

**ON THE BEHAVIOR OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL
AXISYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF THE REPULSIVE
EULER-POISSON EQUATIONS**

OLGA S. ROZANOVA

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the axisymmetric solutions of the repulsive Euler-Poisson equations with a non-zero background, corresponding to cold plasma oscillations blow up in many spatial dimensions for almost all initial data. Moreover, if a solution is globally smooth in time, then it is either affine or tends to affine as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study a version of the repulsive Euler-Poisson equations

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(n\mathbf{V}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} = k \nabla \Phi, \quad \Delta \Phi = n - n_0,$$

where the solution components, the scalar functions n (density), Φ (force potential), and the vector \mathbf{V} (velocity) depend on the time t and the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{d}}$, $\mathbf{d} \geq 1$, $n_0 > 0$ is the density background. Positive or negative value of the constant k corresponds to the repulsive and attractive force, respectively.

The Euler-Poisson equations arise in many applications, see [10] for references, but for us they are of interest primarily in the context of cold plasma oscillations, where a repulsive force arises, so we consider the case $k = 1$. At present, much attention is paid to the study of cold plasma in connection with the possibility of accelerating electrons in the wake wave of a powerful laser pulse [12]; nevertheless, there are very few theoretical results in this area.

The equations of hydrodynamics of "cold" or electron plasma in the non-relativistic approximation in dimensionless quantities have the form (see, e.g., [1], [7])

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(n\mathbf{V}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{E} - [\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}],$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = n\mathbf{V} + \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{B}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{E}, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$

n and $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, V_2, V_3)$ are the density and velocity of electrons, $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ are vectors of electric and magnetic fields. All components of solution depends on $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

It is commonly known that the plasma oscillations described by (2), (3), tend to break. Mathematically, the breaking process means a blow-up of the solution, and the appearance of a delta-shape singularity of the electron density [5], see also [4] and references therein for numerical examples illustrating the behavior of solution

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q60; Secondary 35L60, 35L67, 34M10.

Key words and phrases. Euler-Poisson equations, quasilinear hyperbolic system, cold plasma, blow up.

on the stage of the blow-up. Among the main interests of physicists is the study of the possibility of the existence of a smooth solution for as long as possible.

System (2) – (3) has an important class of solutions depending only on the radius-vector of point $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$, i.e.

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{V} = F(t, r)\mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{E} = G(t, r)\mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{B} = Q(t, r)\mathbf{r}, \quad n = n(t, r),$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Since $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 3Q(t, r) + r(Q(t, r))_r$, then the condition $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0$ implies $Q(t, r) = \tilde{Q}(t)r^{-3}$, therefore a bounded in the origin solution exists if and only if $\mathbf{B} \equiv 0$. In its turn, it implies $\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{E} = 0$. From the first equations of (2) and (3) under the assumption that the solution is sufficiently smooth and that the steady-state density n_0 is equal to 1, we get

$$(5) \quad n = 1 - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E},$$

therefore n can be removed from the system. Thus, the resulting system is

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{E}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{V}.$$

If we introduce the potential Φ such that $\nabla \Phi = -\mathbf{E}$, we can rewrite system (5), (6) as the Euler-Poisson equations (1) with $n_0 = 1$.

Note that system (6) can be considered in any space dimensions \mathbf{d} (non necessarily $\mathbf{d} = 3$, as in the initial setting). In what follows, we deal with just this case.

Consider the initial data

$$(7) \quad (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})|_{t=0} = (F_0(r)\mathbf{r}, G_0(r)\mathbf{r}), \quad (F_0(r), G_0(r)) \in C^2(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+),$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x_1, \dots, x_{\mathbf{d}})$, $r = |\mathbf{r}|$, with the physically natural condition $n|_{t=0} > 0$.

We call a solution of (6), (7) smooth for $t \in [0, t_*)$, $t_* \leq \infty$, if the functions F and G in (4) belong to the class $C^1([0, t_*) \times \bar{\mathbb{R}})$. The blow-up of solution implies that the derivatives of solution tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow t_* < \infty$.

