

ON FLAT MANIFOLD BUNDLES AND THE CONNECTIVITY OF HAEFLIGER'S CLASSIFYING SPACES

SAM NARIMAN

ABSTRACT. We investigate a conjecture due to Haefliger and Thurston in the context of foliated manifold bundles. In this context, Haefliger-Thurston's conjecture predicts that every M -bundle over a manifold B where $\dim(B) \leq \dim(M)$ is cobordant to a flat M -bundle. In particular, we study the bordism class of flat M -bundles over low dimensional manifolds, comparing a finite dimensional Lie group G with $\text{Diff}_0(G)$ and localizing the holonomy of flat M -bundles to be supported in a ball.

1. INTRODUCTION

To build a classifying space for codimension n foliations, Haefliger considered a more relaxed structure known as codimension n Haefliger structures and built a classifying space $\text{B}\Gamma_n^{r,+}$ for them (e.g. see [Hae71, Bot72]) where $\Gamma_n^{r,+}$ is the etale groupoid of germs of local orientation preserving C^r -diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n . There is a natural map

$$\nu: \text{B}\Gamma_n^{r,+} \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}),$$

which classifies the oriented normal bundle to the C^r -Haefliger structures of codimension n . If we drop the regularity r , we mean the smooth case.

Studying the homotopy type of the classifying space $\text{B}\Gamma_n^{r,+}$ has deep consequences in foliation theory. In particular, it implies integrability of plane fields up to homotopy in a range of dimensions because of the h-principle theorems due to Thurston about Haefliger's structures ([Thu74b, Thu76]). Let $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ denote the homotopy fiber of ν . This space classifies those Haefliger structures with the trivial normal bundle. Haefliger used Gromov-Phillips' theorem in [Hae71] to show that $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ is at least n -connected. Thurston first proved ([Thu74a]) that the identity component of the smooth diffeomorphism group of any compact manifold is a simple group and used it to show that that $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ is $(n+1)$ -connected and shortly after Mather ([Mat74, Section 7]) proved the same statement for $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ when $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$.

Haefliger introduced and calculated *differentiable* cohomology of $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ in [Hae79] and showed that it vanishes up to degree $2n$. And he speculated ([Hae79, Section 6]) the possibility that $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ might be $2n$ -connected. Thurston also stated ([Thu74a]) this range of connectivity for $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_n^r$ as a conjecture. Using Mather-Thurston's theory (see [Mat11, Nar20b]), one could equivalently state this conjecture in the context of manifold bundles. Let $\text{Diff}^r(M)$ denote the group of C^r -orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of a smooth manifold M with the C^r -Whitney topology. We decorate it with superscript δ and subscript c if we consider the same group with discrete topology and its subgroup of compactly supported diffeomorphisms respectively. The identity homomorphism between the groups $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)^\delta \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^r(M)$ induces the map between classifying spaces

$$(1.1) \quad \eta: \text{BDiff}_c^r(M)^\delta \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_c^r(M).$$

Conjecture 1.2 (Haefliger-Thurston). *Let M be an oriented closed manifold. The map η is a homology isomorphism in degrees less than or equal to $\dim(M)$ and is a surjection on homology in degree $\dim(M) + 1$.*

Geometrically, this conjecture is equivalent to saying that for every smooth M -bundle $M \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ where B is a manifold and $\dim(B) \leq \dim(M)$, there exists a bordism W from B to another manifold B' and an M -bundle $M \rightarrow K \rightarrow W$ such that when it is restricted to B , it is isomorphic to $E \rightarrow B$ and when it is restricted to B' , it is a flat M -bundle i.e. it is induced by a representation $\pi_1(B') \rightarrow \text{Diff}^\delta(M)$.

Remark 1.3. This conjecture can be stated for C^r -diffeomorphisms for all regularities r . In fact for $r = 0$, it is a consequence of Mather's theorem ([Mat71]) that η induces a homology isomorphism in all degrees and the same holds for $r = 1$ as a consequence of Tsuboi's remarkable theorem ([Tsu89]).

This conjecture in the smooth category seems to be out of reach at this point but in this paper, we want to investigate certain low dimensional predictions of this conjecture. In particular, we consider certain cases to investigate surjectivity and injectivity of η_* in low homological degrees.

Remark 1.4. Using the work of Peter Greenberg ([Gre92]) we shall prove in a separate paper [Nar22], new connectivity results for the curious case of PL-foliations in codimension 2. Then using the version of Mather-Thurston's theorem for PL homeomorphisms ([Nar20b]) due to the author, we prove the perfectness of PL homeomorphisms of surfaces that are isotopic to the identity which answers a question ([Eps70, Section 3]) of Epstein in dimension 2.

1.1. On the surjectivity part of Conjecture 1.2 in low degrees. The first nontrivial homological degree is the case $* = 3$. The fact that η_* induces an isomorphism in $* = 1$ and it is surjective for $* = 2$ is a consequence of Thurston's theorem ([Thu74a]) that the identity component $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(M)$ is a simple group for any closed smooth manifold M . Let $\text{BDiff}(M)$ denote the homotopy fiber of the map η . Then Thurston's simplicity result implies that $H_1(\text{BDiff}(M); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ which in particular leads to surjectivity of η_* for $* \leq 2$.

When the dimension of M is 2 or 3, we know a lot about the homotopy type of $\text{Diff}_0(M)$. In particular, in dimension 2, if a surface Σ_g has genus g larger than 1, then $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_g)$ is contractible ([EE69]). So the surjectivity of η_* for the identity component is obvious. In [Nar17, Theorem 3.17] for the entire group $\text{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$, we also proved that for the case of surfaces, the natural map

$$\eta_* : H_3(\text{BDiff}^\delta(\Sigma_g); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}(\Sigma_g); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective. It is known that for $g > 3$, the group $H_3(\text{BDiff}(\Sigma_g); \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion but nonetheless we have surjectivity in degree 3 with integral coefficients.

Remark 1.5. For a connected finite dimensional Lie group G , the group $H_3(\text{BG}; \mathbb{Z})$ is finite. Hence by [Mil83, Corollary 2 section 3] (see also [Mil83, Lemma 6]) the map $H_3(\text{BG}^\delta; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BG}; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective.

Therefore, the first nontrivial case of Conjecture 1.2 for the identity component $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ is when $\dim(M) = 3$ and $* = 3$. As a consequence of the resolution of the generalized Smale's conjecture ([Hat83, Hat76, Iva76, Gab01, HKMR12, MS13, BK19, BK21]) we know about the homotopy type of $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ when M is a geometric 3-manifold. In particular, in many cases we have $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ but one interesting example is the case $M \cong S^1 \times S^2$ where Hatcher's theorem ([Hat81]) implies that $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(S^1 \times S^2); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. In Section 2, we prove that the natural map

$$\eta_* : H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^1 \times S^2); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(S^1 \times S^2); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective. And with rational coefficients, we prove the following more general result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be a closed manifold such that $\dim(M) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the map*

$$\eta_*: H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is surjective.

Remark 1.7. We expect that one might be able to drop the hypothesis $\dim(M) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. As we shall see in Section 2, an affirmative answer to a question posed by Vogt ([Lan94, Problem F.2.1]) is a step towards making this hypothesis unnecessary.

1.2. On the injectivity part of Conjecture 1.2 in low degrees. The injectivity part specially for regularities seems to be notoriously difficult. We instead try to investigate some of its predictions. The only results known in this direction is due to Tsuboi in low regularities (see [Tsu85, Tsu89]). His work implies that

$$\eta: \text{BDiff}^{r,\delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}^r(M),$$

induces a homology isomorphism in all degrees for $r = 1$ and in general it induces a homology isomorphism in degrees less m where $r < \frac{n+1}{m} - 1$. In particular, for C^∞ -diffeomorphisms, it is still open that whether

$$\eta_*: H_2(\text{BDiff}^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\text{BDiff}(M); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is injective. To prove these injectivity results, Tsuboi ([Tsu85, Tsu89]) extensively studied the vanishing of $H_*(\overline{\text{BDiff}}(M); \mathbb{Z})$ in low homological degrees. One presumably easier question would be whether $H_k(\overline{\text{BDiff}}^r(M); \mathbb{Z})$ is independent of M for $k \leq \dim(M)$ or for a codimension zero embedding $N \hookrightarrow M$, whether the natural map

$$H_k(\overline{\text{BDiff}}^r(N, \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(\overline{\text{BDiff}}^r(M, \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective for $k \leq \dim(M)$. We shall follow this perspective in low dimensions. There are natural ways to build cycles in group homology of diffeomorphism groups and use Haefliger-Thurston's conjecture to predict that they are trivial. Proving these predictions, in each separate example seem to already be very nontrivial and we think they are interesting on their own. For example, Tsuboi used the flow of vector fields to build abelian cycles ([Tsu88, Tsu13]) in diffeomorphism groups and proved their triviality for codimension one foliations and conjectured the same in all dimensions.

