Dehnen halo effect on a black hole in an ultra-faint dwarf galaxy
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There had been recent advancement toward the detection of ultra-faint dwarf galaxies, which may serve as a useful laboratory for dark matter exploration since some of them contain almost 99\% of pure dark matter. The majority of these galaxies contain no black hole that inhabits them. Recently, there had been reports that some dwarf galaxies may have a black hole within. In this study, we construct a black hole solution combined with the Dehnen dark matter halo profile, which is commonly used for dwarf galaxies. We aim to find out whether there would be deviations relative to the standard black hole properties which might allow determining whether the dark matter profile in ultra-faint dwarf galaxies is cored or cuspy. To make the model more realistic, we applied the modified Newman-Janis prescription to obtain the rotating metric. We analyzed the black hole properties such as the event horizon, ergoregion, geodesics of time-like and null particle, and the black hole shadow. To broaden the scope of this study, we also calculated the weak deflection angle to examine the effect of the Dehnen profile. Results revealed that the Dehnen profile causes very tiny but interesting deviations to the known black hole properties and it shows that the cuspy profile shows more deviation than the cored profile. In determining whether the dark matter profile in a dwarf galaxy is cored or cuspy, the implication of the study revealed the impossibility with the use of present space technology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the outskirts of the Milky Way galaxy, there are orbiting dwarf galaxies that home a thousand or to a few billion stars. These dwarf galaxies are not created equal and are thought to originate during the collision of galaxies, or through the earliest stages of galactic evolution of their parent galaxy. Hence, these are thought to contain crucial information about galaxy evolution since its physical form is similar to the earliest galaxies formed in the Universe. In galaxy evolution, dark matter plays a central role, thus, dwarf galaxies may also contain a significant amount of dark matter in them and may serve as another arena of study to uncover the mystery of dark matter, at least on a small scale.

There are many types of dwarf galaxies and this work is interested in a particular type called Ultra Faint Dwarf Galaxy or UFDs that can be as tiny as 30 pc (\(\sim 9.28 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}\)) in half-light radius with a luminosity of around \(L = 10^{2-3}L_\odot\) [1–3]. Detected UFDs are also confirmed to reside in the smallest dark matter halos yet found. Even so, they are the most dark matter-dominated systems in terms of the ratio between the halo mass and baryon mass, thus making it an excellent laboratory to explore the nature of dark matter [4]. In this direction, there had been some debate as to whether the dark matter configuration in dwarf galaxies increases toward the galactic center [5] or appears to have a nearly constant dark matter density at central regions [6]. If dark matter consists of warm self-interacting particles, then it suggests that the central DM must be cored. However, since some UFDs contain 99\% dark matter [4], the baryonic effect may have some negligible influence on the central DM suggesting that it must be cuspy due to the preservation of the primordial dark matter.

Building future facilities can be challenging in studying UFDs because of their unique properties and future detectors may help determine whether the DM profile within is cored or cuspy. In addition, few studies have been made about the spectral properties of stars in UFDs that are used to measure their line-of-sight velocities which could give rise to a DM profile model. Nevertheless, there are theoretical studies directed to alternatively find out whether the dark matter profile in UFDs is cored or cuspy. In Ref. [7], tidal force disruption caused by different DM profile potentials for UFD was studied in a wide range of binary systems. Dynamical friction (DF) of a stellar distribution against a dark matter profile model has also been considered in this direction [8] [9]. If the effect of DF is strong enough in the stellar distribution, the DM profile is a cuspy one and a stellar cusp may form as well as a nucleus cluster. Otherwise, the DM profile is a cored one.

In this paper, we are interested to find out the deviation caused by the Dehnen dark matter profile [10] to the known black hole properties since it both admits cored and cuspy configurations. Using the black hole, we will find out which configurations give a
greater deviation. To do so, we will derive the new black hole metric and generalize it to include the black hole spin parameter \( a \).

The Dehnen profile is commonly used in dwarf galaxies and more often, these galaxies do not host a black hole at their centers. However, recent observations reveal that a massive black hole may also inhabit these dwarf galaxies [11–13]. Unfortunately, there are no existing data available in the literature about the values of the core density \( \rho_c \) and core radius \( r_c \) of the dark matter halo in the Dehnen profile for these galaxies. Some data for the Dehnen profile are available, however, for some UFDs [14] but these galaxies have no black hole in them. Thus, this study is theoretical in a sense that we will use the mass \( m \) of the black hole recently discovered, but use some arbitrary values for \( \rho_c \) and \( r_c \) to study the effect of the Dehnen profile on the black hole. Then we imagine that a black hole is present in a UFD with known Dehnen profile parameters and form some insights. With the recent discovery of a black hole that lives within a dwarf galaxy, the study can be significant and timely. It adds up to the literature since recently there have been several studies of dark matter halo effects in a black hole on spiral galaxies [15–27], and excitement in dark matter research is further elevated due to important findings of the time evolution of the dark matter core as the unknown dark matter particles interact with baryonic matter [28].

We will analyze in this paper the effect of the Dehnen profile in the event horizon, ergoregions, geodesics of time-like and null particles, and the black hole shadow. To further broaden the scope of this study, the calculation of the weak deflection angle will also be presented since it can also prove useful in probing dark matter behavior. A famous geometrical technique was developed by Gibbons and Werner [29] using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem (GBT) to the optical metric of asymptotically flat spacetime. It was further extended by Ishihara et al. [30] to include non-asymptotically flat spacetime, giving a generalized expression concerning the finite positions of the source and the receiver. The axisymmetric version was developed in Ref. [31]. There are various studies on asymptotic/non-asymptotic spacetimes, finite distance, and the use of GBT on black holes and wormholes, one can see [32–44]. Furthermore, using the photonsphere radius, [45] formulated a version of the GBT to include non-asymptotically flat spacetime. These generalized formulations are important in this study since the metrics consisting of dark matter profiles are proved to be complicated and non-asymptotically flat. Recent and related work for deflection angle caused by dark matter can be found in Refs. [46–51].

The program of the paper is as follows: in Sect. II, we derive the non-spinning black hole metric in the Dehnen profile. Next, we generalize this in Sect. III using the modified Newman-Janis procedures to include the spin parameter \( a \). In Sects. III–VIII, we study the effect of the Dehnen profile on some black hole properties such as the horizon, ergoregions, time-like and null geodesics, the black hole shadow, and the weak deflection angle. In Sect. IX, we state conclusive remarks and recommend some future research prospects. In this paper, we have used the natural units \( G = c = 1 \), and signature \((−, +, +, +)\).

