

# HYPERSPACES OF DIMENSION 1

ALFREDO ZARAGOZA

ABSTRACT. In a previous paper the author asked if there exists a one-dimensional space  $X$  that is not almost zero-dimensional, such that the dimension of the hyperspace of compact subsets of  $X$  is one-dimensional. In this short note we give examples of spaces  $X$  that are not almost zero-dimensional such that  $X$  is one-dimensional and their hyperspace of compacta of  $X$  also is one-dimensional.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces will be assumed to be separable and metrizable. A space  $X$  is zero-dimensional if it has a base of clopen sets. A space  $X$  is one-dimensional if and only if it has a base  $\beta$  of neighborhoods such that  $bd_X(U)$  and is zero-dimensional and nonempty for any  $U \in \beta$ . If  $X$  has dimension one we write  $\dim(X) = 1$ . In general we can define the dimension of a space  $X$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [7]) but in this work we will only use the definition of dimension 0 and 1. For a space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  denotes the hyperspace of non-empty compact subsets of  $X$  with the Vietoris topology; for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  consisting of all the non-empty subsets that have cardinality less or equal to  $n$ ; and  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  of finite subsets of  $X$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of a topological space  $X$ , we denote by  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  the collection  $\{F \in \mathcal{K}(X) : F \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k, F \cap U_k \neq \emptyset \text{ for } k \leq n\}$ . Recall that the Vietoris topology on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  has as its canonical base all the sets of the form  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  where  $U_k$  is a non-empty open subset of  $X$  for each  $k \leq n$ . Note that if  $X$  is a separable metrizable space, then every subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is also a separable metrizable space (see [5, Theorem 3.3 and Propositions 4.4 and 4.5.2]). In [9] it was shown that if  $X$  is an almost-zero dimensional space, then  $\dim(X) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(X))$ . We are going to show spaces  $X$  of one dimension that are not almost zero dimensional such that  $\dim(\mathcal{K}(X)) = 1$ . The main results of this work are:

**1.1. Theorem** There exists a connected space  $X$  such that  $\dim(X) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(X)) = 1$ .

**1.2. Theorem** There exists a totally disconnected space  $X$  which is not AZD such that  $\dim(X) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(X)) = 1$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 54F65, Secondary: 54F50, 54A10, 54B20, 54H05.

*Key words and phrases*. Erdős space, almost zero-dimensional space, cohesive space, Vietoris hyperspace, one-dimensional.

This work is part of the doctoral work of the author at UNAM, Mexico city, under the direction of the Hernández-Gutiérrez. This research was supported by a CONACyT doctoral scholarship with number 696239.

The Theorem 1.1 was suggested in a letter by Roman Pol.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is almost zero-dimensional(AZD) if there is a zero-dimensional topology  $\mathcal{W}$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{W}$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{T}$  and has the property that every point in  $X$  has a local neighborhood base consisting of sets that are closed with respect to  $\mathcal{W}$ . This concept was introduced by Oversteegen and Tymchatyn in [3]. They proved that almost zero-dimensional spaces are at most 1-dimensional. Recall that Erdős space is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{E} = \{(x_n)_{n \in \omega} \in \ell^2 : x_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ for all } i \in \omega\};$$

and complete Erdős space as

$$\mathfrak{E}_c = \{(x_n)_{n \in \omega} \in \ell^2 : x_i \in \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ for all } i \in \omega\}$$

when  $\ell^2$  is the Hilbert space of all square summable real sequences. It's known that Erdős space, and complete Erdős space are almost zero-dimensional spaces which are not zero-dimensional spaces(see [1]). A space  $X$  is called cohesive if every point of the space has a neighborhood that does not contain nonempty proper clopen subsets of  $X$ .

**2.1. Lemma**[2]  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_c$  are cohesive spaces.

A one-point connectification of a space  $X$  is a connected extension  $Y$  of the space such that the remainder  $Y \setminus X$  is a singleton.

**2.2. Example** Let  $p$  be a point outside  $\mathfrak{E}_c$ , consider  $\mathfrak{E}_c^+ = \mathfrak{E}_c \cup \{p\}$  whose neighbourhoods of  $\{p\}$  are the complements of closed bounded sets of  $\mathfrak{E}_c$ . Then  $\mathfrak{E}_c^+$  is metric separable connected space.

It is known that if a space admits a one-point connectification, then it is cohesive. Moreover if an almost zero-dimensional space is cohesive, then it admits a one point connectification (see [1, Proposition 5.4, p. 22]).

Let  $X$  be an AZD and cohesive space (for example  $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_c$ ), then  $X$  has a one-point connectification. Suppose that  $Y = \{p\} \cup X$  where  $p \notin X$ . Since  $Y$  is connected then  $Y$  is not an AZD space.