Here we consider another source of natural cycles in group homology of diffeomorphisms by letting a Lie group G act on itself. Let G be a connected Lie group. The group homology or the homology of the space BG^δ has been extensively studied for certain Lie groups since it is related to Milnor's conjecture ([Mil83]) and also to scissors congruence (see [DPS88, Sah89, Sah86] and references therein). It is a deep result of Sah-Wagoner ([SW77, Theorem 1.28]) that for any connected Lie group G , the second group homology $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z})$ has a quotient group $\mathcal{K}_2(\mathbb{C})^+$, the positive part of the second K-group of \mathbb{C} which in particular is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space of dimension equal to the continuum. So if we consider the natural map

$$\text{BG}^\delta \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G),$$

we can map nontrivial cycles in $H_*(\text{BG}^\delta; \mathbb{Z})$ to $H_*(\text{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G); \mathbb{Z})$. As we shall see, in low regularity, we have

Theorem 1.8. *For all compact or complex semi-simple Lie groups, the map*

$$H_*(\text{BG}^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(\text{BHomeo}_0^\delta(G); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is a trivial map. The same holds for $\text{Diff}_0^1(G)$.

Geometrically, a nontrivial element in $H_n(\mathbf{B}G^\delta; \mathbb{Q})$ can be represented by a flat G -bundle over a manifold M^n that cannot be extended to a flat G bundle over a manifold W^{n+1} such that $\partial W = M$. So the above theorem says (up to torsion) any such bundle bounds a flat $\text{Homeo}_0(G)$ -bundle. However, in higher regularities, we could only show that

Theorem 1.9. *The map*

$$H_2(\mathbf{B}G^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbf{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is a trivial for any noncompact Lie group, abelian Lie group and also in a special case $G = \text{SU}_2$.

Theorem 1.10. *For a complex semisimple Lie group G , the map*

$$(\alpha_r)_*: H_*(\mathbf{B}G^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbf{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is trivial for $ \leq \dim(G/K) + 1$ where K is a maximal compact Lie subgroup.*

However we consider continuous group cohomology, as we shall see in Section 3, we can drop the hypothesis on the degree.

Recall that Conjecture 1.2 predicts that there are no secondary characteristic classes (even discontinuous in the sense of Morita ([Mor85]) for flat manifold bundles in low cohomological degrees compared to the dimension of the fibers. Instead of this hard question, we ask whether the secondary invariants in low cohomological degree are independent of the topology of the fiber. An extreme case is to consider an embedding $\mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow M$ of n -dimensional open disk into an n manifold M . It induces the map

$$H_*(\mathbf{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbf{BDiff}^\delta(M); \mathbb{R}).$$

We would like to find the first degree in which this map is not surjective.

Let us first start in dimension 2. Note that since $\text{Diff}_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a contractible group ([Sma59]), Conjecture 1.2 predicts that $H_*(\mathbf{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes for $* \leq 2$. Hence, the first interesting case is to consider the map

$$(1.11) \quad H_3(\mathbf{BDiff}^\delta(D^2, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_3(\mathbf{BDiff}^\delta(\Sigma_g); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Bowden asked whether the map 1.11 is surjective. The author identified the stable homology of $\mathbf{BDiff}^\delta(\Sigma_g)$ in terms of a homology of a certain infinite loop space and used it to show in [Nar17, Theorem 0.16] that the map 1.11 is surjective for $g \geq 6$.

As we have so far indicated, it seems that proving the injectivity part of the connectivity conjecture 1.2 is much harder than the surjectivity. But we show that if the analogous map 1.11 for $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_g)$ is surjective, we obtain the injectivity on $H_2(-; \mathbb{Z})$ in Conjecture 1.2 when M is a surface. In particular, let $\Sigma_{k,l}$ denote the oriented surface of genus g and with k boundary components.

Theorem 1.12. *If the stabilization map*

$$H_3(\mathbf{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g-1,1}, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\mathbf{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective, then $H_2(\mathbf{BDiff}^\delta(D^2, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ which implies Conjecture 1.2 in dimension 2.

We prove a similar statement for irreducible 3 manifolds with nonempty boundary (see Theorem 4.14).

Acknowledgement. The author was partially supported by NSF DMS-2113828 and Simons Foundation (855209, SN). The author thanks Gael Meigniez for the discussion about Haefliger-Thurston's conjecture. The author also thanks Mike Freedman for the discussion about Section 3. He is also grateful to Jonathan Bowden, Sander Kupers and Søren Galatius for their comments.

2. MAKING MANIFOLD BUNDLES FLAT OVER 3-MANIFOLDS UP TO BORDISM

Let $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ be the identity component of $\text{Diff}(M)$. Sometimes it is easier to work with the identity component first. Using the short exact sequence $\text{Diff}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}(M))$ and comparison of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences, it is easy to see that Haefliger-Thurston's conjecture can be deduced from the same statement for the map $\eta: \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0(M)$.

Now suppose that we have M -bundle over a 3-manifold whose bundle group structure is $\text{Diff}_0(M)$. To make this bundle flat up bordism (see also [Fre20]) we want to see whether the map

$$\eta_*: H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective. Let us first consider an interesting nontrivial case where $M \cong S^1 \times S^2$. Using the perfectness of $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(M)$, We know that the $\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(M)}$, homotopy fiber of η has vanishing first homology for any manifold M . And by Mather-Thurston's theorem ([Mat11]), since 3-manifolds M are parallelizable, $\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(M)}$ is homology isomorphic to the space of maps $\text{Map}(M, \overline{\text{BF}}_3)$. But by Thurston's theorem ([Thu74a]) $\overline{\text{BF}}_n$ is at least $(n+1)$ -connected. Therefore, we have

$$(2.1) \quad H_2(\text{Map}(M, \overline{\text{BF}}_3); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_2(\text{Map}(M, \overline{\text{BF}}_3)) \cong \pi_5(\overline{\text{BF}}_3).$$

On the other hand, by the same argument $H_2(\overline{\text{BDiff}_c(\mathbb{R}^3)}; \mathbb{Z})$ is also isomorphic to $\pi_5(\overline{\text{BF}}_3)$. Therefore, for all embeddings of an open disk $\mathbb{R}^3 \hookrightarrow M$, the map

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}_c(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow \overline{\text{BDiff}_0(M)},$$

induces an isomorphism on H_2 . Note that $\text{BDiff}_0(M)$ is simply connected, so to prove that η_* is surjective on H_3 , it is enough to prove the following d_3 differential in the Serre spectral sequence for $\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(M)} \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0(M)$ is trivial,

$$d_3: H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(M)}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

For many geometric 3-manifolds for which we know the homotopy type of $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ by the generalized Smale's conjecture, $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Hence, for those 3-manifolds, the same statement follows directly from the generalized Smale's conjecture. But for the case $M \cong S^1 \times S^2$ where $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, we show that the above differential vanishes by comparison of spectral sequences.