## II. BLACK HOLE METRIC IN DEHNEN PROFILE

In this article, we use the Dehnen profile presented in Ref. [10, 14]. The density profile reads

\[
\rho(r) = \frac{(3 - \sigma)k}{4\pi r_c^2} \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{-\sigma} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{-\sigma/4}.
\]

(1)

Here, \( k \) and \( r_c \) are the dark matter halo’s total mass and the scale radius of the dark matter halo respectively. Such a profile is useful in describing an extremely low mass but compact ultra-faint dwarf galaxies. We can find the mass profile at any radial distance \( r \) of Eq. (1) using the standard formula

\[
M_{\text{DM}}(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho(r') r'^2 dr',
\]

(2)

by which we obtain

\[
M_{\text{DM}}(r) = \frac{k \left[ r^{3-\sigma}(r_c + r)^{\sigma - \mathcal{Y}(r_c + r)^3} \right]}{(r_c + r)^3},
\]

(3)

where

\[
\mathcal{Y} = \lim_{r' \to 0^+} r'^{3-\sigma} (r_c + r')^{-3+\sigma} = 0
\]

(4)

if \( r_c > 0 \) and \( \sigma < 3 \). Therefore, when Eq. (4) is used, the parameter \( \sigma \) only makes sense if it is restricted in the interval \([0, 3]\) [10]. Since we are interested in the cored parameter \( \sigma = 0 \) and the cuspy parameter \( \sigma = 1 \), Eq. (4) should apply. Using \( M_{\text{DM}}(r) \), we can find the tangential velocity associated with the profile for any test particle moving within the halo. Using the definition \( v_{tg}(r) = M_{\text{DM}}(r)/r \), we find

\[
v_{tg}(r) = \sqrt{k} r^{1-\frac{2}{3}} (r_c + r)^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma - 3)}.
\]

(5)
The line element describing a dark matter halo is given by

\[ ds_{\text{halo}}^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + g(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2. \] (6)

Here the metric function \( f(r) \) is related to \( v_{eg}(r) \) through the expression

\[ v_{eg}(r) = r\frac{d\ln(\sqrt{f(r)})}{dr}. \] (7)

Solving for \( f(r) \) yields

\[ f(r) = \exp\left[-\frac{2kr^\sigma - 2(r_c + r)^{\sigma - 2}}{r_c(\sigma - 2)}\right]. \] (8)

We can see that \( \sigma \neq 2 \) since \( f(r) \) will be undefined. With the line element in Eq. (6), we see that a static and spherically symmetric DM halo restricts the parameter \( \sigma \) to the interval \([0, 2)\). Nonetheless, the expression is relevant for a non-isothermal cored dark matter halo when \( \sigma = 0 \), and a cuspy dark matter halo when \( \sigma = 1 \) [9, 10, 14].

The aim is to combine the dark matter profile imprinted in \( f(r) \) to the black hole metric function. To obtain the metric line element for such a case, we used the formalism developed by Xu et al. [15], that has been used recently by several authors [16–19]. With the fusion of the black hole and dark matter halo, which are assumed to be static and spherically symmetric, one obtains the Einstein field equation of the form

\[ R_{\mu}^\nu = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = r_c^2(T_{\mu}^\nu)_{\text{DM}} + (T_{\mu}^\nu)_{\text{Schw}}, \] (9)

which redefines the spacetime metric in Eq. (6) as

\[ ds^2 = -F(r)dt^2 + G(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2, \] (10)

where

\[ F(r) = f(r) + F_1(r), \quad G(r) = g(r) + F_2(r). \] (11)

As a consequence, Eq. (9) gives us

\[ (g(r) + F_2(r)) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{g'(r) + F_2'(r)}{g(r) + F_2(r)} \right) = g(r) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} \right), \]
\[ (g(r) + F_2(r)) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{f'(r) + F_1'(r)}{f(r) + F_1(r)} \right) = g(r) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \right). \] (12)

After solving for \( F_1(r) \) and \( F_2(r) \) using the above equations, one finds that

\[ F(r) = \exp\left[ \int \frac{g(r)}{g(r) - \frac{2m}{r}} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \right) dr - \frac{1}{r}dr \right], \]
\[ G(r) = g(r) - \frac{2m}{r}. \] (13)

If the dark matter halo is not considered, i.e. \( f(r) = g(r) = 1 \) since \( k = 0 \), then the integral in \( F(r) \) becomes a constant equal to \( 1 - 2m/r \), where \( m \) is the black hole mass. Thus, we end up with the known Schwarzschild metric. With Eqs. (12)-(13), we finally have the black hole metric with the dark matter halo. Lastly, under the assumption that \( f(r) = g(r) \) and \( F_1(r) = F_2(r) = -2m/r \), an immediate implication is that \( F(r) = G(r) \) and the metric function \( F(r) \) in Eq. (13) can now be fully written as

\[ F(r) = \exp\left[ -\frac{2kr^\sigma - 2(r_c + r)^{\sigma - 2}}{r_c(\sigma - 2)} \right] - \frac{2m}{r}. \] (14)

We observe that when the black hole is considered in the DM halo, the parameter \( \sigma \) is again restricted to the interval \([0, 2)\) and one has no choice but to only consider the cored or cuspy configurations. In the next sections, we want to change the notation for the metric line element in Eq. (10) and write it as

\[ ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + C(r)d\theta^2 + D(r)d\phi^2, \] (15)

where \( B(r) = A(r)^{-1} \), \( C(r) = r^2 \), and \( D(r) = r^2\sin^2\theta \). With this notation, \( A(r) = F(r) \), and \( C(r) = D(r) \) when one analyzes the black hole properties along the equatorial plane where \( \theta = \pi/2 \).
III. ROTATING BLACK HOLE METRIC IN DEHNEN PROFILE

Realistic black holes are rotating with a certain spin parameter $a$, thus, it is necessary to recast the metric to include $a$. With this aim, one popular but old method that we used is the Newman-Janis algorithm (NJA), which has been modified by the author in Ref. [52] to only include partial complexification procedure. With this modified version of the NJA, several authors have used this to obtain the rotating black hole metric that includes dark matter density profiles [15–19, 27]. We ought to generalize the metric in Eq.(15) by including the spin parameter. With this aim and following the NJA prescription, the first step is to convert the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ into horizon penetrating coordinates $(u, r, \theta, \phi)$, along with the use of the seed metric function $A(r)$ in Eq. (14):

$$du = dt - dr^2 = dt - \frac{dr}{A(r)}.$$  

(16)

which enables us to rewrite the line element as

$$ds^2 = -A(r)du^2 - 2du dr + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2.$$  

(17)

