

The Kodaira-Spencer map for nondegenerate toric hypersurfaces

Julius Giesler
University of Tübingen

June 14, 2022

Abstract

In this article we study infinitesimal deformations of toric hypersurfaces which arise if we vary f over all nondegenerate Laurent polynomials with fixed Newton polytope. We introduce several Kodaira-Spencer maps and compute their kernels explicitly by using some Laurent polynomials. This generalizes for example the known characterization of the kernel for smooth projective hypersurfaces via Griffiths jacobian ideal.

1 Introduction

We vary f over all nondegenerate Laurent polynomials $U_{reg}(\Delta)$ with fixed n -dimensional Newton polytope Δ . We associate to Δ its *Fine interior* $F(\Delta)$ by moving all hyperplanes touching Δ one step into the interior. By the results from ([Bat22]) if $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ there is a projective toric variety \mathbb{P} to a simplicial fan Σ , such that both \mathbb{P} and the closure Y_f of

$$Z_f := \{f = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

in \mathbb{P} have at most terminal singularities and the canonical divisor K_{Y_f} is nef. We say that Y_f is a *minimal model of* Z_f . As f varies in $U_{reg}(\Delta)$ the hypersurfaces Y_f move in a linear system. \mathbb{P} does not depend on f and allows us to study the family of minimal toric hypersurfaces

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(x, f) \in \mathbb{P} \times B \mid x \in Y_f\} \xrightarrow{pr_2} B.$$

Here B denotes the image of $U_{reg}(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{A}^s$ in \mathbb{P}^{s-1} , where s denotes the number of lattice points on Δ . Note the special case that $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $B \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)|$ is the open subset of all f such that Z_f is smooth and Y_f intersects the strata of \mathbb{P}^n transversely (in particular Y_f is smooth). In this case $\Delta = d \cdot \Delta_n$ equals d -times the standard simplex and the condition $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ just means that $d \geq n + 1$.

We associate to a tangent vector

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2) \rightarrow B$$

at $f \in B$ an infinitesimal deformation of $Y = Y_f$ in \mathcal{X} . In section 5 we derive two *Kodaira-Spencer maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\mathbb{P},f} &: H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y), \\ \kappa_f &: H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y). \end{aligned}$$

$\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$ parameterizes the infinitesimal deformations of Y_f in \mathbb{P} and κ_f the infinitesimal deformations of Y_f in \mathcal{X} . In fact $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{P} \times B$ and κ_f equals the restriction of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$ (see section 12). In Theorem 7.1 we identify the kernel of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$ with the Lie algebra of the automorphism group of \mathbb{P} :

Theorem 1.1. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope, where $n \geq 2$, with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ and $f \in B$. If $n = 2$ assume that $\dim F(\Delta) \in \{1, 2\}$. Then*

$$\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \cong \text{Lie Aut}(\mathbb{P}). \quad (1)$$

The condition $\dim F(\Delta) \in \{1, 2\}$ for $n = 2$ means that Y is a smooth curve of genus ≥ 2 . Under the assumptions of this Theorem we have

$$H^0(Y, T_Y) = 0.$$

We use several tools to prove this Theorem: First the singular loci both of \mathbb{P} and Y are of codimension ≥ 3 . This allows us to compensate the problem that $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ is in general just \mathbb{Q} -Cartier by using a *local cohomology sequence*. Further we use reflexive sheaves, a multiplication map introduced by Fujino ([Fuj06]), Serre duality and a vanishing Theorem due to Mavlyutov ([Mav08]).

For some purposes it is more advantageous to consider families of smooth

hypersurfaces. In Corollary 10.1 we deduce from Theorem 7.1 how to compute the kernel of a Kodaira-Spencer map for such a smooth family $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow B$. For this we take the closure of Z_f in a smooth toric variety whose fan refines both the fan Σ and the normal fan Σ_Δ of Δ . In Corollary (8.1) we compute the cokernel of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$:

Corollary 1.2. *If $n \geq 4$ then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \mathrm{Im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}),$$

that is all infinitesimal deformations of Y_f arise from $\mathrm{Im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f})$ or from infinitesimal deformations of \mathbb{P} .

To be more explicit on $\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f})$ we introduce another polytope $C(\Delta)$ called the *canonical closure* of Δ (see Definition 9.1), which might be slightly larger than Δ . For $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ a polytope let $L(P)$ be the \mathbb{C} -vector space having as basis the lattice points on P . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathcal{X}}) &\cong L(\Delta)/\mathbb{C} \cdot f \\ H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) &\cong L(C(\Delta))/\mathbb{C} \cdot f. \end{aligned}$$

We apply results from ([BG99]) to identify a basis of $\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f})$ explicitly with certain Laurent polynomials having support on $C(\Delta)$ (Corollary 11.5):

Corollary 1.3. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ and $f = \sum_{m \in M \cap \Delta} a_m x^m \in U_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Delta)$. Then*

$$\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \subset L(C(\Delta))/\mathbb{C} \cdot f$$

equals the span of the Laurent polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_n \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}, \\ w_{-\alpha}(f) &:= \sum_{m \in \Delta \cap M} \mathrm{ht}_{-\alpha}(m) \cdot a_m \cdot x^{m-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}). \end{aligned}$$

These Laurent polynomials are linearly independent.

Here $R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}) \subset M$ denote the roots of the normal fan $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}$ of $C(\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{ht}_{-\alpha}(m)$ computes how often we may add $-\alpha$ to m without leaving $C(\Delta)$.

Concerning the kernel of κ_f we have

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong \ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \cap L(\Delta).$$

The problem is that the $w_{-\alpha}(f)$ need not have support on Δ (see Example 12.3). In Theorem 12.1 we prove

Theorem 1.4. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong \langle x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ w_{-\alpha}(f), \quad \alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_\Delta) \rangle.$$

Here $R(N, \Sigma_\Delta)$ are the roots of the normal fan Σ_Δ of Δ and we always have

$$R(N, \Sigma_\Delta) \subset R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}).$$

This Theorem gives very precise information on $\ker(\kappa_f)$.

What is known in the direction of our results: For $Y_f \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ a smooth degree d hypersurface by ([Voi03, Ch.6])

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong \ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \cong J_{f,gri\!ff}^d,$$

where $J_{f,gri\!ff}^d$ denotes the d -th homogeneous component of

$$J_{f,gri\!ff} := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n].$$

In Example 11.6 we show that if $d \geq n + 1$ then our results specialize to this assertion. There are generalizations of this results to quasismooth hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces ([Dol82, Thm.4.3.2]). In the dissertation ([Koe91]) it is dealt with families of nondegenerate curves in toric surfaces. In ([Mav03]) both the kernel and the cokernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map is dealt with for *anticanonical hypersurfaces* and in ([Ok87]) the Kodaira-Spencer map has been studied for Δ an n -simplex.

