

A Number Theoretic Approach to Cycles in LDPC Codes

Julia Lieb

Simran Tinani

January 27, 2022

Abstract

LDPC codes constructed from permutation matrices have recently attracted the interest of many researchers. A crucial point when dealing with such codes is trying to avoid cycles of short length in the associated Tanner graph, i.e. obtaining a possibly large girth. In this paper, we provide a framework to obtain constructions of such codes. We relate criteria for the existence of cycles of a certain length with some number-theoretic concepts, in particular with the so-called Sidon sets. In this way we obtain examples of LDPC codes with a certain girth. Finally, we extend our constructions to also obtain irregular LDPC codes.

1 Introduction

LDPC (Low-density parity-check) codes were first introduced in the thesis of Gallager [6] and started gaining traction for practical use when they were rediscovered by MacKay [16]. These codes have recently been attracting the interest of many researchers, since bit-flipping and message-passing decoding algorithms allow to decode this type of codes very efficiently. They have even been proven to be able to reach the famous Shannon capacity limit [16]. With the codes' sparse parity-check matrix one can associate a sparse bipartite graph called the Tanner graph [23]. The performance of iterative decoding algorithms on these codes has been shown to depend strongly on the structure of the associated Tanner graph, and in particular, on the presence of short cycles in it. For an exposition on this, see, for example, [19], [13], and [15]. Therefore, it is desirable to come up with constructions of codes where the size of the smallest cycle in the graph, the so-called girth, is not too small.

One subclass of LDPC codes that has been considered in this direction of research are LDPC codes built from permutation matrices, i.e. LDPC codes whose parity-check matrix is a block matrix, where each constituent block is given by a permutation matrix. Besides considering general permutation matrices as building blocks [18], some papers focus on special permutations, such as permutations given by quadratic polynomials [7], linear polynomials [25], or circulant permutations [3], [14]. If one uses circulant permutation matrices as building blocks, one obtains the so-called quasi-cyclic LDPC codes (see [11] for a survey). This class of quasi-cyclic LDPC codes has been most extensively studied as their circulant structure allows for easy implementation. However, it is known that the performance of LDPC codes built from cir-

culant permutation matrices is not optimal (see e.g. [22]) as their girth and minimum distance are limited by an upper bound.

In [21], a general criterion to determine the girth of an LDPC code whose parity-check matrix consists of permutation matrices was presented, building on earlier work in [17] and [24]. Also in [21], this criterion was further simplified and studied in more detail for the case that the parity-check matrix consists of circulant permutation matrices.

In this work, we study parity-check matrices consisting of permutation matrices that are not necessarily circulant but are all powers of a fixed permutation f . With this choice, we relate the presence of cycles of a certain length in the corresponding Tanner graph to some additive number theoretic properties of the set of powers of f which appear in the matrix. In particular, we find interesting connections with the concept of Sidon sets, introduced in [20], and their modular variations. In this way, we provide new constructions of LDPC codes with easy to determine girth. In fact, for these constructions, we give explicit formulations of equivalent conditions for 4- and 6- cycles, and a necessary condition for 8-cycles, exclusively in terms of the arithmetic properties of the power sets. For the special case with only two rows of block matrices, 6-cycles are impossible, and we provide if and only conditions for 4 and 8-cycles, thus allowing the construction of girth 12 matrices.

We remark that our results contain quasi-cyclic LDPC codes as a special case. Indeed, any quasi-cyclic LDPC code consists of blocks, each corresponding to a permutation of the form $i \mapsto i+k$ for some fixed value of k . Clearly, every such permutation can be obtained as a power of the cyclic permutation $f: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n, i \mapsto i+1$.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall some theory from [5] providing criteria for cycles in the Tanner graph of a certain length. In Section 3, we define some concepts from number theory that we will need for later sections of the paper. In Section 4, we introduce our code construction together with some general criterion for cycles in the Tanner graph of a certain length. Then, in Section 5, we give more explicit conditions to avoid 4, 6 and 8-cycles relating them to Sidon sets. Finally, in Section 6, we explain how our code constructions can be extended to obtain irregular LDPC codes with the same girth but higher code rates. We conclude with some final remarks in Section 7.

Throughout the paper, for any permutation we will use the notation as a function or via the corresponding permutation matrix interchangeably.

2 Fossorier condition

As starting point, we use the theory developed in [5] by Fossorier, which introduces another way to obtain criteria for cycles in the Tanner graph of an LDPC code.

Let H be a block parity check matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} P_{0,0} & \cdots & P_{0,J-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{L-1,0} & \cdots & P_{L-1,J-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Each $P_{l,j}$ is an

$n \times n$ permutation matrix defined as follows by a permutation $R_{i,j} : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$.

$$P_{l,j}(i, k) = 1 \text{ if } i = R_{l,j}(k)$$

We will write the entries of $P_{j,l}$ as $h_{jm+j',lm+l'}$, $0 \leq j' \leq J-1$, $0 \leq l' \leq L-1$. Then, $h_{jm+j',lm+l'} = 1 \iff l' = R_{(j,l)}(j')$.

For simplicity, we will write H in terms of the permutations, $H = \begin{pmatrix} R_{0,0} & \dots & R_{0,J-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{L-1,0} & \dots & R_{L-1,J-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 1. As row permutations inside H do not change the code and column permutations inside H lead to an equivalent code, one can assume that $R_{i,j} = id$ if $i = 0$ or $j = 0$. Thus, henceforth we will deal with parity check matrices of the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n \times n} & Id_{n \times n} & \dots & Id_{n \times n} \\ Id_{n \times n} & R_{1,1} & \dots & R_{1,L-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Id_{n \times n} & \dots & R_{L-1,J-2} & R_{L-1,J-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, we will often write 1 instead of $Id_{n \times n}$.

