

TRILINEAR BIRATIONAL MAPS IN DIMENSION THREE

LAURENT BUSÉ, PABLO GONZÁLEZ-MAZÓN, AND JOSEF SCHICHO

ABSTRACT. A 3-dimensional trilinear birational map is a rational map $\phi : (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ whose entries are trilinear polynomials in three distinct pairs of variables, and which admits an inverse rational map. In this work, we prove that the set of classes of trilinear birational maps, up to composition with an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, is an algebraic subset of some Grassmannian, and we describe its irreducible components. Additionally, we provide the complete list of possible base loci for ϕ , up to isomorphism. Finally, we describe the syzygies of the entries that define ϕ , and prove that one can decide birationality by looking exclusively at the first syzygies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivation. Rational maps between multi-projective and projective spaces have been studied recently, with a special emphasis on the computation of the degrees and birationality (e.g. [9, 13, 8, 29, 21]). The introduction of the multi-projective setting is motivated by applications of these rational maps to Computer-Aided Geometric Design (CAGD), computer vision, shape optimization, animation, and other related fields (see [29] and references therein). In particular, maps defined over products of projective lines are ubiquitous in geometric modeling. For instance, tensor-product rational Bézier surfaces and volumes are used intensively for the definition of geometric objects. More specifically, dominant rational maps $(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and $(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ provide simple and meaningful control points in the deformation of 2D and 3D shapes, in a technique known as free-form deformation (FFD) (see e.g. [30, 29]). One of the requirements for these applications is the injectivity of the rational map. More interestingly, birational maps are globally injective, and have an inverse rational map. Additionally, the computation of preimages of rational maps is necessary for some applications ([29] and references), and the inverse map allows the computation of these preimages avoiding the numerical resolution of equations.

Previous work and context. The n -dimensional Cremona group over \mathbb{C} , denoted by either $\text{Cr}_n(\mathbb{C})$ or $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)$, is the group of birational endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. Equivalently, it is the group of \mathbb{C} -automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{C}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Given $d \geq 0$, the subset $\mathfrak{Bir}_d(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n) \subset \text{Cr}_n(\mathbb{C})$ consists of all the birational endomorphisms that are determined by $n + 1$ homogeneous polynomials of degree d . The study of the Cremona group has a long history in algebraic geometry, and there is an extensive and rich literature about the topic (e.g. [25, 1, 12]). Much of the work has focused on the study of subgroups, especially finite (e.g. [14, 7, 15, 31, 11]), and algebro-topological properties of $\text{Cr}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{Bir}_d(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ [6, 4, 3]. The case $n = 2$ has received more attention (e.g. [5, 16, 10]). Remarkably, we have a good understanding of the structure of $\mathfrak{Bir}_d(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ [3], and a complete classification of all possible base loci of birational maps is known for degrees $d = 2, 3$ [12]. However, for $n \geq 3$ the situation quickly becomes more complicated. If $n = 3$, the algebraic structure of $\mathfrak{Bir}_2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3)$ has been described, together with a classification of the base loci of all birational endomorphisms with quadratic entries [26]. In degree $d = 3$, some of the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{Bir}_3(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3)$ have also been described [17], and only a partial classification of the base loci is known [25]. Regarding birational maps from

a multi-projective space to a projective target, only the structure and classification of bilinear rational maps $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ have been addressed [30, 8]. Namely, the set of bilinear birational maps has the structure of an irreducible hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{11}$, and the base locus is always a closed point.

Approximately thirty years ago, modern techniques from commutative algebra were incorporated to study birational maps, primarily motivated by computational purposes. Syzygy-based birationality criteria date back to [28], and were further developed (see e.g. [27, 32]). In this context, the *Jacobian dual criterion* is a general method for deciding if a rational map between projective varieties, or from a multi-projective variety to a projective variety, is birational [18, 9]. It is based on the study of the defining equations of the Rees algebra associated to a rational map, which geometrically determine its graph. However, the derivation of the Rees ideal entails a high computational cost, and for some applications specialized methods are preferred. In dimension two, there are efficient methods for the deformation of bilinear birational maps $\phi : (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, where a syzygy-based criterion for birationality is known [30, 8]. Larger bi-degrees have also been considered, but only partially. Namely, conditions under which birationality holds are known for maps with entries of bi-degree $(2, 2)$ [8], and bi-degree $(1, n)$ [29]. Regarding the 3-dimensional case, no syzygy-based methods for deciding the birationality of a rational map $\phi : (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ are known, probably because the situation is much more involved.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we introduce trilinear birational maps, along with the concepts of *base ideal*, *base locus*, and *type of inverse*. We briefly review the Jacobian dual criterion, and the interplay in between the type of the inverse and the tri-degree of the base locus. The main strategy is explained in Section 3. More explicitly, in Subsection 3.1 we discuss the properties of the base loci that, in Subsection 3.2, will allow us to factorize any trilinear rational map as the composition of some canonical birational map, given by a particular linear system, and a linear projection.

Our first main result is presented in Section 4, which is devoted to the study of the algebraic structure of the set of trilinear birational maps, up to composition with automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$. We establish our notation for specific sets of birational maps, and introduce the group action given by the automorphisms of $(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^3$ on these sets, that we call *right-action*. In Theorem 4.1 we prove that the set of classes of trilinear birational maps has the structure of a locally closed algebraic subset of some Grassmannian, and we describe its irreducible components. In Section 5 we look at the orbits of the right-action. We prove that there are finitely many of these, and in Corollary 5.1 and Theorems 5.4, 5.6, and 5.8 we derive the complete list of possible base loci of trilinear birational maps, up to isomorphism of schemes. On the algebraic side, in Lemma 3.1 and Corollaries 6.1, 6.3, and 6.4 we list all possible minimal tri-graded free resolutions for the base ideal of a trilinear birational map, and we find that all the Betti-numbers of these are determined by the tri-degrees of the first syzygies (Corollary 6.5). Finally, in Theorem 6.7 we prove that the birationality of a trilinear birational map can be decided exclusively from the first syzygies of its entries, opening the door to syzygy-based strategies for the manipulation of trilinear rational maps in applications.

Acknowledgments. The three authors have received funding from the European Union’s “HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH AND INNOVATION PROGRAMME”, under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement n° 860843. All examples in this paper, and multiple computations not explicitly included, were realized using the computer algebra software MACAULAY2 [22].

2. PRELIMINARS

2.1. **Trilinear rational maps.** A trilinear rational map is a rational map

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \\ (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) &\mapsto (f_0 : f_1 : f_2 : f_3) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i = f_i(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1)$ is a trilinear polynomial, i.e. the polynomial f_i is linear and homogeneous with respect to the three pairs of variables (x_0, x_1) , (y_0, y_1) , and (z_0, z_1) . More precisely, let $R_x = \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1]$, $R_y = \mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1]$ and $R_z = \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1]$ be the homogeneous coordinate rings of each $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$; they are canonically graded polynomial rings. The tri-homogeneous coordinate ring of the product $X := (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^3$ is the tensor product ring $R = R_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_z$, which inherits a tri-graded structure $R = \bigoplus_{i,j,k} \mathbb{C}C_{(i,j,k)}$.

The tri-homogeneous ideal $B_\phi = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) \subset R$ is called the *base ideal* of ϕ . The subscheme of X defined by B_ϕ is the *base locus* or *base locus scheme* of ϕ , and is denoted by Z_ϕ . If ϕ is birational, the codimension of Z_ϕ is at least two.

2.2. **The Jacobian dual criterion.** Given the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3] &\rightarrow R[u] \\ t_i &\mapsto f_i u \quad , \end{aligned}$$

the *Rees algebra* \mathcal{R} of ϕ is defined as the quotient $R[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]/\mathcal{J}$, where the kernel \mathcal{J} is the *Rees ideal*. The Rees ideal is prime and saturated with respect to the tri-graded irrelevant ideal $(x_0, x_1) \cap (y_0, y_1) \cap (z_0, z_1) \subset R$. Moreover, it defines the scheme-theoretic graph of ϕ in $X \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$. In particular, \mathcal{J} is endowed with a \mathbb{Z}^4 -graded structure, as it inherits the grading from R_x, R_y, R_z , and $\mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$. We use the notation $(d_x, d_y, d_z; d_t)$ for this multi-degree in \mathbb{Z}^4 . More explicitly, we have

$$g = g(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1, t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathcal{J}_{(d_x, d_y, d_z; d_t)}$$

if g is homogeneous of degree d_x in (x_0, x_1) , d_y in (y_0, y_1) , d_z in (z_0, z_1) , d_t in (t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3) , and additionally $g(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1, f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) = 0$. Specially, the elements in the multi-graded piece $\mathcal{J}_{(d_x, d_y, d_z; 1)}$ are identified with the first syzygies of the f_i 's. Given any such syzygy in $\mathcal{J}_{(d_x, d_y, d_z; 1)}$, we say that it has tri-degree (d_x, d_y, d_z) .

The multi-graded Jacobian dual criterion [9, Theorem 4.4] allows to characterize birationality from the ideal \mathcal{J} . Namely, given any triple $(d_x, d_y, d_z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, consider the $\mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$ -module given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{(d_x, d_y, d_z, *)} = \bigcup_{d_t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{J}_{(d_x, d_y, d_z, d_t)} .$$

If ϕ is dominant, the Jacobian dual criterion asserts that it is birational if, and only if, each of the modules $\mathcal{J}_{(1,0,0;*)}$, $\mathcal{J}_{(0,1,0;*)}$, and $\mathcal{J}_{(0,0,1;*)}$ admits a single irreducible generator. In these circumstances, we find integers $r_x, r_y, r_z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that these generators can be written as

$$(2.2) \quad a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1 \in \mathcal{J}_{(1,0,0; r_x)} , \quad b_1 y_0 - b_0 y_1 \in \mathcal{J}_{(0,1,0; r_y)} , \quad c_1 z_0 - c_0 z_1 \in \mathcal{J}_{(0,0,1; r_z)} ,$$

for some $a_i, b_j, z_k \in \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$. Therefore, the rational map

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^{-1} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 &\dashrightarrow X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \\ (t_0 : t_1 : t_2 : t_3) &\mapsto (a_0 : a_1) \times (b_0 : b_1) \times (c_0 : c_1) \end{aligned}$$

is the inverse of ϕ . The *base ideal* of ϕ^{-1} is $B_{\phi^{-1}} = (a_0, a_1) \cap (b_0, b_1) \cap (c_0, c_1)$. The *base locus* or *base locus scheme* of ϕ^{-1} is the subscheme $Z_{\phi^{-1}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ defined by $B_{\phi^{-1}}$. More explicitly, we have $Z_{\phi^{-1}} = V(a_0, a_1) \cup V(b_0, b_1) \cup V(c_0, c_1)$, of codimension two. Finally, we define the *type of ϕ^{-1}* to be the triple of integers (r_x, r_y, r_z) .

