

## RESIDUAL CATEGORIES OF QUADRIC SURFACE BUNDLES

FEI XIE

ABSTRACT. We show the residual categories of quadric surface bundles are equivalent to the (twisted) derived categories of some scheme in two situations: (1) the quadric surface bundle has a smooth section; (2) the total space and the base of the quadric surface bundle are smooth and the base is a surface. We provide two proofs in situation (1) describing the scheme as the hyperbolic reduction and as a subscheme of the relative Hilbert scheme of lines, respectively. In situation (2) the twisted scheme is obtained by performing birational transformations to the relative Hilbert scheme of lines. As an application we apply the results to certain complete intersections of quadrics.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quadric bundles, Clifford algebras and ideals	3
2.1. Some basic notions of quadric bundles	3
2.2. Clifford algebras and ideals	4
2.3. Non-primitive quadratic forms of rank two	7
3. Relative Hilbert scheme of lines	8
4. Quadric surface bundles with a smooth section	12
5. Quadric surface bundles over surfaces	15
6. Examples	21
Appendix A. Non-commutative schemes	23
References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a flat family  $f: X \rightarrow S$  of Fano varieties of index  $n$  there is an  $S$ -linear semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, f^* \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1), \dots, f^* \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n) \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is called the *residual category* of  $X$ . In other words, the residual category  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is the non-trivial component in the derived category. The essential information of  $X$  is encoded in  $\mathcal{A}_X$  and one can view  $\mathcal{A}_X$  as a more refined invariant of  $X$ . It is interesting to see when  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is (twisted) geometric, i.e., equivalent to the (twisted) derived category of some scheme. In this paper we study the problem when  $f$  is a flat quadric surface bundle allowing fibers of corank 2 and prove the residual categories are (twisted) geometric in two situations.

Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $S$  is an integral noetherian scheme over a field  $\mathbb{k}$  with  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) \neq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  be the residual category of  $p$ . In the first situation  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is geometric. Assume  $p$  has a smooth section in the sense of Definition 2.1 and the second degeneration  $S_2 \neq S$  ( $S_k$  is the locus in  $S$  where fibers of  $p$  have corank at least  $k$ ).

**Theorem 4.1.**  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})$  where  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the hyperbolic reduction of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with respect to the smooth section constructed by Definition 2.2.

The hyperbolic reduction  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  can be viewed as a subscheme  $Z$  of the relative Hilbert scheme of lines over  $S$  parametrizing lines in the fibers of  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  that intersect the smooth section. Under this identification the embedding functor  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$  can be described explicitly as below.

**Theorem 4.3.**  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(Z)$  where  $Z$  is the scheme over  $S$  parametrizing lines in the fibers of  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  that intersect the smooth section. Let  $\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{R}_Z) \subset \mathcal{Q} \times_S Z$  be the universal family of lines that  $Z$  parametrizes. Then the embedding functors  $\mathbf{D}^b(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$  are of Fourier-Mukai type with kernels  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}_Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}_Z}$  is the  $n$ -th spinor sheaf with respect to the isotropic subbundle  $\mathcal{R}_Z$  defined by Definition 2.8.

In the second situation  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is twisted geometric.

**Theorem 5.10.** Assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ . Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is smooth and  $S$  is a smooth surface over  $\mathbb{k}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+)$  where  $S^+$  is the resolution of the double cover  $\tilde{S}$  over  $S$  ramified along the (first) degeneration locus  $S_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is an Azumaya algebra on  $S^+$ . In addition, the Brauer class  $[\mathcal{A}^+] \in \text{Br}(S^+)$  is trivial if and only if  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has a rational section.

When the flat quadric surface bundle  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has simple degeneration, i.e., fibers of  $p$  have corank at most 1 or  $S_2 = \emptyset$ , it is well-known that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is equivalent to the twisted derived category of the double cover  $\tilde{S}$  over  $S$  ramified along  $S_1$ . Furthermore, the twist on  $\tilde{S}$  is closely related to the relative Hilbert scheme of lines  $M$ . More precisely,  $\rho: M \rightarrow S$  factors as a smooth conic bundle  $\tau: M \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  followed by the double cover  $\alpha: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  and the twist on  $\tilde{S}$  is given by the Azumaya algebra corresponding to  $\tau$ .

When  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has fibers of corank 2, the residual category  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  becomes more complicated and the paper focuses on this situation. The difficulty that a fiber of corank 2 brings can be seen in two aspects. Let  $Q$  be a quadric surface of corank 2 over an algebraically closed field. The singular locus of  $Q$  is a line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Since the singularity of  $Q$  is encoded by the residual category (more specifically measured by the triangulated category of singularities of the residual category), the residual category would be “1-dimensional”. On the other hand, the Hilbert scheme of lines on  $Q$  is the union of two  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 's intersecting at a point. It is not only one dimensional higher than the Hilbert scheme of lines for quadric surfaces of corank at most 1, but also reducible.

Heuristically we expect that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is twisted geometric when the flat quadric surface bundle  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has simple degeneration generically and each fiber has corank at most 2, i.e.,  $S_2 \neq S$  and  $S_3 = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  would be the twisted derived category of a scheme  $Y$  over  $S$  where  $Y$  is a double cover over  $S \setminus S_1$  and a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $S_2$ . The expectation of  $Y$  comes from the discussion above and is supported by the main results of the paper where  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \cong Z$  and  $S^+$  have the described structures. So far we do not have a natural way to construct such a scheme  $Y$  in general. But in the two situations considered in the paper, we can make such constructions.

In the first situation we assume  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has a smooth section. Then  $Y$  can be constructed as the hyperbolic reduction  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  with respect to the smooth section. The relative linear projection of  $\mathcal{Q}$  from the smooth section identifies the blow-up of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along the smooth section with a blow-up along  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$ , see (4.2). Theorem 4.1 follows from performing mutations under this identification. This proof is straightforward but the disadvantage is that the information on the embedding functor  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$  is lost under the mutations. This is why we provide a second proof Theorem 4.3 and focus on working with  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  by itself. This can be achieved by regarding  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  as the scheme  $Z$  over  $S$  parametrizing lines in the fibers of  $p$  that intersect the smooth section. Previously it is showed in [12][3] that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is equivalent to the derived category  $\mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0)$  of coherent sheaves of right  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules where  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is the even Clifford algebra of  $p$ . We prove in Proposition 4.2 that  $\mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0) \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}_Z}))$  where  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}_Z}$  is certain Clifford ideal introduced in Section 2.2. Then the Morita equivalence implies  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(Z)$ . Since each equivalence can be described explicitly, so are the embedding functors  $\mathbf{D}^b(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$  and the Fourier-Mukai kernels are given by certain spinor sheaves  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}_Z}$ . This approach relies on the study of derived categories of non-commutative schemes and we provide necessary foundations in Appendix A.

In the second situation we assume both  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $S$  are smooth and  $S$  is a surface. Then  $Y$  can be constructed as the resolution  $S^+$  of the double cover  $\tilde{S}$ , which is a nodal surface. The idea is to make use of the relation between the relative Hilbert scheme of lines  $M$  and the

residual category  $\mathcal{A}_Q$  when  $p$  has simple degeneration and make modifications. The point is to construct a new smooth conic bundle  $\tau_+: M^+ \rightarrow S^+$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_Q \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+)$  where  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is Brauer equivalent to the Azumaya algebra corresponding to  $\tau_+$ . This construction is motivated by the work [14] in which the base  $S$  is a smooth 3-fold. Unlike the above work the additional assumptions on the degeneration loci  $S_k$  are not needed in this paper. We will assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$  so that we can perform birational transformations to  $M$ . In this case there are only a finite number of fibers with corank 2 by Lemma 5.1. As pointed out before, the fiber  $M_s, s \in S_2$  is a union of two  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 's. For each  $M_s$  we will blow-up one of the  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 's and contract the exceptional locus to a line. In this process  $M_s$  becomes  $M_s^+$ , which is a Hirzebruch surface, and  $M^+ \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  factor as the smooth conic bundle  $\tau_+: M^+ \rightarrow S^+$  followed by the resolution  $S^+ \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ . The details of the process are given by Proposition 5.5. It should be pointed out that this geometric construction relies on being able to choose one of the two  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 's for each  $M_s, s \in S_2$ . Hence, it works well when  $S_2$  is a finite set of points but would not work in general. Again Clifford ideals will play an important role in proving the equivalence  $\mathcal{A}_Q \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+)$ .

The main results of the paper can be used to reprove the semiorthogonal decompositions for the nodal quintic del Pezzo threefolds (Example 6.1) and the cubic 4-folds containing a plane in non-generic cases (Example 6.2). Since the residual category of a Fano complete intersection of quadrics is equivalent to the residual category of the associated net of quadrics by the Homological Projective Duality theory, we can produce new examples of Fano complete intersections of quadrics whose residual categories are twisted geometric. For example, the residual category of the smooth complete intersection of three quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^{2m+3}$  for  $m \leq 5$  is twisted geometric, see Proposition 6.5.

**Convention.** Throughout the paper we assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is a field of characteristic different from 2 and  $S$  is an integral noetherian scheme over  $\mathbb{k}$ . For simplicity sometimes we omit the pull-back functors in the notations when the context is clear. For example, we use the notations  $-\otimes \mathcal{B}_n$  and  $-\otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$  for tensoring with the appropriate pull-backs of Clifford algebras  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and Clifford ideals  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$ .

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we provide preliminaries on quadric bundles and make preparations for the study later. In Section 2.1 we recall definitions of line-bundle valued quadratic forms, degeneration loci of quadric bundles, regular isotropic subbundles, and constructions of hyperbolic reductions. In Section 2.2 we recall Clifford algebras, introduce Clifford ideals and spinor sheaves, and discuss their properties. In Section 2.3 we prove a result in preparation for the study of quadric surface bundles with a smooth section. In Section 3 we review the geometry of the relative Hilbert scheme of lines  $M$  and describe the relations among  $M$ , even Clifford algebras and Clifford ideals when the quadric surface bundle has a smooth section. In Section 4 we prove the first situation in Theorem 4.1 and 4.3. In Section 5 we prove the second situation in Theorem 5.10. In Section 6 we discuss examples to which the main theorems can be applied. In Appendix A we prove the projection formula (Proposition A.6) for derived categories of non-commutative schemes.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Arend Bayer, Qingyuan Jiang for numerous helpful conversations, and thank Alexander Kuznetsov for pointing out the reference [19]. The author is supported by the ERC Consolidator grant WallCrossAG, no. 819864.

## 2. QUADRIC BUNDLES, CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS AND IDEALS

In this section we recall some notions of quadric bundles, review definitions of Clifford algebras and introduce Clifford ideals. We will discuss several properties of Clifford ideals, which are useful in the study of quadric bundles. Lastly we will prove Lemma 2.12 in preparation for the study of quadric surface bundles with a smooth section.

**2.1. Some basic notions of quadric bundles.** Suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  is a vector bundle and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $S$ . We say  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is a *(line-bundle valued) quadratic form* on  $S$  if  $q$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -homogeneous morphism of degree 2 such that the associated morphism  $b_q: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  defined

by  $b_q(v, w) = q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)$  is a symmetric bilinear form. The *rank* of  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is the rank of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Assume  $q$  is non-zero. Then  $q$  corresponds to a non-zero section

$$(2.1) \quad s_q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(2) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong \Gamma(S, \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

where  $\mathcal{E}^\vee$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\text{Sym}^2$  is the second symmetric product. Let  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$  be the zero locus of the section  $s_q$ . Then  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  is the associated *quadric bundle*. Note that in this paper when we consider quadric bundles, we only require that  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$  has codimension 1 and  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  may **not** be flat. We say that  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is *primitive* if  $q$  is non-zero over the residue field of any point on  $S$ . This is equivalent to  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  being a flat quadric bundle.

Denote the  $k$ -th *degeneration locus* of  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  by  $S_k \subset S$ , which is the closed subscheme of  $S$  defined by the sheaf of ideal

$$\text{Im}(\Lambda^{n+1-k}\mathcal{E} \otimes \Lambda^{n+1-k}\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{n+1-k} \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{n+1-k}b_q} \mathcal{O}_S)$$

with  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = n$ . This means that  $S_k$  is the locus where the fibers of  $p$  have corank at least  $k$ . The *corank* of a quadric is the corank of its associated symmetric bilinear form. In particular,  $S_1 = \{\det(b_q) = 0\}$  is the locus of singular fibers. We say that  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has *simple degeneration* if  $S_2 = \emptyset$ .

Recall a subbundle  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is isotropic if  $q|_{\mathcal{W}} = 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An isotropic subbundle  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is called *regular* if for each geometric point  $x \in S$ , the fiber  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W})_x$  is contained in the smooth locus of the fiber  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ . We say  $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{W})$  is a *smooth  $r$ -section* of  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  if  $\mathcal{W}$  is a regular isotropic subbundle of  $q$  of rank  $r + 1$ . A smooth 0-section is simply called a *smooth section*.