Definition 1 (Cycle). A cycle in H is a sequence of positions (x_i, y_i) of the form $h_{x_0, y_0} = 1, h_{x_1, y_0} = 1, h_{x_1, y_0} = 1, \dots, h_{x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}} = 1, h_{x_0, y_{k-1}}, h_{x_0, y_0}$ in H . In other words, a cycle is defined by positions with entry 1, obtained by changing alternatively row or column only, and such that all positions are distinct, except the first and last. Such a cycle is said to have length $2k$.

Since the $P_{i,j}$'s are permutation matrices, we must have each entry h_{x_i, y_i} in a different permutation block matrix. In this paper, we follow the convention in [5], so that the first change is of a row, followed by a column. Consequently, each cycle can be associated to a unique path $(j_0, l_0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (j_{k-1}, l_{k-1}) \rightarrow (j_k, j_{k-1}) \rightarrow (j_k, l_k) = (j_0, l_0)$ of indices labelling the permutation matrices, $0 \leq j_i \leq J-1, 1 \leq l_i \leq L-1$.

Definition 2 (Girth). The girth of H , denoted $g(H)$, is defined to be the smallest positive even integer $2k$, $k > 1$, such that H contains a $2k$ -cycle.

Theorem 1 ([5]). *There exists a cycle associated to the sequence*

$$(j_0, l_0), \dots, (j_{k-1}, l_{k-1}), (j_0, j_{k-1}), (j_k, l_k) = (j_0, l_0)$$

if and only if there exists a column index c_0 , $0 \leq c_0 \leq l_0$, such that $R_{j_0, l_0}(c_0) = R_{j_0, l_{k-1}}(c_{k-1})$, or $c_k = c_0$, where $c_{i+1} = (R_{j_{i+1}, l_{i+1}}^{-1}(R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i)))$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.

Proof. Suppose that such a column index c_0 exists and c_i 's are defined by

$$c_{i+1} = R_{j_{i+1}, l_{i+1}}^{-1}(R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i)) \quad \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Then, clearly,

$$j_{i+1}m + R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i) = j_{i+1}m + R_{j_{i+1}, l_{i+1}}(c_{i+1}) \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \quad (1)$$

Write $x_i = j_i m + R_{j_i, l_i}(c_i)$, $y_i = l_i m + c_i$. Then, the entries $h_{(x_0, y_0)}, h_{(x_1, y_1)}, \dots, h_{(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1})}, h_{(x_k, y_k)}$ are all clearly 1. Further, by (1), $j_{i+1}m + R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i) = x_{i+1}$, so $\forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, $h_{(x_{i+1}, y_i)} = 1$. Finally, $c_k = R_{j_k, l_k}^{-1}(R_{j_k, l_{k-1}}(c_{k-1})) = R_{j_0, l_0}^{-1}(R_{j_0, l_{k-1}}(c_{k-1})) = c_0$. Thus, the entries at the positions

$$(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}), (x_k, y_k) = (x_0, y_0)$$

form a cycle. Conversely, suppose that there is a cycle associated to the sequence

$$(j_0, l_0), (j_1, l_0) \dots, (j_{k-1}, l_{k-1}), (j_0, j_{k-1}), (j_k, l_k) = (j_0, l_0).$$

Then there exist positions

$$(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_0), \dots, (x_0, y_{k-1}), (x_k, y_k) = (x_0, y_0)$$

whose entries are all 1's, where $x_i = j_i m + R_{j_i, l_i}(c_i)$, $y_i = l_i m + c_i$. To have $h_{(x_{i+1}, y_i)} = 1 \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, we additionally need $j_{i+1}m + R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i) = x_{i+1}$, or $c_{i+1} = (R_{j_{i+1}, l_{i+1}}^{-1}(R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i)))$. This completes the proof. \square

The following is an easy generalization of Theorem 2.5 in [5] and provides an upper bound for the girth.

Theorem 2. *Let $L > 2$. If H has a submatrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1$ then H contains a 12-cycle, and so $g(H) \leq 12$.*

Proof. Since the σ_i 's commute, the path given by

$$1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1$$

which can be visualized as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & 1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, defines a 12-cycle, since $c_1 = \sigma_1^{-1}(c_0)$, $c_2 = c_1$, $c_3 = \sigma_2(c_2) = \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1}(c_0)$, $c_4 = c_3$, $c_5 = \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1(c_4) = \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1}(c_0) = (\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1)(\sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1})(c_0) = c_0$, $c_6 = c_5 = c_0$. \square

Remark 2. From the above proof, we see that in order to avoid a 12-cycle, we require non-commuting permutations σ_1 and σ_2 such that $(\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1)(\sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1})$ is a derangement. Since we are concerned with matrices using commuting permutations in this paper, all our matrices have a maximum girth of 12.

More generally, we can make the following statement.

Theorem 3. *Let $L > 2$. If H has a submatrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \dots & \sigma_{2r} \end{pmatrix}$ for r odd and there exists some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\sigma_i \sigma_j(c) = \sigma_j \sigma_i(c)$ and $(\sigma_1 \sigma_3 \dots \sigma_{2t-1})(c) \neq (\sigma_2 \sigma_4 \dots \sigma_{2t})(c)$ for $1 \leq t < r$ and $(\sigma_1 \sigma_3 \dots \sigma_{2r-1})(c) = (\sigma_2 \sigma_4 \dots \sigma_{2r})(c)$, then H has a $4 \cdot (2r + 1)$ -cycle.*

3 Relevant additive number theoretic concepts

We begin by introducing some concepts of additive number theory which will be shown later in this paper to be relevant to the theory of LDPC codes.