2 Notation and Background

Let M and N be dual lattices and $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ the n -dimensional torus. We fix a lattice polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the normal fan of a lattice (or more generally rational) polytope F by Σ_F . We denote the projective toric variety defined via the fan Σ_F by \mathbb{P}_F . We denote the rays of a fan Σ by $\Sigma[1]$ and the toric divisor corresponding to $\nu_i \in \Sigma[1]$ (or $\nu \in \Sigma[1]$) by D_i (or D_ν).

Given a Laurent polynomial f with n -dimensional Newton polytope Δ let

$$Z_f := \{f = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

and for F an n -dimensional rational polytope let $Z_{F,f}$ or just Z_F be the closure of Z_f in \mathbb{P}_F .

Remark 2.1. By ([Bat22, Prop.7.1]) the linear equivalence class of $Z_{F,f}$ just depends on Δ . More precisely let

$$\text{ord}_\Delta(\nu) := \min_{m \in \Delta} \langle m, \nu \rangle$$

for $\nu \in N \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$Z_{F,f} \sim_{\text{lin}} - \sum_{\nu \in \Sigma_F[1]} \text{ord}_\Delta(\nu) \cdot D_\nu$$

Therefore we usually omit f from the notation if we just consider the linear equivalence class of $Z_{F,f}$.

We write $f \in U_{\text{reg}}(\Delta)$ if f is *nondegenerate* and has Newton polytope Δ . The nondegeneracy of f means that Z_f is smooth and for every k -dimensional face Γ of Δ

$$f|_\Gamma, \frac{\partial f|_\Gamma}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f|_\Gamma}{\partial x_n} \quad (2)$$

have no common zero in the torus orbit $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k \subset \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ corresponding to Γ , where

$$f|_\Gamma := \sum_{m \in M \cap \Gamma} a_m x^m \quad \text{for } f = \sum_{m \in M \cap \Delta} a_m x^m.$$

We shortly remember some constructions and results from ([Bat22]): Write

$$\Delta = \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, \nu \rangle \geq \text{ord}_\Delta(\nu) \quad \forall \nu \in N \setminus \{0\}\}. \quad (3)$$

and define the *Fine interior*

$$F(\Delta) := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, \nu \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(\nu) + 1 \quad \forall \nu \in N \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

$F(\Delta)$ is a rational polytope contained in Δ and contains all interior lattice points of Δ . It is constructed by moving every hyperplane touching Δ one step into the interior of Δ . Let

$$S_F(\Delta) := \{\nu \in N \setminus \{0\} \mid \text{ord}_{F(\Delta)}(\nu) = \text{ord}_{\Delta}(\nu) + 1\}$$

denote the *support* of $F(\Delta)$ to Δ . In section 9 we mention further properties of $S_F(\Delta)$ in connection with another polytope $C(\Delta)$, called the *canonical closure* of Δ .




Figure 1: Figure: On the left a polygon Δ , on the right the rays of Σ_{Δ} . $F(\Delta)$ equals the interior lattice point of Δ . The illustrations shows that $(0, -1) \in S_F(\Delta)$.

Theorem 2.2. ([Bat22, Thm.8.2])

Assume $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a complete simplicial fan Σ with $\Sigma[1] = S_F(\Delta)$ and with associated toric variety \mathbb{P} such that with $Y = Y_f$ the closure of Z_f in \mathbb{P}

- \mathbb{P} has \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal singularities
- the adjoint divisor $K_{\mathbb{P}} + Y$ is nef.

Corollary 2.3. ([Bat22, Thm.8.2])

$Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ has at most terminal singularities and K_Y is nef. We say that $Y = Y_f$ is a minimal model of Z_f .

By ([Bat22, Thm.9.2]) the Kodaira dimension of Y is given by

$$\kappa(Y) = \min(n - 1, \dim F(\Delta)). \quad (4)$$

Proposition 2.4. ([Bat22, Prop.7.4])

$Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ defines a nef and big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor.

Lemma 2.5. Let F be a torus orbit of \mathbb{P} . Then if

$$\emptyset \neq F \cap Y \subsetneq F$$

then Y intersects F transversely in a subset of codimension 1.

Proof. Choose a common refinement $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ and Σ_{Δ} in N such that the toric variety $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ to $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is smooth. Let \tilde{Y} denote the closure of Z_f in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Then for $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ a torus orbit \tilde{Y} is either disjoint from \tilde{F} (if \tilde{F} contracts to a torus fixed point on \mathbb{P}_{Δ}) or intersects \tilde{F} transversely in a subset of codimension 1 ([Bat94, Prop.3.2.1]).

There is a birational toric morphism $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ inducing a birational morphism $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$. Assume that \tilde{F} contracts onto F . If $\tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{F} \neq \emptyset$ then $Y \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and either Y contains F or intersects F transversely in a subset of codimension 1. \square

Remark 2.6. The singular loci both of \mathbb{P} and Y are of codimension ≥ 3 since they are terminal. It will be important to choose smooth open subset $V \subset \mathbb{P}$ and $U \subset Y$ such that $U = V \cap Y$ and V is toric. This works if we define V as the union of all torus orbits of dimension $\geq n - 2$.

3 Tangent sheaf and sheaves of differential p-forms

Definition 3.1. A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on a normal variety X is called reflexive if the natural map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{**}$ is an isomorphism, where \mathcal{F}^{**} denotes the double dual of the sheaf \mathcal{F} . \mathcal{F}^{**} is called the reflexive hull of \mathcal{F} .

Remark 3.2. ([CLS11, Prop.8.0.1, Thm.8.0.4])

Given an open subset $j : U \subset X$ with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 2$ a reflexive sheaf is uniquely determined by its restriction to U , that is

$$\mathcal{F} \cong j_*(\mathcal{F}|_U). \tag{5}$$

Furthermore if \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf with $\mathcal{F}|_U$ locally free and $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 2$ then $j_*(\mathcal{F}|_U)$ is reflexive ([Sch08, Prop.2.12]). The dual of a coherent sheaf on a normal variety is always reflexive. In particular the reflexive hull of a coherent sheaf is reflexive.

Remark 3.3. The tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ of two reflexive sheaves need not be reflexive: For example for $X := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2 \mid x \cdot y = 0\}$ and $D_1 = \{x = 0\}$, $D_2 = \{y = 0\}$

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D_2)$$

is not reflexive. We may solve this problem by defining the reflexive tensor product of two sheaves as

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_r \mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})^{**}.$$

In this sense given two Weil divisors D, D' the rank one reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D + D')$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{O}(D) \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(D').$$

If \mathcal{F} is reflexive and \mathcal{L} a line bundle then $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ remains reflexive. This may be checked stalkwise using ([Hart77, Ch.3, Prop.6.8]).

Definition 3.4. For X an n -dimensional normal algebraic variety we define the reflexive sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_X^p &:= \iota_* \Omega_U^p \quad 1 \leq p \leq n, \\ T_X &:= (\Omega_X^1)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota : U \rightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion of the smooth locus of X .

