

# CONDITIONS ON THE MONODROMY FOR A SURFACE GROUP EXTENSION TO BE CAT(0)

KEJIA ZHU

ABSTRACT. In order to determine when surface-by-surface bundles are non-positively curved, Llosa Isenrich and Py in [LIP21] give a necessary condition: given a surface-by-surface group  $G$  with infinite monodromy, if  $G$  is CAT(0) then the monodromy representation is injective. We extend this to a more general result: Let  $G$  be a group with a normal surface subgroup  $R$ . Assume  $G/R$  satisfies the property that for every infinite normal subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $G/R$ , there is an infinite finitely generated subgroup  $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$  so that the centralizer  $C_{G/R}(\Lambda_0)$  is finite. If  $G$  is CAT(0) with infinite monodromy, then the monodromy representation has a finite kernel. We prove that acylindrically hyperbolic groups satisfy this property.

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

Surface bundles are a classical topic, as is the question of understanding which spaces are non-positively curved. A space is called *non-positively curved* if it is locally a CAT(0) space (see [BH99, Definition II.1.2]). This is a generalization of manifolds with non-positive sectional curvature. For the background of CAT(0) spaces, see [BH99, Part II].

Now it is natural to consider the non-positively curved condition for the case of (hyperbolic) surface bundles, as they are “extensions” of non-positively curved spaces. Leeb in [Lee95, Section 3] proves many (hyperbolic) surface bundles over a circle are non-positively curved, but Kapovich and Leeb in [KL96, Theorem 3.7] show that given a closed hyperbolic surface  $S$ , if the mapping class defining the monodromy, say  $f$ , is a collection of Dehn twists (all in the same direction) then the mapping torus of  $f$  does not admit a metric of nonpositive curvature. For the case of (hyperbolic) surface bundles over a surface, there are some classical examples such as all double étale Kodaira fibrations in the sense of [CR09] and the Kodaira-Atiyah examples (see [Ati69] and [Kod67]). A result of Llosa Isenrich and Py [LIP21, Theorem 3] provides an obstruction to non-positive curvature (see Example 1.3). Before Example 1.3, we first introduce Llosa Isenrich and Py’s theorem as well as some necessary definitions and facts.

**Theorem 1.1.** [LIP21, Theorem 3] *Let  $G$  be a surface-by-surface group with infinite monodromy. Fix a normal subgroup  $R \trianglelefteq G$  isomorphic to a surface group with  $G/R$  isomorphic to a surface group. If the group  $G$  is CAT(0) then the monodromy  $G/R \rightarrow \text{Out}(R)$  is injective.*

**Remark 1.2.** A group is called CAT(0) if it admits a properly discontinuous and cocompact action on a CAT(0) space. It follows from the definition that a compact space being non-positively curved implies its fundamental group is CAT(0), but the converse is not true.

Given a closed (hyperbolic) surface bundle  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  of (connected) manifolds, there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_1(B) \rightarrow 1.$$

Recall the (oriented)  $F$ -bundles over  $B$  are in bijection with the conjugacy classes of homomorphisms  $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F)$ , where  $\text{Mod}(F)$  is the mapping class group of  $F$  (see [FM11, p.155]). So the group  $\pi_1(E)$  is determined by the monodromy representation.

**Example 1.3.** Find two closed surfaces  $B, F$  with a non-injective homomorphism  $\psi : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F)$ . Such a  $\psi$  is easy to construct, for example, consider the composition  $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is a surjection from  $\pi_1(B)$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varphi_2$  is a map from  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F)$ , sending a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to an infinite order element of  $\text{Mod}(F)$ . Theorem 1.1 shows that the  $F$ -bundle over  $B$  corresponding to  $\psi$  is an example of a surface bundle over a surface which is not non-positively curved.

Motivated by Theorem 1.1, in this paper we consider for which groups  $\pi_1(B)$  it is the case that if the group extension defined by  $\rho : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F)$  is a CAT(0) group and  $\rho$  has infinite image, then  $\rho$  necessarily has finite kernel.

