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Abstract

Recently, Defant and Propp [2020] defined the degree of noninvertibility of a function \( f: X \to Y \) between two finite nonempty sets by

\[
\text{deg}(f) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} |f^{-1}(f(x))|.
\]

We obtain an exact formula for the expected degree of noninvertibility of the composition of \( t \) functions for every \( t \in \mathbb{N} \). An equivalent formulation for the definition of the degree of noninvertibility is then the starting point for a generalization yielding a seemingly new combinatorial identity involving the Stirling transform of the signed Stirling numbers of the first kind.

1 Introduction

Recently, Defant and Propp [2020] defined the degree of noninvertibility of a function \( f: X \to Y \) between two finite nonempty sets by

\[
\text{deg}(f) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} |f^{-1}(f(x))|.
\]

as a measure of how far \( f \) is from being injective. Interested mainly in endofunctions (also called dynamical systems within the field of dynamical algebraic combinatorics), that is, functions \( f: X \to X \), they then computed the degrees of noninvertibility of several specific functions and studied the connection between the degrees of noninvertibility of functions and those of their iterates.

2 Main results

The purpose of this work is to continue the research of this newly introduced notion of degree of noninvertibility by addressing the following question: Let \( t \in \mathbb{N} \). What is the expected degree of noninvertibility of the composition of \( t \) functions? We prove

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( t \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1} \) be finite nonempty sets of sizes \( n_1, \ldots, n_{t+1} \), respectively. Denote

\[
D(X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1}) = \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} n_s^{n_{s+1}} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq t} \text{deg}(f_t \circ \cdots \circ f_1).
\]

Then

\[
D(X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1}) = \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{t+1} n_s - \prod_{s=1}^{t+1} (n_s - 1)}{\prod_{s=2}^{t+1} n_s}.
\]

---
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In the special case that all the sets in Theorem 2.1 are equal, we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( t \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( X \) be a finite nonempty set of size \( n \). Then
\[
D^{\text{\( t \) times}}(X, \ldots, X) = \frac{n^{t+1} - (n-1)^{t+1}}{n^t}.
\]

**Remark 2.3.** In the notation of Corollary 2.2, it holds
\[
n^{t+1} - (n-1)^{t+1} = \sum_{s=0}^{t} (-1)^s \binom{t+1}{s+1} n^{t-s}.
\]

Thus, the coefficients of the different powers of \( n \) correspond to the beheaded rows of Pascal’s triangle with alternating signs (related to A074909 in the OEIS). For example, for \( t = 1, 2, 3 \) the right-hand side of (1) takes the form
\[
2n - 1, \\
3n^2 - 3n + 1, \\
4n^3 - 6n^2 + 4n - 1.
\]

It immediately follows that
\[
D^{\text{\( t \) times}}(X, \ldots, X) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} t + 1.
\]

We continue by strengthening [Defant and Propp, 2020, Theorem 3.4] that states that if \( X \) is a finite set of size \( n \) then
\[
\text{deg}(f \circ g) \leq \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\text{deg}(f) \text{deg}(g)}, \quad \forall f, g : X \to X.
\]

We prove

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) be three finite nonempty sets and let \( g : X \to Y \) and \( f : Y \to Z \) be two functions. Then
\[
\text{deg}(f \circ g) \leq \max_{z \in Z} \{|f^{-1}(z)|\} \text{deg}(g).
\]

That Theorem 2.4 is a strengthening of [Defant and Propp, 2020, Theorem 3.4] in the case \( Y = Z = X \) follows from Lemma 3.3 but the extent of this strengthening may be appreciated by comparing the order of the expectation of \( \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\text{deg}(f)} \) which is \( \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \) (cf. Remark 2.3) with the one of \( \max_{z \in X} \{|f^{-1}(z)|\} \) which is \( \Theta \left( \frac{\log(n)}{\log(\log(n))} \right) \) (a result due to Gonnet [1981]. See also the references in A208250 in the OEIS).