Definition 1. *Solution (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E}) to system (6) is called an affine solution if it has the form $\mathbf{V} = \mathfrak{V}(t)\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{E} = \mathfrak{E}(t)\mathbf{r}$, where \mathfrak{V} and \mathfrak{E} are $(\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{d})$ matrices.*

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1. *The solution of the Cauchy problem (6), (7) for $\mathbf{d} \geq 2$ blows up in a finite time for all initial data, possibly except for the data with a functional dependence F_0 and G_0 . If the solution is globally smooth in time, then it is either affine or tends in the C^1 -norm on each compact subset of the half-axis $[0, \infty)$ to an affine solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

The theorem can be reformulated in the terms of the Euler-Poisson equations (1).

Note that many results can be obtained in a much more convenient way for the Euler-Poisson equations written as (6), this applies, in particular, to equations with linear damping, cf. [16] and [2].

An important contribution to the study of critical phenomena of solutions of the repulsive Euler-Poisson equations was made in [10], where, in particular, axisymmetric solutions in a space of many dimensions are studied. In [17] a critical threshold in the terms of the initial data was obtained for this case. However, the background value of the density was taken as zero in all these studies. In our case,

a nonzero background density naturally arises, which leads to the appearance of oscillations and radically changes the research technique and the behavior of the solution.

Note that the behavior of the solution changes significantly in the case attractive case $k < 0$, we refer to [3], where conditions for the existence of a globally smooth solution in terms of initial data for zero and non-zero backgrounds are obtained.

2. EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS ALONG CHARACTERISTICS

First of all, we notice that (4) and (6) imply that F and G satisfy the following Cauchy problem:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + Fr \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = F - \mathbf{d}FG, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + Fr \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} = -F^2 - G,$$

$$(9) \quad (F(0, r), G(0, r)) = (F_0(r), G_0(r)), \quad (F_0(r), G_0(r)) \in C^2(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+).$$

Along the characteristic

$$(10) \quad \dot{r} = Fr,$$

starting from the point $r_0 \in [0, \infty)$ system (8) takes the form

$$(11) \quad \dot{G} = F - \mathbf{d}FG, \quad \dot{F} = -F^2 - G$$

and can be integrated. Indeed, we have for $\mathbf{d} = 2$

$$(12) \quad 2F^2 = (2G - 1) \ln |1 - 2G| + C_2(2G - 1) - 1, \\ C_2 = \frac{1 + 2F^2(0, r_0)}{2G(0, r_0) - 1} - \ln |1 - 2G(0, r_0)|,$$

for $\mathbf{d} = 1$ and $\mathbf{d} \geq 3$

$$(13) \quad F^2 = \frac{2G - 1}{\mathbf{d} - 2} + C_{\mathbf{d}} |1 - \mathbf{d}G|^{\frac{2}{\mathbf{d}}}, \quad C_{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1 - 2G(0, r_0) + (\mathbf{d} - 2)F^2(0, r_0)}{(\mathbf{d} - 2)|1 - \mathbf{d}G(0, r_0)|^{\frac{2}{\mathbf{d}}}}.$$

Lemma 1. *The condition $n > 0$ implies $G < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$ provided $G(t, r) \in C^1([0, t_*] \times \bar{\mathbb{R}})$, $t_* \leq \infty$.*

Proof. From the first equation (11) and (10) we have

$$(14) \quad 1 - \mathbf{d}G = \text{const } r^{-\mathbf{d}},$$

therefore the sign of $1 - \mathbf{d}G(r(t))$ coincides with the sign of $1 - \mathbf{d}G(0, r_0)$, this means that on the phase plane (G, F) of the system (11) the motions on the half-planes $G < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$ and $G > \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$ are separated.