**Definition 1.4.** A group  $Q$  has Property (LIP) if for every infinite normal subgroup  $\Lambda \trianglelefteq Q$ , there is an infinite finitely generated subgroup  $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$  so that the centralizer  $C_Q(\Lambda_0)$  is finite.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose  $R$  is a surface group, that*

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

*is a short exact sequence with infinite monodromy, and that  $\Gamma$  has Property (LIP). If  $G$  is CAT(0) then the monodromy representation  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(R)$  has finite kernel.*

**Remark 1.6.** The proof of Theorem 1.1 by Llosa-Isenrich and Py generalizes easily to prove Theorem 1.5 (see Section 3).

Theorem 1.5 raises the question of which groups have Property (LIP).

**Example 1.7.** The first examples we give of groups with Property (LIP) are higher rank lattices: Let  $G$  be a connected semi-simple Lie group with finite centre with  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \geq 2$ . Then every irreducible lattice of  $G$  satisfies Property (LIP). This follows quickly from the Normal Subgroup Theorem of Margulis [Mar91], because every infinite normal subgroup is actually of finite index and hence has finite center, therefore finite centralizer. Some concrete examples are:

- (1)  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  for  $n \geq 3$ ;
- (2)  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2$ .

Unfortunately, Theorem 1.5 applied to higher rank lattices does not give any new information about surface bundles because Farb and Masur in [FM98, Theorem 1.1] showed that any homomorphism from an irreducible lattice in a semisimple Lie group of higher rank to the mapping class group has finite image.

Our main example of groups with Property (LIP) is that of acylindrically hyperbolic groups (see Definition 2.3) as seen by the next result.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Acylindrically hyperbolic groups satisfy Property (LIP).*

That cylindrically hyperbolic groups satisfy Property (LIP) will not surprise experts, but we give a proof in Section 2.

Every right-angled Artin group is either cyclic, directly decomposable, or acylindrically hyperbolic (see [Osi16, Appendix-Example (d)]). It is easy to see cyclic and directly decomposable right-angled Artin groups don't satisfy Property (LIP), so by Theorem 1.8 the right-angled Artin groups that satisfy Property (LIP) are precisely the acylindrically hyperbolic ones. Although all right-angled Artin groups are CAT(0), not every acylindrically hyperbolic is CAT(0), for example, mapping class groups are not CAT(0). It is an open question whether all hyperbolic groups are CAT(0).

**Corollary 1.9.** *Suppose  $G$  is a group, with an infinite normal subgroup  $R$  which is a closed surface group, and suppose  $G/R$  is acylindrically hyperbolic. If  $G$  is CAT(0) then the monodromy  $G/R \rightarrow \text{Out}(R)$  of the extension is either finite or has finite kernel.*

Since non-elementary hyperbolic groups are acylindrically hyperbolic, the following example is an application of Corollary 1.9:

**Corollary 1.10.** *Consider a surface bundle  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  with  $B$  compact, infinite monodromy, and suppose the universal cover of  $B$  is a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space. If  $\pi_1(E)$  is  $CAT(0)$ , then the monodromy representation  $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F)$  is either finite or has finite kernel.*

**Acknowledgment:** I would like to thank my advisor, Daniel Groves, for introducing me to the subject and answering my questions. This paper would not have been written without his help. I would like to thank my coadvisor, Anatoly Libgober, for constant support and warm encouragement. I also wish to thank Claudio Llosa Isenrich and Pierre Py for helpful comments.

## 2. ACYLINDRICALLY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS HAVE PROPERTY (LIP)

In this section, we will first introduce the definition of acylindrically hyperbolic groups and some results of Osin from [Osi16]. Then we will give the proof Theorem 1.8.

**Definition 2.1.** Given a group  $G$  acting on a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space  $S$ , an element  $g \in G$  is called *elliptic* if some (equivalently, every) orbit of  $g$  is bounded, and *loxodromic* if the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S$  defined by  $n \mapsto g^n \cdot s$  is a quasi-isometric embedding for some (equivalently, every)  $s \in S$ . Define  $\alpha_g$  to be the geodesic connecting  $s$  and  $g \cdot s$ , it leads to a  $(\lambda, \epsilon)$ -quasi-geodesic  $l_g := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} g^i \cdot \alpha_g$  called the *axis* of  $g$ . Moreover, any loxodromic element  $g$  has exactly two fixed points on  $\partial S$ , which are denoted by  $g^\pm$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Every loxodromic element  $g \in G$  has exactly two fixed points  $g^\pm$  on the Gromov boundary  $\partial S$ . Loxodromic elements  $g, h \in G$  are called *independent* if the sets  $\{g^\pm\}$  and  $\{h^\pm\}$  are disjoint. An action of a group  $G$  on a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space  $S$  is called *elementary* if the fixed set of  $G$  on  $\partial S$  contains at most 2 points.