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a regular isotropic subbundle of  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{b_q|_{\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{W}}} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{W}^\perp$  is the kernel of  $b_q|_{\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{W}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is isotropic, we have  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  is contained in the kernel of  $q|_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}: \mathcal{W}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . It induces a new quadratic form  $\bar{q}: \mathcal{W}^\perp/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}^\perp/\mathcal{W}$ . The induced quadratic form  $\bar{q}: \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is called the *hyperbolic reduction* of  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  with respect to the regular isotropic subbundle  $\mathcal{W}$ . Alternatively  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \{s_{\bar{q}} = 0\}$  is called the *hyperbolic reduction* of  $\mathcal{Q} = \{s_q = 0\}$  with respect to the smooth  $r$ -section  $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{W})$  where  $r = \text{rank}(\mathcal{W}) - 1$ .

The quadric bundles  $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow S$  related by the hyperbolic reduction share many features. For example, they have the same degeneration loci  $S_k$ .

**2.2. Clifford algebras and ideals.** Let  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be a non-zero quadratic form and let  $p: \mathcal{Q} = \{s_q = 0\} \rightarrow S$  be the associated quadric bundle. There are several equivalent definitions of even Clifford algebras and Clifford bimodules (or odd Clifford algebras) of  $q$ ; see [7, §3] [3, §1.5] [12, §3.3]. We will recall the construction in [7].

Set the degrees of the elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  to be 1 and 2, respectively. The *generalized Clifford algebra* is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{B} = T(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}^n) / \langle (v \otimes v) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes q(v) \rangle_{v \in \mathcal{E}}$$

where  $T(\mathcal{E})$  is the tensor algebra of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the subgroups of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of elements of degree  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra over  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . The *even Clifford algebra* and the *Clifford bimodule* are defined to be  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , respectively.

Write  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2m$  or  $2m + 1$ . Then there are  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -filtrations

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_S &= F_0 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{2m} = \mathcal{B}_0, \\ \mathcal{E} &= F_1 \subset F_3 \subset \cdots \subset F_{2m+1} = \mathcal{B}_1 \end{aligned}$$

such that  $F_{2i}/F_{2i-2} \cong \Lambda^{2i}\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^i$  and  $F_{2i+1}/F_{2i-1} \cong \Lambda^{2i+1}\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^i$ . Moreover, one has

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{B}_n = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}^k, & n = 2k \\ \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^k, & n = 2k + 1 \end{cases} .$$

When  $q$  is primitive, the Clifford multiplications

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+m}$$

are isomorphisms for  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -bimodule.

If  $\mathcal{W}$  is an isotropic subbundle, then  $\bigoplus_n \Lambda^n \mathcal{W}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The  $n$ -th (left) Clifford ideal  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$  of  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  with respect to an isotropic subbundle  $\mathcal{W}$  is the degree  $n$  part of the left principal ideal of  $\mathcal{B}$  generated by  $\det \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\text{rank}(\mathcal{W})}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} = \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{n-\text{rank}(\mathcal{W})} \otimes \det \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n)$$

where the map above is given by Clifford multiplications. Similarly, one can define the  $n$ -th right Clifford ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\circ \mathcal{W}} = \text{Im}(\det \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-\text{rank}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \cong \mathcal{I}_n^{\circ \mathcal{W}}$  as vector bundles on  $S$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{n+2}^{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ .

The Clifford ideals will play an important role in the study of quadric bundles. They have been studied for a quadric hypersurface in [1] and they were used to define spinor sheaves for any isotropic subspace. In this paper we will provide a relative version.

Firstly, we provide two lemmas about Clifford ideals that will be used later. Next we give the relation between Clifford ideals of different isotropic subbundles and describe the dual of Clifford ideals. As with the quadric hypersurface situation we can define spinor sheaves for any isotropic subbundle and we will discuss the properties of spinor sheaves that follow from those of Clifford ideals. We primarily work with left Clifford ideals and properties proved for them also apply to right Clifford ideals.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is primitive and  $\mathcal{W}$  is an isotropic subbundle. Then for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

(1) the Clifford multiplication induce a left  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module isomorphism

$$\sigma_{m,n}: \mathcal{B}_m \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{I}_{m+n}^{\mathcal{W}};$$

(2) there are isomorphisms of sheaves of algebras  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}) \cong \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_{m+n}^{\mathcal{W}})$ .

A similar result is true for right Clifford ideals.

*Proof.* (1) We have the map  $\sigma_{m,n}$  because the image of the Clifford multiplication  $\mathcal{B}_m \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{m+n}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{m+n}^{\mathcal{W}}$  and it factors through  $\mathcal{B}_m \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$ . Applying  $\mathcal{B}_m \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} -$  to the map

$$\sigma_{-m,m+n}: \mathcal{B}_{-m} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{I}_{m+n}^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$$

and using the isomorphism (2.5), we obtain the map  $\mathcal{I}_{m+n}^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$ . This is the inverse map of  $\sigma_{m,n}$ .

(2) is a consequence of (1) where the morphism  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_{m+n}^{\mathcal{W}})$  is induced by  $\mathcal{B}_m \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} -$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an isotropic subbundle of rank  $r$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{B}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+r}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}) \rightarrow 0$$

of left  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules where the first map is given by the Clifford multiplication  $\mathcal{B}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n$  and a similar result is true for right Clifford ideals.

*Proof.* There is a left  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module surjection  $\mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+r}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}^{\vee})$  induced by the multiplication  $\mathcal{B}_n \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+r}$ . By construction the kernel of the surjection is given by the image of  $\mathcal{B}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{W}$  be isotropic subbundles and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}) - \text{rank}(\mathcal{W}') = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}'$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\vee} \rightarrow 0$$

of left  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules. Similarly if  $\mathcal{W}$  is an isotropic sub line bundle. Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0.$$

A similar result is true for right Clifford ideals.

*Proof.* Consider the map given by the Clifford multiplication

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}'} \otimes \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+1}.$$

The image is  $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}}$  and it factors through  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}'} \otimes \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}'$ . Furthermore, the induced map

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}'} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}}$$

has kernel  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1$ . The proof when  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}) = 1$  is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an isotropic subbundle of rank  $r$ .*

(1) *If  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2m$ , then for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  there are right  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module isomorphisms*

$$(\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{W}})^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{I}_{r-k}^{\circ\mathcal{W}} \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^m.$$

(2) *If  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2m + 1$ , then for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  there are right  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module isomorphisms*

$$(\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{W}})^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{I}_{r+1-k}^{\circ\mathcal{W}} \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^m.$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\text{tr}: \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^m$  be the map  $F_{2m} \rightarrow F_{2m}/F_{2m-2}$  induced by the filtration (2.3). There is a pairing

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_{-k} \otimes \mathcal{B}_k & \rightarrow & \mathcal{B}_0 \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^m \\ \xi \otimes \eta & \mapsto & \xi\eta \mapsto \text{tr}(\xi\eta) \end{array}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . When restricting to  $\mathcal{B}_{-k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{W}}$ , it induces

$$f: \mathcal{B}_{-k} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{W}}, \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^m).$$

It is clear from the construction that  $f$  is a homomorphism of right  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules. On the other hand, there is a right  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module surjection

$$g: \mathcal{B}_{-k} \twoheadrightarrow \det(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{r-k}^{\circ\mathcal{W}}$$

by Lemma 2.5. Since  $f, g$  have the same kernel, we have an injection

$$\bar{f}: \det(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{r-k}^{\circ\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{W}}, \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^m).$$

Note the vector bundles above both have rank  $2^{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})-r-1}$ . Then  $\bar{f}$  is an isomorphism because it is so over the residue field of any point on  $S$ .

(2) The proof when  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$  is odd is similar. The only differences are that we would use  $\text{tr}: \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^m$  and the pairing  $\mathcal{B}_{1-k} \otimes \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^m$  instead.  $\square$

Now we define spinor sheaves on quadric bundles by Clifford ideals. Regard  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1)$  as the universal sub line bundle and consider the map

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{W}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}}, \\ v \otimes \xi & \mapsto & 1 \otimes v\xi. \end{array}$$

Then  $\phi_n \circ \phi_{n-1} = q$ . Since  $S$  is integral and  $q \neq 0$ ,  $\phi_n$  is an isomorphism outside of  $\mathcal{Q} = \{s_q = 0\}$  and  $\phi_n$  is injective.

**Definition 2.8.** The  $n$ -th *spinor sheaf*  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$  on  $\mathcal{Q} = \{s_q = 0\}$  of the non-zero quadratic form  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  with respect to an isotropic subbundle  $\mathcal{W}$  is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\phi_n$  is constructed in (2.6) and  $i: \mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$  is the embedding.

Again we have  $\mathcal{S}_{n+2}^{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** One can also construct  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$  as the cokernel of  $\phi_n^\circ$  where

$$\phi_n^\circ: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{\circ\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\circ\mathcal{W}}$$

is the map sending  $v \otimes \xi$  to  $1 \otimes \xi v$ .

Restricting  $\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  to the quadric bundle  $\mathcal{Q}$ , there are exact sequences

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n-2}^{\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+2}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{n+2}^{\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+3}} \cdots \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{W}$  be isotropic subbundles and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}) - \text{rank}(\mathcal{W}') = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}'$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

on  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.6 there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{W}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

Then the result follows because the short exact sequence is compatible with the map  $\phi_n$  (2.6) defining the spinor sheaves.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *The spinor sheaf  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$  is reflexive on  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}) = r$ .*

(1) *If  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2m$ , then*

$$(\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}})^\vee \cong \mathcal{S}_{r-n-1}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1) \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}^\vee) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^m.$$

(2) *If  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2m + 1$ , then*

$$(\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}})^\vee \cong \mathcal{S}_{r-n}^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1) \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}^\vee) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^m.$$

*Proof.* The reflexivity of  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}}$  follows from the observation that taking double dual of (2.7) gives the same exact sequences.

(1) Taking the dual of the second sequence in (2.7), we have another exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-2) \otimes (\mathcal{I}_{n+2}^{\mathcal{W}})^\vee \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+2}^\vee} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1) \otimes (\mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{W}})^\vee \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{W}})^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

The result follows from Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.9 by noticing that  $\phi_{n+2}^\vee = \phi_{r-n-1}^\circ$ . (2) can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**2.3. Non-primitive quadratic forms of rank two.** Given a quadric surface bundle with a smooth section, the hyperbolic reduction with respect to the smooth section in the sense of Definition 2.2 gives a quadratic form of rank 2. If  $S_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then the new quadratic form is non-primitive. As a preparation for Section 4, we will study possibly non-primitive quadratic forms of rank 2 in this section.

Let  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be a non-zero quadratic form of rank 2 (i.e.,  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2$ ) and let  $p: \mathcal{Q} = \{s_q = 0\} \rightarrow S$  be the associated quadric bundle. Then  $q|_{S_2} = 0$  and  $q \neq 0$  implies  $S_2 \neq S$ . In this case  $p$  has relative dimension 0 over  $S \setminus S_2$  and is not flat if  $S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . We will give a result on the relation between Clifford algebras and Clifford ideals. The non-flatness of  $p$  requires a non-trivial argument.

One observes that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)$  is an isotropic line bundle of  $p^*q: p^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow p^*\mathcal{L}$ . The Clifford multiplication gives

$$\mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{I}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+m}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is locally free, the map above induces

$$(2.8) \quad Lp^*\mathcal{B}_n \cong p^*\mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathcal{I}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_{n+m}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}).$$

**Lemma 2.12.** (1) *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\phi_n$  is the map (2.6) and  $i: \mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$  is the embedding.

(2) *The map*

$$\mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow R p_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathcal{I}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_{n+m}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)})$$

induced by (2.8) is an isomorphism for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (1) By the same argument following (2.6) we have  $\phi_n$  is injective and an isomorphism outside of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\text{coker}(\phi_n)$  is supported on  $\mathcal{Q}$  and we set it to be  $i_* \mathfrak{S}_n$ . Note  $\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}_n \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)})$  by Lemma 2.5.

(2) It suffices to prove the claim for  $m = 0, 1$  and any  $n$ . Let  $\pi: \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$  be the projection. Applying  $R\pi_*$  to the sequence in (1) we get the claim holds for  $m = 1$ .

Now we prove for  $m = 0$ . Let  $F = \text{coker}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E}) \cong \pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(1)$ . On the other hand,

$$\mathfrak{S}_1 \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_2^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}) \cong p^* \det \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(1).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{S}_1 = F|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . We have commutative diagrams with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_n \otimes F \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{B}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & i_* \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is pull-back of the Clifford multiplication  $\mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  and  $g$  tensoring by  $F^\vee$  gives

$$\pi^* \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow i_* \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} \otimes F^\vee \cong i_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}) \cong i_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(-1)}).$$

Clearly  $f$  is surjective. We have  $g$  is also surjective and  $\ker(f) \cong \ker(g)$ . Observe  $R\pi_*(\ker(f) \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee) = 0$ . Hence,  $R\pi_*(\ker(g) \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee) = 0$  and the claim holds for  $m = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. RELATIVE HILBERT SCHEME OF LINES

We focus on flat quadric surface bundles. Their relative Hilbert scheme of lines will play a crucial role in the study of residual categories in sections 4 and 5.