It is easy to see that if \( f : X \to Y \) is a function between two finite nonempty sets, then
\[
\text{deg}(f) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in Y} |f^{-1}(y)|^2.
\]

This formulation (which we shall use freely throughout this work) opens the door for a generalization: For a function \( f : X \to Y \) and \( q \in \mathbb{N} \) we define
\[
\text{deg}(f, q) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in Y} |f^{-1}(y)|^q.
\]

We prove the following theorem which makes use of the notations \( \binom{n}{k} \) and \( \binom{n}{k} \) that denote the Stirling numbers of the second and of the first kind, respectively (e.g. [Graham et al., 1989, pp. 243–253]).
Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two finite nonempty sets of sizes $n$ and $m$, respectively and let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{nm^n} \sum_{f: X \to Y} \deg(f, q) = \frac{1}{mq^m} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q \\ k \end{array} \right\} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \left[ \begin{array}{l} k \\ j \end{array} \right] n^{q-j} \right) m^{q-k}. \tag{3.1}$$

By taking $Y = X$ in Theorem 2.5 we obtain a seemingly new combinatorial identity involving the Stirling transform of the signed Stirling numbers of the first kind (cf. Bernstein and Sloane [1995] and A118984 in the OEIS)).

Corollary 2.6. Let $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_n \geq 0} \binom{n}{k_1, \ldots, k_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^q \right) = n^{q-(q-2)} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} (-1)^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[ \begin{array}{l} q \\ j \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{l} k+j \\ j \end{array} \right] \right) n^{q-k-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} (-1)^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[ \begin{array}{l} q \\ j \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{l} k+j \\ j \end{array} \right] \right) = 1, \ \forall q \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.3}$$

3 The proofs

Definition 3.1. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1}$ be finite nonempty sets of sizes $n_1, \ldots, n_{t+1}$, respectively. Denote

$$D(X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{s=1}^{t} n_{s+1}^{n_s}} \sum_{f_1: X_1 \to X_{s+1}} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq t} \deg(f_t \circ \cdots \circ f_1).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on the following lemma

Lemma 3.2. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1}$ be finite nonempty sets of sizes $n_1, \ldots, n_{t+1}$, respectively. It holds

$$D(X_1, \ldots, X_{t+1}) = \frac{1}{n_1 \prod_{s=1}^{t} n_{s+1}^{n_s}} \sum_{k_{1,1}, \ldots, k_{n_1,n_1+1} \geq 0} \binom{n_1}{k_{1,1}, \ldots, k_{n_1,n_1+1}} \sum_{k_{2,1}, \ldots, k_{1,n_1+1} \geq 0} \binom{n_{t-1}}{k_{2,1}, \ldots, k_{1,n_1+1}} \cdots \sum_{k_{t+1,1}, \ldots, k_{1,n_{t+1}} \geq 0} \binom{n_{t+1}}{k_{t+1,1}, \ldots, k_{1,n_{t+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\sum_{s=1}^{n_{t+1}} k_{s,i}^{n_{t+1}}). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Assume $X_{t+1} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n_{t+1}}\}$ and for every $1 \leq s \leq t$ let $f_s: X_s \to X_{s+1}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n_{s+1}$ we define iteratively: $X_{t,i} = f_t^{-1}(x_i), k_{t,i} = |X_{t,i}|$ and for $1 \leq s \leq t-1$: $X_{s,i} = f_s^{-1}(X_{s+1,i}), k_{s,i} = |X_{s,i}|$. Then