Definition 3. For a set \mathcal{B} , we define the set \mathcal{B}_Δ of differences and the sum set \mathcal{B}_+ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_\Delta &= \{a - b \mid a, b \in \mathcal{B}, a \neq b\} \\ \mathcal{B}_+ &= \{a + b \mid a, b \in \mathcal{B}\}\end{aligned}$$

Definition 4. To a set \mathcal{B} , we associate the following set of sequences

$$\mathcal{B}_S^t = \{(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) \mid a_i \neq a_{i+1}, 0 \leq i < t, a_t \neq a_0\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^t$$

To any sequence $B = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) \in \mathcal{B}_S^t$, we associate the sequence

$$B_d = (a_0 - a_t, a_1 - a_0, \dots, a_t - a_{t-1}).$$

We also define the set \mathcal{B}_D^t of all sequences B_d .

$$\mathcal{B}_D^t = \{(a_0 - a_t, a_1 - a_0, \dots, a_t - a_{t-1}) \mid a_i \in \mathcal{B}, a_i \neq a_{i+1}, 0 \leq i < t, a_t \neq a_0\} \subseteq (\mathcal{B}_\Delta)_S^t.$$

We now introduce a number theoretic concept originally defined in the work of Sidon on Fourier series [20]. Sidon defined a B_2 sequence as a sequence $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ of positive integers such that the sums $a_i + a_j$, $i < j$ are all different. In accordance with this definition, in [9] and [2], a Sidon B_h -sequence was defined as a set of positive integers such that all the sums $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_h$, $a_i \in B_h$, are distinct, up to rearrangement of the summands. This concept was applied to sets modulo n rather than over \mathbb{Z} in [2], where the authors called it a B_h sequence for \mathbb{Z}_n . Problems related to a distinct subset sums set over integers, i.e. a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ whose distinct subsets have distinct sums, have also been studied, for example, in [4], [1] and [8]. In this paper, we are mostly interested in B_2 -sequences, and all arithmetic considered will be modulo m .

Definition 5. A set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ is called a Sidon m - B_t set (or, in short, an m - B_t set) if for $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, j_1, \dots, j_t \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_t = j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_t \iff \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t\} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t\}$. Since all arithmetic in this paper is modulo a finite integer m , we sometimes omit the prefix m and refer to B_t -sets.

Lemma 1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. \mathcal{I} is a B_2 -set
2. $|\mathcal{I}_+| = (|\mathcal{I}|^2 + |\mathcal{I}|)/2$
3. $0 \notin (\mathcal{I}_+)_\Delta$.

Remark 3. B_t -sets are in close relation to what is known as difference set in the literature. More precisely, an m - B_2 -set \mathcal{I} with $|\mathcal{I}| = k$ is equal to a $(m, k, 1)$ difference set (see Definition 7.19 in [10])

Lemma 2. [21] *Set $i_1 = 0$ and $i_t = 1 + 2i_{t-1}$. Then, one obtains an m - B_2 set for any odd m .*

4 Code Constructions: General Formulation

In this section, we present a method to construct LDPC codes whose cycles are directly related to the concepts introduced in Section 3. We denote by \mathcal{S}_n the symmetric group on n symbols. We will construct parity check matrices from a single permutation $f \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ be a permutation and let m be its order in the group \mathcal{S}_n . For compatibility of the notations of symbols in \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathcal{S}_n , we use the symbols 0 and n interchangeably. For example, the permutation $(n-1, n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$ represents the map $n-1 \mapsto 0, 0 \mapsto n-1, i \mapsto i \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, i \neq 0, n-1$. Note that if f is an n -cycle then $m = n$. If n is prime and f is an n -cycle, then $m = n$ and we say that f is a prime m -cycle. A permutation f is said to be a derangement if it has no fixed points, i.e. if $f(c) \neq c \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

The following two results are elementary.

Lemma 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_n$. If $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a fixed point of f then it is a fixed point of f^i for every $i \geq 1$.*

Lemma 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_n$ have order m . If f is a derangement then f^i is a derangement for i coprime to m .*

We now describe a construction of an LDPC code using different powers of f . We consider parity check matrices of the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{A_1 i_1} & \dots & f^{A_1 i_r} \\ 1 & f^{A_2 i_1} & \dots & f^{A_2 i_r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & f^{A_J i_1} & \dots & f^{A_J i_r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each of the numbers A_k and i_k is considered modulo m , since they appear as exponents of f . We assume that the set of numbers $A_k \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ are nonzero and pairwise different (mod m). Similarly, the numbers $i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ are assumed to be nonzero and pairwise different.