Since (5) implies $\text{div } \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{d}G + G_r r < 1$, then at the point $r = 0$ the condition $G < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$ follows from (5) directly. Further, on the phase plane (G, F) there is only one equilibrium, the origin. Therefore, in the half-plane $G > \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$ no bounded trajectory exists. If in some point $r_0 > 0$ initially $\mathbf{d}G(0, r_0) > 1$, then $G(r(t)) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $r(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_* > 0$ (see (14)), and we obtain a contradiction with property $n > 0$. \square

Lemma 2. *For $d \geq 2$ the functions F and G are bounded if and only if $G_0(r) < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$.*

Proof. If $G(0, r_0) < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$, $r_0 \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, then the phase trajectories of (11) are in the half-plane $G < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$ (Lemma 1). In this half-plane the leading term in the right hand side of (12) is $(2G - 1) \ln |1 - 2G|$, and the leading term in the right hand side of (13) is $\frac{2G-1}{\mathbf{d}-2}$. Therefore F and G are bounded for any $G_{\mathbf{d}}$. \square

Note that the situation is different for $\mathbf{d} = 1$, where the phase trajectory is bounded not for all $G < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}} = 1$. Indeed, the leading term as $G \rightarrow -\infty$ in the right hand side of (13) is $C_1(1 - G)^2$, F and G can be bounded if only if $C_1 = \frac{F^2(0) + 2G(0) - 1}{(1 - G(0))^2} < 0$. The 1D case is completely analyzed in [15].

Our considerations also imply

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathbf{d} \geq 2$. Then along every characteristic curve starting from $r_0 \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+$ the functions $F(t)$ and $G(t)$, solution of (11), are periodic with the period*

$$(15) \quad T = 2 \int_{G_-}^{G_+} \frac{d\eta}{(1 - \mathbf{d}\eta)F(\eta)},$$

F is given as (12) or (13), $G_- < 0$ and $G_+ > 0$ are the lesser and greater roots of the equation $F(G) = 0$. Moreover, $\int_0^T F(\tau) d\tau = 0$.

The last property follows from the fact that the phase curve of (11) is symmetric with respect to the axis $F = 0$.

3. THE BEHAVIOR OF DERIVATIVES

We denote $\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{V}$, $\lambda = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E}$, $J_{ij} = \det(\|\partial_{x_i} V_j\|)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, \mathbf{d}$, $i \neq j$. $J = \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{\mathbf{d}} J_{ij}$. The number of terms in the sum is $C_2^{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{(\mathbf{d}-1)\mathbf{d}}{2}$.

It can be readily shown that

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathbf{d}F + F_r r, \quad \lambda = \mathbf{d}G + G_r r, \quad J = (\mathbf{d} - 1)F F_r + \frac{(\mathbf{d} - 1)\mathbf{d}}{2} F^2,$$

therefore

$$(17) \quad 2J = 2(\mathbf{d} - 1)\mathcal{D}F - (\mathbf{d} - 1)\mathbf{d}F^2.$$

Lemma 4. *The solution of the Cauchy problem (8), (9) with a bounded density belong to the class $C^1([0, t_*] \times \bar{\mathbb{R}})$ if and only if \mathcal{D} and λ are bounded on $[0, t_*] \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, $t_* \leq \infty$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{D} and λ are bounded, then due to the assumption about the axial symmetry all space derivatives of the solution to (8), (9) are bounded, as follows from (16) and Lemma 2. Since (8) is symmetric hyperbolic system this implies that the solution is C^1 -smooth globally in t . If \mathcal{D} or λ tends to ∞ as $t \rightarrow t_* < \infty$, then a singularity forms at the point $t_* < \infty$ (see [9]). \square

System (6) implies

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{D}) = -\mathcal{D}^2 + 2J - \lambda, \quad \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \lambda) = \mathcal{D}(1 - \lambda).$$

Taking into account (17), along the characteristic curve, starting from the point r_0 , we obtain

$$(18) \quad \dot{\mathcal{D}} = -\mathcal{D}^2 + 2(\mathbf{d} - 1)\mathcal{D}F - (\mathbf{d} - 1)dF^2 - \lambda, \quad \dot{\lambda} = \mathcal{D}(1 - \lambda),$$

a quadratically nonlinear system with the coefficient F found from (11). In fact, (11), (18), can be considered as a system of 4 ODEs for $G, F, \mathcal{D}, \lambda$, where (11) is separated.

