

# STABILITY OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL POINT VORTICES IN EULER FLOWS

DENGJUN GUO

ABSTRACT. We consider the two-dimensional incompressible Euler equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = 0 \\ \omega(0, x) = \omega_0(x). \end{cases}$$

We are interested in the cases when the initial vorticity has the form  $\omega_0 = \omega_{0,\epsilon} + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}$ , where  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  is concentrated near  $M$  disjoint points  $p_m^0$  and  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}$  is a small perturbation term.

First, we prove that for such initial vorticities, the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  admits a decomposition  $\omega(x, t) = \omega_\epsilon(x, t) + \omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t)$ , where  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  remains concentrated near  $M$  points  $p_m(t)$  and  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t)$  remains small for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Second, we give a quantitative description when the initial vorticity has the form  $\omega_0(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta(\frac{x-p_m^0}{\epsilon})$ , where we do not assume  $\eta$  to have compact support. Finally, we prove that if  $p_m(t)$  remains separated for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , then  $\omega(x, t)$  remains concentrated near  $M$  points at least for  $t \leq c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$ , where  $A_\epsilon$  is small and converges to 0 as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Setting of the problem.** We consider the two-dimensional incompressible Euler equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla p & \text{in } [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $u = (u^1, u^2) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  designates the velocity field and its vorticity  $\omega$  is defined by

$$\omega := \partial_1 u^2 - \partial_2 u^1.$$

We also have the vorticity-stream formulation of the Euler equation

$$\begin{cases} \omega_t + u \cdot \nabla \omega = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \\ \omega(\cdot, 0) = \omega_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where the velocity  $u$  can be recovered by vorticity  $\omega$  in view of the Biot-Savart law

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega(y, t) dy.$$

Yudovich proved in [25] that equation (1.2) is globally well-posed in some weak sense for initial vorticity  $\omega_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ . That is, for any  $\omega_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ , there exists a unique solution  $\omega(x, t)$  that solves equation (1.2). The solution  $\omega(x, t)$  satisfies

$$\omega(X(\alpha, t), t) = \omega_0(\alpha) \quad (1.3)$$

---

D. Guo would like to thank L. Zhao for his fruitful discussions and kind advices on this work. D. Guo was partially supported by NSFC grant of China (NO. 11771415).

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ . Here  $X(\alpha, t)$  is the particle trajectory map which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX(\alpha, t)}{dt} = u(X(\alpha, t), t) \\ X(\alpha, 0) = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for any time  $t$ , the map  $X(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a measure preserving diffeomorphism. We will consider the vortex solution of the Euler equation (1.2) in this paper. Loosely speaking, we are interested in the initial vorticity of the form

$$\omega_0(x) = \omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) \rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m \delta_{p_m^0},$$

where  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_M$  are  $M$  nonzero real numbers and  $p_1^0, \dots, p_M^0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are  $M$  distinct points. A computation in [11] suggests that when

$$\omega_0(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m \delta_{p_m^0},$$

the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of (1.1) should be

$$\omega(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m \delta_{p_m(t)},$$

where  $p(t) = (p_1(t), \dots, p_M(t)) : [0, T^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2)^M$  solves the Helmholtz equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp_m}{dt} = \sum_{l \neq m} \frac{\gamma_l}{2\pi} \frac{(p_m - p_l)^\perp}{|p_m - p_l|^2} \\ p_m(0) = p_m^0. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Let us introduce some previous researches on Helmholtz equation first. Unlike the incompressible Euler equation, the solution  $p(t)$  to equation (1.4) may blow-up in finite time for some initial data, see e.g. [1], [4]. However, it can be shown that the initial data for ODE (1.4) which produces a blow-up is exceptional, see [4] and [10] for references. Many mathematicians have investigated the connection between the point vortex dynamic (1.4) and the incompressible Euler equation with concentrated initial vorticity; see e.g. [5], [8], [9], [17], [18], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24]. The first rigorous justification is due to Marchioro and Pulvirenti [21] and then improved by Marchioro [17], [18] and Serfati [23]. Let  $p(t)$  be the solution of (1.4) and  $T^*$  be its maximal time of existence, Serfati proved in [23] that when the initial vorticity  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x)$  satisfies

- (i)  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $\int \omega_{0m,\epsilon} dx = \gamma_m$ ,
- (iii)  $\gamma_m \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $m = 1, \dots, M$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{supp}(\omega_{0m,\epsilon}) \subset B_\epsilon(p_m^0)$ ,
- (v)  $\|\omega_{0m,\epsilon}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon^{-k}$  for some  $k > 0$ .

then for any  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $T < T^*$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(k, \alpha, T)$  such that when  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  to the Euler equation (1.2) admits the following decomposition for all  $t \in [0, T]$ :

$$\omega_\epsilon(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t).$$

The  $m^{\text{th}}$  vorticity  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is supported in  $B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(p_m(t))$  and satisfies

$$\int \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) dx = \gamma_m.$$

By a similar argument, the  $L^\infty$  norm in (v) can be replaced by  $L^p$  norm for any  $p > 2$ , see [13] for more details. For the initial vorticity which do not have compact support, Marchioro and Pulvirenti proved in [21] that when  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon} \geq 0$  for all  $m$  and  $\omega_{0,\epsilon} \rightarrow \sum \gamma_m \delta_{p_m^0}$  in distribution, then  $\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \sum \gamma_m \delta_{p_m(t)}$  in distribution. Moreover, they showed that when  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x)$  is uniformly bounded outside  $B_\epsilon(p_m^0)$ ,  $\|\omega_{0m,\epsilon}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon^{-k}$  for some  $k < \frac{8}{3}$  and  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon} \rightarrow \gamma_m \delta_{p_m^0}$ , then we also have  $\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \sum \gamma_m \delta_{p_m(t)}$ . In [8], Dávila, del Pino, Musso and Wei considered the initial vorticity of the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) \approx \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where  $\eta(y) = \frac{1}{(1+|y|^2)^2}$ . They used a gluing method to give a detailed description of the solution near the point  $p_m(t)$ . More precisely, they showed that the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  to the Euler equation admits a decomposition:

$$\omega_\epsilon(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_m(t)}{\epsilon}\right) + \phi_\epsilon(x, t),$$

where  $\phi_\epsilon(x, t)$  is a small perturbation term in some suitable senses.

Recall that the solution  $p(t)$  to the equation (1.4) is global for general initial data  $p^0$ , so one might ask what will happen for the Euler equation when the initial vorticity is concentrated near the point  $p^0$ . For such initial vorticities, Buttà and Marchioro proved in [5] that the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  remains concentrated near  $p(t)$  during a time scale  $t \approx |\log \epsilon|$ . They also show that when  $M = 1, p_1^0 = 0$  (a single vortex concentrated near origin) and if the space domain  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is replaced by  $B_R(0)$ , then the solution remains concentrated near origin during a time scale  $t \approx \epsilon^{-a}$  for some  $a > 0$ . In [9], Donati and Iftimie generalized the result to simply connected bounded domains.

For three-dimensional Euler equation, there is a corresponding phenomenon which called vortex rings. More precisely, if the initial vorticity is supported near a ring in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then the solution remains concentrated near a traveling ring with speed  $|\log \epsilon|$ , see [2], [3], [6], [7], [12], [13] and [16] for references. See also [14], [15] for a similar phenomenon in Gross-Pitaevskii equations.

**1.2. Main results.** We first introduce some basic notions. For a point  $p_m \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we denote by  $B_\epsilon(p_m)$  the open ball centered at  $p_m$  with radius  $\epsilon$ . And for  $f$  a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we denote by  $\|f\|_p$  the  $L^p$  norm of  $f$ . Throughout the paper,  $C$  denotes a constant which might change from line to line. We denote by  $c_0$  a small constant and by  $c_0(\gamma)$  a small constant which might depending on  $\gamma$ .

We consider the two-dimensional Euler equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\epsilon + u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \omega_\epsilon = 0 \\ \omega_\epsilon(x, 0) = \omega_{0\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$u_\epsilon(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy.$$

Fix  $q > 2$  and set

$$A_\epsilon := \max \left\{ \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_{\frac{q}{2q-2}}^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}}, \epsilon \right\}.$$

Let  $p(t) = (p_1(t), \dots, p_M(t))$  be the solution of equation (1.4). Assume  $p_m(t) \neq p_l(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T^*)$  when  $m \neq l$ , then we have

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume  $\omega_{0,\epsilon} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon}$  does not change sign with

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{0m,\epsilon}) \subset B_\epsilon(p_m(0)).$$

Let the  $m^{\text{th}}$  total vorticity  $\gamma_{m,\epsilon}$  be

$$\gamma_{m,\epsilon} = \int \omega_{0m,\epsilon} dx,$$

which satisfies

$$|\gamma_m - \gamma_{m,\epsilon}| \leq CA_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We assume also that  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and there exist some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $p_1 > 2$  such that

$$\|\omega_{0m,\epsilon}\|_{p_1} \leq A_\epsilon^{-\gamma}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, M$ . Then for any  $T < T^*$  and any  $a < \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , the solution  $\omega_\epsilon$  of (1.5) admits a decomposition  $\omega_\epsilon = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon} + \omega_{p,\epsilon}$ , where

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{(A_\epsilon)^a}(p_m(t)),$$

$$\int \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) dx = \gamma_{m,\epsilon}$$

and

$$\|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_r = \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_r$$

for any  $r \geq 1$ .

**Remark 1.2.** For the initial vorticity  $\omega_{0\epsilon}$  as in (1.5), we see directly that  $\omega_{0\epsilon,m}$  corresponds to an  $O(\epsilon)$  regularization of the Dirac. We also note that  $\omega_{0\epsilon,p}$  generates a velocity field  $u_{0\epsilon,p}$  which corresponding to an  $O(\|u_{0\epsilon,p}\|_\infty)$  perturbation of the Euler flow. So  $A_\epsilon$  is a natural quantity that is used to measure the competition between the regularization and the perturbation.