We make the following notations.

- Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle with the associated quadratic form  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  and the generalized Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_n$ .
- Let  $\tilde{S} = \text{Spec}_S(\mathcal{C}_0)$  be the *discriminant cover* of  $S$  where  $\mathcal{C}_0$  is the center of  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Denote the natural map by  $\alpha: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ . The description of  $\alpha$  is given by Lemma 3.1 below.
- Let  $\rho: M \rightarrow S$  be the relative Hilbert scheme of lines of  $p$  and it factors as  $M \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ .

Observe that  $M \subset \text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the universal subbundle on  $\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})$ . Then  $M \subset \text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})$  is the zero locus of the section

$$(3.1) \quad s_M \in \Gamma(\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E}), \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{R}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong \Gamma(S, \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

corresponding to the section  $s_q$  (2.1) defining  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

For a geometric point  $s \in S$ , the fiber  $M_s$  is

- (1) a disjoint union of two smooth conics if  $\mathcal{Q}_s$  is smooth;
- (2) a smooth conic over the dual numbers  $\mathbb{k}(s)[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$  if  $\mathcal{Q}_s$  has corank 1;
- (3) a union of two planes intersecting at a point if  $\mathcal{Q}_s$  has corank 2.

When  $p$  has a smooth section in the sense of Definition 2.1, we further denote by

- $Z \subset M$  the subscheme parametrizing lines that intersect the smooth section and  $\beta: Z \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\rho} S$ .
- $\mathcal{R}_Z$  the restriction of the universal subbundle  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})$  to  $Z$ .



If  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$  or  $\geq 5$ , we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \cong \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \oplus \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2$$

and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0 := \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2$  is a subalgebra in the center  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . In addition,  $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{S} := \text{Spec}_S(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0) \rightarrow S$  is a double cover over  $S$  ramified along  $S_1$  and  $\rho: M \rightarrow S$  factors through  $\widehat{\alpha}$ . When  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 3$ , it is unclear if we can embed  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0$  inside  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . But we still have the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** *The center  $\mathcal{C}_0$  of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is locally free of rank 2 in the following two cases:*

- (a)  $S_2 = \emptyset$  or
- (b)  $S$  is a locally factorial integral scheme and  $S_1 \neq S$ .

In these cases  $\alpha: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is a double cover over  $S$  ramified along the degeneration locus  $S_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in S$  be an arbitrary point. When  $S_2 = \emptyset$ , Lemma 1.4 in [4] implies that the stalk of  $\mathcal{E}$  at  $s$  has an orthogonal basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^4$ , i.e.,  $b_q(v_i, v_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\{1, v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4\}$  is contained in the stalk of  $\mathcal{C}_0$  at  $s$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}(s)}(\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes \mathbb{k}(s)) \geq 2$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathbb{k}(s)$  is an Azumaya algebra with center of dimension 2. Thus,  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}(s)}(\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes \mathbb{k}(s)) = 2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_0$  is locally free of rank 2. The case (b) is Lemma 1.6.1 in [3].  $\square$

If  $p$  has simple degeneration, i.e.,  $S_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\tau: M \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$  is a smooth conic bundle. When  $p$  has a smooth section, the composition  $Z \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\tau} \widetilde{S}$  is an isomorphism and thus  $\tau$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. In this case there are well-known relations among the relative Hilbert scheme of lines  $M$ , the even Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and Clifford ideals  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume the flat quadric surface bundle  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has a smooth section and  $S_2 = \emptyset$ . Identify  $\beta = \alpha: Z = \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

- (1)  $M \cong \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z})$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}_0 \cong \alpha_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z})$ .

Here  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  is the  $n$ -th Clifford ideal of  $\alpha^*q$  on  $Z$  defined by Definition 2.3.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $i_Z: \mathcal{Q} \times_S Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \times_S Z$  be the embedding. Let  $p_Z: \mathcal{Q} \times_S Z \rightarrow Z$  and  $\pi_Z: \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \times_S Z \rightarrow Z$  be the projections. By Definition 2.8, we have

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{R}Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z} \rightarrow i_{Z*} \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $z = [L] \in Z$  be a geometric point represented by a line  $L$  in the fiber  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha(z)}$ . Then the spinor sheaf  $\mathcal{S}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  restricted at  $z$  is the line bundle (resp. rank 1 reflexive sheaf)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha(z)}}(L)$  when  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha(z)}$  is smooth (resp. of corank 1). Therefore,  $M$  is the projectivization of  $p_{Z*} \mathcal{S}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}$ . Applying  $\pi_{Z*}$  to (3.2), we have  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z} \cong p_{Z*} \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}$ . Hence,  $M \cong \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$ .

By Lemma 2.4 (2)  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  are isomorphic as sheaves of algebras for all  $n$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  for different  $n$  differ by tensoring with a line bundle. This proves (1).

(2) Lemma 4.2 in [13] shows that the push-forward  $\alpha_*$  of the Azumaya algebra corresponding to the smooth conic bundle  $\tau: M \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . More specifically, the left  $\alpha^* \mathcal{B}_0$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  gives  $\alpha^* \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  and the map adjoint to it identifies  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\alpha_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z})$ .  $\square$

For the rest of the section we will show that Lemma 3.2 (2) still holds when  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  does not have simple degeneration. This is given by Corollary 3.4.

Assume the flat quadric surface bundle  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has a smooth section and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the corresponding regular isotropic sub line bundle. Denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{N}^\perp / \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\bar{q}: \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be the hyperbolic reduction of  $q$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$  and let  $s_{\bar{q}} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E})}/S}(2) \otimes \mathcal{L})$  be the corresponding section. The embeddings  $\mathcal{N} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{E}} \subset \Lambda^2 \mathcal{N}^\perp \subset \Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}$  indicate that

$$(3.3) \quad Z = \{s_{\bar{q}} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{E}})$$

is the hyperbolic reduction of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Hence,

$$(3.4) \quad \det(\mathcal{R}_Z) = \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E})}/S}(-1)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For (2)(3), assume  $S_2 \neq S$ .

(1) Let  $Z' = \beta^{-1}(S_2)$ . Denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_2 = \bar{\mathcal{E}}|_{S_2}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z'} = \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}|_{Z'}$ . Then  $Z' = \mathbb{P}_{S_2}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_2)$  and

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z'} = \mathcal{O}_{Z'/S}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2.$$

(2) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

(3)  $R^1\beta_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}) = 0$ ,  $\beta_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  is locally free and  $\det(\beta_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) = \det(\mathcal{B}_0)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\bar{q}|_{S_2} = 0$ , we have  $Z' = \mathbb{P}_{S_2}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_2)$ . The filtration (2.3) on  $\beta^*\mathcal{B}_0$  induces one on  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  and we have

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{R}_Z) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z} \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as well as the same for  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z}$ . Recall  $\det(\mathcal{R}_Z) = \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)$  from (3.4). Let  $\bar{\pi}': Z' \rightarrow S_2$  be the projection. There is a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}^b(Z') = \langle \bar{\pi}'^*\mathbf{D}^b(S_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z'/S}(-1), \bar{\pi}'^*\mathbf{D}^b(S_2) \rangle.$$

Then the sequence (3.6) splits after restricting to  $Z'$  and we get (3.5).

(2) When  $S_2 \neq S$ , one has  $\bar{q} \neq 0$  and  $s_{\bar{q}} \neq 0$ . Since  $S$  is integral, (3.3) implies (2).

(3) By Lemma 2.7 (1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})^\vee &= \mathcal{I}_2^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \det(\mathcal{R}_Z^\vee) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \\ &= \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \det(\mathcal{R}_Z^\vee) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with (3.6) and (3.4), one has

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \det(\mathcal{R}_Z^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \det(\mathcal{R}_Z^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(1) \otimes \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{\pi}: \mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow S$  be the projection and let  $k = -1, 0, 1$ . The short exact sequence from (2) induces

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(k) \rightarrow \beta_*\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(k) \rightarrow R^1\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(k-2) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \\ \rightarrow R^1\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(k) \rightarrow R^1\beta_*\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(k) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(k-2) = 0$  and  $R^{\geq 2}\bar{\pi}_* = 0$ . In addition,  $R^1\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(k) = 0$  deduces  $R^1\beta_*\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(k) = 0$ . By Serre duality, one has

$$R^1\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(k-2) \cong (\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\bar{\mathcal{E}})/S}(-k))^\vee \otimes \det(\bar{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Hence,  $\beta_*\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(k)$  are locally free of rank 2 and

$$\det(\beta_*\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(k)) \cong \begin{cases} \det(\bar{\mathcal{E}}^\vee), & k = 1 \\ \det(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee, & k = 0 \\ \det(\bar{\mathcal{E}})^3 \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2, & k = -1 \end{cases}.$$

On the other hand, we have similar long exact sequences induced by sequences (3.7). They deduce  $R^1\beta_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}) = 0$  and  $\beta_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  are locally free of rank 8. Note

$$\det(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \det(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = \det(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}.$$

Then

$$\det(\beta_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \cong \det(\mathcal{E})^4 \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^8.$$

Lastly,  $\mathcal{B}_0$  has a filtration (2.3) with factors  $\mathcal{O}_S, \Lambda^2 \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee, \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2$ . Note  $\det(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}) \cong \det(\mathcal{E})^3$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{B}_0) &\cong \det(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2 \\ &\cong \det(\mathcal{E})^4 \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^8 \\ &\cong \det(\beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Assume the flat quadric surface bundle  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has a smooth section and  $S_2 \neq S$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_0 \cong R\beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}) \cong \beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  as sheaves of algebras for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4 (2), it suffices to prove it for  $n = 0$ . The left  $\beta^* \mathcal{B}_0$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  gives

$$(3.8) \quad f: \beta^* \mathcal{B}_0 \cong L\beta^* \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$$

and it induces

$$g: \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow R\beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}).$$

We only need to show  $g$  is locally an isomorphism.

Locally we have

$$\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L})) \perp \bar{\mathcal{E}}$$

where  $\perp$  is the orthogonal sum and the first quadratic form is given by the evaluation map  $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{B}' = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}'_n$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_n$  the generalized Clifford algebras of  $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\bar{q}$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \cong \mathcal{B}'_0 \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0 \oplus \mathcal{B}'_1 \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \cong \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0 \oplus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1.$$

In addition, locally  $\mathcal{R}_Z = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)$  and

$$\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z} = \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)} \oplus \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)}.$$

The left  $\beta^* \mathcal{B}_0$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  can be seen by writing  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  in block matrices

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0 & \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \\ \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 & \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)} & \\ \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 2.12 we have  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{n-m} \cong R\beta_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)}, \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(-1)})$ . This implies  $g$  is an isomorphism. □

**Remark 3.5.** The corollary has an easier proof if  $S_2 \subset S$  has codimension at least 2 or  $S$  is proper and integral. The proof goes as follows.

By Lemma 3.3 (3) we have  $R\beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}) \cong \beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  is locally free and  $\det(\mathcal{B}_0) \cong \det(\beta_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}))$ . Hence,  $\det(g) \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ . By Lemma 3.2 (2)  $g$  is an isomorphism on  $S \setminus S_2$  and thus  $\det(g)|_{S \setminus S_2}$  is a unit. If  $S$  is proper and integral, then  $\det(g)$  is a non-zero constant and  $g$  is an isomorphism on  $S$ . On the other hand,  $\{\det(g) = 0\} \subset S$  is either empty or has codimension 1. Then  $g$  is an isomorphism on  $S$  if  $S_2 \subset S$  has codimension at least 2.

We give an explicit description of the map  $\beta: Z \rightarrow S$  for the universal quadric surface bundle with a smooth section.

**Example 3.6.** The universal family  $\mathcal{Q}$  of quadric surface bundles with a smooth section is parametrized by  $S = \mathbb{A}^3 = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[a, b, c])$  and the quadratic form is

$$q(x) = x_1 x_2 + a x_3^2 + b x_3 x_4 + c x_4^2.$$

The smooth section is given by  $\{x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$  (or  $\{x_1 = x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$ ). The hyperbolic reduction with respect to the smooth section is  $\bar{q} = a x_3^2 + b x_3 x_4 + c x_4^2$ . Then

$$Z = \text{Proj}\left(\frac{\mathbb{A}^3[x_3, x_4]}{a x_3^2 + b x_3 x_4 + c x_4^2}\right), \quad \tilde{S} = \text{Spec}\left(\frac{\mathbb{k}[a, b, c, d]}{d^2 - b^2 + 4ac}\right)$$

where  $Z$  is the hyperbolic reduction as well as the scheme parametrizing lines that intersect the smooth section, and  $\tilde{S}$  is the double cover over  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the involution of the double cover  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$ . Then there is a factorization

$$\beta: Z \xrightarrow{h} \tilde{S} \rightarrow S = \mathbb{A}^3$$

where  $h$  and  $\sigma \circ h$  are the two minimal resolutions of the affine nodal quadric threefold  $\tilde{S}$ . In addition,  $S_2 = \{a = b = c = 0\}$  is the origin and has codimension 3 in  $S = \mathbb{A}^3$ .