**Definition 2.3.** An action of a group  $G$  on a metric space  $S$  is called *acylindrical* if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $R, N > 0$  such that for every two points  $x, y$  with  $d(x, y) \geq R$ , there are at most  $N$  elements  $g \in G$  satisfying  $d(x, gx) \leq \epsilon$  and  $d(y, gy) \leq \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([Osi16]-Theorem 1.1). *Let  $G$  be a group acting acylindrically on a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space. Then  $G$  satisfies exactly one of the following three conditions.*

- (a)  $G$  has bounded orbits.
- (b)  $G$  is virtually cyclic and contains a loxodromic element.
- (c)  $G$  contains infinitely many (pairwise) independent loxodromic elements.

If the action is acylindrical, non-elementarity is equivalent to condition (c) from Theorem 2.4. (See [Osi16, p.852]).

A group is called *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it admits a non-elementary acylindrical action on a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space.

**Remark 2.5.** The definition of acylindricity is due to Bowditch [Bow08] and the definition of acylindrically hyperbolic group is due to [Osi16]. Some examples of acylindrically hyperbolic groups are:

- (1) Non-elementary hyperbolic groups;
- (2) Non-elementary relatively hyperbolic groups;
- (3) Mapping class groups of almost every surface of finite type;
- (4) Non-cyclic directly indecomposable right-angled Artin groups;
- (5) The fundamental group of every irreducible, compact 3-manifold with a non-trivial JSJ-decomposition.

**Notation 2.6.** Fix an acylindrically hyperbolic group  $G$  and a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space  $X$  on which  $G$  acts acylindrically.

**Lemma 2.7.** If  $\Lambda \trianglelefteq G$  is infinite, then  $\Lambda$  contains a loxodromic element, say  $g$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.4, it suffices to show  $\Lambda$  contains unbounded orbits. Suppose all the orbits of  $\Lambda$  were bounded and take  $S$  to be a bounded orbit. Define  $\epsilon$  to be the diameter of  $S$ . By the definition of acylindrical action, there exist  $R, N > 0$  such that for every  $x, y$  with  $d(x, y) \geq R$ , there are at most  $N$  elements  $e' \in G$  satisfying

$$d(x, e'x) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, e'y) \leq \epsilon.$$

Let  $g_1 \in G$  be a loxodromic element with  $d(g_1 \cdot S, S) \geq R$ . By definition, there are at most  $N$  elements  $\{g'_i\}$  so that for every  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in g_1 \cdot S$ ,

$$d(x, g'_i x) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, g'_i y) \leq \epsilon.$$

To each  $h \in \Lambda$ , since  $\Lambda$  is normal,  $g_1^{-1} h g_1 \in \Lambda$ . Note  $h$  and  $g_1^{-1} h g_1$  fix  $S$  by assumption, thus  $h$  fixes  $S$  and also  $g_1 \cdot S$  since  $h = g_1 g_1^{-1} h g_1 g_1^{-1}$ . So for every  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in g_1 \cdot S$ ,

$$d(x, hx) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, hy) \leq \epsilon.$$

However,  $|\Lambda| = \infty > N$ , so we get a contradiction. So  $\Lambda$  does not have bounded orbits and hence contains a loxodromic element, say  $g$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** There always exists a loxodromic element, say  $a_1 \in G$ , such that  $\{g^\pm\}$  and  $\{a_1^\pm\}$  are disjoint.

*Proof.* Suppose there is no such  $a_1$ . Find a pair of independent loxodromic elements  $k, h$  with  $k, h \neq g$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $h^- = g^-$  and  $k^- = g^+$ .

Consider the loxodromic element  $k^h$ , with fixed set  $\{h \cdot k^\pm\}$ .