Remark 3.5. For X a normal variety there is a different method for the construction of T_X : Let $\Omega_{X, \text{K\"ahl}}^p$ denote the sheaf of K\"ahler p -differentials on X and

$$T_{X, \text{K\"ahl}} := (\Omega_{X, \text{K\"ahl}}^1)^*.$$

Then $\Omega_{X, \text{K\"ahl}}^1$ is coherent and thus by Remark 3.2 its dual $T_{X, \text{K\"ahl}}$ is reflexive and coincides with T_X on the smooth locus U of X . Since both sheaves are reflexive

$$T_{X, \text{K\"ahl}} \cong T_X.$$

By ([MuOd15, Ch.VI.1])

$$H^0(X, T_{X, \text{K\"ahl}}) \cong \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X)), \tag{6}$$

where $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X))$ denotes the Lie algebra of the automorphism group of X . In particular if $\text{Aut}(X)$ is a finite group then $H^0(X, T_X) = 0$. For V a normal projective toric variety $\text{Aut}(V)$ is an algebraic group ([Cox95, Prop.4.3]), whereas for Y a normal projective variety it might happen that $H^0(Y, T_Y) = 0$ but $\text{Aut}(Y)$ has infinite order.

Example 3.6. Take the Fermat quartic $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$

$$0 = x_0^4 + \dots + x_3^4,$$

which defines a K3-surface. Then $h^0(Y, T_Y) = 0$ but Y has infinite automorphism group (see [ShIn10, Thm.5]).

4 The normal sheaf and MCM-sheaves

Definition 4.1. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}$ be the union of all torus orbits of dimension $\geq n - 2$ and $U := V \cap Y$ with inclusion $\iota : U \rightarrow Y$. The reflexive sheaf

$$N_{Y/\mathbb{P}} := \iota_* \mathcal{O}_U(Y|_U)$$

is called the normal sheaf of Y in \mathbb{P} .

We recall the following definition

Definition 4.2. ([CLS11, Def. before Thm.9.2.12], [Kol13, Def.5.2])
Let X be an n -dimensional normal variety and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is called maximal Cohen-Macaulay (for short:MCM) if for all $x \in X$ the stalk \mathcal{F}_x is a Cohen-Macaulay module over $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of dimension n .

Proposition 4.3. [CLS11, Proof of Thm.9.2.10]
Let V be an n -dimensional complete toric variety to a simplicial fan and D a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on V . Then the sheaves Ω_V^p for $p = 0, \dots, n$ and $\mathcal{O}_V(D)$ are MCM.

For X an n -dimensional normal irreducible projective variety and \mathcal{F} a coherent MCM-sheaf on X we may apply Serre duality ([KM98, Thm.5.71, Prop.5.75])

$$H^k(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^{n-k}(X, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)))^*.$$

For $Z \subset X$ a closed subset, \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X , we work with the local cohomology groups $H_Z^k(X, \mathcal{F})$ on X . This allows us to extend exact sequences which exist on the smooth locus U of X to all of X . First we recall a vanishing Theorem:

Theorem 4.4. ([HaKo04, Prop.3.3])

Let X be an n -dimensional algebraic variety and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset with $\text{codim}_X(Z) \geq r$. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X which is MCM. Then

$$H_Z^k(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad k = 0, \dots, r - 1.$$

Proposition 4.5. *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y) \rightarrow N_{Y/\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (7)$$

is exact.

Proof. If $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ is Cartier (see Corollary 9.4 for a criterion) then there is nothing to show, but in general Y just defines a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor.

We may assume that $n = \dim \mathbb{P} \geq 3$. Let $\iota : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be the inclusion of the union of all torus orbits of dimension $\geq n - 2$ and $U := V \cap Y$. If we take the pushforward under ι_* of an ideal sheaf sequence for $U \subset V$ we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y) \rightarrow N_{Y/\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow R^1\iota_*(\mathcal{O}_V).$$

$R^1\iota_*(\mathcal{O}_V)$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$W \mapsto H^1(W \cap V, \mathcal{O}_{W \cap V}).$$

Assume that $W \subset \mathbb{P}$ is affine, $V \subset W$ an open subset such that $\text{codim}_W(Z) \geq 3$ for $Z := W \setminus V$. We have to show that $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$. There is a local cohomology exact sequence ([Gro67, Cor.1.9])

$$\dots \rightarrow H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H_Z^2(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$$

Since W is affine $H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$ by Serre's criterion and $H_Z^2(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$ by Theorem 4.4 since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is MCM and $\text{codim}_W(Z) \geq 3$. \square

We will use this exact sequence and the vanishing $H^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) = 0$ in Remark 9.3 to compute $H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}})$ by identifying $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ with a torus invariant divisor.

Corollary 4.6. *The normal sheaf $N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}$ is MCM.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence (7). For $y \in Y$ the $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ -module $(N_{Y/\mathbb{P}})_y$ has dimension $n - 1$ and by ([Kol13, Cor.2.62(3)]) it is Cohen-Macaulay since both $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y)$ are MCM sheaves. \square

5 The Kodaira-Spencer maps and deformation theory

Let $n := \dim \Delta$ and B be the image of $U_{reg}(\Delta)$ in $\mathbb{P}L(\Delta)$. Consider the following natural family

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(x, f) \in \mathbb{P} \times B \mid x \in Y_f\} \xrightarrow{pr_2} B.$$

For $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ a full-dimensional rational polytope we write $\text{Int}(P)$ for its interior, $L(P)$ for the \mathbb{C} -vector space having as basis all lattice points on P and $l(P) = \#(P \cap M)$ for its dimension.

Let $D := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$. Given a tangent vector $\phi : D \rightarrow B$ at f we consider the pullback

$$\mathcal{X} \times_B D \rightarrow D$$

of the above family via ϕ . This defines an *infinitesimal deformation* of Y_f . They are also called infinitesimal deformations of Y_f in \mathcal{X} . They are parameterized by $H^0(Y_f, N_{Y_f/\mathcal{X}})$ and there is a *Kodaira-Spencer* map

$$\kappa_f : H^0(Y_f, N_{Y_f/\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_f}}^1(\Omega_{Y_f}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_f})$$

which associates to such a deformation its equivalence class in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_f}}^1(\Omega_{Y_f}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_f})$.

Analogously $H^0(Y_f, N_{Y_f/\mathbb{P}})$ parameterizes all infinitesimal deformations of Y_f in \mathbb{P} and there is a Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f} : H^0(Y_f, N_{Y_f/\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_f}}^1(\Omega_{Y_f}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}).$$

Both κ_f and $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$ could be realized as coboundary maps by restricting to the smooth locus U of Y_f : Since U is smooth there is an isomorphism

$$H^1(Y_f, T_{Y_f}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_f}}^1(\Omega_{Y_f}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}).$$

Besides by ([KM92, Lemma (12.5.6)])

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_U}^1(\Omega_U^1, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong H^1(U, T_U).$$

since $\text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus U) \geq 3$. For $V \subset \mathbb{P}$ an open subset as in Remark (2.6) with $V \cap Y = U$ the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$H^0(U, N_{U/V}) \rightarrow H^1(U, T_U)$$

is the coboundary map in the tangent sheaf sequence for $U \subset V$. We derive $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$ from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(U, T_U) & \longrightarrow & H^0(U, T_{V|U}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(U, N_{U|V}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(U, T_U) \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, T_Y) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}} = \kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}} & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y)
\end{array}$$

Similarly we define κ_f . The above diagram will help us to compute the kernel of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$. By a result of Kodaira ([Kod86, Thm.4.3]) if the fibers of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ are smooth and

$$h^1(Y_f, T_{Y_f}) \equiv \text{const}$$

then $\kappa_f = 0$ for all $f \in B$ if and only if the family is *isotrivial*, that is all fibers are isomorphic. The dimension $h^1(Y_f, T_{Y_f})$ is easily seen to be constant in our context.