First let $N \cong [0, 1] \times S^2$ be a submanifold of M such that $N \hookrightarrow M$ induces an isomorphism on π_2 . Again by Hatcher's theorem ([Hat81]) the group $\text{Diff}_0(N, \text{rel } \partial)$ is homotopy equivalent to the base point component of the loop space ΩSO_3 . Therefore, the map

$$\text{BDiff}_0(N, \text{rel } \partial) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0(M),$$

induces an isomorphism on H_3 . Since we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(N, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(N, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

it is enough to show that the to horizontal map is surjective. But now by capping off one of the sphere boundary components of N , we obtain an embedding $N \hookrightarrow D^3$

which induces a commutative diagram up to homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(N, \mathrm{rel} \partial) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BDiff}_0(N, \mathrm{rel} \partial) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BDiff}_0(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial). \end{array}$$

The comparison of the corresponding spectral sequences for N and D^3 implies that d_3 factors through $H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(N, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{d_3} & H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(N, \mathrm{rel} \partial)}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{d_3} & H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial)}; \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

Note that the fact that the right vertical map is an isomorphism follows from the isomorphism 2.1. On the other hand, by Hatcher's theorem ([Hat83]) $\mathrm{Diff}_0(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial)$ is contractible. Therefore, we have $H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(D^3, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ which implies that d_3 for N factors through a zero group. Hence, it is a trivial map. This was a special case, that we could argue integrally. Now motivated by this example, let's prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Recall M is a manifold whose $\dim(M)$ is not 3 modulo 4. As we saw in the above example, it is enough to prove the following d_3 differential in the Serre spectral sequence for $\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)} \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)$ is trivial,

$$d_3: H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now since $\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)$ is simply connected space, the Hurwicz map $\pi_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)) \rightarrow H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. On the other hand, the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for the fibration $\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)} \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)$ implies that $\pi_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)) \cong \pi_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)})$. Hence, to show that the differential d_3 is trivial, it is enough to show that the Hurewicz map which is right vertical map in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{d_3} & H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow h \\ \pi_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)}), \end{array}$$

is trivial. Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)}) & \xrightarrow{h} & H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0(M)}; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{n+2}(\overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \pi_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M)) = 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{p} & H_{n+2}(\mathrm{B}\Gamma_n^+; \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

The top right horizontal map is an isomorphism by Mather-Thurston's theorem ([Thu74a, Bottom of page 306]). And the map p is induced by considering elements in $H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z})$ as flat M -bundles over a surface so the total space is $(n+2)$ -dimensional with a codimension n -foliation which gives an element in $H_{n+2}(\mathrm{B}\Gamma_n^+; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, to prove the theorem, it is enough to show that the map i is rationally

injective if $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. But to show that i is rationally injective, we shall consider the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\Gamma_n^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since by Thurston's theorem ([Thu74a]) we know that $\overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n$ is $n+1$ connected, it is enough to show that the differential $H_{n+3}(\mathrm{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{n+2}(\overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial. But for $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we know that $H_{n+3}(\mathrm{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial. \square

Remark 2.2. To drop the hypothesis $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we need to show that the transgression map $H_{n+3}(\mathrm{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{n+2}(\overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial. To determine this map, one could look at the fibration $\mathrm{GL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\Gamma_n^+$ and E.Vogt in ([Lan94, Problem F.2.1]) posed the question that whether ι is nullhomotopic.

2.1. Further discussion for different transverse structures. As we mentioned, the main evidence behind this conjecture 1.2 was Gelfand-Fuks computations of continuous Lie algebra cohomology of formal vector fields and also the fact there are no secondary characteristic classes known in degrees lower $2n+1$ for a codimension n foliation. The same line of thought can be applied to foliations with other transverse structures. For example, for the case of having transverse contact structure for a foliation with odd codimension $n = 2k+1$, Feigin ([Fei82]) computed the continuous Lie algebra cohomology of formal contact vector fields and observed that it vanishes at least up to degree $2n$. Similarly, one can formulate the contact version of Conjecture 1.2.

Conjecture. *Let (M, α) be a contact manifold where M is a manifold of dimension $n = 2k+1$ and α is a smooth 1-form such that $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^k$ is a volume form. The group of orientation preserving C^∞ -contactomorphisms consists of C^∞ -diffeomorphisms such that $f^*(\alpha) = \lambda_f \alpha$ where λ_f is a non-vanishing positive smooth function on M depending on f . Since we are working with orientation preserving automorphisms, we assume that λ_f is a positive function. Let $\mathrm{Cont}_c(M, \alpha)$ denote the group of compactly supported contactomorphisms with induced topology from C^∞ -diffeomorphisms. Then the natural map*

$$\mathrm{BCont}_c(M, \alpha)^\delta \rightarrow \mathrm{BCont}_c(M, \alpha),$$

induces a homology isomorphism up to degree n and a surjection on homology in degree $n+1$.

Another interesting transverse structure for foliations is to have volume preserving holonomies. To formulate a similar question in terms of volume preserving diffeomorphisms, let M be an n -dimensional manifold with a fixed volume form ω and let $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ denote the group of volume preserving diffeomorphism equipped with the C^∞ -topology. It is interesting to find the largest homological degree so that up to that degree the map

$$\eta: \mathrm{BDiff}^{\omega, \delta}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}^\omega(M),$$

induces a homology isomorphism. Let $\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}^\omega(M)}$ denote the homotopy fiber of η . McDuff ([McD83, §2]) showed that when the volume of ω is infinity the space $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}_c^\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ has a nontrivial $(n-1)$ -th homology. And in fact, Hurder ([Hur83]) proved that the classifying space of Haefliger structures preserving volume form with a trivial normal bundle $\overline{\mathrm{B}\Gamma}_n^{\mathrm{vol}}$ for $n > 2$ is not $(n+3)$ -connected. Therefore, the best we can expect in the volume preserving case for dimension bigger than 2 would be

Question. Let (M, ω) be a pair of an n -dimensional manifold M and a volume form ω . Then the map

$$\eta: \text{BDiff}^{\omega, \delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}^{\omega}(M),$$

induces a homology isomorphism on $H_2(-; \mathbb{Z})$ if $\dim(M) > 2$.

3. FLAT G -BUNDLES VS FLAT $\text{Diff}_0(G)$ -BUNDLES

Let G be a finite dimensional connected Lie group. A flat G -bundle $p: E \rightarrow M$ over an oriented manifold M gives a cycle in the group homology of G^δ . We can consider such flat bundle as a flat $\text{Diff}'_0(G)$ -bundle by extending the holonomy group via the map $G \rightarrow \text{Diff}'_0(G)$, and ask whether it is a nontrivial cycle in group homology of $\text{Diff}'_0(G)$. In other words, we have the induced map

$$\alpha_r: \text{BG}^\delta \rightarrow \text{BDiff}'_0{}^{r, \delta}(G),$$

and we want to study whether α_r is homologically nontrivial. Conjecture 1.2 as we explained in the introduction suggests that this map might be trivial on integral homology in degrees less than $\dim(G) + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Recall that Milnor proved ([Mil83]) that for a compact or complex semi-simple Lie group $H_*(\text{BG}^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(\text{BG}; \mathbb{Q})$ is a trivial map. Given the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\text{BG}^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\text{BDiff}'_0{}^{r, \delta}(G); \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(\text{BG}; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\text{BDiff}'_0(G); \mathbb{Q}), \end{array}$$

and Conjecture 1.2, in homological dimension less than $\dim(G) + 1$, we expect that the top map is trivial. Note that since Conjecture 1.2 is known for $r = 0, 1$ in all homological degree, we already conclude the proof for low regularities. \square

So we assume that the regularity $r > 1$. Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{BG}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_r} & \overline{\text{BDiff}'_0(G)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{BG}^\delta & \xrightarrow{\alpha_r} & \text{BDiff}'_0{}^{r, \delta}(G). \end{array}$$

As we learned from Mather-Thurston's theory, it is sometimes easier to work with $\overline{\text{BDiff}'_0(G)}$ first. So we work with $\overline{\alpha}_r$ instead and in fact for compact Lie group or complex semisimple groups, studying $\overline{\alpha}_r$ would be enough for our purpose because of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For a compact Lie group or a complex semisimple group G , if the map $\overline{\alpha}_r$ induces a trivial map on $H_k(-; \mathbb{Q})$ so does α_r .*

Proof. Dupont ([Dup94, Theorem 3.1]) and Brylinski ([Bry93]) showed that the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$G \rightarrow \overline{\text{BG}} \rightarrow \text{BG}^\delta,$$

collapses rationally if G is a compact or complex semisimple Lie group. Therefore, in particular in these cases, the map

$$H_*(\overline{\text{BG}}; \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow H_*(\text{BG}^\delta; \mathbb{Q}),$$

is surjective. So if $\overline{\alpha}_r$ induces a trivial map on rational homology in some degree, so will be α_r . \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a real Lie group and K be a maximal compact subgroup. Then, the induced map*

$$\overline{\alpha}_{r*}: H_*(\overline{BG}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\overline{BDiff}_0^r(G); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is a trivial map for $* \leq \dim(G/K) + 1$.

