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ABSTRACT

We extend General Relativity by adding 4-form field strengths to the gravitational sector of the theory. This promotes Planck scale and the cosmological constant into integration constants of these 4-forms. When we include the charges of the 4-forms, these ‘constants’ can jump discretely from region to region. We explain how the cosmological constant problem can be solved in this framework. When the cosmological constant picks up contributions from two different 4-forms, with an irrational ratio of charges, the spectrum of its values is a very fine discretuum. If the membrane charges satisfy $\frac{2\kappa^2 \gamma^2 |Q_i|}{3T_i^2} < 1$, the discharge processes populating this discretuum dynamically relax $\Lambda$, ceasing as $\Lambda$ approaches zero. Thus the theory exponentially favors a huge hierarchy $\Lambda/M_{Pl}^4 \ll 1$ instead of $\Lambda/M_{Pl}^4 \simeq 1$.
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In this Letter, we discuss the salient features of our recent generalization \cite{1} of standard General Relativity (GR) \cite{2, 3} where Planck scale, the cosmological term, and the matter sector couplings are discretely varying quantities throughout spacetime. Our principal new insight is that Hilbert’s formulation of GR \cite{2} can be extended using an arbitrary 4-form $F = dA$ as the covariant integration measure in the action, which then involves three derivatives. To make sure this measure is locally a constant multiple of the standard measure $\sqrt{gd^4x}$, we use another 4-form $G = dB$, coupled to $F$ via the interaction $F \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \sqrt{g} G_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$. This promotes the constant ratio $F/d^4x\sqrt{g}$ into a local Planck scale, and the flux of $G$ shifts the cosmological constant. Our Pancosmic GR generalizes\cite{4–11} the so-called “unimodular” formulation of GR. We then couple matter to geometry using the conformal 4-form/matter coupling, which is locally indistinguishable from GR, and is robust to QFT loop corrections \cite{21, 22}. We can show this theory is safe from ghosts in this particular case \cite{1}.

When we include membranes charged under 4-forms $F$ and $G$ their quantum-mechanical nucleation will lead to local changes of the fluxes of $F$ and $G$, which jump up or down in the bubbles of space inside the membranes. As a result in the interior of the bubbles the effective strength of gravity and the value of cosmological constant, and also the values of couplings and scales of local matter theory jump relative to the outside.

A sequence of such nested expanding bubbles will scan both $\kappa^2$ and $\Lambda$ over a wide range of values of parameters. The semiclassical bubbling ‘vacua’ of Pancosmic GR realize a toy model of the multiverse of eternal inflation \cite{23}. We use this toy multiverse to argue how the cosmological constant problem \cite{24–26} may be solved. We include another 4-form which is degenerate with the cosmological constant, with its corresponding system of charges. When the ratio of charges of our two 4-forms which add up in the total cosmological constant is an irrational number, the spectrum of values of $\Lambda$ is a very fine discretuum \cite{27}. Moreover, when $2\kappa^2/\kappa^4_{\text{eff}} < 1$ the bounce action which controls the rates of the transitions that change $\Lambda$ has a pole at $\Lambda = 0$, driving $\Lambda$ to $0^+$ dynamically, and stopping there.

In this case, the distribution of the terminal values is effectively controlled by the semiclassical Euclidean partition function \cite{28–31}, which has an essential singularity\footnote{Some alternatives to minimal measure in the action were noted in \cite{12–20}.} at $\Lambda/\kappa^4_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$. The theory exponentially favors vacua with $\Lambda_{\text{flat}}/\kappa^4_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0 \ll 1$. Then we can turn to a very mild ‘weak anthropic’ determination of Newton’s constant. The theory avoids the empty universe problem of \cite{31}, and can admit inflation. The cosmological constant problem reduces to “Why now?”, whose answers might involve late time physics.