Next we consider the initial vorticity  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  of the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon}\right).$$

To ensure that  $\omega_{0,\epsilon} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ , we make an assumption on  $\eta$  that  $\eta(x) \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|x|^{2+\sigma}}$  for some  $\sigma > 0$ . We prove that under such assumptions, the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  of the Euler equation remains concentrated near  $M$  points  $p_m(t)$  for any  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Here we do not require  $\eta$  to have compact support.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Concentration of the vorticity) Let  $\eta \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  be a nonnegative function with

$$\eta(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{2+\sigma}}$$

for some  $\sigma > 0$  and

$$\int \eta(x) dx = 1.$$

Suppose the initial vorticity  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  has the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Let  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  be the solution of the Euler equation with initial vorticity  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$ , then we obtain

(i) Concentration properties. For  $\sigma > 0$  and any  $a < \frac{\sigma}{2(\sigma+2)}$ . Let

$$B(t) := \bigcup_{m=1}^M B_{\epsilon^a}(p_m(t)).$$

Then we have

$$\int_{B(t)^c} |\omega_\epsilon(x, t)| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

More precisely, for  $\sigma > 0$  and  $a < \frac{\sigma}{2(\sigma+2)}$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(a, \sigma, T)$  such that

$$\int_{B(t)^c} |\omega_\epsilon(x, t)| dx \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}} \quad (1.6)$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

(ii) Smallness of the vorticity outside the core. For any  $\gamma_1 < \sigma$  there exist constants  $a, \epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{B(t)^c} |\omega_\epsilon(x, t)| dx \leq \epsilon^{\gamma_1}$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . More precisely, for  $\sigma > 0$  and any  $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+1} < \gamma_1 < \sigma$ , define

$$a_0(\gamma_1, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ 1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{\sigma}, \gamma_1 + \frac{\gamma_1}{\sigma} - 1 \right\}.$$

Then for any  $a < a_0$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(a, \sigma, \gamma_1, T)$  such that

$$\int_{B(t)^c} |\omega_\epsilon(x, t)| dx \leq C\epsilon^{\gamma_1} \quad (1.7)$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Indeed, we can show that  $\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  admits a decomposition

$$\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t) + \omega_{p,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$$

where  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is supported in  $B_{\epsilon^a}(p_m(t))$  and  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}$  satisfies (1.6) or (1.7) respectively.

**Remark 1.5.** We can also consider the initial vorticity of the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta_{m,\epsilon} \left( \frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon} \right),$$

where  $\eta_{m,\epsilon}$  is a nonnegative function satisfies  $\int \eta_{m,\epsilon}(x) dx = 1$  and  $\eta_{m,\epsilon}(x) \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|x|^{2+\sigma}}$ . The same result as Theorem 1.3 holds and the proof is identically the same.

**Remark 1.6.** (Stability of the solution.) With the same method, we can treat the initial vorticity of the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta_{m,\epsilon} \left( \frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon} \right) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x),$$

where  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_q^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} \leq \epsilon^a$$

for some  $q > 2$  and  $a > 0$ . For such initial vorticities, a similar result holds as in Theorem 1.3.

As a corollary, we get

**Corollary 1.7.** (*Concentration of the vorticity for Schwartz functions*) Let  $\eta$  be a nonnegative Schwartz function with

$$\int \eta(x) dx = 1.$$

Assume the initial vorticity  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  has the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Then for any  $a < \frac{1}{2}$  and any  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(a, \gamma, T)$  such that when  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , we have

$$\int_{B(t)^c} |\omega_\epsilon(x, t)| dx \leq \epsilon^\gamma$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

If we assume also that  $|p_m(t) - p_l(t)| \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  when  $m \neq l$ . Then we obtain the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.8.** (*Long time dynamic for Euler flows.*) Assume  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  satisfies the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1. For  $a < \frac{1}{2}$ , let

$$\tau_\epsilon := \sup \left\{ t \in [0, \infty) : \text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_m(t)) \right\}.$$

Then there exist  $c_0 = c_0(a, \delta) > 0$  and  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(c_0)$  such that

$$\tau_\epsilon \geq c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ . Similar results of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.7 hold as well.

**1.3. Strategy of the proof.** We will follow the strategy in [21], in which Marchioro and Pulvirenti considered the Euler equation with a Lipschitz continuous background flow

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\epsilon + (u_\epsilon + F_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla \omega_\epsilon = 0 \\ \omega_\epsilon(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0,\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\nabla \cdot F_\epsilon = 0$ ,  $F_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  is Lipschitz continuous and the Lipschitz norm is independent of time  $t$ . In Section 2, we will show that the presence of the perturbation term  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}$  may lead to an equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\epsilon + (u_\epsilon + F_{1,\epsilon} + F_{2,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \omega_\epsilon = 0 \\ \omega_\epsilon(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0,\epsilon}, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where  $F_{1,\epsilon}$  satisfies the same property as  $F_\epsilon$  and  $F_{2,\epsilon}$  is a divergence free perturbation term related to  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}$ . Next we show that when the initial vorticity  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x)$  is supported in a ball of radius  $\epsilon$ , then the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  of (1.8) remains supported in a small ball near its center of gravity. By a standard bootstrap argument and the prior estimates we made in Section 2, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.8 follow directly as in [5], [13] and [21], and we will give full details for completeness. In Section 3 we consider the initial vorticity of the self-similar form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon}\right).$$

We will show that this kind of initial vorticity admits a decomposition of the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x),$$

which satisfies all the assumptions in Theorem 1.1. So the concentration property of the vorticity follows directly from Theorem 1.1.

**1.4. Outline of the paper.** In Section 2 we consider a single vortex with a background flow and obtain some prior estimates. In Section 3.1 we discuss the stability of the point vortices in Euler flows and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3.2, we consider the Euler equation with self-similar initial data and prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 4 we discuss the long time behavior for the point vortex dynamics and prove Theorem 1.8. In Section 5 we discuss some possible generalizations for the point vortices in a smooth bounded domain.

## 2. CONTROL OF EACH POINT VORTEX.

**2.1. Decomposition of the vorticity.** Instead of considering equation (1.5), we first consider more generally the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_t + u \cdot \nabla \omega = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \\ \omega(\cdot, 0) = \omega_0 = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega(y, t) dy$$

as usual.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T)$  satisfy  $\nabla \cdot F = 0$ . Then we say that  $\omega$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + F \cdot \nabla \omega = 0 \\ \omega(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

in weak sense if for any  $\phi \in C^1([0, T), C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \omega(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx = \int \omega(x, t) (\partial_t \phi + F \cdot \nabla \phi) dx.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** (*Decomposition of the vorticity*) Let  $u$  be the unique Yudovich solution of equation (2.1). Assume  $\omega_{0m} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  for  $m = 1, \dots, M$ . Define

$$\omega_m(X(\alpha, t), t) := \omega_{0m}(\alpha),$$

where  $X(\alpha, t)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX(\alpha, t)}{dt} = u(X(\alpha, t), t) \\ X(\alpha, 0) = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\omega_m(\cdot, t)$  is a rearrangement of  $\omega_{0m}(\cdot)$  and satisfies the following equation in weak sense:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_m + (\sum_{m=1}^M u_m) \cdot \nabla \omega_m = 0 \\ \omega_m(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0m}, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$u_m(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_m(y, t) dy.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a measure preserving diffeomorphism, so we see directly that  $\omega_m(\cdot, t)$  is a rearrangement of  $\omega_{0m}(\cdot)$ . Thus, it remains to prove that  $\omega_m(x, t)$  satisfies equation (2.2) in weak sense. Fix  $\phi \in C^1([0, T), C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ ,

since the map  $x \rightarrow X(\alpha, t)$  is measure preserving, we make the change of variable  $x \rightarrow X(\alpha, t)$  and compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int \omega_m(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \omega_{0m}(\alpha) \phi(X(\alpha, t), t) d\alpha \\ &= \int \omega_{0m}(\alpha) (\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi)(X(\alpha, t), t) d\alpha \\ &= \int \omega_m(x, t) (\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi)(x, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the vorticity transport formula (1.3) and the definition of  $\omega_m(x)$ , we see that the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of equation (2.1) is exactly  $\sum_{m=1}^M \omega_m(x, t)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega(y, t) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \left( \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_m(y, t) \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M u_m(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \omega_m(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx = \int \omega_m(x, t) \left( \partial_t \phi + \left( \sum_{m=1}^M u_m \right) \cdot \nabla \phi \right) (x, t) dx \quad (2.3)$$

which shows that  $\omega_m(x, t)$  satisfies (2.2) in the weak sense.  $\square$

In order to control the velocity field  $u(x, t)$  by its vorticity  $\omega(x, t)$ , we prove the following estimates.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume  $f \in L^1 \cap L^q$  for some  $q > 2$ . Then we get*

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} f(y) dy \right| \lesssim_q \|f\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} \|f\|_q^{\frac{2}{2q-2}}$$

*Proof.* We assume that  $f \neq 0$ , then for any  $R > 0$ , Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} f(y) dy \right| &\lesssim \int \frac{1}{|x-y|} |f(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|} |f(y)| dy + \int_{B_R(x)^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|} |f(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_q \int_{B_R(x)} |x-y|^{-q'} dy^{\frac{1}{q'}} + \frac{\|f\|_1}{R} \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{2-q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} R^{\frac{2-q'}{q'}} \|f\|_q + \frac{\|f\|_1}{R} \\ &\lesssim_q R^{\frac{2-q'}{q'}} \|f\|_q + \frac{\|f\|_1}{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q'$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{q'} = 1 - \frac{1}{q}$ . Choosing  $R = \left( \frac{\|f\|_1}{\|f\|_q} \right)^{\frac{q'}{2}}$ , then we get the desired inequality.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Assume  $\omega_{0m}$  has compact support for some  $m$ , then by Lemma 2.3 and the vorticity transport formula (1.3), we see that the support of  $\omega_m(\cdot, t)$  remains bounded for any time  $t < +\infty$ . So (2.3) holds for any function  $\phi \in C^1([0, +\infty), C^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ .



After the above preliminary work, we now consider the Euler equation (1.5)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\epsilon + u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \omega_\epsilon = 0 \\ \omega_\epsilon(x, 0) = \omega_{0\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x). \end{cases}$$

Apply Lemma 2.2 to  $\omega_0(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x)$ , then  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  admits a decomposition

$$\omega_\epsilon(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) + \omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t),$$

where  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_{m,\epsilon} + \left( \sum_{m=1}^M u_{m,\epsilon} + u_{p,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla \omega_{m,\epsilon} = 0 \\ \omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0m,\epsilon} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, M$  and  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_{p,\epsilon} + \left( \sum_{m=1}^M u_{m,\epsilon} + u_{p,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla \omega_{p,\epsilon} = 0 \\ \omega_{p,\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0p,\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Again by Lemma 2.2,  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is a rearrangement of  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}(\cdot)$ . Therefore,

$$\|\omega_{p,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)\|_r = \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_r$$

for any  $1 \leq r \leq +\infty$ . Finally, we apply Lemma 2.3 to  $f = \omega_{p,\epsilon}$ , and obtain

$$\|u_{p,\epsilon}\|_\infty \lesssim_q \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \lesssim_q A_\epsilon,$$

where

$$A_\epsilon = \max \left\{ \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_q^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}}, \epsilon \right\}$$

is defined in section 1. With the above estimates on  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t)$ , next we aim to show that equation (2.4) can be written in a form like (1.8). We first prove a technical lemma:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  be a positive number. Assume  $\omega(x) \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and  $\text{supp}(\omega) \subset B_{\delta/4}(p)$  for some point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $|x-p| \geq \delta$ , we have*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \|\omega\|_1,$$

where

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega(y) dy.$$

*Proof.* Due to the support of  $\omega$ , we have  $|x-y| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$  when  $|x-p| \geq \delta$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(\omega)$ . Thus,

$$|\nabla u(x)| \lesssim \int \frac{|\omega(y)|}{|x-y|^2} dy = \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(p)} \frac{|\omega(y)|}{|x-y|^2} dy \lesssim \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|\omega\|_1.$$

□

Assume that  $\omega_m(\cdot, t)$  is supported in a small ball centered at the point  $p_m(t)$ , then equation (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_{m,\epsilon} + (u_{m,\epsilon} + F_{1,m,\epsilon} + F_{2,m,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \omega_{m,\epsilon} = 0 \\ \omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0m,\epsilon} \end{cases}$$

where  $F_{2,m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t) = u_{p,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is a small perturbation term and

$$F_{1,m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t) = \sum_{l \neq m} u_{l,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$$

is Lipschitz continuous on the support of  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  by Lemma 2.5. The Lipschitz constant can be controlled independent of the time  $t$  since  $\|\omega_{l,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)\|_r$  is a conserved quantity for any  $l = 1, \dots, M$  and  $r \geq 1$ .

**2.2. A single vortex with a background flow.** Now we consider more generally the following problem: Let  $\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  be a rearrangement of  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\epsilon + (u_\epsilon + F_{1,\epsilon} + F_{2,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \omega_\epsilon = 0 \\ \omega_\epsilon(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0,\epsilon} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

in weak sense. That is, for any smooth function  $\phi(x, t)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \omega_\epsilon(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx = \int \omega_\epsilon(x, t) (\partial_t \phi(x, t) + (u_\epsilon + F_{1,\epsilon} + F_{2,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \phi(x, t)) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

We assume that

- (i)  $|F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t) - F_{1,\epsilon}(y, t)| \leq L|x - y|$  for  $L$  a positive number independent of  $\epsilon$  and  $t$ .
- (ii)  $\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .
- (iii) The vorticity transport formula holds:

$$\omega_\epsilon(X(\alpha, t), t) = \omega_{0,\epsilon}(\alpha),$$

where  $X(\alpha, t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX(\alpha, t)}{dt} = (u_\epsilon + F_{1,\epsilon} + F_{2,\epsilon})(X(\alpha, t), t) \\ X(\alpha, 0) = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

- (iv) For any time  $t$ , the map  $\alpha \rightarrow X(\alpha, t)$  is measure preserving.

Then we have

**Theorem 2.6.** *Assume  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  has compact support and belongs to  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$ . Let  $\omega_\epsilon$ ,  $F_{1,\epsilon}$  and  $F_{2,\epsilon}$  satisfy the condition above. We assume also that  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  does not change sign. Let  $\Omega_\epsilon := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega_{0,\epsilon} dx$  and define*

$$p_\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int x \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

$$I_\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{2\Omega_\epsilon} \int |x - p_\epsilon(t)|^2 \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx.$$

Let  $P(t)$  solve

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = F_{1,\epsilon}(P(t), t).$$

Then we have

$$I_\epsilon(t) \leq 2e^{2Lt} \left[ I_\epsilon(0) + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty^2}{2} \left( \int_0^t e^{-Ls} ds \right)^2 \right] \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |p_\epsilon(t) - P(t)| \leq e^{Lt} & \left[ |p_\epsilon(0) - P(0)| + 2L(\sqrt{I_\epsilon(0)} + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{2L}}) \int_0^t \int_0^r e^{-Ls} ds dr \right. \\ & \left. + \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \int_0^t e^{-Ls} ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* Let  $K(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{z^\perp}{|z|^2}$  be the Biot-Savart kernel, then the antisymmetry of  $K$  implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_\epsilon \omega_\epsilon dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} K(x-y) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) dx dy = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Similarly, since  $z \cdot K(z) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int x \cdot u_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} x \cdot K(x-y) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} (x-y) \cdot K(x-y) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) dx dy = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

By definition of  $p_\epsilon(t)$ , we note that for any vector  $\nu(t)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int \nu(t) \cdot (x - p_\epsilon(t)) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx = \nu(t) \cdot (p_\epsilon(t) - p_\epsilon(t)) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Then due to (2.6), (2.11), (2.9), (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI_\epsilon(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot \left( -\frac{dp_\epsilon(t)}{dt} + u_\epsilon(x,t) + F_{1,\epsilon}(x,t) + F_{2,\epsilon}(x,t) \right) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot (u_\epsilon(x,t) + F_{1,\epsilon}(x,t) + F_{2,\epsilon}(x,t)) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot (F_{1,\epsilon}(x,t) + F_{2,\epsilon}(x,t)) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot (F_{1,\epsilon}(x,t) - F_{1,\epsilon}(p_\epsilon(t),t)) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot F_{2,\epsilon}(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx := A_{1,\epsilon} + A_{2,\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Next by Lipschitz property of  $F_{1,\epsilon}$ , we see that

$$|A_{1,\epsilon}| \leq \frac{L}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int |x - p_\epsilon(t)|^2 \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx = 2LI_\epsilon(t)$$

and as a consequence of Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{2,\epsilon}| &\leq \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \int |x - p_\epsilon(t)| \frac{\omega_\epsilon(x,t)}{\Omega_\epsilon} dx \\ &\leq \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \left( \int |x - p_\epsilon(t)|^2 \frac{\omega_\epsilon(x,t)}{\Omega_\epsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \sqrt{2I_\epsilon(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{dI_\epsilon(t)}{dt} \leq 2LI_\epsilon(t) + \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \sqrt{2I_\epsilon(t)},$$

which implies

$$\frac{d\sqrt{I_\epsilon(t)}}{dt} \leq L\sqrt{I_\epsilon(t)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty$$

and the bound for  $I_\epsilon(t)$  follows directly by Grönwall's inequality. Next we compute  $\frac{d}{dt}|p_\epsilon(t) - P(t)|$ . Due to (2.6) and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp_\epsilon(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (u_\epsilon(x,t) + F_{1,\epsilon}(x,t) + F_{2,\epsilon}(x,t)) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int F_{1,\epsilon}(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx + \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int F_{2,\epsilon}(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(x,t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

So by definition of  $P(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(p_\epsilon(t) - P(t)) &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t) \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx - F_{1,\epsilon}(P(t), t) + \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int F_{2,\epsilon}(x, t) \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx \\ &= F_{1,\epsilon}(p_\epsilon(t), t) - F_{1,\epsilon}(P(t), t) + \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t) - F_{1,\epsilon}(p_\epsilon(t), t)) \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int F_{2,\epsilon}(x, t) \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx \\ &:= T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt}|p_\epsilon(t) - P(t)| \leq \left| \frac{d}{dt}(p_\epsilon(t) - P(t)) \right| \leq |T_1| + |T_2| + |T_3|.$$

For  $T_1$ , the Lipschitz continuity of  $F_{1,\epsilon}$  yields

$$|T_1| \leq L|p_\epsilon(t) - P(t)|.$$

For  $T_2$ , as a consequence of Jensen's inequality and the Lipschitz property of  $F_{1,\epsilon}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2| &\leq L \int |x - p_\epsilon(t)| \frac{\omega_\epsilon(x, t)}{\Omega_\epsilon} dx \\ &\leq L \left( \int |x - p_\epsilon(t)|^2 \frac{\omega_\epsilon(x, t)}{\Omega_\epsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the definition of  $I_\epsilon(t)$ , we get

$$|T_2| \leq \sqrt{2}L\sqrt{I_\epsilon(t)}.$$

Thus, (2.7) implies

$$|T_2| \leq 2Le^{Lt} \left( \sqrt{I_\epsilon(0)} + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \int_0^t e^{-Ls} ds.$$

For  $T_3$ , a direct calculation shows that

$$|T_3| \leq \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty.$$

Combining the above estimates, equation (2.8) follows directly from Grönwall's inequality.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** Recall that the initial vorticity we considered satisfies  $I_\epsilon(0) \leq A_\epsilon^2$  and  $|p_\epsilon(0) - P(0)| \leq A_\epsilon$ . So Theorem 2.6 tells us that the center of gravity of the vorticity remains concentrated near the point  $P(t)$ , where  $P(t)$  can be viewed as an evolution of the point  $P(0)$  under the velocity field  $F_{1,\epsilon}$ .