Proof. In fact, we show that the group $H_*(\overline{BDiff}_0^r(G); \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial for $* \leq \dim(G/K) + 1$. Since G is parallelizable, by Mather-Thurston's theorem we have the homology isomorphism

$$\overline{BDiff}_0^r(G) \rightarrow \text{Map}(G, \overline{B}\Gamma_{\dim(G)}).$$

Since G is homotopy equivalent to its maximal compact subgroup we have $\text{Map}(G, \overline{B}\Gamma_{\dim(G)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Map}(K, \overline{B}\Gamma_{\dim(G)})$. On the other hand, by Thurston's theorem we know that $\overline{B}\Gamma_{\dim(G)}$ is at least $\dim(G) + 1$ connected. Therefore, $\text{Map}(K, \overline{B}\Gamma_{\dim(G)})$ is at least $\dim(G/K) + 1$ -connected. \square

Corollary 3.3. *For a complex semisimple Lie group G , the map*

$$(\alpha_r)_*: H_*(BG^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(BDiff_0^{\delta}(G); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is trivial for $* \leq \dim(G/K) + 1$.

Let us briefly remark that if we consider the corresponding maps in continuous cohomology theories, one gets a trivial in all degrees. It is a well known theorem of van Est that the continuous cohomology $H_{cont}^*(G; \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the relative Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of maximal compact subgroup. Since \overline{BG} is the realization of the etale groupoid given by the action of G^δ on G , one can similarly define the continuous (smooth) cohomology $H_{cont}^*(\overline{BG}; \mathbb{R})$ as in [Hae79, Sta78]. And there is a version of van Est which says that the continuous cohomology $H_{cont}^*(\overline{BG}; \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{cont}^*(\overline{BG}; \mathbb{R}) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^*(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{cont}^*(G; \mathbb{R}) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}). \end{array}$$

Similarly Brown-Szczarba ([BS94]) proved that $H_{cont}^*(\overline{BDiff}_0^r(M); \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the continuous Lie algebra cohomology (aka Gelfand-Fuks cohomology) $H^*(\text{Vect}(M))$. So $\overline{\alpha}_r$ on the level of the continuous cohomology is the map

$$H^*(\text{Vect}(G)) \rightarrow H^*(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Interestingly, this map is trivial in all degrees. Because, it is consequence of Bott-Segal's theorem ([BS77]) that $H^*(\text{Vect}(M))$ is trivial in degrees less than $\dim(M) + 1$ and $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$ is trivial by definition for degrees above $\dim(G)$. So as a consequence, for a semisimple Lie group G , the map between smooth group cohomologies

$$H_{cont}^*(\text{Diff}_0^r(G); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{cont}^*(G; \mathbb{R}),$$

is trivial in all degrees.

Now back to group homology with integer coefficients, recall that we know that $H_1(\overline{BDiff}_0^r(G); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $r \neq \dim(G) + 1$. Hence, the first nontrivial homological degree that α_r could be nontrivial for $r \neq \dim(G) + 1$ is

$$(\alpha_r)_*: H_2(BG^\delta; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\overline{BDiff}_0^{\delta}(G); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Sah-Wagoner ([SW77]) proved that for any connected Lie group G , the second group homology $H_2(\mathbf{B}G^\delta; \mathbb{Z})$ has a quotient group equal to a \mathbb{Q} -vector space of dimension equal to the continuum. We consider the case where G is abelian or $G = \mathrm{SU}_2$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a finite dimensional abelian connected Lie group or let it be SU_2 and $r \neq \dim(G) + 1$, then the induced map*

$$H_2(\mathbf{B}G^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G); \mathbb{Q})$$

is trivial.

Proof. First let us consider the abelian case. If G is not compact, then $G \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times T^n$ for some $k > 0$ and the group homology $H_*(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)^\delta \times \mathbf{B}(T^n)^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)^\delta; \mathbb{Q}) \times H_*(\mathbf{B}(T^n)^\delta; \mathbb{Q})$. We show that cycles in $H_*(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)^\delta; \mathbb{Q})$ map trivially into $H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G); \mathbb{Q})$. Note that $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)^\delta \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G)$ factors as follows

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)^\delta \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbf{B}(\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^k))^\delta \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(G).$$

There is a trick that apparently goes back to Quillen that the group homomorphism $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ induces an isomorphism on rational group homology (see [dLHM83, Lemma 4]). Therefore, the map β induces a trivial map on $H_*(-; \mathbb{Q})$.

So we assume that $G = T^k$. We shall first consider the case $k = 1$. Geometrically, any 2-cycle in $H_2(S^1; \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by a flat S^1 -bundle over the 2-torus. Equivalently, on the total space which is diffeomorphic to T^3 we have a foliation transverse to S^1 -fiber whose holonomy is given by a representation $\rho: \pi_1(T^2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rot}(S^1)$ of fundamental group of the base into the rotations of S^1 . Such a foliation is given by the integrable form $\omega = dz - (a \cdot dx + b \cdot dy)$ where z is the coordinate of the fiber and x any are the coordinates of the base. But not only ω is integrable but also it is closed. We learned from [MR74, Page 145] that two codimension 1-foliations on M that are defined by closed 1-forms ω_1 and ω_2 are in fact concordant. Because we can consider the foliation on $M \times [0, 1]$ that is defined by the integrable 1-form $dt + f_0(t) \cdot \omega_1 + f_1(t) \cdot \omega_2$ where f_i are smooth functions on the real line such that $\mathrm{Supp}(f_0(t)) \subset [0, 1/4]$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(f_1(t)) \subset [3/4, 1]$. So the foliation defined by ω is concordant to the foliation defined by $\omega' = dz$. But the foliation defined by ω' is foliated cobordant to zero since it is trivial horizontal foliation on $S^1 \times T^2$ which bounds the horizontal foliation on $S^1 \times (D^2 \times S^1)$. Hence, the foliation defined by ω gives a trivial cycle in $H_3(\mathbf{B}\Gamma_1^r; \mathbb{Z})$.

On the other hand, by Mather-Thurston's theorem $H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(S^1); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_3(\mathbf{B}\Gamma_1^r; \mathbb{Z})$ where the \mathbb{Z} summand is detected by the Euler class and $H_3(\mathbf{B}\Gamma_1^r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(S^1); \mathbb{Z})$. But the Euler class of the foliation defined by ω on $S^1 \times T^2$ is trivial and by the above argument it is also a trivial cycle in $H_3(\mathbf{B}\Gamma_1^r; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, the map

$$H_2(\mathbf{B}(S^1)^\delta; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(S^1); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is trivial.

Now for the case $G = T^n$, recall from the proof of Lemma 3.1 that $H_2(\overline{\mathbf{B}(T^n)}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbf{B}(T^n)^\delta; \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. So instead we shall prove that

$$H_2(\overline{\mathbf{B}(T^n)}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0^r(T^n)}; \mathbb{Q}),$$

is a trivial map. Recall that by Mather-Thurston's theorem and the connectivity of $\overline{\mathbf{B}\Gamma_n^r}$, for $r \neq \dim(G) + 1$, we have

$$H_2(\overline{\mathrm{BDiff}_0^r(T^n)}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{n+2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}\Gamma_n^r}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that any 2-cycle in $H_2(\overline{\mathbf{B}(T^n)}; \mathbb{Q})$ which is a trivial flat T^n -bundle over T^2 is trivial in $H_{n+2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}\Gamma_n^r}; \mathbb{Q})$, which in turn follows if we show that such flat T^n -bundles over T^2 are trivial in foliated cobordism group. Since the

holonomy group $\rho(\mathbb{Z}^2) < T^n$, the foliation is given similar to the previous case by the Pfaffian system

$$\omega_i = dz_i - (a_i \cdot dx + b_i \cdot dy) = 0 \text{ for all } i,$$

where z_i are coordinates of T^n fiber and x and y are coordinates of T^2 base. Again since these are all closed one forms, this foliation is foliated cobordant to the horizontal foliation on $T^n \times T^2$ given by $dz_i = 0$. But the horizontal foliation is trivial in foliated cobordism group so the image of this 2-cycle is trivial in $H_2(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0^r(T^n); \mathbb{Z})$.