The line element can be written in terms of the null tetrads, where the contravariant metric tensor is expressed as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = -l^{\mu} n^{\nu} - l^{\nu} n^{\mu} + m^{\mu} \bar{m}^{\nu} + m^{\nu} \bar{m}^{\mu},$$  

(18)

and with the following definitions

$$l = l^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \delta^\mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu},$$

$$n = n^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \left( \delta^\mu_0 - \frac{A(r)}{2} \delta^\mu_1 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu},$$

$$m = m^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2C(r)}} \left( \delta^\mu_2 + \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \delta^\mu_3 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu},$$

$$\bar{m} = \bar{m}^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2C(r)}} \left( \delta^\mu_2 - \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \delta^\mu_3 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu},$$

(19)

where the null tetrad vectors satisfies normalization, orthogonality, and isotropy, ie.

\[ l^\mu l_\mu = n^\mu n_\mu = m^\mu m_\mu = \bar{m}^\mu \bar{m}_\mu = 0 \]

\[ l^\mu m_\mu = l^\mu \bar{m}_\mu = n^\mu m_\mu = n^\mu \bar{m}_\mu = 0 \]

\[ -l^\mu n_\mu = m^\mu \bar{m}_\mu = 1. \]  

(20)

The next step is to perform a basic coordinate complex transformation by writing

\[ x'^\mu = x^\mu + ia(\delta^\mu_1 - \delta^\mu_0) \cos \theta \rightarrow \begin{cases} u' = u - ia \cos \theta, \\ r' = r + ia \cos \theta, \\ \theta' = \theta, \\ \phi' = \phi \end{cases} \]  

(21)

where $a$ is the spin parameter. The known metric coefficients are now then assumed to transform from $(A(r), B(r), C(r))$ to an unknown coefficients $(A(r, \theta, a), B(r, \theta, a), C(r, \theta, a))$. With the transformation of the null tetrad vector components via

\[ (l^\mu, n^\mu, m^\mu, \bar{m}^\mu) = \frac{\partial x'^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} e_a^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & ia \sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -ia \sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e_a^\nu, \]  

(22)

we can see that [52]

\[ l^\mu = \delta^\mu_1, \]

\[ n^\mu = \left( \sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} \delta^\mu_0 - \frac{B}{2} \delta^\mu_1 \right), \]
Using these transformed null tetrads, the new inverse metric coefficients are

\[
g'_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{c} & -\sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} - \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{c} & 0 & \frac{a}{c} \\
-\sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} - \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{c} & B + \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{c} & 0 & \frac{a}{c} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c} & 0 \\
\frac{a}{c} & \frac{a}{c} & 0 & \frac{1}{c \sin^2 \theta}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(24)

Noting that \( g'_{\mu\nu} = (g'^{\mu\nu})^{-1} \), and as pointed out in Ref. [52] that if one considers \( K(r) = C(r) \), and \( B(r) = 1/A(r) \) in a static and spherically symmetric seed metric, then

\[
A(r, \theta) = \frac{(A(r)C(r) + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)C(r, \theta)}{(C(r) + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2},
\]

\[
B(r, \theta) = \frac{(A(r)C(r) + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)}{C(r, \theta)},
\]

\[
C(r, \theta) = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta.
\]

(25)

Now from the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, one can use the coordinate transformation

\[
du = dt - \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta(r)} dr, \quad d\phi = d\phi' - \frac{a}{\Delta(r)} dr
\]

to go back to the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates after dropping the prime in the second expression. Here,

\[
\Delta(r) = r^2 A(r) + a^2.
\]

(27)

It is useful to express Eq. (27) into a familiar Kerr-like form by introducing

\[
\mathcal{M}(r) = \frac{r(1 - A(r))}{2}
\]

(28)

so that

\[
\Delta(r) = r^2 - 2\mathcal{M}(r)r + a^2.
\]

(29)

We then obtained the rotating black hole metric in a Dehnen dark matter halo:

\[
ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}(r)r}{\Sigma}\right) dt^2 - \frac{4\mathcal{M}(r)ar \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} dt d\phi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \frac{[(r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \Delta \sin^2 \theta] \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2}{\Sigma}.
\]

(30)

We can see that Eq. (15) is modified by adding the cross-term \( dt d\phi \) whose coefficient is now defined as [31]

\[
2H(r) = \frac{4\mathcal{M}(r)ar \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma}.
\]

(31)

Furthermore, \( B(r) \) is no longer equal to the inverse of \( A(r) \) in the spinning black hole metric.

**IV. HORIZON AND ERGOREGION**

Let us now examine how the horizon and the ergoregion of the rotating black hole change due to the presence of the dark matter described by the Dehnen profile. To see the changes clearly, we will apply the conclusion made in Ref. [20] in choosing the arbitrary value for the dark matter mass \( k \) and core radius \( r_c \). That is, the dark matter concentration should be near the black hole. On average, typical UFDs may have a dark matter mass of \( k = 1.08 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) [14]. Let us assume a core radius of \( r_c = 2k \) and a representative black hole of mass \( m = 1 \times 10^6 M_\odot \) recently found in a dwarf galaxy Henize 2-10 [13]. With this chosen value for \( r_c \), the dark matter is concentrated near the black hole, hence we can see the effect of the Dehnen profile at least in theory. Inspecting Eq. (30), the locations of the horizons can be found by

\[
\Delta(r) = r^2 - 2\mathcal{M}(r)r + a^2 = 0.
\]

(32)
FIG. 1: Plot of $\Delta(r) = 0$ showing the location of the horizons formed when $a = 0.90 m$. There is still a difference seen between $k = 0$, and $\sigma = 0$.

However, due to Eq. (29), we cannot simply solve Eq. (32) analytically. Fig. 1 shows the numerical plot of the horizons formed when $a = 0.90 m$. We can see then that the Dehnen profile decreases the radius of the event horizon with the cuspy profile $\sigma = 1$ showing more deviation than the cored profile $\sigma = 0$ relative to the Kerr case $k = 0$. We also observed, although not shown in the plot, that the effect of dark matter is to increase the radius of the Cauchy horizon where the cuspy profile shows more deviation to the Kerr case.

The ergoregion, which is the region of static limit, can be found by solving $r$ in the equation

$$
\left(1 - \frac{2M(r)}{\Sigma}\right) = 0.
$$

In the equatorial plane where $\theta = \pi/2$, Eq. (33) is independent of $a$, hence, a single ergoregion forms at $r = 2m$. Analyzing the ergoregion when $\theta = \pi/4$, two ergoregions form, and the dark matter effect is to decrease its outer radius. Again, the cuspy profile shows more deviation than the cored profile as shown in the inset plots. For the inner ergoregion, we observed that the radius increases due to the Dehnen profile.