A simplified example of the action which generalizes Einstein-Hilbert action \cite{2, 3} is \cite{1}

$$S = \int \mathcal{F}\left(R - \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} G_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\right) - \int d^4x \sqrt{g} L_{\text{QFT}} + S_{\text{membranes}}.$$  

Here $\mathcal{F} = dA$, $\mathcal{G} = dB$, and $\mathcal{M}$ is a UV scale controlling the maximal flux the theory can admit. Careful variation yields field equations, which without membrane sources are

$$\frac{\epsilon_{\rho\kappa\gamma\delta}}{4!} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\kappa\gamma\delta} \left(\frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma} G_{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma} \delta^\mu_\nu - 2 R^\mu_\nu}{4! \sqrt{g}}\right) = T^\mu_\nu, \quad R - \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} G_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}}{4! \sqrt{g}} = 2\lambda, \quad -\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}}{4! \sqrt{g}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}.$$  

\footnote{This requires a careful evaluation of the Euclidean action \cite{32, 33}.}
The last two equations are integrated variations with respect to $A$ and $B$, and include the integration constants $\kappa^2$ and $\lambda$. A direct substitution of these two into the first gives

\[
\kappa^2 \left( R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} R \delta_{\mu \nu} \right) = -\kappa^2 \lambda \delta_{\mu \nu} + T_{\mu \nu},
\]

which are the local equations of GR. Yet both Planck scale and the cosmological constant are integration constants. Our equations (2), (3) describe an infinity of GRs parameterized by $\kappa^2$, $\lambda$, which do not mix with each other until the membranes are turned on.

The membrane action is

\[
S_{\text{membranes}} = -\mathcal{T}_A \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma_A} - Q_A \int \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{T}_B \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma_B} - Q_B \int \mathcal{B},
\]

where

\[
\int \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{6} \int d^3 \xi A_{\mu \nu \lambda} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \xi^\beta} \frac{\partial x^\lambda}{\partial \xi^\gamma} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma},
\]

and likewise for $B$. Here $\mathcal{T}_i$, $Q_i$ are the membrane tension and charge, while $\xi^\alpha$ are the intrinsic membrane coordinates and embedding maps are $x^\mu = x^\mu(\xi^\alpha)$. Their winding direction determines the sign of the charge. We take $\mathcal{T}_i > 0$ to exclude negative local energy.

Classically, membranes are sources fixed by initial conditions. However, quantum mechanically they can nucleate in background fields [34, 35]. This changes the distribution of sources and the evolution of bubble interiors. The classically fixed ‘initial conditions’ mix up. As a result any background will evolve by growing subspaces with different $\kappa^2$ and $\lambda$.

The analysis simplifies when we transition to the magnetic dual variables of the 4-forms $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ [1]. We use Lagrange multipliers and rewrite the 4-form sector of (4) in the first order formalism. Each pair of variables $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are treated as independent dynamical variables and $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{G} = d\mathcal{B}$ follow as constraints enforced by Lagrange multipliers, $\mathcal{P}_A$, $\mathcal{P}_B$. The general first order path integral is

\[
Z = \int \ldots [\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}][\mathcal{D}\mathcal{B}][\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}][\mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}][\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}_A][\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}_B] e^{iS(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},...,)+i \int \mathcal{P}_A(\mathcal{F} - d\mathcal{A})+i \int \mathcal{P}_B(\mathcal{G} - d\mathcal{B})} \ldots .
\]

Different dual pictures ensue from different order of integration, as in e.g. the formulations of flux monodromy models of inflation [36–38]. So to dualize we integrate out $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, and redefine the Lagrange multipliers according to $\mathcal{P}_A = 2\lambda$, $\mathcal{P}_B = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}$. In terms of the dual variables our action, with the membrane terms from (4), is [1]

\[
S = \int d^4 x \left\{ \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{\kappa^2}{2} R - \kappa^2 \lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\text{QFT}} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{3} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \partial_\mu A_{\nu \lambda \sigma} - \frac{\kappa^2}{12} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \partial_\mu B_{\nu \lambda \sigma} \right\} + S_{\text{boundary}} - \mathcal{T}_A \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma_A} - Q_A \int \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{T}_B \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma_B} - Q_B \int \mathcal{B}.
\]