Definition 5.1. We call $\dim \text{Im}(\kappa_f)$ the number of moduli of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$.

6 Mavlyutov's vanishing Theorem

The following Theorem treats one situation where a vanishing Theorem due to Mavlyutov applies:

Theorem 6.1. ([Mav08, Thm.2.4], [CLS11, Thm.9.3.3])

Let V be an n -dimensional complete toric variety to a simplicial fan. If D is a nef Cartier divisor on V , then

$$H^p(V, \Omega_V^q \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)) = 0$$

for $p > q$.

Construction 6.2. (Multiplication morphism)

([Fuj06, 2.5, Prop.3.2], [CLS11, Lemma 9.2.6, Proof of Thm. 9.3.1])

Let V be a normal (not necessarily complete) toric variety. There is a useful construction to reduce computations of cohomology groups of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier Weil divisors D to cohomology of multiples mD of D , which are Cartier.

Namely for $l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ the map $\bar{\phi}_l : N \rightarrow N$ given by

$$n \mapsto l \cdot n$$

yields a toric morphism $\phi_l : V \rightarrow V$. ϕ_l induces an injection

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(V, \Omega_V^q \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(D)) &\rightarrow H^p(V, \Omega_V^q \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(lD)) \\ &\cong H^p(V, \Omega_V^q \otimes \mathcal{O}(lD)), \quad p \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Remark 3.3 since $\mathcal{O}(lD)$ is Cartier. For us this result is particularly powerful in connection with Theorem 6.1 above.

7 Computation of $\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}, f})$

Theorem 7.1. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope, where $n \geq 2$, with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ and $f \in B$. If $n = 2$ assume that $\dim F(\Delta) \in \{1, 2\}$. Then*

$$\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}, f}) \cong \text{Lie Aut}(\mathbb{P}). \quad (8)$$

Proof. We write Y and $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}}$ for Y_f and $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}, f}$. By the exact sequence from section 5

$$\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}}) \cong H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**})/H^0(Y, T_Y).$$

By Corollary 11.5) if $n \geq 3$ then

$$H^0(Y, T_Y) = 0.$$

If $n = 2$ this follows from $g(Y) \geq 2$ since the Fine interior is not a point. By Remark 3.5 we are left to show that

$$H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**}) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}, T_{\mathbb{P}}).$$

Lemma 7.2. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $\iota : V \subset \mathbb{P}$ be the inclusion of the union of all torus orbits of dimension $\geq n - 2$ and $U = V \cap Y$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_V \otimes \mathcal{O}(-Y|_V) \rightarrow T_V \rightarrow T_{V|U} \rightarrow 0$$

and take the pushforward under ι . Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y - K_{\mathbb{P}}) \cong T_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y).$$

Then $R^1\iota_*(\mathcal{F}|_V) = 0$ since given $x \in V$ choose an affine toric neighborhood W of x and (using Construction 6.2) replace $\mathcal{O}_W(-Y - K_{\mathbb{P}})$ by an m -times multiple which is Cartier in the definition of \mathcal{F} . Then by Proposition 4.3 $\mathcal{F}|_W$ is MCM and the vanishing

$$H^1(V \cap W, \mathcal{F}|_{V \cap W}) = 0$$

follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.5 from a local cohomology sequence. \square

Take the long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}, T_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}, T_{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**}) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}, T_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$T_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y) \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y - K_{\mathbb{P}}).$$

By Construction 6.2 we have

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \otimes_r \mathcal{O}(-Y - K_{\mathbb{P}})) \subset H^k(\mathbb{P}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-mY - mK_{\mathbb{P}}))$$

where $m \geq 1$ is such that $mY, mK_{\mathbb{P}}$ are Cartier. Now use Serre duality and Theorem 6.1 to deduce

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-mY - mK_{\mathbb{P}})) \cong H^{n-k}(\mathbb{P}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(mY + mK_{\mathbb{P}})) = 0$$

for $k = 0, 1$ if $n \geq 3$. If $n = 2$ the vanishing for $k = 1$ follows from the precise formula in ([Mav08, Cor.2.7]) (to $Y + K_{\mathbb{P}}$ is associated the polytope $F(\Delta)$, see Remark 9.3). \square

8 The cokernel of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$

Corollary 8.1. *If $n \geq 4$ then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \mathrm{Im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}),$$

that is all infinitesimal deformations of Y_f arise from $\mathrm{Im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f})$ or from infinitesimal deformations of \mathbb{P} .

□

Proof. Let V denote the smooth locus of \mathbb{P} , $Z = \mathbb{P} \setminus V$ and $U = V \cap Y$. Then Z has codimension ≥ 3 in \mathbb{P} and $Y \setminus U$ has codimension ≥ 2 in Y . We could extend the upper exact sequence in Section 5 to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(U, T_U) \rightarrow H^0(U, T_{V|U}) \rightarrow H^0(U, N_{U/V}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}}} H^1(U, T_U) \\ \rightarrow H^1(U, T_{V|U}) \rightarrow H^1(U, N_{U/V}) \end{aligned}$$

For $N_{U/V} = (N_{Y/\mathbb{P}})|_U$ we use that $H^1(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) = 0$ by the exact sequence (7). We relate $H^1(U, N_{U/V})$ and $H^1(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}})$ via a local cohomology sequence and use that by Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.4

$$H_{Z \cap Y}^k(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) = 0 \quad k < n - 1.$$

Thus $H^1(U, N_{U/V}) = 0$. For $T_{V|U}$ we use an ideal sheaf sequence

$$H^1(V, T_V \otimes \mathcal{O}(-Y|_V)) \rightarrow H^1(V, T_V) \rightarrow H^1(U, T_{V|U}) \rightarrow H^2(V, T_V \otimes \mathcal{O}(-Y|_V)).$$

V is a toric variety and by Construction (...)