**Remark 2.8.** The case when  $F_{2,\epsilon} = 0$  has already been considered in [21], so this Theorem shows that the presence of the perturbation term  $F_{2,\epsilon}$  will not change the dynamic of the Euler flow when  $\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty$  is small.

Now we state our main Theorem in this section.

**Theorem 2.9.** Assume  $\omega_{0,\epsilon} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ ,  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  does not change sign,

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon}) \subset B_\epsilon(p(0))$$

for some point  $p(0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\int \omega_{0,\epsilon} dx = \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega \neq 0.$$

and  $\omega_\epsilon$  satisfies all the assumptions in Theorem 2.6. We assume also that  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and there exist some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $p_1 > 2$  such that

$$\|\omega_{0,\epsilon}\|_{p_1} \leq A_\epsilon^{-\gamma},$$

where  $A_\epsilon$  is defined in section 1 and satisfies

$$\max \{ \epsilon, \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \} \leq CA_\epsilon$$

for some  $C > 0$  independent of  $\epsilon$ . Then for any  $T < T^*$  and  $a < \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , we have

$$\text{supp}(\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_\epsilon(t)).$$

**Remark 2.10.** Indeed, we do not need  $\Omega_\epsilon$  converges to  $\Omega$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We will prove the Theorem under the assumption that  $\frac{1}{C} < |\Omega_\epsilon| < C$  for some  $C > 0$ .

Before proving the theorem, we give some technical lemma first. Lemma 2.11, 2.12 and 2.13 are due to Marchioro and Pulvirenti [21]. See also [13] for details.

**Lemma 2.11** ([13], [21]). *Let  $\omega_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a bounded, nonnegative function. Set*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\epsilon &= \int \omega_\epsilon dx, \\ p_\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int x \omega_\epsilon(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$I(\omega_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int |x - p_\epsilon|^2 \omega_\epsilon(x) dx.$$

Then for any  $x \neq p_\epsilon$  and any  $0 < r < |x - p_\epsilon|$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{x - p_\epsilon}{|x - p_\epsilon|} \cdot \int_{B_r(p_\epsilon)} \frac{(x - y)^\perp}{|x - y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{C}{(|x - p_\epsilon| - r)^2} \frac{I(\omega_\epsilon)}{r}.$$

**Lemma 2.12** ([13], [21]). *Assume  $F$  is a Lipschitz continuous function with Lipschitz constant  $L$  and  $\omega_\epsilon$  satisfies the same assumptions in Lemma 2.11. Then for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have*

$$\left| F(x) - \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int F(y) \omega_\epsilon dy \right| \leq CL \left( |x - p_\epsilon| + \sqrt{I(\omega_\epsilon)} \right).$$

**Lemma 2.13** ([13], [21]). *Assume that  $G \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfies*

$$G(x) = 0 \text{ in } B_R(p_\epsilon)$$

for some  $R > 0$  and

$$G(x) \cdot (x - p_\epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} G(x) \cdot \frac{(x - y)^\perp}{|x - y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(x) \omega_\epsilon(y) dx dy \right| \leq C \left( \frac{\|G\|_\infty}{R^2} + \frac{\|\nabla G\|_\infty}{R^2} \right) m(R) I(\omega_\epsilon),$$

where

$$m(R) := \int_{B_R^c(p_\epsilon)} |\omega_\epsilon(y)| dy.$$

Next in order to control the velocity away from  $p_\epsilon(t)$ , we proceed as in [21] and [22]. Define a smooth cut-off function

$$\chi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq R \\ 0 & |x| > 2R \end{cases}$$

which is nonnegative, radially decreasing and satisfies

$$|\nabla \chi_R(x)| \leq \frac{C}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad |D^2 \chi_R(x)| \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then we obtain

**Lemma 2.14.** *Assume  $F_{1,\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $L$ . Define*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t(R) &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int \left(1 - \chi_R(x - p_\epsilon(t))\right) \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx, \\ m_t(r) &= \int_{B_r(p_\epsilon(t))} |\omega_\epsilon(x, t)| dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mu_t(R) \leq C \left( L + \sqrt{I_\epsilon(t)} + \frac{I_\epsilon(t)}{R^4} + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty}{R} \right) m_t(R). \quad (2.12)$$

*Proof.* We will abbreviate  $\chi_R$  as  $\chi$  for simplicity. Then due to (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \mu_t(R) &= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int \nabla \chi(x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot \left( \frac{d}{dt} p_\epsilon(t) - u_\epsilon(x, t) - F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t) - F_{2,\epsilon}(x, t) \right) dx \\ &:= T_1 + T_2 + T_3,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}T_1 &:= \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int \nabla \chi(x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot \left( \int F_{1,\epsilon}(y, t) \frac{\omega_\epsilon(y, t)}{\Omega_\epsilon} dy - F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t) \right) dx, \\ T_2 &:= -\frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int \nabla \chi(x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot \frac{(x - y)^\perp}{2\pi|x - y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(x, t) \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dx dy\end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_3 := -\frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int \nabla \chi(x - p_\epsilon(t)) \cdot F_{2,\epsilon}(x, t) \omega_\epsilon(x, t) dx.$$

Note that  $\nabla \chi(x - p_\epsilon(t))$  is supported in the annulus

$$\Lambda_t := \{x : R \leq |x - p_\epsilon(t)| \leq 2R\}$$

and recall that

$$|\nabla \chi| \leq \frac{C}{R},$$

so

$$|T_3| \leq \frac{C}{R} \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \int_{B_R(p_\epsilon)^c} |\omega_\epsilon| dx \leq \frac{C}{R} \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty m_t(R)$$

and we obtain

$$|T_1| \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_{\Lambda_t} \left| F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t) - \int F_{1,\epsilon}(y, t) \frac{\omega_\epsilon(y, t)}{\Omega_\epsilon} dy \right| dx.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.12

$$|T_1| \leq \frac{C}{R} \left( RL + \sqrt{I_\epsilon(t)} \right) m_t(R).$$

Finally, due to Lemma 2.13 we get

$$|T_2| \leq \frac{C}{R^4} m_t(R) I_\epsilon(t).$$

Combining the above estimates we get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

With the help of above lemmas, now we prove our main Theorem of this section.

*Proof.* Proof of Theorem 2.9.

*Step 1:* (Control of the vorticity away from  $p_\epsilon(t)$ .) Fix  $T > 0$  and we see clearly from the definition of  $\mu_t$  and  $m_t$  that

$$\mu_t(R) \leq m_t(R) \leq \mu_t(R/2)$$

for any  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $R > 0$ . Therefore, by (2.7), (2.12) and the assumptions on initial vorticity, we get

$$\mu_t(R) \leq \mu_0(R) + C_R \int_0^t \mu_{t_1}(R/2) dt_1,$$

where

$$C_R = C \left( L + \sqrt{I_\epsilon(t)} + \frac{I_\epsilon(t)}{R^4} + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty}{R} \right) \leq C \left( 1 + A_\epsilon + \frac{A_\epsilon^2}{R^4} + \frac{A_\epsilon}{R} \right).$$

Note that  $\mu_0(R) = 0$  when  $R \geq \sqrt{A_\epsilon}$ , so for all  $R \geq \sqrt{A_\epsilon}$  we have

$$\mu_t(R) \leq \mu_0(R) + C \int_0^t \mu_{t_1}(R/2) dt_1 = C \int_0^t \mu_{t_1}(R/2) dt_1.$$

Iterating  $k$  times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(2^k \sqrt{A_\epsilon}) &\leq C \int_0^t \mu_{t_1}(2^{k-1} R) dt_1 \\ &\leq C^k \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \mu_{t_k}(\sqrt{A_\epsilon}) dt_k \cdots dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  is a rearrangement of  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}(\cdot)$ , we get

$$\mu_t(R) \leq \|\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)\|_1 = \|\omega_{0,\epsilon}\|_1 = |\Omega_\epsilon|$$

for any  $R > 0$ . Then as a consequence of Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(2^k \sqrt{A_\epsilon}) &\leq C^k \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \mu_{t_k}(\sqrt{A_\epsilon}) dt_k \cdots dt_1 \\ &\leq |\Omega_\epsilon| \frac{C^k t^k}{k!} \leq |\Omega_\epsilon| \frac{C^k T^k e^k}{k^{k+1/2}} \leq \frac{C^k}{k^{k+1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix  $\beta \in (a, \frac{1}{2})$  and choose  $k = k(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\frac{A_\epsilon^\beta}{2} \leq 2^k \sqrt{A_\epsilon} < A_\epsilon^\beta.$$