$S_{\text{boundary}}$ is a generalization of the Israel-Gibbons-Hawking boundary action [39–41],

\[
S_{\text{boundary}} = \int d^3 \xi \left( \frac{\lambda}{3} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} A_{\alpha \beta \gamma} + \frac{\kappa^2}{12} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} B_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \right) - \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma} [\kappa^2 K].
\]
The Israel-Gibbons-Hawking action changes slightly by

\[ S = \left\{ \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{M_{Pl}^2 + \kappa^2}{2} R - \kappa^2 \lambda - \frac{\kappa^2}{2 \mathcal{M}^2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{QFT}}(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu}) - \frac{\lambda}{3} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \partial_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda A_\sigma - \frac{\kappa^2}{12} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \partial_\mu B_\nu B_\lambda \right) \right\}
+ S_{\text{boundary}} - T_A \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma} A - Q_A \int \mathcal{A} - T_B \int d^3 \xi \sqrt{\gamma} B - Q_B \int B. \]  

(9)

The variation of (9) yields [1]

\[ (M_{Pl}^2 + \kappa^2) G^{\mu \nu} = -\kappa^2 \lambda \delta^{\mu \nu} + T^{\mu \nu} + \ldots, \quad \delta \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma}, \]

\[ \delta \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} = \frac{2\kappa^2 \lambda - \kappa^2 R - T/4}{4\kappa^2} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma}, \quad 2n^{\mu} \partial_\mu \lambda = Q_A \delta(r - r_0), \quad \frac{1}{2} n^{\mu} \partial_\mu \kappa^2 = Q_B \delta(r - r_0). \]

The Israel-Gibbons-Hawking action changes slightly by \( \kappa^2 \rightarrow \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 = M_{Pl}^2 + \kappa^2. \)

To Wick-rotate the action we use \( t = -ix_0^0, \) and turn the crank [1]. Defining the Euclidean action by \( iS = -S_E \) and restricting to locally maximally symmetric backgrounds, \( \langle \mathcal{L}_{\text{QFT}}^E \rangle = \Lambda_{\text{QFT}}, \) with \( \Lambda_{\text{QFT}} \) the matter sector vacuum energy to an arbitrary loop order,

\[ S_E = \int d^4 x E \left\{ \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{-\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2}{2} R_E + \kappa^2 \lambda + \Lambda_{\text{QFT}} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{3} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \partial_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda A_\sigma - \frac{\kappa^2}{12} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \partial_\mu B_\nu B_\lambda \right\}
+ S_{\text{boundary}} + T_A \int d^3 \xi E \sqrt{\gamma} A - \frac{Q_A}{6} \int d^3 \xi E A_\mu x^\mu \partial_\sigma \delta_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\xi^\gamma} \partial_{\xi^\delta} \partial_{\xi^\xi} e^E_{\gamma E}
+ T_B \int d^3 \xi E \sqrt{\gamma} B - \frac{Q_B}{6} \int d^3 \xi E B_{\mu \nu \lambda} \partial_\mu x^\mu \partial_\nu x^\nu \partial_\lambda x^\lambda \partial_{\xi^\alpha} \partial_{\xi^\beta} \partial_{\xi^\gamma} \partial_{\xi^\delta} \partial_{\xi^\xi} e^E_{\gamma E}. \]  

(11)

With our couplings, \( \Lambda_{\text{QFT}} = \kappa^2 \mathcal{M}_{\text{UV}}^4 + \ldots = \kappa^2 \mathcal{H}_{\text{QFT}}^2, \) where \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{UV}}^4 \) is the QFT UV cutoff and ellipsis denote subleading terms [21,22]. Thus \( \Lambda = \kappa^2 \left( \lambda + \mathcal{H}_{\text{QFT}}^2 / \kappa^2 \lambda_{\text{eff}} \right). \)