$$(f_t \circ \cdots \circ f_1)^{-1}(x_i) = X_{1,i}$$

and therefore

$$|(f_t \circ \cdots \circ f_1)^{-1}(x_i)| = k_{1,i}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now, for every $1 \leq s \leq t-1$ there are exactly $\left( \binom{n_{s+1}}{k_{s+1,1}, \ldots, k_{s,n_{s+1}+1}} k_{s+1,1} \cdots k_{s,n_{s+1}+1} \right)$ functions $g: X_s \to X_{s+1}$ such that $|g^{-1}(X_{s+1,i})| = k_{s,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n_{s+1}$. \hfill \Box
\textbf{Proof of Theorem 2.1:} We proceed by induction on \( t \). For the induction step we shall need the following identity from which we shall also deduce the case \( t = 1 \): Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( k_1, \ldots, k_n \geq 0 \). Denote \( r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \). Then
\[
\sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_n \geq 0 \atop i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \ldots, i_n} k_1^{i_1} \cdots k_n^{i_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 \right) = m(m-1)r^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2 + mr^m. \tag{2}
\]
Indeed,
\[
\sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_n \geq 0 \atop i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \ldots, i_n} k_1^{i_1} \cdots k_n^{i_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 \right)
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \ldots, i_n} i^2 k_1^{i_1} \cdots k_n^{i_n}
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( m k_i (r - k_i)^{m-1} + m \sum_{i_1 \geq 0, \ldots, i_n \geq 0 \atop i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \ldots, i_n} (i_1 - 1) k_1^{i_1} \cdots k_n^{i_n} \right)
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( m k_i (r - k_i)^{m-1} + k_i^2 m(m-1) \sum_{i_1 \geq 0, \ldots, i_n \geq 0 \atop i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m-1} \binom{m-1}{i_1, \ldots, i_n} (i_1 - 1) k_1^{i_1} \cdots k_n^{i_n} \right)
= m \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \left( (r - k_i)^{m-1} + k_i(m-1)r^{m-2} \right) + \sum_{i_1 \geq 0, \ldots, i_n \geq 0 \atop i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m-1} \binom{m-1}{i_1, \ldots, i_n} (i_1 - 1) k_1^{i_1} \cdots k_n^{i_n}
= m \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \left( (r - k_i)^{m-1} + k_i(m-1)r^{m-2} + r^{m-1} - (r - k_i)^{m-1} \right)
= m(m-1)r^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2 + mr^m.
\]
Let \( t = 1 \). It holds
\[
D(X_1, X_2) \quad \text{Lemma 3.2} \quad \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{k_1, 1, \ldots, k_1 n_2 \geq 1 \atop k_1 + \cdots + k_n = n_1} \binom{n_1}{k_1, 1, \ldots, k_1 n_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2 \right)
= \frac{(2) n_1 (n_1 - 1) n_2^{n_1 - 2} n_2 + n_1 n_2^{n_1}}{n_1 n_2^{n_1}}
= \frac{n_1 + n_2 - 1}{n_2}
= \frac{n_1 n_2 - (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)}{n_2}.
\]
Suppose now that the claim holds for \( t \in \mathbb{N} \). We prove that it holds for \( t + 1 \):
\[D(X_1, \ldots, X_{t+2})\]
Before we prove Theorem 2.4, let us complement [Defant and Propp, 2020, Lemma 1.2]:

Lemma 3.3. Let \( f : X \to Y \). Then

\[
\max_{y \in Y} \{|f^{-1}(y)|\} \leq \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\deg(f)}.
\]

Proof. Applying the inequality

\[
||x||_\infty \leq ||x||_2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m
\]

on the vector in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) whose entries correspond to the sizes of the preimages under \( f \) of all the elements of \( Y \) we obtain

\[
\max_{y \in Y} \{|f^{-1}(y)|\} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{y \in Y} |f^{-1}(y)|^2} = \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{y \in Y} |f^{-1}(y)|^2} = \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\deg(f)}.
\]

Only a small modification of the proof of [Defant and Propp, 2020, Theorem 3.4] is necessary to prove Theorem 2.4. We give the full proof for completeness:

Proof of Theorem 2.4: Let \( z_1, \ldots, z_r \in Z \) be such that \( f(g(X)) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_r\} \). For every \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) denote \( k_i = |f^{-1}(z_i)| \) and let \( y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_{k_i}} \in Y \) be such that \( f^{-1}(z_i) = \{y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_{k_i}}\} \). Furthermore, for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq k_i \) denote \( l_{ij} = |g^{-1}(y_{ij})| \). It holds

\[
\deg(f \circ g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r} |g^{-1}(f^{-1}(z_i))|^2
\]
We proceed by (complete) induction on \( q \) and prove that for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) it holds
\[
\sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m \geq 0 \atop k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n} \binom{n}{k_1, \ldots, k_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i^q \right)^2 = n^{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{n}{k_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^{k_i-j} \binom{k_i}{j} n^{j-1} \right) m^{q-k} \quad q > 0
\]
\[
= \max_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \{|f^{-1}(z)|\} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{n}{k_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^{k_i-j} \binom{k_i}{j} n^{j-1} \right) m^{q-k} + nm^n
\]

where in (a) we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (b) is due to the fact that
\[
g(X) \leq f^{-1}(f(g(X))) = \{y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}.
\]

Proof of Theorem 2.5 We proceed by (complete) induction on \( q \) and prove that for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) it holds
\[
\sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m \geq 0 \atop k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n} \binom{n}{k_1, \ldots, k_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i^{q+1} \right) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{n}{k_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^{k_i-j} \binom{k_i}{j} n^{j-1} \right) \right) m^{q-k} \quad q > 0
\]
\[
= \max_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \{|f^{-1}(z)|\} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{n}{k_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^{k_i-j} \binom{k_i}{j} n^{j-1} \right) m^{q-k} + nm^n
\]

The cases \( q = 0, 1 \) are trivial. Let \( 1 < q \in \mathbb{N} \) and suppose that the assertion holds for every \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and every \( 0 \leq r \leq q \). We shall prove that it holds for every \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and for \( q + 1 \):
\[
\sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m \geq 0 \atop k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n} \binom{n}{k_1, \ldots, k_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i^{q+1} \right) = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m \geq 0 \atop k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n} \binom{n}{k_1, \ldots, k_m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i^{q+1} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{n}{k_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^{k_i-j} \binom{k_i}{j} n^{j-1} \right) m^{q-k} + nm^n
\]
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\[ \begin{align*}
= & nm^{n-q} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left\{ q + 1 \right\} k + 1 \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{j} (-1)^{k+j} \left[ \frac{k+j}{j+l} \right] n^{j-l} \right] m^{q-k} + nm^{n-q} m^q \\
= & nm^{n-q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left\{ q + 1 \right\} k + 1 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \left[ \frac{k+1}{j+1} \right] n^{j} \right) m^{q-k} + m^q \right) \\
= & nm^{n-q} \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \left\{ q + 1 \right\} k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \left[ \frac{k}{j} \right] n^{j-1} \right) m^{q+1-k}
\end{align*} \]

where in (c) we used the induction hypothesis, (d) is due to [Graham et al., 1989, (6.15)] and (e) follows after several algebraic manipulations together with [Graham et al., 1989, (6.16)].

**Proof of Corollary 2.6:** It is straightforward to derive the asserted identity from Theorem 2.5. Now, recall (e.g. Bernstein and Sloane [1995]) that if \((a_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a sequence of real numbers then the Stirling transform \((b_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}\) of \((a_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}\) is given by

\[ b_l = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left\{ \frac{l}{i} \right\} a_i, \forall l \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Setting \( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = 0 \) for every \( x \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( y \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( y \leq 0 \) we have

\[ (-1)^{k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \left\{ \frac{q}{k+j} \right\} \left[ \frac{k+j}{j} \right] \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left\{ \frac{q}{j} \right\} (-1)^{j-k} \left[ \frac{j}{j-k} \right]. \]
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