Now let us consider  $\mathfrak{E}_c^+$  of example 2.2 and  $N = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let

$$P = [\mathfrak{E}_c \times \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}] \cup (p, 0)$$

with the topology inherited from  $\mathfrak{E}_c^+ \times N$ , then is a totally disconnected and is not an AZD space (see [4, Example 3.6]). The spaces  $Y$  and  $P$  are the spaces we will use to prove the main result.

Another important result for proving the main Theorems is the following:

**2.3. Proposition** [9, Proposition 2.2]  $X$  is an AZD space if only if  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is an AZD space.

## 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

Let  $Z \in \{P, Y\}$  and  $d$  a metric for  $Z$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_n = \{z \in Z : d(z, q) < 1/n\}$  and let  $E_n = Z \setminus B_n$ , where  $q = p$  if  $Z = Y$  or  $q = (p, 0)$  if  $Z = P$ .

Note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_n$  is an *AZD* space and, by Proposition 2.3,  $\mathcal{K}(E_n)$  is an *AZD* space. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathcal{K}(E_n) \cup \{\emptyset\}]$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is an *AZD* space (let's consider the set  $\{\emptyset\}$  as an isolated point of  $\mathcal{K}(E_n) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \{(K_1, K_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{N} : \text{for } m \geq n, K_m \cap E_n = K_n\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{H \in \mathcal{K}(Z) : q \in H\} \end{aligned}$$

Let's consider the following functions  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \pi_n[\mathcal{L}]$  (where  $\pi_n$  is the projection to the  $n$ -th coordinate) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(K_1, K_2, \dots) &= \{q\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{G}_n(K) &= K \cap E_n \end{aligned}$$

**3.1. Lemma**  $\mathcal{G}$  is well defined and is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* To prove that  $\mathcal{G}$  is well defined, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $\{q\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  in  $Z$ . Since  $q \in \{q\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ , we can suppose that  $B_m \in \mathcal{U}$  for some  $m$ . Note that  $(\{q\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n) \setminus K_m \subset B_m$ , since  $Z \setminus K_m \subset B_m$ . As  $\{U \cap E_m : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open cover of  $K_m$  and  $K_m$  is a compact subset of  $E_m$ , then there exists  $U_1, \dots, U_k$ , such that  $K_m \subset \bigcup_{i \leq k} (U_i \cap E_m)$ . Therefore  $\{B_m, U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ , that is  $\{q\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  is a compact subset of  $Z$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{G}$  is well defined.

Let's prove that  $\mathcal{G}$  is injective, let  $\widehat{K} = (K_1, K_2, \dots), \widehat{H} = (H_1, H_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\widehat{H} \neq \widehat{K}$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $H_k \neq K_k$ . Therefore there exists  $x \in H_k \setminus K_k$ , then  $x \in \mathcal{G}(\widehat{H})$  and  $x \notin \mathcal{G}(\widehat{K})$ . That is,  $\mathcal{G}$  is injective. Now let's see that  $\mathcal{G}$  is surjective, let  $K \in \mathcal{S}$ . We define  $K_n = K \cap E_n$ , since  $E_n$  is a closed subset in  $Z$ , then  $K_n$  is empty or is a compact subset of  $E_n$ . Then  $K_n \in \mathcal{K}(E_n) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , therefore  $(K \cap E_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{G}((K \cap E_1, \dots)) = K$ . That is,  $\mathcal{G}$  is surjective. Before proving that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a homeomorphism, let's show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \{\langle U_1, \dots, U_n, B_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{S} : n, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } U_1, \dots, U_n \text{ are} \\ &\quad \text{open subsets of } Z \setminus \{p\}\} \cup \{\langle B_k \rangle : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