V. TIME-LIKE GEODESICS

In this section, we analyze first the time-like geodesics. In particular, we will examine the effective potential as well as the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO). To do so, we will apply the Hamilton-Jacobi approach expressed as

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} = -H,
$$

where $S$ is the action which is defined in terms of an affine parameter $\lambda$ and coordinates $x^\mu$. In General Relativity, the Hamiltonian take the form

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\nu},
$$

and using Eq. (34), it follows that

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\nu}.
$$

Using the anzats

$$
S = \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \lambda - Et + L_\phi + S_\nu(r) + S_\theta(\theta)
$$
due to the variable independence in $t$, $\phi$, and $\lambda$, the following equations of motion can be derived:

$$\sum \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta(r)} P(r) - a(aE \sin^2 \theta - L),$$

$$\sum \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \sqrt{R(r)},$$

$$\sum \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = \sqrt{\Theta(\theta)},$$

$$\sum \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = \frac{a}{\Delta(r)} P(r) - \left( aE - \frac{L}{\sin^2 \theta} \right),$$

with $P(r)$, $R(r)$ and $\Theta(\theta)$ given by

$$P(r) = E(r^2 + a^2) - aL,$$

$$R(r) = P(r)^2 - \Delta(r) [Q + (aE - L)^2 + \mu^2 r^2],$$

$$\Theta(\theta) = Q - \left[ a^2 (\mu^2 - E^2) + \frac{L^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] \cos^2 \theta,$$

with $Q$ being the Carter constant: $Q \equiv K - (L - aE)^2$ and $K$ is another constant of motion. Here, $\mu$ is proportional to the particle’s rest mass.

In studying circular orbits, the condition

$$R(r) = \frac{dR(r)}{dr} \bigg|_{r=r_c} = 0$$

must be satisfied. Furthermore, the second derivative of $R(r)$ gives information about the stability of the circular orbit. With the aim of finding the location of the ISCO, we find first the energy $E_{\text{cir}}$ due to circular motion, where we use Eq. (40). Assigning $X^2 = L - aE$ [53], we find

$$X^2 = \frac{- a^2 \left[ - (r\Delta''(r) + 3\Delta'(r)) \right] + r\Delta(r)\Delta''(r) - 2r\Delta'(r)^2 + 3\Delta(r)\Delta'(r)}{2a - r\Delta'(r) + 2\Delta(r)}. $$

Now, the energy $E_{\text{cir}} = E_{\text{isco}}$ if we take differentiate again Eq. (41) with respect to $r$. After some considerable algebra,

$$E_{\text{isco}}^2 = \frac{1}{Br} \left\{ a^2 \left[ - (r\Delta''(r) + 3\Delta'(r)) \right] + r\Delta(r)\Delta''(r) - 2r\Delta'(r)^2 + 3\Delta(r)\Delta'(r) \right\} $$

FIG. 2: Plot of $g_\pi = 0$ showing the location of the ergoregions when $a = 0.90m$. There is no difference seen between $k=0$, and $\sigma = 0$. 

Due to the variable independence in $t$, $\phi$, and $\lambda$, the following equations of motion can be derived:

$$\sum \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta(r)} P(r) - a(aE \sin^2 \theta - L),$$

$$\sum \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \sqrt{R(r)},$$

$$\sum \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = \sqrt{\Theta(\theta)},$$

$$\sum \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = \frac{a}{\Delta(r)} P(r) - \left( aE - \frac{L}{\sin^2 \theta} \right),$$

with $P(r)$, $R(r)$ and $\Theta(\theta)$ given by

$$P(r) = E(r^2 + a^2) - aL,$$

$$R(r) = P(r)^2 - \Delta(r) [Q + (aE - L)^2 + \mu^2 r^2],$$

$$\Theta(\theta) = Q - \left[ a^2 (\mu^2 - E^2) + \frac{L^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] \cos^2 \theta,$$

with $Q$ being the Carter constant: $Q \equiv K - (L - aE)^2$ and $K$ is another constant of motion. Here, $\mu$ is proportional to the particle’s rest mass.

In studying circular orbits, the condition

$$R(r) = \frac{dR(r)}{dr} \bigg|_{r=r_c} = 0$$

must be satisfied. Furthermore, the second derivative of $R(r)$ gives information about the stability of the circular orbit. With the aim of finding the location of the ISCO, we find first the energy $E_{\text{cir}}$ due to circular motion, where we use Eq. (40). Assigning $X^2 = L - aE$ [53], we find

$$X^2 = \frac{- a^2 \left[ - (r\Delta''(r) + 3\Delta'(r)) \right] + r\Delta(r)\Delta''(r) - 2r\Delta'(r)^2 + 3\Delta(r)\Delta'(r)}{2a - r\Delta'(r) + 2\Delta(r)}. $$

Now, the energy $E_{\text{cir}} = E_{\text{isco}}$ if we take differentiate again Eq. (41) with respect to $r$. After some considerable algebra,

$$E_{\text{isco}}^2 = \frac{1}{Br} \left\{ a^2 \left[ - (r\Delta''(r) + 3\Delta'(r)) \right] + r\Delta(r)\Delta''(r) - 2r\Delta'(r)^2 + 3\Delta(r)\Delta'(r) \right\} $$
Thus, observing the co-rotating particles with a rotating black hole will give difficulty in distinguishing cored and cuspy profiles. The existence of multiple ISCO radius is not new. For example, when the spin of a particle is considered orbiting around a charged non-rotating black hole, there exists two innermost stable circular orbit [54]. For the Kerr case, multiple ISCO for a spinning particle are also found [55].

FIG. 3: Plot of $\eta(r)_{isco} = 0$ for the case of a massive particle that counter-rotates with the black hole (retrograde orbit). Two ISCO are formed due to the effect of the Dehnen profile.