The membrane-induced transitions link geometries with \( \kappa_{\text{out/in}}^2, \Lambda_{\text{out/in}}, \) (out/in denote parent and offspring geometries (exterior and interior of the membranes, respectively)). The configurations with local \( O(4) \) symmetry dominate since they have minimal Euclidean action [42–44]. Both \( in \) and \( out \) can be described with the metrics \( ds_E^2 = dr^2 + a^2(r) d\Omega_3 \) where \( d\Omega_3 \) is the line element on a unit \( S^3. \) Here \( a \) solves \( \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} = -\Lambda / 3 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2. \) The prime is the \( r \)-derivative [1]. The variations of (11) give also the membrane-induced contributions. It is straightforward to check that \( a \) is continuous, and the discontinuities are [1]

\[ \lambda_{\text{out}} - \lambda_{\text{in}} = \frac{Q_A}{2}, \quad \kappa_{\text{out}}^2 - \kappa_{\text{in}}^2 = 2Q_B, \quad \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \frac{\kappa_{\text{out}}^2}{a} - \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \frac{\kappa_{\text{in}}^2}{a} = - \frac{T_A + T_B}{2}. \]  

(12)

We wrote the discontinuities as if the emission of \( A \) and \( B \) membranes were co-located simply to save space. We ignore the discontinuity in \( B \) since the \( p \)-form terms in the action cancel out exactly against the charges and do not affect the bounce action [1].
In semiclassical approximation, the membrane nucleation rates are \( \Gamma \sim e^{-S_{\text{bounce}}} \), where \( S(\text{bounce}) = S(\text{instanton}) - S(\text{parent}) \). Instanton actions are the Euclidean actions computed on a solution with a number of membranes, starting with one and counting off. Their classification was initiated in [34,35] for the theories with 4-form fluxes screening the cosmological constant [33–35, 45, 46]. We find important differences in our case because the cosmological constant depends on membrane charges linearly, instead of quadratically [1].

Figure 1: A \( q < 1 \) instanton comprised of two sections of \( S^4 \).

We focus here on the most interesting case of \( dS \rightarrow dS \) decay when \( q = \frac{2\kappa^2 |Q_A|}{3T_A} < 1 \). In this case only the \((++)\) instantons of Fig. (1) are kinematically allowed for \( A \)-membrane transitions [1], and the geometries can be glued together to form an instanton if\(^3\)

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Lambda_{\text{out}}a^2}{3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2}} = \frac{T_A}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} (1 - q) a, \quad \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Lambda_{\text{in}}a^2}{3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2}} = \frac{T_A}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} (1 + q) a. \tag{13}
\]

Due to (bi)linear dependence on charges – instead of quadratic – other \( dS \rightarrow dS \) instantons are forbidden when \( q < 1 \) [1]. It turns out to be useful to solve Eqs. (13) for \( a^2 \), which is the radius of the membrane connecting parent and offspring. We find

\[
\frac{1}{a^2} = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{out}}}{3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} + \left( \frac{T_A}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)^2 (1 - q)^2 = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{in}}}{3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} + \left( \frac{T_A}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)^2 (1 + q)^2. \tag{14}
\]

Hence there are two regimes of bubble formation. When \( a^2 \) is comparable to de Sitter radii, Eq. (14) shows that \( \sim (1 - \frac{\Lambda_{\text{out}}a^2}{3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2})^{1/2} \ll 1 \) and the bounce action is approximated by the difference of one half of the parent and offspring horizon areas divided by \( 4G_N \),

\[
S_{\text{bounce}} \sim -\frac{12\pi^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 \Delta \Lambda}{\Lambda_{\text{out}} \Lambda_{\text{in}} \Lambda}, \quad \Delta \Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{out}} - \Lambda_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 Q_A. \tag{15}
\]

Since \( q < 1 \), as long as \( \Lambda_{\text{out}} \gg 3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \left( \frac{T_A}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)^2 \), in this regime the discharge of the cosmological constant is fast because \( S_{\text{bounce}} < 0 \). The cosmological constant is repelled down from the Planckian scales. The reverse processes increasing \( \Lambda \) (\( \Delta \Lambda < 0 \)) also occur but their bounce action is the negative of (15) and they are rarer. The overall trend is the decrease of \( \Lambda \).