Let us introduce new variables: $u = \mathcal{D} - \mathbf{d}F$, $v = \lambda - \mathbf{d}G$. Evidently, if u, v, F, G are bounded, then \mathcal{D} and λ are bounded. Thus, we get a system

$$(19) \quad \dot{u} = -u^2 - 2uF - v, \quad \dot{v} = -uv + (1 - \mathbf{d}G)u - \mathbf{d}Fv.$$

Lemma 5. *Assume $d \geq 2$. If the data (7) are such that the solution to the Cauchy problem (19),*

$$u_0(r_0) = (\mathcal{D} - \mathbf{d}F)|_{t=0, r=r_0}, \quad v_0(r_0) = (\mathcal{D} - \mathbf{d}G)|_{t=0, r=r_0}$$

is bounded for all fixed $r_0 \in [0, +\infty)$, $t \in [0, t_)$, $t_* \leq \infty$, then \mathcal{D} and λ are bounded and for $t \in [0, t_*)$ there exists a C^1 -smooth solution to (6), (7) with a positive density.*

Proof. The lemma is a corollary of Lemmas 1, 2 and 3. \square

Corollary 1. *In the case $\mathbf{d} \geq 2$ the affine solution to (6), (7) is a globally smooth solution with positive density.*

Proof. System (19) has the trivial solution, it is evidently globally smooth. It corresponds to the affine solution, since $F_r r = 0$ and $G_r r = 0$ imply $F = f(t)$ and $G = g(t)$. \square .

Remark 1. *The fact that the affine solution with positive density is globally smooth for $\mathbf{d} \geq 2$, follows directly from (12), (13). Indeed, if $F(t, r) = f(t)$, $G(t, r) = g(t)$, then F and G are derivatives of the solution, and we have proved that they are bounded, if $g(0) < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$, i.e. density is positive.*

4. LINEARIZATION AND THE RADON LEMMA

Next, we need the following version of the Radon lemma (1927) [6], Theorem 3.1, see also [14].

Theorem 2 (The Radon lemma). *A matrix Riccati equation*

$$(20) \quad \dot{W} = M_{21}(t) + M_{22}(t)W - WM_{11}(t) - WM_{12}(t)W,$$

($W = W(t)$ is a matrix ($n \times m$), M_{21} is a matrix ($n \times m$), M_{22} is a matrix ($m \times m$), M_{11} is a matrix ($n \times n$), M_{12} is a matrix ($m \times n$)) is equivalent to the homogeneous linear matrix equation

$$(21) \quad \dot{Y} = M(t)Y, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

($Y = Y(t)$ is a matrix ($n \times (n + m)$), M is a matrix ($(n + m) \times (n + m)$)) in the following sense.

Let on some interval $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix-function $Y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} Q(t) \\ P(t) \end{pmatrix}$ (Q is a matrix $(n \times n)$, P is a matrix $(n \times m)$) be a solution of (21) with the initial data

$$Y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ W_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(I is the identity matrix $(n \times n)$, W_0 is a constant matrix $(n \times m)$) and $\det Q \neq 0$ on \mathcal{J} . Then $W(t) = P(t)Q^{-1}(t)$ is the solution of (20) with $W(0) = W_0$ on \mathcal{J} .