First we claim that  $h^n \cdot k^- \notin \{g^\pm\}$  holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ : Suppose  $h^n \cdot k^- = g^-$ , then by  $g^- = h^-$ , it follows that  $k^- = h^{-n} \cdot h^- = h^-$ , contradiction. Suppose  $h^n \cdot k^- = g^+$ , then by  $k^- = g^+$ ,  $h^n \cdot k^- = k^-$ , thus  $k^{-1} \in \{h^\pm\}$ , contradiction. So the claim is proved. In particular,  $h \cdot k^- \notin \{g^\pm\}$ .

Now we claim that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $h^n \cdot k^+ \neq g^-$ . Suppose  $h^n \cdot k^+ = g^-$ , then since  $h^- = g^-$ ,  $h^n \cdot k^+ = h^-$ , thus  $k^+ = h^-$ , contradiction.

If  $h \cdot k^+ = g^+$ , then  $h \cdot k^+ = k^-$ . We claim  $h^2 \cdot k^+ \neq g^+$ . Otherwise  $h \cdot g^+ = h \cdot h \cdot k^+ = g^+$  and  $g^+ \in \{h^\pm\}$ , contradiction.

So either  $g$  and  $k^h$  are independent or  $g$  and  $k^{h^2}$  are independent and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *There exists a loxodromic element  $a \in G$  that  $g, aga^{-1}$  are independent.*

*Proof.* By the Lemma 2.8, we know there always exists a loxodromic element  $a_1$  such that  $\{g^\pm\}$  and  $\{a_1^\pm\}$  are disjoint.

We claim that either  $\{a_1 \cdot g^\pm\}, \{g^\pm\}$  are disjoint or  $\{a_1^2 \cdot g^\pm\}, \{g^\pm\}$  are disjoint. If  $a_1 \cdot g^+ = g^-$ , then  $a_1^2 \cdot g^+ \neq g^-$  since otherwise it implies  $a_1 \cdot g^- = g^-$ , which violates the assumption that  $a_1$  has only two fixed points on the Gromov boundary. Observe  $a_1^2$  is a loxodromic element with fixed set  $\{a_1^\pm\}$  on the Gromov boundary. It follows  $a_1^2 \cdot g^+ \neq g^+$  and  $a_1^2 \cdot g^- \neq g^-$ . Also  $a_1^2 \cdot g^- \neq g^+$  since otherwise it implies  $a_1^3 \cdot g^- = a_1 \cdot g^+ = g^-$ , while  $a_1^3$  is a loxodromic element with fixed set  $\{a_1^\pm\}$  on the Gromov boundary. Similarly, if  $a_1 \cdot g^- = g^+$ , then  $\{a_1^2 \cdot g^\pm\}$  and  $\{g^\pm\}$  are disjoint. Now we can conclude that we can always find a loxodromic element  $a$  (either  $a = a_1$  or  $a = a_1^2$ ) so that  $\{a \cdot g^\pm\}$  and  $\{g^\pm\}$  are disjoint. Note it is obvious that  $g, a$  are independent.

If  $g$  is loxodromic then so is its conjugate  $aga^{-1}$ . Observe the fixed points of  $aga^{-1}$  are  $a \cdot g^\pm$ , so we conclude that  $g$  and  $aga^{-1}$  are independent.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Given  $H = \langle g, g^a \rangle$ , the centralizer  $C_G(H)$  is a finite group.*

*Proof.* By [Osi16, Theorem 6.9], since  $g$  is loxodromic,  $C_G(\langle g \rangle)$  is virtually cyclic, thus the infinite cyclic subgroup  $\langle g \rangle$  is of finite index in  $C_G(\langle g \rangle)$ . For the same reason,  $\langle g^a \rangle$  is of finite index in  $C_G(\langle g^a \rangle)$ . Since  $H = \langle g, g^a \rangle$ ,

$$C_G(H) \subset C_G(\langle g \rangle) \cap C_G(\langle g^a \rangle).$$

Also note that the intersection of two virtually cyclic groups is either finite, or else it is virtually cyclic and it contains a power of  $g$  or  $g^a$ . However,  $g$  and  $g^a$  are two loxodromic elements with different fixed point sets, so  $g$  and  $g^a$  do not have common powers. Thus  $C_G(\langle g \rangle) \cap C_G(\langle g^a \rangle)$  is finite, hence  $C_G(H)$  is finite.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $Q$  be an acylindrically hyperbolic group and  $\Lambda$  be an infinite normal subgroup of  $Q$ . Then there exists an infinite finitely generated subgroup  $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$ , so that  $C_Q(\Lambda_0)$  is finite.*

The previous corollary finishes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Now we start the proof of Theorem 1.5. It follows from the proof of [LIP21, Theorem 3] with only minor changes. The proofs from [LIP21] of Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 below work in our setting exactly as written. We omit some details which are included in [LIP21], the reader may find them in Section 3 of [LIP21].