#### 4. QUADRIC SURFACE BUNDLES WITH A SMOOTH SECTION

Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle with a smooth section and let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  be its residual category. In this section we prove that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is geometric. We give two proofs where the easier proof Theorem 4.1 is obtained by mutations and the harder proof Theorem 4.3 in addition gives an explicit description of the Fourier-Mukai kernels of the embedding functors  $\Psi_{m,n}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $S$  is an integral noetherian scheme over  $\mathbb{k}$  with  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) \neq 2$ . Assume  $p$  has a smooth section and the second degeneration  $S_2 \neq S$ . Then there is an  $S$ -linear semiorthogonal decomposition*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}) = \langle \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}), p^* \mathbf{D}^b(S), p^* \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(1) \rangle$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the hyperbolic reduction of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with respect to the smooth section constructed by Definition 2.2.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the regular isotropic line bundle corresponding to the smooth section of  $p$ . Remark 2.6 of [17] provides the following picture:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q}' \\ \swarrow f \quad \searrow g \\ S = \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \qquad \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N}^\perp/\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}} \end{array}$$

where  $g \circ f^{-1}: \mathcal{Q} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N})$  is the relative linear projection from  $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the hyperbolic reduction with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$  and

$$\mathcal{Q}' \cong \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \text{Bl}_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N})).$$

Let  $E \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N}^\perp/\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{Q}'$  and  $D \subset \mathcal{Q}'$  be the exceptional loci of  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. Let  $H$  and  $h$  be the relative hyperplane classes of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N})$ , respectively. Use the same notations for the pull-back classes on  $\mathcal{Q}'$ . Then in  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Q}')/f^*p^*\text{Pic}(S)$ , there are relations

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} H = h + E \\ h = D + E \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\bar{q}: \mathcal{N}^\perp/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be the hyperbolic reduction and note that  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \{\bar{q} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N}^\perp/\mathcal{N})$ . Since  $S_2 \neq S$  and  $S$  is integral, we have  $\bar{q} \neq 0$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N})$  is a regular immersion. Locally  $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{Q}$  is defined by  $\{xy + \bar{q} = 0\}$  where  $x, y$  are variables for  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L})$ , respectively. Restricting to  $\{x \neq 0\}$ , the smooth section is defined by  $\{z = w = 0\}$  where  $z, w$  are variables for  $\mathcal{N}^\perp/\mathcal{N}$  and thus  $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is a regular immersion. By Theorem 6.11 in [10], there are semiorthogonal decompositions

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}') &= \langle \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}), \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}), \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N})) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{L}_T$  and  $\mathbb{R}_T$ , respectively, the left and right mutation functors through  $\mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes T$  when  $T$  is a relative exceptional object over  $S$  (cf. §3.11 in [10]). There is a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}) = \langle \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H) \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the residual category. Then the first semiorthogonal decomposition in (4.4) expands as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}') &= \langle \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}'}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H), \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}'}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H - E), \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H) \rangle \\
(4.5) \quad &= \langle \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H - 3h + D), \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}'}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(h) \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-h), \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}'}, \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(h) \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N})) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Note  $H - E = h$ ,  $H - 3h + D = -h$  by (4.3) and the relative canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{Q}'/S} = -3h + D$  up to divisors of  $S$ . The equalities above are obtained by mutations. The second equality applies  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{O}(H)}$  to  $\mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$ , the third equality applies the relative Serre functor to  $\mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$  and the fourth equality applies  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{O}(-h)}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . Comparing the last semiorthogonal compositions of (4.4) and (4.5), we have  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})$ .  $\square$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})$  is obtained by mutations, we lose information on the embedding functor  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})$ . To remedy this problem we focus on working with  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  by itself and show that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is geometric using Corollary 3.4 and the known description of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  below.

Theorem 4.2 in [12] ( $\mathbb{k}$  algebraically closed and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ ) and Theorem 2.2.1 in [3] (any field  $\mathbb{k}$ ) state that for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  there are semiorthogonal decompositions

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}) = \langle \Phi_{m,n}(\mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0)), p^* \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(m+1), p^* \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(m+2) \rangle.$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \Phi_{m,n}: \mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto p^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{K}_{m,n}$$

is a fully faithful functor of Fourier-Mukai type with the kernel  $\mathcal{K}_{m,n}$ . The kernel  $\mathcal{K}_{m,n}$  is a left  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module constructed by

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(m-1) \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_n^\circ} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(m) \otimes \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{K}_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $i: \mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$  is the embedding and  $\phi_n^\circ$  is the map defined in Remark 2.9. One can regard  $\mathcal{K}_{0,n}$  as the  $n$ -th spinor sheaf with respect to the empty isotropic subbundle.

We use the same notations as Section 3 and consider  $Z \subset M$  the subscheme parametrizing lines that intersect the smooth section. Note  $\beta: Z \rightarrow S$  together with  $f: \beta^* \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$  from (3.8) give a morphism

$$(4.9) \quad \gamma = (\beta, f): (Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}_0)$$

of non-commutative schemes in the sense of Definition A.1.

**Proposition 4.2.**  $R\gamma_*: \mathbf{D}^*(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*(S, \mathcal{B}_0)$  is an equivalence for  $* = -, b$ .

*Proof.* Consider functors

$$R\gamma_*: \mathbf{D}^-(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^-(S, \mathcal{B}_0), \quad L\gamma^*: \mathbf{D}^-(S, \mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^-(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}))$$

defined in Appendix A. Firstly, we show  $R\gamma_*$  and  $L\gamma^*$  are inverse functors. Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.3 (3) indicate  $\mathcal{B}_0 \cong R\gamma_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})$ . Then  $R\gamma_* L\gamma^*$  is identity by the projection formula (Proposition A.6).

Conversely, we claim that  $R\gamma_* \mathcal{F} = 0$  implies  $\mathcal{F} = 0$  for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^-(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}))$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}^i$  the  $i$ -th cohomology sheaf. The spectral sequence  $R^i \gamma_* \mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow R^{i+j} \gamma_* \mathcal{F}$  suggests that we can assume  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}))$ . Adopt the notations in Lemma 3.3 (1). In addition, let  $i: Z' \hookrightarrow Z$  be the inclusion and let  $\bar{\pi}: Z' \rightarrow S_2$  be the projection. Since  $\beta|_{Z \setminus Z'}$  is finite,  $R\gamma_* \mathcal{F} = 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is supported on  $Z' = \mathbb{P}_{S_2}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_2)$ . Furthermore, there is an equivalence

$$- \otimes (\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z'})^\vee: \text{Coh}(Z') \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Coh}(Z', \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z'})).$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{F} = i_*(\mathcal{G} \otimes (\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z'})^\vee)$  for some  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(Z')$ . The decomposition (3.5) deduces

$$\mathcal{G} \otimes (\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z'})^\vee = \mathcal{G} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{G}(1) \otimes \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}.$$

Thus,  $R\gamma_*\mathcal{F} = 0$  implies  $R\bar{\pi}'_*\mathcal{G} = 0$  and  $R\bar{\pi}'_*\mathcal{G}(1) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^b(Z')}(\bar{\pi}'^*\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G}) = 0, \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^b(Z')}((\bar{\pi}'^*\mathcal{K})(-1), \mathcal{G}) = 0$$

for any  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbf{D}^b(Z')$ . The semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}^b(Z') = \langle \bar{\pi}'^*\mathbf{D}^b(S_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z'/S}(-1), \bar{\pi}'^*\mathbf{D}^b(S_2) \rangle$$

implies  $\mathcal{G} = 0$  and thus  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ .

For any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^-(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z}))$ , consider the exact triangle

$$L\gamma^*R\gamma_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the cone of the first map. Applying  $R\gamma_*$  to the exact triangle, we get  $R\gamma_*\mathcal{K} = 0$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{K} = 0$  and  $L\gamma^*R\gamma_*$  is also the identity.

The equivalence on  $\mathbf{D}^-$  implies that

$$R\gamma_*: \mathbf{D}^b(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0)$$

is fully faithful. Note  $R\gamma_*L\gamma^* \cong \mathrm{id}$ . The proofs of Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 in [15] suggest that  $R\gamma_*$  is also essentially surjective on  $\mathbf{D}^b$ . Therefore,  $R\gamma_*$  is an equivalence on  $\mathbf{D}^b$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $S$  is an integral noetherian scheme over  $\mathbb{k}$  with  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{k}) \neq 2$ . Assume  $p$  has a smooth section and the second degeneration  $S_2 \neq S$ . Then for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is an  $S$ -linear semiorthogonal decomposition*

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}) = \langle \Psi_{m,n}(\mathbf{D}^b(Z)), p^*\mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(m+1), p^*\mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(m+2) \rangle$$

where  $Z$  is the scheme over  $S$  parametrizing lines in the fibers of  $p$  that intersect the smooth section and

$$\Psi_{m,n}: \mathbf{D}^b(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$$

is a fully faithful functor of Fourier-Mukai type. In addition,  $Z$  is isomorphic to the hyperbolic reduction  $\bar{Q}$  with respect to the smooth section in Theorem 4.1.

More specifically, let  $\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{R}_Z) \subset \mathcal{Q}_Z := \mathcal{Q} \times_S Z$  be the universal family of lines that  $Z$  parametrizes. Then the kernel of  $\Psi_{m,n}$  is

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}_Z/Z}(m)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z}$  is the  $n$ -th spinor sheaf with respect to the isotropic subbundle  $\mathcal{R}_Z$  defined by Definition 2.8.

*Proof.* For simplicity, denote by  $\otimes, g_*, g^*$  the derived tensor product, the derived pull-forward and pull-back functors of a map  $g$ . The isomorphism  $Z \cong \bar{Q}$  has been pointed out by (3.3).

Recall  $\Phi_{m,n}$  from (4.7) and  $\gamma = (\beta, f): (Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}_0)$  from (4.9). Consider the composition

$$\Psi_{m,n}: \mathbf{D}^b(Z) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{-\otimes \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z}} \mathbf{D}^b(Z, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\gamma_* = \beta_*} \mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{m,n}} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}).$$

The first functor is an equivalence because by Lemma 2.7

$$\mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z} \simeq (\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})^\vee \otimes \det(\mathcal{R}_Z) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^3$$

and  $-\otimes (\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}Z})^\vee$  is an equivalence. By Proposition 4.2 the second functor is also an equivalence. Thus,  $\Psi_{m,n}$  is fully faithful and for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(Z)$

$$\Psi_{m,n}(\mathcal{F}) = p^*\beta_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ\mathcal{R}Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{K}_{m,n}.$$

Consider the Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Q} \times_S Z & \xleftarrow{i_Z} & \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \times_S Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_Z} & Z \\ \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{Q}} & & \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{E}} & & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathcal{Q} & \xleftarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

Recall  $p = \pi \circ i$  and denote  $p_Z = \pi_Z \circ i_Z$ . Note  $\pi$  is flat and thus the right Cartesian square is exact. We have

$$\begin{aligned} i_* \Psi_{m,n}(\mathcal{F}) &= \pi^* \beta_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} i_* \mathcal{K}_{m,n} \\ &= \beta_{\mathcal{E}*} \pi_Z^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} i_* \mathcal{K}_{m,n} \\ &= \beta_{\mathcal{E}*}(\pi_Z^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \beta_{\mathcal{E}}^* i_* \mathcal{K}_{m,n}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying  $\pi_Z^* \mathcal{I}_0^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \beta_{\mathcal{E}}^*(-)$  to the sequence (4.8) and using the isomorphism from Lemma 2.4 (1), we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(m-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z} \xrightarrow{\phi_n^\circ} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})/S}(m) \boxtimes \mathcal{I}_n^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z} \rightarrow \pi_Z^*(\mathcal{I}_0^{\circ \mathcal{R}Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} \beta_{\mathcal{E}}^* i_* \mathcal{K}_{m,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

By construction, the last term is  $i_{Z*}(\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}_Z/Z}(m))$ . Denote by

$$\mathcal{K}'_{m,n} = \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{R}Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}_Z/Z}(m).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_* \Psi_{m,n}(\mathcal{F}) &= \beta_{\mathcal{E}*}(\pi_Z^* \mathcal{F} \otimes i_{Z*} \mathcal{K}'_{m,n}) \\ &= i_{Z*} \beta_{\mathcal{E}*}(p_Z^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{K}'_{m,n}) \\ &= i_* \beta_{\mathcal{Q}*}(p_Z^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{K}'_{m,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\Psi_{m,n}$  is a Fourier-Mukai functor with the kernel  $\mathcal{K}'_{m,n}$ .  $\square$

## 5. QUADRIC SURFACE BUNDLES OVER SURFACES

Use the same notations as Section 3. In this section we consider the flat quadric surface bundle  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is smooth and  $S$  is a smooth surface. Let  $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be the associated quadratic form. Recall  $S_k \subset S$  is the  $k$ -th degeneration locus. We will show that the residual category  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is twisted geometric by performing birational transformation to the relative Hilbert scheme of lines following [14].