More precisely, we take

$$k = \left\lfloor \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \beta) |\log A_\epsilon|}{\log 2} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the smallest integer greater than  $x$ . With such a choice of  $k$ , we then have

$$\mu_t(A_\epsilon^\beta) \leq \mu_t(2^k \sqrt{A_\epsilon}) \leq \frac{C^{|\log A_\epsilon|}}{(\tilde{C}^{|\log A_\epsilon|})^{\tilde{C}^{|\log A_\epsilon|}}},$$

where

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \beta}{2 \log 2} > 0$$

since  $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ . A direct calculation shows that when  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(C, \tilde{C}, \gamma_1)$  is chosen small enough, then for any  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  we have

$$\frac{C^{|\log \epsilon|}}{(\tilde{C}^{|\log \epsilon|})^{\tilde{C}^{|\log \epsilon|}}} \leq \epsilon^{\gamma_1}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mu_t(A_\epsilon^\beta) \leq A_\epsilon^{\gamma_1} \tag{2.13}$$

for all  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0(T, \beta, a, \gamma_1)$  small enough since  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Step 2:* (Control of the particle trajectory map  $X(\alpha, t)$ .) Now we fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\alpha \in \text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon}) \subset B_\epsilon(p(0)).$$

As a result,

$$|p(0) - p_\epsilon(0)| = \left| \frac{1}{\Omega_\epsilon} \int (x - p(0)) \omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) dx \right| \leq \epsilon$$

and hence

$$\alpha \in \text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon}) \subset B_{2\epsilon}(p_\epsilon(0)).$$

Let  $X(t) := X(\alpha, t)$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = (u_\epsilon + F_{1,\epsilon} + F_{2,\epsilon})(X(t), t) \\ X(\alpha, 0) = \alpha, \end{cases}$$

where

$$u_\epsilon(x, t) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy.$$

Define

$$R(t) := \max \left\{ |X(\alpha, t) - p_\epsilon(t)|, 8A_\epsilon^\beta \right\}.$$

We claim

$$\frac{dR}{dt} \leq CR(t)$$

for almost every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Since  $R(t) \in W^{1,\infty}([0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ , standard measure theory tells us

$$\frac{d}{dt} R = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on each level set of } R(t).$$

In particular  $\frac{dR}{dt} = 0$  on the level set  $R(t) = 8A_\epsilon^\beta$ . In order to get an upper bound of  $R(t)$ , it suffice to consider the time  $t \in [0, T]$  when  $R(t) > 8A_\epsilon^\beta \gg A_\epsilon$ . In such cases we have

$$R(t) = |X(\alpha, t) - p_\epsilon(t)|.$$

Direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dR}{dt} &= \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot \left( u_\epsilon(X(t), t) + F_{1,\epsilon}(X(t), t) + F_{2,\epsilon}(X(t), t) - \int (F_{1,\epsilon}(y, t) + F_{2,\epsilon}(y, t)) \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy \right) \\ &:= T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot u_\epsilon(X(t), t), \\ T_2 &= \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot \left( F_{1,\epsilon}(X(t), t) - \int F_{1,\epsilon}(y, t) \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy \right), \\ T_3 &= \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot F_{2,\epsilon}(X(t), t), \\ T_4 &= \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot \int F_{2,\epsilon}(y, t) \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}(p_\epsilon(t))} \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}^c(p_\epsilon(t))} \frac{X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)}{|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)|} \cdot \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_\epsilon(y, t) dy \\ &:= T_{11} + T_{12}. \end{aligned}$$



Recall that  $R(t) = |X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)| \geq 8A_\epsilon^\beta$  and  $I_\epsilon(t) \leq CA_\epsilon^2$ , so we obtain from Lemma 2.11 that

$$|T_{11}| \leq \frac{C}{R(t)^3} I_\epsilon(t) \leq CA_\epsilon^{2-3\beta} \leq A_\epsilon^\beta$$

since  $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Next we consider  $T_{12}$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{12}| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|} \omega_\epsilon(y, t) \mathbf{1}_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}^c(p_\epsilon(t))} \right| dy \\ &\leq C \|\omega_\epsilon(y, t) \mathbf{1}_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}^c(p_\epsilon(t))}\|_1^{\frac{p_1-2}{2p_1-2}} \|\omega_\epsilon(y, t) \mathbf{1}_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}^c(p_\epsilon(t))}\|_{p_1}^{\frac{p_1}{2p_1-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$  is the characteristic function on  $\Omega$  and  $p_1 > 2$  is the number we defined in Theorem 2.9. Therefore, by definition of  $p_1$ , we have

$$\|\omega_\epsilon(y, t) \mathbf{1}_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}^c(p_\epsilon(t))}\|_{p_1} \leq \|\omega_\epsilon\|_{p_1} = \|\omega_{0,\epsilon}\|_{p_1} \leq A_\epsilon^{-\gamma}.$$

Then by (2.13), it follows that

$$\|\omega_\epsilon(y, t) \mathbf{1}_{B_{\frac{R(t)}{2}}^c(p_\epsilon(t))}\|_1 = m_t \left( \frac{R(t)}{2} \right) \leq m_t(4A_\epsilon^\beta) \leq \mu_t(A_\epsilon^\beta) \leq A_\epsilon^{\gamma_1}.$$

As a result, if we choose  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(p_1, \beta, \gamma)$  large enough, then

$$|T_{12}| \leq A_\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma_1(p_1-2)-\gamma p_1}{2p_1-2}} \leq A_\epsilon^\beta \leq R(t).$$

For  $T_2$ , since  $R(t) > 8A_\epsilon^\beta \gg A_\epsilon$ , Lemma 2.12 yields

$$|T_2| \leq C(|X(t) - p_\epsilon(t)| + A_\epsilon) \leq CR(t).$$

For  $T_3, T_4$ , the fact  $\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \leq A_\epsilon$  implies

$$|T_3| + |T_4| \leq CA_\epsilon \leq R(t),$$

which shows  $\frac{dR}{dt} \leq CR(t)$ . Together with the fact that  $R(0) = 8A_\epsilon^\beta$ , Grönwall's inequality gives

$$R(t) \leq CR(0) \leq CA_\epsilon^\beta.$$

Now recall that  $a < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ , so for all  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , choosing  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(a, \beta)$  small enough, then we have

$$R(t) \leq A_\epsilon^a.$$

*Step 3:* ( Final arguments.) Note that  $\omega_\epsilon(X(\alpha, t), t) = \omega_{0,\epsilon}(\alpha)$  by vorticity transport formula, so

$$\text{supp}(\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)) = \{X(\alpha, t) : \alpha \in \text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon})\}.$$

Then by Step 2, we see that

$$|X(\alpha, t) - p_\epsilon(t)| \leq A_\epsilon^a$$

for all  $\alpha \in \text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon})$ . As a result,

$$\text{supp}(\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_\epsilon(t)),$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.15.** Indeed, we do not need  $F_{1,\epsilon}$  to be Lipschitz continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Theorem 2.9 remains valid if we only assume  $F_{1,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  to be Lipschitz continuous in some convex neighborhood that contains  $P(t), p_\epsilon(t)$  and  $\text{supp}(\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t))$ .

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Together with the prior estimates we obtained in Section 2, now we prove Theorem 1.1 by a bootstrap argument.

*Proof.* Proof of Theorem 1.1 . From Lemma 2.2, the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  of equation (1.5) admits a decomposition

$$\omega_\epsilon = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon} + \omega_{p,\epsilon},$$

where  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is a rearrangement of  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon}(\cdot)$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_{m,\epsilon} + (u_{m,\epsilon} + F_{1,m,\epsilon} + F_{2,m,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \omega_{m,\epsilon} = 0 \\ \omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0m,\epsilon} \end{cases}$$

in weak sense with  $F_{1,m,\epsilon} = \sum_{l \neq m} u_{l,\epsilon}$  and  $F_{2,m,\epsilon} = u_{p,\epsilon}$ . Now in order to apply Theorem 2.9 to  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}$ , we need to check that

- (i)  $F_{1,m,\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz continuous and the Lipschitz constant is independent of  $\epsilon$  and the time  $t$ .
- (ii)  $\|F_{2,m,\epsilon}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .
- (iii) The vorticity transport formula holds:

$$\omega_{m,\epsilon}(X(\alpha, t), t) = \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(\alpha),$$

where  $X(\alpha, t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX(\alpha, t)}{dt} = (u_{m,\epsilon} + F_{1,m,\epsilon} + F_{2,m,\epsilon})(X(\alpha, t), t) \\ X(\alpha, 0) = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

- (iv) For any time  $t$ , the map  $\alpha \rightarrow X(\alpha, t)$  is measure preserving.
- (v)  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and does not change sign.
- (vi)  $\frac{1}{C} < \int |\omega_{0m,\epsilon}| dx < C$  for some  $C > 0$ .
- (vii) There exist some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $p_1 > 2$  such that  $\|\omega_{0m,\epsilon}\|_{p_1} \leq A_\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ .
- (viii)  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $A_\epsilon \geq \max\{\epsilon, \|F_{2,m,\epsilon}\|_\infty\}$ .

