Q_1 \rightarrow N \otimes_B Q_0 \rightarrow 0.$$



The  $t$ -th homology group is  $\text{Tor}_t^B(N, V)$ . Since  $\text{Tor}_t^B(N, V) = \begin{cases} X, & \text{if } t = d; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\beta_1} N \otimes_B P_d \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N \otimes_B P_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_B Q_d \\ Q_d \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} \cdots \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_B Q_0 \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ V \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P_c \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_c) \end{pmatrix}_{\ominus} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \nu_A P_0 \\ \text{Hom}_A(N, \nu_A P_0) \end{pmatrix}_{\ominus} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \nu_B Q_d \end{pmatrix} \\ \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \nu_B Q_0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0$$

It follows that  $S_{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ V \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [c + d + 1]$ .

□

### 3.3. Product of two perfect exceptional cycles.

**Definition 3.11.** Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are finite dimensional algebras,  $E_* = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  is a sequence of  $A$ -modules with  $n \geq 1$ ,  $F_* = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m)$  a sequence of  $B$ -modules with  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule  $N = E_n \otimes_k D(F_1)$ ,  $\Psi : E_n \otimes_k D(F_1) \otimes_B F_1 \longrightarrow E_n$  be an  $A$ -module homomorphism given by  $\Psi(a \otimes_k f \otimes_B b) = f(b)a, \forall a \in E_n, f \in D(F_1), b \in F_1$ . Then the sequence of  $\Lambda$ -modules

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} E_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} E_n \\ F_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\Psi}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_m \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

is called the product of  $E_*$  and  $F_*$ , denote it by  $E_* \boxtimes F_*$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite dimensional algebras,  $E, X \in A\text{-mod}$  and  $F, Y \in B\text{-mod}$ .

(1) There exists an isomorphism of  $B$ -module

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(E \otimes_k D(F), X) \cong F \otimes_k \text{Ext}_A^n(E, X), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

(2) There exists an isomorphism of  $A$ -module

$$\text{Tor}_n^B(E \otimes_k D(F), Y) \cong E \otimes_k D \text{Ext}_B^n(Y, F), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) Take an injective resolution  $0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow I^\bullet$ . Since an exact functor commutes with the cohomology functor, there is an isomorphism of  $k$ -linear space for  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^n(E \otimes_k D(F), X) &\cong H^n(\text{Hom}_A(E \otimes_k D(F), I^\bullet)) \\ &\cong H^n(\text{Hom}_k(D(F), \text{Hom}_A(E, I^\bullet))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_k(D(F), H^n(\text{Hom}_A(E, I^\bullet))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_k(D(F), \text{Ext}_A^n(E, X)) \\ &\cong F \otimes_k \text{Ext}_A^n(E, X). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\text{Ext}_A^n(E \otimes_k D(F), X)$  has the left  $B$ -module structure given by the right  $B$ -module structure of  $E \otimes_k D(F)$ , hence for  $n \geq 0$ , there exists a  $B$ -module isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(E \otimes_k D(F), X) \cong F \otimes_k \text{Ext}_A^n(E, X).$$

(2) Take a projective resolution  $P^\bullet \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ . Since an exact functor commutes with the homology functor, there is an isomorphism of  $k$ -linear space for  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_n^B(E \otimes_k D(F), Y) &\cong \text{H}_n(E \otimes_k D(F) \otimes_B P^\bullet) \\ &\cong \text{H}_n(E \otimes_k D \text{Hom}_B(P^\bullet, F)) \\ &\cong E \otimes_k \text{H}_n(D \text{Hom}_B(P^\bullet, F)) \\ &\cong E \otimes_k \text{DH}^n(\text{Hom}_B(P^\bullet, F)) \\ &\cong E \otimes_k D \text{Ext}_B^n(Y, F). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\text{Tor}_n^B(E \otimes_k D(F), Y)$  has the left  $A$ -module structure given by the left  $A$ -module structure of  $E \otimes_k D(F)$ , hence for  $n \geq 0$ , there exists an  $A$ -module isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_n^B(E \otimes_k D(F), Y) \cong F \otimes_k D \text{Ext}_A^n(Y, F).$$

□

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Gorenstein algebras,  $E_* = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle with respect to  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $F_* = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m)$  a perfect exceptional  $m$ -cycle with respect to  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$  in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ . Let  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule  $N = E_n \otimes_k D(F_1)$ . Then  $E_* \boxtimes F_*$  is  $S_\Lambda$ -period with respect to  $(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}, c_n + d_m + 1)$  in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ .*

*Proof.* We only give a proof of the case  $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$ , since the other three cases: (i)  $n = 1, m \geq 2$ ; (ii)  $n \geq 2, m = 1$ ; (iii)  $n = 1, m = 1$  are proved identically.