System (19) can be written as (20) with

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{11} = (0), \quad M_{12} = (1 \ 0), \\ M_{21} = (1 \ 0), \quad M_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} -2F & -1 \\ 1 - dG & -dF \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we obtain the linear Cauchy problem

$$(22) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p}_1 \\ \dot{p}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2F & -1 \\ 0 & 1 - dG & -dF \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with periodical coefficients, known from (11). System (22) implies

$$\ddot{p}_1 - (2 + \mathbf{d})F\dot{p}_1 + (1 + 2(\mathbf{d} - 1)F^2 - (2 + \mathbf{d})G)p_1 = 0.$$

The standard change of the variable $p_1(t) = P(t)e^{-\frac{\mathbf{d}+2}{2}\int_0^t F(\tau) d\tau}$ reduces the latter equation to

$$(23) \quad \ddot{P} + QP = 0, \quad Q = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{d}+2}{2}G - \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{d}-2)(\mathbf{d}-4)F^2.$$

Theorem 2 implies that the solution of (19) blows up if and only if $q(t)$ vanishes at some point t_* , $0 < t_* < \infty$.

From (22) we find

$$(24) \quad q(t) = 1 + \int_0^t p_1(\tau) d\tau = 1 + \int_0^t P(\xi) e^{-\frac{\mathbf{d}+2}{2}\int_0^\xi F(\tau) d\tau} d\xi.$$

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Lemma 6. *All solutions of equation (23) are oscillating.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $Q(t) \geq C_0 = \text{const} > 0$. Indeed, taking into account (12), (13), we can write Q as a function on the variable G . It can be readily computed that $\frac{dQ(G)}{dG} < 0$ for $\mathbf{d} = 2, 3, 4$, therefore $Q(G) \geq Q(G_+) > 0$, where G_+ is the greater root of equation $F(G) = 0$, $G_+ < \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$. For $\mathbf{d} > 4$ the function $Q(G)$ has a minimum at the point $G_* = \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{C_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{d}-2)(\mathbf{d}-4)}{2(\mathbf{d}-1)} \right)^{\frac{\mathbf{d}-2}{\mathbf{d}}} \right) < G_+$. In this case $Q(G) \geq Q(G_*) > 0$. \square

The Floquet theorem implies that for any periodic $Q(t)$ with period T , any solution of (23) has the form $P = \mathcal{P}_1(t)e^{\sigma_1 t} + \mathcal{P}_2(t)e^{\sigma_2 t}$ or $P = e^{\sigma t}(\mathcal{P}_1(t) + \mathcal{P}_2(t)t)$,

where the functions $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ are periodic with period T . However, in the general case there is no methods of finding characteristic exponents σ_i . In our case $Q(t) = Q(-t)$, therefore (23) has solutions $e^{\mu t}\mathcal{P}(t)$, and $e^{-\mu t}\mathcal{P}(-t)$, \mathcal{P} is T -periodic, which can be taken as a fundamental system for real μ . Evidently, the same asymptotical property has $p_1(t)$.

The general solution is always unbounded except for $\mathfrak{P} = Ce^{-\mu t}\mathcal{P}(-t)$, $C = \text{const}$ (if we choose $\mu > 0$). It implies $p_1(0) = \text{const} \cdot p_2(0)$, and $u(0) = \text{const} \cdot v(0)$. Thus, these initial data form a set of measure zero in the plane (u_0, v_0) . In particular, this signifies that F_0 and G_0 cannot be independent. In this special case p_1 and p_2 tend to zero, q tends to a constant (see (24)) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If q vanishes at a finite point, then the respective solution blows up. Otherwise, u and v tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If this property holds for every $r_0 \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+$ this means that the solution tends to the affine one.

Thus, if we succeed to prove that in our case the characteristic exponent is real, we prove the theorem.

According to the Floquet theory, the boundedness and unboundedness of $e^{\mu t}\mathcal{P}(t)$ (with its derivative and antiderivative) is completely defined by its characteristic exponent μ , defined as the solution of the equation

$$\cosh \mu T = z(T),$$

where $z(t)$ is the solution of (23) with the initial conditions $z(0) = 1$, $z'(0) = 0$. Unboundedness takes place for real μ , that is $|\cosh \mu T| > 1$ [11].