2.3. The type of ϕ^{-1} . Let ϕ be birational. A general line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ lies in the complement of the base locus of ϕ^{-1} , so $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is a closed rational curve in X . Moreover, if $(\sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_i t_i, \sum_{i=0}^3 \beta_i t_i)$ is the defining ideal of ℓ in $\mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$, the tri-homogeneous ideal

$$(\alpha_0 f_0 + \alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \alpha_3 f_3, \beta_0 f_0 + \beta_1 f_1 + \beta_2 f_2 + \beta_3 f_3) \subset R$$

determines a subscheme of X of tri-degree $(2, 2, 2)$. This is the scheme-theoretic union of $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ and the base locus Z_{ϕ} . On the other hand, writing $\pi_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ for the canonical projection of X to the i -th $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ factor, the composition $\pi_1 \circ \phi^{-1}|_{\ell}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 &\cong \ell \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}|_{\ell}} \phi^{-1}(\ell) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \\ (t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3) &\mapsto (a_0 : a_1) \times (b_0 : b_1) \times (c_0 : c_1) \mapsto (a_0 : a_1), \end{aligned}$$

yields an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ of degree r_x . Similarly, the compositions $\pi_2 \circ \phi^{-1}|_{\ell}$, $\pi_3 \circ \phi^{-1}|_{\ell}$ yield endomorphisms of degrees r_y and r_z , respectively. Therefore, the tri-degree of $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is (r_x, r_y, r_z) , and we obtain the equality

$$(2.4) \quad \text{tri-deg}(\phi^{-1}(\ell)) + \text{tri-deg}((Z_{\phi})_1) = (r_x, r_y, r_z) + \text{tri-deg}((Z_{\phi})_1) = (2, 2, 2),$$

where $(Z_{\phi})_1$ stands for curve component of Z_{ϕ} . Thus, we find the following pairing between the possible types of ϕ^{-1} and the tri-degree $(Z_{\phi})_1$:

Type of ϕ^{-1}	Tri-degree of $(Z_{\phi})_1$
(1,1,1)	(1,1,1)
(1,1,2) (and permutations)	(1,1,0) (and permutations)
(1,2,2) (and permutations)	(1,0,0) (and permutations)
(2,2,2)	(0,0,0)

TABLE 1. All the possible types of ϕ^{-1} , and the tri-degree of $(Z_{\phi})_1$.

2.4. Automorphisms of $(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)^3$. Recall that underlying set of the group of automorphisms of X , denoted by $\text{Aut}(X)$, is the disjoint union

$$\text{Aut}(X) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})^3,$$

where S_3 group of permutations acting on the three $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, and $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the projective linear group acting on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. In particular, there are automorphisms that do not preserve the tri-degree. For instance, the ‘‘crossed identity’’

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \\ (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) &\mapsto (y_0 : y_1) \times (x_0 : x_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \end{aligned}$$

maps varieties of tri-degree (d_x, d_y, d_z) to varieties of tri-degree (d_y, d_x, d_z) .

3. FACTORIZATION OF TRILINEAR BIRATIONAL MAPS

In this section we explain the strategy followed in our analysis. It is inspired by [26], where the classification of birational endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ with quadratic entries is studied. The idea is the factorization of trilinear birational maps through a linear system and a linear projection.

3.1. First properties of the base loci. In the remainder of the text, all the subschemes of X that we consider are closed. Following [24], a variety will be irreducible, and an algebraic set will be a finite union of varieties. Similarly, by curve we always understand a scheme of pure dimension one. If $S \subset X$ is a subscheme, we write $I(S) \subset R$ for the saturation, with respect to the irrelevant ideal $(x_0, x_1) \cap (y_0, z_1) \cap (z_0, z_1) \subset R$, of any tri-homogeneous ideal defining S .

If the base scheme Z_ϕ is one-dimensional, then its curve component $(Z_\phi)_1$ has the same tri-degree. We write C_ϕ for the reduced scheme obtained from $(Z_\phi)_1$, which is a Cohen-Macaulay curve (also called *locally* Cohen-Macaulay curve, e.g. [2]). In particular, C_ϕ is obtained by removing the embedded points from $(Z_\phi)_1$. Moreover, by [2, Lemma 2.5] and TABLE 1, $(Z_\phi)_1$ is generically reduced and we find $C_\phi \subset (Z_\phi)_1$. In the special case that Z_ϕ has tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$, we have $Z_\phi = C_\phi$.

Lemma 3.1. If ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 1)$, then the minimal tri-graded free resolution of the base ideal B_ϕ is Hilbert-Burch,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} R(-2, -1, -1) \\ \oplus \\ 0 \rightarrow R(-1, -2, -1) \rightarrow R(-1, -1, -1)^4 \xrightarrow{(f_0 \ f_1 \ f_2 \ f_3)} B_\phi \rightarrow 0 \\ \oplus \\ R(-1, -1, -2) \end{array}$$

Conversely, if ϕ is dominant and the first syzygies of B_ϕ are as above, then the minimal tri-graded free resolution of ϕ is as (3.1), and ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 1)$. Furthermore, the base locus Z_ϕ is a Cohen-Macaulay curve.

Proof. If ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 1)$, then in the Rees ideal of ϕ we find polynomials

$$(3.2) \quad G_1 = x_0 a_1(t_j) - x_1 a_0(t_j), \quad G_2 = y_0 b_1(t_j) - y_1 b_0(t_j), \quad G_3 = z_0 c_1(t_j) - z_1 c_0(t_j),$$

where $a_i(t_j)$, $b_i(t_j)$, and $c_i(t_j)$ are linear and homogeneous polynomials defining ϕ^{-1} . Consider the 4×3 matrix $M = M(x_i, y_j, z_k)$, whose (i, j) -th entry is the coefficient of G_j in t_i . By definition, the vector (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) lies in the cokernel of M . Now, write Δ_i for the 3×3 signed minor obtained by deleting the i -th row of M , so the tuple $(\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$ also lies in the cokernel of M . As ϕ is birational, the specialization of the G_i at a general point of X yields three independent linear forms, and the matrix M has generically rank 3. Then, M has no kernel in R^3 and its cokernel is a free R -module of rank 1 generated by $(\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$. Moreover, the tuples (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) and $(\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$ have trilinear entries, so they must differ by a non-zero constant. Therefore, the complex

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^3 \xrightarrow{M} R^4 \xrightarrow{(\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)} B_\phi \rightarrow 0$$

is a minimal free resolution of B_ϕ (which is assumed to be a codimension 2 ideal).

For the converse, assume that B_ϕ has exactly three independent first syzygies, of tri-degrees $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, and $(0, 0, 1)$. As ϕ is dominant, these syzygies yield the entries of the inverse, and ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 1)$, so (3.1) is a free resolution of B_ϕ . The last part of

the statement follows from the Auslander-Buchbaum formula, which implies here that R/B_ϕ is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension 2 (see e.g. [19, §20.4]). \square

On the other hand, if Z_ϕ is zero-dimensional we have the following.

Lemma 3.2. Let ϕ be birational, with a zero-dimensional base locus. Then, there exists a point $Q = (\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \times (\gamma_0 : \gamma_1) \in X$ such that each entry f_i of ϕ can be written as

$$f_i = \lambda_i (a(\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1) y_k z_l + b x_j (\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1) z_l + c x_j y_k (\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1)) + h_i,$$

for some non-zero $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and $h_i \in (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1, \beta_1 x_0 - \beta_0 x_1, \gamma_1 x_0 - \gamma_0 x_1)^2$, where $\alpha_j, \beta_k, \gamma_l$ are all non-zero.

Proof. Consider the Segre embedding

$$\sigma : X \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{1,1,1} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^7$$

where $\Sigma_{1,1,1}$ is the Segre variety, smooth of degree 6. From this embedding, we deduce the Hilbert series of $\Sigma_{1,1,1}$

$$\text{HS}_{\Sigma}(t) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\nu, \nu, \nu))) t^\nu = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} (\nu + 1)^3 t^\nu = \frac{1 + 4t + t^2}{(1 - t)^4},$$

where the last equality follows because $(1-t)^4 \text{HS}_{\Sigma}(t)$ is a polynomial in t , as we have $\dim \Sigma_{1,1,1} = 3$. Given a general line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, the image $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is a closed, irreducible curve in X . Moreover, if $(\sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_i t_i, \sum_{i=0}^3 \beta_i t_i) \subset \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$ is the ideal of ℓ , then

$$I = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_i f_i, \sum_{i=0}^3 \beta_i f_i \right) \subset R$$

is the defining ideal of $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$. Indeed, as I has codimension two and R is Cohen-Macaulay, all the associated primes to I have codimension two. By hypothesis $I(Z_\phi)$ has codimension three, so the unique associated prime ideal to I is $I(\phi^{-1}(\ell))$. As $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is irreducible, we must have $I = I(\phi^{-1}(\ell))$. In particular, $\sigma(\phi^{-1}(\ell))$ is cut-out by two hyperplane sections in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^7$, and its Hilbert series (see [23, Chapter 5]) is thus

$$\text{HS}_{\sigma(\phi^{-1}(\ell))}(t) = \text{HS}_{\phi^{-1}(\ell)}(t) = (1 - t)^2 \text{HS}_{\Sigma}(t) = \frac{1 + 4t + t^2}{(1 - t)^2}.$$

Therefore, the Hilbert polynomial of $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is $\text{HP}_{\phi^{-1}(\ell)}(t) = 6t$, and its arithmetic genus is one. On the other hand, $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is rational by definition, and its normalization is $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. From [24, Chapter 4, Exercise 1.8], $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ has a unique singular point Q . Moreover, as $\Sigma_{1,1,1}$ is smooth, by the strong Bertini's theorem [20, Theorem 0.5] the curve $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ is smooth outside Z_ϕ . Hence, Q is supported in the base scheme Z_ϕ .

Without loss of generality, suppose that $Q = (\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \times (\gamma_0 : \gamma_1)$ belongs to the affine subset $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \subset X$ given by setting $x_0 \neq 0$, $y_0 \neq 0$, and $z_0 \neq 0$. From the affine version of Jacobi's criterion, the Jacobian matrix of $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$

$$J_{\phi^{-1}(\ell)} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i \partial f_i / \partial x_1 & \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i \partial f_i / \partial y_1 & \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i \partial f_i / \partial z_1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i \partial f_i / \partial x_1 & \sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i \partial f_i / \partial y_1 & \sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i \partial f_i / \partial z_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

has rank one over $\mathbb{C}(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ when evaluated at Q . This rank condition holds for any choice of a general $\ell \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, so clearing denominators if necessary we find

$$f_i \in (a(\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)y_k z_l + b x_j(\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1)z_l + c x_j y_k(\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1)) \\ + (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1, \beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1, \gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1)^2 \subset R$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, if $a = 0$ then all the f_i lie in the ideal $(\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1, \gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1)$, and we find a line in Z_ϕ . Similarly, if either $b = 0$ or $c = 0$, the base locus is not zero-dimensional. Therefore, the coefficients a, b, c are all non-zero. \square

Remark 3.3. In the affine set $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \ni Q$ given by $x_j \neq 0$, $y_k \neq 0$, and $z_l \neq 0$ the elements in the ideal $I(Q(a, b, c))$ define surfaces tangent at Q to the affine plane

$$a y_k z_l (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1) + b x_j z_l (\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1) + c x_j y_k (\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1) = 0 .$$

Given $Q = (\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \times (\gamma_0 : \gamma_1) \in X$ and scalars $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$I(Q(a, b, c)) := (a(\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)y_k z_l + b x_j(\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1)z_l + c x_j y_k(\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1), \\ x_j(\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1)(\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1), (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)y_k(\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1) , \\ (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)(\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1)z_l, (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)(\beta_1 y_0 - \beta_0 y_1)(\gamma_1 z_0 - \gamma_0 z_1)) ,$$

where $0 \leq j, k, l \leq 1$ are the smallest such that $\alpha_j, \beta_k, \gamma_l \neq 0$. Additionally, we write $Q(a, b, c)$ for the subscheme that $I(Q(a, b, c))$ defines in X .