According to Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.4,

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(N, E_i) \cong F_1 \otimes_k \text{Ext}_A^t(E_n, E_i) = \begin{cases} F_1, & \text{if } i = 1, t = c_n; \\ F_1, & \text{if } i = n, t = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (*1)$$

$$\text{Tor}_t^B(N, F_i) \cong E_n \otimes D \text{Ext}_B^t(F_i, F_1) = \begin{cases} E_n, & \text{if } i = 1, t = 0; \\ E_n, & \text{if } i = m, t = d_m; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (*2)$$

Since  $S_A(E_i) \cong E_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , it follows from Lemma 3.8 and (\*1) that

$$S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} E_{i+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [c_i], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} E_n \\ F_1 \end{pmatrix}_\Psi [c_{n-1}].$$

Similarly, due to  $S_B(F_i) \cong F_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , according to Lemma 3.9 and (\*2),

$$S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E_n \\ F_1 \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix} [d_1] \quad \text{and} \quad S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_i \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} [d_i], \quad 2 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

Because  $S_A(E_n) \cong E_1[c_n]$  and  $S_B(F_m) \cong F_1[d_m]$ , by Lemma 3.10, (\*1) and (\*2),

$$S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_m \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [c_n + d_m + 1].$$

□

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Gorenstein algebras,  $E$  a perfect exceptional 1-cycle with  $S_A(E) \cong E[c]$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $F$  a perfect exceptional 1-cycle with  $S_B(F) \cong F[d]$  in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ . Assume that  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule  $N = E \otimes_k D(F)$ . Then  $E \boxtimes F = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi$  is an exceptional 1-cycle with  $S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \cong \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi [c+d+1]$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.13, one has  $S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \cong \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi [c+d+1]$ . Due to Lemma 3.6,  $c \geq 0, d \geq 0$ , hence  $c+d+1 > 0$ . According to Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \right) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } t = 0; \\ k & \text{if } t = c+d+1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the exact sequence of  $\Lambda$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0,$$

there is a long exact sequence of  $k$ -linear spaces

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F \end{pmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &\cong \text{Hom}_{K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})} \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [t] \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})} \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [t], S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})} \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} [t], \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi [c+d+1] \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})} \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi [c+d+1-t] \right) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{c+d+1-t} \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \right) \quad (\text{By Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_A^{c+d+1-t}(E, E). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F \end{pmatrix} \right) \cong \text{Ext}_B^t(F, F)$ .

Hence above long exact sequence becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{c+d+1-t}(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_B^t(F, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{c+d-t}(E, E) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Assume that  $c \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$  (the other three cases: (i)  $c = 0, d \neq 0$ ; (ii)  $c \neq 0, d = 0$ ; (iii)  $c = 0, d = 0$  are proved similarly).

If  $c + d + 1 - t \neq 0, c + d + 1 - t \neq c$  and  $t \neq 0, t \neq d$ , then  $\text{Ext}_A^{c+d+1-t}(E, E) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_B^t(F, F) = 0$ , it follows that  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) = 0$ .

If  $c + d + 1 - t = 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_A^{c+d+1-t}(E, E) = k$  and  $t \neq 0, t \neq d$ . Hence one has  $\text{Ext}_B^t(F, F) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_B^{t-1}(F, F) = 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) = k$ .

If  $c + d + 1 - t = c$ , then  $\text{Ext}_A^{c+d+1-t}(E, E) = k, \text{Ext}_B^{t-1}(F, F) = k$ , so  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) = 0$ .

If  $t = 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_B^t(F, F) = k, \text{Ext}_A^{c+d+1-t}(E, E) = 0$ , hence  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) = k$ .