Now let $G = \text{SU}_2$. Mather proved in a letter to Sah ([Mat75], see also [AD79]) that

$$H_2(\text{B}(S^1)^\delta; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\text{B}(\text{SU}_2)^\delta; \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective. So any 2-cycle in $H_2(\text{B}(\text{SU}_2)^\delta; \mathbb{Z})$ can be represented by a flat SU_2 -bundle over T^2 whose holonomy group $\rho: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \text{SU}_2$ lies in the maximal torus $S^1 < \text{SU}_2$. In particular, the holonomy lies in a subgroup generated on a one-parameter subgroup given by the flow of

$$u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$$

in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Let u_1 and u_2 be the other generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. So any element in $H_2(\text{B}(\text{SU}_2)^\delta; \mathbb{Z})$ can be represented by a foliation on $\text{SU}_2 \times T^2$ that is defined by the Pfaffian system

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_3 &= dz_3 - (a \cdot dx + b \cdot dy) = 0 \\ \omega_2 &= dz_2 = 0 \\ \omega_1 &= dz_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where dz_i are one forms dual to u_i in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Since this Pfaffian system is given by vanishing of closed forms, again by a similar argument for codimension 1-foliations ([MR74, Page 145]), it is foliated cobordant to a foliation given by $dz_i = 0$ for all i which is the horizontal codimension 3 foliation on $\text{SU}_2 \times T^2$. Therefore, it is trivial in foliated cobordism group. \square

The case of $G = \text{SU}_2$ is particularly interesting because its third group homology is also known and there are non-abelian cycles in degree 3. In particular, it is known ([Dup01, Corollary 9.19]) that $H_3(\text{BSU}_2^\delta; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} plus a nontrivial \mathbb{Q} vector space which is subspace of the scissors congruence $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The summand \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is again generated by abelian cycles but the other summand that is detected by scissors congruence group is not generated by abelian cycles and Conjecture 1.2 predicts that these cycles should be trivial too in $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^{r,\delta}(S^3); \mathbb{Z})$. In this direction, Reznikov ([Rez99, Theorem 6.6]) proved that Chern-Simons classes in $H^3(\text{BSO}_4(\mathbb{R})^\delta; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ lift to $H^3(\text{BDiff}^{\text{vol},\delta}(S^3); \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ where the group $\text{Diff}_0^{\text{vol},\delta}(S^3)$ is the volume preserving diffeomorphisms of S^3 made discrete. However, because of Conjecture 1.2, we expect that they cannot be further lifted to $H^3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^3); \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Conjecture 3.5. *Chern-Simons classes are in the cokernel of the map*

$$H^3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^3); \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(\text{BSO}_4(\mathbb{R})^\delta; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

4. SHRINKING THE HOLONOMY OF FLAT BUNDLES OVER 3-MANIFOLDS VIA CONJECTURE 1.2

Our guiding question in this section is to determine to what extent we could *localize* the holonomy group, up to bordism, to be supported in a ball. In other

words, let $\mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow M$ be an embedding of an open n -disk into an n -dimensional manifold M which induces a map

$$\mathrm{BDiff}_{c,0}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M).$$

It is easy to see that different embeddings induce the same map on homology. Hence, we would like to see in what homological degrees this map induces a surjection on homology. Our goal is to study this map in low homological degrees when the dimension of M is either 2 or 3. Recall that in Conjecture 1.2, the first unknown case is to determine whether the map

$$\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0(M),$$

induces an injection on $H_2(-; \mathbb{Z})$. As we shall see, our guiding question about *localizing* the holonomy is related to this first nontrivial case of Conjecture 1.2. Let's start with the surface case.

4.1. The case of surfaces. In [Nar17, Theorem 3.30], we studied the stable group homology of the entire group $\mathrm{Diff}^\delta(\Sigma_g)$ instead of $\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g)$. And we considered the effect of the map

$$\iota_g: \mathrm{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(\Sigma_g),$$

on homology in low degrees. We showed that there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & \mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(\Sigma_g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^2 \overline{\mathrm{BF}}_2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_0^\infty \mathrm{MT}\nu, \end{array}$$

where $\Omega_0^\infty \mathrm{MT}\nu$ is the base point component of a certain infinite loop space whose integral homology groups are isomorphic to the stable homology of $\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(\Sigma_g)$. Also the left vertical map is given by Mather-Thurston's theorem and is also homology isomorphism. We proved ([Nar17, Theorem 3.30]) that the bottom horizontal map induces a surjection on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Q})$. Therefore, the map ι_g induces a surjection on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Q})$ for $g > 5$.

As we shall see Conjecture 1.2 predicts that in fact the map

$$\mathrm{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g),$$

should induce a surjection on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Z})$. To be able to induct on the genus to approach such questions, let Σ be a surface with boundary and let γ be an arc whose two ends lie on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ and let $\Sigma \setminus \gamma$ be a surface obtained from Σ by cutting it along γ . We want to determine the cokernel of the map

$$H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \gamma, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma); \mathbb{Z}).$$

More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Σ be an oriented surface with a non-empty boundary. Let γ be an arc whose two ends lie on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ and let $\Sigma \setminus \gamma$ be a surface obtained from Σ by cutting it along γ . If γ is a non-separating arc, then we have a surjective map*

$$\alpha: H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \gamma, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(D^2, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

and if γ is a separating arc, we have a surjection

$$H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \gamma, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \mathrm{rel} \partial); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Theorem 4.2. *The restriction of the map α to $H_2(\text{BDiff}^\delta(D^2, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$ is injective. In particular, for a non-separating arc γ , if we have a surjection*

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \gamma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

then $H_2(\text{BDiff}^\delta(D^2, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ which implies Conjecture 1.2 for surfaces.

The reason that we only consider surfaces with boundary is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Sigma_{g,1} \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g$ be an embedding of a surface of genus g with one boundary component into the closed surface Σ_g . Then the natural map*

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is an isomorphism for all $g > 0$ and rationally for $g = 0$.

Proof. Recall by Smale's theorem ([Sma59]) the topological group $\text{Diff}_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is contractible. Therefore, we have $\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2) \simeq \overline{\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ which implies that up to homotopy, the map ι_g factors as follows

$$\text{BDiff}_{c,0}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \overline{\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g)} \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g).$$

For $g > 1$, we know by Earle-Eells' theorem ([EE69]) that $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_g) \simeq *$ which implies that for $g > 1$ in fact $\overline{\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g)} \simeq \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g)$. Let us first treat this case and then we consider the case of $g = 0$ and $g = 1$ separately. Recall from Mather-Thurston's theorem ([Mat11]) that $\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(\Sigma_g)}$ is homology isomorphic to the space of lifts of the map classifying the tangent bundle to $\text{B}\Gamma_2^+$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{B}\Gamma_2^+ \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \nu \\ \Sigma_g & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{BGL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}). \end{array}$$

Recall that $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma_2}$ is the homotopy fiber of the map ν and hence, there is a model for it on which $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ acts. Let $\text{Fr}(\Sigma_g)$ denote its oriented frame bundle. We can think of the above space of lifts of τ as the space of $\text{SO}(2)$ -equivariant maps $\text{Map}_{\text{SO}(2)}(\text{Fr}(\Sigma_g), \overline{\text{B}\Gamma_2})$. Therefore, we have a fibration

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Map}_{\text{SO}(2), \partial}(\text{Fr}(\Sigma_{g,1}), \overline{\text{B}\Gamma_2}) \xrightarrow{s} \text{Map}_{\text{SO}(2)}(\text{Fr}(\Sigma_g), \overline{\text{B}\Gamma_2}) \rightarrow \overline{\text{B}\Gamma_2},$$

where the subscript ∂ in the first term means those maps that send the boundary to the base point of $\text{B}\Gamma_2$. By Thurston's theorem ([Thu74a]), we know that is at least 3-connected. Therefore, the map s induces an isomorphism on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Z})$. But again given that $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial) \simeq *$ and by Mather-Thurston's theorem, $\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial)$ is homology isomorphic to $\text{Map}_{\text{SO}(2), \partial}(\text{Fr}(\Sigma_{g,1}), \overline{\text{B}\Gamma_2})$ which implies that for $g > 1$, the map

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_g); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is an isomorphism.

Now we do the case of $g = 0$ and $g = 1$. For $g = 0$, Smale's theorem ([Sma59]) says $\text{Diff}_0(S^2) \simeq \text{SO}(3)$. Therefore, the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(S^2)} \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^2) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0(S^2),$$

implies that $H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(S^2)}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^2); \mathbb{Q})$. Now the fibration 4.4 again implies

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(S^2)}; \mathbb{Q}),$$

is in fact isomorphism. For $g = 1$, Earle-Eells' theorem says $\text{Diff}_0(T^2) \simeq T^2$. Therefore, in particular we have $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0(T^2); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and the spectral sequence for

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(T^2)} \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(T^2) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0(T^2),$$

implies that $H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(T^2)}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(T^2); \mathbb{Z})$. So now again the same argument as the case $g > 1$ implies that $H_3(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(T^2)}; \mathbb{Z})$. \square

Remark 4.5. One can also prove Lemma 4.3 using the improvement of Haller's spectral sequence by Bowden in [Bow12, Lemma 6].