Condition (v),(vi),(vii) follows directly from our assumptions of  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon}$  in Theorem 1.1. Condition (ii),(iii),(iv),(vii),(viii) followed by Lemma 2.2 and our assumptions on  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}$  in Theorem 1.1. Thus it remains to verify condition (i). Define

$$\delta := \min\{|p_m(t) - p_l(t)| : 0 \leq t \leq T, m \neq l\} > 0,$$

$$p_{m,\epsilon}(t) := \frac{1}{\gamma_{m,\epsilon}} \int x \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) dx,$$

$$I_{m,\epsilon}(t) := \frac{1}{2\gamma_{m,\epsilon}} \int |x - p_{m,\epsilon}(t)|^2 \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) dx$$

and let  $P_{m,\epsilon}(t)$  be the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} P_{m,\epsilon} = F_{1m,\epsilon}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t), t) \\ P_{m,\epsilon}(0) = p_m(0). \end{cases}$$

Set

$$T_\epsilon := \sup \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, s)) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{16}}(p_m(s)), \right. \\ \left. |P_{m,\epsilon}(s) - p_m(s)| + |p_{m,\epsilon}(s) - p_m(s)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16} \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, M \text{ and } 0 \leq s \leq t \right\}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.5 we see that

$$|\nabla F_{1,\epsilon}(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \sum_{l \neq m} \|\omega_{0m,\epsilon}\|_1 \leq C.$$

for all  $t \leq T_\epsilon$  and  $x \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(p_m(t))$ . Next from the definition of  $T_\epsilon$ , we find that  $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(p_m(t))$  contains  $p_{m,\epsilon}(t)$ ,  $P_{m,\epsilon}(t)$  and  $\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t))$  for all  $t \leq T_\epsilon$ . Thus by Remark 2.15, Theorem 2.9 can be applied to  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}$  on the time interval  $[0, T_\epsilon]$ . First, it follows from Theorem 2.6 that

$$I_{m,\epsilon}(t) \leq CA_\epsilon^2$$

and

$$|p_{m,\epsilon}(t) - P_{m,\epsilon}(t)| \leq CA_\epsilon.$$

Then Theorem 2.9 guarantees that for some  $a < b < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^b}(p_{m,\epsilon}(t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^b + CA_\epsilon}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t)) \subset B_{2A_\epsilon^b}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t))$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  once we choose  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(b)$  small enough. Define

$$G(t) = \sum_{m=1}^M |P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)|,$$

we claim that

$$\frac{d}{dt}G \leq C(G(t) + A_\epsilon^b). \quad (3.1)$$

Once the claim is proved, then Grönwall's inequality gives

$$|P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)| \leq G(t) \leq CA_\epsilon^b,$$

which implies

$$|p_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)| \leq |P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_{m,\epsilon}(t)| + |P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)| \leq CA_\epsilon + CA_\epsilon^b.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{CA_\epsilon^b}(p_m(t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_m(t)) \quad (3.2)$$

for  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  if we choose  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(a, b)$  small enough. As a result, for all  $t \in [0, T_\epsilon]$ ,  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  and  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , we have

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{32}}(p_m(t))$$

and

$$|P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)| + |p_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)| \leq \frac{\delta}{32},$$

which contradicts the definition of  $T_\epsilon$  if  $T_\epsilon < T$ . So  $T_\epsilon = T$  and (3.2) holds for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore, it suffice to prove (3.1). In fact, let  $K(z) = \frac{z^\perp}{2\pi|z|^2}$  be the

Biot-Savart kernel, since  $p_m(t)$  satisfies the Helmholtz equation (1.4), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}G &\leq \sum_{m=1}^M \left| \frac{d}{dt}(P_{m,\epsilon} - p_m) \right| \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left| F_{1m,\epsilon}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t), t) - \frac{d}{dt}p_m(t) \right| \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left| F_{1m,\epsilon}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t), t) - \sum_{l \neq m} \gamma_l K(p_m(t) - p_l(t)) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^M \left| F_{1m,\epsilon}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t), t) - F_{1m,\epsilon}(p_m(t), t) \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^M \left| F_{1m,\epsilon}(p_m(t), t) - \sum_{l \neq m} \gamma_{l,\epsilon} K(p_m(t) - p_l(t)) \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^M \left| \sum_{l \neq m} \gamma_{l,\epsilon} K(p_m(t) - p_l(t)) - \sum_{l \neq m} \gamma_l K(p_m(t) - p_l(t)) \right| \\
&:= T_1 + T_2 + T_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$P_{m,\epsilon}(t) \subset B_{CA_\epsilon}(p_{m,\epsilon}(t)) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{5}}(p_m(t))$$

and  $|\nabla F_{1m,\epsilon}| \leq C$  in such region, so it follows that  $|T_1| \leq CG(t)$ . Then by definition of  $F_{1m,\epsilon}$ , we get

$$F_{1m,\epsilon} = \sum_{l \neq m} u_{l,\epsilon} = \sum_{l \neq m} \int \gamma_{l,\epsilon} K(x-y) \frac{\omega_{l,\epsilon}(y,t)}{\gamma_{l,\epsilon}} dy.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_2| &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{l \neq m} \int \left| K(p_m(t) - y) - K(p_m(t) - p_l(t)) \right| \frac{\omega_{l,\epsilon}(y,t)}{\gamma_{l,\epsilon}} dy \\
&= C \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{l \neq m} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(p_l(t))} \left| K(p_m(t) - y) - K(p_m(t) - p_l(t)) \right| \frac{\omega_{l,\epsilon}(y,t)}{\gamma_{l,\epsilon}} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $|p_m(t) - p_l(t)| \geq \delta$  when  $m \neq l$  and  $|\nabla K(z)| \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2}$  when  $|z| \geq \frac{\delta}{16}$ , by mean value Theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_2| &\leq C \sum_{l=1}^M \int \left| (y - p_l(t)) \omega_{l,\epsilon}(y,t) \right| dy \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^M \int \left| (y - P_{l,\epsilon}(t)) \omega_{l,\epsilon}(y,t) \right| dy + \sum_{l=1}^M \int \left| (P_{l,\epsilon}(t) - p_l(t)) \omega_{l,\epsilon}(y,t) \right| dy \\
&:= T_{21} + T_{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $T_{22}$ , it is obvious that  $T_{22} \leq CG(t)$ . For  $T_{21}$ , the fact

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{l,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{2A_\epsilon^b}(P_{l,\epsilon}(t))$$

implies

$$T_{21} \leq CA_\epsilon^b$$

and hence

$$|T_2| \leq C(G(t) + A_\epsilon^b).$$

Finally, as a consequence of the assumptions  $|\gamma_{m,\epsilon} - \gamma_m| \leq CA_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and recall that  $|p_m(t) - p_l(t)| \geq \delta$  when  $m \neq l$ , we get

$$T_3 \leq CA_\epsilon^b$$

since  $b < \frac{1}{2}$ . Combining the above estimates we obtain

$$\frac{dG}{dt} \leq C(G(t) + A_\epsilon^b)$$

and the proof of the Theorem is complete.  $\square$

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Now we consider the initial vorticity which has the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\gamma_m}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_m^0}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where  $\eta$  is a nonnegative function satisfies

$$\int \eta(x) dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |y|^{2+\sigma}} \quad \text{for } \sigma > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

We will show that as a consequence of Theorem 1.1, the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  remains concentrated near  $M$  points  $p_1(t), \dots, p_M(t)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *(Decomposition of the initial vorticity.)* Let  $p_0$  be a point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\omega_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - p_0}{\epsilon}\right)$ . Then  $\omega_\epsilon$  admits a decomposition

$$\omega_\epsilon(x) = \omega_{1,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{p,\epsilon}(x),$$

where

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{1,\epsilon}) \subset B_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+2}}(p_0), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\left|1 - \int \omega_{1,\epsilon}(x) dx\right| \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}} \quad (3.5)$$

and for any  $q > 2$ , we have

$$\|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_q^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} \lesssim_q \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}. \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* We may assume with lose of generality that  $p_0 = (0, 0)$ , otherwise we replace  $\eta(x)$  by  $\tilde{\eta}(x) := \eta(x - p_0)$ . For any  $0 < \beta < 1$ , we can decompose  $\omega_\epsilon$  as

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \chi_{|x| \leq \epsilon^{1-\beta}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \chi_{|x| > \epsilon^{1-\beta}} := \omega_{1,\epsilon} + \omega_{p,\epsilon}.$$

Define

$$\gamma_\epsilon = \int \omega_{1,\epsilon}(x) dx.$$

Then from (3.3) we have

$$\gamma_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{|x| \leq \epsilon^{1-\beta}} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = 1 - \int_{|y| > \epsilon^{-\beta}} \eta(y) dy.$$

Since  $|\eta(y)| \lesssim |y|^{-2-\sigma}$  for  $|y|$  large, we obtain

$$\int_{|y| > \epsilon^{-\beta}} \eta(y) dy \leq C\epsilon^{\beta\sigma}$$

and

$$|\gamma_\epsilon - 1| \leq C\epsilon^{\beta\sigma}. \quad (3.7)$$

For  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}$ , we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_q &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{|x| > \epsilon^{1-\beta}} \eta^q\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \epsilon^{-2+\frac{2}{q}} \int_{|y| > \epsilon^{-\beta}} \eta^q(y) dy^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{\beta\sigma} \epsilon^{(1-\beta)(-2+\frac{2}{q})}\end{aligned}$$

since  $|\eta(y)| \lesssim |y|^{-2-\sigma}$  and  $q > 2$ .