Recall the relative Hilbert scheme of lines  $\rho: M \rightarrow S$  factors as  $M \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ . By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 5.1, the discriminant cover  $\alpha: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is a double cover ramified along  $S_1$ . When  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has simple degeneration, the Azumaya algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  corresponding to the smooth conic bundle  $\tau: M \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  satisfies  $\alpha_* \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\tilde{S}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$  is twisted geometric. The main idea of the section is to modify  $\tau$  to describe  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  as a twisted derived category. In the first part we will perform birational transformations to  $\tau$  and obtain a new smooth conic bundle  $\tau_+: M^+ \rightarrow S^+$  in Proposition 5.5. In the second part we will prove  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+)$  in Theorem 5.10 where  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is the Azumaya algebra corresponding to  $\tau_+$ .

When both the total space and the base of a quadric bundle (not necessarily a quadric surface bundle) are smooth and the base is a surface, the fibers of the quadric bundle will not be too singular.

**Lemma 5.1** ([6, Proposition 1.2 (iii)]). *Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric bundle where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is smooth and  $S$  is a smooth surface. Then  $S_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $S_1 \subset S$  is a curve with at most a finite number of ordinary double points and the singular locus of  $S_1$  is  $S_2$ .*

Since  $S_3 = \emptyset$ , for any geometric point  $s \in S_2$  the geometric fiber  $M_s = \Sigma_s^+ \cup \Sigma_s^-$  is the union of two planes  $\Sigma_s^\pm = \mathbb{P}^2$  intersecting at a point. Denote by  $m_s = \Sigma_s^+ \cap \Sigma_s^-$  the intersection point. The geometry of  $M$  is described below.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is smooth and  $S$  is a smooth surface. Then the relative Hilbert scheme of lines  $M$  has at most a finite number of ordinary double points. More specifically, when  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed, the singular locus of  $M$  is  $\{m_s\}_{s \in S_2}$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume the base field  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed. By Lemma 5.1  $S_2$  is a finite set of points and thus so is  $\{m_s\}_{s \in S_2}$ . For a point  $x \in S$ , denote by  $K_x \subset \mathcal{E}_x$  the kernel of the quadratic form  $q_x$  over the residue field of the point  $x$ . A point of  $M$  is represented by  $(x, K)$  where  $x$  is a point of  $S$  and  $K$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_x$ . Proposition 2.1 in [14] implies  $M$  is smooth at  $(x, K)$  if  $\dim(K \cap K_x) \leq 1$ . Hence,  $M$  is smooth away from  $\{m_s\}_{s \in S_2}$ .

For the singularity of  $M$ , one can replace  $S$  by  $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s})$  where  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s} \cong \mathbb{k}[[t_1, t_2]]$  is the formal completion at a point  $s$  on  $S_2$ . Note all units in  $\mathbb{k}[[t_1, t_2]]$  are squares. By Corollary 3.3 in [5] the quadratic form can be written as

$$q = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$$

where  $a, b, c$  are contained in the ideal  $(t_1, t_2)$ . Recall that  $M \subset S \times \text{Gr}(2, 4)$  is the zero locus of the section  $s_M$  (3.1). One can see Section 3.3 in [9] for the explicit correspondence. Denote the variables of  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$  by  $\{y_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4}$  and assume the singular point of  $M$  is  $(0, [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0])$ . Consider the open neighborhood  $U = S \times \{y_{12} = 1\}$  of the singular point. We have  $M \cap U \subset U$  is defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} y_{23}^2 + y_{24}^2 = -2a \\ y_{13}y_{23} + y_{14}y_{24} = b \\ y_{13}^2 + y_{14}^2 = -2c \end{cases} .$$

By Lemma 5.1  $S_1 = \{\det(b_q) = 4ac - b^2 = 0\}$  has ordinary double point at  $0 \in S$ . The degree 2 term of  $\det(b_q)$  is  $4l_a l_c - l_b^2$  where  $l_a, l_b, l_c$  are the linear terms of  $a, b, c$ , respectively. Let  $\Delta$  be the discriminant of  $4l_a l_c - l_b^2$ . Then  $\Delta \neq 0$  implies  $l_a, l_b, l_c$  are not proportional. One can assume  $a = t_1, c = t_2$  and  $l_b = \lambda t_1 + \mu t_2$  for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{k}$ . Then  $\Delta = 16(1 - \lambda\mu) \neq 0$  and the equations (5.1) reduce to

$$(5.2) \quad \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2} y_{23}^2 + y_{13}y_{23} + \frac{\mu}{2} y_{13}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} y_{24}^2 + y_{14}y_{24} + \frac{\mu}{2} y_{14}^2 + \epsilon = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^4$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a sum of degree  $\geq 3$  terms in  $y_{13}, y_{23}, y_{14}, y_{24}$ . Since  $1 - \lambda\mu \neq 0$ , the discriminants of

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} y_{2i}^2 + y_{1i}y_{2i} + \frac{\mu}{2} y_{1i}^2, \quad i = 3, 4$$

are non-zero. Hence, the degree 2 term of (5.2) has full rank and  $M$  has ordinary double point at the singular point.  $\square$

We will construct the minimal resolution  $\widetilde{M}$  of the nodal 3-fold  $M$ . This is obtained by blowing-up  $M$  along  $\bigsqcup_{s \in S_2} \Sigma_s^+$  (or alternatively  $\bigsqcup_{s \in S_2} \Sigma_s^-$ ). Lemma 5.3 and Example 5.4 serve as preparations for this step.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed and assume the assumptions of Lemma 5.2. Denote by  $M^\circ = M - \{m_s\}_{s \in S_2}$  the smooth locus of  $M$ ,  $\Sigma = \Sigma_s^\pm$  and  $\Sigma^\circ = \Sigma - \{m_s\}$ .*

(1) *The normal bundle  $N_{\Sigma^\circ/M^\circ} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-2)$ .*

(2)  *$\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-K_M) \cong \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) There is an exact sequence of normal bundles

$$0 \rightarrow N_{\Sigma^\circ/M^\circ} \rightarrow N_{\Sigma^\circ/\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})} \rightarrow (N_{M^\circ/\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})})|_{\Sigma^\circ} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, one has

$$N_{\Sigma^\circ/\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})} \cong N_{\Sigma^\circ/\text{Gr}(2, 4)} \oplus T_s S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ} \cong \mathcal{R}^\vee|_{\Sigma^\circ} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}^2, \quad \det(N_{\Sigma^\circ/\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(1)$$

where  $T_s S$  is the tangent space of  $S$  at  $s$  and by (3.1)

$$N_{M^\circ/\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})} \cong \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{R}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}, \quad \det((N_{M^\circ/\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})})|_{\Sigma^\circ}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(3).$$

Hence,  $N_{\Sigma^\circ/M^\circ} \cong \det(N_{\Sigma^\circ/M^\circ}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-2)$ .

(2) By (1) one has  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-2)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-K_M) &= \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-K_M - \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(\Sigma) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-K_\Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(-2) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\circ}(1). \end{aligned}$$



Since  $M$  is Gorenstein,  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-K_M)$  is a line bundle and the isomorphism extends to  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.4.** Let  $X = \{xy - zw = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be the nodal quadric 3-fold. Let  $\varphi: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be the projection from the cone to the base. Denote by  $\Sigma_t = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{t\}) = \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $Y$  be the minimal resolution of  $X$ . Then  $Y \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $Y = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_t} X$  is the blow up of  $X$  along  $\Sigma_t$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Fix a point  $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$  and let  $\psi: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be the linear projection from  $\Sigma_0$ . The resolution of the indeterminacy of  $\psi$  gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is the blow-up of  $X$  along  $\Sigma_0$ . For any  $t$  the pre-image  $\tilde{\Sigma}_t = f^{-1}(\Sigma_t)$  is the Hirzebruch surface  $\text{Bl}_O(\Sigma_t)$  where  $O \in X$  is the node. Let  $H$  and  $h$  be the pull-backs of hyperplane classes of  $X$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to  $Y$ , respectively. Then we have the relation  $\tilde{\Sigma}_t = H - h$ . Let  $l = \mathbb{P}^1$  be the exceptional locus of  $f$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_l(\tilde{\Sigma}_t) = \mathcal{O}_l(H - h) = \mathcal{O}_l(-1)$ .

Assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is smooth and  $S$  is a smooth surface. We have  $S_2$  embeds into the double cover  $\tilde{S}$  and  $M_s = \Sigma_s^+ \cup \Sigma_s^-$  is also the scheme-theoretic fiber of  $\tau: M \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  for  $s \in S_2$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{S}$  has ordinary double points at the set of points  $S_2$ .

Recall  $m_s = \Sigma_s^+ \cap \Sigma_s^-$  and  $M^\circ = M - \{m_s\}_{s \in S_2}$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $M$  has a finite number of ordinary double points  $\{m_s\}_{s \in S_2}$ . That is, near the point  $m_s$ , the 3-fold  $M$  is the nodal quadric. Since  $\Sigma_s^\pm$  intersect at one point, they are the planes lie over the same rulings of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let

$$\xi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$$

be the blow-up of  $M$  along  $\bigsqcup_{s \in S_2} \Sigma_s^\pm$ . Then  $\tilde{M}$  is a small resolution of  $M$  and  $\xi^{-1}(M^\circ) \rightarrow M^\circ$  is an isomorphism.

Denote by  $l_s = \xi^{-1}(m_s) = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $* = \pm$ . Example 5.4 tells us that

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_s^* := \xi^{-1}(\Sigma_s^*) \cong \text{Bl}_{m_s} \Sigma_s^*$$

and  $l_s$  is the  $(-1)$ -curve on  $\text{Bl}_{m_s} \Sigma_s^*$ . Denote the fiber classes of the projection  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^* \rightarrow l_s$  by  $h_s^*$ . Then

$$h_s^\pm \cdot l = 1, \quad l_s^2 = -1, \quad (h_s^\pm)^2 = 0$$

on  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*$ . In addition,  $\xi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_s^*}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*}(h_s^* + l_s)$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *Assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ .*

(1) *There exists a relative contraction map  $\xi_+: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M^+$  over  $\tilde{S}$  where  $\xi_+$  is an isomorphism on  $\tilde{M} - \bigsqcup_{s \in S_2} \tilde{\Sigma}_s^+$  and  $\xi_+|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}$  is the projection onto  $l_s$ . Namely, we have birational morphisms*

$$M \xleftarrow{\xi} \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\xi_+} M^+$$

over  $\tilde{S}$  and the corresponding fibers over  $s \in S_2 \subset \tilde{S}$  are

$$\Sigma_s^+ \cup \Sigma_s^- \leftarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_s^+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_s^- \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_s^-.$$

Furthermore,  $M^+$  is smooth and  $\xi_+$  is the blow-up of  $M^+$  along  $\bigsqcup_{s \in S_2} l_s$ .

(2) *Let  $\eta: S^+ = \text{Bl}_{S_2} \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  be the resolution of  $\tilde{S}$ . Then the map  $\tau': M^+ \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  constructed from (1) fits into the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{M} & \\ \xi \swarrow & & \searrow \xi_+ \\ M & & M^+ \\ \tau \downarrow & \tau' \nearrow & \downarrow \tau_+ \\ \tilde{S} & \xleftarrow{\eta} & S^+ \end{array}$$

and  $\tau_+$  is a smooth conic bundle.

*Proof.* Denote by  $\tilde{S}^\circ = \tilde{S} \setminus S_2$ .

(1) By Lemma 5.3 (1)  $N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*/\tilde{M}} = \mathcal{O}(-2h_s^* + nl_s)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $* = \pm$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_l(\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*) \cong \mathcal{O}_{l_s}(-1)$ , we have  $n = -1$  and

$$(5.3) \quad N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*/\tilde{M}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*}(\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*}(-2h_s^* - l_s), \quad * = \pm.$$

Let

$$(5.4) \quad D = -K_{\tilde{M}} - \sum_{s \in S_2} \tilde{\Sigma}_s^- = -\xi^* K_{\tilde{M}} - \sum_{s \in S_2} \tilde{\Sigma}_s^-.$$

Denote by  $\tilde{\tau} = \tau \circ \xi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ . We claim  $D$  is  $\tilde{\tau}$ -nef and  $D - K_{\tilde{M}}$  is  $\tilde{\tau}$ -ample.