where $B = -8a^2 + r (r\Delta''(r) - 5\Delta'(r)) + 8\Delta(r)$, and finally the ISCO radius can be found via [27]

$$\eta(r)_{isco} = \pm 2\Delta(r) \left( a^2 - \Delta(r) \right)^2 \pm \frac{9}{4} r\Delta(r) \left( a^2 - \Delta(r) \right) \Delta'(r) \pm \frac{1}{16} r^3 \Delta'(r) \left( \Delta(r)\Delta''(r) - 2\Delta'(r)^2 \right)$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{16} r^2 \left[ 4\Delta(r) \left( a^2 - \Delta(r) \right) \Delta''(r) + (15\Delta(r) - 4a^2) \Delta'(r)^2 \right]$$

$$+ a\Delta(r) \sqrt{4a^2 + 2r\Delta'(r) - 4\Delta(r) \left[ -a^2 + \frac{1}{8} r(\Delta''(r) - 5\Delta'(r)) + \Delta(r) \right]} = 0,$$

which reduces to the Kerr case

$$3a^2 \mp 8a\sqrt{m}\sqrt{r + r(6m - r)} = 0$$

if $\Delta(r) = r^2 - 2mr + a^2$. The upper sign in Eq. (43) gives the particle prograde orbit, while the lower sign gives the retrograde orbit. Eq. (43) seems a formidable equation, especially how $\Delta(r)$ is defined in Eq. (29). Hence, we use numerical plotting to Eq. (43), and Fig. 3 reveals the location of the ISCO radii for the Schwarzschild, Kerr, and Kerr with the Dehnen profile. The first dotted vertical line is the event horizon for $a = 0.90m$, hence we ignore whether the curve of $\eta(r)_{isco}=0$ crosses inside. ISCO that are found outside the event horizon are the physical ones. Note that the circular orbit of a massive particle should be at $r = 6m$ for the Schwarzschild case. For the Kerr case, we consider here first particles that counter-rotate with the black hole. These are particles with $L < 0$. See Fig. 3. We see the expected ISCO radius for the Kerr case when $a = 0.90m$, which is close to $r = 9m$ if $a = m$ (extreme Kerr). In the inset plot that zoomed-in on such a point, we see the effect of the Dehnen profile. Whether the profile is cored or cuspy, we see that it tends to decrease the ISCO radius. Larger deviation is seen for $\sigma = 1$ than $\sigma = 0$ relative to the Kerr case. A cuspy profile is then easier to distinguish due to the large deviation. In addition to this effect, we see that there is another ISCO near the event horizon, which is formed related to another value of the angular momentum $L$ of the massive particle. The existence of multiple ISCO radius is not new. For example, when the spin of a particle is considered orbiting around a charged non-rotating black hole, there exists two innermost stable circular orbit [54]. For the Kerr case, multiple ISCO for a spinning particle are also found [55].

In Fig. 4, we also plotted the case where the massive particle is co-rotating with the black hole. Note that in the extreme Kerr case, this ISCO has a value of $r = m$. Now due to the effect of the Dehnen profile, two ISCO radii are also formed, one found at a larger radius. Similar to the retrograde case, the dark matter effect tends to decrease the ISCO radius. However, we can see that the deviation due to the cuspy profile in the prograde case is weaker than in the retrograde case. The cored profile is even weaker. Thus, observing the co-rotating particles with a rotating black hole will give difficulty in distinguishing cored and cuspy profiles.

We now turn our attention to the massive particle’s effective potential, where we can obtain qualitative impressions about the bound, stable, and unstable circular orbits. The effective potential in terms of angular momentum per unit mass $L$, given in terms
FIG. 4: Plot of $\eta(r)_{isc} = 0$ for the case of a massive particle that co-rotates with the black hole (prograde orbit). Two ISCO are formed due to the effect of the DM profile. While the inset plot of the second ISCO is not shown, it manifest the same behavior as the first ISCO.

The energy extraction from a black hole is useful when the angular momentum per unit mass is negative. It is known that this is not allowed for the Schwarzschild case. In Fig. 6, we can see in the middle inset that any massive particle that counter-rotates the hole will eventually spiral into the event horizon after reaching a potential wall. The energy of this potential wall is greater in the cuspy profile than in the cored profile.

VI. NULL GEODESICS

Null geodesics are important in the study of the black hole shadow. Unlike a massive particle that can have a stable circular orbit, a photon always has an unstable circular orbit. Such an orbit determines what would be the shape of the black hole shadow. The shadow contour as perceived by a remote static observer can be plotted using backward ray tracing. For photons, we set $\mu = 0$, and it is useful to define the following impact parameters:

$$\xi = \frac{L}{E}, \quad \eta = \frac{Q}{E^2}. \quad (46)$$
Using this to $R(r)$ in Eq. (39), and after some algebra using the condition in Eq. (40), we find

$$\xi = \frac{\Delta'(r)(r^2 + a^2) - 4\Delta(r)r}{a\Delta'(r)},$$

(47)

$$\eta = \frac{-r^4\Delta'(r)^2 + 8r^3\Delta(r)\Delta'(r) + 16r^2\Delta(r)(a^2 - \Delta(r))}{a^2\Delta'(r)^2}.$$  

(48)

The location of the photon’s unstable orbit can be found when we set $\eta(r) = 0$, where analytic solutions are available for both the Schwarzschild and Kerr cases. However, since $\Delta(r)$ is complicated in this study, we analyze Eq. (48) numerically. In Fig. 7, the dash-dotted black line is the Schwarzschild case and the photonsphere radius is at $r = 3m$ as expected. For the extreme
FIG. 7: Plot of $\eta(r) = 0$ showing the location of the photonspheres when $a = 0$ (solid black line), and $a = 0.90m$.

FIG. 8: Plot of $\xi(r) = 0$ showing the location of the photonspheres for zero angular momentum case when $a = 0.90m$.

Kerr case, it is known that prograde and retrograde photonsphere radii are at $r = m$ and $r = 4m$ respectively. When the black hole is surrounded by dark matter in the Dehnen profile, we see a differing behavior for the cored and cuspy profiles. While the cored profile deviation is very small, it tends to increase the photonsphere radius. In contrast, the cuspy profile decreases the photonsphere radius while showing greater deviation to the Kerr case. It is also worth noting that the maxima of the curve for the Kerr case occurs at $r = 3m$, and the dark matter effect shifts the maxima to lower values of $r/m$. The maxima in the plot represent the situation where the time derivative of $\phi$ is zero, which means that photons travel vertically as it crosses the equatorial plane. For the sake of completeness, we also plotted the photon radius for zero angular momentum by setting $\xi(r) = 0$. Along with the condition $\eta > 0$, it is the only radius where photons can reach the poles at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. Based on Fig. 8, the cored profile increases such radius but such a deviation is very small relative to the Kerr case. The cuspy profile shows more deviation and it tends to decrease the radius. The same observation can be concluded for the prograde case of photons around the rotating black hole.
FIG. 9: The black hole shadow with the Dehnen profile for $a = 0.90m$ (left). The figure on the right shows how the shadow radius varies when $a/m$ varies.