On the other hand, let ϕ be birational. We establish the following notation:

- If $\dim Z_\phi = 1$, we write $J_\phi := I(C_\phi)$
- If $\dim Z_\phi = 0$, we write $J_\phi := I(Q(a, b, c))$ as in Lemma 3.2

In any case we have $B_\phi \subset J_\phi$, and therefore J_ϕ determines a subscheme of the base scheme Z_ϕ .

3.2. Factorization of trilinear rational maps. Define $W := (J_\phi)_{(1,1,1)}$ and let $N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W$. Any choice of basis for the dual vector space W^\vee yields a rational map $\zeta_W : X \dashrightarrow Y_W \subset \mathbb{P}(W^\vee)$, where Y_W is the Zariski closure of the image of X through ζ_W . In particular, we have the commutative diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y_W & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(W^\vee) \\ & \nearrow \Pi_W & \uparrow \zeta_W & & \downarrow \pi_L \\ \text{Bl}_W X \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N & \xrightarrow{\pi_W} & X & \dashrightarrow \phi & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \end{array}$$

where $\pi_W : \text{Bl}_W X \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of X along the subscheme defined by J_ϕ , $\Pi_W : \text{Bl}_W X \rightarrow Y_W$ is the projection onto the second factor, and $\pi_L : \mathbb{P}(W^\vee) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ is the linear projection from some suitable subspace $L \subset \mathbb{P}(W^\vee)$. If $N = 4$, then $\mathbb{P}(W^\vee) \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ and π_L is the projection from $L = \emptyset$, of dimension -1 .

It follows from the diagram that ϕ is birational if, and only if, both ζ_W and the restriction of π_L to Y_W are birational. Recall that a subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ has *minimal degree* if it is non-degenerate and $\deg V = 1 + \text{codim } V$.

Lemma 3.4. Let ϕ be birational. With the notation of the previous paragraphs, ζ_W is birational for any choice of basis in W^\vee . Additionally, we have the following:

- If $\dim Z_\phi = 1$ and has tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 4$ and $Y_W \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$.

- If $\dim Z_\phi = 1$ and has tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 5$ and $\deg Y_W = 2$.
- If $\dim Z_\phi = 1$ and has tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 6$ and $\deg Y_W = 3$.
- If $\dim Z_\phi = 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 5$ and $\deg Y_W = 2$.

In all the cases $(Z_\phi)_1$ is a connected curve, and Y_W has minimal degree.

Proof. Let $C \subset X$ be an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay curve, of tri-degree either $(1, 1, 1)$ or $(1, 1, 0)$. One of the canonical projections $\pi_i : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ has degree one, so C is birationally equivalent to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. In particular, we find a birational morphism $\varphi_C : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow C$ given by

$$(t_0 : t_1) \mapsto (u_0(t_0, t_1) : u_1(t_0, t_1)) \times (v_0(t_0, t_1) : v_1(t_0, t_1)) \times (w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) ,$$

for some linear, homogeneous $u_i, v_j, w_k \in \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1]$. Notice that, in the case that C has tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$, we have $(w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) = (\gamma_0 : \gamma_1)$ for some $(\gamma_0 : \gamma_1) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. If $C' \subset X$ is a second irreducible curve of the same tri-degree as C , we then find $\xi \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\xi \circ \varphi_C = \varphi_{C'}$. It follows that all irreducible curves of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ are isomorphic, and related by an automorphism of X . For Cohen-Macaulay curves of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ with exactly two irreducible components, the previous argument serves to conclude that there is an automorphism of X sending one of the components to the curve D of ideal $(x_0y_1 - x_1y_0, z_1)$. Then, the second irreducible component can be parametrized as

$$(t_0 : t_1) \mapsto (\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \times (w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) ,$$

for some $(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. If $(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \in D$, we find an automorphism leaving D invariant that sends

$$(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \times (w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) \mapsto (1 : 0) \times (1 : 0) \times (w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) .$$

Similarly, if $(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \notin D$ we find an automorphism sending

$$(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0 : \beta_1) \times (w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) \mapsto (1 : 0) \times (0 : 1) \times (w_0(t_0, t_1) : w_1(t_0, t_1)) ,$$

and we find two isomorphism classes for curves with two irreducible components. Proceeding similarly, we derive seven isomorphism classes of Cohen-Macaulay curves of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ in X , and exactly three isomorphism classes of Cohen-Macaulay curves of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$.

On the other hand, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} (B_\phi)_{(1,1,1)} \geq 4$, as the four independent vectors f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 belong to the base ideal. In particular, as $B_\phi \subset J_\phi$ we find $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W \geq 4$. If Z_ϕ is zero-dimensional, it is immediate from Lemma 3.2 that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 5$. Now, suppose that Z_ϕ is one-dimensional:

- If Z_ϕ has tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$, we can compute $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W$ for a representative in each isomorphism class. In the case that Z_ϕ is connected, we find $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 4$. Otherwise we find $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W < 3$, which is not possible. Therefore, Z_ϕ is connected.
- Similarly, we can compute $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W$ for a representative in each isomorphism class of Cohen-Macaulay curves of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$. Two of these correspond to curves in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times (\gamma_0 : \gamma_1)$, for any $(\gamma_0 : \gamma_1) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, that are respectively irreducible and reducible. Each of these is connected, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{(1,1,1)} = 5$. The last class corresponds the union of two skew lines, but in this case the ideal generated by a basis of W has tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$.
- If Z_ϕ has tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$, its curve component $(Z_\phi)_1$ is a line. Hence, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 8 - 2 = 6$.

For the first part of the statement, given two different choices of basis in W^\vee yielding rational maps ζ_W and ζ'_W , we find $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W^\vee))$ such that $\zeta'_W \circ \eta = \zeta_W$. Therefore, the birationality of ζ_W , and the degrees of Y_W , follow from a direct computation. \square

4. THE ALGEBRAIC SET OF TRILINEAR BIRATIONAL MAPS

In this section we describe the algebraic set $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$, whose underlying set is that of trilinear birational maps after quotient by the equivalence relation given by composition with an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$.

4.1. The set $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$. Write $A_{(1,1,1)}$ for the \mathbb{C} -vector space of trilinear tri-forms, and $\mathfrak{Rat}_{(1,1,1)}$ for the set of tuples of four trilinear polynomials, up to non-zero scalar. We identify the tuples in $\mathfrak{Rat}_{(1,1,1)}$ with trilinear rational maps.

In $\mathfrak{Rat}_{(1,1,1)}$, we introduce the equivalence relation \sim_{ℓ} by setting $\phi_1 \sim_{\ell} \phi_2$ if $\phi_2 = \eta \circ \phi_1$ for some $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3)$. Then, we define $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ as the quotient by \sim_{ℓ} of the subset of $\mathfrak{Rat}_{(1,1,1)}$ corresponding to tuples that yield birational maps. An element in $[\phi] \in \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ is then a class of trilinear birational maps, that we call *left-class*.

We write Λ_{ϕ} for the \mathbb{C} -vector subspace of $A_{(1,1,1)}$ generated by the entries of ϕ . In particular, vectors in Λ_{ϕ} are identified to surfaces $S \subset X$ in the linear system spanned by the entries of ϕ . Furthermore, given trilinear rational maps $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathfrak{Rat}_{(1,1,1)}$, the conditions $\phi_1 \sim_{\ell} \phi_2$ and $\Lambda_{\phi_1} = \Lambda_{\phi_2}$ are equivalent. Therefore, there is a canonical injective map of sets from $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ to the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(4, A_{(1,1,1)})$, and a left-class can then be regarded as a closed point in the Grassmannian.

On the other hand, the association

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(X) \times \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} \\ (\xi, [\phi]) &\mapsto [\phi \circ \xi] \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined group action of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$, that we call *right-action*. When we refer to orbits without explicitly specifying the group action, we will mean the orbits of this right-action. Given trilinear birational maps ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , the left-classes $[\phi_1], [\phi_2]$ belong to the same orbit if, and only if, there exist $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3)$ and $\xi \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\phi_1 = \eta \circ \phi_2 \circ \xi$. With a small abuse of notation, we say that ϕ_1, ϕ_2 lie in the same orbit. In particular, the base loci of two trilinear birational maps in the same orbit is related by an automorphism of X .

Finally, given $1 \leq r_x, r_y, r_z \leq 2$ we define the subsets

$$\text{Bir}_{(r_x, r_y, r_z)} := \{[\phi] \in \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} : \text{the type of the inverse of } [\phi] \text{ is } (r_x, r_y, r_z)\}.$$

From TABLE 1, these subsets form a partition of $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$.

4.2. The algebraic set $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$. Maintaining the notation of Diagram 3.4, write $\mathcal{S}(Y_W)$ for the variety of $(N-5)$ -dimensional subspaces secant to Y_W . From Lemma 3.4 and [26, Proposition A], it follows that the set of left-classes $[\phi]$ such that $\Lambda_{\phi} \subset W$, or equivalently $B_{\phi} \subset J_{\phi}$, is in bijection with an open set of $\mathcal{S}(Y_W)$. Now, we prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. The set $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ has the structure of a locally closed algebraic subset of the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(4, A_{(1,1,1)})$. Its irreducible components are:

- $\text{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$, of has dimension 6.
- $\text{Bir}_{(1,1,2)}$ and any permutation of the indices, all of dimension 7.
- $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,2)}$ and any permutation of the indices, all of dimension 8.
- $\text{Bir}_{(2,2,2)}$, of dimension 8.

Proof. The birational map

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi : X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \\ (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) &\mapsto (x_0 y_0 z_0 : x_1 y_0 z_0 : x_0 y_1 z_0 : x_0 y_0 z_1) \end{aligned}$$

yields an isomorphism between the affine subset of X determined by $x_0 \neq 0, y_0 \neq 0, z_0 \neq 0$ and the affine subset of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$ given by $t_0 \neq 0$. Therefore, trilinear birational maps can be regarded as birational endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, whose entries belong to the \mathbb{C} -vector space

$$\langle t_0^3, t_0^2 t_1, t_0^2 t_2, t_0^2 t_3, t_0 t_1 t_2, t_0 t_1 t_3, t_0 t_2 t_3, t_1 t_2 t_3 \rangle$$

isomorphic to $A_{(1,1,1)}$. Write A_3 for the \mathbb{C} -vector space of cubic forms in t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3 . In particular, $A_{(1,1,1)}$ can be regarded as a subspace of A_3 . By [26, Proposition B], the classes of birational cubic automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, up to composition with an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, form a locally closed algebraic set $V \subset \mathbb{G}(4, A_3)$. Therefore, the intersection

$$\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} = V \cap \mathbb{G}(4, A_{(1,1,1)})$$

is also a locally closed algebraic subset of $\mathbb{G}(4, A_{(1,1,1)})$.

Now, we prove the assertions on irreducibility and dimension. Write $\mathcal{C}_{(1,1,1)}$ for the variety of connected Cohen-Macaulay curves of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$. It is irreducible of dimension 6 [2, Proposition 4.9]. Consider the algebraic set

$$\mathcal{V}_{(1,1,1)} = \{([\phi], C) \in \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} \times \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,1)} : \Lambda_{\phi} \subset I(C)_{(1,1,1)}\},$$

together with the canonical projections $\mu_1 : \mathcal{V}_{(1,1,1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ and $\mu_2 : \mathcal{V}_{(1,1,1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,1)}$. Given $C \in \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,1)}$, the fiber $\mu_2^{-1}(C)$ is a closed point as $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I(C)_{(1,1,1)} = 4$. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}_{(1,1,1)}$ is irreducible of dimension 6. On the other hand, given $[\phi] \in \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ we have $\mu_1^{-1}([\phi]) = ([\phi], Z_{\phi})$ if the inverse of $[\phi]$ has type $(1, 1, 1)$, and $\mu_1^{-1}([\phi]) = \emptyset$ otherwise. In particular, we find $\text{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} \cong \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,1)}$.