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(V, T_V \otimes \mathcal{O}(-Y|_V)) &= H^k(V, \Omega_V^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-Y|_V - K_{\mathbb{P}|_V})) \\ &\subset H^k(V, \Omega_V^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-mY|_V - mK_{\mathbb{P}|_V})) \end{aligned}$$

where $mY, mK_{\mathbb{P}}$ are Cartier. Note that by Lemma 4.6

$$\mathcal{F} := \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-mY - mK_{\mathbb{P}})$$

is CM. Use a local cohomology sequence

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^k(V, \mathcal{F}|_V) \rightarrow H_Z^{k+1}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}).$$

As in the Lemma above

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^{n-k}(\mathbb{P}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(mY + mK_{\mathbb{P}})) = 0 \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Again by Theorem 4.4

$$H_Z^k(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad k < n - 1.$$

Now as in section 5

$$H^1(V, T_V) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}})$$

and we are done. □

Example 8.2. If $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^3$, $\Delta = 4 \cdot \Delta_3$ then $\dim \text{Im}(\kappa) = 19$ and $H^1(\mathbb{P}, T_{\mathbb{P}}) = 0$. But $h^1(Y, T_Y) = 20$ since Y is a K3 surface. The above Corollary does not apply since $n = 3$.

9 The canonical closure $C(\Delta)$

Definition 9.1. ([Bat22, Def.3.13])

Let Δ be a lattice polytope with presentation as in (3) and with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$.
The polytope

$$C(\Delta) := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, \nu \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(\nu) \quad \forall \nu \in S_F(\Delta)\}$$

is called the canonical closure of Δ .

Remark 9.2. It is clear from the definition that $C(\Delta)$ is a rational polytope and contains Δ . The operators of taking the Fine interior F , the canonical closure C and the support S_F could be defined analogously for rational polytopes.

The key point for introducing $C(\Delta)$ is that Σ could be chosen as a refinement of $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}$. Thus there is a birational morphism $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{C(\Delta)}$.

Remark 9.3. To a toric divisor

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D_i \subset \mathbb{P}$$

we associate a polytope

$$P_D := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, \nu_i \rangle \geq -a_i \quad \forall \nu_i \in \Sigma[1]\}$$

counting the global sections of D , that is $H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(D)) \cong L(P_D)$ (compare [CLS11, Prop.4.3.2]). By the formula in Remark 2.1 to Y is associated the polytope

$$\{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, n_i \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_i) \quad \forall n_i \in S_F(\Delta)\}$$

By ([Bat22, Prop.4.3]) $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1] \subset S_F(\Delta)$ and

$$\text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_i) = \text{ord}_{C(\Delta)}(n_i) \quad \forall n_i \in S_F(\Delta).$$

Thus to Y is associated $C(\Delta)$, that is

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y)) \cong L(C(\Delta)). \tag{9}$$

It follows in the same way that to $Y + K_{\mathbb{P}}$ is associated $F(\Delta)$.

Corollary 9.4. $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ is Cartier if and only if $C(\Delta)$ is a lattice polytope.

Proof. By Remark 2.1

$$Y \sim_{lin} - \sum_{\nu \in \Sigma[1]} \text{ord}_{\Delta}(\nu) D_{\nu} = - \sum_{\nu \in \Sigma[1]} \text{ord}_{C(\Delta)}(\nu) D_{\nu}.$$

The function $\text{ord}_{C(\Delta)} : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the advantage that it is linear on the cones of Σ since Σ refines the normal fan of $C(\Delta)$. Thus $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ is Cartier if and only if $\text{ord}_{C(\Delta)}$ is a *support function* for Y , that is

$$\text{ord}_{C(\Delta)}(N) \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

Obviously this is the case if and only if $C(\Delta)$ is a lattice polytope. \square

Remark 9.5. In particular if $C(\Delta) = \Delta$ then Y will be Cartier. In ([Bat22, Ex.3.1.5]) there is mentioned an example of a 5-dimensional lattice polytope Δ for which $C(\Delta)$ is not a lattice polytope.

Remark 9.6. The normal sheaf $N_{Y_f/\mathcal{X}} := (I_{Y_f} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_f})^*$ is trivial of rank $l(\Delta) - 1$, where I_{Y_f} denotes the ideal sheaf of $Y_f \subset \mathcal{X}$:

Let $f \in B$ and choose $l(\Delta) - 1$ hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_{l(\Delta)-1}$ in B which cut out $\{f\}$. Then Y_f is the complete intersection in \mathcal{X} defined by

$$G_1 := pr_2^*(H_1), \dots, G_{l(\Delta)-1} := pr_2^*(H_{l(\Delta)-1}).$$

Thus

$$N_{Y_f/\mathcal{X}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\Delta)-1} \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}(G_i).$$

We may switch to (linear equivalent) hyperplanes $H'_1, \dots, H'_{l(\Delta)-1}$ with $\{f\} \notin H'_1 \cap \dots \cap H'_{l(\Delta)-1}$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_f}(G_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}(G'_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}$$

for $G'_i := pr_2^*(H'_i)$. Thus

$$N_{Y_f/\mathcal{X}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\Delta)-1} \mathcal{O}_{Y_f} \cong T_{B,f} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}.$$

Corollary 9.7.

$$H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) \cong L(C(\Delta))/\mathbb{C} \cdot f, \quad H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathcal{X}}) \cong L(\Delta)/\mathbb{C} \cdot f.$$

Proof. The first formula follows from the exact sequence (7), the vanishing $H^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) = 0$ due to Demazure and formula (9). The second follows from Remark 9.6. \square

10 The Kodaira-Spencer map for smooth birational models

Let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be a smooth fan refining both Σ and Σ_Δ , $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ be the toric variety to $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}_f$ the closure of Z_f in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. We take the analogous smooth family $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow B$ of all the \tilde{Y}_f and consider the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\kappa_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}},f} : H^0(\tilde{Y}, N_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{Y}, T_{\tilde{Y}}).$$

Since $\tilde{\Sigma}$ refines Σ_Δ

$$H^0(\tilde{Y}, N_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}) \cong L(\Delta)/\mathbb{C} \cdot f.$$

Corollary 10.1. *In the above situation*

$$\ker(\kappa_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}},f}) \cong \text{Lie Aut}(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}).$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 7.1 except that we can not use the vanishing Theorem 6.1 if $\tilde{Y} + K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ is not nef. We have to show that

$$0 = H^{n-1}(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y} + K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})) = H^n(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y} + K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})) \quad (10)$$

remains valid.