Suppose we are given an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $R$  is a surface group,  $G$  is CAT(0),  $Q$  has Property (LIP) and  $\phi: Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(R)$  is the natural monodromy morphism. We consider the centralizer of  $R$  in  $G$ , denoted  $\Lambda$ . The proof is by contradiction, so assume  $\phi$  is not injective.

**Lemma 3.1.** [LIP21, Lemma 30] *Let  $G$  be a group. Assume that  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $R$  which is a surface group and let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/R$  be the quotient morphism. Then the centralizer  $\Lambda$  of  $R$  in  $G$  is normal in  $G$ . The restriction of  $\pi$  to  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism onto the kernel of the monodromy morphism  $G/R \rightarrow \text{Out}(R)$ .*

**Corollary 3.2.** *The centralizer  $\Lambda$  is normal in  $G$ ,  $\langle R, \Lambda \rangle \cong R \times \Lambda$  and  $p|_\Lambda$  is an isomorphism onto  $\ker(\phi)$ .*

We find a properly discontinuous and cocompact action  $G \curvearrowright (E, d)$ , where  $(E, d)$  is a proper CAT(0) space. We split into two cases:

**Case 1:** Suppose the group  $R \times \Lambda$  does not fix any point in the visual boundary of  $E$ .

As [LIP21] pointed out, by [Mon06], in this case there exists a closed  $R \times \Lambda$ -invariant convex subset  $M \subset E$  which is minimal for these properties and canonical,

and which is  $G$ -invariant. Moreover, the action of  $G$  on  $M$  is properly discontinuous and cocompact. Also, there exists an isometric splitting

$$M \cong M_1 \times M_2,$$

so that  $R$  acts isometrically on  $M_1$ ,  $\Lambda$  acts isometrically on  $M_2$  and the action of  $R \times \Lambda$  on  $M$  is the product of these two actions. For details, see p.465 of [LIP21].

**Proposition 3.3.** [LIP21, Proposition 31] *The  $G$ -action on  $M \cong M_1 \times M_2$  is a product action.*

The previous proposition implies that we can now consider the  $G$ -action on each  $M_i$  separately. It factors through a faithful action of  $G/\Lambda$  on  $M_1$  (resp.  $G/R$  on  $M_2$ ).

**Proposition 3.4.** (cf.[LIP21], Proposition 32): *The  $G/\Lambda$ -action on  $M_1$  is properly discontinuous and cocompact. Similarly the  $G/R$ -action on  $M_2$  is properly discontinuous and cocompact.*

*Proof.* The proof from [LIP21] that  $G/\Lambda$  and  $G/R$  act cocompactly and  $G/\Lambda$  acts properly discontinuously works in this more general setting as written. Indeed, as the proof from [LIP21] points out that by [DK18, Theorem 5.67], the action of  $G/\Lambda$  (resp.  $Q \cong G/R$ ) on  $M_1$  (resp.  $M_2$ ) is properly discontinuous if and only if  $G/\Lambda$  (resp.  $Q$ ) is discrete in the group  $\text{Isom}(M_1)$  (resp.  $\text{Isom}(M_2)$ ).