By Theorem 4, H has a maximum girth of 12. Write

$$\mathcal{I} = \{0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r,$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_J\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Note that any $2t$ -cycle has a trajectory that can be represented as

$$a_t j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_2 \rightarrow a_2 j_2 \rightarrow a_2 j_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_i j_{i+1} \rightarrow a_i j_{i+2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_t j_t \rightarrow a_t j_1$$

where $a_k \in \mathcal{A}$, $j_k \in \mathcal{I}$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$, $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, $a_t \neq a_1$, $j_t \neq j_1$. Denote by c_{2t} the column index of the $(2t)^{th}$ term of the $2t$ -cycle. Then, we have $c_{2t} = f^i(c_0)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} i &= (j_t - j_1)a_t + (j_1 - j_2)a_1 + \dots + (j_i - j_{i+1})a_i + \dots + (j_{t-1} - j_t)a_{t-1} \\ &= (a_1 - a_t)j_1 + (a_2 - a_1)j_2 + \dots + (a_{i+1} - a_i)j_i + \dots + (a_t - a_{t-1})j_t \end{aligned}$$

We revisit 12-cycles and demonstrate Theorem 2 in this setting. For 12-cycles,

$$i = (a_0 - a_5)j_1 + (a_1 - a_0)j_2 + \dots + (a_5 - a_4)j_6.$$

Set $j_1 = j_4 = 0$, $j_2 = j_5 = j$, $j_3 = j_6 = k$, $a_0 = a_2 = a_4$, $a_1 = a_3 = a_5$. Then $i = (a_1 - a_0)(j - k) + (a_0 - a_1)(-k + j) = 0$. Thus, H always has a 12-cycle.

The following lemma describes a relationship between $2k$ -cycles in H , and the sets \mathcal{A}_D^k , \mathcal{I}_S^k , \mathcal{I}_D^k , and \mathcal{I}_S^k .

Lemma 5. *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. H has a $2k$ -cycle.
2. There exists a sequence $A_d = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathcal{A}_D^k$, a sequence $J_s = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{I}_S^k$, and a point $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that for all $k' < k$, $f^{b_1 j_1 + \dots + b_{k'} j_{k'}}(c_0) \neq c_0$, and $f^{b_1 j_1 + \dots + b_k j_k}(c_0) = c_0$.
3. There exists a sequence $A_s = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathcal{A}_S^k$, a sequence $J_d = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{I}_D^k$, and a point $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that for all $k' < k$, $f^{b_1 j_1 + \dots + b_{k'} j_{k'}}(c_0) \neq c_0$, and $f^{b_1 j_1 + \dots + b_k j_k}(c_0) = c_0$.

Remark 4. Note that the roles of \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{A} are symmetric in the consideration of cycles. This is to be expected, since in general taking the transpose of the parity check matrix does not alter the cycles. Thus, we will often not specify conditions for both the symmetric cases.

Note that in the case when f is a prime m -cycle, f^i has a fixed point if and only if $i = 0 \pmod m$. Thus, we have

Lemma 6. *If f is an m -cycle and m is prime, then H has no $2k$ -cycle if and only if for any sequences $A_d = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathcal{A}_D^k$, $J_s = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{I}_S^k$, we have $b_1 j_1 + \dots + b_k j_k \neq 0$.*

We already know that every parity check matrix H of the above form contains a 12-cycle. Thus, to determine the girth of H , we find conditions for 4-, 6-, and 8-cycles. The next section will focus on deriving these conditions in terms of properties of the sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{I} .

5 Conditions for avoiding 4-, 6-, and 8-cycles

This section is divided into two cases, based on the number of block-rows in H , namely the cases $L = 2$ and $L > 2$. In the former case, the set \mathcal{A} can be taken to be equal to $\{0, 1\}$ without loss of generality. In this case, we obtain equivalent conditions for H to be free from 4- and 8-cycles in terms of conditions on the set \mathcal{I} . In the latter case, where $L > 2$, we obtain an equivalent condition for H to be free from 4- and 6-cycles in terms of conditions on the sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{I} , and give a necessary condition for the 8-cycle case.

5.1 Case $L = 2$

We observe that for $L = 2$, all $(4k + 2)$ -cycles are absent. To see this, note that in this case, any such cycle has an associated sequence of the form

$$(j_0, l_0), (j_1, l_0), (j_1, l_1), (j_2, l_1) \dots, (j_{2k+1}, l_{2k})$$

where $j_{2i} = j_0, j_{2i-1} = j_1$ for all i , where $j_1 \neq j_0$. Thus, $j_{2k+1} \neq j_0$, and there is no cycle of length $4k + 2$.

We first illustrate the trivial subcase $J = 2$, which corresponds to very small-dimensional codes, and so is of no interest in coding theory. Moreover, this case is not really practical since in this case, H has both column and row weight equal to 2 and the same is true for any submatrix of H corresponding to a cycle. This implies that any cycle of length $4k$ corresponds to a codeword of weight $2k$ and therefore the minimum distance is upper bounded by $2k$. However, we want to start with this case for illustrative purposes.

Note that in this case, the proof of Theorem 2 does not work, and in general a 12-cycle may not exist. Here, a general condition can be given for any $4k$ -cycle.

Theorem 4. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ be a permutation and consider the parity check matrix given by*

$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & f \end{pmatrix}$. *H has no $4k$ -cycles if and only if f^k is a derangement. In particular, let f be a derangement and $r > 2$ be the smallest prime divisor of the order of f . Then, $g(H) \geq 4r$. Thus if f is a derangement with odd order, then $g(H) \geq 12$. If f has prime order m , then $g(H) = 4m$.*

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$. By Theorem 1 H has a $2k$ -cycle if and only if there exists a column index c_0 , $0 \leq c_0 \leq l_0$, such that for $c_{i+1} = (R_{j_{i+1}, l_{i+1}}^{-1}(R_{j_{i+1}, l_i}(c_i)))$, $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, we have $c_0 = c_{2k}$. It is easy to see that here, $c_{i+1} = f^{-1}(c_i)$ if i is even, and $c_{i+1} = c_i$ if i is odd. By induction, we have $c_{2k} = f^{-k}(c_0)$. Thus, a cycle of length $4k$ exists if and only if for some c_0 we have $f^{-k}(c_0) = c_0$, i.e. if and only if $f^k(c_0)$ has a fixed point. \square

We now turn to the more interesting subcase, where $L = 2$, and $J > 2$. Here, the parity check matrix can be written as $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{i_1} & \cdots & f^{i_r} \end{pmatrix}$, where $r \geq 2$. As before, write $\mathcal{I} = \{0, i_1, \dots, i_r\}$.