For a surface Σ with non-empty boundary, we have $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial) \simeq *$. Therefore, for all g , we have $\overline{\text{BDiff}_0(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial)} \simeq \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial)$ and since $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is parallelizable, the space $\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial)$, by Mather-Thurston's theorem, is homology isomorphic to $\text{Map}_\partial(\Sigma_{g,1}, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2)$. Similar to the fibration 4.4, we have

$$\text{Map}_\partial(\Sigma_{g-1,1}, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2) \rightarrow \text{Map}_\partial(\Sigma_{g,1}, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2) \rightarrow \Omega \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2 \times \Omega \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2.$$

But since we do not know whether $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2$ is 4-connected (i.e. Conjecture 1.2 for surfaces), we do not know whether $H_3(\Omega \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2 \times \Omega \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial and also it does not seem easy to the author how to show that d_3 differential in the Serre spectral sequence out of $H_3(\Omega \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2 \times \Omega \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2; \mathbb{Z})$ is injective. Hence, instead of using this fibration to show that

$$\text{Map}_\partial(\Sigma_{g-1,1}, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2) \rightarrow \text{Map}_\partial(\Sigma_{g,1}, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_2),$$

induces a surjection on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Z})$, we use semi-simplicial techniques to find a semi-simplicial resolution for $\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{g,1}, \text{rel } \partial)$ and compare them as g varies in low homological degree.

Definition 4.6. Let $\phi_i : D^1 \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ be smooth embeddings for $i = 1, 2$ into the surface Σ with non-empty boundary such that $\phi_i(D^1 \times \mathbb{R}) \cap \partial M = \phi_i(S^0 \times \mathbb{R})$. We think of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 as embeddings of the core arcs $\phi_i(D^1 \times \{0\})$ with a data of a tubular neighborhood around its core. We say ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 have the same germ around their core if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\phi_1(D^1 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)) = \phi_2(D^1 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)).$$

We denote the germ of ϕ_i around its core by $[\phi_i]$ and we call ϕ a fat arc.

Definition 4.7. Let γ be a fixed fat arc in Σ such that its core relative to its endpoints is an isotopically nontrivial arc. We first define a semisimplicial set $\mathbf{A}_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma)$ associated to γ as follows

- The set of 0-simplices $\mathbf{A}_0(\Sigma, \gamma)$, consists of $[\phi]$ where $[\phi]$ is a germ of a fat arc $D^q \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ so that for a positive ϵ we have

$$(4.8) \quad \phi|_{S^0 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)} = \gamma|_{S^0 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)},$$

and $\phi(D^1 \times \{0\})$ is isotopic to $\gamma(D^1 \times \{0\})$ relative to the boundary.

- The set of p -simplices $\mathbf{A}_p(\Sigma, \gamma)$, consists of $(p+1)$ -tuples

$$([\phi_0], [\phi_1]), \dots, [\phi_p],$$

in $\mathbf{A}_0(\Sigma, \gamma)^{p+1}$ so that the interior of the embedded cores $\phi_i(D^1 \times \{0\})$ are disjoint and their clockwise order at the initial point $\gamma(\{-1\} \times \{0\})$ matches with their anti-clockwise order at their end point $\gamma(\{1\} \times \{0\})$ and in turn these orders match with the order of the vertices of the simplex.

Note that $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)$ acts on $A_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma)$ and we define the semi-simplicial resolution associated to γ to be the augmented semisimplicial space:

$$X_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma) := A_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma) // \text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial) \xrightarrow{f_\gamma} \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial).$$

By [Nar20a, Lemma 3.31], we have

Proposition 4.9. *The realization $|A_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma)|$ is contractible.*

Therefore, by Proposition 4.9, the map f_γ induces a weak homotopy equivalence $|A_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma) // \text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)$. Now we consider the spectral sequence induced by the skeletal filtration on the realization $|A_\bullet(\Sigma, \gamma) // \text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)|$ (see [ERW19]) where E^1 -page is

$$(4.10) \quad H_q(A_p(\Sigma, \gamma) // \text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}).$$

On the other hand, given that for two p -simplices $\sigma = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)$ and $\sigma' = (\phi'_0, \phi'_1, \dots, \phi'_p)$ the arcs have the same order at their end points, they are on the same orbit. Therefore, the action of $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)$ on $A_p(\Sigma, \gamma)$ is transitive. Hence, the homotopy type of $A_p(\Sigma, \gamma) // \text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)$ is the same as $\text{BStab}^\delta(\sigma_p)$ where σ_p is an element in $A_p(\Sigma, \gamma)$.

Lemma 4.11. *The group $\text{Stab}^\delta(\sigma_p)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial)$ where $\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p$ is a surface obtained from Σ by cutting some small disjoint tubular neighborhood of the core arcs in σ_p .*

Proof. Let $\text{Stab}(\sigma_p)$ be the same group as $\text{Stab}^\delta(\sigma_p)$ but with the subspace topology as the subgroup $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)$. It is clear that the identity component $\text{Stab}_0(\sigma_p)$ as an abstract group is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial)$. Hence, to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that the topological group $\text{Stab}(\sigma_p)$ is connected for all p . Note that $\pi_0(\text{Stab}(\sigma_p))$ is the kernel of the map

$$\pi_0(\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)).$$

But this kernel is trivial (see [PR00, Corollary 4.2] for an elementary proof) i.e. if $f \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)$ fixes σ_p , then it is isotopic to the identity relative the arcs in σ_p . \square

So the E^1 -page of the spectral sequence 4.10 is given by $H_q(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that by Thurston's theorem ([Thu74a]), we know $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial)$ is a simple group. Hence, it is also perfect which means that its first group homology vanishes. So we have $E_{1,q}^1 = 0$ for all q . And the 0-th row is given by chain complex $E_{0,p}^1 = \mathbb{Z}$ and the differential is the alternate sum of the maps induced by the face maps. Note that on the 0-th row, the face maps induce the identity map so the differential $d^1: E_{0,q}^1 \rightarrow E_{0,q-1}^1$ is the identity if q is even and it is a trivial map if q is odd. Hence, $E_{0,q}^2 = 0$ for $q > 0$ and $E_{0,0}^2 = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. We first assume that the arc γ is non-separating. The first few lines of E^1 -page of the spectral sequence

$$H_*(|A_p(\Sigma, \gamma) // \text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)|; \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

looks like the figure 1 where A denotes the abelian group $H_2(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z})$. Using Mather-Thurston's theorem and similar isomorphism as in 2.1, the natural map

$$A \rightarrow H_2(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is an isomorphism independent of the genus of Σ . Hence, for each p -simplex σ_p , since $\Sigma \setminus \sigma_p$ has $p+1$ connected components, by mapping disks into each component we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.12) \quad A^{p+1} \cong H_2(\text{BStab}^\delta(\sigma_p); \mathbb{Z}).$$




FIGURE 1. The first page of the homology spectral sequence calculating $H_*(|A_p(\Sigma, \gamma)/\text{Diff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial)|; \mathbb{Z})$ when γ is non-separating. The group A is isomorphic to $H_2(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z})$.

To determine the differential of the chain complex $(A^{\bullet+1}, d)$ in the second row of the spectral sequence 4.10 and its homology, we shall first determine the map induced the face maps and then the differential would be their alternate sum.

For a fixed p -simplex σ_p , the i -th face map gives rise to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(\text{BStab}^\delta(\sigma_p); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{d_{i*}} & H_2(\text{BStab}^\delta(d_i\sigma_p); \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ A^{p+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{i*}} & A^p. \end{array}$$

Claim. The map $d_{i*}: A^{p+1} \rightarrow A^p$ for $i < p$ is given by

$$d_i(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p) = (a_0, \dots, a_i + a_{i+1}, \dots, a_p),$$

and for $i = p$, we have

$$d_p(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p) = (a_p + a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}).$$

We argue for $p = 1$ and d_{0*} and the general case is similar. Note that $\Sigma \setminus \sigma_1$ has two components, one is diffeomorphic to the 2-disk and the other is diffeomorphic to a surface Σ_{σ_1} of genus $g(\Sigma) - 1$. Let $e: \mathbb{R}^2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{\sigma_1}$ be a smooth embedding. Note that the composition

$$(4.13) \quad H_2(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{e_*} H_2(\text{BStab}^\delta(\sigma_1); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{d_{0*}} H_2(\text{BStab}^\delta(d_0\sigma_1); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is an isomorphism and with our identification of the first and the last term with A , it is in fact the identity. On the other hand, we have

$$H_2(\text{BStab}^\delta(\sigma_1); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{\sigma_1}, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z}),$$

but e_* maps to $H_2(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma_{\sigma_1}, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, with the isomorphism 4.12, the sequence 4.13 can be written as

$$A \xrightarrow{(id, 0)} A^2 \xrightarrow{d_{0*}} A.$$

Since the composition is identity, the map $d_{0*}(a_0, 0) = a_0$. Similarly, we could consider an embedding $e': \mathbb{R}^2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma \setminus \sigma_1$ that lies in the complement of Σ_{σ_1} . Hence,

we obtain $d_{0*}(0, a_1) = a_1$. Since, d_{0*} is additive, we have $d_{0*}(a_0, a_1) = a_0 + a_1$. The argument for other differentials are exactly the same.