On the other hand, the ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay connected curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$ is

$$(\alpha_0 x_0 y_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 y_0 + \alpha_2 x_0 y_1 + \alpha_3 x_1 y_1, \gamma_0 z_1 - \gamma_1 z_0),$$

for some $(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1 : \alpha_2 : \alpha_3) \times (\gamma_0 : \gamma_1) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Thus, we can identify the variety $\mathcal{C}_{(1,1,0)}$ of such curves with $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Consider the algebraic set

$$\mathcal{V}_{(1,1,2)} = \{([\phi], C) \in \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} \times \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,0)} : \Lambda_{\phi} \subset I(C)_{(1,1,1)}\},$$

together with the canonical projections as before. Given a curve $C \in \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,0)}$, by [26, Proposition A] the fiber $\mu_2^{-1}(C)$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathcal{S}(Y_W)$, with $W = I(C)_{(1,1,1)}$. In particular, $\mathcal{V}_{(1,1,2)}$ is irreducible of dimension $\dim \mathcal{C}_{(1,1,0)} + \dim \mathcal{S}(Y_W) = 4 + 3 = 7$. On the other hand, given a general $[\phi] \in \mu_1(\mathcal{V}_{(1,1,2)})$ the base locus Z_{ϕ} has tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$. Hence μ_1 is birational, and we have

$$\text{Bir}_{(1,1,2)} = \mu_1(\mathcal{V}_{(1,1,2)}) \setminus \text{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}.$$

so $\text{Bir}_{(1,1,2)}$ is irreducible of dimension 7. The same arguments are valid for $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,1)}$ and $\text{Bir}_{(2,1,1)}$. Similarly, one proves that $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,2)}$ and its permutations are all irreducible of dimension 8. For the last irreducible component, consider the algebraic set

$$\mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)} = \{([\phi], Q, (a, b)) \in \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)} \times X \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 : \Lambda_{\phi} \subset I(Q(a, b, 1))_{(1,1,1)}\},$$

with the projections $\mu_1 : \mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ and $\mu_2 : \mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)} \rightarrow X \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. By [26, Proposition A], given $(Q, (a, b)) \in X \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ the fiber $\mu_2^{-1}((Q, (a, b)))$ is isomorphic to an open subset of

$\mathcal{S}(Y_W)$, with $W = I(Q(a, b, 1))_{(1,1,1)}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)}$ is irreducible of dimension $\dim X + \dim(\mathbb{C}^*)^2 + \dim \mathcal{S}(Y_W) = 3 + 2 + 3 = 8$. On the other hand, given a general $[\phi] \in \mu_1(\mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)})$ the fiber $\mu_1^{-1}([\phi])$ is finite, as Z_ϕ has finitely many singular points. Therefore μ_1 is generically finite, and $\mu_1(\mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)})$ is irreducible of dimension 8. Finally, $\text{Bir}_{(2,2,2)} \subset \mu_1(\mathcal{V}_{(2,2,2)})$ has dimension 8, as the base locus of a general left-class in $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,2)}$ (resp. $\text{Bir}_{(2,1,2)}$ and $\text{Bir}_{(2,2,1)}$) is reduced. \square

5. GEOMETRIC CLASSIFICATION OF TRILINEAR BIRATIONAL MAPS

In this section, we provide the complete list of the orbits that the right-action determines on $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$. More precisely, we find exactly 19 orbits, and each one determines an isomorphism class among the possible base loci of a trilinear birational map.

We will say that a closed point Q in the base locus Z_ϕ is a *point of contact* to a surface $S \subset X$ smooth at Q if $B_\phi \subset I(S) + I(Q)^2$. Similarly, Q is a *point of tangency* to a curve $C \subset X$ smooth at Q if $B_\phi \subset I(C) + I(Q)^2$. Finally, Q is a *point of osculation* to a curve $C \subset X$ smooth at Q if $B_\phi \subset I(C) + I(Q)^3$.

5.1. Orbits of birational maps with inverse of type $(1, 1, 1)$. The classification of the orbits in $\text{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ is the simplest. Namely, we have the following.

Corollary 5.1. Let ϕ be birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 1)$. Then, ϕ lies in the orbit of one of the following birational maps:

- $\rho_1^{(1,1,1)} \equiv (x_1y_0z_1 - x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 - x_0y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_0 - x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_0 - x_0y_1z_0)$

The entries of $\rho_1^{(1,1,1)}$ are given by the 3×3 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y_1 & -z_1 \\ -x_1 & 0 & z_1 \\ x_0 & y_0 & 0 \\ -x_0 & 0 & z_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A surface in Λ_{ρ_1} contains an irreducible curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$. Namely, the base ideal is

$$(x_0y_1 - x_1y_0, x_0z_1 - x_1z_0, y_0z_1 - y_1z_0).$$

- $\rho_2^{(1,1,1)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_0 - x_0y_1z_0)$

The entries of $\rho_2^{(1,1,1)}$ are given by the 3×3 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -z_1 \\ 0 & -y_1 & z_0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & -z_0 \\ x_0 & y_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

A surface in Λ_{ρ_2} contains an irreducible curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$ and a line of tri-degree $(0, 0, 1)$, both intersecting. The base ideal is

$$(x_1, y_1) \cap (x_1y_0 - x_0y_1, z_1).$$

- $\rho_3^{(1,1,1)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 : x_1y_0z_0)$

The entries of $\rho_3^{(1,1,1)}$ are given by the 3×3 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_0 & -z_1 \\ -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & 0 & z_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A surface in Λ_{ρ_3} contains three lines of tri-degrees $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, and $(0, 0, 1)$, one of them intersecting the other two at distinct points. The base ideal is

$$(x_1, y_1) \cap (x_1, z_1) \cap (y_1, z_0).$$

- $\rho_4^{(1,1,1)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0)$

The entries of $\rho_4^{(1,1,1)}$ are given by the 3×3 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -z_1 \\ 0 & -y_1 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & y_0 & z_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A surface in Λ_{ρ_4} contains three intersecting lines. The base ideal is

$$(x_1, y_1) \cap (x_1, z_1) \cap (y_1, z_1).$$

Proof. From TABLE 1 and Lemma 3.1, the base locus of any such ϕ is a connected Cohen-Macaulay curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$. On the other hand, any such curve C determines a unique left-class $[\phi]$, as the tri-graded piece $(I(C))_{(1,1,1)}$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension 4. In particular, C can be transformed by an automorphism of X into the base locus of one of the birational maps listed. \square

5.2. Orbits of birational maps with inverse of type $(1, 1, 2)$, $(1, 2, 1)$ and $(2, 1, 1)$. Let $C \subset X$ be a connected, Cohen-Macaulay curve of tri-degree either $(1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1)$, or $(0, 1, 1)$. By means of an automorphism of X , it can be transformed either into either the curve C_o or C_\times , of ideals respectively $I(C_o) = (x_0y_1 - x_1y_0, z_1)$ and $I(C_\times) = (x_1y_1, z_1)$. In particular, any birational map with inverse of type either $(1, 1, 2)$, $(1, 2, 1)$, or $(2, 1, 1)$ lies in the orbit of a map with either C_o or C_\times in its base locus.

According to Diagram 3.4, in order to study these orbits it is sufficient to compute the orbits of the group action given by the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(C_o) \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ of the curve C_o on Y_{W_o} , where $W_o = (I(C_o))_{(1,1,1)}$, and the orbits of the group action given by the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(C_\times) \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ of the curve C_\times on Y_{W_\times} , where $W_\times = (I(C_\times))_{(1,1,1)}$.

5.2.1. Orbits of $\text{Stab}(C_o)$. In this subsection, we set $W = W_o$. The birational map ζ_W appearing in Diagram 3.4 is then

$$\zeta_W : (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_1 : (x_0y_1 - x_1y_0)z_0),$$

and $Y_W \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$ is the cone determined by $t_0t_3 - t_1t_2 = 0$. Equivalently, Y_W is the image of the canonical projection $\Pi_W : \text{Bl}_W X \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$, where $\pi_W : \text{Bl}_W X \rightarrow X$ is now the blow-up of X along C_o . The exceptional divisor E_W of $\text{Bl}_W X$ projects onto a two-dimensional cone in Y_W . Namely, the defining equation of $\Pi_W(E_W)$ in Y_W is $t_1 - t_2 = 0$, and its vertex is the point $P = (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$. Write H_{1-2} and H_4 for the divisors in Y_W determined by $t_1 - t_2 = 0$ and $t_4 = 0$, respectively.

Lemma 5.2. The group action of $\text{Stab}(C_o)$ on Y_W determines the four orbits:

$$Y_W \setminus H_{1-2}, H_{1-2} \setminus (H_4 \cup P), H_{1-2} \cap H_4, P$$

Proof. Write D_{xy} and D_z for the divisors in X determined by $x_0y_1 - x_1y_0 = 0$ and $z_1 = 0$, respectively. The group action of $\text{Stab}(C_o)$ on X determines the four orbits

$$C_o = D_{xy} \cap D_z, D_z \setminus C_o, D_{xy} \setminus C_o, X \setminus (D_z \cup D_{xy}).$$

The last three orbits are respectively transformed by ζ_W into P , $H_{1-2} \cap H_4$, and $Y_W \setminus H_{1-2}$. Thus, we find three of the orbits in the statement. The orbit $H_{1-2} \setminus (H_4 \cup P)$ follows from the definition of the group action on Y_W (see APPENDIX A). Equivalently, it corresponds to the general orbit in E_W , when we let $\text{Stab}(C_o)$ act on $\text{Bl}_W X$. \square

5.2.2. *Orbits of $\text{Stab}(C_\times)$.* For this subsection, we set $W = W_\times$. The birational map ζ_W in Diagram 3.4 is now

$$\zeta_W : (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_0).$$

Once more, Y_W is determined by $t_0t_3 - t_1t_2 = 0$. However, $\Pi_W(E_W)$ is now the union of the loci $t_1 = t_3 = 0$ and $t_2 = t_3 = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$. Write H_i for the divisor in Y_W determined by $t_i = 0$. Additionally, set $O = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ and write r for the projective line $\overline{OP} = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3$.

Lemma 5.3. The group action of $\text{Stab}(C_\times)$ on Y_W determines the six orbits:

$$Y_W \setminus ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3), ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3) \setminus (H_4 \cup r), \\ ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3 \cap H_4) \setminus O, r \setminus (O \cup P), O, P$$

Proof. Write D_x , D_y , and D_z for the divisors in X respectively determined by $x_1 = 0$, $y_1 = 0$, and $z_1 = 0$. Let $Q = (1 : 0)^3$. The group action of $\text{Stab}(C_\times)$ on X determines the five orbits

$$Q, C_\times \setminus Q, D_z \setminus C_\times, (D_x \cup D_y) \setminus C_\times, X \setminus (D_x \cup D_y \cup D_z).$$

The last three orbits are respectively transformed by ζ_W into P , $((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3 \cap H_4) \setminus O$, and $Y_W \setminus ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3)$. Therefore, we find three of the orbits in the statement. The orbits $((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3) \setminus (H_4 \cup r)$, $r \setminus (O \cup P)$, and O follow from the definition of the group action on Y_W . Equivalently, they correspond to the orbits that $\text{Stab}(C_\times)$ determines on E_W , when we let $\text{Stab}(C_\times)$ act on $\text{Bl}_W X$. \square

The following is a classification theorem of the orbits in $\text{Bir}_{(1,1,2)}$, $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,1)}$, and $\text{Bir}_{(2,1,1)}$.