First we prove a particular case of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *The statement of Theorem 1 holds for the case of small deviation from the zero stationary state.*

Proof. System (11) implies that if the initial deviations from the zero equilibrium are of order ϵ , $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $G = \epsilon \cos t + o(\epsilon)$, $F = \epsilon \sin t + o(\epsilon)$ (up to the phase shift). Thus,

$$Q = 1 - \frac{d+2}{2} \epsilon \cos t + o(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The time scaling $t = 2\tau$ reduces (23) to the Mathieu equation [11] (up to terms of order $O(\epsilon)$)

$$\ddot{\mathfrak{P}}(\tau) + (a - 2b \cos 2\tau)\mathfrak{P}(\tau) = 0, \quad a = 4, \quad b = (d+2)\epsilon.$$

Solution $z(\tau)$ of the Mathieu problem equation under initial conditions $z(0) = 1$, $z'(0) = 0$ is called the Mathieu C function and can be studied asymptotically. Namely, we can expand $z(\tau)$ into a trigonometric series, taking into account the smallness of the parameter b , and then substitute $t = T = \pi$. This is a cumbersome but standard procedure. As a result we obtain an analog of the formula [11], Sec.16.3 (2), writing out the next term of the asymptotic expansion,

$$\cosh \mu \pi = 1 + \frac{5\pi^2}{4608} b^4 + O(b^6) > 1, \quad b \rightarrow 0.$$

The proposition is proved. \square

To prove the theorem in the general case, it is necessary to show that $z(T) > 1$, where $z(t)$ is the solution of the equation (23) with initial conditions $z(0) = 1$, $z'(0) = 0$. Because of the complicated form of F and G , we cannot hope to obtain

this result analytically. Even for the much simpler Mathieu equation, the domains of instability for arbitrary a and b (domains on the plane (a, b) , corresponding to real μ) can only be found numerically [13].




FIGURE 1. Dependence of period T on G_+ (left) and $e^{\mu T}$ on G_+ (right), for $\mathbf{d} = 2$ and $\mathbf{d} = 3$.

However, we can find the dependence $\mu(G_+)$, where G_+ is the greater (positive) root of the equation $F(G) = 0$ (see (12), (13)) numerically. Namely, we need to prove that $e^{\mu(G_+)T(G_+)} = z(T(G_+)) > 1$, for all $G_+ \in (0, \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}})$.

Let us describe the steps of the computations.

1. Given G_+ we find C_d with $G(0) = G_+$, $F(0) = 0$;
2. We compute G_- , the lesser (negative) root of the equation $F(G) = 0$;
3. We find the period $T = T(G_+)$ as (15) (Fig.1, left). Evidently, $T(0) = 2\pi$ (see (23) with $G = F = 0$) and $T \rightarrow \pi\sqrt{\mathbf{d}} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dF}{F^2 + \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}}$ as $G_+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}}$;
4. We obtain the numerical solution of the system (11),

$$\dot{z} = z_1, \quad \dot{z}_1 = -Q(G, F)z,$$

with initial data $G(0) = G_+$, $F(0) = 0$, $z(0) = 1$, $z_1(0) = 0$, the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method was used;

5. We find $z(T)$ (Fig.1, right).

Calculations are made with the step 0.005 in G_+ .

Thus, we see that $z(T) > 1$ for all possible G_+ , whence follows the conclusion of the theorem.

We present the results of calculations only for physically significant cases $\mathbf{d} = 2$, $\mathbf{d} = 3$. The picture for $\mathbf{d} > 3$ is analogous. Moreover, for large \mathbf{d} possible range of G_+ is $(0, \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}} \ll 1)$, therefore any solution can be considered as a small perturbation of the zero state.

Remark 2. *The result about the breaking of oscillations in the general case is confirmed by direct numerics, developed specifically for the cold plasma equations [4], [8].*

6. SIMPLE WAVES

Theorem 1 predicts the existence of non-affine solutions with special initial data (7), which are globally smooth and tends to an affine solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let us construct them.