Now since we are only interested in $E_{1,2}^2 = E_{1,2}^\infty$, we calculate the first homology of the chain complex $(A^{\bullet+1}, d)$ by looking at the first few terms

$$A^3 \xrightarrow{d} A^2 \xrightarrow{0} A,$$

where $d(a_0, a_1, a_2) = (a_0 + a_1, a_2) - (a_0, a_1 + a_2) + (a_2 + a_0, a_1) = (a_0 + a_1 + a_2, 0)$. So the cokernel of d which is $E_{1,2}^\infty$ is isomorphic to A . And $E_{0,3}^\infty$ is a quotient of $H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \gamma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, we have a surjection

$$\alpha: H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \gamma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \oplus A \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the restriction of α to the summand A is injective.

Now if γ is a separating arc, the difference with the previous case is the complement of σ_p has $p + 2$ components instead of $p + 1$ but the rest of the argument is the same. Hence, the second row of the first page is

$$\dots \rightarrow A^4 \xrightarrow{d} A^3 \xrightarrow{d} A^2,$$

where $d: A^{p+2} \rightarrow A^{p+1}$ is given by

$$d(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i (a_0, \dots, a_i + a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{p+1}).$$

Let $h: A^{p+1} \rightarrow A^{p+2}$ be defined by $h(a_0, \dots, a_{p+1}) = (a_0, \dots, a_{p+1}, 0)$. It is standard to check that h gives a contracting chain homotopy. Therefore, the homology of this chain complex vanishes in positive degrees and in particular, we obtained that $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ for $p > 0$ and $q < 3$. Hence, in this case we have a surjection

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma \setminus \sigma_0, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \cong E_{0,3}^1 \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\Sigma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}).$$

□

4.2. The case of irreducible 3-manifold. In dimension 3, the group $\text{Diff}(D^3, \text{rel } \partial)$ is contractible by Hatcher's theorem ([Hat83]). So Conjecture 1.2 predicts that $H_*(\text{BDiff}_\partial^\delta(D^3); \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes in degrees less than 4. The following theorem translates the vanishing of $H_2(\text{BDiff}_\partial^\delta(D^3); \mathbb{Z})$ into a *stability* of the third group homology.

Theorem 4.14. *Let M be an irreducible 3 manifold with nonempty boundary. Let $\gamma: \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ be a proper embedding of an incompressible surface Σ in M with one boundary component. Let $M \setminus \gamma$ be a 3-manifold obtained from M by cutting it along the embedding γ . If Σ is a non-separating surface, then we have a surjective map*

$$\alpha: H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \gamma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(\text{BDiff}^\delta(D^3, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

and α is injective on $H_2(\text{BDiff}^\delta(D^3, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$. If Σ is a separating surface, we have a surjection

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \gamma, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Similar to the case of surfaces, first let us observe why we reduce to the case of Haken 3-manifolds with non-empty boundary. And then the case of Haken 3-manifolds with non-empty boundary can be treated inductively using Haken hierarchy.

Proposition 4.15. *Let M be a closed irreducible 3-manifold with a nontrivial fundamental group. Let $\phi: D^2 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow M$ be an embedding of a solid torus whose core is an essential curve in M . Then, we have an induced map*

$$H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \phi, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is surjective.

Proof. Recall that the generalized Smale's conjecture ([BK21]) implies that the topological group $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ is either contractible or it is homotopy equivalent to $(S^1)^k$ where k is rank of $\pi_1(M)$. Hence, the spectral sequence for the fibration

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0(M),$$

implies that $H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. On the other hand, $M \setminus \phi$ is a Haken 3-manifold with a non-empty boundary. Hence, by Hatcher's theorem ([Hat76]) the group $\text{Diff}_0(M \setminus \phi, \text{rel } \partial)$ is contractible which implies that $H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(M \setminus \phi, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \phi, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$\iota_* : H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(M \setminus \phi, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(M); \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective. Now similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3, we use Mather-Thurston's theorem (recall that 3-manifolds are parallelizable) and the fibration

$$(4.16) \quad \text{Map}_\partial(M \setminus \phi, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Map}(M, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3) \rightarrow \text{Map}(D^2 \times S^1, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3).$$

We know that $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3$ is at least 4-connected and since $\text{Map}(D^2 \times S^1, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3) \simeq \text{Map}(S^1, \overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3)$, the base of this fibration is at least 3-connected. So the map f induces an isomorphism on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Z})$. And by Mather-Thurston's theorem ι_* is in fact an isomorphism. \square

First let us observe that the case of $M \cong S^3$ can be treated similarly. So by Hatcher's theorem ([Hat83]) we know that $\text{Diff}_0(S^3) \simeq \text{SO}(4)$. And the fibration

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(S^3) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^3) \rightarrow \text{BSO}(4),$$

implies that $H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(S^3); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_3(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(S^3); \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Now using Mather-Thurston's theorem as above and the connectivity of $\overline{\text{B}\Gamma}_3$, we obtain that

$$H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(D^3, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_0(S^3); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is surjective.

So from now on, we assume that M is a Haken 3-manifold with a non-empty boundary. Let $\phi: S \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow M$ be a smooth embedding whose core $\phi(S \times \{0\})$ is an incompressible surface in M with one boundary component such that $\phi(\partial S \times \{0\}) = \partial M \cap \phi(S \times \{0\})$. Similar to Definition 4.6, we define the germ of $[\phi]$ around its core and let $M \setminus \phi$ denote the 3-manifold obtained from M by cutting it along $\phi(S \times \{0\})$. So $M \setminus \phi$ is lower in the Haken hierarchy compared to M . Since we have fixed the embedding ϕ , we have a normal vector to the core pointing towards $\phi(S \times \{1\})$. Similar to the surface case, we shall define a semisimplicial set to reduce Theorem 4.14 for M to the case of $M \setminus \phi$.

Definition 4.17. Let $K_\bullet(M, \phi)$ be a semisimplicial set whose 0-simplicies consist of germs $[\psi]$ of embeddings $S \times \mathbb{R}$ into M such that

$$\psi(\partial S \times \{0\}) = \phi(\partial S \times \{0\}).$$

Given that S has one boundary component, a set $\{[\psi_0], \dots, [\psi_p]\}$ of vertices whose cores are disjoint has a natural ordering since near the boundary the union of the cores are diffeomorphic to an open book with the binding $\phi(\partial S \times \{0\})$. So we let the set of p -simplicies be the subset of $K_0(M, \phi)^{p+1}$ consisting of those tuples $([\psi_0], \dots, [\psi_p])$ where the interior of their cores $\psi_i(S \times \{0\})$ are pairwise disjoint and their order matches with their order induced from the boundary. The i -th face maps is given by forgetting the i -th entry.

The group $\text{Diff}_0^\delta(M, \text{rel } \partial)$ naturally acts transitively on simplices of the semisimplicial set $K_\bullet(M, \phi)$. And by [Nar20a, Proposition 4.21], we have the following contractibility result.