Thus,

$$\|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_q^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{\beta\sigma(q-2)}{2q-2}} \epsilon^{\frac{q\beta\sigma+(1-\beta)(-2q+2)}{2q-2}} = \epsilon^{\beta\sigma+\beta-1}.$$

To ensure that this quantity is small, we need an assumption that

$$\beta\sigma + \beta - 1 > 0,$$

which means

$$1 > \beta > \frac{1}{\sigma+1} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} > 1 - \beta > 0.$$

Then we define

$$A_\epsilon := \max\{\epsilon^{1-\beta}, \epsilon^{\beta\sigma+\beta-1}\}. \quad (3.8)$$

To minimize the quantity  $A_\epsilon$ , we choose  $\beta = \beta(\sigma) = \frac{2}{\sigma+2}$  which satisfies  $1 > \beta > \frac{1}{\sigma+1}$ . With such choice of  $\beta$ , we get  $A_\epsilon = \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}$  and equation (3.6) follows directly. Meanwhile,

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{1,\epsilon}) \subset B_{\epsilon^{1-\beta}} = B_{\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+2}}},$$

which is exactly (3.4). Inequality (3.5) follows directly from (3.7) and our choice of  $\beta(\sigma)$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i). According to Proposition 3.1,  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  admits a decomposition:

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x),$$

where  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon}$  does not change sign,

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{0m,\epsilon}) \subset B_{\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+2}}}(p_m^0)$$

and

$$|\gamma_m - \int \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) dx| \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}.$$

Moreover,  $\omega_{0p,\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_q^{\frac{q}{2q-2}} \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}$$

for  $q = 4$  and

$$\|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_1 \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}.$$

Set  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+2}}$  and define

$$W_{0,\tilde{\epsilon}}(x) := \sum_{m=1}^M W_{0m,\tilde{\epsilon}}(x) + W_{0p,\tilde{\epsilon}}(x),$$

where

$$W_{0m,\tilde{\epsilon}}(x) := \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad W_{0p,\tilde{\epsilon}}(x) := \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x).$$

Let  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \gamma_{m, \tilde{\epsilon}}$  be the quantity that we defined in section 1 with  $\epsilon$  replaced by  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ , then we get  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \leq CA_{\epsilon}$ , where  $A_{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+2}}$  is defined in (3.8). Since  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$|\gamma_m - \gamma_{m, \tilde{\epsilon}}| \leq CA_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \leq CA_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A directly calculation shows that  $\|W_{0m, \tilde{\epsilon}}\|_{\infty} \lesssim \epsilon^{-r_1}$  and  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \approx \epsilon^{r_2}$  for some  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ . So there exists  $\gamma_1 > 0$  such that  $\|W_{0m, \tilde{\epsilon}}\|_{\infty} \leq A_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-\gamma_1}$ . Thus,  $W_{0, \tilde{\epsilon}}$  satisfies all the assumptions we made in Theorem 1.1. Therefore, for any  $a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\omega_{m, \epsilon}(\cdot, t) = W_{m, \tilde{\epsilon}}(\cdot, t)$  is supported in  $B_{(A_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^{a_1}}(p_m(t)) = B_{\frac{a_1 \sigma}{\epsilon^{\sigma+2}}}(p_m(t))$  when  $\tilde{\epsilon} \leq \tilde{\epsilon}_0(\sigma, a_1, T)$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Moreover,

$$\|\omega_{p, \epsilon}(\cdot, t)\|_1 = \|W_{p, \tilde{\epsilon}}(\cdot, t)\|_1 = \|W_{0p, \tilde{\epsilon}}\|_1 \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}.$$

Now for any  $a < \frac{\sigma}{2(\sigma+2)}$ , we take  $a_1 = \frac{a(\sigma+2)}{\sigma} < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\epsilon_0 = \tilde{\epsilon}_0^{\frac{\sigma+2}{\sigma}}$ . Then for all  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , the solution  $\omega_{\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  admits a decomposition

$$\omega_{\epsilon}(\cdot, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m, \epsilon}(\cdot, t) + \omega_{p, \epsilon}(\cdot, t),$$

where  $\sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m, \epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is supported in

$$B(t) := \bigcup_{m=1}^M B_{\epsilon^a}(p_m(t))$$

and

$$\|\omega_{p, \epsilon}(\cdot, t)\|_1 \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}.$$

As a result,

$$\int_{B(t)^c} |\omega_{\epsilon}(x, t)| dx \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma+2}}$$

and the proof completes.  $\square$

*Proof.* Proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii). By the similar argument in Proposition 3.1, we have a decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \chi_{|x| \leq \epsilon^{1-\beta}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \chi_{|x| > \epsilon^{1-\beta}} \\ &:= \omega_{1, \epsilon} + \omega_{p, \epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|\omega_{p, \epsilon}\|_1 \lesssim \epsilon^{\beta\sigma}.$$

For any  $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+1} < \gamma_1 < \sigma$ , we take  $\beta = \beta(\sigma) := \frac{\gamma_1}{\sigma}$ , then we have

$$\|\omega_{p, \epsilon}\|_1 \lesssim \epsilon^{\gamma_1}.$$

We also note that  $\omega_{1, \epsilon}$  is supported in a ball of radius  $A_{\epsilon}$ , where

$$A_{\epsilon} := \max\{\epsilon^{1-\beta}, \epsilon^{\beta\sigma+\beta-1}\} = \epsilon^{2a_0}$$

and

$$a_0 = a_0(\gamma_1, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \min\left\{1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{\sigma}, \gamma_1 + \frac{\gamma_1}{\sigma} - 1\right\}$$

is defined in Theorem 1.3. Finally, by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (i), we get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

#### 4. LONG TIME DYNAMIC FOR POINT VORTICES IN EULER FLOWS

We will assume throughout this section that  $|p_m(t) - p_l(t)| \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  when  $m \neq l$ .

**4.1. A single vortex with a background flow.** We first prove a similar result to Theorem 2.9.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume  $\omega_{0,\epsilon} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ ,  $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$  does not change sign,*

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon}) \subset B_\epsilon(p(0))$$

*for some point  $p(0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\omega_\epsilon$  satisfies (2.5). Let the total vorticity  $\Omega_\epsilon$  be*

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \int \omega_{0,\epsilon} dx.$$

*We also assume that  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and there exist some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $p_1 > 2$  such that*

$$\|\omega_{0,\epsilon}\|_{p_1} \leq A_\epsilon^{-\gamma}$$

*with*

$$\max\{\epsilon, \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty\} \leq A_\epsilon.$$

*Then for any  $a < \frac{1}{2}$ , there exist  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and  $c_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  and  $t \leq c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$ , we have*

$$\text{supp}(\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_\epsilon(t)).$$

*Proof.* With the same definition as in Section 2, we see from Theorem 2.6 that

$$I_\epsilon(t) \leq 2e^{2Lt} \left( I_\epsilon(0) + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty^2}{2} \int_0^t e^{-Ls} ds^2 \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |p_\epsilon(t) - p(t)| &\leq e^{Lt} \left( |p_\epsilon(0) - p(0)| + 2L(\sqrt{I_\epsilon(0)} + \frac{\|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{2}L}) \int_0^t \int_0^r e^{-Ls} ds dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|F_{2,\epsilon}\|_\infty \int_0^t e^{-Ls} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

So for arbitrary  $\sigma > 0$ , there exists  $c_0 = c_0(\sigma)$  small enough, such that for all  $t \leq c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$  we have

$$I_\epsilon(t) \leq A_\epsilon^{2-2\sigma}$$

and

$$|p_\epsilon(t) - p(t)| \leq A_\epsilon^{1-\sigma}.$$

Thus, Lemma 2.14 yields

$$\mu_t(R) \leq \mu_0(R) + C \int_0^t \mu_{t_1}(R/2) dt_1 = C \int_0^t \mu_{t_1}(R/2) dt_1$$

for any  $R \geq A_\epsilon^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}$ . Iterating  $k$  times and applying Stirling's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(2^k A_\epsilon^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}) &\leq C^k \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \mu_{t_k}(A_\epsilon^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}) dt_k \cdots dt_1 \\ &\leq |\Omega_\epsilon| \frac{C^k t^k}{k!} \leq \frac{|c_0 C \log A_\epsilon|^k}{k^{k+1/2}} \leq \frac{|c_0 \log A_\epsilon|^k}{k^{k+1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now fix  $\beta \in (a, \frac{1}{2})$ , assume  $\sigma$  is small enough such that  $a < \beta(1-\sigma)$ . Then we can choose  $k = k(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\frac{A_\epsilon^{\beta(1-\sigma)}}{2} \leq 2^k A_\epsilon^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} \leq A_\epsilon^{\beta(1-\sigma)}.$$

More precisely, we take

$$k = \left\lfloor \frac{(1-\sigma)(\frac{1}{2} - \beta) |\log A_\epsilon|}{\log 2} \right\rfloor - 1.$$



We claim that for any  $\gamma_1 > 0$ , there exists  $c_0 \leq c_0(\gamma_1)$  small enough such that for all  $t \leq c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$ ,

$$\mu_t(A_\epsilon^{\beta(1-\sigma)}) \leq \mu_t(2^k A_\epsilon^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}) \leq A_\epsilon^{\gamma_1}.$$

Indeed, since

$$\mu_t(2^k A_\epsilon^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}) \leq \frac{|c_0 \log A_\epsilon|^k}{k^{k+1/2}} \leq \left| \frac{c_0 \log A_\epsilon}{C \log A_\epsilon} \right|^k \leq c_0^{C |\log A_\epsilon|},$$

it suffice to show that

$$C |\log A_\epsilon| \log c_0 \leq \gamma_1 \log A_\epsilon,$$

which is equivalent to

$$C \log c_0 \leq -\gamma_1$$

since  $\log A_\epsilon < 0$ . So the claim is true for  $c_0 \leq e^{-\frac{\gamma_1}{C}}$ . Finally, for arbitrary  $\alpha \in \text{supp}(\omega_{0,\epsilon})$ , define

$$R(t) := \max\{|X(\alpha, t) - p_\epsilon(t)|, 8A_\epsilon^{\beta(1-\sigma)}\}.$$

As in section 3, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} R \leq CR(t),$$

which implies

$$R(t) \leq 8A_\epsilon^{\beta(1-\sigma)} A_\epsilon^{-C c_0}$$

for all  $t \leq c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$ . Recall that  $a < \beta(1-\sigma)$ , so we can choose  $c_0 = c_0(\beta, \sigma, a)$  small enough such that  $R(t) \leq A_\epsilon^a$ , which implies

$$\text{supp}(\omega_\epsilon(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_\epsilon(t))$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.8.