is a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $K \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \langle W_1, \dots, W_n \rangle$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{K}(Z)$  such that  $K \in \mathcal{W}$ . If  $K_n \neq \emptyset$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r \neq \emptyset$  for  $r \geq n$ , without loss of generality we can assume that  $n = 1$ . As  $p \in K \in \mathcal{W}$ , then there exist  $j \leq n$  such that  $p \in \bigcap \{W_j : j \leq n, p \in W_j\}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \in B_k \subset \bigcap \{W_j : j \leq n, p \in W_j\}$ . To find an element  $\mathcal{V}$  of the base  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $K \in \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$ , we consider two cases. If  $K \setminus B_k = \emptyset$  or if  $K \setminus B_k \neq \emptyset$ . If  $K \setminus B_k = \emptyset$  then  $K \subset B_k$ . Therefore  $K \in \langle B_k \rangle \subset \mathcal{W}$ . If  $K \setminus B_k \neq \emptyset$  then for each  $x \in K \setminus B_k$  there exist  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x \subset \bigcap \{W_j : j \leq n, x \in W_j\}$ , as  $K \setminus B_k$  is compact and  $\{U_x : x \in K \setminus B_k\}$  is an open cover of  $K \setminus B_k$ , there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_l$  such that  $K \setminus B_k \in \langle U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_l} \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \langle U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_l}, B_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{S}$ , note that  $K \in \mathcal{V}$ , and  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{S}$ . On the other hand if  $K = \{p\}$ , there exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \in B_k$  and  $p \in B_k \subset \bigcap \{W_j : j \leq n, p \in W_j\}$  this implies that  $K \in \langle B_k \rangle \subset \mathcal{W}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $K = (H_1, \dots, H_n, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}$ , and  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $H = \mathcal{G}(K) \in \mathcal{U}$ . If  $H = \{p\}$ , then  $\mathcal{U} = \langle B_k \rangle$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $H_n = \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $W = \{\emptyset\}^k \times [(\langle B_k \rangle \cap (\mathcal{K}(E_{k+1}) \cup \{\emptyset\})) \times \prod_{m > k+1} [\mathcal{K}(E_m) \cup \{\emptyset\}]]$ , note that  $K \in W$ , and  $\mathcal{G}[W] \subset \mathcal{U}$ . If  $H_i \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r \neq \emptyset$  for  $r \geq n$ , without loss

of generality we can assume that  $i = 1$ , and that  $\mathcal{U} = \langle U_1, \dots, U_n, B_k \rangle$  for some  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : U_l \cap H_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } l \leq n\}$  and as  $\{H_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , is not finite, then  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $r = \min A$ , if  $r < k$ , then  $F_k \cap U_j = \emptyset$  for some  $j \leq n$ , so  $F_r \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $F_r \cap U_j \subset B_k$ . Let

$$N = \{(F_1, F_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L} : F_r \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_n, B_k \rangle\}.$$

Note that  $K \in N$ , if  $F = (F_1, F_2, \dots) \in N$  and  $\mathcal{G}(F_1, F_2, \dots) = F$ , then  $p \in F \setminus F_k \subset B_r \subset B_k$ , so  $F \in \mathcal{U}$ . If  $r \leq k$ , then  $H_k \setminus H_r \subset \bigcup_{j \leq n} U_j$  and  $H \setminus H_k \subset B_k$ . Let

$$N = \{(F_1, F_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L} : F_k \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle\}.$$

Note that  $K \in N$ . If  $(F_1, \dots, F_k, \dots) \in N$ , and  $\mathcal{G}(F_1, \dots, F_k, \dots) = F$ , then  $p \in F \setminus F_k \subset B_k$ , so  $F \in \mathcal{U}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a continuous function.

Finally we will show that  $\mathcal{G}^{-1}$  is a continuous function, if  $U$  is a basic open subset of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $U = (\bigcap_{j \in F} \pi_j^{\leftarrow}[W_j]) \cap \mathcal{L}$ , where  $W_j$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{K}(E_j) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  and  $F$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$(\mathcal{G}^{-1})^{\leftarrow}[U] = \bigcap_{j \in F} (\mathcal{G}^{-1})^{\leftarrow}[\pi_j^{\leftarrow}[W_j]] \cap \mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{j \in F} \mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[W_j].$$

So that is enough to show the continuity of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for any  $n$ . To prove that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is continuous, it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{K}(E_n)]$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\{\emptyset\}]$  are open subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  are open subsets of  $Z \setminus \{p\}$  such that  $E_n \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$  for each  $j \leq k$ . We will show that

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{K}(E_n)] = \mathcal{S} \cap \langle U_1, \dots, U_k, B_n \rangle$$

and that

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\{\emptyset\}] = \mathcal{S} \cap \langle B_n \rangle$$

Let  $H \in \mathcal{S} \cap \langle U_1, \dots, U_k, B_n \rangle$ , then  $H_n \neq \emptyset$ ,  $H \setminus H_n \subset B_n$ , and  $H_n \in \{\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cup \{\emptyset\}\} \cap \mathcal{K}(E_n)$ , thus  $H \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{K}(E_n)]$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{K}(E_n)]$ , then  $F_n = F \cap E_n \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap \mathcal{K}(E_n)$  and  $F \setminus F_n \subset B_n$  then  $F \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_k, B_n \rangle$ . Let  $H \in \mathcal{S} \cap \langle B_n \rangle$ , then  $H_n = \emptyset$ , therefore,  $H_n \in \{\emptyset\}$ , thus  $H \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\{\emptyset\}]$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\leftarrow}[\{\emptyset\}]$ , then  $F_n = F \cap E_n = \emptyset$ , thus  $F \subset B_n$  then  $F \in \langle B_n \rangle$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a continuous function. Therefore  $\mathcal{G}$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