The following result shows that for a fixed permutation f , 4-cycles in H are determined entirely by the set \mathcal{I}_Δ .

Theorem 5. *For $r > 1$ the parity check matrix $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{i_1} & \cdots & f^{i_r} \end{pmatrix}$ has no 4-cycle if and only if f^t is a derangement for every $t \in \mathcal{I}_\Delta$.*

Proof. Up to the order of rows, a 4-cycle has a trajectory of the form $1 \rightarrow j_1 \rightarrow j_2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1$, for $j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ so we have $c_4 = f^{(j_1 - j_2)}(c_0)$ for some distinct $j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between 4-cycles in H and elements of \mathcal{I}_Δ , and H has no 4-cycle if and only if f^i is a derangement for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_\Delta$. \square

Corollary 1. *Suppose that f is a derangement of prime order $m = n$. Then H has no 4-cycle.*

Thus this technique allows us to construct 4-cycle free $k \times n$ parity-check matrices, as illustrated below.

Example 1. We take $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, 4, 6, 12, 10, 15, 24\}$, so

$$\mathcal{I}_\Delta = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 9, \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 12, \pm 14, \pm 15, \pm 18, \pm 20, \pm 23, \pm 24\}$$

By Corollary 1, choosing f to be any permutation of order coprime to 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 23, we obtain a parity check matrix free from 4-cycles. Of course, one may simply choose f to be a cycle of prime order, say $f = (1\ 2\ 3\ \dots\ 17)$, which gives a (136, 103)-code. However, we may also construct a (208, 158)-code with no 4-cycles using a non-cycle permutation,

$$f = (1\ 2\ \dots\ 12\ 13) \cdot (14\ 15\ \dots\ 24\ 25\ 26).$$

The above results demonstrate the superiority of using derangements of prime order m , since for these, the only condition on \mathcal{I} is that $i_r < m$, so \mathcal{I} attains the largest possible size. In general, if f has order m , for no 4-cycles one requires that all prime factors of m lie outside \mathcal{I}_Δ .

As discussed above, the case $L = 2$ is always free from 6-cycles (in general, from $4k + 2$ cycles). The below result characterizes the criterion for 8-cycles.

Theorem 6. *Let $L = 2, J > 2$. Write $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$. Then the parity check matrix $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{i_1} & \dots & f^{i_r} \end{pmatrix}$ has no 8-cycle if and only if f^i is a derangement for every $i \in (\mathcal{I}_+)_\Delta$. In particular, if H has no 8-cycle then \mathcal{I} is a B_2 -set.*

Proof. An 8-cycle can be represented by a trajectory of the form $a_4 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_4 j_1$. The condition for an 8-cycle is $c_0 = f^i(c_0)$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $i = [(i_2 + i_4) - (i_1 + i_3)]$ for $\{i_2, i_4\} \neq \{i_1, i_3\}, i_k \in \mathcal{I}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$. In other words, $i \in (\mathcal{I}_+)_\Delta$. The result is now clear. \square

With an additional condition, the above necessary result also can be made sufficient.

Corollary 2. *If $L = 2, J > 2, m = n$ is prime and f is an m -cycle, then H has no 8-cycles if and only if \mathcal{I} is a B_2 -set.*

Proof. If $m = n$ is prime and f is an m -cycle, then f^i is a derangement if and only if $i = 0 \pmod m$. Thus, H has an 8-cycle if and only if $0 \in (\mathcal{I}_+)_\Delta$, which, in turn, happens if and only if \mathcal{I} is not a B_2 -set by Lemma 1. \square

From Corollaries 1 and 2 we have

Corollary 3. *In the case $L = 2, J > 2$, if f is an m -cycle, and $n = m$ is prime, we have*

$$\mathcal{I} \text{ is an } m\text{-}B_2\text{-set} \implies g(H) = 12.$$

Example 2. Consider $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, 4, 6, 13\}$. Then we have $\mathcal{I}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 19, 26\}$. By Lemma 1, \mathcal{I} is a B_2 -set (over \mathbb{Z}). Further, we have $\mathcal{I}_\Delta = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 12, \pm 13\}$. Thus, choosing any m coprime to $2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 17, 19$, we get that $0 \pmod{m} \notin \mathcal{I}_\Delta$ and \mathcal{I} is an m - B_2 set. As a concrete example, f can be taken to be the 29-cycle, $f = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ \dots\ 29)$. Then the parity check matrix $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & f^1 & f^4 & f^6 & f^{13} \end{pmatrix}$ has girth $g(H) = 12$. This gives a (145, 88)-code with girth 12.

5.2 Case $L > 2$

In this section, we study the case $L > 2$. By symmetry, and since we have already dealt with the case $L = 2, J > 2$, we may also assume that $J > 2$. Here, the parity check matrix is

$$\text{given by } H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{A_1 i_1} & \dots & f^{A_1 i_r} \\ 1 & f^{A_2 i_1} & \dots & f^{A_2 i_r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & f^{A_J i_1} & \dots & f^{A_J i_r} \end{pmatrix}, L > 2. \text{ We begin by obtaining a condition for 4-cycles,}$$

which can easily be seen as a generalization of Theorem 5.