VII. BLACK HOLE SHADOW

Any perturbation can cause the photons in the unstable orbit to escape, or to plunge into the black hole. For such reason, it is possible for an observer to form a shadow cast using the celestial coordinates are $(r_0, \theta_0)$. Such an observer is called the Zero Angular Momentum Observer (ZAMO), and the approximation $r_0 \to \infty$ is taken, while $\theta_0 = \pi/2$. The celestial coordinates are defined as \[ \alpha = -r_0 \frac{\xi}{\zeta \sqrt{g_{\phi \phi}} \left( 1 + \frac{g_{t \phi}}{g_{\phi \phi}} \xi \right)}, \]
\[ \beta = r_0 \frac{\pm \sqrt{\Theta(1)}}{\zeta \sqrt{g_{\theta \theta}} \left( 1 + \frac{g_{\phi \phi} \xi}{g_{\theta \theta}} \right)}, \quad (49) \]
and when $r_0 \to \infty$, it is simplified as
\[ \alpha = -\xi \csc \theta_0, \]
\[ \beta = \pm \sqrt{\eta + a^2 \cos^2 \theta_0 - \xi^2 \cot^2 \theta_0}. \quad (50) \]
Note how the above expression simplifies when $\theta_0 = \pi/2$, and if $a = 0$, the shadow cast of a Schwarzschild black hole is a circle. The plot of $\beta$ vs. $\alpha$ is shown in Fig. 9 as $a = 0.90m$ as well as the plot of the shadow radius as $a/m$ varies, which can be calculated using
\[ R_s = \frac{\beta^2_1 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)^2}{2|\alpha_1 - \alpha_0|}. \quad (51) \]
For the figure associated with the variables in Eq. (51), see Ref. [27]. For its derivation, see Ref. [58]. The black dashed line is the Kerr case and we can observe a near D-shaped shadow contour, which is more evident if $a = m$. In the first inset plot, the cored profile is indistinguishable from the Kerr case, and the cuspy profile shows more deviation. Zooming further, we see that the cored profile also decreases the size of the shadow. These statements are also clearly seen in the shadow radius plot on the right of Fig. 9. As a final remark, we can now see the difference between the cored and cuspy profiles by comparing Figs. 7 and 9. While the photonsphere radius is increased due to the cored profile, the shadow size decreases due to the effect of the dark matter in the intervening space. Meanwhile, while the photonsphere radius is decreased due to the cuspy profile, the dark matter in the intervening space causes the shadow size to decrease as perceived by the remote observer. Thus, based on this result, the behavior in the photonsphere whether it increases or decreases, will not dictate what happens to the size of the shadow. The nature of dark matter described by the Dehnen profile causes the shadow size to decrease.

Another observable that can be derived from the shadow is the distortion parameter given by
\[ \delta_s = \frac{d_s}{R_s} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1 - \alpha_1}{R_s}. \quad (52) \]
In Fig. 10 we plot $\delta_s$ vs. $a/m$. For the Kerr case, we can only see an evident increase in the distortion parameter near $a = m$. The decrease in the shadow size caused by the dark matter effect is accompanied by lower values of distortion parameter. Although small, we can see a noticeable increase as $a/m$ increases.

Another important observable is the angular radius, which is given by

$$\theta_s = 9.87098 \times 10^{-3} \frac{R_s m}{D},$$

where $m$ is the black hole mass measured in multiples of solar mass $M_\odot$, and $D$ is in Mpc. In Fig. 11 we plot the angular radius of the black hole shadow for Henize 2-10 and Mrk 462, where $m = 1 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ and $m = 2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, and $D = 9.2$ Mpc and $D = 33.73$ Mpc respectively. We emphasize again that we used a theoretical value for the dark matter mass $k = 1.08 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and made it concentrated at $r_c = 2k$ to see the effect. The Kerr case alone gives a low value for the angular diameter for Henize 2-10, and even lower for Mrk 462. The effect of dark matter, however, gives an even lower value for the angular radius, where the cuspy profile gives more deviation than the cored profile. It implies that it will be more difficult to detect these small deviations since one needs a more sensitive astronomical device.
Finally, we also considered the black hole’s energy emission rate defined by

$$\frac{d^2 E}{d\sigma dt} = 2\pi^2 \frac{\Pi_{ilm}}{e^{\sigma/T} - 1} \sigma^3,$$

(54)

where the energy absorption cross-section $$\Pi_{ilm} \sim \pi R_s^2$$ for an observer at $$r_o \to \infty$$. Moreover, $$T$$ is the black hole temperature, which can be derived using the formula [16]

$$T = \frac{r_h}{4\pi(r_h^2 + a^2)^2} \left[ 2a^2(f(r_h) - 1) + r_h(r_h^2 + a^2)f'(r_h) \right],$$

(55)

where $$r_h$$ is the radius of the event horizon, and $$f(r_h) = g_{tt}$$ in Eq. (30). In Fig. 12, we plot the energy emission rate vs the frequency $$\sigma'$$ for $$a = 0.50m$$ only since we are interested on the dark matter effects. The dark matter effect in the Dehnen profile tends to increase the energy emission rate of the black hole, showing the cuspy profile to have a greater deviation than the cored profile. Thus, a black hole in a cuspy profile has a shorter lifetime than a black hole surrounded with dark matter in cored profile.

**VIII. WEAK DEFLECTION ANGLE**

In this section, we aim to calculate the weak deflection angle of the spinning black hole in the Dehnen dark matter halo using the combined methods in Refs. [31] and [45]. The main reason for this is that the rotating metric in Eq. (30) is a non-asymptotically flat spacetime. It is worth noting that the methods in Refs. [31] and [45] is based on the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, where modifications are made to accommodate non-asymptotically flat spacetimes. Let us introduce these methods briefly.

The Gauss-Bonnet theorem states that [59, 60]

$$\int_D K dS + \sum_{a=1}^N \int_{\partial D_a} \kappa_g d\ell + \sum_{a=1}^N \theta_a = 2\pi \chi(D).$$

(56)

Here, $$D$$ is the regular domain of a freely orientable two dimensional curved surface $$S$$, which is described by the Gaussian curvature $$K$$. The infinitesimal area element is $$dS$$. The boundary of $$D$$ is given by $$\partial D_a (a=1, 2, ..., N)$$, and the geodesic curvature is integrated over the $$d\ell$$. Also, $$\theta_a$$ is the external angle, which $$\chi(D)$$ is the Euler characteristic where in our case is equal to 1. Consider the positions of the lensing object which is the spinning black hole, the source of light $$S$$, and the receiver $$R$$. In the axisymmetric case, the key equation

$$\hat{\alpha} = \Psi_R - \Psi_S + \phi_{RS}$$

(57)
The leading orders for the couplings terms \( am \), which is the initial expression to be used in the iteration method. Noting again Eq. (62), we already know at least four terms in the \( X \) term only matters, and \( \phi \) becomes [61],

\[
\hat{\alpha} = - \int_{R}^{\infty} KdS - \int_{S}^{R} \kappa_{d}d\ell,
\]

which is valid for asymptotically flat spacetimes. However, for non-asymptotically flat spacetime, the authors in Ref. [31] have shown that

\[
\hat{\alpha} = \Psi_{R} - \Psi_{S} + \int_{u_{R}}^{u_{\infty}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{F(u)}} du + \int_{u_{S}}^{u_{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{F(u)}} du,
\]