Theorem 5.4. Let ϕ be birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type either $(1, 1, 2)$, $(1, 2, 1)$ or $(2, 1, 1)$. Then, ϕ lies in the orbit of one of the following birational maps:

- $\rho_1^{(1,1,2)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_0 - x_0y_1z_0)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_1} contains an irreducible curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$ and an isolated closed point. The base ideal is

$$(x_0y_1 - x_1y_0, z_1) \cap (x_0, y_1, z_0).$$

- $\rho_2^{(1,1,2)} \equiv (x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_0 - x_0y_1z_0 - x_1y_1z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_2} contains an irreducible curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$, and is tangent to a fixed surface of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at a point of the curve. The base ideal is

$$(x_0y_1 - x_1y_0, z_1) \cap (z_1^2, y_0z_1, x_0z_1, y_0^2, x_0y_0, x_0^2, x_1y_0z_0 - x_0y_1z_0 + x_1y_1z_1).$$

- $\rho_3^{(1,1,2)} \equiv (x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_3} contains a pair of intersecting lines, of tri-degrees $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0)$, and an isolated closed point. The base ideal is

$$(x_1, z_1) \cap (y_1, z_1) \cap (x_0, y_0, z_0) .$$

- $\rho_4^{(1,1,2)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 - x_1y_0z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_4} contains a pair of intersecting lines, of tri-degrees $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0)$, and is tangent to a fixed curve of tri-degree $(0, 1, 1)$ at one of the points of the line of tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$. The base ideal is

$$(x_1, z_1) \cap (y_1, z_1) \cap (x_0, y_0z_1 - y_1z_0, y_1^2, y_1z_1, y_1^2) .$$

- $\rho_5^{(1,1,2)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_5} contains a pair of intersecting lines, of tri-degrees $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0)$, and is tangent to a fixed surface of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at the point of intersection of the lines. The base ideal is

$$(x_1, z_1) \cap (y_1, z_1) \cap (x_1y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1, x_1^2, x_1z_1, y_1^2, y_1z_1, z_1^2) .$$

Proof. According to Diagram 3.4, ϕ lies in the orbit of a birational map that factors as $\zeta_W \circ \pi_L$, for either $W = I(C_o)_{(1,1,1)}$ or $W = I(C_\times)_{(1,1,1)}$ and some closed point $L \in Y_W$. In particular, the orbit of ϕ is determined by one of the orbits listed in Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3. In the case that $L = P$, the base locus of ϕ is two-dimensional. Excluding this case, the possible cases when $W = (I(C_o))_{(1,1,1)}$ are:

- If $L \in Y_W \setminus H_{1-2}$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_1^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L \in H_{1-2} \setminus (H_4 \cup P)$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_2^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L \in H_{1-2} \cap H_4$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_2^{(1,1,1)}$

Secondly, let $W = (I(C_\times))_{(1,1,1)}$:

- If $L \in Y_W \setminus ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3)$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_3^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L \in ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3) \setminus (H_4 \cup r)$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_4^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L \in ((H_1 \cup H_2) \cap H_3 \cap H_4) \setminus O$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_3^{(1,1,1)}$
- If $L \in r \setminus (O \cup P)$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_5^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L = O$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_4^{(1,1,1)}$

□

5.3. Orbits of birational maps with inverse of type $(1, 2, 2)$, $(2, 1, 2)$ and $(2, 2, 1)$. Any Cohen-Macaulay curve in X of tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$ is a projective line, and can be transformed by an automorphism of X into the line ℓ of ideal $I(\ell) = (y_1, z_1) \subset R$. As in Subsection 5.2, in order to study the orbits of the right-action in $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,2)}$, $\text{Bir}_{(2,1,2)}$, and $\text{Bir}_{(2,2,1)}$ we can just compute the orbits of the group action given by the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(\ell) \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ of ℓ on Y_W , where $W = I(\ell)_{(1,1,1)}$. In particular, the birational map $\zeta_W : X \dashrightarrow Y_W \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^5$ in Diagram 3.4 is given by

$$\zeta_W : (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_0y_0z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_0 : x_1y_1z_0) ,$$

and Y_W is determined by the ideal $(t_0t_5 - t_1t_4, t_2t_5 - t_3t_4, t_1t_2 - t_0t_3)$. The defining equations of $\Pi_W(E_W)$ in Y_W are $t_2 = t_3 = 0$. Write H_{01} , H_{23} , and H_{45} for the subvarieties of Y_W given respectively by $t_0 = t_1 = 0$, $t_2 = t_3 = 0$, and $t_4 = t_5 = 0$.

Lemma 5.5. The group action of $\text{Stab}(\ell)$ on Y_W determines the three orbits:

$$Y_W \setminus H_{23}, H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45}), H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$$

Proof. Write D_y and D_z for the divisors in X respectively determined by $y_1 = 0$ and $z_1 = 0$. The group action of $\text{Stab}(\ell)$ on X determines the three orbits

$$\ell, (D_y \cup D_z) \setminus \ell, X \setminus (D_y \cup D_z).$$

The last two orbits are respectively transformed by ζ_W into $H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$ and $Y_W \setminus H_{23}$. Therefore, we find two of the orbits in the statement. The orbit $H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$ follows from the definition of the group action on Y_W . Equivalently, it corresponds to the projection by Π_W of the general orbit in E_W , when we let $\text{Stab}(\ell)$ act on $\text{Bl}_W X$. \square

The following is a classification theorem for the orbits in $\text{Bir}_{(1,2,2)}$, $\text{Bir}_{(2,1,2)}$, and $\text{Bir}_{(2,1,1)}$.

Theorem 5.6. Let ϕ be birational, ϕ^{-1} of type either $(1, 2, 2)$, $(2, 1, 2)$ or $(2, 2, 1)$. Then, ϕ belongs to the orbit of one of the following birational maps:

- $\rho_1^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1 y_0 z_1 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_0 z_1 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_1 z_0 + x_0 y_1 z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_1} contains a line and two isolated closed points with all the coordinates different. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (x_0, y_0, z_0) \cap (x_0 + x_1, y_0 + y_1, z_0 + z_1).$$

- $\rho_2^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1 y_0 z_1 : x_0 y_0 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_1 z_0 + x_0 y_1 z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_2} contains a line and two isolated closed points with the same coordinate in one of the $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (x_0, y_0, z_0) \cap (x_0 + x_1, y_0, z_0 + z_1).$$

- $\rho_3^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1 y_0 z_1 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_0 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_1 z_0)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_3} contains a line, and is tangent to a fixed curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at an isolated closed point. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (x_0 y_1 - x_1 y_0, x_0 z_1 - x_1 z_0, y_0 z_1 - y_1 z_0, x_0^2, x_0 y_0, x_0 z_0, y_0^2, y_0 z_0, z_0^2).$$

- $\rho_4^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1 y_0 z_1 - x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_0 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 : x_0 y_1 z_0)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_4} contains a line of tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$, and is tangent to a fixed curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$ at an isolated closed point. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (z_0, x_0 y_1 - x_1 y_0, x_0^2, x_0 y_0, y_0^2).$$

- $\rho_5^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_1 y_0 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 : x_0 y_1 z_0 - x_0 y_0 z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_5} contains a line and an isolated closed point, and is tangent to a fixed curve of tri-degree $(0, 1, 1)$ at a point of the line. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (x_0, y_0, z_0) \cap (x_1, y_0 z_1 - y_1 z_0, y_1^2, y_1 z_1, z_1^2).$$

- $\rho_6^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_1 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 + x_1 y_0 z_1 : x_0 y_1 z_0 - x_0 y_0 z_1 - 2x_1 y_0 z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_6} contains a line of tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$, and is tangent to two fixed curves of tri-degree $(0, 1, 1)$ at two distinct points of the line. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (x_1, y_0 z_1 - y_1 z_0, y_1^2, y_1 z_1, z_1^2) \cap (x_0 + x_1, y_0 z_1 + y_1 z_0, y_1^2, y_1 z_1, z_1^2).$$

- $\rho_7^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 - x_1y_0z_1 : x_0y_1z_0 - x_0y_0z_1 + x_1y_0z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_7} contains a line, and is tangent at a point of the line to a fixed curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ which is itself tangent to the line at the same point. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (x_1y_1z_0 - x_1y_0z_1, x_0y_1z_0 - x_0y_0z_1 + x_1y_0z_1, x_1^2, y_1^2, y_1z_1, z_1^2) .$$

- $\rho_8^{(1,2,2)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 - x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 - x_0y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_0 - x_0y_0z_1 - x_0y_1z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_8} contains a line, and osculates to a fixed curve of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at point of the line. The base ideal is

$$(y_1, z_1) \cap (y_1z_0 - y_0z_1, x_1^2, z_1^3, y_1z_1^2, x_1z_1^2, x_1z_0z_1 - x_0z_1^2, \\ y_1^2z_1, x_1y_1z_1, x_1y_0z_1 - x_0y_1z_1, y_1^3, x_1y_1^2, x_1y_0y_1 - x_0y_1^2) .$$

Proof. From Diagram 3.4, in the orbit of ϕ we find a birational map that factors as $\zeta_W \circ \pi_L$, for some line $L \in \mathcal{S}(Y_W)$ such that the restriction $\pi_L|_{Y_W}$ has degree one. If $L \not\subset Y_W$, we then have $\deg(L \cap Y_W) \geq 2$ as otherwise $\deg(\pi_L|_{Y_W}) > 1$. On the other hand, given any linear parametrization $\psi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow L \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^5$, the pull-back of $L \cap Y_W$ yields an homogeneous, quadratic equation in two variables, implying $\deg(L \cap Y_W) \leq 2$. In particular, we must have either $L \subset Y_W$ or $\deg(L \cap Y_W) = 2$.