Note  $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \cong \tau^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \rightarrow \tilde{S}^\circ$  is a smooth conic bundle and  $D|_{\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ)} = -K_{\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ)}$  is relative ample. It suffices to study  $D$  and  $D - K_{\tilde{M}}$  on  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_s^-$ . The relative nefness and ampleness can be checked on curve classes  $h_s^\pm$  and  $l_s$ . By Lemma 5.3 (2)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*}(-K_{\tilde{M}}) \cong \xi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_s^*}(-K_M)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*}(h_s^* + l_s), \quad * = \pm.$$

Hence,  $(-K_{\tilde{M}}) \cdot h_s^\pm = 1$ ,  $(-K_{\tilde{M}}) \cdot l_s = 0$  and

$$(5.5) \quad D \cdot h_s^+ = 0, \quad D \cdot h_s^- = 2, \quad D \cdot l_s = 1.$$

Then  $D$  is relative nef and by Kleiman's ampleness criterion (Theorem 1.44 in [11])  $D - K_{\tilde{M}}$  is relative ample. By relative basepoint-free theorem (Theorem 3.24 in [11] and this is where  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k})=0$  is used)  $mD$  is  $\tilde{\tau}$ -free for  $m \gg 0$ . We can construct  $\xi_+: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M^+$  by taking the Stein factorization of  $|mD|$ ,  $m \gg 0$ . Equations (5.5) suggest that  $\xi_+$  has the required properties. Note by (5.3)  $N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*/\tilde{M}}|_{h_s^+} = \mathcal{O}_{h_s^+}(-1)$ . We have  $M^+$  is smooth and  $\xi_+$  is the blow-up by [8] or [2, Theorem 2.3].

(2) Note  $M^+$  is a smooth 3-fold. The fiber of  $\tau': M^+ \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  over  $s \in S_2$  is a Cartier divisor  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-$  of  $M^+$ . The universal property of blowing up suggests that  $\tau'$  factors as  $M^+ \xrightarrow{\tau_+} S^+ \xrightarrow{\eta} \tilde{S}$ . By construction  $\eta^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \cong \tilde{S}^\circ$  and  $\tau_+^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \rightarrow \tilde{S}^\circ$  is isomorphic to  $\tau^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \rightarrow \tilde{S}^\circ$ . We claim  $\tau_+|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}: \tilde{\Sigma}_s^- \rightarrow \eta^{-1}(s)$  is the projection from the Hirzebruch surface onto  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Note  $\xi_+^{-1}(\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-) = \tilde{\Sigma}_s^+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_s^-$  and  $\xi_+^{-1}|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}$  is an isomorphism. Then by (5.3)

$$(5.6) \quad N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-/M^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M^+}(\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+ + \tilde{\Sigma}_s^-)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-2h_s^-).$$

By construction of  $\tau_+$  the pull-back of  $\mathcal{O}_{\eta^{-1}(s)}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$  is the conormal bundle  $N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-/M^+}^\vee$ . Thus, the pull-back of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(h_s^-)$  and  $\tau_+|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}$  is the projection.

Lastly, we show  $\tau_+$  is a smooth conic bundle. Recall a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth conic bundle if each geometric fiber  $X_y$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and there exists a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  such that  $L|_{X_y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ . We have seen the geometric fibers of  $\tau_+$  are  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's. Now we will show that there is a line bundle  $L$  on  $M^+$  such that  $\xi_+^* L \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(D)$  with  $D$  defined by (5.4) and  $L$  makes  $\tau_+$  a smooth conic bundle.

Since  $\xi_+$  is a smooth blow-up from (1),  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(D)$  is the pull-back of a line bundle  $L$  on  $M^+$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(D)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}$  is the pull-back of a line bundle on  $l_s$ . Computations in (1) give

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(h_s^+) = \xi_+^{s*} \mathcal{O}_{l_s}(1), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(3h_s^- + 2l_s).$$

Therefore, such  $L$  exists and write  $L = \mathcal{O}_{M^+}(D^+)$ . Note  $\mathcal{O}_M(-K_M)$  restricted on  $\tau^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ)$  makes  $\tau^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \rightarrow \tilde{S}^\circ$  a smooth conic bundle. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{M^+}(D^+)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_M(-K_M)$  restricted on  $\tau_+^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ) \cong \tau^{-1}(\tilde{S}^\circ)$  are isomorphic and

$$D^+ \cdot h_s^- = D \cdot h_s^- = 2,$$

$\mathcal{O}_{M^+}(D^+)$  makes  $\tau_+$  a smooth conic bundle.  $\square$

For the rest of the section we will show that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+)$  where  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is Brauer equivalent to the Azumaya algebra corresponding to  $\tau_+ : M^+ \rightarrow S^+$ . Recall  $\mathcal{R}$  is the universal subbundle on  $\text{Gr}_S(2, \mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_M$  be the restriction of  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $M$ . Recall the associated left Clifford ideals  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  defined by Definition 2.3.

**Lemma 5.6.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $* = \pm$  we have  $(\xi^* \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M})|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^*}(-h_s^* - l_s)$ .*

*Proof.* As with the filtration (3.6), for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  one has the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{R}_M) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2m}^{\mathcal{R}_M} \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-2} \rightarrow 0$$

and  $\mathcal{I}_{2m+1}^{\mathcal{R}_M} \cong \det(\mathcal{R}_M) \otimes \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{R}_M \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-1}$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we get  $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M}|_{\Sigma_s^*} \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7.** *There exist rank 2 vector bundles  $\mathcal{J}_n$  on  $M^+$  that fit into short exact sequences*

$$(5.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \xi_+^* \mathcal{J}_n \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S_2} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(5.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \xi^* (\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M})^\vee \rightarrow \xi_+^* (\mathcal{J}_n)^\vee \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S_2} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.6  $(\xi^* \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M})|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-h_s^+ - l_s)$ . We can construct a surjection

$$\xi^* \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M} \rightarrow (\xi^* \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathcal{R}_M})|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S_2} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s)$$

and denote its kernel by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Restricting this short exact sequence on  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+$ , one has

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s) \otimes N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+/\tilde{M}}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+/\tilde{M}}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(2h_s^+ + l_s)$  by (5.3), we have

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{F}|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(h_s^+)$$

and this is the pull-back of  $\mathcal{O}_{l_s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{l_s}(1)$ . Since  $\xi_+ : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M^+$  is a smooth blow-up along  $\bigsqcup l_s$  with exceptional locus  $\bigsqcup \tilde{\Sigma}_s^+$  by Proposition 5.5 (1), we deduce  $\mathcal{F} \cong \xi_+^* \mathcal{J}_n$  for some rank 2 bundle  $\mathcal{J}_n$  on  $M^+$ . This gives (5.7). Since

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}) \cong R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s), N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+/\tilde{M}}[-1]) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s)[-1],$$

we get (5.8) by taking the dual of (5.7).  $\square$

Proposition 5.5 (2) suggests that we have commutative diagrams

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{M} & & \\ & \xi \swarrow & & \searrow \xi_+ & \\ M & & & & M^+ \\ & \rho \searrow & & \swarrow \rho_+ & \\ & & S & & \\ \tau \downarrow & \nearrow \alpha & & \nwarrow \alpha_+ & \downarrow \tau_+ \\ \tilde{S} & \longleftarrow \eta & & \longrightarrow & S^+ \end{array}$$

**Lemma 5.8.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

- (1)  $R\rho_* \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M} = 0$  and  $R\rho_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M}) \cong \mathcal{B}_0$  as sheaves of algebras;
- (2)  $R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{J}_n = 0$  and  $R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_n) \cong \mathcal{B}_0$  as sheaves of algebras.

*Proof.* (1) In [14, §3] the right  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is constructed as the cokernel of  $\mathcal{R}_M \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n$ . Comparing it with Lemma 2.5, one has  $\mathfrak{S}_n \cong \mathcal{I}_n^{\circ \mathcal{R}_M} \otimes \det(\mathcal{R}_M^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ . By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}_M} \cong \mathfrak{S}_{-n}^\vee \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2.$$

Then Corollary 3.5, 3.6 in *loc. cit.* give (1).

(2) For simplicity we write  $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathcal{R}M}$ . Observe from (5.10) that  $\rho_+ \xi_+ = \rho \xi$  restricted to  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+$  is the map  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+ \rightarrow s = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k})$ . We first recall some results on the blow-up  $X$  of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at a point that are needed for the proof. The blow-up  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a divisor  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_X(a, b)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a, b)$  to  $X$ . Then one easily sees from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a-1, b-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(a, b) \rightarrow 0$$

that  $H^\bullet(X, \mathcal{O}_X(a, b)) = 0$  for  $a = -1$  or  $(a, b) = (0, -1)$ . Observe also that

$$R(\rho_+)_* = R(\rho_+)_* R(\xi_+)_* L\xi_+^* = R\rho_* R\xi_* L\xi_+^*.$$

Applying  $R\rho_* R\xi_*$  to (5.7), we have the last term vanishes and thus  $R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{J}_n \cong R\rho_* \mathcal{I}_n = 0$ .

We have seen from Lemma 5.6 and (5.9) that

$$(\xi_+^* \mathcal{J}_n)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(h_s^+), \quad (\xi^* \mathcal{I}_n)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s).$$

Tensoring (5.7) with  $\xi_+^*(\mathcal{J}_n)^\vee$  and (5.8) with  $\xi^* \mathcal{I}_n$ , respectively, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \xi_+^* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_n) \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{I}_n \otimes \xi_+^*(\mathcal{J}_n)^\vee \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S_2} (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+ - l_s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-2h_s^+ - l_s)) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n) \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{I}_n \otimes \xi_+^*(\mathcal{J}_n)^\vee \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S_2} (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-h_s^+) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^+}(-2h_s^+ - l_s)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $R(\rho_+)_* R(\xi_+)_* = R\rho_* R\xi_*$  to above, we have last terms in both sequences vanish. Then

$$R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_n) \cong R\rho_* R\xi_*(\xi^* \mathcal{I}_n \otimes \xi_+^*(\mathcal{J}_n)^\vee) \cong R\rho_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{I}_n) \cong \mathcal{B}_0.$$

□

**Proposition 5.9.** (1) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\mathcal{J}_n|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - l_s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-l_s)$ .

(2) Let  $\mathcal{B}^+$  be the Azumaya algebra on  $S^+$  that corresponds to the smooth conic bundle  $\tau_+ : M^+ \rightarrow S^+$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_0) \cong \tau_+^* \mathcal{A}^+$  for some Azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{A}^+$  on  $S^+$  that is Brauer equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}^+$ .

(3)  $R(\alpha_+)_* \mathcal{A}^+ \cong \mathcal{B}_0$  as sheaves of algebras.

*Proof.* (1) Restricting (5.7) to  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-$  and using Lemma 5.6, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_n|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - l_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{l_s} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{J}_n|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - l_s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-l_s)$  or  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - 2l_s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}$ . We will show the latter is impossible.

Let  $s \in S_2 \subset S$ . By Lemma 5.8 (2)

$$0 = \text{Ext}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_s, R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{J}_n) \cong \text{Ext}^\bullet(L\rho_+^* \mathcal{O}_s, \mathcal{J}_n).$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}^i = \mathcal{H}^i(L\rho_+^* \mathcal{O}_s)$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^i = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^0 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}$ . Consider the spectral sequence

$$\text{Ext}^j(\mathcal{H}^i, \mathcal{J}_n) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{j-i}(L\rho_+^* \mathcal{O}_s, \mathcal{J}_n) = 0.$$

By Serre duality on  $M^+$  we get

$$\text{Ext}^j(\mathcal{H}^i, \mathcal{J}_n) \cong \text{Ext}^{3-j}(\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{H}^i \otimes \omega_{M^+})^\vee \cong H^{3-j}(M^+, \mathcal{J}_n^\vee \otimes \mathcal{H}^i \otimes \omega_{M^+})^\vee$$

where  $\omega_{M^+}$  is the canonical line bundle. By (5.6)

$$\omega_{M^+}|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \otimes N_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-/M^+}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - 2l_s).$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}^i$  is supported on  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-$ , the right hand side is 0 if  $j \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Thus, the line  $j = 2$  is stable in the spectral sequence. If  $\mathcal{J}_n|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - 2l_s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{H}^0, \mathcal{J}_n) &\cong H^1(M^+, (\mathcal{J}_n^\vee)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \otimes \omega_{M^+})^\vee \\ &\cong H^1(M^+, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^- - 2l_s))^\vee \cong \mathbb{k}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\text{Ext}^2(L\rho_+^* \mathcal{O}_s, \mathcal{J}_n) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

(2) We first make an observation. If there are rank 2 vector bundles  $\mathcal{F}_i, i = 1, 2$  on  $M^+$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_i$  restricted to each geometric fiber  $M_t^+, t \in S^+$  of  $\tau_+$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_i)$  and

$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  restricted to  $M_t^+$  are trivial. Thus, there are Azumaya algebras  $\mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, 2$  and a vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $S^+$  such that  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_i) = \tau_+^* \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) = \tau_+^* \mathcal{V}$ . Since

$$\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_1^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_2) \cong \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)),$$

one has

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \cong \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V}).$$

That is,  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$  are Brauer equivalent.