To this aim, we look for simple waves of equation (8), such that $F = F(G)$. Thus, (8) reduces to one equation

$$(25) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + F(G)r \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = F(G)(1 - \mathbf{d}G),$$

with $F(G)$ found from (12) or (13), where the constant $C_{\mathbf{d}}$ in (12) or (13) does not depend on the initial point r_0 and the periods of oscillations given as (15) are equal for all characteristics. If we fix $C_{\mathbf{d}}$, we obtain the relation between G and F in this special kind of solution, and the corresponding initial data.

Example 1. *Let us construct initial data, corresponding to a simple wave, for $\mathbf{d} = 2$. We choose $G_0(r) = \frac{1}{4}e^{-a^2r^2}$. If we complement this datum by the zero initial velocity $F_0(r) = 0$, we get a standard laser pulse [4]. Nevertheless, these data are not appropriate for our goal. Instead, we choose $C_2 = -2$ and find $F_0(r)$ from the condition*

$$F_0^2(r) = \frac{1}{2} ((2G_0(r) - 1) \ln |1 - 2G_0(r)| + C_2(2G_0(r) - 1) - 1),$$

see (12). It can be readily checked that the right-hand side of this expression is positive. Thus,

$$F_0(r) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - e^{-a^2r^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-a^2r^2} - 1 \right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-a^2r^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We can see that $F_0(r) \rightarrow \pm \frac{1}{2}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, therefore this initial datum can be considered as a perturbation of the affine initial datum $\mathbf{V} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}$.

Further, differentiating (25) with respect to r , for $s = G_r$ we obtain along the characteristic curve, starting from the point r_0 the following Bernoulli equation (we take into account (14)):

$$(26) \quad \dot{s} = -K_2(G) s^2 + K_1(G) s, \\ K_1(G) = F'(G)(1 - \mathbf{d}G) - (1 + \mathbf{d})F(G), \quad K_2 = r(G) F'(G), \quad G = G(t),$$

which has a trivial solution $s = 0$, corresponding to the affine solution. If $s(0) \neq 0$, then the derivative of $s(t)$ changes sign on the graph of function $y(t) = \frac{K_1(t)}{K_2(t)}$, which is periodic and lies from both sides of the axis $s = 0$. Thus, $s(t)$ according the scenario of Theorem 1 either oscillates, asymptotically tending to zero or blows up in a finite time.

Due to a complex structure of $G(t)$ it is not possible to obtain a criterium of the blow-up, however, the following result holds.

We call a perturbation of a solution small if the C^1 -norm of the difference between the perturbation and the solution itself is small on every compact subset of the half-axis $[0, \infty)$.

Proposition 2. *A sufficiently small "simple wave" perturbation of the affine data ($\mathbf{E}_0 = 0$, $\mathbf{V}_0 = \beta \mathbf{r}$), $\beta \neq 0$, generates a solution of (6), (7), which does not blow up in a finite time.*

Proof. The small perturbations have the form $G(t) = \epsilon \cos t + o(\epsilon)$, $F = F(G)$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It can be checked that this kind of perturbation is possible only if we choose $C_2 = -1 - 2\beta^2$ and $C_{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}-2} + \beta^2$, $\mathbf{d} \geq 3$, with $\beta^2 > \epsilon^2$. Note that β is common to all points $r_0 \in [0, \infty)$, while ϵ may depend on r_0 .