Lemma 4.18. *The realization $|K_\bullet(M, \phi)|$ is contractible.*

Therefore, we have a spectral sequence similar to 4.10

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_q(\text{BStab}^\delta(\sigma_p); \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}),$$

where σ_p is an element in $K_p(M, \phi)$. Laudenbach ([Lau74, pp. 48-62]) showed that if $f \in \text{Diff}_0(M, \text{rel } \partial)$ fixes an incompressible surface S , then f is isotopic to the identity relative to S which implies that $\pi_0(\text{Stab}(\sigma_p))$ is trivial for all p . Hence, the same statement as Lemma 4.11 holds for Haken 3-manifolds with a non-empty boundary. So this implies that the spectral sequence is given

$$H_q(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Thurston's theorem $H_1(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all p . Also let us denote the group $H_2(\text{BDiff}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^3); \mathbb{Z})$ by A again. Then if $\phi(S \times \{0\})$ is non-separating $M \setminus \sigma_p$ has $p+1$ components and if it is separating $M \setminus \sigma_p$ has $p+2$ components. By Mather-Thurston's theorem, $H_2(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(M \setminus \sigma_p, \text{rel } \partial); \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to A^{p+1} in the former case and to A^{p+2} in the latter case. So E^1 -page of the spectral sequence in the respective cases are also isomorphic to the ones for surfaces. Hence, the rest follows mutatis mutandis.

REFERENCES

- [AD79] RC Alperin and R Keith Dennis. K2 of quaternion algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 56(1):262–273, 1979.
- [BK19] Richard H Bamler and Bruce Kleiner. Ricci flow and contractibility of spaces of metrics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08710*, 2019.
- [BK21] Richard H Bamler and Bruce Kleiner. Diffeomorphism groups of prime 3-manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.03302*, 2021.
- [Bot72] Raoul Bott. *Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations*. Springer, 1972.
- [Bow12] Jonathan Bowden. The homology of surface diffeomorphism groups and a question of Morita. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(7):2543–2549, 2012.
- [Bry93] Jean-Luc Brylinski. The degeneracy of two spectral sequences. In *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992*, pages 1–10. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [BS77] Raul Bott and Graeme Segal. The cohomology of the vector fields on a manifold. *Topology*, 16(4):285–298, 1977.
- [BS94] Edgar H. Brown, Jr. and Robert H. Szczarba. Split complexes, continuous cohomology, and Lie algebras. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 45(179):279–299, 1994.
- [dLHM83] Pierre de La Harpe and Dusa McDuff. Acyclic groups of automorphisms. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 58(1):48–71, 1983.
- [DPS88] Johan L. Dupont, Walter Parry, and Chih-Han Sah. Homology of classical Lie groups made discrete. II. H_2, H_3 , and relations with scissors congruences. *J. Algebra*, 113(1):215–260, 1988.
- [Dup94] Johan L. Dupont. Characteristic classes for flat bundles and their formulas. *Topology*, 33(3):575–590, 1994.
- [Dup01] Johan L. Dupont. *Scissors congruences, group homology and characteristic classes*, volume 1 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [EE69] Clifford J Earle and James Eells. A fibre bundle description of teichmüller theory. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 3(1-2):19–43, 1969.
- [Eps70] D. B. A. Epstein. The simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms. *Compositio Math.*, 22:165–173, 1970.
- [ERW19] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams. Semisimplicial spaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 19(4):2099–2150, 2019.
- [Fei82] B. L. Feigin. Cohomology of contact Lie algebras. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 35(8):1029–1031, 1982.

- [Fre20] Michael Freedman. Controlled Mather-Thurston theorems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.00374*, 2020.
- [Gab01] David Gabai. The Smale conjecture for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: $\text{Isom}(M^3) \simeq \text{Diff}(M^3)$. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 58(1):113–149, 2001.
- [Gre92] Peter Greenberg. Generators and relations in the classifying space for PL foliations. *Topology Appl.*, 48(3):185–205, 1992.
- [Hae71] André Haefliger. Homotopy and integrability. In *Manifolds-Amsterdam 1970*, pages 133–163. Springer, 1971.
- [Hae79] A. Haefliger. Differential cohomology. In *Differential topology (Varenna, 1976)*, pages 19–70. Liguori, Naples, 1979.
- [Hat76] Allen Hatcher. Homeomorphisms of sufficiently large P^2 -irreducible 3-manifolds. *Topology*, 15(4):343–347, 1976.
- [Hat81] A. Hatcher. On the diffeomorphism group of $S^1 \times S^2$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83(2):427–430, 1981.
- [Hat83] Allen E Hatcher. A proof of the Smale conjecture, $\text{Diff}(S^3) \simeq O(4)$. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 553–607, 1983.
- [HKMR12] Sungbok Hong, John Kalliongis, Darryl McCullough, and J. Hyam Rubinstein. *Diffeomorphisms of elliptic 3-manifolds*, volume 2055 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [Hur83] Steven Hurder. Global invariants for measured foliations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 280(1):367–391, 1983.
- [Iva76] N. V. Ivanov. Groups of diffeomorphisms of Waldhausen manifolds. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 66:172–176, 209, 1976. Studies in topology, II.
- [Lan94] Rémi Langevin. A list of questions about foliations. In *Differential topology, foliations, and group actions (Rio de Janeiro, 1992)*, volume 161 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 59–80. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Lau74] François Laudenbach. *Topologie de la dimension trois: homotopie et isotopie*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1974. With an English summary and table of contents, Astérisque, No. 12.
- [Mat71] John N. Mather. The vanishing of the homology of certain groups of homeomorphisms. *Topology*, 10:297–298, 1971.
- [Mat74] John N. Mather. Commutators of diffeomorphisms. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 49:512–528, 1974.
- [Mat75] John Mather. Mather’s letter to Sah. *Unpublished*, 1975.
- [Mat11] John N Mather. On the homology of Haefliger’s classifying space. In *Differential Topology*, pages 71–116. Springer, 2011.
- [McD83] Dusa McDuff. Some canonical cohomology classes on groups of volume preserving diffeomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 275(1):345–356, 1983.
- [Mil83] John Milnor. On the homology of lie groups made discrete. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 58(1):72–85, 1983.
- [Mor85] Shigeyuki Morita. Discontinuous invariants of foliations. In *Foliations (Tokyo, 1983)*, volume 5 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 169–193. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [MR74] R. Moussu and R. Roussarie. Relations de conjugaison et de cobordisme entre certains feuilletages. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 43:143–168, 1974.
- [MS13] Darryl McCullough and Teruhiko Soma. The Smale conjecture for Seifert fibered spaces with hyperbolic base orbifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 93(2):327–353, 2013.
- [Nar17] Sam Nariman. Stable homology of surface diffeomorphism groups made discrete. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(5):3047–3092, 2017.
- [Nar20a] Sam Nariman. A local to global argument on low dimensional manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 373(2):1307–1342, 2020.
- [Nar20b] Sam Nariman. Thurston’s fragmentation, non-abelian poincare duality and c-principles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.04156*, 2020.
- [Nar22] Sam Nariman. PL-homeomorphisms of surfaces and codimension 2 PL-foliations. *to appear*, 2022.
- [PR00] Luis Paris and Dale Rolfsen. Geometric subgroups of mapping class groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 521:47–83, 2000.
- [Rez99] Alexander Reznikov. Continuous cohomology of the group of volume-preserving and symplectic diffeomorphisms, measurable transfer and higher asymptotic cycles. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 5(1):181–198, 1999.
- [Sah86] Chih-Han Sah. Homology of classical Lie groups made discrete. I. Stability theorems and Schur multipliers. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 61(2):308–347, 1986.

- [Sah89] Chih-Han Sah. Homology of classical Lie groups made discrete. III. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 56(3):269–312, 1989.
- [Sma59] Stephen Smale. Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10:621–626, 1959.
- [Sta78] James D. Stasheff. Continuous cohomology of groups and classifying spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 84(4):513–530, 1978.
- [SW77] Chih Han Sah and John B. Wagoner. Second homology of Lie groups made discrete. *Comm. Algebra*, 5(6):611–642, 1977.
- [Thu74a] William Thurston. Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 80(2):304–307, 1974.
- [Thu74b] William Thurston. The theory of foliations of codimension greater than one. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 49:214–231, 1974.
- [Thu76] W. P. Thurston. Existence of codimension-one foliations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(2):249–268, 1976.
- [Tsu85] Takashi Tsuboi. On the homology of classifying spaces for foliated products. In *Foliations (Tokyo, 1983)*, volume 5 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 37–120. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [Tsu88] Takashi Tsuboi. On the homomorphism $H_*(B\mathbf{R}^\delta) \rightarrow H_*(B\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})^\delta)$. In *A fête of topology*, pages 33–47. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [Tsu89] Takashi Tsuboi. On the foliated products of class C^1 . *Ann. Math.*, 130:227–271, 1989.
- [Tsu13] Takashi Tsuboi. Several problems in group of diffeomorphisms. In *Geometry and Foliations*, pages 195–200. The University of Tokyo, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067,

Email address: `snariman@purdue.edu`