Lemma 10.2. *Let $\phi : \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be the birational toric morphism to the refinement $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ . Then*

$$R^k \phi_*(K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} + \tilde{Y}) = \begin{cases} K_{\mathbb{P}} + Y & k = 0 \\ 0 & k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Further for $D_j \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ a torus invariant prime divisor to a ray $n_j \in \tilde{\Sigma}[1]$

$$R^k \phi_*(K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} + \tilde{Y} - D_j) = \begin{cases} K_{\mathbb{P}} + Y - D_j & k = 0, n_j \in \Sigma[1] \\ K_{\mathbb{P}} + Y & k = 0, n_j \notin \Sigma[1] \\ 0 & k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. We may check this locally: Let U_σ be the affine toric variety to a maximal dimensional cone σ of Σ and assume that n_j refines σ , that is

$$n_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \cdot n_i \quad n_i \in \sigma[1], \quad \lambda_i \geq 0.$$

Let $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. To

$$K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} + \tilde{Y} - \delta \cdot D_j$$

is associated the polytope

$$P = \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, n_i \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_i) + 1, \langle x, n_j \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_j) + 1 + \delta\}$$

where n_i runs over all rays in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ refining the cone σ . If $n_j \notin S_F(\Delta) = \Sigma[1]$ and

$$\langle x, n_i \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_i) + 1 = \text{ord}_{F(\Delta)}(n_i) \quad \forall n_i \in \sigma[1]$$

then automatically

$$\langle x, n_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \cdot \langle x, n_i \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{F(\Delta)}(n_j) \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_j) + 2.$$

Thus

$$\phi_*(K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} + \tilde{Y} - \delta \cdot D_j) = K_{\mathbb{P}} + Y.$$

The case $n_j \in \Sigma[1]$ is similar. Let $k > 0$ and assume $n_j \notin \Sigma[1]$ (the other case is similar). We follow ([Dan78, §7, §8]): With respect to the action of the torus T

$$H^k(\phi^{-1}(U_{\sigma}), K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} + \tilde{Y} - \delta \cdot D_j)$$

decomposes into the direct sum of pieces

$$H^k(\phi^{-1}(U_{\sigma}), K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} + \tilde{Y} - \delta \cdot D_j)_m \cong H_{Z_m}^k(\sigma, \mathbb{C})$$

for each $m \in M$, where

$$Z_m := \{x \in \sigma \mid \langle x, m \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{F(\Delta)}(x)\}.$$

If $m \in F(\Delta)$ then $Z_m = \sigma$ and if $m \notin F(\Delta)$ then $\sigma \setminus Z_m$ is nonempty and convex. It follows from the local cohomology sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}(\sigma \setminus Z_m, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{Z_m}^k(\sigma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^k(\sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 0$$

that $H_{Z_m}^k(\sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. □

Use an *Euler exact sequence* on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ ([CLS11, Thm.8.1.6])

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}^1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n_i \in \tilde{\Sigma}[1]} \mathcal{O}(-D_i) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \rightarrow 0$$

By the above Lemma and since $Y + K_{\mathbb{P}}$ is nef

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y} + K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})) &\cong H^k(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}(Y + K_{\mathbb{P}})) = 0 \quad k > 0 \\ H^k(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y} + K_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} - D_j)) &= 0 \quad k > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows by distinguishing $n_j \notin \Sigma[1]$ and $n_j \in \Sigma[1]$ and in the second case using an Euler exact sequence on \mathbb{P} . The vanishing (10) follows. □

11 An explicit basis for $\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}, f})$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} R(N, \Sigma) := \{ \alpha \in M \mid \langle \alpha, n(\alpha) \rangle = 1 \text{ for some } n(\alpha) \in \Sigma[1] \\ \text{and } \langle \alpha, n_j \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for } n_j \in \Sigma[1] \setminus \{n(\alpha)\} \} \end{aligned}$$

denote the *roots* of Σ . Likewise we define $R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$ and $R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$ by replacing Σ by $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}$ and Σ_{Δ} .

By ([BG99]) we have

$$\text{Lie Aut}(\mathbb{P}) \cong \text{Lie}(T) \oplus \mathbb{C}^r,$$

where $r := \#R(N, \Sigma)$. We want to see how $\text{Lie Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ embeds into $L(C(\Delta))/\mathbb{C} \cdot f$.

Lemma 11.1. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$R(N, \Sigma) = R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$$

and $R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta}) \subset R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$. This inclusion might be strict (compare Example 12.3). For $\tilde{\Sigma}$ a smooth fan refining Σ_{Δ}

$$R(N, \tilde{\Sigma}) \subset R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta}).$$

Proof. By Remark 9.2 the rays $\Sigma[1]$ are contained in the convex span of the rays $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$. Let $\alpha \in M$ and $n_i \in \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$ with

$$\langle \alpha, n_i \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \alpha, n_j \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for } n_j \in \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1] \setminus \{n_i\}.$$

Then also $\langle \alpha, n_j \rangle \leq 0$ for $n_j \in \Sigma[1] \setminus \{n_i\}$. Conversely assume $\alpha \in M$ and $n_i \in \Sigma[1]$ with

$$\langle \alpha, n_i \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \alpha, n_j \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for } n_j \in \Sigma[1] \setminus \{n_i\}.$$

If $n_i \notin \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$ then α would have scalar product ≤ 0 with all vectors in $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$ and thus $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$, a contradiction. The second and the last assertion follows similarly since $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$ is contained in the convex span of $\Sigma_\Delta[1]$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ refines the complete fan Σ_Δ . \square

Definition 11.2. ([BG99, Def.2.1])

We call a vector $v \in M$ a column vector for $C(\Delta)$ if there is a facet Γ_v of $C(\Delta)$ such that

$$m + v \in M \cap C(\Delta) \quad \text{if } m \in (C(\Delta) \cap M) \setminus (\Gamma_v \cap M), \quad \langle v, n_{\Gamma_v} \rangle = -1.$$

where n_{Γ_v} denotes the inner facet normal to Γ_v .

It follows from the definitions that the set $-R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$ coincides with the column vectors for $C(\Delta)$.




Figure 2: A lattice polytope with a column vector v and $ht_v(m) = 2$.

There is a natural basis

$$\text{Lie}(T) = \left\langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle.$$

For $f \in B$ define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_f : T &\rightarrow B \\ (t_1, \dots, t_n) &\mapsto \left((x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(t_1 x_1, \dots, t_n x_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating ϕ_f we get an injective homomorphism $(d\phi_f)_e : \text{Lie}(T) \rightarrow T_{B,f}$ with

$$\text{Im}(d(\phi_f)_e) = \langle x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \rangle,$$

where $e = (1, \dots, 1)$. To verify this formally and to see how the whole space $\text{Lie Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ embeds into $L(C(\Delta))$ we recall some further notations and results from ([BG99]):

For $m \in M \cap C(\Delta)$ and $\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$ define

$$ht_{-\alpha}(m) := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} \mid m - k \cdot \alpha \in C(\Delta)\}.$$

Remark 11.3. If

$$\Gamma_{-\alpha} = \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, n_{\Gamma} \rangle = b_{\Gamma}\} \cap C(\Delta), \quad b_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and $m \in M \cap C(\Delta)$ then we obviously have

$$ht_{-\alpha}(m) = \langle n_{\Gamma}, m \rangle - b_{\Gamma}.$$

Let $S_{C(\Delta)}$ denote the graded semigroup \mathbb{C} -algebra over

$$\text{Cone}(C(\Delta) \times \{1\}) \cap (M \times \mathbb{Z})$$

Then the function $ht_{-\alpha}$ continues to a map $S_{C(\Delta)} \rightarrow S_{C(\Delta)}$ which respects the grading on $S_{C(\Delta)}$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ define a graded automorphism $e_{-\alpha}^{\lambda} : S_{C(\Delta)} \rightarrow S_{C(\Delta)}$ by

$$e_{-\alpha}^{\lambda}(x^m) := x^m \cdot (1 + \lambda x^{-\alpha})^{ht_{-\alpha}(m)}$$

(see [BG99, section 3]).