Given a point $P \in Y_W \setminus H_{23}$, we find $Q = (\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times (\beta : 1) \times (\gamma : 1) \in X$ such that $\zeta_W(Q) = P$. Write $Y_y(P) \subset Y_W$ for the closure of the image of the restriction of ζ_W to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times (\beta : 1) \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Analogously, define $Y_z(P) \subset Y_W$ by restricting ζ_W to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times (\gamma : 1)$. Notice that the restriction of ζ_W to $(\alpha_0 : \alpha_1) \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is a projective plane. Both $Y_y(P)$ and $Y_z(P)$ are smooth subvarieties. Given a subvariety $V \subset Y_W$ and a smooth point $P \in V$, we write $T_P(V)$ for the tangent space of V at P . Now, we discuss all the possible intersections between L and Y_W . In the first place, we assume that $L \not\subset Y_W$:

- If L meets Y_W transversally at $P_1, P_2 \in Y_W \setminus H_{12}$, and $P_2 \notin Y_y(P_1)$ (resp. $P_2 \notin Y_z(P_1)$), ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_1^{(1,2,2)}$
- If L meets Y_W transversally at $P_1, P_2 \in Y_W \setminus H_{12}$, and either $P_2 \in Y_y(P_1)$ or $P_2 \in Y_z(P_1)$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_2^{(1,2,2)}$
- If $L \subset T_P(Y_W)$ at a point $P \in Y_W \setminus H_{23}$, but $L \not\subset T_P(Y_y(P))$ (resp. $L \not\subset T_P(Y_z(P))$), ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_3^{(1,2,2)}$
- If $L \subset T_P(Y_W)$ at a point $P \in Y_W \setminus H_{23}$, and either $L \subset T_P(Y_y(P))$ or $L \subset T_P(Y_z(P))$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_4^{(1,2,2)}$
- If L meets Y_W transversally at $P_1 \in Y_W \setminus H_{23}$, $P_2 \in H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_5^{(1,2,2)}$
- If L meets Y_W transversally at $P_1, P_2 \in H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_6^{(1,2,2)}$
- If $L \subset T_P(Y_W)$ at a point $P \in H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, and $L \subset T_P(H_{23})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_7^{(1,2,2)}$
- If $L \subset T_P(Y_W)$ at a point $P \in H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, and $L \not\subset T_P(H_{23})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_8^{(1,2,2)}$
- If L meets Y_W transversally at $P_1 \in Y_W \setminus H_{23}$, $P_2 \in H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_3^{(1,1,2)}$
- If L meets Y_W transversally at $P_1 \in H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, $P_2 \in H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_4^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L \subset T_P(H_{23})$ at a point $P \in H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_5^{(1,1,2)}$

Secondly, we study the cases where $L \subset Y_W$:

- If $L \subset Y_W \setminus H_{23}$, ϕ is not dominant
- If $L \subset Y_W$, and intersects transversally H_{23} outside $H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, then ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_2^{(1,1,1)}$
- If $L \subset Y_W$, and intersects transversally H_{23} at $H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_3^{(1,1,1)}$
- If $L \subset H_{23} \setminus (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, and $L \not\subset H_{23} \cap (H_{01} \cup H_{45})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_4^{(1,1,1)}$

□

5.4. Orbits of birational maps with inverse of type $(2, 2, 2)$. Let $Q = (1 : 0)^3 \in X$. Any birational map with inverse of type $(2, 2, 2)$ lies in the orbit of a birational map with $Q(1, 1, 1)$ in its base locus. Once more, in order to study the orbits of the right-action in $\text{Bir}_{(2,2,2)}$, we compute the orbits of the group action given by the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(Q(1, 1, 1)) \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ of $Q(1, 1, 1)$ on Y_W , where now we set $W = I(Q(1, 1, 1))_{(1,1,1)}$. The birational map $\zeta_W : X \dashrightarrow Y_W \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^5$ in Diagram 3.4 is now given by

$$(x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_1y_0z_0 + x_0y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 : x_1y_1z_1),$$

and the defining equation of Y_W in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$ is $t_1t_2 + t_1t_3 + t_2t_3 - t_0t_4 = 0$. On the other hand, $\Pi_W(E_W)$ is the hyperplane section in Y_W given by $t_4 = 0$, and we write $H_4 = \Pi_W(E_W)$. The divisor H_4 is again a two-dimensional cone, of vertex $O = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0)$. Similarly, define r_{12} , r_{13} and r_{23} to be the projective lines in Y_W defined by $t_1 = t_2 = t_4 = 0$, $t_1 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$, and $t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$, respectively.

Lemma 5.7. The group action of $\text{Stab}(Q(1, 1, 1))$ on Y_W determines the four orbits:

$$Y_W \setminus H_4, H_4 \setminus (r_{12} \cup r_{13} \cup r_{23}), (r_{12} \cup r_{13} \cup r_{23}) \setminus O, O$$

Proof. Write D_x , D_y and D_z for the divisors in X defined by the ideals $x_1 = 0$, $y_1 = 0$, and $z_1 = 0$, respectively. Similarly, write D_{xy} , D_{xz} and D_{yz} for their intersections, with the obvious notation. The group action of $\text{Stab}(Q(1, 1, 1))$ on X determines the four orbits

$$Q, (D_{xy} \cup D_{xz} \cup D_{yz}) \setminus Q, (D_x \cup D_y \cup D_z) \setminus (D_{xy} \cup D_{xz} \cup D_{yz}), X \setminus (D_x \cup D_y \cup D_z).$$

The last three orbits are respectively transformed by ζ_W into O , $(r_{12} \cup r_{13} \cup r_{23}) \setminus O$, and $Y_W \setminus H_4$. Therefore, we find three of the orbits in the statement. The orbit $H_4 \setminus (r_{12} \cup r_{13} \cup r_{23})$ follows from the definition of the group action on Y_W . Equivalently, it corresponds to the projection by Π_W of the general orbit in E_W , when we let $\text{Stab}(Q(1, 1, 1))$ act on $\text{Bl}_W X$. □

The following is a classification theorem of the right-orbits in $\text{Bir}_{(2,2,2)}$.

Theorem 5.8. Let ϕ be birational, ϕ^{-1} of type either $(2, 2, 2)$. Then, ϕ belongs to the orbit of one of the following birational maps:

- $\rho_1^{(2,2,2)} \equiv (x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 : x_1y_0z_0 + x_0y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_1} contains a closed point, and is tangent to a fixed surface of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at a distinct closed point. The base ideal is

$$(x_0, y_0, z_0) \cap (x_1^2, x_1y_1, x_1z_1, y_1^2, y_1z_1, z_1^2, x_1y_0z_0 + x_0y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1).$$

- $\rho_2^{(2,2,2)} \equiv (x_1y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 - x_0y_1z_1 : 2x_1y_1z_0 + x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_0 + x_0y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1)$
A surface in Λ_{ρ_2} is tangent to a fixed surface of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at a closed point, and osculates to a fixed curve in the surface of tri-degree $(1, 1, 1)$ at the same point. The base ideal is

$$(z_1^3, y_1z_1^2, x_1z_1^2, 2y_1z_0z_1 + y_0z_1^2, 2x_1z_0z_1 + x_0z_1^2, y_1^2z_1, x_1y_1z_1, x_1y_0z_1 - x_0y_1z_1, \\ x_1^2z_1, 2y_1^2z_0 + y_0y_1z_1, 2x_1y_1z_0 + x_0y_1z_1, x_1y_0z_0 + x_0y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1, \\ 2x_1^2z_0 + x_0x_1z_1, y_1^3, x_1y_1^2, x_1y_0y_1 - x_0y_1^2, x_1^2y_1, x_1^2y_0 - x_0x_1y_1, x_1^3).$$

Proof. From Diagram 3.4, ϕ lies in the orbit of a birational map that factors as $\zeta_W \circ \pi_L$, for some closed point $L \in Y_W$. We have the following possibilities:

- If $L \in Y_W \setminus H_4$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_1^{(2,2,2)}$
- If $L \in H_4 \setminus (r_{12} \cup r_{13} \cup r_{23})$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_2^{(2,2,2)}$
- If $L \in (r_{12} \cup r_{13} \cup r_{23}) \setminus O$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_2^{(1,1,2)}$
- If $L = O$, ϕ lies in the orbit of $\rho_4^{(1,1,1)}$

□

Corollary 5.9. All the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ are unirational.

Proof. Given any irreducible component C , the right-action (4.1) on the representative of the general orbit listed either in Corollary 5.1, Theorem 5.4, Theorem 5.6, or Theorem 5.8 yields a dominant rational map from $\text{Aut}(X)$ to C . □

5.5. Degeneration of base loci. Let $O_1, O_2 \subset \mathfrak{Bir}_{(1,1,1)}$ be two orbits of the right-action. We say that O_1 degenerates to O_2 if the closure of O_1 contains O_2 . From the proof of Corollary 5.1 and Theorems 5.4, 5.6, and Theorem 5.8, we deduce most of the degenerations of the orbits. Equivalently, DIAGRAM 1 depicts the degenerations of the base loci of all instances of trilinear birational maps.

The degenerations of the zero-dimensional base loci require further analysis. Namely, we can derive the degenerations of zero-dimensional base loci to one-dimensional base loci of tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$ (resp. $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$) by studying the orbits in the closure Y' of the image of

$$\zeta' : X \dashrightarrow Y' \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4 \\ (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 : x_0y_1z_0 + x_0y_0z_1)$$

determined by the stabilizer of the subscheme in X of ideal $Q(0, 1, 1)$. Similarly, the degenerations of zero-dimensional base loci to one-dimensional base loci of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$ (resp. $(1, 0, 1)$, $(0, 1, 1)$) can be deduced from the orbits in the closure Y'' of the image of

$$\zeta'' : X \dashrightarrow Y'' \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4 \\ (x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_1y_1z_1 : x_0y_1z_1 : x_1y_0z_1 : x_1y_1z_0 : x_0y_0z_1),$$

now given by the action of the stabilizer of $Q(0, 0, 1)$. For instance, the orbits defined by the stabilizer of $Q(0, 1, 1)$ in Y' are

$$(5.1) \quad Y' \setminus H_4, (H_1 \cap H_4) \setminus H_{2-3}, (H_{2-3} \cap H_4) \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2), r \setminus H_1, H_1 \cap H_{2-3} \cap H_4, O,$$

where H_1, H_{2-3}, H_4 are the divisors in Y' respectively given by $t_1 = 0$, $t_2 - t_3 = 0$, and $t_4 = 0$, and $r \subset Y'$ is the line determined by $t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$.




DIAGRAM 1. Degenerations of the base loci of trilinear birational maps. Degenerations are represented by a line – connecting two distinct base loci. The dimension of the associated orbit is written on the left.

Representatives associated to each orbit in (5.1), in the same order, are

$$\rho_5^{(1,2,2)}, \rho_6^{(1,2,2)}, \rho_8^{(1,2,2)}, \rho_2^{(1,1,1)}, \rho_7^{(1,2,2)}, \rho_4^{(1,1,1)}.$$

As $r \subset H_{2-3} \cap H_4$ but $r \not\subset H_1 \cap H_4$, it follows that $\rho_8^{(1,2,2)}$ degenerates to $\rho_2^{(1,1,1)}$, but $\rho_6^{(1,2,2)}$ does not.

In DIAGRAM 1, the geometry represents conditions on the surfaces in the linear system Λ_ϕ . Namely, the symbol \bullet and the continuous line – represent, respectively, reduced closed points and curves contained in any surface of the linear system. The symbol \circ stands for a point of contact to a surface of tri-degree (1, 1, 1). An arrow \rightarrow at a point represents tangency to a curve of tri-degree (1, 1, 1), and a dashed arrow \dashrightarrow a tangency to a curve of tri-degree (1, 1, 0), or permutation. Two consecutive arrows $\rightarrow\rightarrow$ at a point represent osculation along a curve of tri-degree (1, 1, 1).

6. SYZYGIES OF TRILINEAR BIRATIONAL MAPS

Finally, we study the syzygies of the base ideal B_ϕ of a trilinear birational map. We prove that the Betti numbers of the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ are all determined by the tri-degrees of the first syzygies. Moreover, birationality can be decided from the first syzygies.

6.1. Base locus of dimension one. Suppose that ϕ is birational, with a base locus of dimension one. If the type of ϕ^{-1} is different from $(1, 1, 1)$, then the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ is no longer Hilbert-Burch (see Lemma 3.1). However, the structure of this resolution is still remarkably simple. For the sake of comparison, the shape of the minimal free resolution of a trilinear rational map $\psi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ with general entries is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^{21} \rightarrow R^{62} \rightarrow R^{69} \rightarrow R^{30} \rightarrow R^4 \rightarrow B_\psi \rightarrow 0 .$$

With a small abuse of notation, we will refer to “the tri-degrees of the first syzygies” instead of “the tri-degrees of a minimal system of generators of the module of first syzygies”.