*Proof.* For any  $a < \frac{1}{2}$  we choose  $b, \sigma$  such that  $a < b < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $a < (1-\sigma)b$ . Then as in section 3, let

$$T_\epsilon := \sup \left\{ t \in [0, +\infty) : \text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, s)) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{16}}(p_m(s)), \right. \\ \left. |P_{m,\epsilon}(s) - p_m(s)| + |p_{m,\epsilon}(s) - p_m(s)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16} \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, M \text{ and } 0 \leq s \leq t \right\}.$$

We assume that  $T_\epsilon < c_0(b) |\log A_\epsilon|$ , where  $c_0(b)$  is the constant we defined in Theorem 4.1. Then for any  $t \leq T_\epsilon$ , we have

$$|p_{m,\epsilon}(t) - P_{m,\epsilon}(t)| \leq CA_\epsilon^{1-\sigma}.$$

Thus,

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^b + CA_\epsilon^{1-\sigma}}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t)) \subset B_{2A_\epsilon^b}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t)).$$

Let

$$G(t) = \sum_{m=1}^M |P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)|,$$

then compute as in section 3 gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} G \leq C(G(t) + A_\epsilon^b),$$

which implies

$$G(t) \leq e^{Ct}(G(0) + tA_\epsilon^b)$$

by Grönwall's inequality. So for all  $t < c_0 |\log A_\epsilon|$ , we can take  $c_0 = c_0(b, C)$  small enough and  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0(c_0)$  small such that

$$G(t) \leq A_\epsilon^{b(1-\sigma)}.$$

As a result,

$$|P_{m,\epsilon}(t) - p_m(t)| \leq A_\epsilon^{b(1-\sigma)}.$$

Together with the assumption  $a < b(1 - \sigma)$  and the fact

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{2A_\epsilon^b}(P_{m,\epsilon}(t)),$$

we finally get

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{A_\epsilon^a}(p_m(t))$$

when  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  small enough. Then arguing as in section 3, the proof of Theorem 1.8 is complete.  $\square$

## 5. GENERALIZATION TO BOUNDED DOMAINS.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open, bounded and simply connected region with smooth boundary. We will consider in this section the Euler equation in  $\Omega$ .

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\epsilon + (\nabla^\perp(\Delta)^{-1} \omega_\epsilon) \cdot \omega_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty) \\ \omega_\epsilon(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0,\epsilon} & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} f(x) := \int_\Omega G(x, y) f(y) dy$$

and

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} + H(x, y)$$

is the Green's function on  $\Omega$ . We are interested in the initial vorticity of the form

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) \approx \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m \delta_{p_m^0},$$

where  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_M$  are  $M$  nonzero real numbers and  $p_1^0, \dots, p_M^0 \in \Omega$  are  $M$  distinct points. A formal computation suggests that when

$$\omega_0(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m \delta_{p_m^0},$$

the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of (5.1) should be

$$\omega(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m \delta_{p_m(t)},$$

where  $p(t) = (p_1(t), \dots, p_M(t)) : [0, T^*) \rightarrow (\Omega)^M$  solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp_m}{dt} = \sum_{l \neq m} \frac{\gamma_l}{2\pi} \frac{(p_m - p_l)^\perp}{|p_m - p_l|^2} - \sum_{l=1}^M \gamma_l \nabla_x^\perp H(p_m, p_l) \\ p_m(0) = p_m^0. \end{cases}$$

See [3], [4] and [11] for references. We aim to show that Theorem 1.1 remains valid in bounded domains. Let

$$\omega_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{0m,\epsilon}(x) + \omega_{0p,\epsilon}(x),$$

then we have

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume  $\omega_{0,\epsilon} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and  $\omega_{0m,\epsilon}$  does not change sign with

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{0m,\epsilon}) \subset B_\epsilon(p_m(0)).$$

Let the  $m^{\text{th}}$  total vorticity  $\gamma_{m,\epsilon}$  be

$$\gamma_{m,\epsilon} = \int_{\Omega} \omega_{0m,\epsilon} dx,$$

which satisfies

$$|\gamma_m - \gamma_{m,\epsilon}| \leq CA_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We assume also that  $A_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and there exist some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $p_1 > 2$  such that

$$\|\omega_{0m,\epsilon}\|_{p_1} \leq A_\epsilon^{-\gamma}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, M$ . Then for any  $T < T^*$  and any  $a < \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , the solution  $\omega_\epsilon$  of (5.1) admits a decomposition  $\omega_\epsilon = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon} + \omega_{p,\epsilon}$ , where

$$\text{supp}(\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)) \subset B_{(A_\epsilon)^a}(p_m(t)),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) dx = \gamma_{m,\epsilon}$$

and

$$\|\omega_{p,\epsilon}\|_r = \|\omega_{0p,\epsilon}\|_r$$

for any  $r \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof is extremely similar to that of Theorem 1.1, so we only give the ideas here. First, the solution  $\omega_\epsilon(x, t)$  admits a decomposition

$$\omega_\epsilon(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \omega_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) + \omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t).$$

As in Section 3,  $\omega_{p,\epsilon}$  is a small perturbation term and  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_{m,\epsilon} + (u_{m,\epsilon} + F_{1,m,\epsilon} + F_{2,m,\epsilon}) \cdot \omega_{m,\epsilon} = 0 \\ \omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0m,\epsilon}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m,\epsilon}(x, t) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_{\epsilon,m}(y, t) dy, \\ F_{2,m,\epsilon}(x, t) &:= \nabla^\perp (\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{p,\epsilon}(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_{1,m,\epsilon}(x, t) := \sum_{l \neq m} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y)^\perp}{|x-y|^2} \omega_{l,\epsilon}(y, t) dy - \sum_{l=1}^M \int_{\Omega} \nabla^\perp H(x, y) \omega_{l,\epsilon}(y, t) dy.$$

From [25] we see that  $|\nabla_x G(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x-y|}$ , so  $F_{2,m,\epsilon}$  remains small as a consequence of Lemma 2.3. We also note that  $F_{1,m,\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz continuous in the support of  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}$  if  $\omega_{m,\epsilon}(\cdot, t)$  is supported near  $p_m(t)$ . So arguing as in Section 2-3 we get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

By the same argument as in Section 2-4, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.8 remain valid in bounded domains.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aref, *Point vortex dynamics: a classical mathematics playground*. J. Math. Phys. **23**, 48(6), 2007.
- [2] D. Benedetto, E. Caglioti and C. Marchioro, *On the motion of a vortex ring with a sharply concentrated vorticity*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **23** (2) (2000), 147–168.
- [3] A.L. Bertozzi and A.J. Majda, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*. Cambridge Texts Appl. Math., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [4] P. Buttà and C. Marchioro, *Mathematical Theory of Incompressible Non-Viscous Fluids*. Appl. Math. Sci. **96**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [5] P. Buttà and C. Marchioro, *Long time evolution of concentrated Euler flows with planar symmetry*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **50** (2018), 735–760.
- [6] P. Buttà and C. Marchioro, *Time evolution of concentrated vortex rings*. J. Math. Fluid Mech. **22** (2020).
- [7] G. Cavallaro and C. Marchioro, *Time evolution of vortex rings with large radius and very concentrated vorticity*. J. Math. Phys. **62**, 053102, 20 pp. (2021).
- [8] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, M. Musso, J. Wei, *Gluing methods for vortex dynamics in Euler flows*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **235** (3) (2020) 1467–1530.
- [9] M. Donati and D. Iftimie, *Long time confinement of vorticity around a stable stationary point vortex in a bounded planar domain*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **38** (5) (2021), 1461–1485.
- [10] D. Dürr and M. Pulvirenti, *On the vortex flow in bounded domains*. Comm. Math. Phys. **85**(2), 265–273 (1982).
- [11] H. Helmholtz, *Über Integrale der hydrodynamischen Gleichungen, welche den Wirbelbewegungen entsprechen*. (German) J. Reine Angew. Math. **55** (1858), 25–55.
- [12] D. Iftimie and C. Marchioro, *Self-similar point vortices and confinement of vorticity*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **43** (3) (2018), 347–363.
- [13] R. L. Jerrard, *Fluid Flows with Concentrated Vorticity*. Course notes, 2020.
- [14] R. L. Jerrard and D. Smets, *Leapfrogging vortex rings for the three dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation*. Ann. PDE **4**, 48 (2018).
- [15] T.-C. Lin, J. Wei and J. Yang, *Vortex rings for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* . J. Math. Pures Appl. **100** (2013), 69–112.
- [16] C. Maffei and C. Marchioro, *A confinement result for axisymmetric fluids*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **105** (2001), 125–137.
- [17] C. Marchioro, *On the inviscid limit for a fluid with a concentrated vorticity*. Commun. Math. Phys. **196**, 53–65 (1998).
- [18] C. Marchioro, *On the localization of the vortices*. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8), 1(3):571–584, (1998).
- [19] C. Marchioro, *Vanishing viscosity limit for an incompressible fluid with concentrated vorticity*. J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 065302.
- [20] C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *Euler evolution for singular initial data and vortex theory*. Commun. Math. Phys. **91**(4), 563–572 (1983).
- [21] C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *Vortices and localization in Euler flows*. Comm. Math. Phys. **154**(1), 49–61, 1993.
- [22] P. Serfati, *Borne en temps des caractéristiques de l'équation d'Euler 2d à tourbillon positif et localisation pour le modèle point-vortex*. 1998, Manuscript.
- [23] P. Serfati, *Tourbillons-presque-mesures spatialement bornés et équation d'Euler 2D*. 1998, Manuscript.
- [24] B. Turkington, *On the evolution of a concentrated vortex in an ideal fluid*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **97** (1987), 75–87.
- [25] V. Yudovich, *Nonstationary flow of an ideal incompressible liquid*. Zh. Vych. Mat. **3** (1963), 1032–1066.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HFEI 230026, ANHUI, CHINA

Email address: guodeng@mail.ustc.edu.cn