\(^3\)Corresponding to \( \zeta_{\text{out}} = -1, \zeta_{\text{in}} = +1 \) in the notation of [1,34,35].
This stage ends when \( \Lambda < 3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \left( \frac{T_4}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}} \right)^2 \), after which nucleations proceed via production of small bubbles, with the bounce action \[ S_{\text{bounce}} \approx \frac{24\pi^2\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{out}}} \left( 1 - \frac{8\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4\Lambda_{\text{out}}}{3\frac{T_4^2}{\Lambda^2}} \right), \] (16)
and \( S_{\text{bounce}} > 0 \) because \( \Lambda < 3\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \left( \frac{T_4}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}} \right)^2 \). Crucially, \( S_{\text{bounce}} \) diverges as \( \Lambda_{\text{out}} \to 0 \). So the bubbling rate \( \Gamma \sim e^{-S_{\text{bounce}}} \) has an essential singularity at \( \Lambda_{\text{out}} \to 0 \), where the rate goes to zero. Hence when \( q < 1 \) small cosmological constants become metastable, and the locally Minkowski space is absolutely stable to discharges: further discharge ceases.

Once \( q < 1 \), the only way it can be disturbed is if \( \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \) dramatically increases by the emission of \( Q_B \). Another possible problem is if \( \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \) decreases to negative values, which would lead to a pandemic of spin-2 ghosts. However by arranging the values of \( Q_B \) and \( T_B \), we can prevent both of these dangers. It turns out the dangerous processes are those which are mediated by large bubbles. We can completely block those if we impose \( 16 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 [Q_B] \ll 1 \).

In this limit the \( B \)-bubble radius at nucleation is
\[
\frac{1}{a^2} = \left( \frac{T_B}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)^2 \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 Q_B}{T_B^2} \right) \right) = \left( \frac{T_B}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)^2 \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 Q_B}{T_B^2} \right) \right).
\] (17)

Since only small bubbles can nucleate, as long as \( \frac{\Lambda}{3\kappa_{\text{eff}}} \left( \frac{T_B}{4\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 \) stays constant. The condition \( 16 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^2 [Q_B] \ll 1 \) also blocks the bubble size from below, ensuring that \( a > 1/\kappa_{\text{eff}} \).

Thus this regime is disconnected both from Planckian physics and from \( \kappa^2 < 0 \), at least in the semiclassical approximation which we pursue [1]. Further in this limit kinematics restricts \( dS \to dS \) discharges to only be mediated by instantons of Fig. (1). Hence \( Q_B \) emissions are controlled by a bounce action which also has a pole at \( \Lambda \to 0 \), like (16). So the discharges again completely shut off at Minkowski. Finally, by arranging \( \frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 Q_B}{T_B^2} \ll \frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 Q_A}{T_A^2} < 1 \) we can make \( Q_B \) discharges a lot slower than \( Q_A \) discharges.

So in our generalization of GR both the cosmological constant and Newton’s constant change by membrane emissions. The discharges are quantum-mechanical and nonperturbative, and cease in the classical limit and flat space, \( \Lambda/\kappa^4 \to 0 \). This fits with ideas that an eternal, stable de Sitter space may not exist in a UV complete theory [47–53], and reminds of the wormhole approach to quantum gravity [54–61]. Despite problems, the wormhole approach suggested that in semiclassical gravity the Euclidean path integral in the saddle point approximation, \( Z \sim \exp(24\pi^2\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4) + \ldots \), may be a partition function of something [62]. Here we find evidence that it estimates the distribution of cosmological constants “spanned” by membrane discharge in our extension of GR.