Corollary 6.1. Let ϕ be birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 2)$. Then, the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ has the form

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow R(-2, -2, -2) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} R(-2, -1, -1) \\ \oplus \\ R(-1, -2, -1) \\ \oplus \\ R(-2, -1, -2) \\ \oplus \\ R(-1, -2, -2) \end{array} \rightarrow R(-1, -1, -1)^4 \xrightarrow{(f_0 \ f_1 \ f_2 \ f_3)} B_\phi \rightarrow 0 .$$

Conversely, if ϕ is dominant and the tri-degrees of the first syzygies of B_ϕ are as in (6.1), the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ is as (6.1), and ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 1, 2)$.

Proof. The computation of the minimal tri-graded free resolution of the base ideal of all the representatives listed in Theorem 5.4 yields (6.1). For the converse, three of the first syzygies in (6.1) are identified to polynomials

$$(6.2) \quad x_0 a_1(t_j) - x_1 a_0(t_j) , \quad y_0 b_1(t_j) - y_1 b_0(t_j) , \quad x_0 g_1(z_0, z_1, t_j) - x_1 g_0(z_0, z_1, t_j) ,$$

in Rees ideal of ϕ , of respective multi-degrees $(1, 0, 0; 1)$, $(0, 1, 0; 1)$, and $(1, 0, 1; 1)$. In particular, the relation

$$G(z_0, z_1, t_j) = a_0(t_j) g_1(z_0, z_1, t_j) - a_1(t_j) g_0(z_0, z_1, t_j) = z_0 c_1(t_j) - z_1 c_0(t_j) ,$$

has multi-degree $(0, 0, 1; 2)$, and vanishes after the specialization $t_i \mapsto f_i$. Moreover, there is no common factor between c_0, c_1 , as this implies a linear syzygy in z_0, z_1 . Therefore, as ϕ is dominant, the rational map $\phi^{-1} : (t_0 : t_1 : t_2 : t_3) \mapsto (a_0(t_j) : a_1(t_j)) \times (b_0(t_j) : b_1(t_j)) \times (c_0(t_j) : c_1(t_j))$ is the inverse of ϕ , of type $(1, 1, 2)$. \square

Remark 6.2. Notice that the statement of Corollary 6.1, with the obvious modification of the Betti numbers, holds if the type of inverse is either $(1, 2, 1)$ or $(2, 1, 1)$.

Similarly, if ϕ^{-1} has type $(1, 2, 2)$ (resp. $(2, 1, 2)$ and $(2, 2, 1)$), we have the following.

Corollary 6.3. Let ϕ be birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 2, 2)$. Then, the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ has the form

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow R(-2, -2, -2)^2 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} R(-2, -1, -1) \\ \oplus \\ R(-2, -2, -1) \\ \oplus \\ R(-2, -1, -2) \\ \oplus \\ R(-1, -2, -2)^2 \end{array} \rightarrow R(-1, -1, -1)^4 \xrightarrow{(f_0 \ f_1 \ f_2 \ f_3)} B_\phi \rightarrow 0 .$$

Conversely, if ϕ is dominant and the tri-degrees of the first syzygies of B_ϕ are as in (6.3), the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ is as (6.3), and ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} of type $(1, 2, 2)$.

Proof. The computation of the minimal tri-graded free resolution of the base ideal of all the representatives listed in Theorem 5.6 yields (6.3). For the converse, two of the first syzygies in (6.3) are identified to polynomials

$$(6.4) \quad x_0 a_1(t_j) - x_1 a_0(t_j) , \quad x_0 h_1(y_0, y_1, t_j) - x_1 h_0(y_0, y_1, t_j) ,$$

in the Rees ideal of ϕ , of respective multi-degrees $(1, 0, 0; 1)$ and $(1, 1, 0; 1)$. In particular, the relation

$$H(y_0, y_1, t_j) = a_0(t_j) h_1(y_0, y_1, t_j) - a_1(t_j) h_0(y_0, y_1, t_j) = z_0 b_1(t_j) - z_1 b_0(t_j) ,$$

has multi-degree $(2, 2, 2)$, and vanishes after the specialization $t_i \mapsto f_i$. Once again, a common factor to b_0, b_1 yields a linear first syzygy in y_0, y_1 . Following the proof of Corollary 6.1, we similarly find polynomial $G = z_0 c_1(t_j) - z_1 c_0(t_j)$ of multi-degree $(0, 0, 1; 2)$. Therefore, as ϕ is dominant, we find a rational inverse for ϕ of type $(1, 2, 2)$. \square

6.2. Base locus of dimension zero. In the case that ϕ is birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type $(2, 2, 2)$, the base locus is zero-dimensional and we have the following.

Corollary 6.4. Let ϕ be birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type $(2, 2, 2)$. Then, the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ has the form

$$(6.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow R(-2, -2, -2)^3 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} R(-2, -2, -1)^2 \\ \oplus \\ R(-2, -1, -2)^2 \\ \oplus \\ R(-1, -2, -2)^2 \end{array} \rightarrow R(-1, -1, -1)^4 \xrightarrow{(f_0 \ f_1 \ f_2 \ f_3)} B_\phi \rightarrow 0 .$$

Proof. The computation of the minimal tri-graded free resolution of the base ideal of the representatives listed in Theorem 5.8 yield (6.5). \square

Corollary 6.5. If ϕ is birational, then the tri-degrees of the first syzygies of the base ideal B_ϕ determine all the Betti numbers of the minimal tri-graded free resolution of B_ϕ .

Remark 6.6. The minimal tri-graded free resolution of the base ideal of the trilinear rational map

$$\psi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$$

$$(x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0 : y_1) \times (z_0 : z_1) \mapsto (x_0 y_0 z_1 : x_1 y_1 z_0 + x_1 y_0 z_1 + x_0 y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_1 z_1 : x_0 y_1 z_0 : x_1 y_0 z_0)$$

is as (6.5), but ψ is not birational. In particular, the tri-degrees of the first syzygies are not sufficient to decide if a trilinear birational map is birational.

Let ϕ be a trilinear rational map. Suppose that the tri-degrees of the first syzygies of the base ideal B_ϕ are as in (6.5). In particular, the two independent syzygies of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$ are identified to polynomials s_1^{xy}, s_2^{xy} of multi-degree $(1, 1, 0; 1)$ in the Rees ideal of ϕ . Furthermore, we can write

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} s_1^{xy} \\ s_2^{xy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{10} & u_{11} \\ u_{20} & u_{21} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = U_{xy} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $u_{kl} = u_{kl}(x_i, t_j)$ are linear and homogeneous with respect to x_i and t_j . By definition, we have

$$s_1^{xy}, s_2^{xy} \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0,$$

so we obtain $\det(U_{xy}) \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0$. Moreover $\det(U_{xy})$ is non-zero, as the syzygies are independent. Therefore, $\det(U_{xy})$ is a non-trivial relation in the Rees ideal of ϕ , of multi-degree $(2, 0, 0; 2)$.

We also write U_{xz}, U_{yz} for the analogous matrices constructed from the remaining first syzygies. Similarly, define U_{yx}, U_{zx}, U_{zy} with the obvious modifications. Namely, U_{yx} is constructed by switching the role of $(y_0 \ y_1)^t$ and $(x_0 \ x_1)^t$ in (6.6). Notice that in general $U_{xy} \neq U_{yx}$.

The following result asserts that, even if the base locus is zero-dimensional, birationality can be decided by looking at the first syzygies of the base ideal.

Theorem 6.7. Let ϕ be dominant. The following are equivalent:

- ϕ is birational, with ϕ^{-1} of type $(2, 2, 2)$
- The tri-degrees of the first syzygies of B_ϕ are as in (6.5), and additionally:
 - (1) $\det(U_{xy})$ (resp. $\det(U_{xz})$) has a linear factor in x_0, x_1
 - (2) $\det(U_{yx})$ (resp. $\det(U_{yz})$) has a linear factor in y_0, y_1
 - (3) $\det(U_{zx})$ (resp. $\det(U_{zy})$) has a linear factor in z_0, z_1

Proof. If $\det(U_{xy})$ (resp. $\det(U_{xz})$) has a linear factor in x_0, x_1 , we can write

$$\det(U_{xy}) = (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1) (a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1)$$

for some $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ not both zero, and quadratic $a_i = a_i(t_j)$. The ring R is a domain, so

$$A = A(x_i, t_j) = a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1 \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0.$$

Moreover, A is irreducible. Indeed, if it has a linear factor in x_0, x_1 , ϕ is not dominant. On the other hand, suppose that

$$A = L \cdot B \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0,$$

with $L = L(t_j)$ linear and homogeneous, and $B = B(x_i, t_j)$ bilinear. Again, one of the two factors must vanish after the specialization. If $L \mapsto 0$, ϕ is again not dominant; if $B \mapsto 0$, then we find a first syzygy of B_ϕ of tri-degree $(1, 0, 0)$, against the hypothesis.

With the obvious modifications, the same is true if $\det(U_{yx})$ (resp. $\det(U_{yz})$) has a linear factor in y_0, y_1 , and $\det(U_{zx})$ (resp. $\det(U_{zy})$) has a linear factor in z_0, z_1 . In particular, we find irreducible polynomials

$$y_0 b_1 - y_1 b_0 \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0, \quad z_0 c_1 - z_1 c_0 \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0,$$

with $b_i = b_i(t_j)$, $c_i = c_i(t_j)$ quadratic. Therefore, as ϕ is dominant, the rational map

$$(6.7) \quad \phi^{-1} : (t_0 : t_1 : t_2 : t_3) \mapsto (a_0(t_j) : a_1(t_j)) \times (b_0(t_j) : b_1(t_j)) \times (c_0(t_j) : c_1(t_j))$$

is the inverse of ϕ , of type $(2, 2, 2)$. For the converse, suppose that ϕ is birational with ϕ^{-1} as (6.7). Then, from Corollary 6.4 we find two independent syzygies of tri-degree $(1, 1, 0)$, and the matrix U_{xy} (resp. U_{xz}) can be constructed. We can thus write (not uniquely)

$$\det(U_{xy}) = u_1 x_0 - u_0 x_1 ,$$

for some $u_i = u_i(x_0, x_1, t_j)$ bi-homogeneous, linear in x_i and quadratic in t_j . Moreover, we have the vanishing

$$(6.8) \quad D = \det \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & -u_0 \\ a_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{t_j \mapsto f_j} 0 .$$

If D is zero, $\det(U_{xy})$ has a linear factor in x_0, x_1 . Suppose that this is not the case, so the determinant has multi-degree $(1, 0, 0; 3)$. By the Jacobian dual criterion (Subsection 2.2), the $\mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]$ -module $\mathcal{J}_{(1,0,0;*)}$ is generated by $a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1$. Therefore, for some $h = h(t_j)$ linear and homogeneous we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & -u_0 \\ a_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix} = (a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1) h = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 h & -x_0 h \\ a_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

In particular, we find

$$(6.9) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} u_1 + x_1 h & -(u_0 + x_0 h) \\ a_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 .$$