If  $t = d$ , then  $\text{Ext}_B^t(F, F) = k, \text{Ext}_A^{c+d-t}(E, E) = k$ , it follows that  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) = 0$ .

Thus

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi, \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } t = 0; \\ k & \text{if } t = c + d + 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.3,  $\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi$  is an exceptional 1-cycle with  $S_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi \cong \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix}_\Psi [c + d + 1]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** Note that Lemma 3.14 is a special case of main result of [Ba, Theorem 1.0.1], in this case, we give a short different proof.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Gorenstein algebras,  $E_* = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle with respect to  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$  in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $F_* = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m)$  a perfect exceptional  $m$ -cycle with respect to  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$  in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ . Assume that  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & N \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule  $N = E_n \otimes_k D(F_1)$ . Then  $E_* \boxtimes F_*$  is an exceptional  $(n + m - 1)$ -cycle with respect to  $(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}, c_n + d_m + 1)$ .

*Proof.* According to Proposition 3.13, the product  $E_* \boxtimes F_*$  is  $S_\Lambda$ -period with respect to the sequence of positive integers  $(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}, c_n + d_m + 1)$  in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ . By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, it remains to compute the Ext-spaces of these  $\Lambda$ -modules.

The case  $n = 1, m = 1$  holds by Lemma 3.14.

Assume that  $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$  (the other two cases: (i)  $n = 1, m \geq 2$ ; (ii)  $n \geq 2, m = 1$  are proved similarly).


Since  $E_* = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  is a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle with respect to  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ , hence one has

$$\text{Ext}_A^t(E_1, E_j) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } j = 1, t = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } j = 2, t = c_1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.3,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} E_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \cong \text{Ext}_A^t(E_1, E_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} E_n \\ F_1 \end{pmatrix}_\Psi\right) \cong \text{Ext}_A^t(E_1, E_n)$  and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^t\left(\begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_j \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

Due to Lemma 3.4,  $E_* \boxtimes F_*$  is an exceptional  $(n+m-1)$ -cycle with respect to  $(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}, c_n + d_m + 1)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.17.** Consider the following three algebras  $A = kQ_1/I_1$ ,  $B = kQ_2/I_2$ ,  $\Lambda = kQ_3/I_3$ , where  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$  are given below,  $I_1 = \langle \alpha^3 \rangle$ ,  $I_2 = \langle \beta^3 \rangle$ ,  $I_3 = I_1 \cup I_2$ .



Note that  $(P(4), P(2))$  is an exceptional 2-cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ ,  $(P(5'), P(3'), P(1'))$  an exceptional 3-cycle in  $K^b(B\text{-proj})$ , where  $P(i)$  is the indecomposable projective modules corresponding to the vertex  $i$ . It is clear that  $\Lambda$  is the upper triangular matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & P(2) \otimes_k D(P(5')) \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $(P(4), P(5'), P(3'), P(1'))$  is an exceptional  $(2 + 3 - 1)$ -cycle in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ . However, algebra  $\Lambda$  is a string algebra (but not gentle). So this is a new exceptional cycle that we do not know before.

As a consequence we obtain the following description of (co)extension exceptional cycle of a given perfect exceptional cycle. Compare this result with [G, Proposition 3.5]. Notice that  $(k, k)$  is the unique exceptional cycle in  $D^b(k)$  up to shift at each position.

**Corollary 3.18.** Let  $A$  be a Gorenstein algebra,  $E_* = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  a perfect exceptional  $n$ -cycle in  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$ .

(1) If  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & E_n \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $E_* \boxtimes (k, k)$  is an exceptional  $(n + 1)$ -cycle in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ . In this case,  $E_* \boxtimes (k, k)$  is called the extension of  $E_*$ .