Theorem 7. H contains no 4-cycle if and only if f^i is a derangement for all $i \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta \cdot \mathcal{I}_\Delta$.

Proof. Note that a 4-cycle can in general be represented by the trajectory $a_2 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_2 \rightarrow a_2 j_2 \rightarrow a_2 j_1$, or by the sequence $(c_0, j_0) \rightarrow (r_0, c_0) \rightarrow (r_1, c_1) \rightarrow (r_1, c_1) \rightarrow (r_1, c_0)$ where the column index c_2 is given by $c_2 = f^i(c_0)$ with $i = (a_1 - a_2)(j_1 - j_2)$, so $i \neq 0$ since $j_1 \neq j_2$, $a_0 \neq a_1$, and $i \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta \cdot \mathcal{I}_\Delta$. Conversely, given any $i \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta \cdot \mathcal{I}_\Delta$, one can write i in the above form and associate a 4-cycle to it if f^i is not a derangement. \square

Corollary 4. If f is a prime m -cycle, then $g(H) \geq 6$.

Proof. We always have $0 \notin \mathcal{A}_\Delta$ and $0 \notin \mathcal{I}_\Delta$, so by the primeness of m , $0 \notin \mathcal{A}_\Delta \cdot \mathcal{I}_\Delta$. Thus for each $i \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta \cdot \mathcal{I}_\Delta$, i is coprime to m and so f^i is a derangement. Thus by Theorem 7, H has no 4-cycles. \square

Example 3. Take $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, -1\}$, so $\mathcal{A}_\Delta = \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}$. Here, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{i_1} & \dots & f^{i_r} \\ 1 & f^{-i_1} & \dots & f^{-i_r} \end{pmatrix}$.

By Theorem 7, H has no 4-cycle if and only if f^i is a derangement for all $i \in \mathcal{I} \cup 2\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_\Delta \cup 2\mathcal{I}_\Delta$. Take $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_\Delta = \{2, 4, 6, 8, -2, -4, -6, -8\}$, $2\mathcal{I} = \{4, 8, 12, 16\}$, $2\mathcal{I}_\Delta = \{4, 6, 8, 12, -4, -8, -12, -16\}$. Taking m to be a prime larger than 16 and f to be an m -cycle, we get $g(H) \geq 6$. E.g. with $f = (1\ 2\ 3\ \dots\ 17)$, H is a (85, 52)-code.

Note that when $L > 2$, 6-cycles (more generally, $2k$ -cycles for k odd) may occur in H . We now present an equivalent condition for 6-cycles.

Theorem 8. *If $L, J > 2$, then H contains no 6-cycles if and only if \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{A} have the following property: For three distinct $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta$ such that $(c_1 + c_2) \in \mathcal{I}_\Delta$, we have $f^{c_1 j_1 + c_2 j_2 - (c_1 + c_2) j_3}$ is a derangement. In particular, if f is a prime m -cycle and for any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta$ such that $(c_1 + c_2) \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta$, and any three distinct $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $c_1 j_1 + c_2 j_2 \not\equiv (c_1 + c_2) j_3 \pmod{m}$, then H contains no 6-cycles.*

Proof. For 6-cycles, $c_3 = f^i(c_0)$ with

$$i = (a_0 - a_2)j_1 + (a_1 - a_0)j_2 + (a_2 - a_1)j_3$$

with $j_1 \neq j_2, j_2 \neq j_3, j_3 \neq j_1$. Clearly this case requires $J > 2$, and $a_0 \neq a_1, a_0 \neq a_2, a_1 \neq a_2$. We can write $i = c_1 j_1 + c_2 j_2 + (-c_1 - c_2) j_3$, with $c_1, c_2, c_1 + c_2 \in \mathcal{I}_\Delta$. Conversely, $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta$ such that $(c_1 + c_2) \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta$ and $c_1 j_1 + c_2 j_2 \equiv (c_1 + c_2) j_3 \pmod{m}$ for any three distinct $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \mathcal{I}$ leads to $i = 0$, i.e. to a 6-cycle. The result is now clear. \square

We now turn our attention to 8-cycles. Recall that any 8-cycle has a trajectory that can be represented as

$$a_4 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_1 \rightarrow a_1 j_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_4 j_4 \rightarrow a_4 j_1$$

where $a_k \in \mathcal{A}$, $j_k \in \mathcal{I}$, $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$, $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, $a_t \neq a_1$, $j_t \neq j_1$. Thus, we have $c_4 = f^i(c_0)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} i &= (j_4 - j_1)a_4 + (j_1 - j_2)a_1 + (j_2 - j_3)a_2 + (j_3 - j_4)a_3 \\ &= (a_1 - a_4)j_1 + (a_2 - a_1)j_2 + (a_3 - a_2)j_3 + (a_4 - a_3)j_4 \end{aligned}$$

and c_4 denotes the column index of the 8th term of the 8-cycle.

In this case, we have the following necessary condition.

Theorem 9. *If $L > 2$ and \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{I} is not an m - \mathcal{B}_2 -set then H contains an 8-cycle.*

Proof. As above, we have, for 8-cycles,

$$i = (a_0 - a_3)j_1 + (a_1 - a_0)j_2 + (a_2 - a_1)j_3 + (a_3 - a_2)j_4.$$

First suppose that \mathcal{A} is not an m - B_2 set. Then there exists a sequence (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) such that $a_0 + a_2 = a_1 + a_3$, with $\{a_0, a_2\} \neq \{a_1, a_3\}$. It is easy to see that we must have $a_0 \neq a_1, a_1 \neq a_2, a_2 \neq a_3, a_3 \neq a_0$ here, so this sequence lies in \mathcal{A}_d^4 . Set $j_1 = j_3, j_2 = j_4$. Then

$$i = (a_0 - a_1 + a_2 - a_3)(j_1 - j_2) = 0.$$

Thus, in this case H always has an 8-cycle.