### 3.2. Theorem $\dim(Z) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(Z)) = 1$

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{K}(Z) = \mathcal{K}(Z \setminus \{q\}) \cup \mathcal{S}$ . As  $\mathcal{K}(Z \setminus \{q\})$  is an AZD cohesive space, then  $\dim(\mathcal{K}(Z \setminus \{q\})) = 1$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $\mathcal{S}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = 1$  because  $\mathcal{L}$  is an AZD, but  $\mathcal{L}$  is not zero dimensional space. This implies that  $\dim(\mathcal{S}) = 1$ . Thus  $\dim(\mathcal{K}(Z)) = 1$ .  $\square$

#### Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a one-point connectification of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . By Theorem 3.2 we have the result.  $\square$

**3.3. Corollary** Let  $X$  be an cohesive and AZD spce. If  $Y$  is a one-point connectification of  $X$ , then  $\dim(Y) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(Y)) = 1$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2 we have the result.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.2

*Proof.* Consider the space  $P$ . By Theorem 3.2 we have the result.  $\square$

Note that the spaces given in the Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2 are unions of  $AZD$  spaces. A natural question is:

Does every space  $Z$  of dimension 1 that is not  $AZD$  and is a finite union of subspaces  $AZD$  satisfy that  $\dim(\mathcal{K}(Z)) = 1$ ? The answer to this question is negative because  $[0, 1]$  is not an  $AZD$  space, but is a union of  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{P} \cap [0, 1]$  which are  $AZD$  spaces, and  $\dim(\mathcal{K}([0, 1]))$  is not 1.

On the other hand it is known that if  $X$  is a compact space of dimension 1, then the dimension of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is not finite (see [8, pag 123]). This implies that if a space  $X$  has a compact subset of dimension 1 then the dimension of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is not finite. Then for  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  to have dimension 1 each  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  must have dimension zero. With the following Theorem, we will show that it is not enough that the compact subsets of a space  $X$  of dimension 1 have dimension 0 for that hyperspace of compact subsets of  $X$  have to dimension 1.

**3.4. Theorem** [6, Theorem 4.1] There exists a space  $X$  of dimension 1 such that all its compacta have dimension 0 and  $\dim(X^2) = 2$ .

**3.5. Example** Let  $Y = X \times \{0, 1\}$  where  $X$  is as in Theorem 3.4, then  $\dim(Y) = 1$  and for each compact subset  $F$  of  $X$  we have that  $\dim(F) = 0$ . Let  $f : X^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  given by  $f(x, y) = \{(x, 0), (y, 1)\}$ . Note that  $f$  is an embedding. This implies that  $\dim(\mathcal{K}(Y)) \geq 2$ .

**3.6. Question** Let  $X$  be a space of dimension 1, such that  $\dim(X^\omega) = 1$  and for each  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $\dim(A) = 0$ . Does  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  have dimension 1?

## 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Roman Pol for useful suggestions concerning the topic of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Dijkstra and J. van Mill, *Erdős space and homeomorphism groups of manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 208 (2010), no. 979.
- [2] P. Erdős, *The dimension of the rational points in Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math. (2) 41 (1940), 734–736.
- [3] K. Kawamura, L. Oversteegen and E. D. Tymchatyn; *On homogeneous totally disconnected 1-dimensional spaces*. Fund. Math. 150 (1996), no. 2, 97–112.
- [4] Mohammad AbryJan J. Dijkstra Jan van Mill, *Sums of almost zero-dimensional spaces*, Topology Proceedings 29(1)1-12.
- [5] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 152-182.
- [6] van Mill and Pol *On spaces without non-trivial subcontinua and the dimension of their products*, Topology and Applications 142 (2004),31-48.
- [7] van Mill, J.; *The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 64. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001. xii+630 pp. ISBN: 0-444-50557-1
- [8] Nadler Sam B. Jr. *Dimension theory: an introduction with exercises*. Sociedad Matemática Mexicana. México 2002.
- [9] A. Zaragoza, *Symmetric products of Erdős space and complete Erdős space*. Topology Appl. 284 (2020), 107355, 10 pp.

(A. Zaragoza) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CIRCUITO EXTERIOR S/N, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, COYOACÁN, 04510, MEXICO CITY, MEXICO

*Email address, A. Zaragoza:* `soad151192@icloud.com`