It remains to show  $Q$  is discrete in  $\text{Isom}(M_2)$ . Since  $M_2$  is an invariant subset for the action of  $\Lambda$  on  $M$ ,  $\Lambda$  acts properly discontinuously on  $M_2$ . Hence  $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(M_2)$  is discrete. By Property (LIP),  $\Lambda$  has a finitely generated subgroup  $\Lambda_0$  so that  $C_Q(\Lambda_0)$  is finite. Then there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of the identity in  $\text{Isom}(M_2)$  such that every element of  $U$  which normalizes  $\Lambda$  must centralize  $\Lambda$  and thus centralize  $\Lambda_0$ . So  $Q \cap U$  is finite and  $Q$  is discrete.  $\square$

Fix a point  $(m_1, m_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} f : G &\rightarrow M_1 \times M_2, \\ g &\mapsto (g \cdot m_1, g \cdot m_2). \end{aligned}$$

It induces a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & M_1 \times M_2 \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow Id \\ G/\Lambda \times G/R & \longrightarrow & M_1 \times M_2 \end{array}$$



By analyzing the diagram with Proposition 3.4, it can be verified that

$$\psi : G \rightarrow G/\Lambda \times G/R \quad (1)$$

in the diagram is a quasi-isometry. Observe it is indeed injective, thus an injective quasi-isometry between two finitely generated groups, hence its image has finite index. By taking the quotient by the subgroup  $R$  on the left and by its image on the right on (1), it gives

$$\psi' : Q \rightarrow \phi(Q) \times Q,$$

(recall  $\Lambda \cong \ker \phi$  and  $G/R \cong Q$ ). Note the image of  $\psi'$  is the graph of  $\phi$ . If  $\text{Im}\psi$  had finite index, the graph of  $\phi$  would have finite index in  $\phi(Q) \times Q$ , but this could only happen if the image of  $\phi$  is finite, contradiction.

**Case 2:** If the group  $R \times \Lambda$  has at least one fixed point in the visual boundary of  $E$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** [LIP21, Proposition 33] *Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright Z$  be a group acting properly discontinuously and cocompactly on a CAT(0) space. Let  $N < \Gamma$  be a finitely generated subgroup. If  $N$  fixes a point in the visual boundary of  $Z$ , then the centralizer of  $N$  in  $\Gamma$  is infinite.*

If  $R \times \Lambda$  fixes a point in the visual boundary of  $E$ , so does the finitely generated group  $R \times \Lambda_0$  (recall  $R, \Lambda_0$  are both finitely generated). We apply the previous proposition to  $N = R \times \Lambda_0$  and obtain that the centralizer  $C_G(N)$  of  $N$  is infinite. However,

$$C_G(N) = C_G(R \times \Lambda_0) = C_G(R) \cap C_G(\Lambda_0) = \Lambda \cap C_G(\Lambda_0) = C_\Lambda(\Lambda_0),$$

which is finite, since by the Property (LIP),  $C_\Lambda(\Lambda_0) < C_Q(\Lambda_0)$  is finite. So we deduce a contradiction and this proves Theorem 1.5.

## REFERENCES

- [Ati69] Michael F Atiyah. The signature of fibre-bundles. *Global analysis (papers in Honor of K. Kodaira)*, pages 73–84, 1969.
- [BH99] Martin Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer, 1999.
- [Bow08] Brian H Bowditch. Tight geodesics in the curve complex. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 171(2):281–300, 2008.
- [CR09] Fabrizio Catanese and Sönke Rollenske. Double Kodaira fibrations. 2009.
- [DK18] Cornelia Druțu and Michael Kapovich. *Geometric group theory*, volume 63. American Mathematical Soc., 2018.
- [FM98] Benson Farb and Howard Masur. Superrigidity and mapping class groups. *Topology*, 37(6):1169–1176, 1998.
- [FM11] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups (pms-49)*. Princeton University Press, 2011.

- [KL96] Michael Kapovich and Bernhard Leeb. Actions of discrete groups on nonpositively curved spaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 306:341–352, 1996.
- [Kod67] Kunihiko Kodaira. A certain type of irregular algebraic surfaces. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 19(1):207–215, 1967.
- [Lee95] Bernhard Leeb. 3-manifolds with(out) metrics of nonpositive curvature. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 122:277–289, 1995.
- [LIP21] Claudio Llosa Isenrich and Pierre Py. Mapping class groups, multiple Kodaira fibrations, and CAT(0) spaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, page 449–485, 2021.
- [Mar91] Gregori A Margulis. *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, volume 17. Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.
- [Mon06] Nicolas Monod. Superrigidity for irreducible lattices and geometric splitting. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 19(4):781–814, 2006.
- [Osi16] Denis Osin. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(2):851–888, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO

*Email address:* `kzhu14@uic.edu`