(59)
can be used even for the axisymmetric cases. In the above equation, we can see how \( \phi_{\text{RS}} \) should be calculated. In particular, one must use the orbit equation given as

\[
F(u) = u^{4} \left( \frac{A(u)D(u) + H(u)^{2}(D(u) - 2H(u)b - A(u)b^{2})}{B(u)(H(u) + A(u)b^{2})} \right)
\]

(60)
and integrate it. Here, the orbit equation is expressed in terms of \( u = 1/r \). The integration is such a daunting task, especially with \( A(r) \) in Eq. (14). However, in Ref. [45] it was shown how \( \phi_{\text{RS}} \) should be alternatively calculated using the finite version of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Using the circular orbit of either the null or time-like particle, it was shown that

\[
\hat{\alpha} = \int_{R}^{\infty} KdS + \phi_{\text{RS}},
\]

(61)
where \( \phi_{\text{RS}} \) is found through solving \( F(u) \) by iteration. The aim is to solve for the closest approach \( u_{o} \), then solve for the angle \( \phi \). Although Eq. (61) is not on its axisymmetric generalization, what matters here is how \( \phi_{\text{RS}} \) is calculated. It is still tedious, but the method avoids the integration.

Now using the rotating metric in Eq. (30), we find the orbit equation as

\[
F(u) = \frac{1}{b^{2}} - u^{2} + 2mu^{3} - \frac{4amu}{b^{3}} + \frac{2ku^{2}(r_{c}u + 1)\sigma^{-2}}{r_{c}(\sigma - 2)} - \frac{4ak(r_{c}u + 1)\sigma^{-2}}{b^{3}r_{c}(\sigma - 2)}
\]

\[
- \frac{16akmu(r_{c}u + 1)^{-2}}{b^{3}r_{c}(\sigma - 2)} + O(m^{2}, k^{2}, a^{2}m^{2}, a^{2}k^{2}, a^{2}k^{2}m^{2}).
\]

(62)
We see the leading orders for the couplings terms \( am, ak, \) and \( amk \). Note that the dark matter contribution stills gives some restriction for \( \sigma \) and Eq. (62) is only valid for the interval \([0, 2)\). Furthermore, those terms with the spin parameter \( a \) tends to decrease the value for \( F(u) \). Noting the \( F(u) = (du/\phi^{2})^{2} \), we differentiate Eq. (62) again and obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2} kr_{c}(\sigma - 2)u^{2}(r_{c}u + 1)^{\sigma^{-3}} + k(u_{c}u + 1)^{\sigma^{-2}} + \frac{1}{2} r_{c}(\sigma - 2) \left( 3mu^{2} - u \frac{d^{2}u}{d\phi^{2}} \right) = 0.
\]

(63)
Indeed, the above equation is a second-order non-linear differential equation. However, we can use perturbative methods [62] to find an approximate solution for \( u \). Here, we only consider the linear terms on Eq. (63). That is, the differential equation

\[
- \frac{1}{2} r_{c}(\sigma - 2) \left( u + \frac{d^{2}u}{d\phi^{2}} \right) = 0
\]

(64)
must be solved, which gives

\[
u = X \sin(\phi) + Y \cos(\phi)
\]

(65)
where \( X \) and \( Y \) and constants that needs to be determined through boundary conditions. Since \( du/d\phi |_{\phi = \pi/2} = 0 \), we find that the first term only matters, and \( X = 1/b \). Therefore,

\[
u_{o} = \frac{1}{b} \sin(\phi)
\]

(66)
which is the initial expression to be used in the iteration method. Noting again Eq. (62), we already know at least four terms in the solution for the closest approach \( u_{o} \). Proceeding with the process [31, 45], we finally obtained

\[
u_{o} = \frac{1}{b} \sin(\phi) + \frac{m(1 + \cos^{2}(\phi))}{b^{2}} - \frac{2am}{b^{3}} + \frac{k}{br_{c}(\sigma - 2)} \left( 1 + \frac{r_{c}}{b} \right)^{\sigma^{-2}} - \frac{2ak}{b^{2}r_{c}(\sigma - 2)} \left( 1 + \frac{r_{c}}{b} \right)^{\sigma^{-2}}
\]
We do not show the expression here since it is rather lengthy. Next, we turn our attention to the positional angles

With the use of Eq. (74), we find

\[
\cos \Psi = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 - b^2 u^2}} + O(m^2, k^2, a^2 m^2, a^2 k^2, a^2 k^2 m^2),
\]

where

\[
\gamma = b^4 u^3 + b^3 \left(r_c u^3 + 8 u^2\right) + b^2 \left[2 r_c (\sigma + 2) u^2 + u\right] + b(r_c u - 8) - 2 r_c (\sigma + 2).
\]

Note that in the above equation, \(\phi_R = \pi - \phi|_{u \to u_R}\) and \(\phi_S = \phi|_{u \to u_S}\). Thus, the expression for the longitudinal angle is found by using [45]

\[
\phi_{RS} = \phi_R - \phi_S.
\]

We do not show the expression here since it is rather lengthy. Next, we turn our attention to the positional angles \(\Psi_R\) and \(\Psi_S\). From the source to the receiver, the photons travels in the three dimensional manifold where its unit tangent vector depends on the orbit equation [61], i.e.

\[
e' = \frac{d\phi}{dt} \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}, 0, 1\right)
\]

where, for the axisymmetric case,

\[
\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{A(r)[H(r) + A(r)b]}{A(r)D(r) + H(r)^2}.
\]

As for the unit radial vector, taken positively in the outgoing direction, we have

\[
R^i = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma r}}, 0, 0\right).
\]

With the definition \(\cos \Psi = \gamma_{ij} e^i R^j\) and basic trigonometry, one can derive

\[
\sin \Psi = \frac{H(r) + A(r)b}{\sqrt{A(r)D(r) + H(r)^2}}.
\]

With the use of Eq. (74), we find

\[
\Psi = \arcsin(bu) - \frac{b m u^2}{\sqrt{1 - b^2 u^2}} - \frac{b k}{r_c (\sigma - 2)} \frac{u (r_c u + 1)^{\sigma - 2}}{\sqrt{1 - b^2 u^2}} + \frac{b k m}{r_c (\sigma - 2)} \frac{u^2 (2 b^2 u^2 - 1) (r_c u + 1)^{\sigma - 2}}{(1 - b^2 u^2)^{3/2}}
\]