Let us first define the cosmological constant problem in our theory, as it stands. The total cosmological constant is \( \Lambda_{\text{total}} = \kappa^2 \left( \frac{M_{\text{UV}}^4}{\Lambda^4} + \frac{V}{\Lambda^2} + \lambda \right) \), where we include the QFT vacuum energy \( \sim M_{\text{UV}}^4 \), any nonvanishing QFT potential \( \sim V \), as well as our variable \( \lambda \).

Since \( \lambda \) and \( \kappa^2 \) change discretely, by \( \Delta \lambda = Q_A/2, \Delta \kappa^2 = 2Q_B, \) we have \( \lambda = \lambda_0 + N \frac{Q_A}{2}, \kappa^2 = \kappa_0^2 + 2NQ_B \) with \( N \) and \( N \) arbitrary integers. Although we could absorb \( \lambda_0 \) and \( \kappa_0^2 \) into
and $\frac{M_B}{M^2}$, respectively, we won’t since the argument doesn’t care. The net cosmological constant term is $\Lambda_{\text{total}} = (k_0^2 + 2NQ_B)\left(\frac{\Lambda_0}{\lambda^2} + N\frac{Q_0}{2}\right)$, where $\Lambda_0 = M_{4y}^4 + V + M^2\lambda_0$ and $\Delta\Lambda_{\text{total}} = (k_0^2 + 2NQ_B)\frac{Q_A}{2}$. To get $\frac{\Lambda_{\text{total}}}{\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2} < 10^{-120}$, we must either pick a supremely small $Q_A$ or fine tune $(k_0^2 + 2NQ_B)\Lambda_0/M^2$. Variations of $\kappa_{\text{eff}}^2$ cannot help since we can’t suppress the curvature of the universe without reducing the gravitational force between two masses.

There is a simple solution to this obstacle, however. We add one more 4-form which contributes to $\lambda$, but let it couple to a different membrane with parameters $T_\hat{A}, Q_\hat{A}$:

$$S = S - \int d^4x \sqrt{\hat{g}}\left(\kappa^2\hat{\lambda} + \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{3}e^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\partial_\mu\hat{A}_\nu\lambda_\sigma\right) - T_\hat{A}\int d^3\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}}\hat{A} - Q_\hat{A}\int \hat{A}. \quad (18)$$

The membranes $\hat{A}$ behave exactly as the $A$ ones. We demand $\hat{q} < 1$ in addition to $q < 1$. Further let $\hat{Q}_\hat{A} = \omega$ be an irrational number, as in the irrational axion proposal [27] (see also [17]). The cosmological constant ‘quantization’ law now becomes

$$\Lambda_{\text{total}} = \left(k_0^2 + 2NQ_B\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda_0}{\lambda^2} + \frac{Q_A}{2}(N + \hat{N}\omega)\right). \quad (19)$$

Since $\omega$ is irrational, for any real number $\rho$ there exist integers $N, \hat{N}$ such that $N + \hat{N}\omega$ is arbitrarily close to $\rho$ [27, 63]. Thus integers $N, \hat{N}$ exist such that $N + \hat{N}\omega$ is arbitrarily close to $-\frac{2\Lambda_0}{Q_A\lambda^2}$. Hence there will be a dense set of $\Lambda_{\text{total}}$, with values arbitrarily close to zero! Further, there is no axiom ‘gauging’ these dense discrete shifts and no emerging global symmetries [27, 64]. For any initial value of initial $\Lambda$, there exist many sequences of discharging membranes, in any order, which will yield terminal values $N, \hat{N}$ at which point cosmological constant is arbitrarily close to zero, and very long lived.

Due to the irrational ratio of charges, all previously separated superselection sectors now mix together, transitioning between each other by utilizing both $A, \hat{A}$ charges. The slower nucleation processes when $\Lambda$ is well below the cutoff also allow up-jumps, which raise $\Lambda$, and so the superselection sectors will form a very fine discretuum. The states with $M_{4y}^4 \gg \Lambda > 0$ will be very long lived, decaying predominantly to $\Lambda \to 0^+$. The key ingredient here is the pole of the bounce action, Eq. (16), specific to the $(-+)$ of Fig. (1). Its presence means that $\Lambda \to 0^+$ is the dynamical attractor. This is captured by the behavior of the semi-classical Euclidean partition function.