Similarly, suppose that \mathcal{I} is not an m - B_2 set. Then there exist $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $j_1 + j_3 = j_2 + j_4$, with $\{j_1, j_3\} \neq \{j_2, j_4\}$. Again, it is easy to see that we must have $j_1 \neq j_3, j_2 \neq j_4$. Thus, this sequence lies in \mathcal{I}_d^4 . Set $a_1 = a_3, a_0 = a_2$, so that $i = (j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4)(a_0 - a_1)$ and $a_1 j_1 \rightarrow a_0 j_1 \rightarrow a_0 j_2 \rightarrow a_1 j_2 \rightarrow a_1 j_1 \rightarrow a_0 j_1 \rightarrow a_0 j_2 \rightarrow a_1 j_2 \rightarrow a_1 j_1$ defines an 8-cycle. \square

Example 4. Again, take $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, -1\}$, so $\mathcal{A}_\Delta = \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}$. Here, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{i_1} & \dots & f^{i_r} \\ 1 & f^{-i_1} & \dots & f^{-i_r} \end{pmatrix}$.

Let f be a prime m -cycle. Write $c_1 = a_0 - a_2$, $c_2 = a_1 - a_0$, $c_3 = a_2 - a_1 = -(c_1 + c_2)$. All possibilities for these constants are listed below.

a_0	1	-1	1	-1
a_1	0	0	-1	1
a_2	-1	1	0	0
c_1	2	-2	1	-1
c_2	-1	1	-2	2
c_3	-1	1	1	-1

Table 1: Caption

Note that here, $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\} = \{1, 1, -2\}$ or $\{-1, -1, 2\}$. Further, $(c_1, c_2) \in \{(1, 1), (-1, -1), (-1, 2), (1, -2)\}$ are the only possibilities of subsets of \mathcal{A}_Δ such that $c_1 + c_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\Delta$. By Theorem 8, and by the primeness of m , H has no 6-cycles if and only if $\mathcal{I} = \{0, i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ satisfies the following property: for distinct $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $2j_1 = j_2 + j_3 \iff j_1 = j_2 = j_3$. In loose terms, \mathcal{I} does not contain the averages of its terms.

For instance, take $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, 4, 6, 10\}$, $m = n = 17$. By Corollary 1, H has no 4-cycles. It is also clear that for all distinct $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $j_1 + j_2 \neq 2j_3$. Thus, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & f & f^4 & f^6 & f^8 \\ 1 & f^{-1} & f^{-4} & f^{-6} & f^{-8} \end{pmatrix}$ has no 4- or 6-cycles. However, by Theorem 9, it does have 8-cycles, since \mathcal{A} is not an m - B_2 set, so it has girth $g(H) = 8$.

However, with $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we have $2 \cdot 2 = 0 + 4$, so $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & f^1 & f^2 & f^3 & f^4 \\ 1 & f^{-1} & f^{-2} & f^{-3} & f^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$ has 6-cycles. From Example 3, with $m = 17$ and f an m -cycle, H is free from 4-cycles. Thus, $g(H) = 6$.

6 Extension to irregular LDPC codes

As observed e.g. in [12] irregular LDPC codes can outperform regular LDPC codes. In this section, we describe how our previous considerations can be easily modified in order to obtain irregular LDPC codes.

First, consider again a parity-check matrix in the general form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & f^{A_1 i_1} & \dots & f^{A_1 i_r} \\ 1 & f^{A_2 i_1} & \dots & f^{A_2 i_r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & f^{A_J i_1} & \dots & f^{A_J i_r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain a parity-check matrix of an irregular code extend H to a new parity-check matrix

$$\hat{H} := [H \ h_{r+1} \ \dots \ h_L]$$

by adding additional blocks of columns of the form $h_s := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ f^{A_k i_s} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where 0 represents the

all-zero map on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $s \in \{r+1, \dots, L\}$ and for each s the parameter k can be any value from $\{0, \dots, J\}$ determining the position of the nonzero block in h_s , where we set $A_0 := 0$. One can add arbitrarily many blocks of columns of this form without changing the number and sizes of cycles in the corresponding Tanner graph. Moreover, it is possible to increase the rate of the code with the help of these additional columns as illustrated in the following examples.

Example 5. Consider $m = n = 17$ and let f be the cyclic shift by one position, i.e. $f : \mathbb{Z}_{17} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{17}$, $x \mapsto x + 1$. Then, $id + f$ corresponds to the mapping $x \mapsto 2x + 1$ and is therefore an element of \mathcal{S}_{17} . It follows that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ I & f \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible and therefore the matrix

$\begin{pmatrix} I & I & I & \dots & I \\ I & f & f^{i_2} & \dots & f^{i_r} \end{pmatrix}$ has full rank 34 for any choices of i_2, \dots, i_r . Adding any blocks of columns of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f^{i_s} \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} f^{i_t} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ does neither change the rank nor the cycle structure of the Tanner graph of H . However, the length of the code increases by 17 with each added block and its rate is equal to $1 - \frac{2}{r+1+a}$, where a is the number of additional blocks of columns. In this way, one can achieve rates that are arbitrarily close to 1 without obtaining additional cycles.