Here, if one consider the finite distance of the source S and the receiver R, then [31]

\[
\Psi_R - \Psi_S = \Psi|_{u \to u_R} - \pi + \Psi|_{u \to u_S}.
\]

Then with Eqs. (70) and (76), the weak deflection angle can be computed as [31]

\[
\hat{\alpha} = \Psi_R - \Psi_S + \phi_{RS}.
\]

The general equation for the weak deflection angle with finite distance is then

\[
\hat{\alpha} = \frac{2 m}{b} \left[\sqrt{1 - b^2 u_R^2} + \sqrt{1 - b^2 u_S^2}\right] + \frac{2 a m}{b^2} \left[\sqrt{1 - b^2 u_R^2} + \sqrt{1 - b^2 u_S^2}\right] - \frac{2 m}{b} \left[\sqrt{1 - b^2 u_R^2} + \sqrt{1 - b^2 u_S^2}\right]
\]
We did this for scaling reasons and to see the effect of the Dehnen profile on a black hole. If the true value of \( b/m \) produces a smaller shadow. The cuspy profile, however, has a decreased size of the shadow due to the decreased photonsphere.

We note that without the dark matter, we obtain the correct expression for the weak deflection angle by a Kerr black hole. We found out that the cuspy profile is the most sensitive to deviation when the massive particle counter-rotates the black hole. We have.

In particular, we associated the Dehnen profile with a Schwarzschild black hole. We have.

Here, the upper sign is used for prograde orbit of the photon, while the lower sign is for the retrograde orbit. Assuming that \( u_\mathcal{K} = u_\mathcal{S} \), which both approaches zero, and that the impact parameter \( u \) is very remote observer like us. We also observe, again, that the cuspy profile can also be noticed between the cases \( b > 0 \) and \( b < 0 \).

We note that without the dark matter, we obtain the correct expression for the weak deflection angle by a Schwarzschild black hole. We have.

Furthermore, if \( \alpha \to 0 \), the Schwarzschild case is also obtained. In Fig. 13, we plot the weak deflection angle with finite distance Eq. (78) where \( u = 1/2b \), and compare it with the weak deflection angle when \( u \to 0 \) described by Eq. (79). The vertical dotted line represents the core radius \( r_c \) of the dark matter which is theoretically \( 2k \), and \( k = 1.08 \times 10^9 M_\odot \). The black solid line represents the weak deflection angle for the Kerr case without the influence of the dark matter environment. In general, we see that making the dark matter concentration near the black hole produces very large values for \( \alpha \). Furthermore, a differing behavior at very low impact parameters \( b/m \) can also be noticed between the cases \( a > 0 \) and \( a < 0 \). The finite distance effect, as the source or receiver are near the dark matter core, also amplifies the value of \( \alpha \). However, such a value is not that significantly different to the approximation \( u \to 0 \), which is more practical to a very remote observer like us. We also observe, again, that the cuspy profile shows more deviation than the cored profile. Outside and nearly inside the core radius, dark matter causes repulsive deflection of light. However, positive deflection of light is seen deep within the core radius and both the cored and cuspy profile become more indistinguishable with the Kerr case. It again portrays the usual behavior of dark matter that its effect is more evident far from the galactic center.

**IX. CONCLUSION**

With a few recent discoveries of black holes in dwarf galaxies, it motivated us to construct a black hole solution surrounded by dark matter whose profile fits this type of galaxy. In particular, we associated the Dehnen profile with a Schwarzschild black hole since such a profile is useful for ultra-faint dwarf galaxies. The equation governing the Dehnen profile can accommodate both cored \( \sigma = 0 \) and cuspy \( \sigma = 1 \) configurations. Acting as a seed metric, we utilized the modified Newman-Janis prescription to obtain the rotating solution to make a more realistic model. Then, we analyzed how the Dehnen profile affects the horizons, time-like and null orbits, the shadow and its observables, and the weak deflection angle. Our results revealed that the cuspy profile shows more deviation to the usual black hole properties mentioned as compared to the cored profile. The cuspy profile then leaves more room for detection since the cored profile is almost indistinguishable from the Kerr case. The study is different in the sense that it uses a black hole instead of stellar distribution to determine once and for all the type of dark matter profile a dwarf galaxy has.

Not usually done in the literature is the analysis of how the dark matter profile affects the orbits of a massive particle around a black hole. We found out that the cuspy profile is the most sensitive to deviation when the massive particle counter-rotates the black hole. Furthermore, two ISCO radii are produced which signifies two values for the angular momentum \( L \) producing such a radius. For the null orbits and the black hole shadow, we find that while the photonsphere radius increases in the cored profile, it produces a smaller shadow. The cuspy profile, however, has a decreased size of the shadow due to the decreased photonsphere.

We remark that this study is not that realistic since we assumed a theoretical value for the core radius \( r_c \) of the dark matter. We did this for scaling reasons and to see the effect of the Dehnen profile on a black hole. If the true value of \( r_c \) is used on a
FIG. 13: The weak deflection angle by a black hole in Dehnen profile where the black hole spin parameter is $a = 0.90m$ (left figure), and $a = -0.90m$ (right figure). Here, $\hat{\alpha}$ is in $\mu$as.

UFD representative present in [14], the effect is vanishingly small and it gives a problem in visualization, especially in inset plotting. Although the study is useful if a black hole is discovered on a UFD with a known Dehnen profile for $k$ and $r_c$, the results here indicate that the deviation will be negligible and indistinguishable from the black hole in a vacuum case. The deviation is even smaller than those reported in Refs. [15–27]. We conclude that it is inherent to the Dehnen profile described by Eq. (1). Detecting the deviation caused by the Dehnen profile on the shadow with current space technology like the EHT (10 $\mu$as), ESA GAIA mission (20 $\mu$as-7 $\mu$as) [63], and the futuristic VLBI RadioAstron that can achieve at around $1-10\mu$as [64], are not enough even if the dark matter is theoretically concentrated near the black hole. Therefore, it would be so difficult to settle whether the dark matter profile in a UFD is cored or cuspy if a black hole is used. As for the weak deflection angle, we verified that if the observed value for $r_c$ is used, the angle obtained can be lower than 1 $\mu$as. Even so, the deviation caused by the cored and cuspy profiles remains indistinguishable.

In light of this study, it is interesting to explore further the effect of the Dehnen profile, say, on the weak and strong deflection angle of massive particles. Since time-like orbits are also affected, it is also interesting to explore their effect on a massive particle that has a spin. Finally, future studies with spinning dark matter halo along with a spinning black hole are also interesting.