On the other hand,  $\tau_+^* \mathcal{B}^+$  is trivial, i.e., the endomorphism of some rank 2 vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M^+$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{M^+/S^+}(1)|_{M_t^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ , one can choose  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{M_t^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2$ . We claim  $\mathcal{J}_n$  is such rank 2 vector bundle for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By Lemma 5.8 (2)  $R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{J}_n = 0$ . Since  $\alpha_+ : S^+ \rightarrow S$  restricted to  $S^+ \setminus \bigsqcup l_s$  is finite, we have  $R(\tau_+)_* \mathcal{J}_n = 0$  and  $\mathcal{J}_n|_{M_t^+} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2$  for any  $t \in S^+ \setminus \bigsqcup l_s$ . By (1)  $\mathcal{J}_n$  restricted to the fibers of  $\tilde{\Sigma}_s^- \rightarrow l_s$  is also  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2$ . By the previous discussion  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_0) \cong \tau_+^* \mathcal{A}^+$  for some Azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{A}^+$  on  $S^+$  that is Brauer equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}^+$ .

(3) Observe from (5.10) that

$$R(\alpha_+)_* \cong R(\alpha_+)_* R(\tau_+)_* L\tau_+^* \cong R(\rho_+)_* L\tau_+^*.$$

Then by (2) and Lemma 5.8 (2)

$$R(\alpha_+)_* \mathcal{A}^+ \cong R(\rho_+)_* \tau_+^* \mathcal{A}^+ \cong R(\rho_+)_* \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_0) \cong \mathcal{B}_0.$$

□

**Theorem 5.10.** *Assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ . Let  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  be a flat quadric surface bundle where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is smooth and  $S$  is a smooth surface over  $\mathbb{k}$ . Then there is an  $S$ -linear semiorthogonal decomposition*

$$(5.11) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q}) = \langle \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+), p^* \mathbf{D}^b(S), p^* \mathbf{D}^b(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}/S}(1) \rangle$$

where  $S^+$  is the resolution of the double cover  $\tilde{S}$  over  $S$  ramified along the (first) degeneration locus  $S_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is an Azumaya algebra on  $S^+$ . In addition, the Brauer class  $[\mathcal{A}^+] \in \text{Br}(S^+)$  is trivial if and only if  $p: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S$  has a rational section.

*Proof.* Recall by (4.6) the non-trivial component of  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{Q})$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0)$ . To get (5.11) it suffices to show that  $R(\alpha_+)_* : \mathbf{D}^b(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{B}_0)$  is an equivalence. The proof of this is similar to Proposition 4.2. By Proposition 5.9 (3) and the projection formula (Proposition A.6)  $R(\alpha_+)_* L\alpha_+^* = \text{id}$ . On the other hand, since  $S^+ \setminus \bigsqcup l_s \cong \tilde{S} \setminus S_2 \rightarrow S \setminus S_2$  is finite,  $R(\alpha_+)_* \mathcal{F} = 0$  for  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(S^+, \mathcal{A}^+)$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is supported on  $\bigsqcup l_s$ . By Proposition 5.9 (1)(2)

$$\tau_+^*(\mathcal{A}^+|_{l_s}) \cong \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{J}_0)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \cong \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s^-}(-h_s^-)) \cong \tau_+^*(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{O}_{l_s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{l_s}(-1))).$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{A}^+|_{l_s} \cong \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{O}_{l_s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{l_s}(-1))$ . Now the proof in Proposition 4.2 shows that  $\mathcal{F} = 0$  and  $L\alpha_+^* R(\alpha_+)_* = \text{id}$ .

Let  $U = S \setminus S_2$ . Since  $S^+$  is smooth and integral, the composition  $\text{Br}(S^+) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\alpha_+^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{k}(S^+))$  is injective. Thus, the restriction  $\text{Br}(S^+) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\alpha_+^{-1}(U))$  is injective. Observe from (5.10) that  $\rho^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(U)$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_+^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \alpha_+^{-1}(U)$ . By Proposition 2.15 in [17]  $[\mathcal{A}^+] = 0$  if and only if  $p$  has a rational smooth (i.e., non-degenerate) section. Lastly, since  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $S$  are smooth, by Lemma 1.3.2 in [3] any section of  $p$  is smooth. □

## 6. EXAMPLES

In this section we apply the main theorems to examples and the most important family of examples is the complete intersections of quadrics.

**Example 6.1** ([21]). Let  $X_m \subset \mathbb{P}^6, m = 1, 2, 3$  be the nodal quintic del Pezzo 3-folds with  $k$  nodes. Let  $x \in X_m$  be a node. Then the embedded projective tangent space  $T_x X_m \cong \mathbb{P}^4$  and  $f_m : Y_m = \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}^4 \cap X_m} X_m \rightarrow X_m$  is the (partial) minimal resolution of  $X_m$  at the nodal point  $x$ . The linear projection  $X_m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  from  $T_x X_m$  induces  $p_m : Y_m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . We have  $p_m$  is a quadric

surface bundle where  $p_1, p_2$  have simple degeneration and  $p_3$  has a fiber of corank 2. In addition, the exceptional locus  $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  of  $f_m$  is a smooth section of  $p_m$ . The hyperbolic reduction  $C_k$  with respect to the smooth section is a nodal chain of  $k$   $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's. Hence, the residual category of  $Y_m$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{D}^b(C_k)$  by Theorem 4.1 or 4.3.

**Example 6.2** ([19]). Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  be a smooth cubic 4-fold containing a plane and let  $Y = \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}^2} X$  be the blow-up of  $X$  along the plane. The linear projection  $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  from the plane induces  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  where  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is a quadric surface bundle possibly with fibers of corank 2. The Kuznetsov component of  $X$  is equivalent to the residual category of  $Y$  and by Theorem 5.10 the residual category of  $Y$  is equivalent to the twisted derived category of a smooth K3 surface. This K3 surface is obtained as the resolution of the double cover over  $\mathbb{P}^2$  ramified along a nodal sextic curve.

In [19] the equivalence of the Kuznetsov component to the twisted K3 surface is obtained by realizing  $X$  as a hyperplane section of a smooth cubic 5-fold containing the plane and applying the result of quadric surface bundles over threefolds in [14]. Section 5 in this paper provides a more direct proof.

Now we consider applications to complete intersections of quadrics. Let  $X_{2^{k+1}}^n = \bigcap_{i=0}^k Q_i^{n+k} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+k+1}$  be the complete intersection of  $k+1$  quadrics  $Q_i^{n+k} = \{q_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+k+1}, 0 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $p^{n,k}: \mathcal{Q}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  be the corresponding net of quadrics. That is, the fiber over  $[a_0 : \dots : a_k] \in \mathbb{P}^k$  is  $\{\sum_{i=0}^k a_i q_i = 0\}$ . Then  $\dim(X_{2^{k+1}}^n) = n$  and  $p^{n,k}$  is a flat quadric bundle of relative dimension  $n+k$  whose associated quadratic form is  $q^{n,k}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}^{n+k+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(1)$ . When  $X_{2^{k+1}}^n$  is Fano or Calabi-Yau, i.e.,  $n \geq k$ , Theorem 5.5 in [12] states that there is a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(X_{2^{k+1}}^n) = \langle \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^k, \mathcal{B}_0^{n,k}), \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-k) \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_0^{n,k}$  is the even Clifford algebra of  $p^{n,k}: \mathcal{Q}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Assume  $n \geq k, n+k = 2m+2$  and the smooth locus of  $X_{2^{k+1}}^n$  contains a  $\mathbb{P}^m$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^k \subset \mathcal{Q}^{2m+2}$  is a smooth  $m$ -section of  $p^{n,k}: \mathcal{Q}^{2m+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  in the sense of Definition 2.1 and there is a semiorthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X_{2^{k+1}}^n) = \langle \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}), \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-k) \rangle$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the hyperbolic reduction of  $p^{n,k}$  with respect to the smooth  $m$ -section constructed by Definition 2.2.

*Proof.* Choose some hyperplane  $\mathbb{P}(W_{m-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^m = \mathbb{P}(W_m)$ . Let  $p': \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  be the hyperbolic reduction of  $p^{n,k}$  with respect to the smooth  $(m-1)$ -section  $\mathbb{P}(W_{m-1}) \times \mathbb{P}^k \subset \mathcal{Q}^{2m+2}$ . Then  $p'$  is a flat quadric surface bundle with a smooth section given by the projectivization of  $(W_m/W_{m-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}$ . Proposition 1.1 (3) in [16] deduces  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^k, \mathcal{B}_0^{n,k}) \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^k, \mathcal{B}'_0)$  where  $\mathcal{B}'_0$  is the even Clifford algebra of  $p'$ . Then  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^k, \mathcal{B}'_0) \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})$  by Theorem 4.1 or 4.3 and we have the semiorthogonal decomposition by (6.1).  $\square$

**Remark 6.4.** Note that Proposition 1.1 (3) in [16] only applies to flat quadric bundles while  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  is not flat when  $p^{n,k}: \mathcal{Q}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  has fibers of corank 2. This is why the proof has to go through the middle hyperbolic reduction  $p': \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ .

For smooth complete intersections of three quadrics we have better results.

**Proposition 6.5.** *Assume  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed with  $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ . Let  $Y^{2m}$  be the smooth complete intersection of three quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^{2m+3}$ . For  $m \geq 6$  assume  $Y^{2m}$  contains a  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  (This is automatically satisfied for  $1 \leq m \leq 5$ ). Then we have a semiorthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(Y^{2m}) = \langle \mathbf{D}^b(S^{2m}, \mathcal{A}^{2m}), \mathcal{O}_{Y^{2m}}(1), \mathcal{O}_{Y^{2m}}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{Y^{2m}}(2m-2) \rangle$$

where  $S^{2m}$  is the resolution of the double cover over  $\mathbb{P}^2$  ramified along a nodal curve of degree  $2m+4$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{2m}$  is an Azumaya algebra on  $S^{2m}$ . Moreover,  $Y^{2m}, m \geq 3$  is rational and  $Y^4$  is rational when  $0 = [\mathcal{A}^4] \in \text{Br}(S^4)$ .

*Proof.* First we claim that  $Y^{2m}$  contains a  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq 5$ . The Hilbert scheme  $F_{m-1}$  of projective  $(m-1)$ -spaces of a quadric hypersurface of dimension  $2m+2$  is the zero locus of a section in  $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}(m, 2m+4), \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{R}_m)$  where  $\mathcal{R}_m$  is the universal subbundle on  $\mathrm{Gr}(m, 2m+4)$ . Thus,  $F_{m-1} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(m, 2m+4)$  has codimension at most  $m(m+1)/2$ . Since  $3m(m+1)/2 \leq \dim \mathrm{Gr}(m, 2m+4)$  when  $1 \leq m \leq 5$ ,  $Y^{2m}$  contains a  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  in these cases.

In previous notations we have  $Y^{2m} = X_{23}^{2m}$ . Let  $p': \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  be the hyperbolic reduction of  $p^{2m,2}: \mathcal{Q}^{2m+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  with respect to the smooth  $(m-1)$ -section  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathcal{Q}^{2m+2}$ . Then  $p'$  is a flat quadric surface bundle. Proposition 1.1 (3) in [16] deduces the Morita equivalence  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_0^{2m,2}) \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{B}'_0)$  where  $\mathcal{B}'_0$  is the even Clifford algebra of  $p'$ . Since  $Y^{2m}$  is smooth, so is  $\mathcal{Q}^{2m+2}$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}'$  is also smooth by the Morita equivalence. The (first) degeneration locus of  $q^{2m,2}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{2m+4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$  is a curve of degree  $2m+4$  and the degeneration locus is preserved under hyperbolic reduction. By Lemma 5.1 the curve is nodal with fibers over the nodal points having corank 2. Then  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{B}'_0) \simeq \mathbf{D}^b(S^{2m}, \mathcal{A}^{2m})$  by Theorem 5.10 and  $[\mathcal{A}^{2m}] \in \mathrm{Br}(S^{2m})$  is trivial if and only if  $p'$  has a rational section. We get the semiorthogonal decomposition from (6.1).

Lastly, by Example 1.4.4 in [6]  $Y^{2m}$ ,  $m \geq 2$  is birational to the hyperbolic reduction  $\mathcal{Q}_l$  of  $p^{2m,2}$  with respect to a smooth 1-section  $l \times \mathbb{P}^2$  where  $l = \mathbb{P}^1$  is a line in  $Y^{2m}$ . Since it is assumed that  $Y_{2m}$  contains a  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_l \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  has a section for  $m \geq 3$ . Moreover, when  $m = 2$  and  $[\mathcal{A}^4] = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_l \cong \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  has a rational section. Then  $\mathcal{Q}_l$  is rational and so is  $Y^{2m}$  under the assumptions.  $\square$

**Remark 6.6.** We expect for any flat quadric bundle of relative even dimension with simple degeneration generically and fibers of corank at most 2, the residual category is equivalent to a twisted derived category. If it is true, we do not need to apply hyperbolic reduction to reduce to the quadric surface bundle case and thus the assumption that  $Y^{2m}$  contains a  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  can be removed for getting the semiorthogonal decomposition.