Corollary 11.4. ([BG99, Lemma 3.1, Thm.3.2b), Thm.5.4])

Lie Aut(\mathbb{P}) *has a basis of derivations, which act on* $L(C(\Delta))$ *as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} &: x^m \mapsto m_i \cdot x^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \frac{\partial e_{-\alpha}^{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} &: x^m \mapsto ht_{-\alpha}(m) \cdot x^{m-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

By definition of the tangent sheaf sequence the homomorphism

$$j : H^0(\mathbb{P}, T_{\mathbb{P}}) \cong H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}})$$

is given by applying the derivations from Corollary 11.4 to

$$f = \sum_{m \in \Delta \cap M} a_m x^m \in U_{reg}(\Delta)$$

and restricting to $Y = Y_f$.

Corollary 11.5. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ and $f \in U_{reg}(\Delta)$. Then*

$$\ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}, f}) \subset L(C(\Delta))/\mathbb{C} \cdot f$$

equals the span of the Laurent polynomials

$$w_{-\alpha}(f) := \sum_{m \in \Delta \cap M} ht_{-\alpha}(m) \cdot a_m \cdot x^{m-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_C(\Delta)).$$

These polynomials are linearly independent. In particular it follows that

$$H^0(Y, T_Y) = 0.$$




Figure 3: On the left: The column vector $-\alpha$ with facet $\Gamma_{-\alpha}$ and all lattice points $m \in C(\Delta)$ with $ht_{-\alpha}(m) > 0$. On the right: $w_{-\alpha}(f)$ has support on the thick lattice points.

Proof. We just have to prove the second part: The polynomials f and $x_i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ are linearly independent since f is nondegenerate ([Bat93, Thm.4.8]). Besides

$$\text{Supp} \left(x_i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) \subset \text{Supp}(f),$$

where Supp denotes the support of a Laurent polynomial. Let Γ be a facet of $C(\Delta)$ with inner facet normal n_Γ and let $m \in \text{Supp}(f)$ be a vector minimizing

$$\langle m, n_\Gamma \rangle.$$

Then for $\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$ the monomial $x^{m-\alpha}$ appears only in those $w_{-\alpha}(f)$ for which $\Gamma_{-\alpha} = \Gamma$. Besides all α with $\Gamma_{-\alpha} = \Gamma$ lie in a convex set in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. More precisely they are the lattice points on a lattice polytope P in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. If α is a vertex of P then there is $m \in \text{Supp}(f)$ such that $x^{m-\alpha}$ does not appear in the support of any other $w_{-\alpha'}(f)$.

Thus $w_{-\alpha}(f)$ does not appear with nonzero coefficient in any relation between the polynomials in the Corollary. We continue by breaking down P vertex by vertex and run over all facets of $C(\Delta)$. \square

Example 11.6. If

$$\Delta = d \cdot \Delta_n, \quad f \in U_{reg}(\Delta),$$

then

$$C(\Delta) = \Delta, \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_\Delta, \quad \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$$

and Y_f is a smooth degree d hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n . For such an hypersurface it is shown in ([Voi03, Lemma 6.15]) that

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong J_{f,griff}^d, \tag{11}$$

if we work with the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U_{reg}(\Delta)$ (if we projectivize then we have to mod out f from the kernel). Here $J_{f,griff}^d$ denotes the d -th homogeneous component of *Griffiths Jacobian ideal*

$$J_{f,griff} := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n].$$

The roots of Σ are given by

$$\pm e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \pm e_i \mp e_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j$$

and if $d \geq n + 1$ then Theorem 11.5 restricts to the result (11) up to homogenization.

12 An explicit basis for $\ker(\kappa_f)$

Theorem 12.1. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong \left\langle x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \right. \\ \left. w_{-\alpha}(f), \quad \alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_\Delta) \right\rangle.$$

In particular $\dim \ker(\kappa_f)$ is independent of $f \in B$.

We first prove the following Proposition which reduces the proof to a combinatorial argument

Proposition 12.2. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope with $F(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$. Then κ_f equals the restriction of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}$ to $L(\Delta)/\mathbb{C} \cdot f$ and thus*

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong \ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \cap L(\Delta). \quad (12)$$

Proof. The following reduction step is similar to ([Koe91, Ch.2.1] and [Voi03, Lemma 6.15]): Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}$ be the union of all torus orbits of dimension $\geq n-2$. Then $U = U_f := V \cap Y_f$ is smooth and $\text{codim}_{Y_f}(Y_f \setminus U_f) \geq 2$ for every $f \in B$. Let

$$W := (V \times B) \cap \mathcal{X}.$$

Consider the differential

$$(pr_1)_* : T_{W|U} \rightarrow T_{V|U}$$

of the first projection. All the sheaves we consider are reflexive, therefore there is no difference in working with Y , \mathcal{X} and \mathbb{P} . pr_1 restricts to an isomorphism

$$Y_f \times \{f\} \rightarrow Y_f,$$

thus $(pr_1)_*$ restricts to the identity on T_Y . The map

$$(pr_1)_* : N_{Y/\mathcal{X}} \cong H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \subset H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}$$

is given by multiplication of sections. In effect we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, T_Y) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, T_{\mathcal{X}|Y}^{**}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathcal{X}}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_f} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow (pr_1)_* & & \downarrow id \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, T_Y) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, N_{Y/\mathbb{P}}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^1(\Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_Y) \end{array}$$

and

$$\ker(\kappa_f) \cong H^0(Y, T_{\mathcal{X}|Y}^{**}) \cong H^0(Y, T_{\mathbb{P}|Y}^{**}) \cap L(\Delta) \cong \ker(\kappa_{\mathbb{P},f}) \cap L(\Delta).$$

□

The $x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ obviously belong to $L(\Delta)$ but the $w_{-\alpha}(f)$ need not have support on Δ as the following example shows:

Example 12.3. Consider the polytope

$$\Delta = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

Δ has 3 interior lattice points, $F(\Delta)$ is 1-dimensional and $C(\Delta)$ has the additional vertex $(1, -1, 1)$. We obtain a family of elliptic surfaces $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$. There are 7 roots

$$R(N, \Sigma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and one root $(-1, 0, -1)$ not belonging to $R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$. The column vector $-\alpha = (1, 0, 1)$ belongs to the facet

$$\Gamma_{-\alpha} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

of $C(\Delta)$. The vertex $(-1, -1, -1)$ does not lie on $\Gamma_{-\alpha}$ and

$$-\alpha + (-1, -1, -1) = (0, -1, 0) \notin \Delta.$$

Thus only 6 of the roots in $R(N, \Sigma)$ reduce the number of moduli.

(Proof of Theorem 12.1)

By Lemma 11.1 $R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta}) \subset R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)})$. We prove the Theorem in 3 steps:

- If $\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$ then $w_{-\alpha}(f) \in L(\Delta)$.
- If $\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}) \setminus R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$ then $w_{-\alpha}(f) \notin L(\Delta)$.