(2) If  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} k & D(E_1) \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $(k, k) \boxtimes E_*$  is an exceptional  $(n + 1)$ -cycle in  $K^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ . In this case,  $(k, k) \boxtimes E_*$  is called the coextension of  $E_*$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Add] N. Addington, New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties, Algebraic Geometry 3(2)(2016), 223-260.
- [AA] N. Addinton, P. S. Aspinwall, Categories of massless D-branes and del Pezzo surfaces, J. High Energy Phys. 07(2013)176.
- [An] R. Anno, Spherical functor, arXiv: 0711.4409.
- [AL] R. Anno, T. Logvinenko, Spherical DG-functor, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19(2017), 2577-2656.
- [ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 36., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [Ba] F. Barbacovi, On the composition of two spherical twists, arXiv: 2006.06016v3 [math. AG].
- [Boc] R. Bocklandt, Graded Calabi Yau algebras of dimension 3, with an appendix “The signs of Serre functor” by M. Van den Bergh, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212(1)(2008), 14-32.
- [Bob] G. Bobiński, Derived equivalence classification of the gentle two-cycle algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory 20(4)(2017), 857-869.
- [BK] A. Bondal, M. Kapranov, Representable functors, Serre functors, and mutations, Math. USSR Izv. 35(1990), 519-541.
- [BPP] N. Broomhead, D. Pauksztello, D. Ploog, Discrete derived categories I: homomorphisms, autoequivalences and t-structures, Math. Z. 285(2017), 39-89.

- [C] X. W. Chen, Singularities categories, Schur functors and triangular matrix rings, *Algebr. Represent. Theory.* 12(2009), 181-191.
- [CZ] C. Cibils, P. Zhang, Calabi-Yau objects in triangulated categories, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361(12)(2009), 6501-6519.
- [D] W. Donovan, Grassmannian twists on the derived category via spherical functors, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3)107(2013), 1053-1090.
- [DKSS] T. Dyckerhoff, M. Kapranov, V. Schechtman, Y. Soibelman, Spherical adjunctions of stable  $\infty$ -categories and the relative S-construction, arXiv: 2106.02873 [math.AG].
- [E] A. Efimov, The Möbius contest paper: Braid group actions on triangulated categories, (2007).
- [G] C. Geiss, Derived tame algebras and Euler-forms. With an appendix by the author and B. Keller, *Math. Z.* 239(4)(2002), 829-862.
- [GZ1] P. Guo, P. Zhang, Exceptional cycles in the bounded derived categories of quivers, *Acta Math. Sinica (English Series)* 36(3)(2020), 207-223.
- [GZ2] P. Guo, P. Zhang, Exceptional cycles for perfect complexes over gentle algebras, *J. Algebra* 565(2021), 160-195.
- [GZ3] P. Guo, P. Zhang, Exceptional cycles arising from nilpotent representations, preprint
- [HLS] D. Halpern-Leistner, I. Shipman, Autoequivalences of derived categories via geometric invariant theory, *Adv. Math.* 303(2016), 1264-1299.
- [Ha] D. Happel, On Gorenstein algebras, in *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Finite-Dimensional Algebras*, *Progr. Math.* 95, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991, 389-404.
- [HKP] A. Hochenegger, M. Kalck, D. Ploog, Spherical subcategories in algebraic geometry, *Math. Nachr.* 289(11-12)(2016), 1450-1465.
- [Hu] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Math. Monogr., Oxford Univ. Press, 2006.
- [KS] M. Kapranov, V. Schechtman, Perverse Schobers, arXiv: 1411. 2722v2 [math.AG].
- [Ko] M. Kontsevich, "Homological algebra of mirror symmetry" in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994)*, Vol. 1,2, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, 120-139.
- [Ku] A. Kuznetsov, Calabi-Yau and fractional Calabi-Yau categories, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 753(2019), 239-267.
- [M] H. Meltzer, Tubular mutations, *Colloq. Math.* 74(1997), 267-274.
- [RV] I. Reiten, M. Van den Bergh, Noether hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre functor, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15(2)(2002), 295-366.
- [R] R. Rouquier, Categorification of  $sl_2$  and braid groups, in *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, *Contemp. Math.* 406, Providence, RI, 2006, 137-167.
- [Seg] E. Segal, All autoequivalences are spherical twists, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 10(2018), 3137-3154.
- [ST] P. Seidel, R. Thomas, Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves, *Duke Math. J.* 108(1)(2001), 37-108.
- [V] Y. Volkov, Group generated by two twists along spherical sequences, arXiv: 1901.10904v2 [math.RT].
- [XZ] B. L. Xiong, P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules over triangular matrix artin algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 11(4)(2012), 1250066.