## APPENDIX A. NON-COMMUTATIVE SCHEMES

In the appendix we give an overview of the derived categories of non-commutative schemes in the sense of Definition A.1 generalizing Appendix D in [18] and [21, §2.2]. We will discuss the relations among  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{QCoh}}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh})$ ,  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Coh})$  and prove the projection formula (Proposition A.6).

**Definition A.1.** A pair  $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  is a *non-commutative scheme* if  $X$  is a noetherian scheme,  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras and a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. A morphism

$$H = (h, h_{\mathcal{A}}): (X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$$

of non-commutative schemes consists of a morphism  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes and a homomorphism  $h_{\mathcal{A}}: h^* \mathcal{A}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras.

Denote by

- $\mathcal{A}_X^{\mathrm{op}}$  the opposite algebra of  $\mathcal{A}_X$ ,
- $\mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  the category of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules,
- $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  the category of quasi-coherent sheaves of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules,
- $\mathrm{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  the category of coherent sheaves of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules.

Further denote by

- $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}^-, \mathbf{D}^b$  the unbounded, bounded above and bounded derived categories,
- $\mathbf{D}^*(X, \mathcal{A}_X) = \mathbf{D}^*(\mathrm{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X))$  for  $*$  =  $\emptyset, -, b$ ,
- $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{QCoh}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ ) the unbounded derived category of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules with quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) cohomologies.

There are pairs of adjoint functors

$$(A.1) \quad \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{-\otimes \mathcal{A}_X} \\ \xrightarrow{j_Q} \end{array} \mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X), \quad \mathrm{Mod}(X) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{-\otimes \mathcal{A}_X} \\ \xrightarrow{j_M} \end{array} \mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$$

where  $j_Q, j_M$  are inclusions, and  $- \otimes \mathcal{A}_X$  is left adjoint to  $j_Q$  and  $j_M$ . When  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is a coherent sheaf, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$\mathrm{Coh}(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\otimes \mathcal{A}_X} \\ \xleftarrow{j} \end{array} \mathrm{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$$

where  $j: \mathrm{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X)$  is the inclusion.

Recall the *coherator* of  $X$  is the functor  $Q_X$  right adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(X)$ . For example, if  $X$  is affine, then  $\underline{Q}_X(\mathcal{F})$  for  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Mod}(X)$  is the quasi-coherent sheaf  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  associated with  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Note  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  if  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ . Thus,  $Q_X$  restricts to a coherator functor

$$(A.2) \quad Q_{\mathcal{A}_X}: \mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$$

which is right adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ .

Let  $T$  be an abelian category and let  $K(T)$  be its homotopy category. Recall that a complex  $I^\bullet$  of objects in  $T$  is called *K-injective* if  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K(T)}(M^\bullet, I^\bullet) = 0$  for every acyclic complex  $M^\bullet$ . In particular, a bounded below complex of injectives is K-injective.

For a scheme  $X$  and a complex  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules,  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  is called *K-flat* if the complex

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}^\bullet)$$

is acyclic for every acyclic complex  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. In particular, a bounded above complex of flat  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules is K-flat. We can define a similar notion for a non-commutative scheme  $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ . We say a right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$  is *right flat* if  $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X} -$  is an exact functor on  $\mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{\mathrm{op}})$  and a complex  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules is *right K-flat* if the complex

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X} \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

is acyclic for every acyclic complex  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of left  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules. As with before, a bounded above complex of right flat  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules is right K-flat. Replacing  $\mathcal{A}_X$  by  $\mathcal{A}_X^{\mathrm{op}}$ , we get notions for left flat and left K-flat.

**Lemma A.2.** (1)  $\mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ ,  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  are Grothendieck abelian categories and any complex in  $\mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  or  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  has a K-injective resolution.

(2) For any complex  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$  of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules, there exists a right K-flat complex  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  whose terms are right flat  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules and a quasi-isomorphism  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet$  which is termwise surjective. The same is true for complexes of left  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules.

*Proof.* (1)  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$  is a Grothendieck abelian category. The abelian category structure, direct sums and exact filtered colimits on  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$  restrict to  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ . By the adjointness (A.1),  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  has a generator  $U \otimes \mathcal{A}_X$  where  $U$  is a generator of  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ . Therefore,  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  is a Grothendieck abelian category. The existence of K-injective complexes follows from [20, Tag 079P]. The proof for  $\mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  is similar.

(2) We only need to prove for complexes of right  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules. The proof is a modification of [20, Tag 06YF] and it suffices to show that  $\mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  has enough right flat objects. We know  $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$  has enough flat objects. For  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ , there is a surjection  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_M(\mathcal{G})$  from a flat  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then its adjoint map  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is also a surjection and  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X$  is a right flat  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -module. Now  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  can be constructed in the same way as *loc. cit.*, which is the filtered colimit of a nice sequence of bounded above complexes of right flat  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -modules.  $\square$

Hence, it makes sense to talk about the right adjoint functor  $RQ_{\mathcal{A}_X}$ .

**Lemma A.3.** The natural functor  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{QCoh}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  is an equivalence with quasi-inverse given by  $RQ_{\mathcal{A}_X}$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of [20, Tag 09T4] by restriction.  $\square$

**Lemma A.4.** When  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is coherent, the natural functors

$$\mathbf{D}^*(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^*(\mathrm{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^*(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$$

for  $* = -, b$  are equivalences.



*Proof.* The equivalence of the second functor follows from Lemma A.3. For the first functor, we will modify the proof in [20, Tag 0FDA]. We claim that if there is a surjection  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  for  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ , then there is some  $\mathcal{G}' \in \text{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  that surjects onto  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the first functor is an equivalence by [20, Tag 0FCL]. We know  $j_Q(\mathcal{G})$  is a filtered union of coherent submodules  $\mathcal{G}_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}'_i = \text{Im}(\mathcal{G}_i \otimes \mathcal{A}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G})$  be the image of the map adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathcal{G}_i \hookrightarrow j_Q(\mathcal{G})$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is the filtered union of coherent  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -submodules  $\mathcal{G}'_i$  and one of them will be  $\mathcal{G}'$ .  $\square$

Given a morphism  $H = (h, h_{\mathcal{A}}): (X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$ , a *push-forward* functor is defined by

$$H_* = h_*: \text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$$

where for  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  a right  $\mathcal{A}_Y$ -module structure on  $h_*\mathcal{F}$  is induced by

$$(h_*\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_Y \cong h_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes h^*\mathcal{A}_Y) \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{A}}} h_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow h_*\mathcal{F}.$$

A *pull-back* functor is defined by

$$H^*: \text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$$

where for  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$

$$H^*\mathcal{G} := (h^*\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{h^*\mathcal{A}_Y} \mathcal{A}_X = (h^{-1}\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{h^{-1}\mathcal{A}_Y} \mathcal{A}_X.$$

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $H = (h, h_{\mathcal{A}}): (X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  be a morphism between non-commutative schemes.*

(1) *There exists a right derived functor*

$$RH_* = Rh_*: \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)).$$

*When  $h$  is proper and  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  is coherent, it induces*

$$RH_* = Rh_*: \mathbf{D}^*(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$$

*for  $* = -, b$ .*

(2) *There exists a left derived functor*

$$LH^*: \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)).$$

*When  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is coherent, it induces*

$$LH^*: \mathbf{D}^-(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^-(X, \mathcal{A}_X).$$

(3)  *$H^* \dashv H_*$  and  $LH^* \dashv RH_*$  are adjoint functors.*

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma A.2 (1) the K-injective resolutions exist for  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X))$  and thus the right derived functor  $RH_*$  can be defined. When  $h$  is proper, we have an induced functor

$$RH_*: \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}(\text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)).$$

Because  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  is coherent, by Lemma A.4 there is the right derived functor

$$RH_*: \mathbf{D}^*(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^*(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^*(\text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)) \simeq \mathbf{D}^*(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y).$$

*for  $* = -, b$ .*

(2) Given  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y))$  one defines

$$LH^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet := RQ_{\mathcal{A}_X}(H^*\mathcal{K}^\bullet) \in \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X))$$

where  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  is the right K-flat resolution of  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$  constructed in Lemma A.2 (2) and  $RQ_{\mathcal{A}_X}$  is the derived coherator in Lemma A.3. Standard arguments show that this is well-defined.

Given  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  we claim  $H^*\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  when  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is coherent. This is a local question. Assume  $(X, \mathcal{A}_X) = (\text{Spec } A, \widehat{R}_A)$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) = (\text{Spec } B, \widehat{R}_B)$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \widehat{M}$ . There is a surjection  $B^n \rightarrow M$  for some  $n$  and it induces surjections

$$R_B^n \rightarrow M, \quad R_A^n \rightarrow M \otimes_{R_B} R_A.$$

Then  $M \otimes_{R_B} R_A$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module because  $R_A$  is.

Given  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}^-(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  we have

$$LH^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^-(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)) \simeq \mathbf{D}^-(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$$

where the equivalence is given by Lemma A.4.

(3) It suffices to prove the adjointness for  $H^* \dashv H_*$ . For  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Mod}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X}(H^*\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X}(h^*\mathcal{G} \otimes_{h^*\mathcal{A}_Y} \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{F}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{h^*\mathcal{A}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_Y}(\mathcal{G}, H_*\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition A.6** (Projection formula). *Let  $H = (h, h_{\mathcal{A}}): (X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  be a morphism of non-commutative schemes defined by Definition A.1.*

(1) *Given  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{\text{op}}))$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y))$  the natural map*

$$(A.3) \quad \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_Y}^{\mathbb{L}} RH_*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow RH_*(LH^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{F})$$

*is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(Y))$ .*

(2) *Assume  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  is proper and  $\mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{A}_Y$  are coherent. Given  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^-(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{\text{op}})$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{D}^-(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  the natural map (A.3) is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{D}^-(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* The derived functors involved are defined in Lemma A.5 and the natural map (A.3) is induced by the adjointness  $LH^* \dashv RH_*$ . The proof of the proposition is the same as the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [21]. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Addington. Spinor sheaves on singular quadrics. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(11):3867–3879, 2011. 5
- [2] T. Ando. On extremal rays of the higher-dimensional varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 81(2):347–357, 1985. 18
- [3] A. Auel, M. Bernardara, and M. Bolognesi. Fibrations in complete intersections of quadrics, Clifford algebras, derived categories, and rationality problems. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 102(1):249–291, 2014. 2, 4, 9, 13, 21
- [4] A. Auel, R. Parimala, and V. Suresh. Quadric surface bundles over surfaces. *Doc. Math.*, Extra vol.:(Alexander S. Merkurjev’s sixtieth birthday):31–70, 2015. 9
- [5] R. Baeza. *Quadratic forms over semilocal rings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 655. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978. 16
- [6] A. Beauville. Variétés de Prym et jacobiniennes intermédiaires. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 10(3):309–391, 1977. 15, 23
- [7] W. Bichsel and M.-A. Knus. Quadratic forms with values in line bundles. In *Recent advances in real algebraic geometry and quadratic forms (Berkeley, CA, 1990/1991; San Francisco, CA, 1991)*, volume 155 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 293–306. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. 4
- [8] A. Fujiki and S. Nakano. Supplement to “On the inverse of monoidal transformation”. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 7:637–644, 1971/72. 18
- [9] B. Hassett, A. Várilly-Alvarado, and P. Varilly. Transcendental obstructions to weak approximation on general K3 surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 228(3):1377–1404, 2011. 16
- [10] Q. Jiang. Derived categories of quot schemes of locally free quotients, i. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.09193*, 2021. 12
- [11] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. 18
- [12] A. Kuznetsov. Derived categories of quadric fibrations and intersections of quadrics. *Adv. Math.*, 218(5):1340–1369, 2008. 2, 4, 13, 22
- [13] A. Kuznetsov. Derived categories of cubic fourfolds. In *Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems*, volume 282 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 219–243. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2010. 9
- [14] A. Kuznetsov. Scheme of lines on a family of 2-dimensional quadrics: geometry and derived category. *Math. Z.*, 276(3-4):655–672, 2014. 3, 15, 16, 19, 22
- [15] A. Kuznetsov. Derived categories of families of sextic del pezzo surfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2017. 14
- [16] A. Kuznetsov. Quadric bundles and hyperbolic equivalence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.01546*, 2021. 22, 23
- [17] A. Kuznetsov and E. Shinder. Grothendieck ring of varieties, D- and L-equivalence, and families of quadrics. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 24(4):3475–3500, 2018. 12, 21

- [18] A. G. Kuznetsov. Hyperplane sections and derived categories. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 70(3):23–128, 2006. [23](#)
- [19] R. Moschetti. The derived category of a non generic cubic fourfold containing a plane. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 25(5):1525–1545, 2018. [3](#), [22](#)
- [20] T. Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021. [24](#), [25](#)
- [21] F. Xie. Nodal quintic del pezzo threefolds and their derived categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.03186*, 2021. [21](#), [23](#), [26](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JAMES CLERK MAXWELL BUILDING, PETER GUTHRIE TAIT ROAD, EDINBURGH, EH9 3FD, UK

*Email address:* `fei.xie@ed.ac.uk`