We must have $u_i + x_i h \neq 0$, as otherwise $\det(U_{xy}) = 0$. Then $u_i + x_i h$ is non-zero of multi-degree $(1, 0, 0; 1)$, and from (6.9) we obtain $u_i + x_i h = a_i (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)$, for some $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ not both zero. In particular, we can write $\det(U_{xy})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 x_0 - u_0 x_1 &= (a_1 (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1) - x_1 h) x_0 - (a_0 (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1) - x_0 h) x_1 = \\ &= (\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1)(a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1) , \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_1 x_0 - \alpha_0 x_1$ is then a linear factor in x_0, x_1 of $\det(U_{xy})$. Similarly, the same is true for $\det(U_{yx})$ (resp. $\det(U_{yz})$) and $\det(U_{zx})$ (resp. $\det(U_{zy})$), with the obvious modifications. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Maria Alberich-Carramiñana. *Geometry of the plane Cremona maps*. Vol. 1769. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, pp. xvi+257. ISBN: 3-540-42816-X. DOI: [10.1007/b82933](https://doi.org/10.1007/b82933). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/b82933>.
- [2] Edoardo Ballico, Kiryong Chung, and Sukmoon Huh. “Curves on Segre threefolds”. In: *Forum Math.* 32.1 (2020), pp. 63–78. ISSN: 0933-7741. DOI: [10.1515/forum-2019-0001](https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2019-0001). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2019-0001>.
- [3] Cinzia Bisi, Alberto Calabri, and Massimiliano Mella. “On plane Cremona transformations of fixed degree”. In: *J. Geom. Anal.* 25.2 (2015), pp. 1108–1131. ISSN: 1050-6926. DOI: [10.1007/s12220-013-9459-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-013-9459-9). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-013-9459-9>.
- [4] Jérémy Blanc. “Groupes de Cremona, connexité et simplicité”. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 43.2 (2010), pp. 357–364. ISSN: 0012-9593. DOI: [10.24033/asens.2123](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2123). URL: <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2123>.
- [5] Jérémy Blanc and Julie Déserti. “Degree growth of birational maps of the plane”. In: *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 14.2 (2015), pp. 507–533. ISSN: 0391-173X.
- [6] Jérémy Blanc and Jean-Philippe Furter. “Topologies and structures of the Cremona groups”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 178.3 (2013), pp. 1173–1198. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2013.178.3.8](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2013.178.3.8). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2013.178.3.8>.
- [7] Jérémy Blanc, Stéphane Lamy, and Susanna Zimmermann. “Quotients of higher-dimensional Cremona groups”. In: *Acta Math.* 226.2 (2021), pp. 211–318. ISSN: 0001-5962. DOI: [10.4310/acta.2021.v226.n2.a1](https://doi.org/10.4310/acta.2021.v226.n2.a1). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/acta.2021.v226.n2.a1>.
- [8] Nicolás Botbol et al. “Effective criteria for bigraded birational maps”. In: *J. Symbolic Comput.* 81 (2017), pp. 69–87. ISSN: 0747-7171. DOI: [10.1016/j.jsc.2016.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2016.12.001). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2016.12.001>.
- [9] Laurent Busé, Yairon Cid-Ruiz, and Carlos D’Andrea. “Degree and birationality of multi-graded rational maps”. In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 121.4 (2020), pp. 743–787. ISSN: 0024-6115. DOI: [10.1112/plms.12336](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12336). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12336>.
- [10] Serge Cantat. “The Cremona group in two variables”. In: *European Congress of Mathematics*. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2013, pp. 211–225.
- [11] Serge Cantat and Stéphane Lamy. “Normal subgroups in the Cremona group”. In: *Acta Math.* 210.1 (2013). With an appendix by Yves de Cornulier, pp. 31–94. ISSN: 0001-5962. DOI: [10.1007/s11511-013-0090-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11511-013-0090-1). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11511-013-0090-1>.
- [12] Dominique Cerveau and Julie Déserti. *Transformations birationnelles de petit degré*. Vol. 19. Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013, pp. viii+223. ISBN: 978-2-85629-770-4.
- [13] Yairon Cid-Ruiz, Oliver Clarke, and Fatemeh Mohammadi. “A study of nonlinear multi-view varieties”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.06216* (2021).
- [14] Michel Demazure. “Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona”. In: *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 3 (1970), pp. 507–588. ISSN: 0012-9593. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1970_4_3_4_507_0.
- [15] Julie Déserti. “Groupe de Cremona et dynamique complexe: une approche de la conjecture de Zimmer”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2006), Art. ID 71701, 27. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: [10.1155/IMRN/2006/71701](https://doi.org/10.1155/IMRN/2006/71701). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1155/IMRN/2006/71701>.

-
- [16] Julie Déserti. “Sur les automorphismes du groupe de Cremona”. In: *Compos. Math.* 142.6 (2006), pp. 1459–1478. ISSN: 0010-437X. DOI: [10.1112/S0010437X06002478](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X06002478). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X06002478>.
- [17] Julie Déserti and Frédéric Han. “On cubic birational maps of \mathbb{P}^3 ”. In: *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 144.2 (2016), pp. 217–249. ISSN: 0037-9484. DOI: [10.24033/bsmf.2712](https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.2712). URL: <https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.2712>.
- [18] A. V. Doria, S. H. Hassanzadeh, and A. Simis. “A characteristic-free criterion of birationality”. In: *Adv. Math.* 230.1 (2012), pp. 390–413. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2011.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.12.005). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.12.005>.
- [19] David Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a view towards Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [20] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016, pp. xiv+616. ISBN: 978-1-107-60272-4; 978-1-107-01708-5. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781139062046](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139062046). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139062046>.
- [21] Michael S. Floater. “The inverse of a rational bilinear mapping”. In: *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* 33 (2015), pp. 46–50. ISSN: 0167-8396. DOI: [10.1016/j.cagd.2015.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2015.01.002). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2015.01.002>.
- [22] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. Available at <https://math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [23] Gert-Martin Greuel and Gerhard Pfister. *A Singular introduction to commutative algebra*. extended. With contributions by Olaf Bachmann, Christoph Lossen and Hans Schönemann, With 1 CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX). Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. xx+689. ISBN: 978-3-540-73541-0.
- [24] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, pp. xvi+496. ISBN: 0-387-90244-9.
- [25] Hilda P Hudson. *Cremona transformations in plane and space*. Vol. 1927. Cambridge, 1927.
- [26] I. Pan, F. Ronga, and T. Vust. “Transformations birationnelles quadratiques de l’espace projectif complexe à trois dimensions”. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 51.5 (2001), pp. 1153–1187. ISSN: 0373-0956. URL: http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2001__51_5_1153_0.
- [27] Francesco Russo and Aron Simis. “On birational maps and Jacobian matrices”. In: *Compositio Math.* 126.3 (2001), pp. 335–358. ISSN: 0010-437X. DOI: [10.1023/A:1017572213947](https://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1017572213947). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1017572213947>.
- [28] F.-O. Schreyer, K. Hulek, and S. Katz. “Cremona transformations and syzygies.” In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 209.3 (1992), pp. 419–444. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/174372>.
- [29] Thomas W. Sederberg, Ronald N. Goldman, and Xuhui Wang. “Birational 2D free-form deformation of degree $1 \times n$ ”. In: *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* 44 (2016), pp. 1–9. ISSN: 0167-8396. DOI: [10.1016/j.cagd.2016.02.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2016.02.020). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2016.02.020>.
- [30] Thomas W. Sederberg and Jianmin Zheng. “Birational quadrilateral maps”. In: *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* 32 (2015), pp. 1–4. ISSN: 0167-8396. DOI: [10.1016/j.cagd.2014.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2014.11.001). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2014.11.001>.
- [31] Jean-Pierre Serre. “Le groupe de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis”. In: 332. Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 2008/2009. Exposés 997–1011. 2010, Exp. No. 1000, vii, 75–100. ISBN: 978-2-85629-291-4.

- [32] Aron Simis. “Cremona transformations and some related algebras”. In: *J. Algebra* 280.1 (2004), pp. 162–179. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.03.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.03.025). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.03.025>.

APPENDIX A. EXTENSION OF THE GROUP ACTION GIVEN BY THE STABILIZER OF A MULTI-LINEAR IDEAL

Consider the rational map

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n_r} &\dashrightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^m = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[t_0, \dots, t_m]) \\ (x_{10} : \dots : x_{1n_1}) \times \dots \times (x_{r0} : \dots : x_{rn_r}) &\mapsto (\dots : F_i : \dots) \end{aligned}$$

where $F_i = F_i(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r)$ are multi-linear, we have $m \geq n_1 + \dots + n_r$, and Y is the Zariski closure of the image of ζ . In this Appendix only, we write $R := \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}_1] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}_r]$. Define $I = (F_0, \dots, F_m) \subset R$, and consider any ring automorphism $\Xi : R \rightarrow R$ that leaves I invariant. In particular Ξ restricts to a \mathbb{C} -vector space automorphism of $I_{(1,1,1)}$, and we then find an invertible $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ matrix M_{Ξ} such that

$$(\Xi(F_0) \quad \Xi(F_1) \quad \dots \quad \Xi(F_m))^t = M_{\Xi} (F_0 \quad F_1 \quad \dots \quad F_m)^t .$$

Given a point $\mathbf{t} = (t_0 : t_1 : \dots : t_m) \in Y$, we define the action of Ξ on \mathbf{t} as

$$\Xi(\mathbf{t})^t := M_{\Xi} (t_0 \quad t_1 \quad \dots \quad t_m)^t .$$

To illustrate this extension of the action, maintaining the notation of Subsection 5.2, consider

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (x_0 y_0 z_1, x_1 y_0 z_1, x_0 y_1 z_1, x_1 y_1 z_1, (x_0 y_1 - x_1 y_0) z_0) = \\ &(x_0, x_1) \cap (y_0, y_1) \cap (z_0, z_1) \cap (z_1, x_0 y_1 - x_1 y_0) = (x_0, x_1) \cap (y_0, y_1) \cap (z_0, z_1) \cap I(C_o) . \end{aligned}$$

Any ring automorphism $\Xi : R \rightarrow R$ that leaves I invariant can be identified with the triple

$$\Xi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{00} & \beta_{01} \\ \beta_{10} & \beta_{11} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{00} & \beta_{01} \\ \beta_{10} & \beta_{11} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} & \gamma_{01} \\ 0 & \gamma_{11} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

each matrix being invertible, up to a non-zero scalar. The matrix M_{Ξ} that extends the group action of $\text{Stab}(C_o)$ to Y_W is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{00}^2 \gamma_{11} & \beta_{00} \beta_{01} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{00} \beta_{01} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{01}^2 \gamma_{11} & 0 \\ \beta_{00} \beta_{10} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{00} \beta_{11} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{01} \beta_{10} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{01} \beta_{11} \gamma_{11} & 0 \\ \beta_{00} \beta_{10} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{01} \beta_{10} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{00} \beta_{11} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{01} \beta_{11} \gamma_{11} & 0 \\ \beta_{10}^2 \gamma_{11} & \beta_{10} \beta_{11} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{10} \beta_{11} \gamma_{11} & \beta_{11}^2 \gamma_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & (\beta_{01} \beta_{10} - \beta_{00} \beta_{11}) \gamma_{01} & (\beta_{00} \beta_{11} - \beta_{01} \beta_{10}) \gamma_{01} & 0 & (\beta_{00} \beta_{10} - \beta_{00} \beta_{11}) \gamma_{00} \end{pmatrix}$$

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D’AZUR, INRIA, 2004 ROUTE DES LUCIOLES, 06902 SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS, FRANCE.
Email address: laurent.buse@inria.fr, pablo.gonzalez-mazon@inria.fr

JOHANNES KEPLER UNIVERSITY, RISC, AUSTRIA.
Email address: jschicho@risc.jku.at