- The $w_{-\alpha}(f)$ for $\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}) \setminus R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$ are linearly independent in $L(C(\Delta))/L(\Delta)$.

First point: If

$$\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$$

then to α is associated both a facet $\Gamma_{-\alpha}$ of Δ and a facet $\Gamma'_{-\alpha}$ of $C(\Delta)$ and we have to show that $\Gamma_{-\alpha} \subset \Gamma'_{-\alpha}$ (then for $m \in M \cap \Delta$, $m \notin \Gamma_{-\alpha}$ we have $m - \alpha \in \Delta$): By Remark 9.2 the rays $\Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$ are contained in the convex span of the rays $\Sigma_{\Delta}[1]$.

Thus if $n_i \in \Sigma_{\Delta}[1]$ with $\langle \alpha, n_i \rangle = 1$ and $n_j \in \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$ with $\langle \alpha, n_j \rangle = 1$ then necessarily $n_i = n_j$. But by Remark 9.2 for $n_i \in \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}[1]$

$$\text{ord}_{C(\Delta)}(n_i) = \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_i).$$

Thus $\Gamma_{-\alpha} \subset \Gamma'_{-\alpha}$.

Second point: There is a facet $\Gamma_{-\alpha}$ of $C(\Delta)$ such that

$$m - \alpha \in C(\Delta) \quad \text{for } m \in C(\Delta) \cap M \quad \text{and} \quad m \notin \Gamma_{-\alpha}.$$

Let $\alpha \in R$ and first assume that $\Gamma_{-\alpha} \cap \Delta$ is a facet of Δ with inner facet normal $n_{\Gamma_{-\alpha}}$. Then since $\alpha \notin R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$ we find $n_j \in \Sigma_{\Delta}[1] \setminus \{n_{\Gamma_{-\alpha}}\}$ with $\langle \alpha, n_j \rangle > 0$.

Let Γ_j denote the facet of Δ with inner facet normal n_j . If m is a vertex of Γ_j then m lies in the support of f and

$$m - \alpha \notin \Delta.$$

Assume that $\Gamma_{-\alpha} \cap \Delta$ is a face of Δ of dimension $< n - 1$.

By adding $-\alpha$ to all vertices of Δ it is easy to see that the convex span of the vertices m of Δ with $m - \alpha \notin \Delta$ is of dimension $\geq n - 1$. Thus we find a vertex m with

$$m \notin \Gamma_{-\alpha}, \quad m - \alpha \notin \Delta.$$

We conclude $w_{-\alpha}(f) \notin L(\Delta)$.

Third point: First consider those

$$\alpha \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}) \setminus R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$$

to a fixed facet $\Gamma_{-\alpha} = \Gamma$ of $C(\Delta)$. These α 's coincide with the lattice points on a lattice polytope P and the argument is the same as in the proof of Corollary 11.5. Assume that there are two different facets of $C(\Delta)$ with facet normals n_1, n_2 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R(N, \Sigma_{C(\Delta)}) \setminus R(N, \Sigma_{\Delta})$ roots to these facets. If there is a relation in

$$L(C(\Delta))/L(\Delta)$$

in which both $w_{-\alpha_1}(f)$ and $w_{-\alpha_2}(f)$ appear with nonzero coefficients then we find a vector v in the support of f such that

$$\langle v - \alpha_1, n_1 \rangle < \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_1), \quad v - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in M \cap \Delta.$$

Thus

$$\langle v - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, n_1 \rangle \geq \text{ord}_{\Delta}(n_1),$$

but $\langle \alpha_2, n_1 \rangle \leq 0$ since α_2 is a root for $n_2 \neq n_1$. This is a contradiction. \square

References

- [Bat93] V. V. Batyrev, *Variations of the mixed Hodge structure of affine hypersurfaces in algebraic tori*, Duke Mathematical Journal, Volume 69, No.2, (1993).
- [Bat94] V. V. Batyrev, *Dual Polyhedra and Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Hypersurfaces in Toric Varieties*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), no. 3, 493–535.
- [Bat03] V. V. Batyrev, *Cohomology, Periods and the Hodge structure of toric hypersurfaces*, (2003), <https://www.math.arizona.edu/~swc/aws/2004/04BatyrevNotes.pdf>.
- [Bat22] V. V. Batyrev, *Canonical models of toric hypersurfaces*, (2022), arXiv:2008.05814v1 [math.AG]
- [BG99] W. Bruns, J. Gubeladze, *Polytopal Linear Groups*, Journal of Algebra 218, 715-737 (1999).

- [Cox95] D. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amherst College, (1995).
- [CLS11] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little and H. K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 124, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2011).
- [Dan78] V.I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Russian Math. Surveys 33:2 (1978).
- [Dol82] I. Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics book series (LNM, volume 956), (1982).
- [Fuj06] O. Fujino, *Multiplication maps and vanishing theorems for toric varieties*, Math. Z. **257**, 631–641, (2007).
- [GKZ94] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Springer-Birkhäuser, (1994).
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck, *Local cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag (1961).
- [Hart77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate texts in Mathematics, **52**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).
- [HaKo04] B. Hassett, S. J. Kovács, *Reflexive Pull-backs and base extension*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, 13, 233-247, (2004).
- [Kod86] K. Kodaira, *Complex Manifolds and Deformation of Complex Structures*, First Edition A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Math. 283 Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- [Koe91] R. J. Koelman, *The number of moduli of families of curves on toric surfaces*, PhD Thesis, University of Nijmegen, (1991).
- [Kol13] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the Minimal Model Program*, Cambridge University Press, (2013).
- [Kov03] S. Kovács, *Families of Varieties of General Type: the Shafarevich Conjecture and Related Problems*, Higher Dimensional Varieties and Rational Points pp 133–167, (2003).

- [KM92] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Classification of Three-Dimensional Flips*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society , Vol. 5, (1992).
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Vol. 134 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).
- [Mav03] A. Mavlyutov, *Embedding of Calabi-Yau deformations into toric varieties*, Mathematische Annalen volume 333, pages 45–65 (2005).
- [Mav08] A. Mavlyutov, *Cohomology of rational forms and a vanishing theorem on toric varieties*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, (2008).
- [MuOd15] D. Mumford, T. Oda, *Algebraic Geometry II*, Texts and Readings in Mathematics, (2015).
- [Ok87] M. Oka, *On the deformation of a certain type of algebraic varieties*, Preprint, (1987).
- [Sch71] M. Schlessinger, *Rigidity of Quotient Singularities*, Inventiones mathematicae, Volume: 14, page 17-26, (1971).
- [Sch08] K. Schwede, *Generalized divisors and reflexive sheaves on normal varieties*, (2008), <https://www.math.utah.edu/~schwede/Notes/GeneralizedDivisors.pdf>
- [Ser06] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, A series of comprehensive studies in Mathematics, Springer, (2006).
- [ShIn10] T. Shioda, H. Inose, *On Singular K3 Surfaces*, Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry (2010).
- [Voi03] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Cambridge University Press, (2003).