Example 6. Again, take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & f & f^4 & f^6 & f^8 \\ 1 & f^{-1} & f^{-4} & f^{-6} & f^{-8} \end{pmatrix}$. This is a 39×65 matrix of rank

37 and girth 8, defining a $(65, 28)$ -linear code over \mathbb{F}_2 with rate ≈ 0.431 . Now consider an irregular code defined by extended H as follows

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & f & f^4 & f^6 & f^8 & 0 & f & 0 \\ 1 & f^{-1} & f^{-4} & f^{-6} & f^{-8} & f^{-4} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here M is a 39×104 parity-check matrix of rank 39 and girth 8, defining a $(104, 65)$ -linear code over \mathbb{F}_2 with rate 0.625.

7 Conclusion

We provided a framework to link conditions for cycles in the Tanner graph of an LDPC code constructed from permutation matrices with number theoretic concepts like Sidon sets. To be able to avoid also larger cycles one should consider parity-check matrices built from non-commuting permutations. We leave this problem for future research.

Acknowledgements

Julia Lieb acknowledges the support of Swiss National Science Foundation grant n. 188430. The work of Simran Tinani is supported by armasuisse Science and Technology. The authors thank Roxana Smarandache for her helpful feedback and comments.

References

- [1] T. Bohman. A construction for sets of integers with distinct subset sums. *Electron. J. Comb.*, 5, 1998.
- [2] Sheng Chen. On the size of finite sidon sequences. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 121(2):353–356, 1994.
- [3] Jean-Baptiste Dore, Marie-Helene Hamon, and Pierre Penard. Cycle and distance properties of structured ldpc codes based on circulant permutation matrices. In *2007 10th Canadian Workshop on Information Theory (CWIT)*, pages 1–4, 2007.
- [4] P. Erdős and P. Turán. On a problem of sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-16(4):212–215, 1941.
- [5] M.P.C. Fossorier. Quasicyclic low-density parity-check codes from circulant permutation matrices. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 50(8):1788–1793, 2004.
- [6] R. Gallager. Low-density parity-check codes. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 8(1):21–28, 1962.
- [7] Wu Guan and Liping Liang. Construction of block-ldpc codes based on quadratic permutation polynomials. *Journal of Communications and Networks*, 17(2):157–161, 2015.
- [8] Richard K. Guy. Sets of integers whose subsets have distinct sums. In Peter L. Hammer, Alexander Rosa, Gert Sabidussi, and Jean Turgeon, editors, *Theory and Practice of Combinatorics*, volume 60 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, pages 141–154. North-Holland, 1982.
- [9] X.D. Jia. On finite sidon sequences. *Journal of Number Theory*, 44(1):84–92, 1993.

- [10] Rudolf Lidl and Harald Niederreiter. *Finite fields*, volume 20 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1997. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn.
- [11] Gianluigi Liva, Shumei Song, Lan Lan, Yifei Zhang, Shu Lin, and William E. Ryan. Design of ldpc codes: A survey and new results. *Journal of communications software and systems*, 2:191, 2017.
- [12] M. G. Luby, M. Mitzenmacher, M. A. Shokrollahi, and Spielman. Improved low-density parity-check codes using irregular graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 47:585–598, 02 2001.
- [13] R. Lucas, M.P.C. Fossorier, Yu Kou, and Shu Lin. Iterative decoding of one-step majority logic deductible codes based on belief propagation. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 48(6):931–937, 2000.
- [14] Yizhou Ma, Moonho Lee, and Yang Xiao. Ldpc codes based on circulant permutation matrices for fast encoding. In *2006 IET International Conference on Wireless, Mobile and Multimedia Networks*, pages 1–3, 2006.
- [15] D.J.C. MacKay. Good error-correcting codes based on very sparse matrices. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 45(2):399–431, 1999.
- [16] D.J.C. MacKay and R.M. Neal. Near shannon limit performance of low density parity check codes. *Electronics Letters*, 32:1645–1646(1), August 1996.
- [17] J.A. McGowan and R.C. Williamson. Loop removal from ldpc codes. In *Proceedings 2003 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (Cat. No.03EX674)*, pages 230–233, 2003.
- [18] Dung Viet Nguyen, Bane Vasić, Michael Marcellin, and Shashi Kiran Chilappagari. Structured ldpc codes from permutation matrices free of small trapping sets. In *2010 IEEE Information Theory Workshop*, pages 1–5, 2010.
- [19] A. Orlitsky, R. Urbanke, K. Viswanathan, and J. Zhang. Stopping sets and the girth of tanner graphs. In *Proceedings IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*,, pages 2–, 2002.
- [20] S. Sidon. Ein satz über trigonometrische polynome und seine anwendung in der theorie der fourier-reihen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 106:536–539, 1932.
- [21] Roxana Smarandache and David G. M. Mitchell. A unifying framework to construct qc-ldpc tanner graphs of desired girth, 2021.
- [22] Roxana Smarandache, David G.M. Mitchell, and Daniel J. Costello. Partially quasi-cyclic protograph-based ldpc codes. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pages 1–5, 2011.
- [23] R. Tanner. A recursive approach to low complexity codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 27(5):533–547, 1981.

- [24] Xiaofu Wu, Xiaohu You, and Chunming Zhao. A necessary and sufficient condition for determining the girth of quasi-cyclic ldpc codes. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 56(6):854–857, 2008.
- [25] Ryoichiro Yoshida and Kenta Kasai. Linear permutation polynomial codes. In *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 66–70, 2019.