Indeed, consider $Z = \int \cdots DAD\hat{A}DBD\lambda D\hat{\lambda}D\kappa^2Dg e^{-S_E}$, the Euclidean variant of the magnetic dual partition function. In the saddle point approximation,

$$Z = \sum_{\text{instantons}} \sum_{\lambda, \hat{\lambda}, \kappa^2} e^{-S_E(\text{instanton})}, \quad (20)$$

the idea was to sum over classical extrema of the action. In our case this begins with summing over the Euclidean instantons with any number of membranes included. The $O(4)$ invariant solutions should minimize the action, and so this is a reasonable leading order approximation [42–44]. Thus $Z$ should be dominated by our instantons.

While this sum is challenging we can get a feel for the individual terms. Inverting the bounce action, $S(\text{instanton}) = S(\text{bounce}) + S(\text{parent})$. Without offspring, the instanton action equals the parent action, which is just the negative of the horizon area divided by $4G_N$, $S(\text{parent}) = -24\pi^2\frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}^4}{N_{\text{out}}}$. For $n$ generations, summing over the family tree,
\( S(\text{instanton}, n) = \sum_n S(\text{offspring}, n) + S(\text{progenitor}) \). By “offspring” we mean nested segments separated from the parent by membranes. The “progenitor” geometry is the primordial parent initiating the tree. Hence by Eq. (16) \( S(\text{instanton}) \lesssim -64\pi^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^6 / T_i^2 \), and so an extended lineage may yield \( S(\text{instanton}, n) \rightarrow -64\pi^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^6 (n_A T_i^2 + n_{\hat{A}} T_{\hat{i}}^2) \rightarrow -24\pi^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 \Lambda_{\text{terminal}} \).

The number of generations will be bounded by \(-24\pi^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 \Lambda_{\text{terminal}}\), since nucleations can go on until \( \Lambda_{\text{terminal}} \rightarrow 0^+ \).

Thus the sum (20), \( Z \sim \sum e^{24\pi^2 \kappa_{\text{eff}}^4 \Lambda_{\text{terminal}}} \ldots \), will be heavily skewed toward \( \Lambda \rightarrow 0 \), reflecting the fact that discharges cease in the Minkowski limit. Ergo, in our generalization of GR, \( Z \) heavily prefers \( \Lambda^{-\infty} \rightarrow 0 \); de Sitter is unstable, quantum mechanics + GR dynamically relax \( \Lambda \) to zero. The instability stops as \( \Lambda \rightarrow 0^+ \), and the final (near) Minkowski space is extremely long lived. To explain \( G_N = \frac{1}{8\pi M_{Pl}^2} \simeq 10^{-38} \text{(GeV)}^{-2} \) we could invoke a mild version of the ‘Weak Anthropic Principle’, which can fix \( G_N \) by placing the Earth in the habitable zone around the Sun.

The “empty universe” problem [31] can be averted since the relaxation of \( \Lambda \) involves large successive jumps, and the tiny terminal \( \Lambda \) comes from the irrational ratio of charges. As a result, the cosmological constant does not always dominate, but just sometimes [45]. The relaxation process is not ‘slow roll’, but a random walk. Finally, since the up-jumps are also possible, an empty universe could ‘restart’ itself by a rare quantum jump which increases the cosmological constant, and then in subsequent evolution an inflationary stage is found [65]. In this sense, inflation may seem \( \text{à priori} \) rare, but since the system can continue exploring the phase space, even a ‘rare’ event can be found eventually [66]. Our universe may have been preceded by one such transition, and then evolved towards Minkowski, \( \Lambda \rightarrow 0 \), so that the potential problems with more likely, smaller scale fluctuations dubbed ‘Boltzmann Brains’ may be avoided [66, 67]. Therefore it seems that a ‘normal’ cosmology can be embedded in our framework.
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