Since

$$K_1 = -(\mathbf{d} + 2)|\beta| + O(\epsilon), \quad K_2 = -r_0|\beta| + O(\epsilon),$$

then neglecting the terms which tends to zero with ϵ we get from (26)

$$(27) \quad s(t) = \frac{(\mathbf{d} + 2)s(0)}{(\mathbf{d} + 2)e^{(\mathbf{d}+2)|\beta|t} + (1 - e^{(\mathbf{d}+2)|\beta|t})r_0s(0)}, \quad r_0 \geq 0.$$

Thus, we always can choose sufficiently small $|s(0)|r_0$ such that the denominator of (27) does not vanish for $t > 0$. Thus, s does not blow up and tends exponentially to zero. \square

Remark 3. *The result, similar to Proposition 2 can be proved for the perturbations of an arbitrary affine data $(\mathbf{E}_0 = \alpha \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{V}_0 = \beta \mathbf{r})$, $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$.*

It also holds for perturbations in the class of simple waves of the trivial steady state. However, in the latter case, the analysis is more delicate. Note also that the initial perturbation of the trivial state in the class of simple waves cannot be compactly supported or vanish at infinity. Consequently, a solution globally smooth in time tends as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to an affine solution, which is itself a small perturbation of the trivial state.

Remark 4. *It is not surprising that there exists a special subclass of solutions to (6) equations that have a more regular behavior than solutions in the general case. For one-dimensional equations of relativistic cold plasma considered in [15] the situation is similar, i.e. simple waves can be globally smooth in time.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation as part of the program of the Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics under the agreement 075-15-2019-1621. The author thanks V.V.Bykov and E.V.Chizhonkov for discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.F. Alexandrov, L.S. Bogdankevich, A.A. Rukhadze, *Principles of plasma electrodynamics, Springer series in electronics and photonics, Springer: Berlin Heidelberg, 1984.*
- [2] M.Bhatnagar, H.Liu, Critical thresholds in one-dimensional damped Euler-Poisson systems, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, **30**(5), 891-916 (2020).
- [3] D.Chae, E. Tadmor, On the finite time blow-up of the Euler-Poisson equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 6(3), 785-789 (2008).
- [4] E.V. Chizhonkov, *Mathematical aspects of modelling oscillations and wake waves in plasma, CRC Press, 2019.*
- [5] R. C. Davidson, *Methods in Nonlinear Plasma Theory.* Acad. Press, New York, 1972.
- [6] G. Freiling, A survey of nonsymmetric Riccati equations, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 351-352, 243-270 (2002).
- [7] V. L. Ginzburg, *Propagation of electromagnetic waves in plasma,* Pergamon, New York, 1970.
- [8] L.M. Gorbunov, A.A. Frolov, E.V.Chizhonkov, N.E.Andreev, *Breaking of nonlinear cylindrical plasma oscillations, Plasma Physics Reports*, **36** (4), 345–356 (2010).

- [9] C.M.Dafermos, *Hyperbolic conservation laws in continuum physics*, The 4th Edition, Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer, 2016.
- [10] S.Engelberg, H.Liu, E.Tadmor, *Critical Thresholds in Euler-Poisson Equations*, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, **50**, 109-157 (2001).
- [11] A. Erdélyi, *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. III. Based on notes left by Harry Bateman*, Reprint of the 1955 original (Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne, Fla., 1981).
- [12] E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, and W. P. Leemans, *Physics of laser-driven plasma-based electron accelerators*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **81**(2009), 1229-1285.
- [13] N. W.McLachlan, *Theory and Applications of Mathieu Functions*, Oxford University Press, 1947.
- [14] W. T. Reid, *Riccati Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [15] O.S. Rozanova, E.V. Chizhonkov, *On the conditions for the breaking of oscillations in a cold plasma*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **72** (2021), 13.
- [16] O. Rozanova, E.Chizhonkov, M.Delova, *Exact thresholds in the dynamics of cold plasma with electron-ion collisions*, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2302 (1), 060012 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0033619>
- [17] D. Wei, E. Tadmor, H. Bae. *Critical Thresholds in multi-dimensional Euler-Poisson equations with radial symmetry*. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 10(1):75-86, 2012.

MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS DEPARTMENT, LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIAN FEDERATION, ROZANOVA@MECH.MATH.MSU.SU